
Subject: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 00:24:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why the heck not welcome some more illegals.

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

"Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

"No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in its preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

"FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

"In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain

"History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the timid."
-- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953

"The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the brave."
-- Ronald Reagan

"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave." -- Patrick Henry

"The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of the brave."-- Rick Gaber

"Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart, and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." -- Winston Churchill

Guidance,
Rich

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 11:19:49 GMT

I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.

A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.

With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all one's own freedoms are increased.

Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why the
> heck not welcome some more illegals.

>

> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

>

> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

>

> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in its
> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

>

> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

>

> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and
> hated

> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs
> nothing

> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain

>

> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
> timid."

> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953

>

> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the brave."

> -- Ronald Reagan

>

> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
> active,

> the brave." -- Patrick Henry

>
> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>
> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> Winston Churchill
>
> Guidance,
> Rich
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 12:44:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been treating terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always been instead of as a pretense for war, flourishing nests of fanatical morons like this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with you, but I hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last four years has done anything but fan the flames.

Some alternative quotations:

"And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the war-cry, and shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open his mouth; and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen will invent cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, and every man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he will by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God for the better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque self-deception." - Mark Twain, from *The Mysterious Stranger*

"In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist.

We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower,

1961

"...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald Reagan

"You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live in America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

"I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to the manger even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not admit that a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger race, a higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston Churchill (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative heart/brain <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)

"Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

"Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

"Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. Eat them!" - Homer Simpson

"Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from Simpsons episode

Courage,
Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

<http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>
"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message news:464ce932@linux...

> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why
> the
> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>
> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>
> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>

> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
> its
> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>
> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>
> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and
> hated
> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
> costs
> nothing
> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>
> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
> timid."
> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>
> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
> brave."
> -- Ronald Reagan
>
> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
> active,
> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>
> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>
> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> Winston Churchill
>
> Guidance,
> Rich
>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamburg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 13:51:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-)) I am a constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment. Unfortunately the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant

theocratic rubbish upon us.

Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and children. But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God no, despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and practicing for violent Jihad.

Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent incidents involving Jemaah Islamiyah in the US?

"By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the DC "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to the group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel Pearl was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>

What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians. Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom of religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice to exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep an eye on these guys.

Rich

From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464d8d4f@linux...

> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of

> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.

>

> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.

>

> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased

> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all

> one's own freedoms are increased.

>

> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>

>

>

>
> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> > You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why
the
> > heck not welcome some more illegals.
> >
> > <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
> >
> > "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
> >
> > "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
its
> > preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
> >
> > "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
> > Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> >
> > "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
and
> > hated
> > and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
costs
> > nothing
> > to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >
> > "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
> > timid."
> > -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
> >
> > "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
brave."
> > -- Ronald Reagan
> >
> > "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
> > active,
> > the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> >
> > "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
> > the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >
> > "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> > and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> > Winston Churchill
> >
> > Guidance,
> > Rich
> >
> >
> >

> >

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 14:01:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rich -

Considering the events that ensued, I think the Feds' suspicions were correct. Interesting that you put the entire blame on the Feds for the final result. I, for one, am glad that Koresh and his collection of whackjobs are closer to God now, though it is a shame that THEY choose to take many innocents with them.

Calling the Davidians Christians is like calling GWB eloquent.

- Paul Artola
Ellicott City, Maryland

On Fri, 18 May 2007 08:51:46 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
<richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:

>Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
>search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and children.
>But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God no,
>despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and practicing
>for violent Jihad.

(snip)

>
>What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.

(snip)

>
>Rich
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 14:27:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, Sarah this doesn't surprise me. Wasn't the prior administration treating Terrorism as an "International Criminal Problem"? That did leagues to stem the tide of extremism. Iraq is the tinder box for terrorism at the moment. If we leave without a clear strategy and resolution, there is no doubt that it will embolden the enemy with dire consequences both there, elsewhere in the Islamic world and here as well.

I'm reading a book right now titled, "Human Rights Abuses In Iraq", published by Human Rights Watch, prior to our invasion and when Saddam was in power. Believe me when I say Iraq now is a utopia compared to what it was before, (tongue firmly planted in cheek). Seriously, you can't believe the stuff that was going on there.

I believe Blair was right in saying history would forgive us even if no weapons of mass destruction were found in Iraq.

"If we are wrong, we will have destroyed a threat that at its least is responsible for inhuman carnage and suffering. That is something I am confident history will forgive," "But if our critics are wrong... and we do not act, then we will have hesitated in the face of this menace when we should have given leadership. That is something history will not forgive.

Rich

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:464da14d@linux...
> Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always been
> instead of as a pretense for war, flourishing nests of fanatical morons
like
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last four years
> has done anything but fan the flames.
>
> Some alternative quotations:
>
> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open his
mouth;
> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen will
invent
> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
diligently
> study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he
will
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God for
the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque self-deception." -

> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>
> "In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced power exists and will persist.
>
> We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert
> and
> knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
> industrial
> and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower,
> 1961
>
> "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it
> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald
> Reagan
>
> "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can
> go
> to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or
> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live in
> America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>
> "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to the
> manger
> even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit
> that
> right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to
> the
> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not admit
> that
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative
> heart/brain
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)
>
> "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>
> "Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. Eat

> them!" - Homer Simpson
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from Simpsons
> episode
>
> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>
>
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:464ce932@linux...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>> and
>> hated
>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of

> > the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >
> > "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> > and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> > Winston Churchill
> >
> > Guidance,
> > Rich
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 15:13:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0111_01C7992C.DE5A0240
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
news:464da14d@linux...
> Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
treating=20
> terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always been=20
> instead of as a pretense for war,=20

.....now if they would just build an aspirin factory there, we could =
attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people there are =
black so this would be PC.

flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with you, =
but I=20
> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last four =
years=20
> has done anything but fan the flames.
>=20

there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp

> Some alternative quotations:

>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the war-cry, =
and=20

> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open his =
mouth;=20

> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen will =
invent=20

> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, and =
every=20

> man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will =
diligently=20

> study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
will=20

> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God =
for the=20

> better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
self-deception." -=20

> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort =
them as much as you please".

>=20

> "In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition =
of=20

> unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20

> military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of=20

> misplaced power exists and will persist.

Mark Twain also said "I have been thought some terrible things in my =
life, some of which actually happened"

>=20

> We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
liberties or=20

> democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an =
alert and=20

> knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge =
industrial=20

> and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, =
so=20

> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
Eisenhower,=20

> 1961

Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends upon an =

alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20

- > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great = numbers of=20
- > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are = those=20
- > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One = thing is=20
- > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed = if it=20
- > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - = Ronald=20
- > Reagan

I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any = identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal = health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is = such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked = living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live. If = I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I = have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I = know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

- >=20
- > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you = can go=20
- > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, = or=20
- > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live = in=20
- > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. Are = you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the way = we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North one = of your heroes?=20

- >=20
- > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to the = manger=20
- > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not = admit that=20
- > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done = to the=20
- > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not = admit that=20
- > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger = race, a=20

> higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
Churchill=20
> (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
heart/brain=20
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)

I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a way to =
challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that handed =
Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out of =
there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
=20

> "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

"I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
6:30".-Groucho Marx

>>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

"When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a disgrace, =
and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>=20

> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. Eat=20
> them!" - Homer Simpson

>=20

> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
Simpsons=20
> episode

"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>=20

> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I would =
take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a quiet =
place and kill him"-Mark Twain.

;o)

=20

>=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
> news:464ce932@linux...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. =
Why=20
>> the
>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant =
in=20
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside =
a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, =
and
>> hated
>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =

>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the=20
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, =
the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home =
of
>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,

>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." =
--=20
>> Winston Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>> Rich
>>
>>
>>=20
>=20
>

-----=_NextPart_000_0111_01C7992C.DE5A0240

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>> wrote in=20

message <FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>...</DIV>

<DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise you . =
.. . ? </DIV>

Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the international =
criminal=20

problem that it's always been
> instead of as a pretense for war, =

</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>.....now if they would just build =
an asprin=20

factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most =
of the=20

people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical morons =
like
>=20

this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
you, but I=20

> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
four=20
years
> has done anything but fan the flames.
> =
</DIV>
<DIV>there are lots of flourishing nests of =
fanatical=20
morons Sarah.</DIV>
<DIV> <A=20
href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
FONT></DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
> Some alternative =
quotations:
>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
war-cry,=20
and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to =
open=20
his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next =
the=20
statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the =
nation=20
that is attacked, and every
> man will be glad of those=20
conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> study them, =
and=20
refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he will
> by =
and by=20
convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God for the =

>=20
better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque self-deception." =
-=20

> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your facts =
first, and=20
then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "In the councils of =
government,=20
we must guard against the acquisition of
> unwarranted influence, =
whether=20
sought or unsought, by the
> military-industrial complex. The =
potential=20
for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced power exists and will=20
persist.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
thought some=20
terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
happened"</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> We must never let the =
weight of=20
this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
processes. We=20
should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
knowledgeable=20
citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial
> =
and=20
military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so =

>=20
that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower, =

> 1961</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
knowledgable citizenry=20

depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>

<DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder about =
the illegal=20

alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed really victims =
of the=20

illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal tourists actually =
doing=20

work our own people won't do? One thing is
> certain in this =
hungry=20

world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it
> results in =
crops=20

rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald
>=20

Reagan</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =
should not have=20

to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my =
own=20

personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle =
insurance=20

is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also =
liked=20

living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live. If =
I go=20

down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a =
wreck=20

down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I =

law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick =
their=20
asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

> =

"Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2> </DIV>

<DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are =
going to have 30=20

minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to =
8.00 to=20

educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
6:30".-Groucho=20

Marx
</DIV>

<DIV>>>Patriotism is the last refuge =
of a=20

scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes =
a=20

disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT=20
face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3>W.C.=20

Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't =
eat me! I=20

have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer Simpson</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
protest=20

sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

> =

>=20

Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . . =
)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a =
man should=20

challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and =
lead him=20

to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>
>
> <A=20

href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
><FONT=20

face=3DArial=20
size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>

<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>> wrote=20
in message
> <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>...
>> You=20
can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why =

>>=20
the
>> heck not welcome some more =
illegals.
>>
>>=20
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
T=20
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2><http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm></FON=
T>
<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
price of=20
liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is =
entitled to the=20
blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>> =
its
>>=20
preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> =
"FREEDOM IS=20
NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>> =
Unitarian=20
Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the =
beginning of a=20
change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> =
hated
>>=20
and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it=20

>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- =
Mark=20
Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of =
freedom=20
to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. =
Eisenhower,=20
First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>
>> "The =
future=20
doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the =

>>=20
brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The =
battle, sir,=20

is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
active, the brave." -- Patrick Henry
"The
land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home
of the brave."-- Rick Gaber
"Any 20 year-old who
isn't a
liberal doesn't have a heart, and any 40 year-old who isn't
a
conservative doesn't have a brain." -- Winston
Churchill
Guidance,
Rich
</DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0111_01C7992C.DE5A0240--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 16:40:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_000F_01C79941.497CFB40
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds me
at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic
extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those
democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend
themselves against such attacks.

Rich

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:464dc442@linux...

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
news:464da14d@linux...

> Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been
treating

> terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always
been

> instead of as a pretense for war,

.....now if they would just build an asprin factory there, we
could attack it with a cruise missle. Looks like most of the people

there are black so this would be PC.

flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with you, =
but I=20
> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last four =
years=20
> has done anything but fan the flames.
>=20
there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp

> Some alternative quotations:
>=20
> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
war-cry, and=20
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open his =
mouth;=20
> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
will invent=20
> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, and =
every=20
> man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will =
diligently=20
> study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus =
he will=20
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God =
for the=20
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
self-deception." -=20
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort =
them as much as you please".

>=20
> "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
acquisition of=20
> unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
> military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise =
of=20
> misplaced power exists and will persist.

Mark Twain also said "I have been thought some terrible things in my =
life, some of which actually happened"

>=20

> We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower, 1961

Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an alert and knowledgeable CIA"

> "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald Reagan

I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

> "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live in America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North one =

of your heroes?=20

>=20

> "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to the =
manger=20

> even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not =
admit that=20

> right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done =
to the=20

> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not =
admit that=20

> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger =
race, a=20

> higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
Churchill=20

> (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
heart/brain=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)

I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a way =
to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out =
of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

=20

> "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

"I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
6:30".-Groucho Marx

>>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

"When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>=20

> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. Eat =

> them!" - Homer Simpson

>=20

> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
Simpsons=20

> episode

"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>=20
> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.

;o)

>=20
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
> news:464ce932@linux...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. =
Why=20
>> the
>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
vigilant in=20
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
brave, and
>> hated
>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then =
it=20
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or =
the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953

>>
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the =

>> brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant, the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home =
of
>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." =
--=20
>> Winston Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>> Rich
>>
>>
>>=20
>=20
>

-----=_NextPart_000_000F_01C79941.497CFB40

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1555" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
written. It=20

astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for =
Islamic=20

extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20

condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =
reserve=20

the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Rich
</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
 <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
 =
 href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> =
 wrote in=20
 message <A =
 href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
 href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
 size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
 size=3D2>> wrote=20
 in message <FONT face=3DArial=20
 size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial =
 size=3D2>...</DIV>
 <DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise you =
 =20
 ?
> terrorism as the =
 international=20
 criminal problem that it's always been
> instead of as a =
 pretense for=20
 war, </DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>.....now if they would just build =
 an asprin=20
 factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like =
 most of the=20
 people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>
 <DIV> </DIV>
 <DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical morons =
 like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud.

> Hey, fight the fire, =
 I'm with=20
 you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq =
 for the=20
 last four years
> has done anything but fan the flames.
> =

 </DIV>
 <DIV>there are lots of flourishing nests =
 of fanatical=20
 morons Sarah.</DIV>
 <DIV> <A=20
 =
 href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=

FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> [http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp](http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.php)

FONT></DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
> Some alternative =
quotations:
>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
war-cry,=20
and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures =
to open=20
his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next =
the=20
statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the =
nation=20
that is attacked, and every
> man will be glad of those=20
conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> study =
them, and=20
refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he will
> =
by and by=20
convince himself that the war is just, and will thank God for the =

>=20
better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
self-deception." -=20

> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your facts =
first, and=20
then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "In the councils of =
government,=20
we must guard against the acquisition of
> unwarranted =
influence,=20
whether sought or unsought, by the
> military-industrial =
complex. The=20
potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced power exists =
and will=20
persist.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
thought some=20
terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
happened"</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> We must never let =
the weight of=20
this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
processes. We=20

should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower, 1961

Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an alert and knowledgeable CIA" "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald Reagan

I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

"You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or whatever. But

size=3D2> </DIV>
<DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are =
going to have=20
30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 =
to 8.00=20
to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at=20
6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
<DIV>>>Patriotism is the last refuge =
of a=20
scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including hope, =
life becomes=20
a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2><FONT=20
face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3>W.C.=20
Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't =
eat me!=20
I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer Simpson</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
protest=20
sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

> =

>=20
Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . . =
)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If =
a man=20
should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the =
hand and=20
lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>;o)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
>
> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
><FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=

<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20

richard.lamanna@verizon.net wrote in message <news:464ce932@linux> ...

You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why the heck not welcome some more illegals.

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

"Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

"No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in its preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

"FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

"In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain

"History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the timid." -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953

"The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the brave."

Ronald Reagan

"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the

brave."=20
-- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will =
cease to=20
be when it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
doesn't=20
have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative =
doesn't=20
have a brain." --
>> Winston =
Churchill
>>
>>=20
Guidance,
>> Rich
>>>
>>
>> =

>>=20

>></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_000F_01C79941.497CFB40--

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 16:44:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You guys all have a boring Friday huh?

heh

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 16:55:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_016F_01C7993A.FB95CD40
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left =
in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight =
for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken =
first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =
those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this =
administration.

go figure.

;o}

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
news:464dc9f4@linux...

Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds =
me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =
extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =
democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend =
themselves against such attacks.

Rich

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message =
news:464dc442@linux...

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
news:464da14d@linux...

> Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
treating=20

> terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
been=20

> instead of as a pretense for war,=20

.....now if they would just build an asprin factory there, we =
could attack it with a cruise missle. Looks like most of the people =
there are black so this would be PC.

flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
you, but I=20

> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
four years=20

> has done anything but fan the flames.

>=20

there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.

http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp

> Some alternative quotations:

>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
war-cry, and=20

> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
his mouth;=20

> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
will invent=20

> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
and every=20

- > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will = diligently=20
- > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and = thus he will=20
- > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank = God for the=20
- > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque = self-deception." -=20
- > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort = them as much as you please".

- >=20
- > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the = acquisition of=20
- > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
- > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise = of=20
- > misplaced power exists and will persist.

Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in = my life, some of which actually happened"

- >=20
- > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our = liberties or=20
- > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an = alert and=20
- > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge = industrial=20
- > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and = goals, so=20
- > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. = Eisenhower,=20
- > 1961

Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an = alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20

- > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great = numbers of=20
- > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are = those=20
- > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One = thing is=20
- > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be = allowed if it=20

> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - =
Ronald=20
> Reagan

I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =
identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is =
such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked =
living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live. If =
I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I =
know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

>=20
> "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; =
you can go=20
> to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a =
Greek, or=20
> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to =
live in=20
> America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. =
Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the =
way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North =
one of your heroes?=20

>=20
> "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to =
the manger=20
> even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not =
admit that=20
> right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
done to the=20
> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not =
admit that=20
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger =
race, a=20
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
Churchill=20
> (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
heart/brain=20
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)

I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a =
way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out =
of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

=20

> "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

"I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
6:30".-Groucho Marx

>>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

"When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>=20

> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. =
Eat=20

> them!" - Homer Simpson

>=20

> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
Simpsons=20
> episode

"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>=20

> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.

;o)

>=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
> news:464ce932@linux...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
flames. Why=20
>> the
>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
vigilant in=20
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
brave, and
>> hated
>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
then it=20
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or =
the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
the=20
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant, the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
home of
>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
brain." --=20
>> Winston Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>> Rich
>>

>>
>>=20
>=20
>

-----=_NextPart_000_016F_01C7993A.FB95CD40

Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The thing that has always intrigued me =
is how the=20
folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that =
is=20
willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to =
be=20
slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
policies=20
of this administration.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>go figure.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o}</FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
A>&gt;=20
  wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux</A>...</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT size=3D2>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
written. It=20
  astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for =
Islamic=20
  extremist violence&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;and fascism while at the same time=20
  condemn&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;those&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;democratic and&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;freedom loving people, who =
reserve=20
  the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</FONT></DIV>
```

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Rich
</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> =
wrote=20
in message <A =
href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarhtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sarahjane@sarhtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>> wrote=20
in message <FONT face=3DArial =

size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>...</DIV>
<DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
you . . . =20
 ? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20
international criminal problem that it's always been
> =
instead of as=20
a pretense for war, </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>.....now if they would just =
build an asprin=20
factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like =
most of=20
the people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =
morons like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =
I'm=20
with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing =
in Iraq=20
for the last four years
> has done anything but fan the=20
flames.
> </DIV>
<DIV>there are lots of flourishing nests =
of=20
fanatical morons Sarah.</DIV>
<DIV> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
FONT=20

face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
FONT></DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
> Some alternative =
quotations:
>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
the=20
war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
who=20
ventures to open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will =
cease to=20
open. Next the statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting =
the blame=20
upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man will be =
glad of=20
those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> =
study=20
them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
will=20

> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
thank God=20
for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
grotesque=20
self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
Stranger</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
facts first, and=20
then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "In the councils =
of=20
government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
unwarranted=20
influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
military-industrial=20
complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced =
power=20
exists and will persist.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
throught some=20
terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
happened"</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> We must never let =
the weight=20
of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =

processes.=20

We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable =

citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =

military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, =

so=20

> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =

D.=20
Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =

knowledgable=20
citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>

<DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =

about the=20
illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =

really=20
victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =

tourists=20
actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =

certain=20
in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it =

>=20
results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - =

Ronald=20

> Reagan</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =

should not=20
have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should =

be my=20
own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =

vehicle=20
insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =

either. I=20
also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =

there and=20
live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in =

jail. If=20
I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in =

jail. I=20
know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>

<DIV>
>
> "You can go to =

live in=20
France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =

live in=20
Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =

Christopher=20

Hitchens</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are = going to=20

have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday = from 7.30=20

to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they = started at=20

6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>

<DIV>>>Patriotism is the last = refuge of a=20

scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including = hope, life=20

becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT=20

face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3>W.C. =

Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! = Don't eat=20

me! I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer = Simpson</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant = protest=20

sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

> =

> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . . =20)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. = If a man=20

should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the = hand and=20

lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>
>
> <A=20

=

href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>"=

><FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>

<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>>=20
wrote in message
> <A =
href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux<FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
can all=20
fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome =
some more=20
illegals.
>>
>> <A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
T=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2><http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm></FON=
T>
<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
price of=20
liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is =
entitled to=20
the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>>=20
its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
posted=20
as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas =
on Sept.=20
17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
patriot=20
is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> hated
>> and =
scorned.=20
When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =

>>=20
costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the =
care of=20
freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight =
D.=20
Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
1953
>>
>>=20

"The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
the=20

>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
Reagan
>>
>>=20
"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, =
the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
when=20
it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
doesn't=20
have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
conservative=20
doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
Rich
>>
>>
>>
>>=20

>></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_016F_01C7993A.FB95CD40--

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 16:57:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul, I beg to differ with you. Really you've got to be kidding, justifying and rationalizing the actions that Sterno and the Clintonistas taken here. This incident could have been averted. I agree Koresh was a whack job, but Christian or otherwise, what were they guilty of, they weren't bothering anyone and they were legally authorized to trade arms, though it appeared that they weren't following protocol. To get back to the point, Koresh hadn't threatened anyone, he may have been a danger to himself and the others in the compound but unlike the huge network of Jihadists all over the world today, Koresh was a lone nut job.

Rich

"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1rbr43djm2h7j63n2vhtbbdedq08rj0b8@4ax.com...

> Rich -

>

> Considering the events that ensued, I think the Feds' suspicions were
> correct. Interesting that you put the entire blame on the Feds for the
> final result. I, for one, am glad that Koresh and his collection of
> whackjobs are closer to God now, though it is a shame that THEY choose
> to take many innocents with them.

>
> Calling the Davidians Christians is like calling GWB eloquent.
>
> - Paul Artola
> Ellicott City, Maryland
>
> On Fri, 18 May 2007 08:51:46 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
> >Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
> >search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
> >automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> children.
> >But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
> no,
> >despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
> practicing
> >for violent Jihad.
>
> (snip)
>
> >
> >What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>
> (snip)
>
> >
> >Rich
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 16:59:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If he was so innocent, why did he feel compelled to shoot at the Feds when they came to arrest him and kill 4 of them. Paranoia? That logic would turn Paris Hilton into Bonnie Parker!

Should we assume everyone massing a stockpile of weapons is only a danger to himself and not potentially to society?

- Paul

On Fri, 18 May 2007 11:57:29 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
<richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:

>Paul, I beg to differ with you. Really you've got to be kidding, justifying
>and rationalizing the actions that Sterno and the Clintonistas taken here.
>This incident could have been averted. I agree Koresh was a whack job, but
>Christian or otherwise, what were they guilty of, they weren't bothering
>anyone and they were legally authorized to trade arms, though it appeared
>that they weren't following protocol. To get back to the point, Koresh
>hadn't threatened anyone, he may have been a danger to himself and the
>others in the compound but unlike the huge network of Jihadists all over the
>world today, Koresh was a lone nut job.

>

>Rich

>

>

>"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:1rbr43djm2h7j63n2tvhtbbdedq08rj0b8@4ax.com...

>> Rich -

>>

>> Considering the events that ensued, I think the Feds' suspicions were
>> correct. Interesting that you put the entire blame on the Feds for the
>> final result. I, for one, am glad that Koresh and his collection of
>> whackjobs are closer to God now, though it is a shame that THEY choose
>> to take many innocents with them.

>>

>> Calling the Davidians Christians is like calling GWB eloquent.

>>

>> - Paul Artola

>> Ellicott City, Maryland

>>

>> On Fri, 18 May 2007 08:51:46 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"

>> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:

>>

>> >Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>> >Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
>> >search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>> >automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>> >children.

>> >But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>> >no,

>> >despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>> >practicing

>> >for violent Jihad.

>>

>> (snip)

>>

>> >

>> >What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.

>>

>> (snip)
>>
>> >
>> >Rich
>> >
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:15:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The feds shot their dog and her pups when they walked up to the door to serve the warrant. If that happened here, there would be a bunch of deadfeds....no shit amigo.

"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:bmmr43paj5r0d5b6kgbqtd25mmhstnsh2m@4ax.com...
> If he was so innocent, why did he feel compelled to shoot at the Feds
> when they came to arrest him and kill 4 of them. Paranoia? That logic
> would turn Paris Hilton into Bonnie Parker!
>
> Should we assume everyone massing a stockpile of weapons is only a
> danger to himself and not potentially to society?
>
> - Paul
>
> On Fri, 18 May 2007 11:57:29 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
>
>>Paul, I beg to differ with you. Really you've got to be kidding,
>>justifying
>>and rationalizing the actions that Sterno and the Clintonistas taken here.
>>This incident could have been averted. I agree Koresh was a whack job, but
>>Christian or otherwise, what were they guilty of, they weren't bothering
>>anyone and they were legally authorized to trade arms, though it appeared
>>that they weren't following protocol. To get back to the point, Koresh
>>hadn't threatened anyone, he may have been a danger to himself and the
>>others in the compound but unlike the huge network of Jihadists all over
>>the
>>world today, Koresh was a lone nut job.
>>
>>Rich
>>
>>
>>"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:1rbr43djm2h7j63n2tvhtbbdedq08rj0b8@4ax.com...

>>> Rich -
>>>
>>> Considering the events that ensued, I think the Feds' suspicions were
>>> correct. Interesting that you put the entire blame on the Feds for the
>>> final result. I, for one, am glad that Koresh and his collection of
>>> whackjobs are closer to God now, though it is a shame that THEY choose
>>> to take many innocents with them.
>>>
>>> Calling the Davidians Christians is like calling GWB eloquent.
>>>
>>> - Paul Artola
>>> Ellicott City, Maryland
>>>
>>> On Fri, 18 May 2007 08:51:46 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
>>> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>> >Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
>>> >search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>> >automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>> >children.
>>> >But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>>> >no,
>>> >despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>> >practicing
>>> >for violent Jihad.
>>>
>>> (snip)
>>>
>>> >
>>> >What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being
>>> >Christians.
>>>
>>> (snip)
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Rich
>>> >
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:18:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damn!!!.....I can't believe it's Friday already. I honestly thought today

was Thursday.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:464dd7f2\$1@linux...

>
> You guys all have a boring Friday huh?
>
> heh
>
> DC
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamburg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:36:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Paul,

Here's a little something to think about.

<http://www.serendipity.li/waco/moore1.html>

One of my good friends went to high school with Vernon Howell (a/k/a David Koresh). My friend was a great guitar player, and Vernon was always hanging out with him trying to cop some licks. They weren't really good friends or anything but fairly well acquainted and my friend said that he wasn't some demonic wanker or anything like that.

there's lots of wacko's in Waco.....it's home to Baylor University and Jessica/Ashley Simpson fer chrissake!!! Some of my family members attended Baylor and they are now liberal Democrat lawyers so I can attest to the wacko factor.

Now if a bunch of guys came up to your door in black stormtrooper uniforms and the first thing they did was shoot your dog, and the second thing they did was shoot you and a member of your family.....would you be disposed to just let them in?

Not me dude.

Regards,

Deej

"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message

news:bmmr43paj5r0d5b6kgbqtd25mmhstnsh2m@4ax.com...

> If he was so innocent, why did he feel compelled to shoot at the Feds
> when they came to arrest him and kill 4 of them. Paranoia? That logic
> would turn Paris Hilton into Bonnie Parker!

>

> Should we assume everyone massing a stockpile of weapons is only a
> danger to himself and not potentially to society?

>

> - Paul

>

> On Fri, 18 May 2007 11:57:29 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"

> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:

>

>>Paul, I beg to differ with you. Really you've got to be kidding,

>>justifying

>>and rationalizing the actions that Sterno and the Clintonistas taken here.

>>This incident could have been averted. I agree Koresh was a whack job, but

>>Christian or otherwise, what were they guilty of, they weren't bothering

>>anyone and they were legally authorized to trade arms, though it appeared

>>that they weren't following protocol. To get back to the point, Koresh

>>hadn't threatened anyone, he may have been a danger to himself and the

>>others in the compound but unlike the huge network of Jihadists all over

>>the

>>world today, Koresh was a lone nut job.

>>

>>Rich

>>

>>

>>"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message

>>news:1rbr43djm2h7j63n2tvhtbbdedq08rj0b8@4ax.com...

>>> Rich -

>>>

>>> Considering the events that ensued, I think the Feds' suspicions were

>>> correct. Interesting that you put the entire blame on the Feds for the

>>> final result. I, for one, am glad that Koresh and his collection of

>>> whackjobs are closer to God now, though it is a shame that THEY choose

>>> to take many innocents with them.

>>>

>>> Calling the Davidians Christians is like calling GWB eloquent.

>>>

>>> - Paul Artola

>>> Ellicott City, Maryland

>>>

>>> On Fri, 18 May 2007 08:51:46 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"

>>> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:

>>>

>>> >Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet

>>> >Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a

>>> >search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>> >automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>children.
>>> >But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>>no,
>>> >despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>practicing
>>> >for violent Jihad.
>>>
>>> (snip)
>>>
>>> >
>>> >What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being
>>> >Christians.
>>>
>>> (snip)
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Rich
>>> >
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:42:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL.

If we could just get rid of those leftinistas, those lefty left
lefterizers. Those constitution loving left-hosers. Man, they are so
LEFT!!!!

There, that solves it. :^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

DJ wrote:

> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left
> in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight
> for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken
> first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by
> those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this

> administration.
>
> go figure.
>
> ;o}
>
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message
> news:464dc9f4@linux...
> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It
> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses
> for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time
> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the
> right to defend themselves against such attacks.
>
> Rich
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>>
> wrote in message news:464dc442@linux...
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com
> <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message
> news:464da14d@linux...
> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd
> been treating
> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
> always been
> > instead of as a pretense for war,
>
>now if they would just build an aspirin factory there,
> we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the
> people there are black so this would be PC.
>
> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like
> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm
> with you, but I
> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the
> last four years
> > has done anything but fan the flames.
> >
> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp
>
>
> > Some alternative quotations:
> >
> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the
> war-cry, and

> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to
> open his mouth;
> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
> statesmen will invent
> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
> attacked, and every
> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and
> will diligently
> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them;
> and thus he will
> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
> thank God for the
> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
> self-deception." -
> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can
> distort them as much as you please".

> >
> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the
> acquisition of
> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous
> rise of
> > misplaced power exists and will persist.

> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things
> in my life, some of which actually happened"

> >
> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our
> liberties or
> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted.
> Only an alert and
> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the
> huge industrial
> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods
> and goals, so
> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D.
> Eisenhower,
> > 1961

> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends upon
> an alert and knowldegable CIA"

> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are
> great numbers of

> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion
 > or are those
 > > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do?
 > One thing is
 > > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be
 > allowed if it
 > > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of
 > harvesters." - Ronald
 > > Reagan
 >
 > I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any
 > identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own
 > personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying
 > vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to
 > do hqat either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit.
 > Problem is, I can't got there and live. If I go down there
 > without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a
 > wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail.
 > I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
 >
 >
 > >
 > > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a
 > Frenchman; you can go
 > > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or
 > a Greek, or
 > > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come
 > to live in
 > > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
 >
 > I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote
 > Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra
 > Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the
 > inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?
 >
 >
 > >
 > > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right
 > to the manger
 > > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do
 > not admit that
 > > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has
 > been done to the
 > > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do
 > not admit that
 > > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a
 > stronger race, a
 > > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." -
 > Winston Churchill
 > > (who apparently never said that thing about

> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> > [http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=1 12 \)](http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112)
>
> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find
> a way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a
> law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would
> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
>
> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>
> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of
> intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00
> to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they
> started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx
> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>
>
> disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
> >
> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and
> kids. Eat
> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>
>
> >
> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign
> from Simpsons
> > episode
>
> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>
> >
> > Courage,
> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>
> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me,
> I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him
> to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
> >
> > [http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=1 12](http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112)
> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message

> > news:464ce932@linux...
> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the
> flames. Why
> >> the
> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
> >>
> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
> >>
> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
> >>
> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be
> vigilant in
> >> its
> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
> >>
> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement
> outside a
> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> >>
> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man,
> and brave, and
> >> hated
> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him,
> for then it
> >> costs
> >> nothing
> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>
> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the
> weak or the
> >> timid."
> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
> >>
> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs
> to the
> >> brave."
> >> -- Ronald Reagan
> >>
> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the
> vigilant, the
> >> active,
> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> >>
> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer
> the home of
> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >>
> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,

> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a
> brain." --
> >> Winston Churchill
> >>
> >> Guidance,
> >> Rich
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:43:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yup, those wing nuts on the left. Like Ron Paul. Did you see him in the pres.
debate? Freaking commie.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>
>
>The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left
=
>in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight =
>for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken =
>first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =
>those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this
=
>administration.
>
>go figure.
>
>;o}
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
>news:464dc9f4@linux...
> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds
=
>me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =
>extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =
>democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend =
>themselves against such attacks.
>
> Rich
>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>news:464dc442@linux...
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
>news:464da14d@linux...
> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
>treating=20
> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
>been=20
> > instead of as a pretense for war,=20
>
>now if they would just build an asprin factory there, we =
>could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
>there are black so this would be PC.
>
> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
>you, but I=20
> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
>four years=20
> > has done anything but fan the flames.
> >=20
> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp
>
>
> > Some alternative quotations:
> >=20
> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
>war-cry, and=20
> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
>his mouth;=20
> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
>will invent=20
> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
>and every=20
> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
=20
>diligently=20
> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
>thus he will=20
> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank =
>God for the=20
> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
>self-deception." -=20
> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>
> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort

=
>them as much as you please".
>
> >=20
> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
>acquisition of=20
> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise
=
>of=20
> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>
> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in =
>my life, some of which actually happened"
>
> >=20
> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
>liberties or=20
> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an
=
>alert and=20
> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
=
>industrial=20
> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and =
>goals, so=20
> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
>Eisenhower,=20
> > 1961
>
> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an
=
>alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20
>
> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great =
>numbers of=20
> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are
=
>those=20
> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One
=
>thing is=20
> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
>allowed if it=20
> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." -
=
>Ronald=20
> > Reagan

>

> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =
 >identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
 >health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is
 =
 >such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked
 =
 >living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live. If
 =
 >I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
 >have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I
 =
 >know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

>

> >=20

> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman;
 =
 >you can go=20

> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a =
 >Greek, or=20

> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to =
 >live in=20

> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

>

> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. =
 >Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the
 =
 >way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North =
 >one of your heroes?=20

>

> >=20

> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to =
 >the manger=20

> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not
 =
 >admit that=20

> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
 >done to the=20

> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
 =
 >admit that=20

> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger
 =
 >race, a=20

> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
 >Churchill=20

> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
 >heart/brain=20

> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)
>
> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a =
>way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
>handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out
=
>of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
> =20
> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>
> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
>intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
>educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
>6:30".-Groucho Marx
>
> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>
> "When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
>disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
> >=20
> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. =
>Eat=20
> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>
>
> >=20
> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
>Simpsons=20
> > episode
>
> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>
> >=20
> > Courage,
> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>
> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
>would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
>quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
>
> >=20
> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>
> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20

> > news:464ce932@linux...
> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
> flames. Why=20
> >> the
> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
> >>
> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams0511107.htm>
> >>
> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
> >>
> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
> vigilant in=20
> >> its
> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
> >>
> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
> outside a
> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> >>
> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
> brave, and
> >> hated
> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
> then it=20
> >> costs
> >> nothing
> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>
> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or
> =
> the
> >> timid."
> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
> >>
> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
> the=20
> >> brave."
> >> -- Ronald Reagan
> >>
> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
> vigilant, the
> >> active,
> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> >>
> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
> home of
> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >>

