
Subject: China

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 19:59:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense in supporting this government in any way.

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 21:02:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese people the most, anyway.

The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication and as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a metamorphose of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution rather than a bloody revolution.

Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in this world." (add Bogart lisp)

I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people, the government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom. Freedom appeals to everybody.

James McCloskey wrote:

> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense in
> supporting this government in any way.

>

> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>

> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 21:11:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh!!!.....you want the Olympics??? Suuuuurrrrrreeee!!!!!!.....we'll give

you the Olympics!! While you're building those stadiums, be sure to build a soapbox big enough to accommodate every dissident in the country and don't forget to "supersize" the pressbox.

;o)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47dd6e18@linux...

>

> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

> in

> supporting this government in any way.

>

> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>

> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 21:17:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47dd9040\$1@linux...

>

> Great idea, James. I'm sure the Chinese would kowtow to your boycott and

> wouldn't

> dump a trillion dollars of currency reserves in response.

>

> Globalization cuts both ways. We have two groups holding more than a

> trillion

> dollars in reserve, the Saudis and the Chinese. If we screw them we screw

> ourselves. What's more important to you, Tibet or Illinois? That's the

> hard

> reality.

>

> TCB

>

We could trade 'em Tiawan.....wait!!!.....that would be like saying saying to a robber whose got you at gunpoint....."OK take my wallet.....but you can't have my pocket change".

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [TCB](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 22:25:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Great idea, James. I'm sure the Chinese would kowtow to your boycott and wouldn't dump a trillion dollars of currency reserves in response.

Globalization cuts both ways. We have two groups holding more than a trillion dollars in reserve, the Saudis and the Chinese. If we screw them we screw ourselves. What's more important to you, Tibet or Illinois? That's the hard reality.

TCB

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense in

>supporting this government in any way.

>

><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>

><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 23:02:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The Tibetans have tried to talk about freedom, they were burned to death.

I guess freedom always comes at a price. The Chinese people must have the will to change their government from the inside, talk from us won't work, but we can do something and that is boycott their products. We as a people are going in to debt with China, we are handing them power over us. Meanwhile our standard of living is going down hill. It's great that you can buy a two dollar rubber hammer from China and we'll pay four dollars a gallon of gas because China is using so much oil to make things like rubber hammers and ship them across the sea.

They are killing their own people. We are empowering them financially by buying there products and handing them technical expertise. We embolden them by not standing up to them on human rights and the theft of our defense and military secrets, not to mention their constant opposition the the US and their interference in world matters.

China has publicly announced a 35 year plan to take over the world. The Chinese and the Russians are building super weapons to defeat the US, and they are currently doing military exercises together right now. They are supporting and supplying the weapons and technology that is causing the US problems around the world, this is to weaken us. They have their plans for us.

We shouldn't hand them the wealth and the power by buying their products, let them sit on the shelves. One way to turn the tide is for americans to vote with their dollars. We don't need to support a repressive communist government with american dollars, and that is exactly what we are doing by buying Chinese products. A boycott is long over due!

Talking has never worked with communist governments.

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>people the most, anyway.

>

>The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>and as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>metamorphose of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful

>evolution rather than a bloody revolution.

>

>Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
>this world." (add Bogart lisp)

>

>I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>the government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.

>Freedom appeals to everybody.

>

>James McCloskey wrote:

>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
in

>> supporting this government in any way.

>>

>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>>

>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 16 Mar 2008 23:41:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

. . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted to comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as a single square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.

Sarah

Cynical former organizer of product boycotts

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...

>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese

>people the most, anyway.

>

> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication and

> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a metamorphose

> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution

> rather than a bloody revolution.

>

> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And

> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in this

> world." (add Bogart lisp)

>

> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest

> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people, the

> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.

> Freedom appeals to everybody.

>

> James McCloskey wrote:

>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>> in

>> supporting this government in any way.

>>

>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>>

>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 00:30:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Umm...

Boycotting can be used as a threat / punishment when the boycotter is larger than the boycottee. Once a boycott is in place the impact is on both sides, so unless the boycotter is large enough that the boycott impacts their target more than themselves...?

Given China has a larger economy than the U.S. now, and given most of their trading is within Asia and Europe, having the U.S.A. boycott Chinese trade would be absolutely identical to simply asking China to boycott trade with you. They're bigger. You'd only hurt yourselves. It would be like Iraq boycotting trade with the U.S... you'd be like "Umm, who cares? You're just hurting yourselves".

Sucks not to be the biggest eh...?

Cheers,
Kim.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
in
>supporting this government in any way.
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [JeffH](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 05:59:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TCB wrote:

> So James, does your concern for political oppression and the sanctity of civilian
> life extend to Iraq and Afghanistan? Or is it only when China kills civilians
> that it matters?

How about Gaza? Should we boycott Israeli software?

Thad,

I'm a little confused. Is Tibet hurling rockets at civilian targets in
China, or is this parallel not so...well parallel?

Jeff

What

> about Burma? They're right and true bastards and the Chinese stick up for
> them or they wouldn't get away with it quite as easily.
>
> It's a long list, and the US does business with most of them,
>
> TCB
>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>The Tibetans have tried to talk about freedom, they were burned to death.

>>I guess freedom always comes at a price. The Chinese people must have
>
> the
>
>>will to change their government from the inside, talk from us won't work,
>>but we can do something and that is boycott their products. We as a people
>>are going in to debt with China, we are handing them power over us. Meanwhile
>>our standard of living is going down hill. It's great that you can buy
>
> a
>
>>two dollar rubber hammer from China and we'll pay four dollars a gallon
>
> of
>
>>gas because China is using so much oil to make things like rubber hammers
>>and ship them across the sea.
>>
>>They are killing their own people. We are empowering them financially by
>>buying there products and handing them technical expertise. We embolden
>>them by not standing up to them on human rights and the theft of our defense
>>and military secrets, not to mention their constant opposition the the US
>>and their interference in world matters.
>>
>>China has publicly announced a 35 year plan to take over the world. The
>>Chinese and the Russians are building super weapons to defeat the US, and
>>they are currently doing military exercises together right now. They are
>>supporting and supplying the weapons and technology that is causing the
>
> US
>
>>problems around the world, this is to weaken us. They have their plans
>
> for
>
>>us.
>>
>>We shouldn't hand them the wealth and the power by buying their products,
>>let them sit on the shelves. One way to turn the tide is for americans
>
> to
>
>>vote with their dollars. We don't need to support a repressive communist
>>government with american dollars, and that is exactly what we are doing
>
> by
>
>>buying Chinese products. A boycott is long over due!

>>
>>Talking has never worked with communist governments.
>>
>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>>>people the most, anyway.
>>>
>>>The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>>>and as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>>>metamorphose of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful
>>
>>>evolution rather than a bloody revolution.
>>>
>>>Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>>realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
>>>this world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>>
>>>I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>>>finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>
>
>>>the government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>>
>>>Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>
>>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>>
>>>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>
>>in
>>
>>>>supporting this government in any way.
>>>>
>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>
>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 06:08:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47de029b\$1@linux...
>
> You know, of all of the (to my mind) really odd decisions the US has made

> about foreign policy Taiwan might be the weirdest. I can sort of see it in
> a cold war context, but I can't think of a reason why a single US team,
> much
> less drop of blood, should be shed for Taiwan today. Maybe it's for
> strategic
> OEM memory supply or something.
>
> TCB
>

Don't they have a monopoly on the manufacture of RAM Kai Chek?

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 06:17:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47ddad7f\$1@linux...

>
>
> Umm...
>
> Boycotting can be used as a threat / punishment when the boycotter is
> larger
> than the boycottee. Once a boycott is in place the impact is on both
> sides,
> so unless the boycotter is large enough that the boycott impacts their
> target
> more than themselves...?
>
> Given China has a larger economy than the U.S. now, and given most of
> their
> trading is within Asia and Europe, having the U.S.A. boycott Chinese trade
> would be absolutely identical to simply asking China to boycott trade with
> you. They're bigger. You'd only hurt yourselves. It would be like Iraq
> boycotting
> trade with the U.S... you'd be like "Umm, who cares? You're just hurting
> yourselves".
>
> Sucks not to be the biggest eh...?
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.
>

Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 06:33:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know, of all of the (to my mind) really odd decisions the US has made about foreign policy Taiwan might be the weirdest. I can sort of see it in a cold war context, but I can't think of a reason why a single US team, much less drop of blood, should be shed for Taiwan today. Maybe it's for strategic OEM memory supply or something.

TCB

"Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:47dd9040\$1@linux...

>>

>> Great idea, James. I'm sure the Chinese would kowtow to your boycott and

>> wouldn't

>> dump a trillion dollars of currency reserves in response.

>>

>> Globalization cuts both ways. We have two groups holding more than a

>> trillion

>> dollars in reserve, the Saudis and the Chinese. If we screw them we screw

>> ourselves. What's more important to you, Tibet or Illinois? That's the

>> hard

>> reality.

>>

>> TCB

>>

>

>We could trade 'em Tiawan.....wait!!!.....that would be like saying

>saying to a robber whose got you at gunpoint....."OK take my

>wallet.....but you can't have my pocket change".

>

>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 06:37:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So James, does your concern for political oppression and the sanctity of civilian life extend to Iraq and Afghanistan? Or is it only when China kills civilians that it matters? How about Gaza? Should we boycott Israeli software? What about Burma? They're right and true bastards and the Chinese stick up for

them or they wouldn't get away with it quite as easily.

It's a long list, and the US does business with most of them,

TCB

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>The Tibetans have tried to talk about freedom, they were burned to death.

> I guess freedom always comes at a price. The Chinese people must have the

>will to change their government from the inside, talk from us won't work,

>but we can do something and that is boycott their products. We as a people

>are going in to debt with China, we are handing them power over us. Meanwhile

>our standard of living is going down hill. It's great that you can buy

a

>two dollar rubber hammer from China and we'll pay four dollars a gallon

of

>gas because China is using so much oil to make things like rubber hammers

>and ship them across the sea.

>

>They are killing their own people. We are empowering them financially by

>buying there products and handing them technical expertise. We embolden

>them by not standing up to them on human rights and the theft of our defense

>and military secrets, not to mention their constant opposition the the US

>and their interference in world matters.

>

>China has publicly announced a 35 year plan to take over the world. The

>Chinese and the Russians are building super weapons to defeat the US, and

>they are currently doing military exercises together right now. They are

>supporting and supplying the weapons and technology that is causing the

US

>problems around the world, this is to weaken us. They have their plans

for

>us.

>

>We shouldn't hand them the wealth and the power by buying their products,

>let them sit on the shelves. One way to turn the tide is for americans

to

>vote with their dollars. We don't need to support a repressive communist

>government with american dollars, and that is exactly what we are doing

by

>buying Chinese products. A boycott is long over due!

>

>Talking has never worked with communist governments.

>

>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese

>>people the most, anyway.
>>
>>The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>>and as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>>metamorphose of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful
>
>>evolution rather than a bloody revolution.
>>
>>Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
>>this world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>
>>I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>>finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,

>>the government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>
>>Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>
>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>in
>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 07:29:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

China views Tibet as a serious threat to its national safety, rightly or wrongly. Historically China's primary concern has not been invasion from without but disintegration from within. So while the Tibetans might not have rockets they are being mistreated because China views them as a threat to their security, just like Israel views Gazans as a threat to their security.

I don't think that it's right for rockets to be fired on Israeli citizens. But you agree that a fair number of those killed and maimed in Gaza are non-rocket-firing civilians, yes? Are their lives somehow less valuable than the lives of Tibetans? Or Latvians, or Sudanese, or Burmese? The Burma thing might not get a lot of ink in the west, but it's pretty front and center here.

My point was only that American moral outrage seems to be applied with a fair degree of randomness, or maybe a fair degree of self serving intent.

China is a current whipping boy, but I'm old enough to remember when Nicaragua was the beachhead of the red menace in our hemisphere. It just gets old after a while. If someone wants to make the world a better place volunteer or donate to an effective charity, there are plenty of causes that could use some help without a bunch of boycott nonsense.

TCB

Jeff H <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>TCB wrote:

>> So James, does your concern for political oppression and the sanctity of civilian

>> life extend to Iraq and Afghanistan? Or is it only when China kills civilians

>> that it matters?

>

>

>How about Gaza? Should we boycott Israeli software?

>

>Thad,

>

>I'm a little confused. Is Tibet hurling rockets at civilian targets in

>China, or is this parallel not so...well parallel?

>

>Jeff

>

>

>What

>> about Burma? They're right and true bastards and the Chinese stick up for

>> them or they wouldn't get away with it quite as easily.

>>

>> It's a long list, and the US does business with most of them,

>>

>> TCB

>>

>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>>The Tibetans have tried to talk about freedom, they were burned to death.

