
Subject: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 12:19:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you aren't already aware of this, UA just released version 4.4.0 software for their famous 33609 compressor. Looks pretty neat.

<http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=14804&folder=EarthLink+Services.spamBlocker.Suspect+Email&x=1578451007>

Rich

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 18:19:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm Ghosting my OS on my Cubase machine right now and then I'm gonna have to install this.....dammit!!!

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:450bda66\$1@linux...

> If you aren't already aware of this, UA just released version 4.4.0
software
> for their famous 33609 compressor. Looks pretty neat.

>
>

<http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=14804&folder=EarthLink+Services.spamBlocker.Suspect+Email&x=1578451007>

>
> Rich
>
>

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 00:14:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://www.uaudio.com/email/UAD-1_v44.html

AA

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:450c4079@linux...

> I'm Ghosting my OS on my Cubase machine right now and then I'm gonna have

> to
> install this.....dammit!!!
>
>
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:450bda66\$1@linux...
>> If you aren't already aware of this, UA just released version 4.4.0
> software
>> for their famous 33609 compressor. Looks pretty neat.
>>
>>
> http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=14804& ;folder=EarthLink+Se
> rvices.spamBlocker.Suspect+Email&x=1578451007
>>
>> Rich
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sun, 17 Sep 2006 00:17:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I installed it and the demo immediately timed out.

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:450c91a4\$1@linux...
> http://www.uaudio.com/email/UAD-1_v44.html
>
> AA
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:450c4079@linux...
>> I'm Ghosting my OS on my Cubase machine right now and then I'm gonna
have
>> to
>> install this.....dammit!!!
>>
>>
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:450bda66\$1@linux...
>>> If you aren't already aware of this, UA just released version 4.4.0
>>> software
>>> for their famous 33609 compressor. Looks pretty neat.
>>>
>>>

> >
http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=14804& ;folder=EarthLink+Se
> > rvices.spamBlocker.Suspect+Email&x=1578451007
> >>
> >> Rich
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 22:46:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_001C_01C6DB52.C321A0C0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

DJ,
Have you had a chance to hear it yet?
Tom
"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message =
news:450c945b@linux...

I installed it and the demo immediately timed out.

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
news:450c91a4\$1@linux...

> http://www.uaudio.com/email/UAD-1_v44.html

>

> AA

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:450c4079@linux...

> > I'm Ghosting my OS on my Cubase machine right now and then I'm =
gonna
have

> > to

> > install this.....dammit!!!

> >

> >

> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message

> > news:450bda66\$1@linux...

> >> If you aren't already aware of this, UA just released version =
4.4.0
> > software
> >> for their famous 33609 compressor. Looks pretty neat.
> >>
> >>
> >
=
http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=3D14804&a mp;folder=3DEarth=
Link+Se
> > rvices.spamBlocker.Suspect+Email&x=3D1578451007
> >>
> >> Rich
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_001C_01C6DB52.C321A0C0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
```

```
<HTML><HEAD>
```

```
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
```

```
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
```

```
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
```

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
```

```
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>DJ,</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Have you had a chance to hear it =  
yet?</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
```

```
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =  
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
```

```
<DIV>"DJ" &lt;<A=20
```

```
=
```

```
href=3D"mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net">animix_spam-this-ahole=
```

_@animas.net>=20
wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:450c945b@linux">news:450c945b@linux...</DIV>I =
installed it and=20
the demo immediately timed out.

"Aaron Allen" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude">know-spam@not_here.dude> =
wrote in=20
message
<A=20
href=3D"news:450c91a4\$1@linux">news:450c91a4\$1@linux...
> <A =

=
href=3D"http://www.uaudio.com/email/UAD-1_v44.html">http://www.uaudio.com=
/email/UAD-1_v44.html
>
>=20
AA
>
> "DJ" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net">animix_spam-this-ahole=
_@animas.net>=20
wrote in message
> <A=20
href=3D"news:450c4079@linux">news:450c4079@linux...
> > =
I'm=20
Ghosting my OS on my Cubase machine right now and then I'm=20
gonna
have
> > to
> > install=20
this.....dammit!!!
> >
> >
> > "Rich =
Lamanna"=20
<<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
A>>=20
wrote in message
> > <A=20
href=3D"news:450bda66\$1@linux">news:450bda66\$1@linux...
> =
>> lf=20
you aren't already aware of this, UA just released version =
4.4.0
> >=20
software
> >> for their famous 33609 compressor. Looks =
pretty=20
neat.
> >>
> >>
> >
<A=20
=
href=3D" http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=3D14804&a mp;amp;fo=
lder=3DEarthLink+Se">http://webmail.pas.earthlink.net/wam/msg.jsp?msgid=3D=
14804&folder=3DEarthLink+Se
>=20
> rvices.spamBlocker.Suspect+Email&x=3D1578451007
>=20
>>
> >> Rich
> >>
> =
>>
>=20
>
> >
>
>

</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20

href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_001C_01C6DB52.C321A0C0--

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 02:05:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance to hear it yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours before my temp license timed out prematurely. Sounds nice and fairly close to the real thing. I did a short comparison to my Portico5043. The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very similar way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I hate people

I will get it. I might as well render the real thing.
Gene

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 07:41:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0021_01C6DB9D.8E0553A0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Gene and all others with comments,

Is the Neve 33609 even worth the bother?