```

> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
>brain." --=20
> >> Winston Churchill
> >>
> >> Guidance,
> >> Rich
> >>
> >>
> >>=20
> >=20
> >
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The thing that has always intrigued me
=
>is how the=20
>folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that
=
>is=20
>willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
>leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to
=
>be=20
>slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
>policies=20
>of this administration.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>go figure.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o}</FONT></DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
>A>>=20
> wrote in message <A=20
>href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux</A>...</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT size=3D2>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =

```

>written. It=20
> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for
=
>Islamic=20
> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20
> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =
>reserve=20
> the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>Rich
</DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> =
>wrote=20
> in message <A =
>href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
> href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
> size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
>size=3D2>> wrote=20
> in message <FONT face=3DArial
=
>
> size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20
>size=3D2>...</DIV>
> <DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
>you . . .=20
> ? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20
> international criminal problem that it's always been
> =
>instead of as=20
> a pretense for war, </DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>.....now if they would just =
>build an asprin=20
> factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like =
>most of=20
> the people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =
>morons like=20
>
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =
>I'm=20
> with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing =
>in Iraq=20

> for the last four years
> has done anything but fan the=20
> flames.
> </DIV>
> <DIV>there are lots of flourishing nests
=
>of=20
> fanatical morons Sarah.</DIV>
> <DIV> <A=20
> =
>href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
>FONT=20
> face=3DArial=20
> =
>size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
>FONT></DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>
> Some alternative =
>quotations:
>=20
>
> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
>the=20
> war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
>who=20
> ventures to open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will =
>cease to=20
> open. Next the statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting =
>the blame=20
> upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man will be =
>glad of=20
> those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> =
>study=20
> them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
>will=20
>
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
>thank God=20
> for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
>grotesque=20
> self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
> Stranger</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
>facts first, and=20
> then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
> <DIV>
>
> "In the councils =
>of=20
> government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
>unwarranted=20
> influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
>military-industrial=20
> complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced =

>power=20
> exists and will persist.</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
>through some=20
> terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
>happened"</DIV>
> <DIV>
>
> We must never let =
>the weight=20
> of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
>processes.=20
> We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
>knowledgeable=20
> citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =
>
> and=20
> military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, =
>so=20
>
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =
>D.=20
> Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
>knowledgable=20
> citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>
> <DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
>about the=20
> illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =
>really=20
> victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =
>tourists=20
> actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =
>certain=20
> in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it =
>
>=20
> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - =
>Ronald=20
>
> Reagan</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =
>should not=20
> have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should
=
>be my=20
> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
>vehicle=20
> insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
>either. I=20
> also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =

>there and=20
> live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in =
>jail. If=20
> I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in =
>jail. I=20
> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>
> <DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
>live in=20
> France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =
>live in=20
> Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =
>
>=20
> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live
=
>in=20
>
> America and become an American." - more Ronald =
>Reagan</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =
>people who are=20
> liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the
=
>Contra=20
> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
>inner=20
> cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>>
> "I do not agree =
>that the dog=20
> in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even though =
>he may=20
> have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that
> =
>right. I=20
> do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the =
>
>=20
> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not =
>admit that=20
>
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
>stronger=20
> race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their =
>place." -=20
> Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing =
>about=20
> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
> =
>href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>"=
>><FONT=20
> face=3DArial=20

> =
>size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
><FONT=20
> face=3DArial size=3D2>)</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>I'll bet if we just got a few =
>lawyers involved,=20
> they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we =
>could=20
> pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they =
>would=20
> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a=20
> casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." - =
>Christopher=20
> Hitchens</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
> size=3D2> </DIV>
> <DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are
=

>going to=20
> have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
>from 7.30=20
> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they =
>started at=20
> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
> <DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
>refuge of a=20
> scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including =
>hope, life=20
> becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT face=3DArial =
>size=3D2><FONT=20
> face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3>W.C.
=

>
> Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
>Don't eat=20
> me! I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer =
>Simpson</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
>protest=20
> sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

> =
>
>
> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .=20


```

> )</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels.
=
>If a man=20
> should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the
=
>hand and=20
> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o)</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </DIV>
> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> </FONT><A=20
> =
>href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
>><FONT=20
> face=3DArial=20
> =
>size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
></FONT></A><BR><FONT=20
> face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <</FONT><A=20
> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
> size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
>size=3D2>>=20
> wrote in message <BR>> </FONT><A =
>href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20
> face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux</FONT></A><FONT =
>face=3DArial=20
> size=3D2>...<BR>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
>can all=20
> fan the flames. Why <BR>>> the<BR>>> heck not welcome =
>some more=20
> illegals.<BR>>><BR>>> </FONT><A=20
> =
>href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
>T=20
> face=3DArial=20
> =
>size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
>T></A><BR><FONT=20
> face=3DArial size=3D2>>><BR>>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
>price of=20
> liberty." -- Wendell Phillips<BR>>><BR>>> "No man is =
>entitled to=20
> the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in <BR>>>=20
> its<BR>>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20

```

> MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
> posted=20
> as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas =
> on Sept.=20
> 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
> patriot=20
> is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> hated
>> and =
> scorned.=20
> When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =
>
>>=20
> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
> Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the =
> care of=20
> freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight =
> D.=20
> Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
> 1953
>>
>>=20
> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
> the=20
>
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
> Reagan
>>
>>=20
> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
=
>
> the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
> Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
> when=20
> it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
> Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
> doesn't=20
> have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
> conservative=20
> doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
> Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
> Rich
>>
>>
>>
>=20
>
>></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:51:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hehe!.....yeah. The Pauls are definitely a bunch of populist commies.
Goddam' populist golf commies, at that. Here's their modest little
unassuming storefront in Austin.

<http://www.golfsmith.com/>

I took acting lessons with his niece Kelly back in the early 90's. A dead ringer for Chynna Phillips. Uncle Ron was considered to be quite the family hoot. If only Ron's side of the family had those looks, he would at least have photogeniety going for him.....and he voted for legalizing marijuana before he became a Republican. I do think he's right about our foreign policy pissin people off though.....but those pissed off foreigners' foreign policy pisses me off too.

;o)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:464de5db\$1@linux...

>

> Yup, those wing nuts on the left. Like Ron Paul. Did you see him in the
> pres.

> debate? Freaking commie.

>

> TCB

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left

> =

>>in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight =

>>for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken =

>>first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =

>>those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this

> =

>>administration.

>>

>>go figure.

>>

>>;o}

>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =

>>news:464dc9f4@linux...

>> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds

> =

>>me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =

>>extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =

>>democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend =

>>themselves against such attacks.

>>

>> Rich

>>

>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =

>>news:464dc442@linux...

>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
>>news:464da14d@linux...
>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
>>treating=20
>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
>>been=20
>> > instead of as a pretense for war,=20
>>
>>now if they would just build an aspirin factory there, we =
>>could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
>>there are black so this would be PC.
>>
>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
>>you, but I=20
>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
>>four years=20
>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>> >=20
>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
>> [http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp](http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.php)
>>
>>
>> > Some alternative quotations:
>> >=20
>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
>>war-cry, and=20
>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
>>his mouth;=20
>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
>>will invent=20
>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
>>and every=20
>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
> =
>>diligently=20
>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
>>thus he will=20
>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank =
>>God for the=20
>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
>>self-deception." -=20
>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>
>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort
> =
>>them as much as you please".

>>
>> >=20
>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
>>acquisition of=20
>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise
> =
>>of=20
>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>
>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in =
>>my life, some of which actually happened"
>>
>> >=20
>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
>>liberties or=20
>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an
> =
>>alert and=20
>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
> =
>>industrial=20
>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and =
>>goals, so=20
>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
>>Eisenhower,=20
>> > 1961
>>
>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends upon an
> =
>>alert and knowldegable CIA"=20
>>
>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great =
>>numbers of=20
>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are
> =
>>those=20
>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One
> =
>>thing is=20
>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
>>allowed if it=20
>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." -
> =
>>Ronald=20
>> > Reagan
>>
>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =

>>identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
>>health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is
> =
>>such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked
> =
>>living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live. If
> =
>>I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
>>have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I
> =
>>know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
>>
>> >=20
>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman;
> =
>>you can go=20
>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a =
>>Greek, or=20
>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to =
>>live in=20
>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>>
>> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. =
>>Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the
> =
>>way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North =
>>one of your heroes?=20
>>
>> >=20
>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to =
>>the manger=20
>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not
> =
>>admit that=20
>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
>>done to the=20
>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
> =
>>admit that=20
>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger
> =
>>race, a=20
>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
>>Churchill=20
>> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
>>heart/brain=20
>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)
>>

>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a =
>> way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
>> handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out
> =
>> of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
>> =20
>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>>
>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
>> intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
>> educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
>>
>> >> Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>>
>> "When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
>> disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
>> >=20
>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. =
>> Eat=20
>> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>>
>>
>> >=20
>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
>> Simpsons=20
>> > episode
>>
>> "We don't have a permit. Run!" -Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>
>> >=20
>> > Courage,
>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>
>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
>> would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
>> quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >=20
>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =

>>flames. Why=20
>> >> the
>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>> >>
>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>> >>
>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>> >>
>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
>>vigilant in=20
>> >> its
>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>> >>
>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
>>outside a
>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>> >>
>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
>>brave, and
>> >> hated
>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
>>then it=20
>> >> costs
>> >> nothing
>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>> >>
>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or
> =
>>the
>> >> timid."
>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>> >>
>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>>the=20
>> >> brave."
>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>> >>
>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
>>vigilant, the
>> >> active,
>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>> >>
>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
>>home of
>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>> >>
>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =


```

>>brain." --=20
>>  >> Winston Churchill
>>  >>
>>  >> Guidance,
>>  >> Rich
>>  >>
>>  >>
>>  >>=20
>>  >=20
>>  >
>>
>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>><HTML><HEAD>
>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
>><STYLE></STYLE>
>></HEAD>
>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The thing that has always intrigued me
> =
>>is how the=20
>>folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that
> =
>>is=20
>>willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
>>leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to
> =
>>be=20
>>slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
>>policies=20
>>of this administration.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>go figure.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o}</FONT></DIV>
>><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
>> =
>>href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
>>A>>=20
>> wrote in message <A=20
>>href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux</A>...</DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT size=3D2>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
>>written. It=20
>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for

```

> =

>>Islamic=20

>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20

>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =

>>reserve=20

>> the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</DIV>

>> <DIV> </DIV>

>> <DIV>Rich
</DIV>

>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

>> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =

>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

>> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20

>> =

>>href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> =

>>wrote=20

>> in message <A =

>>href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>

>> <DIV> </DIV>

>> <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20

>> href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20

>> size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =

>>size=3D2>> wrote=20

>> in message <FONT face=3DArial

> =

>>

>> size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20

>>size=3D2>...</DIV>

>> <DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =

>>you . . .=20

>> ? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20

>> international criminal problem that it's always been
> =

>>instead of as=20

>> a pretense for war, </DIV>

>> <DIV> </DIV>

>> <DIV>.....now if they would just =

>>build an asprin=20

>> factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like =

>>most of=20

>> the people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>

>> <DIV> </DIV>

>> <DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =

>>morons like=20

>>
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =

>>I'm=20

>> with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing =

>>in Iraq=20

>> for the last four years
> has done anything but fan the=20

>> flames.
> </DIV>

```

>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>there are lots of flourishing nests
> =
>>of=20
>> fanatical morons Sarah.</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </FONT><A=20
>> =
>>href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
>>FONT=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>>size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
>>FONT></A></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><BR><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>> Some alternative =
>>quotations:<BR>>=20
>> <BR>> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
>>the=20
>> war-cry, and <BR>> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
>>who=20
>> ventures to open his mouth; <BR>> and presently such mouths will =
>>cease to=20
>> open. Next the statesmen will invent <BR>> cheap lies, putting =
>>the blame=20
>> upon the nation that is attacked, and every <BR>> man will be =
>>glad of=20
>> those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently <BR>> =
>>study=20
>> them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
>>will=20
>> <BR>> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
>>thank God=20
>> for the <BR>> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
>>grotesque=20
>> self-deception." - <BR>> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
>> Stranger</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
>>facts first, and=20
>> then you can distort them as much as you please".</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><BR><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>> <BR>> "In the councils =
>>of=20
>> government, we must guard against the acquisition of <BR>> =
>>unwarranted=20
>> influence, whether sought or unsought, by the <BR>> =
>>military-industrial=20
>> complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of <BR>> misplaced =
>>power=20
>> exists and will persist.</FONT></DIV>

```

>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
>>through some=20
>> terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
>>happened"</DIV>
>> <DIV>
>
> We must never let =
>>the weight=20
>> of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
>>processes.=20
>> We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
>>knowledgeable=20
>> citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =
>>
> and=20
>> military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, =
>>so=20
>>
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =
>>D.=20
>> Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
>>knowledgable=20
>> citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>
>> <DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
>>about the=20
>> illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =
>>really=20
>> victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =
>>tourists=20
>> actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =
>>certain=20
>> in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it =
>>
>=20
>> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - =
>>Ronald=20
>>
> Reagan</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =
>>should not=20
>> have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should
> =
>>be my=20
>> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
>>vehicle=20
>> insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
>>either. I=20
>> also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =
>>there and=20
>> live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in =

>>jail. If=20
>> I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in =
>>jail. I=20
>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>
>> <DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
>>live in=20
>> France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =
>>live in=20
>> Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =
>>
>=20
>> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live
> =
>>in=20
>>
> America and become an American." - more Ronald =
>>Reagan</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =
>>people who are=20
>> liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the
> =
>>Contra=20
>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
>>inner=20
>> cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>>
> "I do not agree =
>>that the dog=20
>> in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even though =
>>he may=20
>> have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that
> =
>>right. I=20
>> do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the =
>>
>=20
>> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not =
>>admit that=20
>>
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
>>stronger=20
>> race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their =
>>place." -=20
>> Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing =
>>about=20
>> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
>> =
>>href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>"=
>>><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>>size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>=

