
Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 16:16:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Interesting. Good call Thad.

And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for a new operating system?
Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from the review) to be a truly viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.

The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the likelihood is of Haiku developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project, not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources to entice commercial apps - I can't see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.

Dedric

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>
> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-haiku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>
> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
> M\$FT,
> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
> plan.
>
>
> TCB

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 17:20:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thad,
I still have a p3 800 system that has BeOS on it that runs and RME Digi968 and Nuendo 1. Revolutionary but doomed.
Chris

TCB wrote:

> I'd say the odds of this gaining the desktop market share that _linux_ has
> is right up there with the 'I get into a threesome with Halle Berry and Maria
> Sharapova' odds. So here's hoping they both happen!
>
> I don't see any reason why Google would want a desktop OS.
>
> TCB
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> Interesting. Good call Thad.
>>
>> And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for
> a
>> new operating system?
>> Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from
>
>> the review) to be a truly
>> viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>
>> The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the
>
>> likelihood is of Haiku
>> developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>
>> Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>> not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources to
>
>> entice commercial apps - I can't
>> see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.
>>
>> Dedric
>>
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>>
>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>
>>> M\$FT,
>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>
>>> plan.
>>>
>>>
>>> TCB
>
--

Chris Ludwig

ADK Pro Audio
(859) 635-5762
www.adkproaudio.com
chrisl@adkproaudio.com

Subject: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 17:21:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>

I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple, M\$FT, and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business plan.

TCB

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 17:46:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd say the odds of this gaining the desktop market share that `_linux_` has is right up there with the 'I get into a threesome with Halle Berry and Maria Sharapova' odds. So here's hoping they both happen!

I don't see any reason why Google would want a desktop OS.

TCB

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Interesting. Good call Thad.

>

>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for

a

>new operating system?

>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from

>the review) to be a truly

>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.

>

>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the

>likelihood is of Haiku

>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless

>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources to

>entice commercial apps - I can't
>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.
>
>Dedric
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>
>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>
>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,

>> M\$FT,
>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business

>> plan.
>>
>>
>> TCB
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 18:42:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the news, Thad.

I like his idea of running it on an Eee PC.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

James McCloskey wrote:

> Ahead of it's time.
>
> <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1659841654840942756>
>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs
>> came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the

>> time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>> although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>> the name of the OS.
>>
>> So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>> and it can actually run some software.
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> Interesting. Good call Thad.
>>>
>>> And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for
>> a
>>> new operating system?
>>> Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from
>>> the review) to be a truly
>>> viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>>
>>> The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the
>>> likelihood is of Haiku
>>> developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>>> Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>>> not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources
> to
>>> entice commercial apps - I can't
>>> see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.
>>>
>>> Dedric
>>>
>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>>>
>>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>>>> M\$FT,
>>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>>>> plan.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 18:44:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Did you watch the section where he loaded 3 movies, 5 applications, graphics animations, etc, ran the dual cpus (dual PII's with

only 64M ram), beyond 100% usage, then turned one off - no screeching halt, freeze or crash - just an elegant scaling down of the allocation of resources.

Without a doubt, way ahead of it's time. In some ways, it still is.

Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47b1e612\$1@linux...

>

> Ahead of it's time.

>

> <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1659841654840942756>

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs >>came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the >>time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive, >>although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember >>the name of the OS.

>>

>>So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the >>alternative, >>and it can actually run some software.

>>

>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>Interesting. Good call Thad.

>>>

>>>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for >>a

>>>new operating system?

>>>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear >>>(from

>>

>>>the review) to be a truly >>>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.

>>>

>>>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the

>>

>>>likelihood is of Haiku

>>>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless

>>

>>>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project, >>>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources

> to

>>

>>>entice commercial apps - I can't
>>>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next
>>>decade.
>>>
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai
ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html
>>>>
>>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>>
>>>> M\$FT,
>>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>>
>>>> plan.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 19:06:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive, although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember the name of the OS.

So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative, and it can actually run some software.

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Interesting. Good call Thad.

>

>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for

a

>new operating system?

>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from

>the review) to be a truly

>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.

>
>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the

>likelihood is of Haiku
>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless

>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources to

>entice commercial apps - I can't
>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.
>
>Dedric
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>
>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>
>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,

>> M\$FT,
>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business

>> plan.
>>
>>
>> TCB
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 19:13:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>I don't see any reason why Google would want a desktop OS.

>TCB

why? because they can, of course....

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 19:31:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ahead of it's time.

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1659841654840942756>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs
>came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the
>time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>the name of the OS.

>

>So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>and it can actually run some software.

>

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>Interesting. Good call Thad.

>>

>>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for

>a

>>new operating system?

>>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from

>

>>the review) to be a truly

>>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.

>>

>>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the

>

>>likelihood is of Haiku

>>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless

>

>>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,

>>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources

to

>

>>entice commercial apps - I can't

>>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.

>>

>>Dedric

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-haiku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>

>>>

>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,

>

>>> M\$FT,

>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>
>>> plan.
>>>
>>>
>>> TCB
>>
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 19:53:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TCB wrote:

> Funny you mention that, Jamie. I just bought an EEE. When my 1920x1200 Dell
> behemoth arrived I was thinking about buying one of those Amazon book reader
> things and decided to save a few hundred bucks, spend a few hours on gutenber.org
> and get a real free software box. Nice little device, though the keys are
> TINY.

The EEE looks cool. Lots of bang/buck.

I still use a Psion 5mx for an ultra-portable idea box. Very tiny keyboard. I can touch type on it but not at full speed. The little dude is way ahead of its time, solid state storage! 16mb internal plus a CF flash card slot. Takes standard AA batteries that last about a month. I think it weighs about one pound. Good for typing notes or writing short articles, and it has a simple audio recorder (lofi - adequate for singing melodies, doing interviews and dictating ideas).

On the behemoth side, my OSX system currently drives a Dell HD-sized monitor similar to yours, plus a vertically oriented 19" Samsung monitor to one side for extra stuff.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

> TCB
>
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> Thanks for the news, Thad.
>>
>> I like his idea of running it on an Eee PC.
>>

>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> Ahead of it's time.
>>>
>>> <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1659841654840942756>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when
> Jobs
>>>> came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at
> the
>>>> time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>>>> although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>>>> the name of the OS.
>>>>
>>>> So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>>>> and it can actually run some software.
>>>>
>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> Interesting. Good call Thad.
>>>>>
>>>>> And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source
> for
>>>>> a
>>>>> new operating system?
>>>>> Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear
> (from
>>>>> the review) to be a truly
>>>>> viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>>>>
>>>>> The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think
> the
>>>>> likelihood is of Haiku
>>>>> developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>>>>> Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>>>>> not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources
>>> to
>>>>> entice commercial apps - I can't
>>>>> see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next
> decade.
>>>>> Dedric
>>>>>
>>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai>

ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html

>>>>>

>>>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>>>>> M\$FT,
>>>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>>>>> plan.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> TCB

>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 20:04:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, James, there is a group working on it. It's in my post, which you obviously didn't bother to read before responding. It's called Haiku. Here's a haiku for you.

James sure loves Apple
Balance his viewpoints can lack
Please read carefully

TCB

P.S. There was more to the BeOS deal than you describe here, but let's not go down that road again . . .

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs
>came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the
>time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>the name of the OS.

>

>So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>and it can actually run some software.

>

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>Interesting. Good call Thad.

>>

>>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for

>a

>>new operating system?

>>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from

>

>>the review) to be a truly

>>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>
>>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the
>
>>likelihood is of Haiku
>>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>
>>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources
to
>
>>entice commercial apps - I can't
>>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.
>>
>>Dedric
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>>
>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>
>>> M\$FT,
>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>
>>> plan.
>>>
>>>
>>> TCB
>>
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 20:13:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is another group that was working on a new OS that is a derivative of BeOS, still can't think of the name, but some day I will post it.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Yes, James, there is a group working on it. It's in my post, which you obviously
>didn't bother to read before responding. It's called Haiku. Here's a haiku
>for you.
>
>James sure loves Apple

>Balance his viewpoints can lack
>Please read carefully
>
>TCB
>
>P.S. There was more to the BeOS deal than you describe here, but let's not
>go down that road again . . .
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs
>>came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the
>>time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>>although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>>the name of the OS.
>>
>>So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>>and it can actually run some software.
>>
>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>Interesting. Good call Thad.
>>>
>>>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for
>>a
>>>new operating system?
>>>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from
>>
>>>the review) to be a truly
>>>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>>
>>>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the
>>
>>>likelihood is of Haiku
>>>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>>
>>>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>>>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources
>to
>>
>>>entice commercial apps - I can't
>>>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.
>>>
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>>>

>>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>>
>>>> M\$FT,
>>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>>
>>>> plan.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 20:15:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Funny you mention that, Jamie. I just bought an EEE. When my 1920x1200 Dell behemoth arrived I was thinking about buying one of those Amazon book reader things and decided to save a few hundred bucks, spend a few hours on gutenberg.org and get a real free software box. Nice little device, though the keys are TINY.

TCB

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>Thanks for the news, Thad.

>

>I like his idea of running it on an Eee PC.

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>

>

>James McCloskey wrote:

>> Ahead of it's time.

>>

>> <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1659841654840942756>

>>

>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>> Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs

>>> came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the

>>> time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,

>>> although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>>> the name of the OS.
>>>
>>> So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>>> and it can actually run some software.
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> Interesting. Good call Thad.
>>>>
>>>> And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source
for
>>> a
>>>> new operating system?
>>>> Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear
(from
>>>> the review) to be a truly
>>>> viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>>>
>>>> The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think
the
>>>> likelihood is of Haiku
>>>> developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>>>> Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>>>> not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources
>> to
>>>> entice commercial apps - I can't
>>>> see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next
decade.
>>>>
>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>>>>
>>>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>>>>> M\$FT,
>>>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>>>>> plan.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> TCB
>>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 20:38:44 GMT

Zeta OS is what I was thinking of. Here is some info on it. Quite a few OSs have tried to take hold such as Lindows. Haiku looks vary cool, I welcome it, options are always good. By the way, I wasn't trying to step on your thread.

<http://www.linux.com/feature/43570>

<http://www.linux.com/feature/54722>

<http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/lcd/indexe.php>

<http://arstechnica.com/reviews/os/zeta-1-0.ars>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>There is another group that was working on a new OS that is a derivative of
>BeOS, still can't think of the name, but some day I will post it.

>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>
>>Yes, James, there is a group working on it. It's in my post, which you obviously
>>didn't bother to read before responding. It's called Haiku. Here's a haiku
>>for you.

>>
>>James sure loves Apple
>>Balance his viewpoints can lack
>>Please read carefully

>>
>>TCB

>>
>>P.S. There was more to the BeOS deal than you describe here, but let's not
>>go down that road again . . .

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs
>>>came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the
>>>time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>>>although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>>>the name of the OS.

>>>
>>>So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>>>and it can actually run some software.

>>>

>>>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>Interesting. Good call Thad.
>>>>
>>>>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source
for
>>>>a
>>>>new operating system?
>>>>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear
(from
>>>>
>>>>the review) to be a truly
>>>>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.
>>>>
>>>>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think
the
>>>>
>>>>likelihood is of Haiku
>>>>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless
>>>>
>>>>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,
>>>>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources
>>to
>>>>
>>>>entice commercial apps - I can't
>>>>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next
decade.
>>>>
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47b1c784\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>
>>>>>
>>>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>>>>>
>>>>> M\$FT,
>>>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>>>>>
>>>>> plan.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> TCB
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?

Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 13 Feb 2008 05:09:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Around 1996 Apple was getting ready to buy BeOS for 100 million when Jobs
>came back to Apple and Next won out. I would say 95% of Mac users at the
>time wanted Apple to buy BeOS. It is too bad BeOS didn't really survive,
>although, somebody is building something based on BeOS, I can't remember
>the name of the OS.

>

>So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
>and it can actually run some software.

>

A little history.

<http://arstechnica.com/reviews/os/zeta-1-0.ars>

>"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>Interesting. Good call Thad.

>>

>>And didn't we say at one time that Google was the most likely source for

>a

>>new operating system?

>>Granted, this is an open source OS that at the moment doesn't appear (from

>

>>the review) to be a truly

>>viable alternative yet. Maybe eventually.

>>

>>The time is right for a new alternative no doubt - what do you think the

>

>>likelihood is of Haiku

>>developing into a true competitor though? Doesn't look promising unless

>

>>Google takes it on (sounds like Bruno's project,

>>not Google's) and makes it commercial OS with commercial dev resources

to

>

>>entice commercial apps - I can't

>>see open source luring commercial app developers anytime in the next decade.

>>

>>Dedric

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message [news:47b1c784\\$1@linux...](news:47b1c784$1@linux...)

>>>

>>> <http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai>

ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html

>>>
>>> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
>
>>> M\$FT,
>>> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
>
>>> plan.
>>>
>>>
>>> TCB
>>
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Wed, 13 Feb 2008 10:43:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In my experience, it can actually run all software.

Leopard on a core2 duo,

Hardware Overview:

Machine Name: Mac
Machine Model: P35-DS3L

Processor Speed: 2.4 GHz
Total Number Of Cores: 2
L2 Cache (per processor): 4 MB
Processor Features: FPU VME DE PSE TSC MSR PAE MCE CX8 APIC SEP MTRR
PGE MCA CMOV PAT PSE36 CLFSH DS ACPI MMX FXSR SSE SSE2 SS HTT TM SSE3 MON
DSCPL VMX EST TM2 SSSE3 CX16 TPR PDCM
Memory: 2 GB
Bus Speed: 1.07 GHz
Boot ROM Version: Hack.88Z.int.0sh.061193037 (Award Software
International, Inc.)

Martin Harrington

On 13/2/08 6:06 AM, in article 47b1e014\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"
<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

> So why not run Mac OS hacked on a cheap DIY PC box? That's the alternative,
> and it can actually run some software.
>

Subject: Re: Who was our big time BeOS'er around here?
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Wed, 13 Feb 2008 15:58:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Thad..I tried to respond to your DADGAD book inquiry,but it bounced back...I do have some books for \$15. Contact me ,and I'll give you my address. zmcleod@yahoo.com

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message [news:47b1c784\\$1@linux...](news:47b1c784$1@linux...)

>

>

<http://arstechnica.com/news.ars/post/20080212-first-look-hai-ku-poetically-resurrects-beos.html>

>

> I remember taking a lot of stick for pointing out that taking on Apple,
M\$FT,
> and linux all at the same time might not prove to be a great business
plan.

>

>

> TCB