>>>I guess freedom always comes at a price. The Chinese people must have

>>

>> the

>>

>>>will to change their government from the inside, talk from us won't work,

>>>but we can do something and that is boycott their products. We as a people

>>>are going in to debt with China, we are handing them power over us. Meanwhile

>>>our standard of living is going down hill. It's great that you can buy

>>

>> a
>>
>>>two dollar rubber hammer from China and we'll pay four dollars a gallon
>>
>> of
>>
>>>gas because China is using so much oil to make things like rubber hammers
>>>and ship them across the sea.
>>>
>>>They are killing their own people. We are empowering them financially
by
>>>buying there products and handing them technical expertise. We embolden
>>>them by not standing up to them on human rights and the theft of our defense
>>>and military secrets, not to mention their constant opposition the the
US
>>>and their interference in world matters.
>>>
>>>China has publicly announced a 35 year plan to take over the world. The
>>>Chinese and the Russians are building super weapons to defeat the US,
and
>>>they are currently doing military exercises together right now. They
are
>>>supporting and supplying the weapons and technology that is causing the
>>
>> US
>>
>>>problems around the world, this is to weaken us. They have their plans
>>
>> for
>>
>>>us.
>>>
>>>We shouldn't hand them the wealth and the power by buying their products,
>>>let them sit on the shelves. One way to turn the tide is for americans
>>
>> to
>>
>>>vote with their dollars. We don't need to support a repressive communist
>>>government with american dollars, and that is exactly what we are doing
>>
>> by
>>
>>>buying Chinese products. A boycott is long over due!
>>>
>>>Talking has never worked with communist governments.
>>>
>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>

>>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>>>>people the most, anyway.
>>>>
>>>>The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication

>>>>and as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>>>>metamorphose of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful
>>>>
>>>>evolution rather than a bloody revolution.
>>>>
>>>>Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>>>realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in

>>>>this world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>>>
>>>>I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest

>>>>finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>the government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>>>>
>>>>Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>>
>>>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>>>
>>>>in
>>>>
>>>>>supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>
>>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>
>>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 07:39:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TCB wrote:
> China views Tibet as a serious threat to its national safety, rightly or wrongly.
> Historically China's primary concern has not been invasion from without but
> disintegration from within. So while the Tibetans might not have rockets

> they are being mistreated because China views them as a threat to their security,
 > just like Israel views Gazans as a threat to their security.
 >
 You are correct that both view the threat similarly, but I believe we both would agree Israel has more physical evidence to back their assertion.
 > I don't think that it's right for rockets to be fired on Israeli citizens.
 > But you agree that a fair number of those killed and maimed in Gaza are non-rocket-firing
 > civilians, yes? Are their lives somehow less valuable than the lives of Tibetans?
 > Or Latvians, or Sudanese, or Burmese? The Burma thing might not get a lot
 > of ink in the west, but it's pretty front and center here.
 The lives of those in Gaza are not less valuable, agreed. However, they are living in an area of active, destructive aggression against their neighbor. It is likely that many of those killed or injured know who the true perpetrators are. They are unable or unwilling to police their own territory and are experiencing the result. If they handed the perpetrators over to Israel or Brought them to justice themselves, it is likely the Israeli response would be much different.
 >
 > My point was only that American moral outrage seems to be applied with a
 > fair degree of randomness, or maybe a fair degree of self serving intent.
 I doubt that would be helped by comparing a large country who is not experiencing attack on their lands with one who experiencing it on a nearly daily basis and considering them equally wrong.
 > China is a current whipping boy, but I'm old enough to remember when Nicaragua
 > was the beachhead of the red menace in our hemisphere. It just gets old after
 > a while. If someone wants to make the world a better place volunteer or donate
 > to an effective charity, there are plenty of causes that could use some help
 > without a bunch of boycott nonsense.

Agreed

JH

>
 > TCB
 >
 > Jeff H <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
 >
 >>TCB wrote:
 >>
 >>>So James, does your concern for political oppression and the sanctity
 >
 > of civilian
 >
 >>>life extend to Iraq and Afghanistan? Or is it only when China kills civilians
 >>>that it matters?
 >>
 >>

>>How about Gaza? Should we boycott Israeli software?
>>
>>Thad,
>>
>>I'm a little confused. Is Tibet hurling rockets at civilian targets in
>
>
>>China, or is this parallel not so...well parallel?
>>
>>Jeff
>>
>>
>>What
>>
>>>about Burma? They're right and true bastards and the Chinese stick up
>
> for
>
>>>them or they wouldn't get away with it quite as easily.
>>>
>>>It's a long list, and the US does business with most of them,
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>The Tibetans have tried to talk about freedom, they were burned to death.
>>>>I guess freedom always comes at a price. The Chinese people must have
>>>
>>>the
>>>
>>>
>>>>will to change their government from the inside, talk from us won't work,
>>>>but we can do something and that is boycott their products. We as a people
>>>>are going in to debt with China, we are handing them power over us. Meanwhile
>>>>our standard of living is going down hill. It's great that you can buy
>>>
>>>a
>>>
>>>
>>>>two dollar rubber hammer from China and we'll pay four dollars a gallon
>>>
>>>of
>>>
>>>
>>>>gas because China is using so much oil to make things like rubber hammers
>>>>and ship them across the sea.

>>>>
>>>>They are killing their own people. We are empowering them financially
>
> by
>
>>>>buying there products and handing them technical expertise. We embolden
>>>>them by not standing up to them on human rights and the theft of our defense
>>>>and military secrets, not to mention their constant opposition the the
>
> US
>
>>>>and their interference in world matters.
>>>>
>>>>China has publicly announced a 35 year plan to take over the world. The
>>>>Chinese and the Russians are building super weapons to defeat the US,
>
> and
>
>>>>they are currently doing military exercises together right now. They
>
> are
>
>>>>supporting and supplying the weapons and technology that is causing the
>>>
>>>US
>>>
>>>
>>>>problems around the world, this is to weaken us. They have their plans
>>>
>>>for
>>>
>>>
>>>>us.
>>>>
>>>>We shouldn't hand them the wealth and the power by buying their products,
>>>>let them sit on the shelves. One way to turn the tide is for americans
>>>
>>>to
>>>
>>>
>>>>vote with their dollars. We don't need to support a repressive communist
>>>>government with american dollars, and that is exactly what we are doing
>>>
>>>by
>>>
>>>
>>>>buying Chinese products. A boycott is long over due!
>>>>

>>>>Talking has never worked with communist governments.
>>>>
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>
>
>>>>>people the most, anyway.
>>>>>
>>>>>The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>
>
>>>>>and as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>>>>>metamorphose of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful
>>>>>
>>>>>evolution rather than a bloody revolution.
>>>>>
>>>>>Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>>>>realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
>
>
>>>>>this world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>>>>
>>>>>I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>
>
>>>>>finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>>>
>>>
>>>>>the government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>>>>>
>>>>>Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>>>
>>>>>James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>>>>
>>>>>in
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>>
>>>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>>>

>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 07:41:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a nation travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47dd6e18@linux...

>

> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

> in

> supporting this government in any way.

>

> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>

> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 07:55:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are you thinking about a last, big Texassalute from a sitting president with his last day at the job?;-)

erlilo

"Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47de0fe6@linux...

>

>

> Don't misunderestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.

>

>

>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:41:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that would kill apples hardware sales.

On 17 Mar 2008 05:59:36 +1000, "James McCloskey"
<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense in
>supporting this government in any way.
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:43:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

". . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking them to voluntarily give up their teeth."

makes me wish my dentist was chinese...

On Sun, 16 Mar 2008 16:41:12 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> . . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking
>them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted to
>comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as a single
>square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.
>
>Sarah
>Cynical former organizer of product boycotts
>
>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...
>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>>people the most, anyway.
>>
>> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication and
>> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a metamorphose
>> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution
>> rather than a bloody revolution.
>>
>> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in this
>> world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>

>> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people, the
>> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>> Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>
>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>> in
>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 10:20:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>that would kill apples hardware sales.

That made me giggle for about a minute, until I realised I sounded like the
evil dude from Inspector Gadget... ;o)

....oops, Just started again. ;o)

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 10:26:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.

Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that would,
at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 13:55:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Erling, how right you are.

erlilo wrote:

> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a nation
> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>> in
>> supporting this government in any way.
>>
>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>
>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 14:53:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47de3945\$1@linux...
>
> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.
>
> Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that would,
> at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP

Damn right! We'll teach those commies a lesson they'll never forget.

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 14:53:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcads.dk> wrote in message news:47de26d5\$1@linux...
> Are you thinking about a last, big Texassalute from a sitting president
> with his last day at the job?;-)
>
> erlilo
>

In Texas, it's called a farewell Bar-B Que

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 14:55:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hkbst317o9k9gklnq62iui7b59rhi187da@4ax.com...
> that would kill apples hardware sales.
>
>
>

Not if they hired Steve Jobs as "Chairman"

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 15:15:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would start with intent, and perhaps ask who will leave first?

But then moral equivalence is really a political issue, not a moral one.

DC

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a nation

>travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>
>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>> in
>> supporting this government in any way.
>>
>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>
>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 15:45:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

plus you forgot to mention the benefits of nuclear winter will be in
combatting global warming.

On Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:53:00 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47de3945\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.
>>
>> Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that would,
>> at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP
>
>Damn right! We'll teach those commies a lesson they'll never forget.
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 15:46:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We've got 3" of snow on the ground this morning and it's still falling hard.
Did someone attack China?

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:be4tt3922u3ut38o9k1bl3bb4qa7hqs3tu@4ax.com...
> plus you forgot to mention the benefits of nuclear winter will be in
> combatting global warming.
>
>
> On Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:53:00 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47de3945\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.
>>>
>>> Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that
>>> would,
>>> at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP
>>
>>Damn right! We'll teach those commies a lesson they'll never forget.
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 16:00:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>China views Tibet as a serious threat to its national safety, rightly or wrongly.

Rightly or wrongly does matter. Don't pass over that issue too quickly.

>I don't think that it's right for rockets to be fired on Israeli citizens.

>But you agree that a fair number of those killed and maimed in Gaza are non-rocket-firing

>civilians, yes?

Who are used as human shields specifically to draw these sorts of false comparisons.

As far as boycotts go, it is far too late for any of that to matter.

Our own thirst for cheap consumer goods put us in the position we are in.

DC

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 16:26:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and standing up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a nation

>travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse

>news:47dd6e18@linux...

>>

>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>> in

>> supporting this government in any way.

>>
>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>
>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 16:27:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are absolutely correct. And the Chinese could flatten our economy at any time simply by cashing in their treasury bills which they hold due to our cumulative trade deficits.

Also, I don't think many home studios would be able to operate without the Chinese components in them.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> . . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking
>them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted to
>comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as a single
>square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.
>
>Sarah
>Cynical former organizer of product boycotts
>
>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...
>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>>people the most, anyway.
>>
>> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
and
>> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a metamorphose

>> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution

>> rather than a bloody revolution.
>>
>> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And

>> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
this
>> world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>
>> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest

>> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
the
>> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>> Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>
>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>>> in
>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 17:00:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>
> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
> standing
> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.

Bullshit, not at all the same thing

>
> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>> nation
>
>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>> news:47dd6e18@linux...

>>>
>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>
>>> in
>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>
>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 17:14:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the only thing I buy at WalMart these days are disposable clothes. I'm pretty hard on shirts and shoes 'vcause I'm running around in the wilderness quite a bit, jumping over barbed wire fences, fighting with mastadons and Dire wolves.....that sort of thing. No point in wearing Polo or Calvin Klein.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47de9a4d\$1@linux...

>
> Years ago we got by just fine with out Chinese products, and we could
> again.
> Your points are valid, we are addicted to cheap stuff, but we are throwing
> the baby out with the bath water. It's the oldest trick in the book, the
> shiny object in one hand while they've got their other hand in our other
> pocket. We are stupid for going for the cheap shiny little trinkets,
> because
> in the end it's a rip off. The cheap little trinkets won't stay cheap
> when
> whole industries are gone, prices will go up.
>
> That's right, the Chinese hold American debt. Our Politicians that are
> responsible
> for that should be run out on a rail, but the people of this country are
> stupid, because they keep voting the same people back in to office. Our
> country needs manufacturing to stay safe and strong. The more we buy
> Chinese
> products, the more of our companies will shut down, and the more Americans
> will be out of work.
>
> "Gary Flanigan" <garyf_94103@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>>>You are absolutely correct. And the Chinese could flatten our economy at
>>>any time simply by cashing in their treasury bills which they hold due to
>>>our cumulative trade deficits.

>>

>>>Also, I don't think many home studios would be able to operate without the
>>>Chinese components in them.

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>> . . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking
>>

>>>>them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted to
>>

>>>>comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as a
>>>>single
>>

>>>>square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.

>>>

>>>>Sarah

>>>>Cynical former organizer of product boycotts

>>>

>>>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...

>>>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>

>>>>>people the most, anyway.

>>>>

>>>>> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>>and

>>>>> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>>>>> metamorphose
>>

>>>>> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution
>>

>>>>> rather than a bloody revolution.

>>>>

>>>>> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>>>> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
>>this

>>>>> world." (add Bogart lisp)

>>>>

>>>>> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>>

>>>>> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>>the

>>>> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>
>>>> Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>>
>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>>>>> sense
>>
>>>>> in
>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 17:20:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Years ago we got by just fine with out Chinese products, and we could again. Your points are valid, we are addicted to cheap stuff, but we are throwing the baby out with the bath water. It's the oldest trick in the book, the shiny object in one hand while they've got their other hand in our other pocket. We are stupid for going for the cheap shiny little trinkets, because in the end it's a rip off. The cheap little trinkets won't stay cheap when whole industries are gone, prices will go up.