I want to use the \$50 coupon on a plug. I'm strongly considering the =
Precision Multiband.
If it sounds as good as it looks and functions as simply as it appears I =

think it could make
a difference in my lower end mastering projects (the only ones I do). =
Now I'm using Paris EQ,=20
NoLimit, Paris Comp, but no real pristine compression. The Waves =
multiband is average at best=20
to my ear. That's all I've got around here in higher end software. =
I've delved into the Waves Ren Comp=20
a few times too. It's okay. The Sakis method is too involved for my =
limited mastering hours. I typically
have to get a CD done in less than four hours to make it worth the =
client's/my while.

For \$200 or less is there a better choice for an easy to use multiband =
comp? Otherwise I might spring for the=20
1073 but I have two Neve pres here in hardware form that I track with =
alot.

Is the UAD 140 way better than the SIR plates? If not I'll pass on that =
too.

I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just got that and like it) with the =
Precision Multiband Comp would be a=20
fine addition to a sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks =
here and there. =20

All opinions welcome!
Tom

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message =
news:450f504d\$1@linux...

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance to hear it yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours before my temp license timed out prematurely. =
Sounds

nice and fairly close to the real thing. I did a short comparison to =
my Portico5043.

The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very =
similar

way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I hate =
people

that describe hardware as creamy :=AC)=20
Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered, I don't =
think
I will get it. I might as well render the real thing.
Gene

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_0021_01C6DB9D.8E0553A0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Gene and all others with =
comments,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the=20
bother?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I want to use the $50 coupon on a =
plug.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
strongly considering the Precision Multiband.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>If it sounds as good as it looks and =
functions as=20
simply as it appears I think it could make</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>a difference in my lower end mastering =
projects=20
(the only ones I do).&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>NoLimit, Paris Comp, </FONT><FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>but no real pristine compression.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
The Waves multiband is =
average at=20
best </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>to my ear.&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
That's all I've got =
around here in=20
higher end software.&nbsp;</FONT><FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>into the=20
Waves Ren Comp </FONT></DIV>
```


<DIV>a few times too. It's okay. =
The Sakis=20
method is too involved for my limited mastering hours. I=20
typically</DIV>
<DIV>have to get a CD done in less than four =
hours to=20
make it worth the client's/my while.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>For \$200 or less is there a better =
choice for=20
an easy to use multiband comp? Otherwise I might spring for the=20
</DIV>
<DIV>1073 but I have two Neve <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>pres here in hardware form that I track with alot.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the UAD 140 way better than the SIR=20
plates? If not I'll pass on that too.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just got =
that and=20
like it) with the Precision Multiband Comp would be a </DIV>
<DIV>fine addition to a <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks here and =
there. =20
</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>All opinions welcome!</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"gene Lennon" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com">glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com&g=
t;=20
wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:450f504d\$1 @linux">news:450f504d\$1 @linux...</DIV>
"Tom=
Bruhl"=20
<arpeggio@comcast.net> =

wrote:
>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance to hear =
it=20
yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours =
before my=20

temp license timed out prematurely. Sounds nice and fairly close to =
the=20
real thing. I did a short comparison to my Portico5043.
The UAD has =
a=20
similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very similar
way, but =
it is=20
missing the creamy sound of the hardware ; - And I hate =
people
that=20
describe hardware as creamy :=AC)
Since the plug requires an =
entire card or=20
it must be rendered, I don't think
I will get it. I might as well =
render=20
the real thing.
Gene
</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html ; ; ;</DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0021_01C6DB9D.8E0553A0--

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 13:35:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>Gene and all others with comments,
>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the bother?
>
>Tom
>

many software tools that I have purchased that I use infrequently or never,
and if I only bought what I really needed, I would only have a fraction of

Gene

Actually, the only way to significantly improve the native sound of Paris
is with improved converters, hardware and clocking. Plugins always seem to

yes, but not many. The Paris compressors are a weakness so any good plugin
will help, but good hardware is still better.

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 15:57:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_005E_01C6DBE2.C58CF900
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Gene,
I have a stereo pair of Distressors and a Demeter VTCL-2 tube comp. I don't think either of these comps are right for stereo bus work at the mastering level. Is your experience with the UAD Mastering series plugs less=20 fruitful than my hardware options? I really don't want to buy a hardware mastering comp.
Tom

"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message = news:450ff20f\$1@linux...

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>Gene and all others with comments,
>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the bother?
>
>Tom
>

If I didn't have the Portico, I might consider it but I am a glutton. =
I have
many software tools that I have purchased that I use infrequently or =
never,
and if I only bought what I really needed, I would only have a =
fraction of
my current tools. Not bragging or proud of this, I'm just a sucker for =
this
shit. I've heard Paris-only tracks that sound great.
I'm on a ten-step program - Right!
Gene
Actually, the only way to significantly improve the native sound of =
Paris
is with improved converters, hardware and clocking. Plugins always =
seem to
move the sound "laterally" to me. Not up the scale of quality. A few =

exceptions,

yes, but not many. The Paris compressors are a weakness so any good =
plugin
will help, but good hardware is still better.

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_005E_01C6DBE2.C58CF900

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
```

```
<HTML><HEAD>
```

```
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =  
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
```

```
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
```

