## Subject: Update-IN SESSION EMERGENCY Posted by Pete Ruthenburg on Tue, 20 Sep 2005 20:24:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
t;>elsewhere
>>>in the circuit)?
>>>
>>>Just wondering if I need to wire special leads for this...
>>>
>>>Cheers,
>>>Kim.
>>>
>>slf the output of the pre is transformer coupled, you must tie the negative
>>>side to ground if you can't do bal-bal.
>>>
>>>lf the pre is electronically driven, you should generally let the negative
>>>pin float. Some active drivers don't mind driving directly to ground,
```

## Subject: Re: Update-IN SESSION EMERGENCY Posted by Aaron Allen on Tue, 20 Sep 2005 21:05:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

/> > I have always tied the common to sheild \*at the unbalanced end\* with no > ill effects. Leaving the common lifted would make me nervous... > > David. > Kim wrote: >> Good call with the manual. Manual has no mention of unbalanced signals. I >> guess I'll have to work on the idea that it's balanced only, and have the >> negative lifted. >> >> Thanks for the help. >> >> Cheers, >> Kim. >> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote: >> >>> Often it stands in the manual if the preamps can use both unbalanced or >> >>

>>> balanced jacks. I know most of my preamps can use both. If you don't

>>> have

>>
>>
>> a
>>
>> manual, for the most you can f

Subject: Re: Update-IN SESSION EMERGENCY
Posted by Rob Arsenault on Wed, 21 Sep 2005 01:01:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### fdwB61v

Subject: Re: Update-IN SESSION EMERGENCY Posted by John [1] on Wed, 21 Sep 2005 11:16:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

know it when we hear it, but what is it, really? Is it a 
>certain kind of distortion in the low-mids? Is it a slight low>mid bump with a teensy-tiny little bit of high-end sizzle?
>(personally, I'm leaning towards this one) Or is there a
>certain narrow frequency range or combination of ranges that if
>there's enough content in there relative to the rest of the
>spectrum, we perceive it as being "warm-sounding"? (this one's
>less likely, because if that were the case, you could EQ in
>however much "warmth" you wanted). Like I said, myself, I'm
>leaning towards the 2nd example... if you have Howard Stern on
>the air in your market (not that I'm a fan of his, but this i

# Subject: Re: Update-IN SESSION EMERGENCY Posted by John [1] on Wed, 21 Sep 2005 11:17:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

S >a good example of what I'm talking about) I think his >voice/signal chain is a good example of "warmth" - check it out >sometime if you're not familiar with the sound, or just tune >into his cable show... it's pretty much the same as long as you >have a decent set of speakers on your TV. It's got a bump in >the lows/low-mids, and there's a slight high-end sheen that >could even be described as a very mild "sizzle" (but not too >much). The other people on his show don't sound like that, so >it's him or his particular signal chain, or a combination of >the two. The lower end of his tone isn't all about proximity >effect, either, because even when he's not right on top of the >mic, you still hear that "thing" I'm talking about. >Your thoughts? >Neil >"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote: >>Yeh......the starved plate thing. I did own a DBX compressor a while back >>that used a tube circuit in this way to extremely dramatic effect. I think

## Subject: Re: Update-IN SESSION EMERGENCY Posted by Tom Bruhl on Wed, 21 Sep 2005 14:16:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ause of an actual functional issue... of course feedback was kinda the FOH guy's problem, so it's possible that unit may have been a little more difficult to manage feedback wise but he may not have known. I beleive AKG do make a headset version too.

The other thing related to your comments (esp feedback) which I suspect you were alluding to also is the general rejection of unwanted signals for vocoder work. The vocoder isn't going to be as effective if it's picking up a whole bunch of signals from keys etc coming through foldback. Obviously quality is an issue to a certain degree.

I had already, at least, counted out the units that sell for \$15 on ebay...;0)

I probably do need to go and test some and see what I think. Perhaps it will have to wait until I can afford one which is worthwhile.

Cheers. Kim.Hi Kim, Don't know of a cheap one, but one thing I want to point out is that some of them are way above average. This one: http://www.countryman.com/ The E6, sounds as good as many of your studio mics, and will \*murder\* most common dynamic vocal mics. good luck DC "Kim" < hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote: > > >Anybody know a good cheap model? I'm after a wireless headset microphone. >I mean obviously to a degree you get what you pay for, but I'm not super >concerned about sound quality as there wont be that much in the way of vocals. >Obviously headset mics are average in any case. A lot of the purpose of >will be for use with a vocoder though, so exact tonal quality isn't going >to come through anyhow. >Any ideas? > >Cheers. >Kim.Oh, they're about 400.00 USD. DCThat EMU is certainly a great sounding card, and matched with Nuendo will make a formidable system. The Emu has onboard fx processing also, like the Paris EDS card. Martin Harrington www.lendanear-sound.com "Kim Glanville" <kgdrumz@telusplanet.com> wrote in message news:4331b394\$1@linux...

> set-up now.

> Guys, I've been out of the loop for a couple of years, taking > care of my parents in their home. Just getting into my Paris 2

- > A recording engineer friend, who knows a ton more than I, is
- > recommending that I switch to an Emu 1820M, claiming that it
- > will sound as good as Paris, and has a much more integrated
- > architecture than my V2.11. He is also a computer freak who
- > is aware that I'm not knowledgeable enough to sort out some of
- > the workarounds that might be necessary.

>

- > Would appreciate thoughts from any of you Paris Vets out
- > there. I love the SOUND, and really dig the C16, but already
- > encountering a few little snags that make me consider my buddys'
- > suggestion. This said, he WAS impressed by the sound of my
- > setup!
- > I question whether a rookie like myself should tackle Paris, unsupported,
- > except perhaps by this wonderful family that you guys are, which is
- > significant,
- > especially w. archives
- > available. I do have the B.T. DVD.

>

- > Prayer-thoughts for the Gulf Coast as Rita approaches.
- > Kim

>

>Hi to everyone...

I was trying the Senderella thing under Me.

The only way that works great is using the EDSTransfer=8,16 thing.

Note that it also works with 8,8 but this setting is not stable, you get disk errors "too slow" things etc.

Things work very stable with that EDSTransfer setting and senderella newest version can now save its sttings along with saving Paris song.

So now you can have your bus system inside Paris you always wanted.

There no latency at all (0) when using senderella so you can use your favoured DX plugin or EDS effect to effect your submix buss.

You can also have a stereo bus summing -effecting thing using two senderella instances.

I use of course chainer to load senderella but I am surte it can be used without chainer.

Someone has mentioned several times on this forum that the EDStransfer might get EDS cards working hotter thus producing more heat.

I would like to know from you who used to use the EDSTransfer 8,8 thing in the past if you had ny eds cards burnted out.

I have two big fans blowing over the eds cards anyway.

I am also considering just to be on the safe side to add these copper little addons that can be put right over the chips so whenever air is blowing on them they got somehow cooler.

Anyone ever tried this or other things?

Regards,

DimitriosThank you, Martin. After a fun session tonight, getting a little deeper into Paris, It may be too late for me! I'm gettin'hooked