```

>></FONT></A><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2> )</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I'll bet if we just got a few =
>>lawyers involved,=20
>> they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we =
>>could=20
>> pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they =
>>would=20
>> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a=20
>> casino.<BR> <BR>> "Religion poisons everything." - =
>>Christopher=20
>> Hitchens</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT><FONT face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"I read in the newspapers they are
> =
>>going to=20
>> have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
>>from 7.30=20
>> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they =
>>started at=20
>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx<BR></FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>>>Patriotism is the last =
>>refuge of a=20
>> scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT size=3D+0>=93When we have lost everything, including =
>>hope, life=20
>> becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-</FONT><FONT face=3DArial =
>>size=3D2><FONT=20
>> face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3><FONT face=3DVerdana size=3D2>W.C.
> =
>>
>> Fields</FONT></FONT><BR>> <BR>> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
>>Don't eat=20
>> me! I have a wife and kids. Eat <BR>> them!" - Homer =
>>Simpson</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
>>protest=20
>> sign from Simpsons <BR>> episode</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.<BR><BR>> =
>>
>> <BR>> Courage,<BR>> Sarah ( . . . if I only had a brain . . .=20
>> )</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>

```

```

>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels.
> =
>>If a man=20
>> should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the
> =
>>hand and=20
>> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o)</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </DIV>
>> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> </FONT><A=20
>> =
>>href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
>>><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>>size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
>></FONT></A><BR><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <</FONT><A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
>>size=3D2>>=20
>> wrote in message <BR>> </FONT><A =
>>href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux</FONT></A><FONT =
>>face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2>...<BR>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
>>can all=20
>> fan the flames. Why <BR>>> the<BR>>> heck not welcome =
>>some more=20
>> illegals.<BR>>><BR>>> </FONT><A=20
>> =
>>href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
>>T=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>>size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
>>T></A><BR><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2>>><BR>>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
>>price of=20
>> liberty." -- Wendell Phillips<BR>>><BR>>> "No man is =
>>entitled to=20
>> the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in <BR>>>=20
>> its<BR>>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
>> MacArthur<BR>>><BR>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
>>posted=20

```

>> as an announcement outside a Unitarian Church in Texas =
>>on Sept.=20
>> 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
>>patriot=20
>> is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> hated
>> and =
>>scorned.=20
>> When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =
>>
>>=20
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
>> Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the =
>>care of=20
>> freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight =
>>D.=20
>> Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
>>1953
>>
>>=20
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>>the=20
>>
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
>>Reagan
>>
>>=20
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
> =
>>
>> the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
>> Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
>>when=20
>> it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
>> Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
>>doesn't=20
>> have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
>>conservative=20
>> doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
>> Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
>> Rich
>>
>>
>>
>>=20
>>
>></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 17:52:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.....and if this keep up, we're not gonna' have anything left.....right?

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464de6e8@linux...

>
> LOL.
>
> If we could just get rid of those leftinistas, those lefty left
> lefterizers. Those constitution loving left-hosers. Man, they are so
> LEFT!!!!
>
> There, that solves it. :^)
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> DJ wrote:
>> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left
>> in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight for
>> their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken first
>> amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by those that
>> they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this
>> administration.
>> go figure.
>> ;o}
>>
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
>> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message
>> news:464dc9f4@linux...
>> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It
>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses
>> for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time
>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the
>> right to defend themselves against such attacks.
>> Rich
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com>>
>> wrote in message news:464dc442@linux...
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com
>> <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message
>> news:464da14d@linux...
>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd
>> been treating
>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
>> always been
>> > instead of as a pretense for war,
>>now if they would just build an aspirin factory there,
>> we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the
>> people there are black so this would be PC.
>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm
with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the
last four years
> has done anything but fan the flames.
>
there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.php
> Some alternative quotations:
>
> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the
war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to
open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
attacked, and every
> man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and
will diligently
> study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them;
and thus he will
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
thank God for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can
distort them as much as you please".
>
> "In the councils of government, we must guard against the
acquisition of
> unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous
rise of
> misplaced power exists and will persist.
Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things
in my life, some of which actually happened"
>
>
> We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our
liberties or
> democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted.
Only an alert and
> knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the
huge industrial
> and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods

>> and goals, so
>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D.
>> Eisenhower,
>> > 1961
>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends upon
>> an alert and knowldegable CIA"
>>
>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are
>> great numbers of
>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion
>> or are those
>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do?
>> One thing is
>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be
>> allowed if it
>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of
>> harvesters." - Ronald
>> > Reagan
>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any
>> identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own
>> personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying
>> vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to
>> do hqat either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit.
>> Problem is, I can't got there and live. If I go down there
>> without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a
>> wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail.
>> I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
>>
>> >
>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a
>> Frenchman; you can go
>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or
>> a Greek, or
>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come
>> to live in
>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote
>> Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra
>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the
>> inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?
>> >
>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right
>> to the manger
>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do
>> not admit that
>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has
>> been done to the
>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do

>> not admit that

>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a

>> stronger race, a

>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." -

>> Winston Churchill

>> > (who apparently never said that thing about

>> liberal/conservative heart/brain

>> >

>> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)

>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find

>> a way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a

>> law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would

>> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of

>> intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00

>> to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they

>> started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx

>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

>> disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>> >

>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and

>> kids. Eat

>> > them!" - Homer Simpson

>> >

>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign

>> from Simpsons

>> > episode

>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>> >

>> > Courage,

>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me,

>> I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him

>> to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.

>> ;o)

>> >

>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>

>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net

>> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message

>> > news:464ce932@linux...

>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the

>> flames. Why

>> >> the

>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.

>> >>

>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>> >>
>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell
>> Phillips
>> >>
>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be
>> vigilant in
>> >> its
>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>> >>
>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement
>> outside a
>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>> >>
>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man,
>> and brave, and
>> >> hated
>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him,
>> for then it
>> >> costs
>> >> nothing
>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>> >>
>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the
>> weak or the
>> >> timid."
>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20,
>> 1953
>> >>
>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs
>> to the
>> >> brave."
>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>> >>
>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the
>> vigilant, the
>> >> active,
>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>> >>
>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer
>> the home of
>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>> >>
>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a
>> brain." --
>> >> Winston Churchill
>> >>

>> >> Guidance,
>> >> Rich
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 19:16:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had a client meeting yesterday and drove to the facility instead of the clients offices... I am sitting in their parking lot going "wot the EFF am I DOING here?"

But I was way early so I still made the meeting...

Moral? Be early, you can cover up for being senile that way....

arrgghhhh

DC

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>Damn!!!.....I can't believe it's Friday already. I honestly thought today

>was Thursday.

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:464dd7f2\\$1@linux...](news:464dd7f2$1@linux...)

>>

>> You guys all have a boring Friday huh?

>>

>> heh

>>

>> DC

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Fri, 18 May 2007 20:54:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If we cut off our extremities, we may as well "disarm." Heh. Get it?

The whole idea is self "de-feeting." Chortle.

We wouldn't have a leg to stand on.

Uh, I gotta get more sleep...

Have a great weekend!

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKruz.com

DJ wrote:

>and if this keep up, we're not gonna' have anything left.....right?

>

> ;o)

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464de6e8@linux...

>> LOL.

>>

>> If we could just get rid of those leftinistas, those lefty left

>> lefterizers. Those constitution loving left-hosers. Man, they are so

>> LEFT!!!!

>>

>> There, that solves it. :^)

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>

>>

>> DJ wrote:

>>> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left

>>> in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight for

>>> their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken first

>>> amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by those that

>>> they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this

>>> administration.

>>> go figure.

>>> ;o}

>>>

>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net

>>> <<mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>>> wrote in message

>>> news:464dc9f4@linux...
>>> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It
>>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses
>>> for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time
>>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the
>>> right to defend themselves against such attacks.
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>>
>>> wrote in message news:464dc442@linux...
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com
>>> <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message
>>> news:464da14d@linux...
>>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd
>>> been treating
>>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
>>> always been
>>> > instead of as a pretense for war,
>>>now if they would just build an aspirin factory there,
>>> we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the
>>> people there are black so this would be PC.
>>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like
>>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm
>>> with you, but I
>>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the
>>> last four years
>>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>>> >
>>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
>>> [http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_](http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp)comment.p hp
>>> > Some alternative quotations:
>>> >
>>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the
>>> war-cry, and
>>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to
>>> open his mouth;
>>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
>>> statesmen will invent
>>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
>>> attacked, and every
>>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and
>>> will diligently
>>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them;
>>> and thus he will
>>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
>>> thank God for the
>>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
>>> self-deception." -

>>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can
>>> distort them as much as you please".
>>>
>>> >
>>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the
>>> acquisition of
>>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
>>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous
>>> rise of
>>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things
>>> in my life, some of which actually happened"
>>>
>>> >
>>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our
>>> liberties or
>>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted.
>>> Only an alert and
>>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the
>>> huge industrial
>>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods
>>> and goals, so
>>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D.
>>> Eisenhower,
>>> > 1961
>>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon
>>> an alert and knowledgeable CIA"
>>>
>>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are
>>> great numbers of
>>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion
>>> or are those
>>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do?
>>> One thing is
>>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be
>>> allowed if it
>>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of
>>> harvesters." - Ronald
>>> > Reagan
>>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any
>>> identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own
>>> personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying
>>> vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to
>>> do that either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit.
>>> Problem is, I can't get there and live. If I go down there
>>> without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a
>>> wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail.

>>> I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

>>>

>>> >

>>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go

>>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or

>>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live in

>>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

>>> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?

>>> >

>>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to the manger

>>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that

>>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the

>>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not admit that

>>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger race, a

>>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston Churchill

>>> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative heart/brain

>>> >

>>> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)

>>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

>>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

>>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx

>>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

>>> disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>>> >

>>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. Eat

>>> > them!" - Homer Simpson

>>> >

>>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign
>>> from Simpsons
>>> > episode
>>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Courage,
>>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me,
>>> I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him
>>> to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>> ;o)
>>> >
>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>
>>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net>
>>> <<mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>>> wrote in message
>>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the
>>> flames. Why
>>> >> the
>>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>> >>
>>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>> >>
>>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell
>>> Phillips
>>> >>
>>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be
>>> vigilant in
>>> >> its
>>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>> >>
>>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement
>>> outside a
>>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>> >>
>>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man,
>>> and brave, and
>>> >> hated
>>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him,
>>> for then it
>>> >> costs
>>> >> nothing
>>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>> >>
>>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the
>>> weak or the
>>> >> timid."

>>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20,
>>> 1953
>>> >>
>>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs
>>> to the
>>> >> brave."
>>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>>> >>
>>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the
>>> vigilant, the
>>> >> active,
>>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>> >>
>>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer
>>> the home of
>>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>> >>
>>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a
>>> brain." --
>>> >> Winston Churchill
>>> >>
>>> >> Guidance,
>>> >> Rich
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [TCB](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 02:11:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You hung out with Ron Paul's hot niece?!? And I thought you were cool just because you'll take on hardware projects I won't. Wow.

My point, of course, is that conservatives like me--the old school isolationist ones--despise this war and, like Unky Ron Paul, think that the CIA concept of blowback means something. It's funny, when I was in Bangkok this last time I was hungry and thirsty and dropped into a bar. Had a long conversation with a guy who worked for a non-profit that goes all over the world clearing land mines. Unsurprisingly he had spent lots of time in Afghanistan, one of the most mined countries in the world. I asked him, point blank, if the Taliban and other fellow travelers were using literally the same guns and

other weapons to shoot US/NATO troops that the CIA gave them to fight the Russians. He shook his head (a Brit by the way) and said, 'Of course, they're the best weapons in the country.'

Us old school conservatives also despised Ruby Ridge and Waco and a lot of other things that were done to 'crazy' people and 'religious fanatics' and so on. And the Islamberg folks have as much right as any home schooling holy rolling Christian community does to live as they wish as long as they obey the law. When they don't, they should be punished, just like child molesting Roman Catholic priests.

But I'm still jealous you hung out with Ron Paul's gorgeous niece. I mean, what's not to like? Libertarian politics in a hot Texas girl. Where do I sign up?

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>hehe!.....yeah. The Pauls are definitely a bunch of populist commies.

>Goddam' populist golf commies, at that. Here's their modest little
>unassuming storefront in Austin.

>

><http://www.golfsmith.com/>

>

>I took acting lessons with his niece Kelly back in the early 90's. A dead

>ringer for Chynna Phillips. Uncle Ron was considered to be quite the family

>hoot. If only Ron's side of the family had those looks, he would at least

>have photogeniety going for him.....and he voted for legalizing marijuana

>before he became a Republican. I do think he's right about our foriegn
>policy pissin people off though.....but those pissed off foriegners'

>foriegn policy pisses me off too.

>

>;o)

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:464de5db\$1@linux...

>>

>> Yup, those wing nuts on the left. Like Ron Paul. Did you see him in the

>> pres.

>> debate? Freaking commie.

>>

>> TCB

>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left
>> =
>>>in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight
=
>>>for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken
=
>>>first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =
>>>those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this
>> =
>>>administration.
>>>
>>>go figure.
>>>
>>>;o}
>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
>>>news:464dc9f4@linux...
>>> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds
>> =
>>>me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =
>>>extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =
>>>democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend
=
>>>themselves against such attacks.
>>>
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>>>news:464dc442@linux...
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
>>>news:464da14d@linux...
>>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
>>>treating=20
>>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always
=
>>>been=20
>>> > instead of as a pretense for war,=20
>>>
>>>now if they would just build an asprin factory there, we
=
>>>could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
>>>there are black so this would be PC.
>>>
>>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20

>>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with
=
>>>you, but I=20
>>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last
=
>>>four years=20
>>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>>> >=20
>>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
>>> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp
>>>
>>>
>>> > Some alternative quotations:
>>> >=20
>>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
>>>war-cry, and=20
>>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open
=
>>>his mouth;=20
>>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen
=
>>>will invent=20
>>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked,
=
>>>and every=20
>>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
>> =
>>>diligently=20
>>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
>>>thus he will=20
>>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank
=
>>>God for the=20
>>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
>>>self-deception." -=20
>>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>>
>>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort
>> =
>>>them as much as you please".
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
>>>acquisition of=20
>>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
>>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise
>> =
>>>of=20

>>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>>
>>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in
=
>>>my life, some of which actually happened"
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
>>>liberties or=20
>>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an
>> =
>>>alert and=20
>>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
>> =
>>>industrial=20
>>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and
=
>>>goals, so=20
>>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
>>>Eisenhower,=20
>>> > 1961
>>>
>>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an
>> =
>>>alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20
>>>
>>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great
=
>>>numbers of=20
>>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are
>> =
>>>those=20
>>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One
>> =
>>>thing is=20
>>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
>>>allowed if it=20
>>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters."
-
>> =
>>>Ronald=20
>>> > Reagan
>>>
>>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =
>>>identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
>>>health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance
is
>> =

>>>such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked
>> =
>>>living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live.
If
>> =
>>>I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
>>>have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail.
I
>> =
>>>know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman;
>> =
>>>you can go=20
>>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a
=
>>>Greek, or=20
>>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to
=
>>>live in=20
>>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>>>
>>> I think it's soooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan.
=
>>>Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the
>> =
>>>way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North
=
>>>one of your heroes?=20
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to
=
>>>the manger=20
>>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not
>> =
>>>admit that=20
>>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been
=
>>>done to the=20
>>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
>> =
>>>admit that=20
>>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger
>> =
>>>race, a=20
>>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston

=
>>>Churchill=20
>>> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative
=
>>>heart/brain=20
>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
)
>>>
>>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a
=
>>>way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that
=
>>>handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out
>> =
>>>of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
>>> =20
>>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>>>
>>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
>>>intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
>>>educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
>>>6:30".-Groucho Marx
>>>
>>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>>>
>>> "When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
>>>disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
>>> >=20
>>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids.
=
>>>Eat=20
>>> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>>>
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from
=
>>>Simpsons=20
>>> > episode
>>>
>>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > Courage,
>>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>>
>>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I
=

>>>would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
>>>quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
>>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
>>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
>>>flames. Why=20
>>> >> the
>>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>> >>
>>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>> >>
>>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>> >>
>>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
>>>vigilant in=20
>>> >> its
>>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>> >>
>>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
>>>outside a
>>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>> >>
>>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and
=
>>>brave, and
>>> >> hated
>>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
>>>then it=20
>>> >> costs
>>> >> nothing
>>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>> >>
>>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
or
>> =
>>>the
>>> >> timid."
>>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>> >>
>>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to

```

=
>>>the=20
>>> >> brave."
>>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>>> >>
>>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
>>>vigilant, the
>>> >> active,
>>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>> >>
>>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the
=
>>>home of
>>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>> >>
>>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
>>>brain." --=20
>>> >> Winston Churchill
>>> >>
>>> >> Guidance,
>>> >> Rich
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>=20
>>> >=20
>>> >
>>>
>>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>>><HTML><HEAD>
>>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
>>><STYLE></STYLE>
>>></HEAD>
>>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The thing that has always intrigued me
>> =
>>>is how the=20
>>>folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that
>> =
>>>is=20
>>>willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
>>>leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to
>> =
>>>be=20
>>>slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the
=

```