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
```

```
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Gene,</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I have a stereo pair of Distressors and =  
a Demeter=20
```

```
VTCL-2</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>tube comp.&nbsp; I don't think either =  
of these=20
```

```
comps are right</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>for stereo bus work at the mastering =  
level.&nbsp;=20
```

```
Is your</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>experience with the UAD Mastering =  
series plugs less=20
```

```
</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>fruitful than </FONT><FONT face=3DArial =  
size=3D2>my=20
```

```
hardware options?&nbsp; I really don't want to</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>buy a hardware mastering =  
comp.</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

```
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
```

```
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =  
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
```

```
<DIV>"gene lennon" &lt;<A=20
```

=
<mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com>>glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com&g=
t;=20
wrote in message <A=20
=
[news:450ff20f\\$1@linux](news:450ff20f$1@linux)>news:450ff20f\$1@linux...</DIV>
"Tom=
Bruhl"=20
<arpeggio@comcast.net> =

wrote:
>
>Gene and all others with comments,
>Is the =
Neve=20
33609 even worth the bother?
>
>Tom
>

If I =
didn't=20
have the Portico, I might consider it but I am a glutton. I =
have
many=20
software tools that I have purchased that I use infrequently or =
never,
and=20
if I only bought what I really needed, I would only have a fraction =
of
my=20
current tools. Not bragging or proud of this, I'm just a sucker for=20
this
shit. I've heard Paris-only tracks that sound great.
I'm on =
a=20
ten-step program - Right!
Gene
Actually, the only way to =
significantly=20
improve the native sound of Paris
is with improved converters, =
hardware and=20
clocking. Plugins always seem to
move the sound "laterally" to me. =
Not up=20
the scale of quality. A few exceptions,
yes, but not many. The =
Paris=20
compressors are a weakness so any good plugin
will help, but good =
hardware=20
is still better.
</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
[http://www.polesoft.com/refer=](http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html)
[.html](http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html) </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_005E_01C6DBE2.C58CF900--

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [gene Lennon\[3\]](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 18:16:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:
>

>
>Gene,
>I have a stereo pair of Distressors and a Demeter VTCL-2
>tube comp. I don't think either of these comps are right
>for stereo bus work at the mastering level. Is your
>experience with the UAD Mastering series plugs less=20
>fruitful than my hardware options? I really don't want to
>buy a hardware mastering comp.
>Tom
>

if I am sending demos out or occasionally for film work. I do not consider myself a Mastering Engineer (capitalized), even though I do have a few mastering credits. For real album work I send tracks to professional mastering people.

real mastering.

If I did not have the Portico, I would probably pick the UAD 33609 over the UAD Mastering Compressor simply because I have a slight preference for the

The best thing is to try the demos yourself. I do agree that the Distressor

Demeter.

Sony Dynamics and Limiter

The optional limiter for SawStudio (I forget the name)

UAD Fairchild (for effect only)

A step down but usable are:

PSP MasterComp, Voxengo, and Roger Nichols Finis

Gene

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 19 Sep 2006 19:53:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0017_01C6DC03.D1AA9D70
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Gene,

Thanks for your input. My mastering jobs are definitely on the low end scale. If I didn't do it, they'd run disks with no attention to mastering at all. The higher end clients are all going to a select few mastering houses here in BeanTown.=20

I am familiar with the SSL 2 bus comp sound. How does the Neve compare to that would you say? I might have to check out the Neve and Precision and do an A-B test on different style mixes. I also like to run the 2-bus into my Neve pres for 'that sound' .

To refresh your memory, the Demeter is fairly transparent for a tube box =

but doesn't have the speed to really control wild dynamics. It does =
help=20
glue things together though especially on guitar groups or vocal groups.

As always thanks for the tips!

Tom

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote in message =
news:451033f0\$1@linux...

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>
>Gene,
>I have a stereo pair of Distressors and a Demeter VTCL-2
>tube comp. I don't think either of these comps are right
>for stereo bus work at the mastering level. Is your
>experience with the UAD Mastering series plugs less=3D20
>fruitful than my hardware options? I really don't want to
>buy a hardware mastering comp.
>Tom
>

It's not easy for me to answer. In my case I do apply "mastering" to =
tracks

if I am sending demos out or occasionally for film work. I do not =
consider

myself a Mastering Engineer (capitalized), even though I do have a few =
mastering

credits. For real album work I send tracks to professional mastering =
people.

For me the goal is to get the tracks closer to "album sound" but not =
to do

real mastering.=20

If I did not have the Portico, I would probably pick the UAD 33609 =
over the

UAD Mastering Compressor simply because I have a slight preference for =

the

"Neve-ish" sound.

The best thing is to try the demos yourself. I do agree that the =

Distressor

is not a good choice for a mastering comp. It's been years since I =
used a

Demeter.

Other good software compressor/limiters I have used for "mastering" =
include:

Sony Dynamics and Limiter

Massey Limiter (Pro tools only) - Excellent! And cheap.

The optional limiter for SawStudio (I forget the name)

Waves L3 Multimaximizer (gets crunchy but is very "Radio")

UAD Fairchild (for effect only)

A step down but usable are:

PSP MasterComp, Voxengo, and Roger Nichols Finis=20

Gene

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_0017_01C6DC03.D1AA9D70

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
```

```
<HTML><HEAD>
```

```
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html"; =  
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
```

```
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
```

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
```

```
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Gene,</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Thanks for your input.&nbsp; My =  
mastering jobs are=20
```

```
definitely on the</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>low end scale.&nbsp; If I didn't do it, =  
they'd run=20
```

```
disks with no attention</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>to mastering at all.&nbsp; The higher =  
end clients=20
```

```
are all going to a select</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>few&nbsp;mastering houses here in =  
BeanTown.=20
```


</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I am familiar with the SSL 2 bus comp =
sound. </DIV>
How does the Neve</DIV>
<DIV>compare to that would you say? </DIV>
I =
might have=20
to check out the</DIV>
<DIV>Neve and Precision and do an A-B test =
on different=20
style mixes.</DIV>
<DIV>I also like to run the 2-bus into my =
Neve pres for=20
'that sound' .</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>To refresh your memory, the Demeter is =
fairly=20
transparent for a tube box =
</DIV>
<DIV>but doesn't have the speed to really =
control wild=20
dynamics. It does help =
</DIV>
<DIV>glue things together though especially =
on guitar=20
groups or vocal groups.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>As always thanks for the =
tips!</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"gene Lennon" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:glennon@NOSP.com">glennon@NOSP.com> wrote in =
message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:451033f0\$1@linux">news:451033f0\$1@linux...</DIV>
"Tom=
Bruhl"=20
<arpeggio@comcast.net> =

wrote:
>
>
>Gene,
>I have a stereo pair of =
Distressors=20
and a Demeter VTCL-2
>tube comp. </DIV>
I don't think either of =
these=20
comps are right
>for stereo bus work at the mastering =
level. </DIV>
Is=20
your
>experience with the UAD Mastering series plugs=20

less=3D20
>fruitful than my hardware options? I really =
don't want=20
to
>buy a hardware mastering =
comp.
>Tom
>

It's not=20
easy for me to answer. In my case I do apply "mastering" to =
tracks
if I am=20
sending demos out or occasionally for film work. I do not =
consider
myself a=20
Mastering Engineer (capitalized), even though I do have a few=20
mastering
credits. For real album work I send tracks to =
professional=20
mastering people.
For me the goal is to get the tracks closer to =
"album=20
sound" but not to do
real mastering.
If I did not have the =
Portico, I=20
would probably pick the UAD 33609 over the
UAD Mastering Compressor =
simply=20
because I have a slight preference for the
"Neve-ish" sound.
The =
best=20
thing is to try the demos yourself. I do agree that the =
Distressor
is not a=20
good choice for a mastering comp. It's been years since I used=20
a
Demeter.

Other good software compressor/limiters I have =
used for=20
"mastering" include:
Sony Dynamics and Limiter
Massey Limiter =
(Pro tools=20
only) - Excellent! And cheap.
The optional limiter for SawStudio (I =
forget=20
the name)
Waves L3 Multimaximizer (gets crunchy but is very =
"Radio")
UAD=20
Fairchild (for effect only)

A step down but usable are:
PSP=20
MasterComp, Voxengo, and Roger Nichols Finis
Gene</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0017_01C6DC03.D1AA9D70--

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 01:02:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0042_01C6DC26.7F76D500

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ is a little, er... tubby sounding in =
mastering?

AA

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:450f9d86@linux...

Gene and all others with comments,

Is the Neve 33609 even worth the bother?

I want to use the \$50 coupon on a plug. I'm strongly considering the =
Precision Multiband.

If it sounds as good as it looks and functions as simply as it appears =
I think it could make

a difference in my lower end mastering projects (the only ones I do). =
Now I'm using Paris EQ,=20

NoLimit, Paris Comp, but no real pristine compression. The Waves =
multiband is average at best=20

to my ear. That's all I've got around here in higher end software. =
I've delved into the Waves Ren Comp=20

a few times too. It's okay. The Sakis method is too involved for my =
limited mastering hours. I typically

have to get a CD done in less than four hours to make it worth the =
client's/my while.

For \$200 or less is there a better choice for an easy to use multiband =
comp? Otherwise I might spring for the=20

1073 but I have two Neve pres here in hardware form that I track with =
alot.

Is the UAD 140 way better than the SIR plates? If not I'll pass on =
that too.

I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just got that and like it) with the =
Precision Multiband Comp would be a=20

fine addition to a sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks =
here and there. =20

All opinions welcome!

Tom

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message =
news:450f504d\$1@linux...

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance to hear it yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours before my temp license timed out =
prematurely. Sounds

nice and fairly close to the real thing. I did a short comparison to =
my Portico5043.

The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very =
similar

way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I =
hate people

that describe hardware as creamy :=AC)=20

Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered, I =
don't think

I will get it. I might as well render the real thing.

Gene

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_0042_01C6DC26.7F76D500

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
```

```
<HTML><HEAD>
```

```
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =  
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
```

```
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.5296.0" name=3DGENERATOR>
```

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
```

```
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ is a =  
little,=20
```

```
er... tubby sounding in mastering?</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>AA</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
```

```
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =  
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
```

<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote =
in message=20
news:450f9d86@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Gene and all others with =
comments,</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the=20
bother?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I want to use the \$50 coupon on a =
plug. </DIV>
strongly considering the Precision Multiband.</DIV>
<DIV>If it sounds as good as it looks and =
functions as=20
simply as it appears I think it could make</DIV>
<DIV>a difference in my lower end =
mastering projects=20
(the only ones I do). </DIV>
<DIV>NoLimit, Paris Comp, <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>but no real pristine compression. </DIV>
is average=20
at best </DIV>
<DIV>to my ear. </DIV>
around here=20
in higher end software. <FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>into=20
the Waves Ren Comp </DIV>
<DIV>a few times too. </DIV>
okay. </DIV>
The Sakis=20
method is too involved for my limited mastering hours. </DIV>
typically</DIV>
<DIV>have to get a CD done in less than =
four hours to=20
make it worth the client's/my while.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>For \$200 or less </DIV>
better choice=20
for an easy to use multiband comp? </DIV>
Otherwise I might spring for =
the=20
</DIV>
<DIV>1073 but I have two Neve <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>pres here in hardware form that I track with =
alot.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the UAD 140 way better than the =

SIR=20

plates? If not I'll pass on that too.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just =
got that and=20
like it) with the Precision Multiband Comp would be a </DIV>
<DIV>fine addition to a <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks here and=20
there. </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>All opinions welcome!</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"gene Lennon" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com">glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com&g=
t;=20
wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:450f504d\$1@linux">news:450f504d\$1@linux...</DIV>
"Tom=
=20
Bruhl" <<A =
href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net>=20
wrote:
>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance to =
hear it=20
yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours =
before=20
my temp license timed out prematurely. Sounds
nice and fairly =
close to=20
the real thing. I did a short comparison to my Portico5043.
The =
UAD has a=20
similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very similar
way, =
but it is=20
missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I hate =
people
that=20
describe hardware as creamy :=AC)
Since the plug requires an =
entire card=20
or it must be rendered, I don't think
I will get it. I might as =
well=20
render the real thing.
Gene
</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
=
=

multiband is average at best=20

to my ear. That's all I've got around here in higher end software. =
I've delved into the Waves Ren Comp=20

a few times too. It's okay. The Sakis method is too involved for =
my limited mastering hours. I typically

have to get a CD done in less than four hours to make it worth the =
client's/my while.

For \$200 or less is there a better choice for an easy to use =
multiband comp? Otherwise I might spring for the=20

1073 but I have two Neve pres here in hardware form that I track =
with alot.

Is the UAD 140 way better than the SIR plates? If not I'll pass on =
that too.

I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just got that and like it) with the =
Precision Multiband Comp would be a=20

fine addition to a sweeter sounding master and even individual =
tracks here and there. =20

All opinions welcome!

Tom

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message =
news:450f504d\$1@linux...

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>

>DJ,

>Have you had a chance to hear it yet?

>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours before my temp license timed out =
prematurely. Sounds

nice and fairly close to the real thing. I did a short comparison =
to my Portico5043.

The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very =
similar

way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I =
hate people

that describe hardware as creamy :=AC)=20

Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered, I =
don't think

I will get it. I might as well render the real thing.

Gene

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_0048_01C6DC63.15381DB0

Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html"; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Aaron,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tubby big time in the low end.&nbsp; I =
think I'm=20
going to like the</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Cambridge possibly in conjunction with =
the Neve=20
33609.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The Cambridge seems to have an even =
nicer top end=20
than </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Paris.&nbsp; More transparent but still =
retains=20
character to my ear.&nbsp; </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I think the low end will </FONT><FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>be better in a fine tuning way&nbsp;than the </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Paris eq also.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>It's the Neve comp that I know nothing=20
about.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Aaron Allen" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude">know-spam@not_here.dude</A>&gt; =
```

wrote in=20
message <A =
href=3D"news:4510915d@linux">news:4510915d@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ is =
a little,=20
er... tubby sounding in mastering?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>AA</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpegio@comcast.net">arpegio@comcast.net> =

wrote in=20
message <A =
href=3D"news:450f9d86@linux">news:450f9d86@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Gene and all others with =
comments,</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the=20
bother?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I want to use the \$50 coupon on a =
plug. </DIV>
I'm strongly considering the Precision Multiband.</DIV>
<DIV>If it sounds as good as it looks =
and functions=20
as simply as it appears I think it could make</DIV>
<DIV>a difference in my lower end =
mastering projects=20
(the only ones I do). </DIV>
<DIV>NoLimit, Paris Comp, <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>but no real pristine compression. </DIV>
is=20
average at best </DIV>
<DIV>to my ear. </DIV>
got around=20
here in higher end software. <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>into the Waves Ren Comp </DIV>
<DIV>a few times too. </DIV>
okay. </DIV>
The=20
Sakis method is too involved for my limited mastering hours. </DIV>
I =

typically</DIV>
<DIV>have to get a CD done in less than =
four hours=20

to make it worth the client's/my while.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>For \$200 or less is there a =
better choice=20
for an easy to use multiband comp? Otherwise I might spring =
for the=20
</DIV>
<DIV>1073 but I have two Neve =
<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>pres here in hardware form that I track with=20
alot.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the UAD 140 way better than the =
SIR=20
plates? If not I'll pass on that too.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just =
got that=20
and like it) with the Precision Multiband Comp would be a =
</DIV>
<DIV>fine addition to a <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks here and =

there. </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>All opinions welcome!</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"gene Lennon" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com">glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com&g=
t;=20
wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:450f504d\$1 @linux">news:450f504d\$1 @linux...</DIV>
"Tom=
=20
Bruhl" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpegio@comcast.net">arpegio@comcast.net>=20
wrote:
>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance to =
hear it=20
yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few =
hours=20
before my temp license timed out prematurely. Sounds
nice and =
fairly=20

close to the real thing. I did a short comparison to my=20
Portico5043.
The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the =
dynamics in=20
a very similar
way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the=20
hardware - And I hate people
that describe hardware as =
creamy :=AC)=20

Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered, =
I don't=20
think
I will get it. I might as well render the real=20
thing.
Gene
</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight =
spam, and=20
you?
<A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html </DIV></BLOCKQUOTE ></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY>=
</HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0048_01C6DC63.15381DB0--

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [animix](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 17:15:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_004E_01C6DCA6.21483520

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The UAD-1 precision EQ is a very nice mastering tool, but you've gotta =
feel comfortable with twisting knobs rather than using a graphic =
interface.

Deej

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:4510e8de@linux...

Aaron,

Tubby big time in the low end. I think I'm going to like the
Cambridge possibly in conjunction with the Neve 33609.

The Cambridge seems to have an even nicer top end than=20
Paris. More transparent but still retains character to my ear. =20
I think the low end will be better in a fine tuning way than the=20
Paris eq also.

It's the Neve comp that I know nothing about.

Tom

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message =
news:4510915d@linux...

Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ is a little, er... tubby sounding =
in mastering?

AA

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
news:450f9d86@linux...

Gene and all others with comments,

Is the Neve 33609 even worth the bother?

I want to use the \$50 coupon on a plug. I'm strongly considering =
the Precision Multiband.

If it sounds as good as it looks and functions as simply as it =
appears I think it could make

a difference in my lower end mastering projects (the only ones I =
do). Now I'm using Paris EQ,=20

NoLimit, Paris Comp, but no real pristine compression. The Waves =
multiband is average at best=20

to my ear. That's all I've got around here in higher end =
software. I've delved into the Waves Ren Comp=20

a few times too. It's okay. The Sakis method is too involved for =
my limited mastering hours. I typically

have to get a CD done in less than four hours to make it worth the =
client's/my while.

For \$200 or less is there a better choice for an easy to use =
multiband comp? Otherwise I might spring for the=20

1073 but I have two Neve pres here in hardware form that I track =
with alot.

Is the UAD 140 way better than the SIR plates? If not I'll pass =
on that too.

I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just got that and like it) with the =
Precision Multiband Comp would be a=20

fine addition to a sweeter sounding master and even individual =
tracks here and there. =20

All opinions welcome!

Tom

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message =
news:450f504d\$1@linux...

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>

>DJ,

>Have you had a chance to hear it yet?

>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a few hours before my temp license timed out =
prematurely. Sounds

nice and fairly close to the real thing. I did a short =
comparison to my Portico5043.

The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very =
similar

way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I =
hate people

that describe hardware as creamy :=AC)=20

Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered, I =
don't think

I will get it. I might as well render the real thing.

Gene

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_004E_01C6DCA6.21483520

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
```

```
<HTML><HEAD>
```

```
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =  
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
```

```
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1106" name=3DGENERATOR>
```

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
```

```
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The UAD-1 precision EQ is a very nice =  
mastering=20
```

```
tool, but you've gotta feel comfortable with twisting knobs rather than =  
using a=20
```

```
graphic interface.</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Deej</FONT></DIV>
```

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; = BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20 href=3D"mailto:arpegio@comcast.net">arpegio@comcast.net> wrote = in message=20
news:4510e8de@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Aaron,</DIV>
<DIV>Tubby big time in the low end. = I think I'm=20
going to like the</DIV>
<DIV>Cambridge possibly in conjunction = with the Neve=20
33609.</DIV>
<DIV>The Cambridge seems to have an even = nicer top end=20
than </DIV>
<DIV>Paris. More transparent but = still retains=20
character to my ear. </DIV>
<DIV>I think the low end will <FONT = face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>be better in a fine tuning way than the </DIV>
<DIV>Paris eq also.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>It's the Neve comp that I know = nothing=20
about.</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; = BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Aaron Allen" <<A=20 href=3D"mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude">know-spam@not_here.dude> = wrote=20
in message <A = href=3D"news:4510915d@linux">news:4510915d@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ = is a little,=20
er... tubby sounding in mastering?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>AA</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; = BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> =
wrote in=20
message <A =
href=3D"news:450f9d86@linux">news:450f9d86@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Gene and all others with=20
comments,</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the=20
bother?</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I want to use the \$50 coupon on a =
plug. </DIV>
I'm strongly considering the Precision Multiband.</DIV>
<DIV>If it sounds as good as it looks =
and=20
functions as simply as it appears I think it could =
make</DIV>
<DIV>a difference in my lower end =
mastering=20
projects (the only ones I do). </DIV>
<DIV>NoLimit, Paris Comp, <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>but no real pristine compression. </DIV>
multiband is=20
average at best </DIV>
<DIV>to my ear. </DIV>
got around=20
here in higher end software. <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>into the Waves Ren Comp </DIV>
<DIV>a few times too. </DIV>
okay. </DIV>
Sakis method is too involved for my limited mastering hours. =
I=20
typically</DIV>
<DIV>have to get a CD done in less =
than four hours=20
to make it worth the client's/my while.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>For \$200 or less </DIV>
better=20
choice for an easy to use multiband comp? </DIV>
spring=20
for the </DIV>
<DIV>1073 but I have two Neve =
<FONT=20

face=3DArial size=3D2>pres here in hardware form that I track with =
alot.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Is the UAD 140 way better than =
the SIR=20
plates? </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ =
(just got that=20
and like it) with the Precision Multiband Comp would be a =
</DIV>
<DIV>fine addition to a <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks here =
and=20
there. </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>All opinions =
welcome!</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"gene Lennon" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com">glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com&g=
t;=20
wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:450f504d\$1@linux">news:450f504d\$1@linux...</DIV>
"Tom=
=20
Bruhl" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net>=20
wrote:
>
>
>DJ,
>Have you had a chance =
to hear=20
it yet?
>Tom

Not DJ but...

I had it for a =
few hours=20
before my temp license timed out prematurely. Sounds
nice and =
fairly=20
close to the real thing. I did a short comparison to my=20
Portico5043.
The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the =
dynamics=20
in a very similar
way, but it is missing the creamy sound of =
the=20
hardware </DIV> - And I hate people
that describe hardware as =
creamy=20

:=AC)
Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be =
 rendered, I=20
 don't think
I will get it. I might as well render the real=20
 thing.
Gene
</BLOCKQUOTE>
 <DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight =
 spam, and=20
 you?
<A=20
 =
 href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
 ..html </DIV></BLOCKQUOTE ></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCK=
 QUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_004E_01C6DCA6.21483520--

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 20:46:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Tom! You might want to look in to the iZotope 64-bit Studio Processing Bundle for mastering. I think it goes for around \$350.00 street. I've never used it but I have heard good things about it.

http://www.m-audio.com/products/en_us/iZotope64bitStudioProcessingBundle-main.html

James

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>
 >
 >Aaron,
 >Tubby big time in the low end. I think I'm going to like the
 >Cambridge possibly in conjunction with the Neve 33609.
 >The Cambridge seems to have an even nicer top end than=20
 >Paris. More transparent but still retains character to my ear. =20
 >I think the low end will be better in a fine tuning way than the=20
 >Paris eq also.
 >
 >It's the Neve comp that I know nothing about.
 >Tom
 >
 >
 > "Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote in message =
 >news:4510915d@linux...
 > Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ is a little, er... tubby sounding =
 >in mastering?
 >
 > AA

> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote in message =
>news:450f9d86@linux...
> Gene and all others with comments,
>
> Is the Neve 33609 even worth the bother?
>
> I want to use the \$50 coupon on a plug. I'm strongly considering =
>the Precision Multiband.
> If it sounds as good as it looks and functions as simply as it =
>appears I think it could make
> a difference in my lower end mastering projects (the only ones I =
>do). Now I'm using Paris EQ,=20
> NoLimit, Paris Comp, but no real pristine compression. The Waves =
>multiband is average at best=20
> to my ear. That's all I've got around here in higher end software.
=
>I've delved into the Waves Ren Comp=20
> a few times too. It's okay. The Sakis method is too involved for =
>my limited mastering hours. I typically
> have to get a CD done in less than four hours to make it worth the =
>client's/my while.
>
> For \$200 or less is there a better choice for an easy to use =
>multiband comp? Otherwise I might spring for the=20
> 1073 but I have two Neve pres here in hardware form that I track =
>with alot.
>
> Is the UAD 140 way better than the SIR plates? If not I'll pass on
=
>that too.
>
> I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just got that and like it) with the =
>Precision Multiband Comp would be a=20
> fine addition to a sweeter sounding master and even individual =
>tracks here and there. =20
>
> All opinions welcome!
> Tom
>
> "gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message =
>news:450f504d\$1@linux...
>
> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >DJ,
> >Have you had a chance to hear it yet?
> >Tom

>
> Not DJ but...
>
> I had it for a few hours before my temp license timed out =
>prematurely. Sounds
> nice and fairly close to the real thing. I did a short comparison
=
>to my Portico5043.
> The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the dynamics in a very =
>similar
> way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the hardware - And I =
>hate people
> that describe hardware as creamy :=AC)=20
> Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered, I =
>don't think
> I will get it. I might as well render the real thing.
> Gene
>
>
>
> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV>Aaron,</DIV>

>think I'm=20
>going to like the</DIV>
><DIV>Cambridge possibly in conjunction with
=
>the Neve=20
>33609.</DIV>
><DIV>The Cambridge seems to have an even =
>nicer top end=20
>than </DIV>

>retains=20

><DIV>I think the low end will <FONT =
>face=3DArial=20

><DIV>Paris eq also.</DIV>

><DIV>It's the Neve comp that I know nothing=20
>about.</DIV>

><DIV>Tom</DIV>

><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

> <DIV>"Aaron Allen" <<A=20

> =

>href=3D"mailto:know-spam@not_here.dude">know-spam@not_here.dude> =

>wrote in=20

> message <A =

>href=3D"news:4510915d@linux">news:4510915d@linux...</DIV>

> <DIV>Tom, do you find that the Paris EQ is

=

>a little,=20

> er... tubby sounding in mastering?</DIV>

> <DIV>AA</DIV>

> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

> <DIV>"Tom Bruhl" <<A=20

> href=3D"mailto:arpeggio@comcast.net">arpeggio@comcast.net> =

>wrote in=20

> message <A =

>href=3D"news:450f9d86@linux">news:450f9d86@linux...</DIV>

> <DIV>Gene and all others with =

>comments,</DIV>

> <DIV>Is the Neve 33609 even worth the=20

> bother?</DIV>

> <DIV>I want to use the \$50 coupon on a =

> I'm strongly considering the Precision Multiband.</DIV>

> <DIV>If it sounds as good as it looks =

>and functions=20

> as simply as it appears I think it could make</DIV>

> <DIV>a difference in my lower end =

>mastering projects=20

> <DIV>NoLimit, Paris Comp, <FONT =
>face=3DArial=20

>is=20
> average at best </DIV>

>got around=20

>face=3DArial=20
> size=3D2>into the Waves Ren Comp </DIV>

>
> typically</DIV>
> <DIV>have to get a CD done in less than
=
>four hours=20
> to make it worth the client's/my while.</DIV>

>better choice=20

>for the=20
> </DIV>
> <DIV>1073 but I have two Neve =
><FONT=20
> face=3DArial size=3D2>pres here in hardware form that I track with=20
> alot.</DIV>

> <DIV>Is the UAD 140 way better than the
=
>SIR=20

> <DIV>I'm thinking the Cambridge EQ (just
=
>got that=20
> and like it) with the Precision Multiband Comp would be a =
></DIV>
> <DIV>fine addition to a <FONT =
>face=3DArial=20
> size=3D2>sweeter sounding master and even individual tracks here and
=
>

> <DIV>All opinions welcome!</DIV>
> <DIV>Tom</DIV>

> <BLOCKQUOTE=20

