Subject: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by DJ on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 02:15:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I didn't even know something like this existed!!!

http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1

4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????

My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32 could use the PCIx slots.....right???

This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.

Tell me I'm not dreaming???

Subject: Re: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by DJ on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 03:25:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.

;0(

```
"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message news:473fa17f@linux...
```

>I didn't even know something like this existed!!!

>

http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1

> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics

> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????

>

> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32

> could use the PCIx slots.....right???

>

> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still

> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having

> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending another

> \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.

>

> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

```
>
```

- >
- >
- >
- >

Subject: Re: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by Chris Ludwig on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 16:06:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

HI DJ,

```
At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e, 4-1xPCI-e
```

Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons. The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to promote.

Chris

DJ wrote:

```
> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.
>
> ;0(
>
"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message
> news:473fa17f@linux...
>
>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!
>>
>> http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?I1=3&I2=11&I3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1
>>
>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics
>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????
>>
>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32
>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???
>>
>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still
>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having
>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending another
>> $2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.
>>
```

Chris Ludwig ADK chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/> (859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by AlexPlasko on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 16:22:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
hi Chris...are any of the quad opteron boards dual quad MB's?
"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
news:47406410@linux...
```

> HI DJ,

> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e,

> 4-1xPCI-e

>

> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the

> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at

- > least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.
- > The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to
- > promote.

> Chris

- >
- >
- >

> DJ wrote:

>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.

>>

>> ;0(

>>

>> "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message

>> news:473fa17f@linux...

>>

>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!! >>> >>> http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1 >>> >>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics >>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads???? >>> >>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32 >>> could use the PCIx slots.....right??? >>> >>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still >>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having >>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending >>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis. >>> >>> Tell me I'm not dreaming??? >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >> >> >> > > --> Chris Ludwig > ADK > chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com> > www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/> > (859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by Chris Ludwig on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 16:52:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Alex,

Yes. Wouldn't waste my time with them otherwise. :)

Chris

alex plasko wrote:

> hi Chris...are any of the quad opteron boards dual quad MB's?

> "Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message

> news:47406410@linux...

>> HI DJ. >> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e, >> 4-1xPCI-e >> >> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the >> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at >> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons. >> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to >> promote. >> Chris >> >> >> >> >> DJ wrote: >> >>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx. >>> >>> ;0(>>> >>> "DJ" <animix at animas dot net> wrote in message >>> news:473fa17f@linux... >>> >>> >>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!! >>>> >>>> http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1 >>>> >>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics >>>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads???? >>>> >>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32 >>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right??? >>>> >>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still >>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having >>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending >>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis. >>>> >>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming??? >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>>

>

>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Chris Ludwig
>> ADK
>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com></mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
>> www.adkproaudio.com <http: www.adkproaudio.com=""></http:>
>> (859) 635-5762
>>
>
>
>
Chris Ludwig
ADK
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com></mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
www.adkproaudio.com <http: www.adkproaudio.com=""></http:>
(859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by DJ on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 18:29:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well...hummm.....both my Magma's are compatible with the PCI-e host cards. I'm thinking that a single Intel 45nano Quad might be sufficient for my needs running XP64. Seems like single socket quads are getting good press with Cubase and UAD-1 cards at very low latencies. Is it possible to run XP 64 and have the advantages of some additional RAM while using 32 bit Cubase 4.1 and 32 bit PCI/PCIe Magma host cards in my DAW or would I need a 64 bit expansion chassis to make this work. ??

I'm hopelessly confused about this.

;0}

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message news:47406410@linux...

> HI DJ,

> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e,

> 4-1xPCI-e

>

> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the

> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at

> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.

> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to > promote.

> Chris > > > > > DJ wrote: >> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx. >> >> ;0(>> >> "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message >> news:473fa17f@linux... >> >>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!! >>> >>> http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1 >>> >>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics >>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads???? >>> >>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32 >>> could use the PCIx slots.....right??? >>> >>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still >>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having >>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending >>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis. >>> >>> Tell me I'm not dreaming??? >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> >> >> >> > > --> Chris Ludwig > ADK> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com> > www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/> > (859) 635-5762

Happy Sunday to you all.,

DJ and others, haven't you all been following the Vista OS post here? Those of us on the PC (Windoze) side of personal computing are at a cross road.

-If you run a Steinberg DAW, then there are first issues with Multi core (4) processors taking advantage of all of that power.

-Win Xp (32/64) is not giving you anything as far as performance. Nothing to write home about.

-Ms Vista is a ways off from being what pro audio needs it to be.

All in all, it's time to stay pat until the above issues are worked out.

OR

-Explore the Apple route and give Logic Studio a try. -Stay with windows, and give Digidesign a shot with version 7.4.

Myself, I'm good where I am. My DAW's can achieve more tracks than I can use with plugins galore..

Until, something comes along that's truly revolutionary for what I do, then I'll bite. But, until then, Paris, Nuendo, & Pro Tools, Acid Pro, Alesis HD24 & Reasons are the tools that are getting the job(s) done .. Good luck exploring.. :)

"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote: >Well...hummm.....both my Magma's are compatible with the PCI-e host cards.

>I'm thinking that a single Intel 45nano Quad might be sufficient for my

>needs running XP64. Seems like single socket quads are getting good press

>with Cubase and UAD-1 cards at very low latencies. Is it possible to run XP

>64 and have the advantages of some additional RAM while using 32 bit Cubase