```
>>>policies=20
>>>of this administration.</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>go figure.</FONT></DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o</FONT></DIV>
>>><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>> <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
>>>A>>=20
>>> wrote in message <A=20
>>>href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux</A>...</DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT size=3D2>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well
=
>>>written. It=20
>>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for
>> =
>>>Islamic=20
>>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20
>>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =
>>>reserve=20
>>> the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT size=3D2>Rich</FONT><BR></DIV>
>>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>>> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com</A>> =
>>>wrote=20
>>> in message <A =
>>>href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux</A>...</DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"Sarah" <</FONT><A=20
>>> href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
>>>size=3D2>> wrote=20
>>> in message </FONT><A href=3D"news:464da14d@linux"><FONT face=3DArial
>> =
>>>
>>> size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>>size=3D2>...</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
>>>you . . .=20
```

>>> ? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20
>>> international criminal problem that it's always been
> =
>>>instead of as=20
>>> a pretense for war, </DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>.....now if they would just =
>>>build an asprin=20
>>> factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like
=
>>>most of=20
>>> the people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =
>>>morons like=20
>>>
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =
>>>I'm=20
>>> with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing =
>>>in Iraq=20
>>> for the last four years
> has done anything but fan the=20
>>> flames.
> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>there are lots of flourishing nests
>> =
>>>of=20
>>> fanatical morons Sarah.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> <A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"<=
>>>FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>>size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
>>>FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>
> Some alternative =
>>>quotations:
>=20
>>>
> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
>>>the=20
>>> war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
>>>who=20
>>> ventures to open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will =
>>>cease to=20
>>> open. Next the statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting =
>>>the blame=20
>>> upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man will be =
>>>glad of=20
>>> those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> =
>>>study=20
>>> them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =

>>>will=20
>>>
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
>>>thank God=20
>>> for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
>>>grotesque=20
>>> self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
>>> Stranger</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
>>>facts first, and=20
>>> then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> "In the councils =
>>>of=20
>>> government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
>>>unwarranted=20
>>> influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
>>>military-industrial=20
>>> complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced
=
>>>power=20
>>> exists and will persist.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been
=
>>>throught some=20
>>> terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
>>>happened"</DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> We must never let =
>>>the weight=20
>>> of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
>>>processes.=20
>>> We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
>>>knowledgeable=20
>>> citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =
>>>
> and=20
>>> military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals,
=
>>>so=20
>>>
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =
>>>D.=20
>>> Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
>>>knowledgable=20
>>> citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>
>>> <DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
>>>about the=20
>>> illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =

>>>really=20
>>> victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =
>>>tourists=20
>>> actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =
>>>certain=20
>>> in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it
=
>>>
>=20
>>> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." -
=
>>>Ronald=20
>>>
> Reagan</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>I agree with this. I also think I
=
>>>should not=20
>>> have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should
>> =
>>>be my=20
>>> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
>>>vehicle=20
>>> insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
>>>either. I=20
>>> also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =
>>>there and=20
>>> live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in
=
>>>jail. If=20
>>> I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in
=
>>>jail. I=20
>>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
>>>live in=20
>>> France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =
>>>live in=20
>>> Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =
>>>
>=20
>>> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live
>> =
>>>in=20
>>>
> America and become an American." - more Ronald =
>>>Reagan</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =
>>>people who are=20
>>> liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the
>> =

>>>Contra=20
>>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
>>>inner=20
>>> cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>>
> "I do not agree =
>>>that the dog=20
>>> in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even though =
>>>he may=20
>>> have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that
> =
>>>right. I=20
>>> do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the
=
>>>
>=20
>>> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
=
>>>admit that=20
>>>
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
>>>stronger=20
>>> race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their =
>>>place." -=20
>>> Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing =
>>>about=20
>>> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>=
>>>><FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>>size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>=
>>><FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>)</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>>I'll bet if we just got a few =
>>>lawyers involved,=20
>>> they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we
=
>>>could=20
>>> pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they =
>>>would=20
>>> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a=20
>>> casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." - =
>>>Christopher=20
>>> Hitchens</DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>>"I read in the newspapers they are
>> =

>>>going to=20
>>> have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
>>>from 7.30=20
>>> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they
=
>>>started at=20
>>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
>>> <DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
>>>refuge of a=20
>>> scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including =
>>>hope, life=20
>>> becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT face=3DArial =
>>>size=3D2><FONT=20
>>> face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3>W.C.
>> =
>>>
>>> Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
>>>Don't eat=20
>>> me! I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer =
>>>Simpson</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
>>>protest=20
>>> sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>
=
>>>
>>>
> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . . =20
>>>)</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels.
>> =
>>>If a man=20
>>> should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the
>> =
>>>hand and=20
>>> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>;o)</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> <A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>"=

>>>><FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>>size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3D112>
>>>
<FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
>>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net<FONT face=3DArial
>>> =
>>>size=3D2>>=20
>>> wrote in message
> <A =
>>>href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux<FONT =
>>>face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
>>>can all=20
>>> fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome =
>>>some more=20
>>> illegals.
>>
>> <A=20
>>> =
>>>href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
>>>T=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>>size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
>>>T>
<FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
>>>price of=20
>>> liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is =
>>>entitled to=20
>>> the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>>=20
>>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
>>> MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
>>>posted=20
>>> as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas =
>>>on Sept.=20
>>> 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
>>>patriot=20
>>> is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> hated
>> and =
>>>scorned.=20
>>> When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =
>>>
>>=20
>>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
>>> Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the =
>>>care of=20
>>> freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight =
>>>D.=20
>>> Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =

>>>1953
>>
>>=20
>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>>>the=20
>>>
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
>>>Reagan
>>
>>=20
>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>> =
>>>
>>> the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
>>> Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
>>>when=20
>>> it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
>>> Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
>>>doesn't=20
>>> have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
>>>conservative=20
>>> doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
>>> Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
>>> Rich
>>
>>
>>
>>=20
>>>
>></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 02:36:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It was too early when I wrote that.

Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom, you dig?

We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish him or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us. Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite pathetic. To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb bore. Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.

I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever try?

Bill

Rich Lamanna wrote:

- > Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
- > constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment. Unfortunately
- > the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
- > dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
- > theocratic rubbish upon us.
- >
- > Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
- > Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
- > search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
- > automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and children.
- > But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God no,
- > despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and practicing
- > for violent Jihad.
- >
- > Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
- > incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
- > "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the DC
- > "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to the
- > group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel Pearl
- > was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
- > interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
- >
- > <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
- >
- > What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
- > Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom of
- > religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice to
- > exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep an
- > eye on these guys.
- >
- > Rich
- >
- > From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
- >
- >

> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464d8d4f@linux...
>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>
>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>
>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all
>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>

>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why
> the
>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>
>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>
>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>
>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
> its
>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>
>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>
>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
> and
>>> hated
>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
> costs
>>> nothing
>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>
>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
>>> timid."
>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>
>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
> brave."

>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>
>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
>>> active,
>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>
>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>
>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
>>> Winston Churchill
>>>
>>> Guidance,
>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 03:53:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ya know, I think I actually have some VHS tapes around here somewhere of us doing some scene together. I was going through some old boxes the other day and saw a bunch of stuff that I thought I had trashed when we were moving from Austin to Durango. One of them had an audition for Dazed and Confused and another for Texas Chainsaw Massacre on it. Also, one for some Clint Eastwood flick that was filmed around Austin in the 90's. My acting teacher was part of the casting apparatus for these movies and encouraged me to audition. I got a great paying gig working down on the border and couldn't commit so the auditions went nowhere. She always had me audition for Nack Nicholson'esque parts. Said I fit the same kind of character profile. No tellin' what's on those tapes.other than some bad acting.

;o)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:464e5cc9\$1@linux...
>
> You hung out with Ron Paul's hot niece?!? And I thought you were cool just
> because you'll take on hardware projects I won't. Wow.
>
> My point, of course, is that conservatives like me--the old school
> isolationist
> ones--despise this war and, like Unky Ron Paul, think that the CIA concept

> of blowback means something. It's funny, when I was in Bangkok this last
> time I was hungry and thirsty and dropped into a bar. Had a long
> conversation
> with a guy who worked for a non-profit that goes all over the world
> clearing
> land mines. Unsurprisingly he had spent lots of time in Afghanistan, one
> of the most mined countries in the world. I asked him, point blank, if the
> Taliban and other fellow travelers were using literally the same guns and
> other weapons to shoot US/NATO troops that the CIA gave them to fight the
> Russians. He shook his head (a Brit by the way) and said, 'Of course,
> they're
> the best weapons in the country.'

>
> Us old school conservatives also despised Ruby Ridge and Waco and a lot of
> other things that were done to 'crazy' people and 'religious fanatics' and
> so on. And the Islamberg folks have as much right as any home schooling
> holy
> rolling Christian community does to live as they wish as long as they obey
> the law. When they don't, they should be punished, just like child
> molesting
> Roman Catholic priests.

>
> But I'm still jealous you hung out with Ron Paul's gorgeous niece. I mean,
> what's not to like? Libertarian politics in a hot Texas girl. Where do I
> sign up?

>
> TCB

>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:
>>hehe!.....yeah. The Pauls are definitely a bunch of populist
>>commies.

>
>>Goddam' populist golf commies, at that. Here's their modest little
>>unassuming storefront in Austin.

>>
>><http://www.golfsmith.com/>

>>
>>I took acting lessons with his niece Kelly back in the early 90's. A dead
>
>>ringer for Chynna Phillips. Uncle Ron was considered to be quite the
>>family

>
>>hoot. If only Ron's side of the family had those looks, he would at least
>
>>have photogeniety going for him.....and he voted for legalizing marijuana
>
>>before he became a Republican. I do think he's right about our foreign
>>policy pissin people off though.....but those pissed off foreigners'

>
>>foriegn policy pisses me off too.
>>
>>;o)
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:464de5db\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Yup, those wing nuts on the left. Like Ron Paul. Did you see him in the
>
>>> pres.
>>> debate? Freaking commie.
>>>
>>> TCB
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left
>>> =
>>>>in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight
> =
>>>>for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken
> =
>>>>first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =
>>>>those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this
>>> =
>>>>administration.
>>>>
>>>>go figure.
>>>>
>>>>;o}
>>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
>>>>news:464dc9f4@linux...
>>>> DeeJ, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds
>>> =
>>>>me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =
>>>>extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =
>>>>democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend
> =
>>>>themselves against such attacks.
>>>>
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>>>>news:464dc442@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote in message =
>>>>news:464da14d@linux...

>>>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
>>>>treating=20
>>>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always
> =
>>>>been=20
>>>> > instead of as a pretense for war,=20
>>>>
>>>>now if they would just build an aspirin factory there, we
> =
>>>>could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
>>>>there are black so this would be PC.
>>>>
>>>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
>>>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with
> =
>>>>you, but I=20
>>>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last
> =
>>>>four years=20
>>>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>>>> >=20
>>>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
>>>> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > Some alternative quotations:
>>>> >=20
>>>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
>>>>war-cry, and=20
>>>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open
> =
>>>>his mouth;=20
>>>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen
> =
>>>>will invent=20
>>>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked,
> =
>>>>and every=20
>>>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
>>> =
>>>>diligently=20
>>>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
>>>>thus he will=20
>>>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank
> =
>>>>God for the=20
>>>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
>>>>self-deception." -=20

>>>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>>>
>>>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort
>>> =
>>>>them as much as you please".
>>>>
>>>> >=20
>>>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
>>>>acquisition of=20
>>>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
>>>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise
>>> =
>>>>of=20
>>>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>>>
>>>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in
> =
>>>>my life, some of which actually happened"
>>>>
>>>> >=20
>>>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
>>>>liberties or=20
>>>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an
>>> =
>>>>alert and=20
>>>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
>>> =
>>>>industrial=20
>>>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and
> =
>>>>goals, so=20
>>>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
>>>>Eisenhower,=20
>>>> > 1961
>>>>
>>>> DeeJ also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an
>>> =
>>>>alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20
>>>>
>>>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great
> =
>>>>numbers of=20
>>>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are
>>> =
>>>>those=20
>>>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One
>>> =
>>>>thing is=20

>>>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
>>>>allowed if it=20
>>>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters."
> -
>>> =
>>>>Ronald=20
>>>> > Reagan
>>>>
>>>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =
>>>>identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
>>>>health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance
> is
>>> =
>>>>such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked
>>> =
>>>>living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live.
> If
>>> =
>>>>I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
>>>>have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail.
> I
>>> =
>>>>know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
>>>>
>>>> >=20
>>>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman;
>>> =
>>>>you can go=20
>>>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a
> =
>>>>Greek, or=20
>>>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to
> =
>>>>live in=20
>>>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>>>>
>>>> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan.
> =
>>>>Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the
>>> =
>>>>way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North
> =
>>>>one of your heroes?=20
>>>>
>>>> >=20
>>>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to
> =
>>>>the manger=20

>>>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not
>>> =
>>>>admit that=20
>>>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been
> =
>>>>done to the=20
>>>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
>>> =
>>>>admit that=20
>>>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger
>>> =
>>>>race, a=20
>>>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston
> =
>>>>Churchill=20
>>>> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative
> =
>>>>heart/brain=20
>>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
>)
>>>>
>>>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a
> =
>>>>way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that
> =
>>>>handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out
>>> =
>>>>of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
>>>> =20
>>>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>>>>
>>>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
>>>>intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
>>>>educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
>>>>6:30".-Groucho Marx
>>>>
>>>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel!" - Samuel Johnson
>>>>
>>>> "When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
>>>>disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
>>>> >=20
>>>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids.
> =
>>>>Eat=20
>>>> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> >=20

>>>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from
> =
>>>>Simpsons=20
>>>> > episode
>>>>
>>>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>>>
>>>> >=20
>>>> > Courage,
>>>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>>>
>>>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I
> =
>>>>would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
>>>>quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>>>
>>>> ;o)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> >=20
>>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
>>>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
>>>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>>>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
>>>>flames. Why=20
>>>> >> the
>>>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
>>>>vigilant in=20
>>>> >> its
>>>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
>>>>outside a
>>>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and
> =
>>>>brave, and
>>>> >> hated
>>>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =

```

>>>>then it=20
>>>> >> costs
>>>> >> nothing
>>>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
> or
>>> =
>>>>the
>>>> >> timid."
>>>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to
> =
>>>>the=20
>>>> >> brave."
>>>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
>>>>vigilant, the
>>>> >> active,
>>>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the
> =
>>>>home of
>>>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
>>>>brain." --=20
>>>> >> Winston Churchill
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Guidance,
>>>> >> Rich
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >>=20
>>>> >=20
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>>>><HTML><HEAD>
>>>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>>>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
>>>><STYLE></STYLE>
>>>></HEAD>

```

```
>>>><BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
>>>><DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>The thing that has always intrigued me
>>> =
>>>>is how the
>>>>folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that
>>> =
>>>>is
>>>>willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The
>>>>leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to
>>> =
>>>>be
>>>>slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the
> =
>>>>policies
>>>>of this administration.</FONT></DIV>
>>>><DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT> </DIV>
>>>><DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>go figure.</FONT></DIV>
>>>><DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT> </DIV>
>>>><DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>;o}</FONT></DIV>
>>>><BLOCKQUOTE dir=ltr
>>>>style="PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>>> <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <A=
>>>> =
>>>>href="mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
>>>>A>>=
>>>> wrote in message <A=
>>>>href="news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux</A>...</DIV>
>>>> <DIV><FONT size=2>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well
>>> =
>>>>written. It
>>>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for
>>> =
>>>>Islamic
>>>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time
>>>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =
>>>>reserve
>>>> the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</FONT></DIV>
>>>> <DIV><FONT size=2></FONT> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV><FONT size=2>Rich</FONT><BR></DIV>
>>>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=ltr
>>>> style="PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>>> <DIV>"DJ" <A=
>>>> =
>>>>href="http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com</A>> =
>>>>wrote
>>>> in message <A =
```


>>>>href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>"Sarah" <A=20
>>>> href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>>> size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
>>>>size=3D2>> wrote=20
>>>> in message <FONT face=3DArial
>>> =
>>>>
>>>> size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20
>>>>size=3D2>...</DIV>
>>>> <DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
>>>>you . . .=20
>>>> ? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20
>>>> international criminal problem that it's always been
> =
>>>>instead of as=20
>>>> a pretense for war, </DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>.....now if they would just =
>>>>build an aspirin=20
>>>> factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like
> =
>>>>most of=20
>>>> the people there are black so this would be PC.</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =
>>>>morons like=20
>>>>
> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =
>>>>I'm=20
>>>> with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been doing =
>>>>in Iraq=20
>>>> for the last four years
> has done anything but fan the=20
>>>> flames.
> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>there are lots of flourishing nests
>>> =
>>>>of=20
>>>> fanatical morons Sarah.</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> <A=20
>>>> =
>>>>href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
>>>>FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial=20
>>>> =
>>>>size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
>>>>FONT></DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
>> Some alternative =
>>>>quotations:
>=20

>>>>
> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
>>>>the=20
>>>> war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
>>>>who=20
>>>> ventures to open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths will =
>>>>cease to=20
>>>> open. Next the statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, putting =
>>>>the blame=20
>>>> upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man will be =
>>>>glad of=20
>>>> those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> =
>>>>study=20
>>>> them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
>>>>will=20
>>>>
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
>>>>thank God=20
>>>> for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
>>>>grotesque=20
>>>> self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
>>>> Stranger</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
>>>>facts first, and=20
>>>> then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
>
> "In the councils =
>>>>of=20
>>>> government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
>>>>unwarranted=20
>>>> influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
>>>>military-industrial=20
>>>> complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced
> =
>>>>power=20
>>>> exists and will persist.</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been
> =
>>>>throught some=20
>>>> terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
>>>>happened"</DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
>
> We must never let =
>>>>the weight=20
>>>> of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
>>>>processes.=20
>>>> We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
>>>>knowledgeable=20
>>>> citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =
>>>>
> and=20

>>>> military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals,
> =
>>>>so=20
>>>>
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =
>>>>D.=20
>>>> Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
>>>>knowledgable=20
>>>> citizen depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
>>>>about the=20
>>>> illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =
>>>>really=20
>>>> victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =
>>>>tourists=20
>>>> actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =
>>>>certain=20
>>>> in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it
> =
>>>>
>=20
>>>> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." -
> =
>>>>Ronald=20
>>>>
> Reagan</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>I agree with this. I also think I
> =
>>>>should not=20
>>>> have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should
>>> =
>>>>be my=20
>>>> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
>>>>vehicle=20
>>>> insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
>>>>either. I=20
>>>> also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =
>>>>there and=20
>>>> live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in
> =
>>>>jail. If=20
>>>> I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in
> =
>>>>jail. I=20
>>>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
>>>>live in=20
>>>> France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =

>>>>live in=20
>>>> Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =
>>>>
>=20
>>>> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live
>>> =
>>>>in=20
>>>>
> America and become an American." - more Ronald =
>>>>Reagan</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =
>>>>people who are=20
>>>> liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the
>>> =
>>>>Contra=20
>>>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
>>>>inner=20
>>>> cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>>
> "I do not agree =
>>>>that the dog=20
>>>> in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even though =
>>>>he may=20
>>>> have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that
> =
>>>>right. I=20
>>>> do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the
> =
>>>>
>=20
>>>> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
> =
>>>>admit that=20
>>>>
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
>>>>stronger=20
>>>> race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their =
>>>>place." -=20
>>>> Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing =
>>>>about=20
>>>> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
>>>> =
>>>>href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>"=
>>>>><FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial=20
>>>> =
>>>>size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>=
>>>><FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>)</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>I'll bet if we just got a few =
>>>>lawyers involved,=20

>>>> they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we
> =
>>>>could=20
>>>> pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they =
>>>>would=20
>>>> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a=20
>>>> casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." - =
>>>>Christopher=20
>>>> Hitchens</DIV>
>>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>>> size=3D2> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are
>>> =
>>>>going to=20
>>>> have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
>>>>from 7.30=20
>>>> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they
> =
>>>>started at=20
>>>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
>>>> <DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
>>>>refuge of a=20
>>>> scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including =
>>>>hope, life=20
>>>> becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT face=3DArial =
>>>>size=3D2><FONT=20
>>>> face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3>W.C.
>>> =
>>>>
>>>> Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
>>>>Don't eat=20
>>>> me! I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer =
>>>>Simpson</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
>>>>protest=20
>>>> sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run!"-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>
> =
>>>>
>>>>
> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . . =20
>>>>)</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels.
>>> =

>>>>If a man=20
>>>> should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the
>>>> =
>>>>hand and=20
>>>> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>;o)</DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>>> <DIV>
>
> <A=20
>>>> =
>>>>href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
>>>>><FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial=20
>>>> =
>>>>size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
>>>>
<FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
>>>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>>> size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net<FONT face=3DArial
> =
>>>>size=3D2>>=20
>>>> wrote in message
> <A =
>>>>href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux<FONT =
>>>>face=3DArial=20
>>>> size=3D2>...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
>>>>can all=20
>>>> fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome =
>>>>some more=20
>>>> illegals.
>>>
>>> <A=20
>>>> =
>>>>href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
>>>>T=20
>>>> face=3DArial=20
>>>> =
>>>>size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
>>>>T>
<FONT=20
>>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>>>>
>>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
>>>>price of=20
>>>> liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>
>>> "No man is =
>>>>entitled to=20
>>>> the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>>>=20
>>>> its
>>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
>>>> MacArthur
>>>
>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
>>>>posted=20
>>>> as an announcement outside a
>>> Unitarian Church in Texas =

>>>>on Sept.=20
>>>> 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
>>>>patriot=20
>>>> is a scarce man, and brave, and
>>> hated
>>> and =
>>>>scorned.=20
>>>> When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =
>>>>
>>>=20
>>>> costs
>>> nothing
>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
>>>> Twain
>>>
>>> "History does not long entrust the =
>>>>care of=20
>>>> freedom to the weak or the
>>> timid."
>>> -- Dwight =
>>>>D.=20
>>>> Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
>>>>1953
>>>
>>>=20
>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>>>>the=20
>>>>
>>> brave."
>>> -- Ronald =
>>>>Reagan
>>>
>>>=20
>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>> =
>>>>
>>>> the
>>> active,
>>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
>>>> Henry
>>>
>>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
>>>>when=20
>>>> it's no longer the home of
>>> the brave."-- Rick=20
>>>> Gaber
>>>
>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
>>>>doesn't=20
>>>> have a heart,
>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
>>>>conservative=20
>>>> doesn't have a brain." --
>>> Winston=20
>>>> Churchill
>>>
>>> Guidance,
>>>=20
>>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>=20
>>>>
>>></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 04:43:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they

>can dream up to communicate is through terrorism.

This is dangerously naive... There is an aspect of depravity in operation with terrorists and to deny it is willful blindness.

With these very different views it is no wonder that common ground is hard to find.

DC

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamburg.
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 07:00:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L wrote:

> It was too early when I wrote that.

>

> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
> you dig?

>

> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish him
> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
> direct it towards the right choice.

And when they get older and still have issues, you give them
"consequence" choices like shave their head or a couple swats across the
backside (creates a level of civil rest in my house).

Small children do not wield large weapons.

JH

We have to be bigger than them, and

> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.
> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
> pathetic. To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

>

> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
> bore. Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists

> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
>
> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
> try?
>
> Bill
>
>
> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>
>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>> Unfortunately
>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>
>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>> children.
>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>> no,
>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>> practicing
>> for violent Jihad.
>>
>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the DC
>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to the
>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel Pearl
>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>
>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom of
>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the
>> choice to
>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better
>> keep an
>> eye on these guys.
>>

>> Rich
>>
>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>
>>
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>
>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>
>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>
>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all
>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>

>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>
>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why
>>
>> the
>>
>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>
>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>
>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be
>>>> vigilant in
>>
>> its
>>
>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>
>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>
>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>>

>> and
>>
>>>> hated
>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
>>
>> costs
>>
>>>> nothing
>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>
>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
>>>> timid."
>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>
>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>>
>> brave."
>>
>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>
>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>>> the
>>>> active,
>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>
>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>
>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
>>>> -- Winston Churchill
>>>>
>>>> Guidance,
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 09:27:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0044_01C799BD.3CE90000

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Rich, DeeJ, holy crap dudes. The policies of this administration? Are you seriously defending this administration? Help me! Yes, clearly the world so much a better place now than 6 years ago. I know I feel safer. Jeezus, come on!

Listen, I despise fundamentalism of any stripe, and I particularly despise these Islamic fundies who think they have a divine right to kill in the name of Allah . . . the divine right to kill people who believe in the same f***ing god they do! The idiots TOOK their god from the Christians/Jews, for God's sake. :) As far as I'm concerned these lunatics have a divine right to DIE, and that's it. And that goes for anyone else who reasons that they should kill in the name of God, Grrrr . . . where's my Winchester . . .=20

However . . . BIG however . . . this is not what we're doing in Iraq, it's never been what we're doing in Iraq, and it's never been the reason we went there in the first place. And I can't believe anyone still believes the calculated bullshit that got us there.

Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most corrupt and destructive administration in US history, and the damage they did took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)

Just my opinion. I could be wrong.

Naaaa! :)

S

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:464ddb0@linux...

The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this administration.

go figure.

;o}

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message news:464dc9f4@linux...

Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It =
astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for =
Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn =
those democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to =
defend themselves against such attacks.

Rich

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
news:464dc442@linux...

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
news:464da14d@linux...

> Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
treating=20

> terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
been=20

> instead of as a pretense for war,=20

.....now if they would just build an aspirin factory there, we =
could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
there are black so this would be PC.

flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
you, but I=20

> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
four years=20

> has done anything but fan the flames.

>=20

there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.

http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp

> Some alternative quotations:

>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
war-cry, and=20

> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
his mouth;=20

> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
will invent=20

> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
and every=20

> man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and =
will diligently=20

> study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
thus he will=20

> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank =
God for the=20
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
self-deception." -=20
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can =
distort them as much as you please".

>=20
> "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
acquisition of=20
> unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
> military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous =
rise of=20
> misplaced power exists and will persist.

Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in =
my life, some of which actually happened"

>=20
> We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
liberties or=20
> democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only =
an alert and=20
> knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the =
huge industrial=20
> and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and =
goals, so=20
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
Eisenhower,=20
> 1961

Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon =
an alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20

> "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great =
numbers of=20
> our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or =
are those=20
> illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? =
One thing is=20
> certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
allowed if it=20
> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." =
- Ronald=20
> Reagan

I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any = identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal = health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is = such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked = living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live. If = I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I = have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I = know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

>=20

> "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a = Frenchman; you can go=20

> to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a = Greek, or=20

> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to = live in=20

> America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. = Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the = way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North = one of your heroes?=20

>=20

> "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to = the manger=20

> even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do = not admit that=20

> right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been = done to the=20

> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do = not admit that=20

> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a = stronger race, a=20

> higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston = Churchill=20

> (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative = heart/brain=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112 =>
)

I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a = way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that = handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out = of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

=20

> "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

"I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of = intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to = educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at = 6:30".-Groucho Marx

>>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

"When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a = disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>=20

> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. = Eat=20

> them!" - Homer Simpson

>=20

> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from = Simpsons=20

> episode

"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>=20

> Courage,

> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I = would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a = quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.

;o)

>=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112

> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20

> news:464ce932@linux...

>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the = flames. Why=20

>> the

>> heck not welcome some more illegals.

>>

>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

>>

>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell = Phillips

>>
>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
vigilant in=20
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
brave, and
>> hated
>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
then it=20
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak =
or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
the=20
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant, the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
home of
>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
brain." --=20
>> Winston Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>> Rich
>>
>>
>>=20

>=20

>

-----=_NextPart_000_0044_01C799BD.3CE90000

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16441" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Rich, DeeJ, holy crap dudes. The =
policies of=20

this administration? Are you seriously defending this=20

administration? Help me! Yes, clearly the world so much a =
better=20

place now than 6 years ago. I know I feel safer. Jeezus, come=20
on!</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Listen, I despise fundamentalism of any =
stripe, and=20

I particularly despise these Islamic fundies who think they have a =
divine right=20

to kill in the name of Allah . . . the divine right to kill people who =
believe=20

in the same f***ing god they do! The idiots TOOK their god from =
the=20

Christians/Jews, for God's sake. :) As far as I'm concerned =
these=20

lunatics have a divine right to DIE, and that's it. And that goes=20
for anyone else who reasons that they should kill in the name =
of=20

God, Grrrr . . . where's my Winchester . . . </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>However . . . BIG however . . . this is =
not what=20

we're doing in Iraq, it's never been what we're doing in Iraq, and it's =
never=20

been the reason we went there in the first place. And I can't =
believe=20

anyone still believes the calculated bullshit that got us=20
there.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Here's what I think history will tell =

us: =20
this was the most corrupt and destructive administration in US history, =
and the=20
damage they did took years to recover from. (I'm =
optimistic)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Just my opinion. I could be=20
wrong.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Naaaa! ;)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>S</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> =
wrote in=20
message news:464ddb0@linux...</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>The thing that has always intrigued =
me is how the=20
folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration =
that is=20
willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first =
to be=20
slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
policies=20
of this administration.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>go figure.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>;o}</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
A>>=20
wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
written.=20
It astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses =

for=20

Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20
condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, =

who=20

reserve the right to defend themselves against such =
attacks.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Rich
</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20

=

href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>=20

wrote in message <A=20

href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20

href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =

size=3D2>>=20

wrote in message <FONT =
face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>...</DIV>

<DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
you . . .=20

? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20

international criminal problem that it's always been
> =

instead of=20

as a pretense for war, </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>.....now if they would just =
build an=20

asprin factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. =

Looks like=20

most of the people there are black so this would be =

PC.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =
morons like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the =
fire, I'm=20

with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been =
doing in=20

Iraq for the last four years
> has done anything but fan =
the=20

flames.
> </DIV>

<DIV>there are lots of flourishing =
nests of=20
fanatical morons Sarah.</DIV>
<DIV> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
FONT></DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
> Some alternative =
quotations:
>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
the=20
war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
who=20
ventures to open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths =
will cease=20
to open. Next the statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, =
putting the=20
blame upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man =
will be=20
glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently =

>=20
study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
thus he=20
will
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and =
will=20
thank God for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this =
process of=20
grotesque self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The =
Mysterious=20
Stranger</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
facts first,=20
and then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "In the =
councils of=20
government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
unwarranted=20
influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
military-industrial=20
complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> =
misplaced power=20
exists and will persist.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'll bet if we just got a few =
lawyers=20
involved, they could find a way to challenge the title to this =
land, then=20
we could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas=20
and >they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat =
and set=20
up a casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." -=20
Christopher Hitchens</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2> </DIV>
<DIV>"I read in the newspapers they =
are going to=20
have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
from 7.30=20
to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they =
started=20
at 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
<DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
refuge of a=20
scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including =
hope, life=20
becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2><FONT =
face=3DVerdana size=3D2>W.C.=20
Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
Don't eat=20
me! I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer =
Simpson</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - =
anti-immigrant=20
protest sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run!"-Edward D. Wood, =
Jr.

>=20

> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . =
..=20
)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of =
duels. If a man=20
should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by =
the hand=20
and lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark =

Twain.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>
>
> <A=20

=

href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=

><FONT=20

face=3DArial=20

=

size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=

<FONT=20

face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20

href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net<FONT face=3DArial =

size=3D2>>;=20

wrote in message
> <A =

href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20

face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux<FONT =

face=3DArial=20

size=3D2>...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, =

you can all=20

fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome =

some=20

more illegals.
>>
>> <A=20

=

href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=

T=20

face=3DArial=20

=

size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=

T>
<FONT=20

face=3DArial size=3D2>>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is =

the price of=20

liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is =

entitled=20

to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>>=20

its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20

MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." =

--=20

posted as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church =

in Texas=20

on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a =

change=20

the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> =

hated
>>=20

and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then =
it=20

>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a =
patriot." --=20
Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust =
the care=20
of freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- =
Dwight D.=20
Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
1953
>>
>>=20
"The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs =
to the=20

>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
Reagan
>>
>>=20
"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant,=20
the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to =
be when=20
it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
doesn't=20
have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
conservative=20
doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
Rich
>>
>>
>>
>>=20
=

></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE
></BODY></HTML>
>

-----=_NextPart_000_0044_01C799BD.3CE90000--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 15:15:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_026E_01C799F6.4E55AA30
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

"

Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most corrupt = and destructive administration in US history, and the damage they did = took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)

Just my opinion. I could be wrong.

Naaaa! :)

S

I think that this perfectly describes the Clinton administration.

go figure.....

-----=_NextPart_000_026E_01C799F6.4E55AA30

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Here's what I think history will tell =
us:&nbsp;</DIV>
  this was the most corrupt and destructive administration in US =
history, and=20
  the damage they did took years to recover from.&nbsp;</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Just my opinion.&nbsp;</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I could be=20
  wrong.</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Naaaa!&nbsp;</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>S</FONT></DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I think that theis perfectly =
describes the=20
Clinton administration.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>go=20
figure.....</DIV></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >

-----=_NextPart_000_026E_01C799F6.4E55AA30--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 16:18:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Yes, clearly the
>world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.
?I know I feel safer.

I edited out the hip-blasphemy bits and the issues that have been
discussed 3000 times and everyone knows the answers to, and we
end up with this:

You assume:

that we could have had a safer world when it could be much worse now
than it is

that this particular struggle can be completed in 6 years.

that our enemies are amenable to any other policy than surrender

that electing someone with your views would produce greater safety

I think all these assumptions are unsupported by any reasonable view of
the facts at hand.

Here's you., 2 weeks after Tualitin gets hit with a dirty bomb...

<http://noburqua.blogspot.com/>

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 17:33:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals most everyone SAYS they believe in.

But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it could also have been much, much better.

And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a wrong direction.

"Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE."

Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"

Go team! Over the cliff.

"Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)

There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic malfeasance.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

DC wrote:

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>
>> Yes, clearly the
>> world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.
> ?I know I feel safer.
>

> I edited out the hip-blasphemy bits and the issues that have been
> discussed 3000 times and everyone knows the answers to, and we
> end up with this:
>
> You assume:
>
> that we could have had a safer world when it could be much worse now
> than it is
>
> that this particular struggle can be completed in 6 years.
>
> that our enemies are amenable to any other policy than surrender
>
> that electing someone with your views would produce greater safety
>
>
> I think all these assumptions are unsupported by any reasonable view of
> the facts at hand.
>
>
> Here's you., 2 weeks after Tualitin gets hit with a dirty bomb...
>
> <http://noburqua.blogspot.com/>
>
> DC

Sarah wrote:

> Rich, Deej, holy crap dudes. The policies of this administration? Are
> you seriously defending this administration? Help me! Yes, clearly the
> world so much a better place now than 6 years ago. I know I feel safer.
> Jeezus, come on!
>
> Listen, I despise fundamentalism of any stripe, and I particularly
> despise these Islamic fundies who think they have a divine right to kill
> in the name of Allah . . . the divine right to kill people who believe
> in the same f***ing god they do! The idiots TOOK their god from the
> Christians/Jews, for God's sake. :) As far as I'm concerned these
> lunatics have a divine right to DIE, and that's it. And that goes
> for anyone else who reasons that they should kill in the name of
> God, Grrrr . . . where's my Winchester . . .
>
> However . . . BIG however . . . this is not what we're doing in Iraq,
> it's never been what we're doing in Iraq, and it's never been the reason
> we went there in the first place. And I can't believe anyone still
> believes the calculated bullshit that got us there.
>
> Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most corrupt and

> destructive administration in US history, and the damage they did took
> years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)
>
> Just my opinion. I could be wrong.
>
> Naaaa! :)
>
> S
>
>
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>> wrote in
> message news:464ddb0@linux...
>
> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far
> left in this country slag the only administration that is willing to
> fight for their right to slag the administration. The
> leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first
> to be slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in
> defeating the policies of this administration.
>
> go figure.
>
> ;o}
>
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message
> news:464dc9f4@linux...
> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It
> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make
> excuses for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the
> same time condemn those democratic and freedom loving people,
> who reserve the right to defend themselves against such attacks.
>
> Rich
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com
> <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>> wrote in message
> news:464dc442@linux...
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com
> <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message
> news:464da14d@linux...
> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd
> been treating
> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
> always been
> > instead of as a pretense for war,

>
>now if they would just build an aspirin factory
> there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like
> most of the people there are black so this would be PC.
>
> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like
> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire,
> I'm with you, but I
> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for
> the last four years
> > has done anything but fan the flames.
> >
> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons

Sarah.

>
> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.php

>
>
> > Some alternative quotations:
> >
> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up
> the war-cry, and
> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures
> to open his mouth;
> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
> statesmen will invent
> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
> attacked, and every
> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities,
> and will diligently
> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of
> them; and thus he will
> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
> thank God for the
> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
> self-deception." -
> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

>
> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can
> distort them as much as you please".

>
> >
> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the
> acquisition of
> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the
> disastrous rise of
> > misplaced power exists and will persist.

>
> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible
> things in my life, some of which actually happened"
>
> >
> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger
> our liberties or
> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted.
> Only an alert and
> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of
> the huge industrial
> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful
> methods and goals, so
> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight
> D. Eisenhower,
> > 1961

>
> DeeJ also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends
> upon an alert and knowledgeable CIA"

>
> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are
> great numbers of
> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien
> invasion or are those
> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't
> do? One thing is
> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should
> be allowed if it
> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of
> harvesters." - Ronald
> > Reagan

>
> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce
> any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my
> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay.
> Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I
> shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked living in
> Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live.
> If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in
> jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they
> will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact.
> Pisses me off.

>
> >
> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a
> Frenchman; you can go
> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a
> Turk, or a Greek, or

> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can
> come to live in
> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

>
> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote
> Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra
> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in
> the inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?

>
> >
> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final
> right to the manger
> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time.
> I do not admit that
> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong
> has been done to the
> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia.
> I do not admit that
> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a
> stronger race, a
> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." -
> Winston Churchill
> > (who apparently never said that thing about
> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> >

>
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)

>
> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could
> find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we
> could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas
> and they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat
> and set up a casino.

> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

>
> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes
> of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30
> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if
> they started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx

> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel
> Johnson

> a disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

> >
> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife
> and kids. Eat

> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>
>
>
> >
> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest
> sign from Simpsons
> > episode
>
> "We don't have a permit. Run!" -Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>
> >
> > Courage,
> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>
> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge
> me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and
> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
>
> >
> >
>
<http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>
> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message
> > news:464ce932@linux...
> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan
> the flames. Why
> >> the
> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
> >>
> >>
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams0511107.htm>
> >>
> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell
> Phillips
> >>
> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless
> he be vigilant in
> >> its
> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
> >>
> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an
> announcement outside a

> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> >>
> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce
> man, and brave, and
> >> hated
> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join
> him, for then it
> >> costs
> >> nothing
> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>
> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to
> the weak or the
> >> timid."
> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan.
> 20, 1953
> >>
> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It
> belongs to the
> >> brave."
> >> -- Ronald Reagan
> >>
> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to
> the vigilant, the
> >> active,
> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> >>
> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no
> longer the home of
> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >>
> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a
heart,
> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't
> have a brain." --
> >> Winston Churchill
> >>
> >> Guidance,
> >> Rich
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 19 May 2007 19:56:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

And while you're at it GO DRINK YER DANG TEA!!!

heh heh

Have a great weekend Sarah, and do come play down here when your record takes off!

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 09:26:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01C79A86.38DC5F10

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Sorry, I don't believe you. I'm sure you're making a point, but I don't = believe you really think that.

Sorry. :)

SJB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:464f1633@linux...

"

Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most corrupt = and destructive administration in US history, and the damage they did = took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)

Just my opinion. I could be wrong.

Naaaa! :)

S

I think that this perfectly describes the Clinton administration.

go figure.....

-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01C79A86.38DC5F10

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16441" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Sorry, I don't believe you.&nbsp; I'm =
sure you're=20
making a point, but I don't believe you really think that.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Sorry.&nbsp; :)</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>SJB</FONT></DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"DJ" &lt;<A=20
  =
href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com</A>&gt; =
wrote in=20
  message <A =
href=3D"news:464f1633@linux">news:464f1633@linux</A>...</DIV>
  <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
  <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
  style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
    <DIV>"<FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT></DIV>
    <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Here's what I think history will =
tell us:&nbsp;=20
    this was the most corrupt and destructive administration in US =
history, and=20
    the damage they did took years to recover from.&nbsp; (I'm=20
    optimistic)</FONT></DIV>
    <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
    <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Just my opinion.&nbsp; I could be=20
    wrong.</FONT></DIV>
    <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
    <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Naaaa!&nbsp; :)</FONT></DIV>
```

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>S</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I think that this perfectly =
describes the=20
Clinton administration.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>go=20
figure.....</DIV></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE ></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01C79A86.38DC5F10--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 09:57:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm . . . well, I'm not assuming any of those things that you assume I'm assuming. You, however, may be assuming that "someone with my views" would be "soft" on terrorism, an assumption most likely based on an erroneous "talking points" stereotype of "liberals."

Someone with my views would attack the right people, after making damned sure they were the right people. Someone with my views would not use the horror of 9/11 as the "Pearl Harbor" we needed to justify a naive and just plain disgusting plan to use military force to Americanize the Middle East.

Blah blah, yak yak. People are gonna believe what suits 'em. I'm tired of talking about it.

(applause)

Thank you, thank you.

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:464f2365\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>>Yes, clearly the

>>world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.

> ?I know I feel safer.

>
> I edited out the hip-blasphemy bits and the issues that have been
> discussed 3000 times and everyone knows the answers to, and we
> end up with this:
>
> You assume:
>
> that we could have had a safer world when it could be much worse now
> than it is
>
> that this particular struggle can be completed in 6 years.
>
> that our enemies are amenable to any other policy than surrender
>
> that electing someone with your views would produce greater safety
>
> I think all these assumptions are unsupported by any reasonable view of
> the facts at hand.
>
>
> Here's you., 2 weeks after Tualitin gets hit with a dirty bomb...
>
> <http://noburqua.blogspot.com/>
>
> DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 10:01:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tea?! Blehh . . . I'm heading for the Black Butte Porter.

"When?" "When" my record takes off? Not "if"? Gosh, thanks. :)

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinboringore.com> wrote in message [news:464f5683\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:464f5683$1@linux...)

>
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>
> And while you're at it GO DRINK YER DANG TEA!!!
>
> heh heh
>
> Have a great weekend Sarah, and do come play down here when

> your record takes off!
>
> DC
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 15:07:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The first thing that must be done in handling any problem is to find the precise source of the problem. For example if a guitar amp starts making funny noises, find the tube that is blown and replace it. Voila, everything sounds good again. Breaking all the tubes with hammer so you have to take it to the shop to get them all replaced along with the chassis and sockets is NOT the best solution.

War is the least effective, most destructive solution, and the absolute last resort of the clever leader. It is an act of desperation. Smart and sane people don't pick a fight to resolve differences. And frankly only a very unwise leader attacks a country of millions to handle a very small group with members numbering in the thousands, few of whom even come from that country.

Let's be honest, this war is a failure as it was always bound to be. It almost makes Bush senior look like a genius for getting the heck out after he quieted them down.

I'm kind of amazed so many otherwise intelligent people persist in thinking war is a solution for anything other than a last resort defensive action.

Bill

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames. Why the
> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>
> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>
> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>
> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in its
> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>
> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

>
> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and
> hated
> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs
> nothing
> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>
> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
> timid."
> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>
> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the brave."
> -- Ronald Reagan
>
> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the
> active,
> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>
> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>
> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> Winston Churchill
>
> Guidance,
> Rich
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [ulfiyya](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 15:10:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hi,
I think that you don't where you talking about
learn first the history before any comments!

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
>Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
>idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading

>to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals
>most everyone SAYS they believe in.

>
>But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it
>could also have been much, much better.
>
>And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible
>delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a

>wrong direction.
>
>"Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE

>BEEN WORSE."
>
>Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP
>SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>
>Go team! Over the cliff.
>
>"Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
>COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>
>There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
>malfeasance.
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
>DC wrote:
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Yes, clearly the
>>> world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.
>> ?I know I feel safer.
>>
>> I edited out the hip-blasphemy bits and the issues that have been
>> discussed 3000 times and everyone knows the answers to, and we
>> end up with this:
>>
>> You assume:
>>
>> that we could have had a safer world when it could be much worse now
>> than it is
>>
>> that this particular struggle can be completed in 6 years.

>>
>> that our enemies are amenable to any other policy than surrender
>>
>> that electing someone with your views would produce greater safety
>>
>>
>> I think all these assumptions are unsupported by any reasonable view of
>> the facts at hand.
>>
>>
>> Here's you., 2 weeks after Tualitin gets hit with a dirty bomb...
>>
>> <http://noburqua.blogspot.com/>
>>
>> DC
>
>
>Sarah wrote:
> > Rich, Deej, holy crap dudes. The policies of this administration? Are
> > you seriously defending this administration? Help me! Yes, clearly
the
> > world so much a better place now than 6 years ago. I know I feel safer.
> > Jeezus, come on!
> >
> > Listen, I despise fundamentalism of any stripe, and I particularly
> > despise these Islamic fundies who think they have a divine right to kill
> > in the name of Allah . . . the divine right to kill people who believe
> > in the same f***ing god they do! The idiots TOOK their god from the
> > Christians/Jews, for God's sake. :) As far as I'm concerned these
> > lunatics have a divine right to DIE, and that's it. And that goes
> > for anyone else who reasons that they should kill in the name of
> > God, Grrrr . . . where's my Winchester . . .
> >
> > However . . . BIG however . . . this is not what we're doing in Iraq,
> > it's never been what we're doing in Iraq, and it's never been the reason
> > we went there in the first place. And I can't believe anyone still
> > believes the calculated bullshit that got us there.
> >
> > Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most corrupt
and
> > destructive administration in US history, and the damage they did took
> > years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)
> >
> > Just my opinion. I could be wrong.
> >
> > Naaaa! :)
> >
> > S

> >
> >
> >
> > "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>> wrote
in
> > message news:464ddb0@linux...
> >
> > The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far
> > left in this country slag the only administration that is willing
to
> > fight for their right to slag the administration. The
> > leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first
> > to be slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in
> > defeating the policies of this administration.
> >
> > go figure.
> >
> > ;o}
> >
> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
> > <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message
> > news:464dc9f4@linux...
> > Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It
> > astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make
> > excuses for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the
> > same time condemn those democratic and freedom loving people,
> > who reserve the right to defend themselves against such attacks.
> >
> > Rich
> >
> > "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com
> > <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>> wrote in message
> > news:464dc442@linux...
> >
> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com
> > <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message
> > news:464da14d@linux...
> > > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd
> > been treating
> > > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
> > always been
> > > instead of as a pretense for war,
> >
> >now if they would just build an aspirin factory
> > there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like
> > most of the people there are black so this would be PC.
> >
> > flourishing nests of fanatical morons like

>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire,
>> I'm with you, but I
>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for
>> the last four years
>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>> >
>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons
>Sarah.
>>
> [http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp](http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.php)
>>
>>
>> > Some alternative quotations:
>> >
>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take
up
>> the war-cry, and
>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures
>> to open his mouth;
>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
>> statesmen will invent
>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
>> attacked, and every
>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities,
>> and will diligently
>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of
>> them; and thus he will
>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
>> thank God for the
>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
>> self-deception." -
>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>
>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you
can
>> distort them as much as you please".
>>
>> >
>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against
the
>> acquisition of
>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by
the
>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the
>> disastrous rise of
>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>
>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible

> > things in my life, some of which actually happened"
> >
> > >
> > > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger
> > our liberties or
> > > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted.
> > Only an alert and
> > > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing
of
> > the huge industrial
> > > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful
> > methods and goals, so
> > > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight
> > D. Eisenhower,
> > > 1961
> >
> > Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends
> > upon an alert and knowldegable CIA"
> >
> > > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss.
Are
> > great numbers of
> > > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien
> > invasion or are those
> > > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't
> > do? One thing is
> > > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should
> > be allowed if it
> > > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of
> > harvesters." - Ronald
> > > Reagan
> >
> > I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce
> > any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my
> > own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay.
> > Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I
> > shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked living in
> > Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live.
> > If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me
in
> > jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they
> > will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact.
> > Pisses me off.
> >
> > >
> > > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a
> > Frenchman; you can go
> > > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a

> > Turk, or a Greek, or
 > > > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can
 > > come to live in
 > > > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
 > >
 > > I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote
 > > Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra
 > > Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine
 in
 > > the inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?
 > >
 > > >
 > > > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final
 > > right to the manger
 > > > even though he may have lain there for a very long time.
 > > I do not admit that
 > > > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong
 > > has been done to the
 > > > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia.
 > > I do not admit that
 > > > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that
 a
 > > stronger race, a
 > > > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place."
 -
 > > Winston Churchill
 > > > (who apparently never said that thing about
 > > liberal/conservative heart/brain
 > > >
 > >
 > > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)
 > >
 > > I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could
 > > find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we
 > > could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas
 > > and they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat
 > > and set up a casino.
 > >
 > > > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
 > >
 > > "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes
 > > of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30
 > > to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America
 if
 > > they started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx
 > > >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel
 > > Johnson
 > >

> > >
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 15:45:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dude, whoever you are, be specific. Enlighten us all about the history you think is missing from this thread.

It's important to be informed in order to avoid the dangerous naivety Don and I are seeing from different perspectives. Otherwise we will ignorantly follow any tempting, flute blowing pied piper into the sea.

I would say we are drowning in wishful naivety these days. On that much Don and I probably agree. If you think otherwise, prove your case.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

ulfiyya wrote:

> hi,
> I think that you don't where you talking about
> learn first the history before any comments!
>
> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
>> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading
>
>> to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals
>> most everyone SAYS they believe in.
>>
>> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it
>> could also have been much, much better.
>>
>> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible
>> delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a
>
>> wrong direction.
>>
>> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE
>
>> BEEN WORSE."