That's right, the Chinese hold American debt. Our Politicians that are responsible for that should be run out on a rail, but the people of this country are stupid, because they keep voting the same people back in to office. Our country needs manufacturing to stay safe and strong. The more we buy Chinese products, the more of our companies will shut down, and the more Americans will be out of work.

"Gary Flanigan" <garyf_94103@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>You are absolutely correct. And the Chinese could flatten our economy at
>any time simply by cashing in their treasury bills which they hold due to
>our cumulative trade deficits.
>
>Also, I don't think many home studios would be able to operate without the
>Chinese components in them.
>

>
>
>
>
>
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> . . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking
>
>>them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted to
>
>>comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as a single
>
>>square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.
>>
>>Sarah
>>Cynical former organizer of product boycotts
>>
>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...
>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese

>>>people the most, anyway.
>>>
>>> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>and
>>> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a metamorphose
>
>>> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution
>
>>> rather than a bloody revolution.
>>>
>>> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans in
>this
>>> world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>>
>>> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>
>>> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>the
>>> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.

>>> Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>
>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>
>>>> in
>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>

>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>
>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 17:26:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Heh. Great, more anthropogenic climate change, just what we need. Out of the frying pan into the freezer...

Getcher hands off the thermostat!

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

rick wrote:

> plus you forgot to mention the benefits of nuclear winter will be in
> combatting global warming.
>
>
> On Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:53:00 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net>
> wrote:
>
>> "Kim" <hidensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message [news:47de3945\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:47de3945$1@linux...)
>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>> Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.
>>> Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that would,
>>> at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP
>> Damn right! We'll teach those commies a lesson they'll never forget.
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 17:30:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Last I checked it's still winter (until Thursday I think).

BTW, we're getting snow here too, but so far not much. We'll take all

the moisture we can get...

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Mr. Simplicity wrote:

> We've got 3" of snow on the ground this morning and it's still falling hard.
> Did someone attack China?
>
> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:be4tt3922u3ut38o9k1bl3bb4qa7hqs3tu@4ax.com...
>> plus you forgot to mention the benefits of nuclear winter will be in
>> combatting global warming.
>>
>>
>> On Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:53:00 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47de3945\$1@linux...
>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>> Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.
>>>>> Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that
>>>>> would,
>>>>> at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP
>>> Damn right! We'll teach those commies a lesson they'll never forget.
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 19:00:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>the only thing I buy at WalMart these days are disposable clothes. I'm
>pretty hard on shirts and shoes 'vcause I'm running around in te wilderness

>quite a it, jumping over barbed wire fences, fighting with mastadons and

>Dire wolves.....that sort of thing. No point in wearing Polo or Calvin
>Klein.

Some things would be hard to do without, no doubt. It would take time to
turn things around. People can vote with their dollars. Red wing shoes
still makes some shoes in America, companies like this should be supported.

I'm saying when China does things like they did the other day the world should stand up and protest. For the good of our country we should buy American and stop buying chinese products if you can. Take the time to look to see where something is made and try to buy American. The other day I bought Fruit of the Loom socks, I had a choice between American made and Pakistani made, the same price. The foreign made stuff should sit on the shelves until it rots. Voices should be heard when it comes to foreign made products, when there are so many American jobs being lost.

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:47de9a4d\$1@linux...

>>

>> Years ago we got by just fine with out Chinese products, and we could

>> again.

>> Your points are valid, we are addicted to cheap stuff, but we are throwing

>> the baby out with the bath water. It's the oldest trick in the book, the

>> shiny object in one hand while they've got their other hand in our other

>> pocket. We are stupid for going for the cheap shiny little trinkets,

>> because

>> in the end it's a rip off. The cheap little trinkets won't stay cheap

>> when

>> whole industries are gone, prices will go up.

>>

>> That's right, the Chinese hold American debt. Our Politicians that are

>> responsible

>> for that should be run out on a rail, but the people of this country are

>> stupid, because they keep voting the same people back in to office. Our

>> country needs manufacturing to stay safe and strong. The more we buy

>> Chinese

>> products, the more of our companies will shut down, and the more Americans

>> will be out of work.

>>

>> "Gary Flanigan" <garyf_94103@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>You are absolutely correct. And the Chinese could flatten our economy at

>>>any time simply by cashing in their treasury bills which they hold due to

>>>our cumulative trade deficits.

>>>
>>>Also, I don't think many home studios would be able to operate without
the
>>>Chinese components in them.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>> . . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking
>>>
>>>>them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted
to
>>>
>>>>comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as a

>>>>single
>>>
>>>>square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>Cynical former organizer of product boycotts
>>>>
>>>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...
>>>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese
>>
>>>>>people the most, anyway.
>>>>>
>>>>> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication
>>>>and
>>>>> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a
>>>>> metamorphose
>>>
>>>>> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution
>>>
>>>>> rather than a bloody revolution.
>>>>>
>>>>> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And
>>>>> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans
in
>>>>this
>>>>> world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>>>>
>>>>> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>>>
>>>>> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>>>>the

>>>>> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>>
>>>>> Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>>>
>>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no

>>>>>> sense
>>>
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 19:36:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>"Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>the only thing I buy at WalMart these days are disposable clothes. I'm

>>pretty hard on shirts and shoes 'vcause I'm running around in te wilderness
>
>>quite a it, jumping over barbede wire fences, fighting with mastadons and
>
>>Dire wolves.....that sort of thing. No point in wearing Polo or Calvin

>>Klein.
>
>Somethings would be hard to do without, no doubt. It would take time to
>turn things around. People can vote with their dollars. Red wing shoes
>still makes some shoes in America, companies like this should be supported.
>
>
>I'm saying when China does things like they did the other day the world
should
>stand up and protest. For the good of our country we should buy American

>and stop buying chinese products if you can. Take the time to look to see
>where something is made and try to buy American. The other day I bought
Fruit
>of the Loom socks, I had a choice between American made and Pakistani made,
>the same price. The foreign made stuff should sit on the shelves until
it
>rots. Voices should be heard when it comes to foreign made products, when
>there are so many American jobs being lost.
>

Maybe I should face it, and realize that things won't change. If you can't
beat them , join them. Give the people what they want, you say? maybe I
should go back in to selling all the new Chinese made audio stuff, nobody
seems to mind.

>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:47de9a4d\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Years ago we got by just fine with out Chinese products, and we could
>
>>> again.
>>> Your points are valid, we are addicted to cheap stuff, but we are throwing
>>> the baby out with the bath water. It's the oldest trick in the book,
>the
>>> shiny object in one hand while they've got their other hand in our other
>>> pocket. We are stupid for going for the cheap shiny little trinkets,
>
>>> because
>>> in the end it's a rip off. The cheap little trinkets won't stay cheap
>
>>> when
>>> whole industries are gone, prices will go up.
>>>
>>> That's right, the Chinese hold American debt. Our Politicians that are
>
>>> responsible
>>> for that should be run out on a rail, but the people of this country
are
>>> stupid, because they keep voting the same people back in to office.
Our
>>> country needs manufacturing to stay safe and strong. The more we buy
>
>>> Chinese
>>> products, the more of our companies will shut down, and the more Americans
>>> will be out of work.
>>>
>>> "Gary Flanigan" <garyf_94103@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>You are absolutely correct. And the Chinese could flatten our economy

>at

>>>>any time simply by cashing in their treasury bills which they hold due

>to

>>>>our cumulative trade deficits.

>>>>

>>>>Also, I don't think many home studios would be able to operate without

>the

>>>>Chinese components in them.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>>> . . . not to mention asking Americans to boycott anything is like asking

>>>>>

>>>>>them to voluntarily give up their teeth. We are completely addicted

>to

>>>>>

>>>>>comfort and convenience and in general would not give up so much as

a

>

>>>>>single

>>>>>

>>>>>square of toilet paper to make the world a better place.

>>>>>

>>>>>Sarah

>>>>>Cynical former organizer of product boycotts

>>>>>

>>>>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:47dd8dd0@linux...

>>>>>>I don't think boycotting is the answer. It would hurt average Chinese

>>>>>>

>>>>>>people the most, anyway.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> The answer is more communication. Commerce is a form of communication

>>>>>>and

>>>>>> as such, I think more commerce will inevitably bring about a

>>>>>> metamorphose

>>>>>>

>>>>>> of the Chinese government. I would prefer to see a peaceful evolution

>>>>>>

>>>>>> rather than a bloody revolution.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Boycotting would get the government hardliners backs up. And

>>>>>> realistically, your boycott wouldn't "...amount to a hill of beans

>in

>>>>this
>>>>> world." (add Bogart lisp)
>>>>>
>>>>> I appreciate your desire to change the situation, but I would suggest
>>>>
>>>>> finding a constructive way to communicate a lot into China, to people,
>>>>the
>>>>> government, anywhere. Comm is the solution. Tell them about freedom.
>>>
>>>>> Freedom appeals to everybody.
>>>>>
>>>>> James McCloskey wrote:
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>
>>>>>> sense
>>>>
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 23:42:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on both parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really well under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after they really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago, in the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can try to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places, like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today, after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around the world.

Erling

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...

>

> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
> standing

> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.

>

> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>>Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>nation

>

>>travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>news:47dd6e18@linux...

>>>

>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense

>

>>> in

>>> supporting this government in any way.

>>>

>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>>>

>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 01:48:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number who made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives or gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.

Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war. It's been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king money to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the money they get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the hundred years war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They gained enormous influnce from that - so much so that they managed to

keep the war going for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.

Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and other leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But bear in mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the same bankers who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5 presidents do at the bankers bidding.

For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.

erlilo wrote:

> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on both
> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really well
> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after they
> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago, in
> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can try
> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can
> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about
> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around
> the world.

>

> Erling

>

>

>

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...

>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>> standing

>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.

>>

>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>> nation

>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?

>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...

>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>>> in

>>>> supporting this government in any way.

>>>>
>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>
>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 03:13:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Erling,

Bill made some great points, but along with those ...

Beware of those who speculate that the arms race and the money behind it is a vast jewish conspiracy.

Beware of those who postulate that the first banker to loan someone the money for a bomb was a jew.

Be on guard against those who espouse that jew x or jewish race Y is responsible for Z. In my experience people who raise these issues are morally bankrupt.

Chuck

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number
>who made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives

>or gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.

>

>Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war.

>It's been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king

>money to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the
>money they get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the
>hundred years war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They

>gained enormous influnce from that - so much so that they managed to
>keep the war going for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.

>

>Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big
>generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and
>other leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But
>bear in mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the

>same bankers who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5
>presidents do at the bankers bidding.
>
>For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and
>extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the
>influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.
>
>erlilo wrote:
>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on
both
>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really
well
>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America

>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
they
>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago,
in
>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can
try
>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,

>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can

>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about

>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their

>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,

>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around

>> the world.
>>
>> Erling
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>> standing
>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>
>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a

>>>> nation
>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
sense
>>>>> in
>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 07:03:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Erling,
>
>Bill made some great points, but along with those ...
>
>Beware of those who speculate that the arms race and the money behind it
>is a vast jewish conspiracy.
>
>Beware of those who postulate that the first banker to loan someone the
money
>for a bomb was a jew.
>
>Be on guard against those who espouse that jew x or jewish race Y is responsible
>for Z. In my experience people who raise these issues are morally bankrupt.
>
>Chuck

It's funny how so many people can rewrite history to suit their agenda and
fit their lies. Do more reading and research, and eventually the truth will
come out.

>
>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number
>>who made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives
>

>>or gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.
>>
>>Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war.
>>It's been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king
>
>>money to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the
>>money they get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the
>>hundred years war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They
>
>>gained enormous influence from that - so much so that they managed to
>>keep the war going for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.
>>
>>Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big
>>generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and
>>other leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But
>>bear in mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the
>>same bankers who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5
>>presidents do at the bankers bidding.
>>
>>For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and
>>extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the
>>influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.
>>
>>erlilo wrote:
>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money
on
>both
>>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really
>well
>>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
>
>>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
>they
>>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years
ago,
>in
>>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can
>try
>>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
>
>>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you
can
>
>>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit
about
>
>>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
>

>>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
>
>>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times
around
>
>>> the world.
>>>
>>> Erling
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>>> standing
>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or
a
>
>>>>> nation
>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>sense
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 07:31:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on
both
>parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really well

>under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
>was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after they
>really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago,
in
>the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can
try
>to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
>like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can
>follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about
>historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
>heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
>after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around
>the world.
>
>Erling
>
>

Erling, I hear ya, but more blame should go on those rich privileged French families than on their American relatives. I'll leave this alone so I do not offend anyone and start WWII, and I hope others will do the same. I don't think anybody here would really like That history lesson.

I'll just say that northern Europe wasn't doing to good against the Nazis, until guys like my uncle James John McCloskey showed up, and you should never forget it.

>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>> standing
>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>
>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>>nation

>>
>>>travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>
>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>
>>>> in
>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>
>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>
>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 08:50:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

freezing rain, sleet and some snow here this morn.

On Mon, 17 Mar 2008 11:26:43 -0600, Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com>
wrote:

>
>Heh. Great, more anthropogenic climate change, just what we need. Out of
>the frying pan into the freezer...
>
>Getcher hands off the thermostat!
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>rick wrote:
>> plus you forgot to mention the benefits of nuclear winter will be in
>> combatting global warming.
>>
>>
>> On Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:53:00 -0600, "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net>

>> wrote:
>>
>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:47de3945\$1@linux...
>>>> "Mr. Simplicity" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>> Don't underestimate us. We can still blow up the earth.
>>>> Given they have a larger economy and a much larger population, that would,
>>>> at least, hurt them more than you. ;oP
>>> Damn right! We'll teach those commies a lesson they'll never forget.
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 09:08:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The second worldwar started, as far as I remember in 1938 here in Europe. USA came into the war about 3 years later and it was just because Japan was allied with Germany when they attacked Pearl Harbor. In those 3 years, most of America was doing big business, selling whatever they could to the fighting parts. The same thing was happening in the first worldwar and it was first when a passengership filled up with American business people, that was making big warcontracts in Europe, was attacked by a German submarine in the Atlantic Ocean and sunk, America was involved. Most of these people had friends in, or was involved in the American Parlamenty in one or another way, so there was no way out from being involved in that war on the "right" side.

So, what I'm saying with my words are, Americans are just humans in their heads, like the rest of the world, with exactly the same good and bad sides as the rest of the world, filling up their heads. Most of the difference and problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a culture thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over, the bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the wars following up, long before someone could write at all.

All humans need something to be proud of, as the telling stories in the old bible, all humans need and must have something to hate or love, also in these modern times as in the start of the bible. It have allways been the victory in wars that have started the glory in stories, as said well in song we all knows well, "The winner takes it all".

It's a really good idea to also get involved the real stories of how a war is starting and not just remember the glorifying endings when a nation mean they alone saved the world from the bad enemies. All American stories started in Europe with all problems here some hundred years ago. It's just the human nature trying to do something to survive, a paralell to the problems of the Israel people in Egypt that get Moses to glorify their history and find the land with milk and honey, as Europeans found out that

America had all the milk and honey they needed to survive.

I love America but I also love Europe and the rest of the world, where good people allways trying to do a difference for the soul.

Thanks for listening.

Erling

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47df2279\$1@linux...

> Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number who
> made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives or
> gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.

>

> Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war. It's
> been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king money
> to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the money they
> get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the hundred years
> war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They gained enormous
> influence from that - so much so that they managed to keep the war going
> for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.

>

> Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big
> generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and other
> leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But bear in
> mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the same bankers
> who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5 presidents do at the
> bankers bidding.

>

> For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and
> extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the
> influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.

>

> erlilo wrote:

>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on
>> both parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did
>> really well under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history
>> about how America was being involved in both the first and second
>> worldwar, years after they really started? I wrote about these historical
>> facts here some years ago, in the days when Iraq was being occupied, so
>> if you're interested, you can try to find the posts from those days, or
>> you can find it some other places, like the internet, that I know you
>> love to search after meanings you can follow. It's unbelievable that

Erling

>>

>

> Erling, I hear ya, but more blame should go on those rich privileged

> French

> families than on their American relatives. I'll leave this alone so I do

> not offend anyone and start WWII, and I hope others will do the same. I

> don't think anybody here would really like That history lesson.

>

> I'll just say that northern Europe wasn't doing to good against the Nazis,

> until guys like my uncle James John McCloskey showed up, and you should

> never

> forget it.

>

>>

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse

>>news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and

>>> standing

>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.

>>>

>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcads1.dk> wrote:

>>>>Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a

>>>>nation

>>>

>>>>travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?

>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse

>>>>news:47dd6e18@linux...

>>>>>

>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no

>>>>> sense

>>>

>>>>> in

>>>>> supporting this government in any way.

>>>>>

>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>

>>>>>

>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 12:37:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In fairness to my fellow Americans, what you say is true but it's damned difficult to learn any US history in America. The tiny bit that is taught before college is taught horribly, and is about the driest subject one ever takes. Which is sad since US history is so full of robber barons and wildcatters and other incredibly interesting people. But if a person wants to find out the good, bad, and ugly of US history in America one pretty much has to go it alone.

TCB

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on both

>parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really well

>under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America

>was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after they

>really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago, in

>the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can try

>to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,

>like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can

>follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about

>historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their

>heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,

>after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around

>the world.

>

>Erling

>

>

>

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse

>news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...

>>

>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>> standing
>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>
>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>> nation
>>
>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>
>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>
>>>> in
>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>
>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>
>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 15:14:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The Jewish conspiracy thing was a justification created in Europe as far back as the Crusades to avoid paying debts to Jews. It's a brilliant plan, really:

1. Forbid Jews living anywhere but the ghetto, for convenience in locating them
2. Forbid Jews doing anything but money lending, banking, gold/silver smithing, etc.
3. When you owe too much money to a Jew, kill him.
4. If anyone complains about you killing him, say, "Everyone knows the Jews have horns and eat Christian babies."
5. Walk away scott and debt free!

During the Crusades, it was common practice for a German army heading off to Jerusalem to make a swing through the ghetto and erase all their debts (kill their bankers) before the long march to reclaim the "Holy Land".

chuck duffy wrote:

> Erling,

>

> Bill made some great points, but along with those ...

>

> Beware of those who speculate that the arms race and the money behind it

> is a vast jewish conspiracy.

>

> Beware of those who postulate that the first banker to loan someone the money

> for a bomb was a jew.

>

> Be on guard against those who espouse that jew x or jewish race Y is responsible

> for Z. In my experience people who raise these issues are morally bankrupt.

>

> Chuck

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>> Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number

>> who made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives

>

>> or gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.

>>

>> Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war.

>> It's been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king

>

>> money to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the

>> money they get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the

>> hundred years war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They

>

>> gained enormous influnce from that - so much so that they managed to

>> keep the war going for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.

>>

>> Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big

>> generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and

>> other leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But

>> bear in mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the

>> same bankers who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5

>> presidents do at the bankers bidding.

>>

>> For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and

>> extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the

>> influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.

>>

>> erlilo wrote:

>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on

> both

>>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really

> well

>>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
>
>>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
> they
>>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago,
> in
>>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can
> try
>>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
>
>>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can
>
>>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about
>
>>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
>
>>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
>
>>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around
>
>>> the world.
>>>
>>> Erling
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>>> standing
>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>
>>>>> nation
>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
> sense
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

>>>

>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 15:19:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help others. All people are basically good.

erlilo wrote:

> The second worldwar started, as far as I remember in 1938 here in Europe.
> USA came into the war about 3 years later and it was just because Japan was
> allied with Germany when they attacked Pearl Harbor. In those 3 years, most
> of America was doing big business, selling whatever they could to the
> fighting parts. The same thing was happening in the first worldwar and it
> was first when a passengership filled up with American business people, that
> was making big warcontracts in Europe, was attacked by a German submarine in
> the Atlantic Ocean and sunk, America was involved. Most of these people had
> friends in, or was involved in the American Parlamenty in one or another
> way, so there was no way out from being involved in that war on the "right"
> side.

>

> So, what I'm saying with my words are, Americans are just humans in their
> heads, like the rest of the world, with exactly the same good and bad sides
> as the rest of the world, filling up their heads. Most of the difference and
> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a culture
> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over, the
> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the wars
> following up, long before someone could write at all.

> All humans need something to be proud of, as the telling stories in the old
> bible, all humans need and must have something to hate or love, also in
> these modern times as in the start of the bible. It have allways been the
> victory in wars that have started the glory in stories, as said well in song
> we all knows well, "The winner takes it all".

> It's a really good idea to also get involved the real stories of how a war
> is starting and not just remember the glorifying endings when a nation mean
> they alone saved the world from the bad enemies. All American stories
> started in Europe with all problems here some hundred years ago. It's just
> the human nature trying to do something to survive, a paralell to the
> problems of the Israel people in Egypt that get Moses to glorify their
> history and find the land with milk and honey, as Europeans found out that
> America had all the milk and honey they needed to survive.

>

> I love America but I also love Europe and the rest of the world, where good

> people allways trying to do a difference for the soul.
>
> Thanks for listening.
>
> Erling
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> skrev i en meddelelse
> news:47df2279\$1@linux...
>> Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number who
>> made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives or
>> gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.
>>
>> Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war. It's
>> been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king money
>> to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the money they
>> get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the hundred years
>> war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They gained enormous
>> influnce from that - so much so that they managed to keep the war going
>> for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.
>>
>> Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big
>> generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and other
>> leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But bear in
>> mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the same bankers
>> who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5 presidents do at the
>> bankers bidding.
>>
>> For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and
>> extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the
>> influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.
>>
>> erlilo wrote:
>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on
>>> both parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did
>>> really well under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history
>>> about how America was being involved in both the first and second
>>> worldwar, years after they really started? I wrote about these historical
>>> facts here some years ago, in the days when Iraq was being occupied, so
>>> if you're interested, you can try to find the posts from those days, or
>>> you can find it some other places, like the internet, that I know you
>>> love to search after meanings you can follow. It's unbelievable that
>>> many Americans yet don't know a shit about historical facts and still
>>> yelling about the glory they got around their heads after the two
>>> worldwars and still love to have these glories today, after all the shit

>>> some of their presidents have made in newer times around the world.
>>>
>>> Erling
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>>> standing
>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>>>> nation
>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>>>>>> sense
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 15:24:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here's a stat: at the beginning of the war, Norway had the world's largest merchant fleet. At the end they were far, far down the list, having lost most of their ships and crews carrying US Lend Lease goods to Europe. Norway and Greece probably put up the greatest resistance against fascist invaders during WW2.

erlilo wrote:

> James, I don't blame anyone for anything. I'm just trying to tell that
> Americans are just humans, with all the positive and negative sides, as the
> rest of the world have.
>
> By the way, do you know anything about the Norwegian story in the second
> world war and do you know Norway is in North Europe? Maybe you should have a
> talk with Bill L, he is an American that's knowing the Norwegian story very

> well. If it wasn't for Norwegian seaman under the second worldwar, arghhhh
> it's time to stop before I'm glorifying little Norway, that many Americans
> don't know a shit about, over America in that war;-)
>
> Erling
>
>> Erling, I hear ya, but more blame should go on those rich privileged
>> French
>> families than on their American relatives. I'll leave this alone so I do
>> not offend anyone and start WWII, and I hope others will do the same. I
>> don't think anybody here would really like That history lesson.
>>
>> I'll just say that northern Europe wasn't doing to good against the Nazis,
>> until guys like my uncle James John McCloskey showed up, and you should
>> never
>> forget it.
>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>>> standing
>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>>>> nation
>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>>>>>> sense
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 15:30:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I went to the same school as George Bush Sr, Greenwich Country Day School, from pre-K to 9th grade, and I don't remember any American history at all, actually. I suppose there must have been some, but it was pretty dull, I guess.

It seemed like all we got was English history. New Englanders are rather Anglophilic.

TCB wrote:

> In fairness to my fellow Americans, what you say is true but it's damned difficult
> to learn any US history in America. The tiny bit that is taught before college
> is taught horribly, and is about the driest subject one ever takes. Which
> is sad since US history is so full of robber barons and wildcatters and other
> incredibly interesting people. But if a person wants to find out the good,
> bad, and ugly of US history in America one pretty much has to go it alone.

>

>

> TCB

>

> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money on
> both

>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really well

>

>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America

>

>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after they

>

>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago,

> in

>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can

> try

>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,

>

>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can

>

>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about

>

>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their

>

>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,

>

>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around

>

>> the world.

>>

>> Erling

>>

>>
>>
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>> standing
>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>
>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or a
>>>> nation
>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>>>> in
>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>
>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>
>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 18:58:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>The Jewish conspiracy thing was a justification created in Europe as far

>back as the Crusades to avoid paying debts to Jews. It's a brilliant
>plan, really:

>

>1. Forbid Jews living anywhere but the ghetto, for convenience in

>locating them

>2. Forbid Jews doing anything but money lending, banking, gold/silver

>smithing, etc.

>3. When you owe too much money to a Jew, kill him.

>4. If anyone complains about you killing him, say, "Everyone knows the

>Jews have horns and eat Christian babies."

>5. Walk away scott and debt free!

>

>During the Crusades, it was common practice for a German army heading

>off to Jerusalem to make a swing through the ghetto and erase all their

>debts (kill their bankers) before the long march to reclaim the "Holy Land".
>

Incredibly ignorant, naive, and delusional, where did you buy this pile of propaganda?

>chuck duffy wrote:

>> Erling,

>>

>> Bill made some great points, but along with those ...

>>

>> Beware of those who speculate that the arms race and the money behind it

>> is a vast jewish conspiracy.

>>

>> Beware of those who postulate that the first banker to loan someone the money

>> for a bomb was a jew.

>>

>> Be on guard against those who espouse that jew x or jewish race Y is responsible
>> for Z. In my experience people who raise these issues are morally bankrupt.

>>

>> Chuck

>>

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>> Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number

>>> who made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives

>>

>>> or gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.

>>>

>>> Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war.

>>> It's been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king

>>

>>> money to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the

>>> money they get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the

>>> hundred years war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They

>>

>>> gained enormous influence from that - so much so that they managed to

>>> keep the war going for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.

>>>

>>> Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big

>>> generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and
>>> other leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But

>>> bear in mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the

>>> same bankers who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5
>>> presidents do at the bankers bidding.
>>>

>>> For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and

>>> extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the
>>> influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.
>>>

>>> erlilo wrote:

>>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money
on

>> both

>>>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really
>> well

>>>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
>>

>>>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
>> they

>>>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years
ago,

>> in

>>>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you
can

>> try

>>>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
>>

>>>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you
can

>>

>>>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit
about

>>

>>>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
>>

>>>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
>>

>>>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times
around

>>

>>>> the world.

>>>>

>>>> Erling

>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and

>>>>> standing
>>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>>
>>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>
>>>>>> nation
>>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>>>>>>> sense
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 21:39:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47e002d4\$1@linux...
>
> Incredibly ignorant, naive, and delusional, where did you buy this pile of
> propaganda?
>
> James, are you just talking as a believer or are you knowing some other,
real facts of the story Bill is talking about?

Erling

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 00:12:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One might ask the same question, and substitute the name Gilgamesh in place of Jesus. What if it were proven that Gilgamesh indeed pre-existed Jesus and the Bible and that those stories handed down were recycled tales of Gilgamesh? How would that shake your own belief system (I'm not saying one way or the other) and what would you do with it?

AA

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47e05997\$1@linux...

>

> I just have one question for you both.

>

> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that

> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best

> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical

> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,

> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and

> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?

>

> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story

> telling"?

>

> What would happen to Scientology?

>

> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,

> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;

> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this

> life.

>

> DC

>

>

>

>

>

> What would happen to faith as mere human

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they

>>understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help

>>others. All people are basically good.

>

>

>>erlilo wrote:

> Most of the difference and

>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a

>>> culture
>
>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
> the
>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>
>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the
> wars
>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 01:08:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I just have one question for you both.

What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best evidence, when considered outside of philosophical pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was, and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?

What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story telling"?

What would happen to Scientology?

If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so, then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task; perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this life.

DC

What would happen to faith as mere human

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>others. All people are basically good.

>erlilo wrote:

Most of the difference and

>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a culture

>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
the

>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle

>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the
wars

>> following up, long before someone could write at all.

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 03:11:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They don't teach this in schools very much, so you might be excused for
not knowing about it, but here are some references:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_ghettos_in_Europe

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_persecution

excerpts from this article

During the Middle Ages in Europe there was full-scale persecution in many places, with blood libels, expulsions, forced conversions and massacres. A main justification of prejudice against Jews in Europe was religious. Jews were frequently massacred and exiled from various European countries. The persecution hit its first peak during the Crusades. In the First Crusade (1096) flourishing communities on the Rhine and the Danube were utterly destroyed; see German Crusade, 1096. In the Second Crusade (1147) the Jews in France were subject to frequent massacres. The Jews were also subjected to attacks by the Shepherds' Crusades of 1251 and 1320. The Crusades were followed by expulsions, including in, 1290, the banishing of all English Jews; in 1396, 100,000 Jews were expelled from France; and, in 1421 thousands were expelled from Austria. Many of the expelled Jews fled to Poland.[2]

Tsarist Russia

For much of the 19th century, Imperial Russia, which included much of Poland, contained the world's largest Jewish population. From Alexander III's reign until the end of Tsarist rule in Russia, Jews were restricted to the Jewish Pale of Settlement, and banned from many jobs and locations. They were subject to racist laws, like the May Laws, and were targeted in hundreds of violent anti-Jewish riots, called pogroms, that had unofficial state support.

James McCloskey wrote:

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>> The Jewish conspiracy thing was a justification created in Europe as far
>

>> back as the Crusades to avoid paying debts to Jews. It's a brilliant
>> plan, really:

>>

>> 1. Forbid Jews living anywhere but the ghetto, for convenience in
>> locating them

>> 2. Forbid Jews doing anything but money lending, banking, gold/silver
>> smithing, etc.

>> 3. When you owe too much money to a Jew, kill him.

>> 4. If anyone complains about you killing him, say, "Everyone knows the
>> Jews have horns and eat Christian babies."

>> 5. Walk away scott and debt free!

>>

>> During the Crusades, it was common practice for a German army heading
>> off to Jerusalem to make a swing through the ghetto and erase all their

>

>> debts (kill their bankers) before the long march to reclaim the "Holy Land".

>>

>

> Incredibly ignorant, naive, and delusional, where did you buy this pile of
> propaganda?

>

>> chuck duffy wrote:

>>> Erling,

>>>

>>> Bill made some great points, but along with those ...

>>>

>>> Beware of those who speculate that the arms race and the money behind
> it

>>> is a vast jewish conspiracy.

>>>

>>> Beware of those who postulate that the first banker to loan someone the
> money

>>> for a bomb was a jew.

>>>

>>> Be on guard against those who espouse that jew x or jewish race Y is responsible
>>> for Z. In my experience people who raise these issues are morally bankrupt.

>>>

>>> Chuck

>>>

>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>> Erling, When you talk about Americans, remember that the small number
>

>>>> who made money from WW2 are not the same ones who laid down their lives

>>>> or gave their time or sweat to save our European brothers and sisters.
>>>>
>>>> Bankers and arms manufacturers are the ones who really win in a war.
>
>>>> It's been that way since the very first banker loaned a war lord or king
>>>> money to finance a war, probably thousands of years ago. Part of the
>
>>>> money they get back and part they take out in influence. Remember the
>
>>>> hundred years war in Europe. That was a field day for the bankers. They
>>>> gained enormous influence from that - so much so that they managed to
>
>>>> keep the war going for a HUNDRED years, loaning money to all sides.
>>>>
>>>> Please do not make the mistake of seeing all Americans as a great big
>
>>>> generality. Yes, we elect some really, really crappy presidents and
>>>> other leaders. My guess is it's about a 4:1 ratio of bad to good. But
>
>>>> bear in mind we are heavily influenced by the lying PR spread by the
>
>>>> same bankers who make money from the dumbass shit that 4 out of 5
>>>> presidents do at the bankers bidding.
>>>>
>>>> For those with an interest in this sort of thing there is a fine and
>
>>>> extremely enlightening book called "The Warlords" that details the
>>>> influence bankers have had for many centuries in fomenting man's wars.
>>>>
>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money
> on
>>> both
>>>>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really
>>> well
>>>>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
>>>>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
>>> they
>>>>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years
> ago,
>>> in
>>>>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you
> can
>>> try
>>>>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
>>>>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you
> can
>>>>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit

> about
>>>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
>>>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
>>>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times
> around
>>>> the world.
>>>>
>>>> Erling
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>
>>>>> standing
>>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>>
>>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or
> a
>>>>>> nation
>>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
>>> sense
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 03:16:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Whoah! Where did that come from? Did we say something about Jesus?

DC wrote:

> I just have one question for you both.
>
> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best

> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>
> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
> telling"?
>
> What would happen to Scientology?
>
> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
> life.
>
> DC
>
>
>
>
>
> What would happen to faith as mere human
>
> Bill L <bill@billorentzen.com> wrote:
>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>> others. All people are basically good.
>
>
>> erlilo wrote:
> Most of the difference and
>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a culture
>
>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
> the
>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>
>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the
> wars
>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 06:58:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That would be significant.

The evidence is not of the same quality or quantity however.

DC

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>One might ask the same question, and substitute the name Gilgamesh in place

>of Jesus. What if it were proven that Gilgamesh indeed pre-existed Jesus
>and

>the Bible and that those stories handed down were recycled tales of

>Gilgamesh? How would that shake your own belief system (I'm not saying one

>way or the other) and what would you do with it?

>

>AA

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47e05997\$1@linux...

>>

>> I just have one question for you both.

>>

>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that

>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best

>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical

>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,

>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and

>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?

>>

>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story

>> telling"?

>>

>> What would happen to Scientology?

>>

>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,

>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;

>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this

>> life.

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> What would happen to faith as mere human

>>

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they

>>>understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>>others. All people are basically good.
>>
>>
>>>erlilo wrote:
>> Most of the difference and
>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a

>>>> culture
>>
>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
>> the
>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>>
>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
the
>> wars
>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 08:25:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmmm, I was not refering to Jesus at all, just refering to "the start" of our own religion. For me, it seems you're just coming with questions that's giving more questions about believings. You are referring to the Bible when referring to Jesus, but don't forget, the bible have an old part too, that have nothing to do with Jesus's way of living.
I can take a parallel to the New Testament, a modern Jesus, that died in 1948, Mahatma Gandhi and his life in India. Many parallels to the New Testamente in a modern way, when the British ruled India before they splitted it up in two new states in 1947. <http://www.mkgandhi.org/>
Pretty much the same philosophy and story, just happened in "modern" times, where most of the stories about Gandhi can be confirmed as real; where the bible, for me, are about real truth and believings that can't be confirmed, just because these old stories just started from mouth to mouth, long before something could be written down at all.
I'm a believer too but not for every price.

Erling

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e05997\$1@linux...
>
> I just have one question for you both.

>
> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>
> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
> telling"?
>
> What would happen to Scientology?
>
> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
> life.
>
> DC
>
>
>
>
>
> What would happen to faith as mere human
>
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>others. All people are basically good.
>
>
>>erlilo wrote:
> Most of the difference and
>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a
>>> culture
>
>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
> the
>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>
>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the
> wars
>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>

Subject: Re: China

Greenwich Country Day! How posh of you, Bill! A former and future ex-girlfriend of mine is from a family that made a gazillion dollars selling off small chunks of land in Greenwich. They bought a large farm in the 1850's and have been living off of it ever since. Sometimes being lucky is as good or better than being smart.

It takes real genius and effort to make American history boring, but American schools somehow manage to do it.

TCB

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>I went to the same school as George Bush Sr, Greenwich Country Day
>School, from pre-K to 9th grade, and I don't remember any American
>history at all, actually. I suppose there must have been some, but it
>was pretty dull, I guess.

>

>It seemed like all we got was English history. New Englanders are rather

>Anglophilic.

>

>TCB wrote:

>> In fairness to my fellow Americans, what you say is true but it's damned
difficult

>> to learn any US history in America. The tiny bit that is taught before
college

>> is taught horribly, and is about the driest subject one ever takes. Which

>> is sad since US history is so full of robber barons and wildcatters and
other

>> incredibly interesting people. But if a person wants to find out the good,

>> bad, and ugly of US history in America one pretty much has to go it alone.

>>

>>

>> TCB

>>

>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money
on

>>> both

>>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really
well

>>>

>>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America

>>>

>>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
they

>>
>>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years ago,
>> in
>>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can
>> try
>>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
>>
>>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you can
>>
>>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit about
>>
>>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
>>
>>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
>>
>>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times around
>>
>>> the world.
>>>
>>> Erling
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
>>>> standing
>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or
a
>>>>> nation
>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?
>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
sense
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>>>

>>>>> <http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>>>
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 13:04:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, I went to school with Rockefellers and Warburgs. Funny, when you're a kid money doesn't mean anything to you. Lucy Rockefeller was just a shy fat girl and Phil Warburg (the only Jew in the school) was a brainy nerd who sucked at sports. He probably runs the New York Federal Reserve Bank today. I should have made better friends with him - who knew?

Where was your former/future ex-girlfriend's farm? What street? I lived kind of in the country, between Greenwich and Bedford, NY.

TCB wrote:

> Greenwich Country Day! How posh of you, Bill! A former and future ex-girlfriend
> of mine is from a family that made a gazillion dollars selling off small
> chunks of land in Greenwich. They bought a large farm in the 1850's and have
> been living off of it ever since. Sometimes being lucky is as good or better
> than being smart.

>

> It takes real genius and effort to make American history boring, but American
> schools somehow manage to do it.

>

> TCB

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>> I went to the same school as George Bush Sr, Greenwich Country Day
>> School, from pre-K to 9th grade, and I don't remember any American
>> history at all, actually. I suppose there must have been some, but it
>> was pretty dull, I guess.

>>

>> It seemed like all we got was English history. New Englanders are rather

>

>> Anglophilic.

>>

>> TCB wrote:

>>> In fairness to my fellow Americans, what you say is true but it's damned
> difficult

>>> to learn any US history in America. The tiny bit that is taught before
> college

>>> is taught horribly, and is about the driest subject one ever takes. Which

>>> is sad since US history is so full of robber barons and wildcatters and

> other
 >>> incredibly interesting people. But if a person wants to find out the good,
 >>> bad, and ugly of US history in America one pretty much has to go it alone.
 >>>
 >>>
 >>> TCB
 >>>
 >>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
 >>>> James, if it wasn't for Pearl Harbour, Americans had only made money
 > on
 >>> both
 >>>> parts in the last worldwar, like president Bush's granddad did really
 > well
 >>>> under that war. Isn't it time to learn some real history about how America
 >>>> was being involved in both the first and second worldwar, years after
 > they
 >>>> really started? I wrote about these historical facts here some years
 > ago,
 >>> in
 >>>> the days when Iraq was being occupied, so if you're interested, you can
 >>> try
 >>>> to find the posts from those days, or you can find it some other places,
 >>>> like the internet, that I know you love to search after meanings you
 > can
 >>>> follow. It's unbelievable that many Americans yet don't know a shit
 > about
 >>>> historical facts and still yelling about the glory they got around their
 >>>> heads after the two worldwars and still love to have these glories today,
 >>>> after all the shit some of their presidents have made in newer times
 > around
 >>>> the world.
 >>>>
 >>>> Erling
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
 >>>> news:47de8d9b\$1@linux...
 >>>>> Hey erlilo, if it wasn't for Americans coming across the ocean and
 >>>>> standing
 >>>>> up to tyranny you'd be speaking Deutsche right now.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
 >>>>>> Is there any difference when a neighbour nation occupying Tibet or
 > a
 >>>>>> nation
 >>>>>> travel over the whole Pasific Ocean, occupying Iraq?

>>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>> news:47dd6e18@linux...
>>>>>> I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no
> sense
>>>>>> in
>>>>>> supporting this government in any way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 13:17:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to do with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are experienced daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the formula for building a bridge.

Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is true for you."

DC wrote:

> I just have one question for you both.
>
> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>
> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
> telling"?
>
> What would happen to Scientology?
>
> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
> life.
>

> DC
>
>
>
>
> What would happen to faith as mere human
>
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>> others. All people are basically good.
>
>
>> erlilo wrote:
> Most of the difference and
>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a culture
>
>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
> the
>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>
>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all the
> wars
>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 15:34:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?

I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions and keep your own counsel.

DC wrote:
> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
> Jesus is compelling.
>
>
>
> DC
>
>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to do
>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are experienced
>
>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the
>> formula for building a bridge.
>>
>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is
>> true for you."
>>
>> DC wrote:
>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>
>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>
>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>> telling"?
>>>
>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>
>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>> life.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>
>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>
>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>> Most of the difference and
>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a

> culture
>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
>>> the
>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
> the
>>> wars
>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 15:51:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
"myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
Jesus is compelling.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to do
>with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are experienced

>daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the
>formula for building a bridge.

>

>Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is
>true for you."

>

>DC wrote:

>> I just have one question for you both.

>>

>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>

>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>> telling"?
>>
>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>
>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>> life.
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>
>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>
>>
>>> erlilo wrote:
>> Most of the difference and
>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have a
culture
>>
>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
>> the
>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the Middle
>>
>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
the
>> wars
>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 22:30:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?

No.

>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that
>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>and keep your own counsel.

Some things may be simply real.

best,

DC

>

>DC wrote:

>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>> Jesus is compelling.

>>

>>

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to do

>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are experienced
>>

>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the

>>> formula for building a bridge.

>>>

>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is

>>> true for you."

>>>

>>> DC wrote:

>>>> I just have one question for you both.

>>>>

>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical

>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>>
>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>> telling"?
>>>>
>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>
>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>> life.
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help

>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>
>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>>>>> a
>> culture
>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
>>>> the
>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
Middle
>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
>> the
>>>> wars
>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2008 23:24:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, I misread your question.

Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their sins. He never ever said people are basically good and this position is against everything he stood and died for.

We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.

DC

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?

>

>No.

>

>

>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that

>

>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions

>

>>and keep your own counsel.

>

>

>Some things may be simply real.

>

>best,

>

>DC

>

>>

>>DC wrote:

>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the

>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and

>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for

>>> Jesus is compelling.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> DC

>>>

>>>

>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to do

>

>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely

>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are experienced
>>>
>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the
>
>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>
>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is
>
>>>> true for you."
>>>>
>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>
>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>>>
>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>> telling"?
>>>>>
>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>
>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>> life.
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>
>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have

>a
>>> culture
>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
>>>>> the
>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>Middle
>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
>>> the
>>>>> wars
>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 08:39:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

....and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?
Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the familyculture
a child is growing up in, will allways color the way a child will live and
go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of sins
in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps to
destroy a childs mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the words
for this kind of doings. We are just giving our sivilization into the hands
of our childrens future with the ways we're living the day.

There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in peace
or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For me,
the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways to
live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,
told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years before
someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words
had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being written
down.

I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.

Thanks for listening.

Erling

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e1929b\$1@linux...

>

> Sorry, I misread your question.

>

> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
> this position is against everything he stood and died for.

>
> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>
> DC
>
>
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?
>>
>>No.
>>
>>
>>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that
>>
>>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>
>>>and keep your own counsel.
>>
>>
>>Some things may be simply real.
>>
>>best,
>>
>>DC
>>
>>>
>>>>DC wrote:
>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to
> do
>>
>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are
>>>>> experienced
>>>>>
>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he

>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the
>>
>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>
>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is
>>
>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>
>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>> telling"?

>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>
>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>>a
>>>> culture
>>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it

>>>>>>> over,
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>>Middle
>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
>>>> the
>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 10:26:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It always amazes me how so many people do not under stand Christianity. A child is not told it is doomed, just the opposite. The child is told that if the child is baptized and believes in Jesus Christ, the child is saved!

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>...and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?
>Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the familyculture

>a child is growing up in, will allways color the way a child will live and

>go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of sins

>in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps
to

>destroy a childs mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the words

>for this kind of doings. We are just giving our sivilization into the hands

>of our childrens future with the ways we're living the day.

>

>There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in peace

>or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For me,

>the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways to

>live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,

>told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years before

>someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words

>had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being written

>down.

>I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.

>

>Thanks for listening.

>

>Erling

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e1929b\$1@linux...

>>

>> Sorry, I misread your question.

>>

>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their

>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and

>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.

>>

>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?

>>>

>>>No.

>>>

>>>

>>>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that

>>>

>>>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions

>>>

>>>>and keep your own counsel.

>>>

>>>

>>>>Some things may be simply real.

>>>

>>>best,

>>>

>>>DC

>>>

>>>>

>>>>DC wrote:

>>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the

>>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and

>>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to
>> do
>>>
>>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are
>>>>>> experienced
>>>>>
>>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g.
the
>>>
>>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what
is
>>>
>>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>>> telling"?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>>
>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>>>a
>>>>> culture
>>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it

>>>>>>>> over,
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>>>Middle
>>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and
all
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 11:39:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James, did you read DC's post that I answered and do I wrote English so badly that you couldn't see or understand what I wrote about the child? I know very well Christianity after living with my family in the American Church, "The Apostolic Faith" in Stavanger, Norway, as far as I remember in my youth. I know exactly well how it is to be confirmed with sins and "the right way of living" from my whole youth in late '40 and the '50. To be curious on the society in the town and the pulsing life outside The Apostolic Church, I was told nearly all the times in my youth that the

people there lived in sin, so I should stay far away from them.
Unbelievable nice people in the Church, that did know what the bible said but didn't know anything about the society around them, because the society was just living in sin and was doomed in their eyes. Not any harm at all with these people, just that they had isolated themselves from the society in those old bible readings, in the name of Christ.
So please James, don't tell me that I don't understand Christianity way of living, if it is that you're trying to say with your lines here.

Thanks for listening and give it a try to understand what I really wrote.

Erling

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:47e22dbe\$1@linux...

>
> It always amazes me how so many people do not understand Christianity. A
> child is not told it is doomed, just the opposite. The child is told that
> if the child is baptized and believes in Jesus Christ, the child is saved!
>
> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:
>>...and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?
>>Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the
>>familyculture
>
>>a child is growing up in, will always color the way a child will live and
>
>>go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of
>>sins
>
>>in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps
> to
>>destroy a childs mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the
>>words
>
>>for this kind of doings. We are just giving our sivilization into the
>>hands
>
>>of our childrens future with the ways we're living the day.
>>
>>There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in
>>peace
>
>>or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For me,
>
>>the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways to
>
>>live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,

>
>>told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years
>>before
>
>>someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words
>
>>had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being
>>written
>
>>down.
>>I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.
>>
>>Thanks for listening.
>>
>>Erling
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>news:47e1929b\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>
>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>
>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?
>>>>
>>>>No.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that
>>>>>
>>>>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>>>>
>>>>>and keep your own counsel.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Some things may be simply real.
>>>>
>>>>best,

>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>DC wrote:
>>>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to
>>> do
>>>>
>>>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are
>>>>>>> experienced
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g.
> the
>>>>
>>>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what
> is
>>>>
>>>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much
>>>>>>>>> spot-on?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>>>>>> telling"?

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and
>>>>>>>> help
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>>>>a
>>>>> culture
>>>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it
>
>>>>>>>>> over,
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>>>>Middle
>>>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and
> all
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 14:03:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.

I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the goodness of man.

DC wrote:

> Sorry, I misread your question.

>

> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
> this position is against everything he stood and died for.

>

> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.

>

> DC

>

>

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>> Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?

>> No.

>>

>>

>>> I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that
>>> is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>> and keep your own counsel.

>>

>> Some things may be simply real.

>>

>> best,

>>

>> DC

>>

>>> DC wrote:

>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>> Jesus is compelling.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> DC

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to
> do
>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are experienced
>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g. the
>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>
>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what is
>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>
>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>> telling"?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>>> Most of the difference and

>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>> a
>>>> culture
>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it over,
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>> Middle
>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and all
>>>> the
>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 15:15:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll only post this in response to your two posts since this topic is not only off topic, but also unpopular:

Actually the Bible says Romans 3:22-24 "22 This righteousness from God comes through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe. There is no difference, 23 for all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, 24 and are justified freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus."

Some use "turn or burn" tactics, but I don't agree with that approach. At the same time, the "do whatever feels right to you" mentality is also fatally flawed. Between those two is where Jesus taught - we aren't perfect, and will never be on our own (anyone that disagrees, please attempt to go a whole day without making a mistake of any kind, whether in action, how you talk to someone else, or how you think ;-). Only through God's grace and by his love can be be perfected in Him - not perfect humans, but loved perfectly (i.e. beyond what we practice and understand in human love)

The point of the above passage, and the idea that we have all sinned is that we can't receive God's grace and forgiveness, and become "righteous" in His sight by our own power, deeds or merit. It isn't a scare tactic even though it has been used that way.

We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being raised from the dead to reunite with His Father - this became the symbol of what "being saved" is all about - allowing old ways to die, and a new life to begin, not just living a good life. This is how the concept of being sinners really becomes a beautiful thing - we aren't doomed to pay for our mistakes forever. There is hope. We also can't keep making the same mistakes without consequences, whether earthly or heavenly (the former is

common sense - keep hitting your thumb with a hammer, eventually you'll break it ;-).

In practice the change is real, and the heart does take on a new perspective on our own lives, responsibilities, people around us, etc. We don't stop making mistakes (but that's what forgiveness is for - not excuses, but second chances), and we don't become perfect humans, but now we have hope beyond what the world has to offer.

Happy Easter all,
Dedric

On 3/20/08 8:03 AM, in article 47e27184@linux, "Bill L"
<bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

> Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark
> Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their
> control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know
> the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.
>
> I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the
> goodness of man.
>
>
> DC wrote:
>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>
>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>
>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>
>> DC

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 16:07:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I certainly must agree that we're all pretty messed up. But I have found that even the worst of us are basically good. When we err it is because of computing with erroneous data (read "Dianetics' The Modern Science of Mental Health" if you want to understand how the mind works).

When you look for and increase the goodness of a person, you can help them improve. From that starting point can man be bettered.

The way to improve conditions is to put attention on increasing the goodness, the health, the production, the self determinism of the individual. If you start by looking for problems you will certainly have no trouble finding them and in the end you will increase them and their power. This is one of the problems with our health system and especially mental health system: they concentrate on disease, not health and wellness.

Look, I don't want to argue religion. I respect everyone's right to believe what is real to them, but certain things I have observed I like to share because I want to help.

Dedric Terry wrote:

- > I'll only post this in response to your two posts since this topic is not
- > only off topic, but also unpopular:
- >
- > Actually the Bible says Romans 3:22-24 "22 This righteousness from God comes
- > through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe. There is no difference, 23
- > for all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, 24 and are justified
- > freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus."
- >
- > Some use "turn or burn" tactics, but I don't agree with that approach. At
- > the same time, the "do whatever feels right to you" mentality is also
- > fatally flawed. Between those two is where Jesus taught - we aren't
- > perfect, and will never be on our own (anyone that disagrees, please attempt
- > to go a whole day without making a mistake of any kind, whether in action,
- > how you talk to someone else, or how you think ;-). Only through God's
- > grace and by his love can be be perfected in Him - not perfect humans, but
- > loved perfectly (i.e. beyond what we practice and understand in human love)
- >
- > The point of the above passage, and the idea that we have all sinned is that
- > we can't receive God's grace and forgiveness, and become "righteous" in His
- > sight by our own power, deeds or merit. It isn't a scare tactic even though
- > it has been used that way.
- >
- > We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took
- > the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being
- > raised from the dead to reunite with His Father - this became the symbol of
- > what "being saved" is all about - allowing old ways to die, and a new life
- > to begin, not just living a good life. This is how the concept of being
- > sinners really becomes a beautiful thing - we aren't doomed to pay for our
- > mistakes forever. There is hope. We also can't keep making the same
- > mistakes without consequences, whether earthly or heavenly (the former is
- > common sense - keep hitting your thumb with a hammer, eventually you'll
- > break it ;-).
- >
- > In practice the change is real, and the heart does take on a new perspective
- > on our own lives, responsibilities, people around us, etc. We don't stop
- > making mistakes (but that's what forgiveness is for - not excuses, but

> second chances), and we don't become perfect humans, but now we have hope
> beyond what the world has to offer.
>
> Happy Easter all,
> Detric
>
>
> On 3/20/08 8:03 AM, in article 47e27184@linux, "Bill L"
> <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>
>> Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark
>> Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their
>> control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know
>> the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.
>>
>> I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the
>> goodness of man.
>>
>>
>> DC wrote:
>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>
>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>
>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>
>>> DC
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 17:10:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:47e22dbe\$1@linux...

>
> It always amazes me how so many people do not understand Christianity. A
> child is not told it is doomed, just the opposite. The child is told that
> if the child is baptized and believes in Jesus Christ, the child is saved!
>

.....and that's the problem.

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 18:15:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.....Don, there will allways be questions if we don't understand things, and that's reall good...

This is the end for me in this discussion that went far away from its origin, where I was the second in this thread, asking questions about the difference between China's invasion in Tibet or USA's invasion in Iraq, when James asked to boycott China after their behavings in Tibet in the last. I think there must have been problems to get any answers on this since I couldn't see any;-)

Erling

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e29d1f\$1@linux...

>

> Ok, this is your version of the "human myth making" story.

>

> What if Jesus was not a myth?

>

> What if the only version that is a myth is the one we make up?

>

> DC

>

>

> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>>...and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?

>>Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the

>>familyculture

>

>>a child is growing up in, will allways color the way a child will live and

>

>>go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of

>>sins

>

>>in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps

> to

>>destroy a childs mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the

>>words

>

>>for this kind of doings. We are just giving our sivilization into the

>>hands

>

>>of our childrens future with the ways we're living the day.

>>

>>There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in

>>peace

>

>>or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For me,
>
>>the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways to
>
>>live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,
>
>>told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years
>>before
>
>>someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words
>
>>had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being
>>written
>
>>down.
>>I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.
>>
>>Thanks for listening.
>>
>>Erling
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>news:47e1929b\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>
>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>
>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?
>>>>>
>>>>>No.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that
>>>>>
>>>>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>>>>
>>>>>and keep your own counsel.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Some things may be simply real.
>>>>
>>>>best,
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>DC wrote:
>>>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to
>>> do
>>>>
>>>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are
>>>>>>> experienced
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g.
> the
>>>>
>>>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what
> is
>>>>
>>>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and

>>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much
>>>>>>> spot-on?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>>> telling"?.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and
>>>>>>>> help
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>>>>>>>a
>>>>>>> culture
>>>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it
>
>>>>>>>>> over,
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>>>>Middle
>>>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and
> all
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 18:21:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok, this is your version of the "human myth making" story.

What if Jesus was not a myth?

What if the only version that is a myth is the one we make up?

DC

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>...and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?

>Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the familyculture

>a child is growing up in, will allways color the way a child will live and

>go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of sins

>in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps
to

>destroy a childs mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the words

>for this kind of doings. We are just giving our sivilization into the hands

>of our childrens future with the ways we're living the day.

>

>There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in peace

>or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For me,

>the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways to

>live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,

>told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years before

>someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words

>had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being written

>down.

>I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.

>
>Thanks for listening.
>
>Erling
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e1929b\$1@linux...
>>
>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>
>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>
>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?
>>>
>>>No.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think that
>>>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>>>and keep your own counsel.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Some things may be simply real.
>>>
>>>>best,
>>>
>>>>DC
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>DC wrote:
>>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing to
>> do
>>>
>>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are
>>>>>> experienced
>>>>>
>>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and he
>>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g.
the
>>>
>>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what
is
>>>
>>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much spot-on?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>>> telling"?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If so,
>>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and help
>>>
>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most have
>>>a
>>>> culture
>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think it

>>>>>>> over,
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from the
>>>Middle
>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and
all
>>>> the
>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 19:16:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yup. That's the way I see it. Well put my friend.
Steve

"We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being raised from the dead to reunite with His Father"

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>I'll only post this in response to your two posts since this topic is not
>only off topic, but also unpopular:
>
>Actually the Bible says Romans 3:22-24 "22 This righteousness from God comes
>through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe. There is no difference,

23

>for all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, 24 and are justified
>freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus."

>

>Some use "turn or burn" tactics, but I don't agree with that approach.

At

>the same time, the "do whatever feels right to you" mentality is also
>fatally flawed. Between those two is where Jesus taught - we aren't
>perfect, and will never be on our own (anyone that disagrees, please attempt
>to go a whole day without making a mistake of any kind, whether in action,
>how you talk to someone else, or how you think ;-). Only through God's
>grace and by his love can be be perfected in Him - not perfect humans, but
>loved perfectly (i.e. beyond what we practice and understand in human love)

>

>The point of the above passage, and the idea that we have all sinned is
that

>we can't receive God's grace and forgiveness, and become "righteous" in
His

>sight by our own power, deeds or merit. It isn't a scare tactic even though
>it has been used that way.

>

>We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took
>the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being
>raised from the dead to reunite with His Father - this became the symbol
of

>what "being saved" is all about - allowing old ways to die, and a new life
>to begin, not just living a good life. This is how the concept of being
>sinner really becomes a beautiful thing - we aren't doomed to pay for our
>mistakes forever. There is hope. We also can't keep making the same
>mistakes without consequences, whether earthly or heavenly (the former is
>common sense - keep hitting your thumb with a hammer, eventually you'll
>break it ;-).

>

>In practice the change is real, and the heart does take on a new perspective
>on our own lives, responsibilities, people around us, etc. We don't stop
>making mistakes (but that's what forgiveness is for - not excuses, but
>second chances), and we don't become perfect humans, but now we have hope
>beyond what the world has to offer.

>

>Happy Easter all,

>Dedric

>

>

>On 3/20/08 8:03 AM, in article 47e27184@linux, "Bill L"

><bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>

>> Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark

>> Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their

>> control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know
>> the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.
>>
>> I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the
>> goodness of man.
>>
>>
>> DC wrote:
>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>
>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>
>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>
>>> DC
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 19:46:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Erling,

You have expressed, and on quite a few occasions, the "human myth-making" view of faith, and Christianity in particular, and blamed "religion" for much evil, even including damaging the minds/psyches of the young with these "stories".

Saying these things, is not simply an opinion, it is an assertion of fact: of how things really are.

Saying "whatever works for you" is merely assenting to this view, because if there is a concrete reality to the life of Christ, if he really did what he is said to have done, then the "myth making" perspective is simply wrong, and what we think means much less than what he thinks.

So, there is a level more fundamental than our opinions, and it is the answer to whether Jesus was real and lived as Christians believed and was raised from the dead.

Everything follows from that answer.

To assert that opinion is everything, and whatever works

for you is as good as whatever works for the next guy,
is to assent to Christ being a myth and his resurrection a lie.

But what if the evidence says they are likely to be true?

Would it matter then what Zoroaster said? Zeus? The
Spaghetti Monster?

DC

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>....Don, there will allways be questions if we don't understand things,
and

>that's reall good...

>This is the end for me in this discussion that went far away from its

>origin, where I was the second in this thread, asking questions about the

>difference between China's invasion in Tibet or USA's invasion in Iraq,
when

>James asked to boycott China after their behavings in Tibet in the last.

I

>think there must have been problems to get any answers on this since I

>couldn't see any;-)

>

>Erling

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e29d1f\$1@linux...

>>

>> Ok, this is your version of the "human myth making" story.

>>

>> What if Jesus was not a myth?

>>

>> What if the only version that is a myth is the one we make up?

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>>>...and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?

>>>Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the

>>>familyculture

>>

>>>a child is growing up in, will allways color the way a child will live

and

>>

>>>go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of

>>>sins
>>
>>>in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps
>> to
>>>destroy a childs mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the

>>>words
>>
>>>for this kind of doings. We are just giving our sivilization into the

>>>hands
>>
>>>of our childrens future with the ways we're living the day.
>>>
>>>There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in

>>>peace
>>
>>>or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For
me,
>>
>>>the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways
to
>>
>>>live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,
>>
>>>told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years
>>>before
>>
>>>someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words
>>
>>>had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being
>>>written
>>
>>>down.
>>>I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.
>>>
>>>Thanks for listening.
>>>
>>>Erling
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>news:47e1929b\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>>
>>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and

>>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>>
>>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?
>>>>>
>>>>> No.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think
that
>>>>>>
>>>>>> is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>>>>>
>>>>>> and keep your own counsel.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Some things may be simply real.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> best,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing
to
>>>> do
>>>>>
>>>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are

>>>>>>> experienced
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and
he
>>>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g.
>> the
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what
>> is
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> true for you."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that
>>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best
>>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical
>>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,
>>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and
>>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much
>>>>>>> spot-on?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story
>>>>>>> telling"?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If
so,
>>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;
>>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this
>>>>>>> life.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they
>>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and

>>>>>>>> help
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:
>>>>>>>> Most of the difference and
>>>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most
have
>>>>>a
>>>>>> culture
>>>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think
it
>>
>>>>>>>>> over,
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from
the
>>>>>Middle
>>>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and
>> all
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 20 Mar 2008 21:30:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Erling, this explains a LOT. If your experience with Christianity is with Apostolic Christians, than I totally understand your thinking. What I'm about to say is not meant to offend anybody especially you Erling. What I am about to say is fact as I know it and my opinion based on my personal experiences with Apostolic Christians that I know.

First off, there are many different versions of Christianity. Some have been twisted and perverted the teachings of Christianity over history for their own purposes. Others have mis-taught the bible due to misunderstanding or misinterpretation. And others have concocted and added to the bible, which it says in the bible not to do. Many phonies claim to be Christians

that use the name of Jesus Christ to make money. Because anybody can claim to be a Christian, Christianity is not perfect. Not all Christians are the same, and may have differing beliefs. Christianity is not alone when it comes to these things, other religions also have similar problems, such as extremism.

From my experience and knowledge, the Apostolic Christians are a misguided bunch. They have many strict man made rules, such as, women can not swim with men, they consider this a sin. The women are not supposed to cut their hair, and they must cover their legs, etc. Their minister worship, which is man worship, not God worship fringes on being a Christian cult. The women are so brainwashed by their ministers that they will do almost ANYTHING their ministers tell them to do. In other words, they worship and follow what man tells them to do, not what the bible says, which is the word of God. They are vary controlled. They are a vary strange bunch.

There are Christian extremist that pervert the teachings of the bible and give Christians a bad name. The media loves to exploit the radical Christians like the ones that dance around with rattle snakes in order to make Christians look bad. They want people to think all Christians are a bunch of nuts.

The phony TV evangelist that claim to be good Christians, and then try to sell you their latest book on how to think and how to send them more money, give Christianity a bad name. It's especially bad when these hypocrites go off with prostitutes and so on. The real bad part about this is, that is what most non believers are exposed to, and end up believing that that is what Christianity is about.

It is vary unfortunate that Christians are viewed so poorly because of a few.

"erlilo" <erlingl@tdcadsl.dk> wrote:

>James, did you read DC's post that I answered and do I wrote English so

>badly that you couldn't see or understand what I wrote about the child?

I

>know very well Christianity after living with my family in the American

>Church, "The Apostolic Faith" in Stavanger, Norway, as far as I remember in

>my youth. I know exactly well how it is to be confirmed with sins and "the

>right way of living" from my whole youth in late '40 and the '50. To be

>curious on the society in the town and the pulsing life outside The

>Apostolic Church, I was told nearly all the times in my youth that the

>people there lived in sin, so I should stay far away from them.

>Unbelievable nice people in the Church, that did know what the bible said

>but didn't know anything about the society around them, because the society

>was just living in sin and was doomed in their eyes. Not any harm at all

>with these people, just that they had isolated themselves from the society
in

>those old bible readings, in the name of Christ.

>So please James, don't tell me that I don't understand Christianity way
of

>living, if it is that you're trying to say with your lines here.

>

>Thanks for listening and give it a try to understand what I really wrote.

>

>Erling

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>news:47e22dbe\$1@linux...

>>

>> It always amazes me how so many people do not understand Christianity.

A

>> child is not told it is doomed, just the opposite. The child is told
that

>> if the child is baptized and believes in Jesus Christ, the child is saved!

>>

>> "erlilo" <erlingl@tdcads1.dk> wrote:

>>>...and what did the New testament wrote about Jesus and the children?

>>>Basically, a child are borned without any sin at all, but the

>>>familyculture

>>

>>>a child is growing up in, will always color the way a child will live
and

>>

>>>go. If you're telling your child that it is lost and doomed because of

>>>sins

>>

>>>in your storytellings to a child, you are really taking the first steps

>> to

>>>destroy a child's mind. In my eyes, religious brainwashing are just the

>>>words

>>

>>>for this kind of doings. We are just giving our civilization into the

>>>hands

>>

>>>of our children's future with the ways we're living the day.