```
>4.1 and 32 bit PCI/PCIe Magma host cards in my DAW or would I need a 64 bit
>expansion chassis to make this work. ??
> l'm hopelessly confused about this.
>;0}
```

>"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message >news:47406410@linux... >> HI DJ, >> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e,

>> 4-1xPCI-e

>>

```
>> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till
the
>> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at
>> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.
>> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us
to
>> promote.
>> Chris
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.
>>>
>>> ;0(
>>>
>>> "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message
>>> news:473fa17f@linux...
>>>
>>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!
>>>>
>>>> http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&
amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1
>>>>
>>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics
>>>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????
>>>>
>>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32
>>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???
>>>>
>>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still
>>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having
>>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending
>>>> another $2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.
>>>>
```

>>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Chris Ludwig
>> ADK
>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com></mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
>> www.adkproaudio.com <http: www.adkproaudio.com=""></http:>
>> (859) 635-5762
>
>

Subject: Re: Holy****!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???" Posted by LaMontt on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 20:47:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cont :)

Having said that: I'm really leaning towards Digi's new C24 controller. Along with any of their software versions, amkes for a killer package. And, mos importantly, it will attrack potential customers with it's professional looks. I for one, prefer a controller that's "tighly" integrated with it's Software, like Paris & C16..

Another is the secret from Euphonix. Their supposed to have a more affordable controller. It works like the MC-5 setup, and in the 8,910k range, that could be what all of the Nuendo/Cubase/Logic uses have wanted for along time.

Yet another hot controlelr option is the Tascam DM-4800. This baby is picking up all kinds of steam in our market. Since the demise of Mackies DXB, Tascam is one of the only (Yamaha) who's has a very attractive product that's DAW friendly: Firewire,Cascadable, decent pres (24), Talk Back setup..DAw conroller (Steinys,Logic, ProTool, Sonar, DP)..for a price thats not insulting (under 5k).

I have learned that a big mixer, or big time looking Daw controllers gets you more business then a Star-Ship Enterprise looking setup. .

Another 2008 option, is the Tascam DM-4800 mixer.

"LaMOnt" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote: > >Happy Sunday to you all., > >DJ and others, haven't you all been following the Vista OS post here? Those >of us on the PC (Windoze) side of personal computing are at a cross road. > >-If you run a Steinberg DAW, then there are first issues with Multi core >(4) processors taking advantage of all of that power. > >-Win Xp (32/64) is not giving you anything as far as performance. Nothing >to write home about. > >-Ms Vista is a ways off from being what pro audio needs it to be. > >All in all, it's time to stay pat until the above issues are worked out. > >OR >-Explore the Apple route and give Logic Studio a try. >-Stay with windows, and give Digidesign a shot with version 7.4. > >Myself, I'm good where I am. My DAW's can achieve more tracks than I can >use with plugins galore ... > >Until, something comes along that's truly revolutionary for what I do, then >I'll bite. But, until then, Paris, Nuendo, & Pro Tools, Acid Pro, Alesis >HD24 & Reasons are the tools that are getting the job(s) done ... >Good luck exploring.. :) > >"DJ" <animix at animas dot net> wrote: >>Well...hummm.....both my Magma's are compatible with the PCI-e host cards. > >>I'm thinking that a single Intel 45nano Quad might be sufficient for my > >>needs running XP64. Seems like single socket guads are getting good press > >>with Cubase and UAD-1 cards at very low latencies. Is it possible to run >XP >>64 and have the advantages of some additional RAM while using 32 bit Cubase > >>4.1 and 32 bit PCI/PCIe Magma host cards in my DAW or would I need a 64 >bit >>expansion chassis to make this work. ?? >> >>I'm hopelessly confused about this. >>:0} >>

>>"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message >>news:47406410@linux... >>> HI DJ. >>> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e, > >>> 4-1xPCI-e >>> >>> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till >the >>> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at > >>> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons. >>> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us >to >>> promote. >>> Chris >>> >>> >>> >>> >>> DJ wrote: >>>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx. >>>> >>>> ;0(>>>> >>>> "DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message >>>> news:473fa17f@linux... >>>> >>>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!! >>>>> >>>> http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1 >>>>> >>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics >>>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads???? >>>>> >>>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32 > >>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right??? >>>>> >>>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still > >>>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having > >>>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending >>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis. >>>>> >>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Chris Ludwig
>>> ADK
>>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com></mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
>>> www.adkproaudio.com <http: www.adkproaudio.com=""></http:>
>>> (859) 635-5762
>>
>>
>

Page 13 of 13 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums