
Subject: hush media pc

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 12:59:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> on the planet without reservation.

>

> If you want to find the best beer, ask someone who drinks a lot of different

> beers. They can then judge, without bias, which beer really does compare > well.

>

> If you want to find the best news service...

>

> I may be underestimating your media experience based on the figures I have > heard. My experince is that many on this group are better educated in such > things than, perhaps, the average from their culture.

>

> It seems a little unrealistic though for you to ask me to be careful of media

> sources outside the U.S. I'm a little surprised you didn't, or at least appeared

> not to, realise that.

>

> I guess my rep

Subject: Re: hush media pc

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 14:46:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ould be one... bad example maybe

>because they too have oil, but they're long time U.S. allies and totally >undemocratic. There's no sign of the U.S. saying "We don't like you Saudi's >because you're not a democracy".

>

>There may be nothing specific you can put your finger on, but I think it's >important to pay attention to the underlying things.

>

>>Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don't live here.

>

>I think this is a very interesting statement. The reason is because it is >an exact mirror of what many outside the U.S. would say to yourself... ie.

>Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who live in the U.S.

>

>One thing is extraordinarily clear, and that is that reports in the U.S.

>suggest a different scenario to reports outside. It is my opinion that it

>is the U.S. sources that are biased, but that doesn't make me correct. As

>I say that please note that most of Australia's media sources are actually
>aligned with the U.S. media sources, but those are the commercial media.
>
>I can't speak for yourself Dedric as I don't know your viewing/media habits,
>but I understand

Subject: Re: hush media pc
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 17:52:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s matter
> about
> him refusing to abide by the terms and conditions of UN sanctions which
> provided *serious consequences* for his removal if said sanctions weren't
> honored. Serious consequences have been administered and he's gone.
>
> Now I'm gonna go sit on a bridge and pick my banjo,
>
> ;o)
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42cea68b@linux...
>> BINGO!
>>
>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:ir8tc19g6qma0u1hm96i3fgkmf4f9lavas@4ax.com...
>> > i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison
>> > gas then complain the that they may use/use/or have used it.
>> > eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the ass.
>> > and that imo is what the world needs to learn.
>> >
>> > On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the pro

Subject: Re: hush media pc
Posted by [Mark McDermott](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 18:36:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

blem where the oil was?

>> >>>>
>> >>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the
>> >>>>region
>> >>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the
> UN
>> >>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in
>> >>>>power.
>> >>>>I
>> >>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you
>> >>>>guys
>> >>>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case
>> >>>>with
>> >>>>Afghanistan/Taliban.
>> >>>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this
>> >>>>scenario
>> >>>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you
>> >>>>guys
>> >>>>&

Subject: Re: hush media pc
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 19:07:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

see it, UN have allways had problems to do any sanctions because of the veto rights that the biggest echnomical nations have. Why aren't America generally talking about these FACTS when talking about how problematic it is with UN. So for me, it's not UN's fault at all, it's much more these big nations that nearly allways are making their own rights if their echnomical structure are loosing money to other parts. So if there's any statistic over which of these countries that have used the vetoright most up against the years, I think we'll see US and the not existing Sovjet at the big top. It's all about echnomy, or the "fuckin"money that we allways want more of;-(So I think we here are seeing most of the problems and not generally with UN, as it's a great trying to do something with the big problems in the world. But with nations like US, there isn't any chance at all to do something, if there isn't any money to make on the problems at the end! Have a look at the problems the rest of the world are having with the Kyoto protocol, just

Subject: Re: hush media pc
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 02:56:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tely serious
about this.

He stammers all the time... I don't buy this - it's very subjective, but I'll try & notice the next time he speaks about something.

>The trouble with nuking cities is that it will only escalate the violence.

Nope, one terrorist bombing = one Islamic city nuked. Two bombings = two cities nuked. How long do you think it would take before the entire Islamic world turned over every terrorist? Not long. Not all of them believe in being martyrs... many of them just want to live thier lives just like we do and some of those people are harboring terrorists just because there's no retribution.. once the retribution starts, we'd see how many of them want to harbor those bastards any longer. Fuck the morality issue when it's an issue of survival... at that point there really is no right & wrong, you know? Is it wrong for the wolf to kill the deer in order to survive? No, the wolf can't farm crops, or go to the store to buy canned meat... killing the deer in order to survive isn't right or wrong, it just "is". The forebears of modern man & the Neanderthals lived in some of the same regions simultaneously for a LONG time... was it wrong for Cro-Magnon man to outcompete the Neanderthals so that the Neanderthals became extinct? No, it just was about survival. When one group threatens another to the point where one of those groups' way of life is on the verge of ending, then it's all about who has the resolve to win, or the means to win & the WILL to employ those means. Neanderthals. Rome. American Indians. Tsarist Russia, and then Soviet

Subject: Re: hush media pc
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 05:35:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he pattern.

> If you look up figures on things like where people holiday, and as I said
> before, what media people watch, you'll find that the U.S. tends to keep
> to itself. I think generally people on this group are fairly broadminded
> compared to their average countryman, possibly in part because discussions
> like this have been going for quite a while here. I would certainly admit
> I've learned a lot about the perspectives of many in the process, and I'm
> sure I'm not the only one.
>

> >Case in point: for us here in the US, Australia is where Crocodile
Dundee
> >came from, and where the deadliest snakes, insects and ocean live dwell
> -
> >making for a very scary place, especially if you go on a walk-about alone
> in
> >search of Kiwi and Koalas. ;-) (a little lightening of the mood).
>
> I can assure you that the biggest threat to me right now is that my
housemate
> might get drunk and smash a bottle. ;o)
>
>
> >As far as other brutal leaderships - perhaps you are referring to
>
> I'm not referring to anyone really... just that there's a long list, and
> indeed some of the brutal leaderships are listed as allies by, well, lots
> of people, as always. Turkey for one example, but I'm not really trying to
> make specific examples, just to say that I don't buy the good guy thing.
> If I knew someone who constantly tripped up old ladies, and then one day
> saw that person helping an old lady cross the street, well I wouldn't buy
> the theory that this person was doing it because he was a good guy. I'd be
> asking questions like "Is this old lady rich?" and things like that. Now

Subject: Re: hush media pc
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 09:38:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions to
> say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
> conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.

Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I
think people here are interested in smart and