>>>

>>>There have allways been good and bad sivilizations, that could live in
>>>peace
>>
>>>or make war, that have really been colored by good or bad leaders. For
me,
>>
>>>the old Bible is just a historical "cooking book" with recipes of ways
to
>>
>>>live, after someone seeing and learning about good and bad ways to live,
>>
>>>told from mouth to mouth by good people up against thousand of years
>>>before
>>
>>>someone could written the words down, with all that colorations the words
>>
>>>had got from mouth to mouth in those thousand of years before being
>>>written
>>
>>>down.
>>>I will just call it with few words: The Wisdom Words of Life.
>>>
>>>Thanks for listening.
>>>
>>>Erling
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>news:47e1929b\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>>
>>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>>
>>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>Did Jesus say all people are not basically good?
>>>>>
>>>>>No.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>>I agree with Hubbard: what's real for you is real for you. I think
that
>>>>>
>>>>>>is as fair and true a statement as can be made. Make your own decisions
>>>>>
>>>>>>and keep your own counsel.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Some things may be simply real.
>>>>>
>>>>>>best,
>>>>>
>>>>>>DC
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>DC wrote:
>>>>>>>> But, (and I am speaking to you and Erling here), both the
>>>>>>>> "myth making" view of faith and the opinions of Hubbard (and
>>>>>>>> many others) about human nature became moot if the evidence for
>>>>>>>> Jesus is compelling.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> By the way, belief or non-belief in Jesus has absolutely nothing
to
>>>>> do
>>>>>
>>>>>>>> with Scientology. Scientology is not "faith-based". It is entirely
>>>>>>>>> empirically derived and the technologies of Scientology are
>>>>>>>>> experienced
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> daily by Scientologists. Hubbard was trained as an engineer and
he
>>>>>>>>>> insisted that his technology be as workable and repeatable as e.g.
>> the
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> formula for building a bridge.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Many Christians, Jews, what have you are also Scientologists - but
>>>>>>>>>> saner, happier ones. Hubbard wrote, "What is true for you is what
>> is
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> true for you."

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> DC wrote:

>>>>>>> I just have one question for you both.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> What would change in your beliefs if it could be shown that

>>>>>>> the real Jesus was the one of the Bible? That all the best

>>>>>>> evidence, when considered outside of philosophical

>>>>>>> pre-commitments, shows that Jesus was who he said he was,

>>>>>>> and the story of his origin, purpose, his life, death and

>>>>>>> yes his resurrection, as shown in the Bible, is pretty much

>>>>>>> spot-on?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> What would happen to "faith as human myth-making and story

>>>>>>> telling"?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> What would happen to Scientology?

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> If it is true, would it not affect your beliefs profoundly? If

so,

>>>>>>> then I would suggest resolving this issue is an important task;

>>>>>>> perhaps the most important of all the things we do in this

>>>>>>> life.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> DC

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> What would happen to faith as mere human

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>>>> All people are basically good and are trying to survive as they

>>>>>>> understand it. With that starting point you can understand and

>>>>>>> help

>>>>>

>>>>>>> others. All people are basically good.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> erlilo wrote:

>>>>>>> Most of the difference and

>>>>>>>> problems are in the HUMAN made religions that's for the most

have

>>>>>a

>>>>>>> culture

>>>>>>>> thousand of years back in the history. It's special to think

it

>>

>>>>>>>>> over,

>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> bible was a product that's started our christian stories from
the
>>>>>Middle
>>>>>>>> East with stories about God and The Garden of Eden(in Iran) and
>> all
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> wars
>>>>>>>>> following up, long before someone could write at all.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2008 11:48:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the tower of babel wasn't about different languages it's about
different ideas of god. we can all agree that a tree is a tree no
matter in what language but god and salvation is quite a different
animal.

On Thu, 20 Mar 2008 09:15:58 -0600, Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net>
wrote:

>I'll only post this in response to your two posts since this topic is not
>only off topic, but also unpopular:
>
>Actually the Bible says Romans 3:22-24 "22 This righteousness from God comes
>through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe. There is no difference, 23
>for all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, 24 and are justified
>freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus."
>
>Some use "turn or burn" tactics, but I don't agree with that approach. At
>the same time, the "do whatever feels right to you" mentality is also
>fatally flawed. Between those two is where Jesus taught - we aren't
>perfect, and will never be on our own (anyone that disagrees, please attempt
>to go a whole day without making a mistake of any kind, whether in action,
>how you talk to someone else, or how you think ;-). Only through God's
>grace and by his love can be be perfected in Him - not perfect humans, but
>loved perfectly (i.e. beyond what we practice and understand in human love)
>

>The point of the above passage, and the idea that we have all sinned is that
>we can't receive God's grace and forgiveness, and become "righteous" in His
>sight by our own power, deeds or merit. It isn't a scare tactic even though
>it has been used that way.

>

>We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took
>the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being
>raised from the dead to reunite with His Father - this became the symbol of
>what "being saved" is all about - allowing old ways to die, and a new life
>to begin, not just living a good life. This is how the concept of being
>sinners really becomes a beautiful thing - we aren't doomed to pay for our
>mistakes forever. There is hope. We also can't keep making the same
>mistakes without consequences, whether earthly or heavenly (the former is
>common sense - keep hitting your thumb with a hammer, eventually you'll
>break it ;-).

>

>In practice the change is real, and the heart does take on a new perspective
>on our own lives, responsibilities, people around us, etc. We don't stop
>making mistakes (but that's what forgiveness is for - not excuses, but
>second chances), and we don't become perfect humans, but now we have hope
>beyond what the world has to offer.

>

>Happy Easter all,

>Dedric

>

>

>On 3/20/08 8:03 AM, in article 47e27184@linux, "Bill L"

><bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>

>> Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark
>> Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their
>> control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know
>> the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.

>>

>> I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the
>> goodness of man.

>>

>>

>> DC wrote:

>>> Sorry, I misread your question.

>>>

>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.

>>>

>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.

>>>

>>> DC

Subject: Re: China

Posted by [Stevehwan](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2008 14:03:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't know what books you are reading but the tower of babel isn't about different gods. It was about the power of agreement. All those people were in agreement to build a tower that would reach Heaven. Wasn't Gods plan for getting to Heaven. So He had to do something to break their wrong motives and broke their native tongue up into different languages so that they couldn't understand each other and the tower stopped. So when you hear about someone who is just "babelling" on about something, you'll know where the term came from. Babelling never makes any sense does it? But don't take my word for it... Take His. Check out the Word.

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>the tower of babel wasn't about different languages it's about
>different ideas of god. we can all agree that a tree is a tree no
>matter in what language but god and salvation is quite a different
>animal.

>

>On Thu, 20 Mar 2008 09:15:58 -0600, Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net>
>wrote:

>

>>I'll only post this in response to your two posts since this topic is not
>>only off topic, but also unpopular:

>>

>>Actually the Bible says Romans 3:22-24 "22 This righteousness from God
comes

>>through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe. There is no difference,
23

>>for all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, 24 and are justified
>>freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus."

>>

>>Some use "turn or burn" tactics, but I don't agree with that approach.

At

>>the same time, the "do whatever feels right to you" mentality is also
>>fatally flawed. Between those two is where Jesus taught - we aren't
>>perfect, and will never be on our own (anyone that disagrees, please attempt
>>to go a whole day without making a mistake of any kind, whether in action,
>>how you talk to someone else, or how you think ;-). Only through God's
>>grace and by his love can be be perfected in Him - not perfect humans,
but

>>loved perfectly (i.e. beyond what we practice and understand in human love)

>>

>>The point of the above passage, and the idea that we have all sinned is
that

>>we can't receive God's grace and forgiveness, and become "righteous" in
His

>>sight by our own power, deeds or merit. It isn't a scare tactic even though

>>it has been used that way.
>>
>>We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took
>>the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being
>>raised from the dead to reunite with His Father - this became the symbol
of
>>what "being saved" is all about - allowing old ways to die, and a new life
>>to begin, not just living a good life. This is how the concept of being
>>sinner really becomes a beautiful thing - we aren't doomed to pay for
our
>>mistakes forever. There is hope. We also can't keep making the same
>>mistakes without consequences, whether earthly or heavenly (the former
is
>>common sense - keep hitting your thumb with a hammer, eventually you'll
>>break it ;-).
>>
>>In practice the change is real, and the heart does take on a new perspective
>>on our own lives, responsibilities, people around us, etc. We don't stop
>>making mistakes (but that's what forgiveness is for - not excuses, but
>>second chances), and we don't become perfect humans, but now we have hope
>>beyond what the world has to offer.
>>
>>Happy Easter all,
>>Dedric
>>
>>
>>On 3/20/08 8:03 AM, in article 47e27184@linux, "Bill L"
>><bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark
>>> Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their
>>> control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know
>>> the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.
>>>
>>> I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the
>>> goodness of man.
>>>
>>>
>>> DC wrote:
>>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>>
>>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>>
>>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>>
>>>> DC

>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2008 14:32:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i know the story. my comment was that instead of different languages creating the problems between men (people) it's their idea of what god is and whom he favors. i have never seen people "babble" on about how and why a tree is named a tree in any language. but these same individuals can and do go to extremes to prove their point of view are the "right and true" visions...they'll even kill because some greater power has ordained it the righteous thing to do.

On 22 Mar 2008 00:03:59 +1000, "Steve Cox" <stevec1@charter.net> wrote:

>

>Don't know what books you are reading but the tower of babel isn't about different
>gods. It was about the power of agreement. All those people were in agreement
>to build a tower that would reach Heaven. Wasn't Gods plan for getting to
>Heaven. So He had to do something to break their wrong motives and broke
>their native tongue up into different languages so that they couldn't understand
>each other and the tower stopped. So when you hear about someone who is just
>"babelling" on about something, you'll know where the term came from. Babelling
>never makes any sense does it? But don't take my word for it... Take His.
>Check out the Word.

>

>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>the tower of babel wasn't about different languages it's about
>>different ideas of god. we can all agree that a tree is a tree no
>>matter in what language but god and salvation is quite a different
>>animal.

>>

>>On Thu, 20 Mar 2008 09:15:58 -0600, Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net>
>>wrote:

>>

>>>I'll only post this in response to your two posts since this topic is not
>>>only off topic, but also unpopular:

>>>

>>>Actually the Bible says Romans 3:22-24 "22 This righteousness from God
>comes

>>>through faith in Jesus Christ to all who believe. There is no difference,

>23

>>>for all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, 24 and are justified

>>>freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus."
>>>
>>>Some use "turn or burn" tactics, but I don't agree with that approach.
> At
>>>the same time, the "do whatever feels right to you" mentality is also
>>>fatally flawed. Between those two is where Jesus taught - we aren't
>>>perfect, and will never be on our own (anyone that disagrees, please attempt
>>>to go a whole day without making a mistake of any kind, whether in action,
>>>how you talk to someone else, or how you think ;-). Only through God's
>>>grace and by his love can be be perfected in Him - not perfect humans,
>but
>>>loved perfectly (i.e. beyond what we practice and understand in human love)
>>>
>>>The point of the above passage, and the idea that we have all sinned is
>that
>>>we can't receive God's grace and forgiveness, and become "righteous" in
>His
>>>sight by our own power, deeds or merit. It isn't a scare tactic even though
>>>it has been used that way.
>>>
>>>We have to accept and believe that Jesus, not only loves us, but also took
>>>the burden of our own sin upon himself by dying on the cross and being
>>>raised from the dead to reunite with His Father - this became the symbol
>of
>>>what "being saved" is all about - allowing old ways to die, and a new life
>>>to begin, not just living a good life. This is how the concept of being
>>>sinners really becomes a beautiful thing - we aren't doomed to pay for
>our
>>>mistakes forever. There is hope. We also can't keep making the same
>>>mistakes without consequences, whether earthly or heavenly (the former
>is
>>>common sense - keep hitting your thumb with a hammer, eventually you'll
>>>break it ;-).
>>>
>>>In practice the change is real, and the heart does take on a new perspective
>>>on our own lives, responsibilities, people around us, etc. We don't stop
>>>making mistakes (but that's what forgiveness is for - not excuses, but
>>>second chances), and we don't become perfect humans, but now we have hope
>>>beyond what the world has to offer.
>>>
>>>Happy Easter all,
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>
>>>On 3/20/08 8:03 AM, in article 47e27184@linux, "Bill L"
>>><bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Personally, repeat *personally*, I think some creepy, disgusting Dark

>>>> Ages priests said "all are lost and doomed by their sins". That is their
>>>> control mechanism: "Do what we say or burn forever in Hell. Only I know
>>>> the truth." Personally, I think that kind of coercion is disgusting.
>>>>
>>>> I prefer to believe Jesus was full of love and trust and belief in the
>>>> goodness of man.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>> Sorry, I misread your question.
>>>>>
>>>>> Jesus taught that all are lost and all are doomed by their
>>>>> sins. He never ever said people are basically good and
>>>>> this position is against everything he stood and died for.
>>>>>
>>>>> We can pursue this further if you like, but it is very clear.
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2008 18:23:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHSS681.html>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
in
>supporting this government in any way.
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2008 23:16:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI00RG0.html>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHSS681.html>

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>in
>>supporting this government in any way.
>>
>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>
>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2008 23:19:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHOFEG0.html>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI00RG0.html>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHSS681.html>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>in
>>>supporting this government in any way.
>>>
>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>
>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>
>

Subject: Re: China
Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 01:43:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI3LVO2.html>

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHOFEG0.html>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VI00RG0.html>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080321/D8VHSS681.html>
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>I think it's time to boycott China and their products, there is no sense
>>>>in
>>>>supporting this government in any way.
>>>>
>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VELU0G0.html>
>>>>
>>>><http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080316/D8VEM3KG0.html>
>>>
>>
>