```
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"gene Lennon" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com">glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com</A>&g=
>t;=20
> wrote in message <A=20
> =
>href=3D"news:450f504d$1 @linux">news:450f504d$1 @linux</A>...</DIV><BR>"Tom=
>=20
> Bruhl" <<A=20
> href=3D"mailto:arpegio@comcast.net">arpegio@comcast.net</A>>=20
> wrote:<BR>><BR>><BR>>DJ,<BR>>Have you had a chance to =
>hear it=20
> yet?<BR>>Tom<BR><BR>Not DJ but...<BR><BR>I had it for a few =
>hours=20
> before my temp license timed out prematurely. Sounds<BR>nice and =
>fairly=20
> close to the real thing. I did a short comparison to my=20
> Portico5043.<BR>The UAD has a similar flavor and controls the =
>dynamics in=20
> a very similar<BR>way, but it is missing the creamy sound of the=20
>creamy :=AC)=20
> <BR>Since the plug requires an entire card or it must be rendered,
=
>I don't=20
> think<BR>I will get it. I might as well render the real=20
> thing.<BR>Gene<BR></BLOCKQUOTE>
> <DIV><FONT size=3D2><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight =
>spam, and=20
> you?<BR><A=20
> =
>href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
></HTML>
>
>
```

Subject: Re: Neve 33609 Compressor for UAD
Posted by [animix](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 16:43:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just tried the 33609 comp. It's nice and very
analog/hardware'ish/colored but I don't think I'll die without it.....but
then again, I've got 14 days to fool around with it now so who knows?

;o)

"gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSP.com> wrote in message news:451033f0\$1@linux...

>

> "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

> >

> >

> >Gene,

> >I have a stereo pair of Distressors and a Demeter VTCL-2

> >tube comp. I don't think either of these comps are right

> >for stereo bus work at the mastering level. Is your

> >experience with the UAD Mastering series plugs less=20

> >fruitful than my hardware options? I really don't want to

> >buy a hardware mastering comp.

> >Tom

> >

>

> It's not easy for me to answer. In my case I do apply "mastering" to tracks

> if I am sending demos out or occasionally for film work. I do not consider

> myself a Mastering Engineer (capitalized), even though I do have a few mastering

> credits. For real album work I send tracks to professional mastering people.

> For me the goal is to get the tracks closer to "album sound" but not to do real mastering.

> If I did not have the Portico, I would probably pick the UAD 33609 over the

> UAD Mastering Compressor simply because I have a slight preference for the "Neve-ish" sound.

> The best thing is to try the demos yourself. I do agree that the Distressor

> is not a good choice for a mastering comp. It's been years since I used a Demeter.

>

> Other good software compressor/limiters I have used for "mastering" include:

> Sony Dynamics and Limiter

> Massey Limiter (Pro tools only) - Excellent! And cheap.

> The optional limiter for SawStudio (I forget the name)

> Waves L3 Multimaximizer (gets crunchy but is very "Radio")

> UAD Fairchild (for effect only)

>

> A step down but usable are:

> PSP MasterComp, Voxengo, and Roger Nichols Finis

> Gene