

---

Subject: I've got that "New Computer" feeling. :o)  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 17 Jul 2005 23:35:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

the USSR, leaving Afghanistan in ruins ever since.  
The same can be said of Damascus, another beautiful Arab city. And let's not forget the meddling in Iran.  
Durring the hostage criss at the US embassy in '79, President Carter made it clear that we were all supposed to forget the misdeads of the US, labeling them as "ancient history", but also reminding us that dwelling on Americas's faults only helped the enemy.

The idea here is classic, psychopathic thinking:: I may have robbed you yesterday, but that doesn't give you the right to retaliate. If you think this type of thinking is appropriot you should be on the FBI list of people to watch.

> Perhaps, as Sen. Patty Murray intoned, we needed  
>to match the good works of bin Laden to capture the hearts  
>and minds of Muslim peoples.

>  
>

Pointless rhetoric. Bin Laden may have not done any comunity service by any US standard, but he has stated in his writtings that his purpose is to free the Arab people from US oppression and tyranny. So, in this regard, yes, maybe the US should capture the hearts and minds of the Muslim people by leaving them the hell alone.

>The fable continues that the United States itself was united  
>after the attack even during its preparations to retaliate in  
>Afghanistan.

>

Correct, it is a fable. Not only was the US not united, but everyone with a mind and some human compassion was against this Invasion. Afghanistan didn't bomb the US. There's even a smattering of evidence that the Israeli Mossad was involved.  
Iraq had nothing to do with it.

> But then George Bush took his eye off the ball.  
>He let bin Laden escape, and worst of all, unilaterally and

>for oil, hegemony, Israel, or Halliburton, something in

>  
>

All the smoking guns point to this conclusion.

>In any case, there was no connection between al Qaeda

>and Saddam, and thus terrorists only arrived in Iraq after  
>we did.

>

>

Oops....apples and oranges. Bin Laden openly stated that he would not deal with Saddam because he was heretical to Islam, having been in the pocket of the US for so many years.

So it's only natural than in a post-Saddam Iraq Al Qaeda should do it's part to rid the middle east of US invaders.

>That tale goes on. The Iraqi fiasco is now a hopeless quagmire.

>

>

Which it is.

>The terrorists are paying us back for it in places like London and Madrid.

>

>

Which they are.

---