>>
>> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP
>> SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>>
>> Go team! Over the cliff.
>>
>> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
>> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>>
>> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
>> malfeasance.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> DC wrote:
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Yes, clearly the
>>>> world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.
>>> ?I know I feel safer.
>>>
>>> I edited out the hip-blasphemy bits and the issues that have been
>>> discussed 3000 times and everyone knows the answers to, and we
>>> end up with this:
>>>
>>> You assume:
>>>
>>> that we could have had a safer world when it could be much worse now
>>> than it is
>>>
>>> that this particular struggle can be completed in 6 years.
>>>
>>> that our enemies are amenable to any other policy than surrender
>>>
>>> that electing someone with your views would produce greater safety
>>>
>>>
>>> I think all these assumptions are unsupported by any reasonable view of
>>> the facts at hand.
>>>
>>>
>>> Here's you., 2 weeks after Tualitin gets hit with a dirty bomb...
>>>
>>> <http://noburqua.blogspot.com/>

>>>
>>> DC
>>
>> Sarah wrote:
>>> Rich, DeeJ, holy crap dudes. The policies of this administration? Are
>>> you seriously defending this administration? Help me! Yes, clearly
> the
>>> world so much a better place now than 6 years ago. I know I feel safer.
>>> Jeezus, come on!
>>>
>>> Listen, I despise fundamentalism of any stripe, and I particularly
>>> despise these Islamic fundies who think they have a divine right to kill
>>> in the name of Allah . . . the divine right to kill people who believe
>>> in the same f***ing god they do! The idiots TOOK their god from the
>>> Christians/Jews, for God's sake. :) As far as I'm concerned these
>>> lunatics have a divine right to DIE, and that's it. And that goes
>>> for anyone else who reasons that they should kill in the name of
>>> God, Grrrr . . . where's my Winchester . . .
>>>
>>> However . . . BIG however . . . this is not what we're doing in Iraq,
>>> it's never been what we're doing in Iraq, and it's never been the reason
>>> we went there in the first place. And I can't believe anyone still
>>> believes the calculated bullshit that got us there.
>>>
>>> Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most corrupt
> and
>>> destructive administration in US history, and the damage they did took
>>> years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)
>>>
>>> Just my opinion. I could be wrong.
>>>
>>> Naaaa! :)
>>>
>>> S
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com>> wrote
> in
>>> message news:464ddb0@linux...
>>>
>>> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far
>>> left in this country slag the only administration that is willing
> to
>>> fight for their right to slag the administration. The
>>> leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first
>>> to be slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in
>>> defeating the policies of this administration.

>>>
>>> go figure.
>>>
>>> ;o}
>>>
>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net
>>> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message
>>> news:464dc9f4@linux...
>>> DeeJ, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It
>>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make
>>> excuses for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the
>>> same time condemn those democratic and freedom loving people,
>>> who reserve the right to defend themselves against such attacks.
>>>
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com
>>> <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>> wrote in message
>>> news:464dc442@linux...
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com
>>> <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message
>>> news:464da14d@linux...
>>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd
>>> been treating
>>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
>>> always been
>>> > instead of as a pretense for war,
>>>
>>>now if they would just build an aspirin factory
>>> there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like
>>> most of the people there are black so this would be PC.
>>>
>>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like
>>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire,
>>> I'm with you, but I
>>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for
>>> the last four years
>>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>>> >
>>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons
>> Sarah.
>> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.php
>>>
>>> > Some alternative quotations:
>>> >
>>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take
> up

>>> the war-cry, and
>>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures
>>> to open his mouth;
>>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
>>> statesmen will invent
>>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
>>> attacked, and every
>>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities,
>>> and will diligently
>>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of
>>> them; and thus he will
>>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
>>> thank God for the
>>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
>>> self-deception." -
>>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

>>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you
> can
>>> distort them as much as you please".

>>> >
>>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against
> the
>>> acquisition of
>>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by
> the
>>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the
>>> disastrous rise of
>>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.

>>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible
>>> things in my life, some of which actually happened"

>>> >
>>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger
>>> our liberties or
>>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted.
>>> Only an alert and
>>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing
> of
>>> the huge industrial
>>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful
>>> methods and goals, so
>>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight
>>> D. Eisenhower,
>>> > 1961

>>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends
>>> upon an alert and knowldegable CIA"
>>>
>>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss.
> Are
>>> great numbers of
>>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien
>>> invasion or are those
>>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't
>>> do? One thing is
>>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should
>>> be allowed if it
>>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of
>>> harvesters." - Ronald
>>> > Reagan
>>>
>>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce
>>> any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my
>>> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay.
>>> Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I
>>> shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked living in
>>> Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live.
>>> If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me
> in
>>> jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they
>>> will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact.
>>> Pisses me off.
>>>
>>> >
>>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a
>>> Frenchman; you can go
>>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a
>>> Turk, or a Greek, or
>>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can
>>> come to live in
>>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>>>
>>> I think it's soooooo cool when people who are liberal quote
>>> Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra
>>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine
> in
>>> the inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?
>>>
>>> >
>>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final
>>> right to the manger
>>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time.
>>> I do not admit that

>>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong
>>> has been done to the
>>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia.
>>> I do not admit that
>>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that
> a
>>> stronger race, a
>>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place."
> -
>>> Winston Churchill
>>> > (who apparently never said that thing about
>>> liberal/conservative heart/brain
>>> >
>>>
>> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)
>>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could
>>> find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we
>>> could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas
>>> and they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat
>>> and set up a casino.
>>>
>>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>>>
>>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes
>>> of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30
>>> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America
> if
>>> they started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx
>>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel
>>> Johnson
>>>
>>> a disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
>>> >
>>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife
>>> and kids. Eat
>>> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>>>
>>> >
>>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest
>>> sign from Simpsons
>>> > episode
>>>
>>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Courage,

>>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>>
>>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge
>>> me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and
>>> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>
>>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net>
>>> <<mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>>> wrote in message
>>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan
>>> the flames. Why
>>> >> the
>>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>> >>
>>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell
>>> Phillips
>>> >>
>>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless
>>> he be vigilant in
>>> >> its
>>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>> >>
>>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an
>>> announcement outside a
>>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>> >>
>>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce
>>> man, and brave, and
>>> >> hated
>>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join
>>> him, for then it
>>> >> costs
>>> >> nothing
>>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>> >>
>>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to

>>> the weak or the
>>> >> timid."
>>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan.
>>> 20, 1953
>>> >>
>>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It
>>> belongs to the
>>> >> brave."
>>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>>> >>
>>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to
>>> the vigilant, the
>>> >> active,
>>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>> >>
>>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no
>>> longer the home of
>>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>> >>
>>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a
>> heart,
>>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't
>>> have a brain." --
>>> >> Winston Churchill
>>> >>
>>> >> Guidance,
>>> >> Rich
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 19:02:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0173_01C79ADF.181C7090
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I definitely believe this. The whole reason we are in Iraq and =
Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal ineptitude. You =

can be assured that I believe that clinton was, without a doubt, "The = worst" president in american history, bar none.

;o)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message = news:465015b5\$1@linux...

Sorry, I don't believe you. I'm sure you're making a point, but I = don't believe you really think that.

Sorry. :)

SJB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message = news:464f1633@linux...

"

Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most = corrupt and destructive administration in US history, and the damage = they did took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)

Just my opinion. I could be wrong.

Naaaa! :)

S

I think that this perfectly describes the Clinton administration.

go figure.....

-----=_NextPart_000_0173_01C79ADF.181C7090

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; = charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>I definitely believe this. The whole = reason we are=20

in Iraq and Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal =
ineptitude.=20

You can be assured that I believe that clinton was, without a doubt, =
"The=20

worst" president in american history, bar none.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarhtonin.com">sarahjane@sarhtonin.com>=

wrote=20

in message <A =

href=3D"news:465015b5\$1@linux">news:465015b5\$1@linux...</DIV>

<DIV>Sorry, I don't believe you. </DIV>

sure you're=20

making a point, but I don't believe you really think =

that.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Sorry. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>SJB</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20

=

href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> =

wrote=20

in message <A =

href=3D"news:464f1633@linux">news:464f1633@linux...</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"</DIV>

<DIV>Here's what I think history will =

tell=20

us: </DIV> this was the most corrupt and destructive administration =

in US=20

history, and the damage they did took years to recover from. =

(I'm=20

optimistic)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Just my opinion. I could be =

wrong.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Naaaa! :)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>S</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I think that theis perfectly =
describes the=20
Clinton administration.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>go=20
=
figure.....</DIV></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE ></BLOCKQUOTE></B=
ODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0173_01C79ADF.181C7090--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 19:50:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thad,

I missed the debate, so I don't know what he said there, but I went to his website and I found myself agreeing wholeheartedly with almost every point he made. He has a clear opinion and doesn't hedge on saying what he wants to do about it. That's a breath of fresh air.

Check it out. You may be surprised.
http://www.ronpaul2008.com/html/Issues_fx.html

Bill L

TCB wrote:

> Yup, those wing nuts on the left. Like Ron Paul. Did you see him in the pres.
> debate? Freaking commie.

>

> TCB

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>

>> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left

> =
>> in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight =
>> for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken =
>> first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =
>> those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this
> =
>> administration.
>>
>> go figure.
>>
>> ;o}
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
>> news:464dc9f4@linux...
>> DeeJ, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds
> =
>> me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =
>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =
>> democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend =
>> themselves against such attacks.
>>
>> Rich
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>> news:464dc442@linux...
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
>> news:464da14d@linux...
>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
>> treating=20
>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
>> been=20
>> > instead of as a pretense for war,=20
>>
>>now if they would just build an asprin factory there, we =
>> could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
>> there are black so this would be PC.
>>
>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
>> you, but I=20
>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
>> four years=20
>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>> >=20
>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
>> [http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_](http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp)comment.p hp
>>
>>

>> > Some alternative quotations:
>> >=20
>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
>> war-cry, and=20
>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
>> his mouth;=20
>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
>> will invent=20
>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
>> and every=20
>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
> =
>> diligently=20
>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
>> thus he will=20
>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank =
>> God for the=20
>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
>> self-deception." -=20
>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>
>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort
> =
>> them as much as you please".
>>
>> >=20
>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
>> acquisition of=20
>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise
> =
>> of=20
>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>
>> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in =
>> my life, some of which actually happened"
>>
>> >=20
>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
>> liberties or=20
>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an
> =
>> alert and=20
>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
> =
>> industrial=20
>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and =
>> goals, so=20

>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
>> Eisenhower,=20
>> > 1961
>>
>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an
> =
>> alert and knowledgeable CIA"=20
>>
>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great =
>> numbers of=20
>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are
> =
>> those=20
>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One
> =
>> thing is=20
>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
>> allowed if it=20
>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." -
> =
>> Ronald=20
>> > Reagan
>>
>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =
>> identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
>> health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is
> =
>> such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked
> =
>> living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live. If
> =
>> I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
>> have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I
> =
>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
>>
>> >=20
>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman;
> =
>> you can go=20
>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a =
>> Greek, or=20
>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to =
>> live in=20
>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>>
>> I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. =
>> Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the

> =
>> way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North =
>> one of your heroes?=20
>>
>> >=20
>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to =
>> the manger=20
>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not
> =
>> admit that=20
>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
>> done to the=20
>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
> =
>> admit that=20
>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger
> =
>> race, a=20
>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
>> Churchill=20
>> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
>> heart/brain=20
>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)
>>
>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a =
>> way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
>> handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out
> =
>> of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
>> =20
>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>>
>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
>> intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
>> educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
>>
>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>>
>> "When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
>> disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
>> >=20
>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. =
>> Eat=20
>> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>>
>>
>> >=20

>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
>> Simpsons=20
>> > episode
>>
>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>
>> >=20
>> > Courage,
>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>
>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
>> would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
>> quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >=20
>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
>> flames. Why=20
>> >> the
>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>> >>
>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>> >>
>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>> >>
>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
>> vigilant in=20
>> >> its
>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>> >>
>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
>> outside a
>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>> >>
>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
>> brave, and
>> >> hated
>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
>> then it=20
>> >> costs
>> >> nothing

```

>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>> >>
>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or
> =
>> the
>> >> timid."
>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>> >>
>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>> the=20
>> >> brave."
>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>> >>
>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
>> vigilant, the
>> >> active,
>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>> >>
>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
>> home of
>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>> >>
>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
>> brain." ---=20
>> >> Winston Churchill
>> >>
>> >> Guidance,
>> >> Rich
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>=20
>> >=20
>> >
>>
>> <!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>> <HTML><HEAD>
>> <META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>> charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>> <META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
>> <STYLE></STYLE>
>> </HEAD>
>> <BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The thing that has always intrigued me
> =
>> is how the=20
>> folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that
> =

```

>> is=20
>> willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
>> leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to
> =
>> be=20
>> slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
>> policies=20
>> of this administration.</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>go figure.</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>;o}</DIV>
>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>> BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
>> =
>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
>> A>>=20
>> wrote in message <A=20
>> href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux...</DIV>
>> <DIV>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
>> written. It=20
>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for
> =
>> Islamic=20
>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20
>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =
>> reserve=20
>> the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>Rich
</DIV>
>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>> BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
>> =
>> href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> =
>> wrote=20
>> in message <A =
>> href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
>> size=3D2>> wrote=20
>> in message <FONT face=3DArial
> =

```
>> size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2>...</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
>> you . . .=20
>> ? Perhaps if we'd been treating <BR>> terrorism as the=20
>> international criminal problem that it's always been <BR>> =
>> instead of as=20
>> a pretense for war, </FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>.....now if they would just =
>> build an asprin=20
>> factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like =
>> most of=20
>> the people there are black so this would be PC.</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>flourishing nests of fanatical =
>> morons like=20
>> <BR>> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =
>> I'm=20
>> with you, but I <BR>> hope you don't think what we've been doing =
>> in Iraq=20
>> for the last four years <BR>> has done anything but fan the=20
>> flames.<BR>> </FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>there are lots of flourishing nests
> =
>> of=20
>> fanatical morons Sarah.</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </FONT><A=20
>> =
>> href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
>> FONT=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>> size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
>> FONT></A></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><BR><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>> Some alternative =
>> quotations:<BR>>=20
>> <BR>> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
>> the=20
>> war-cry, and <BR>> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
>> who=20
>> ventures to open his mouth; <BR>> and presently such mouths will =
>> cease to=20
>> open. Next the statesmen will invent <BR>> cheap lies, putting =
>> the blame=20
>> upon the nation that is attacked, and every <BR>> man will be =
>> glad of=20
```

>> those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently
> =
>> study=20
>> them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
>> will=20
>>
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
>> thank God=20
>> for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
>> grotesque=20
>> self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
>> Stranger</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
>> facts first, and=20
>> then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
>> <DIV>
>
> "In the councils =
>> of=20
>> government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
>> unwarranted=20
>> influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
>> military-industrial=20
>> complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced =
>> power=20
>> exists and will persist.</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
>> thought some=20
>> terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
>> happened"</DIV>
>> <DIV>
>
> We must never let =
>> the weight=20
>> of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
>> processes.=20
>> We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
>> knowledgeable=20
>> citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =
>>
> and=20
>> military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, =
>> so=20
>>
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =
>> D.=20
>> Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
>> knowledgable=20
>> citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>
>> <DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
>> about the=20
>> illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =

>> really=20
>> victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =
>> tourists=20
>> actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =
>> certain=20
>> in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it =
>>
>=20
>> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - =
>> Ronald=20
>>
> Reagan</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =
>> should not=20
>> have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should
> =
>> be my=20
>> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
>> vehicle=20
>> insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
>> either. I=20
>> also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =
>> there and=20
>> live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in =
>> jail. If=20
>> I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in =
>> jail. I=20
>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>
>> <DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
>> live in=20
>> France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =
>> live in=20
>> Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =
>>
>=20
>> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live
> =
>> in=20
>>
> America and become an American." - more Ronald =
>> Reagan</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =
>> people who are=20
>> liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the
> =
>> Contra=20
>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
>> inner=20
>> cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>

>> <DIV>>
> "I do not agree =
>> that the dog=20
>> in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even though =
>> he may=20
>> have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that
> =
>> right. I=20
>> do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the =
>>
>=20
>> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not =
>> admit that=20
>>
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
>> stronger=20
>> race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their =
>> place." -=20
>> Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing =
>> about=20
>> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
>> =
>> href=3D" <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>"=
>>> <FONT=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>> size=3D2> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>=
>> <FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2>)</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>I'll bet if we just got a few =
>> lawyers involved,=20
>> they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we =
>> could=20
>> pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they =
>> would=20
>> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a=20
>> casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." - =
>> Christopher=20
>> Hitchens</DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2> </DIV>
>> <DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are
> =
>> going to=20
>> have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
>>from 7.30=20
>> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they =
>> started at=20
>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
>> <DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
>> refuge of a=20

```

>> scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT size=3D+0>=93When we have lost everything, including =
>> hope, life=20
>> becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-</FONT><FONT face=3DArial =
>> size=3D2><FONT=20
>> face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3><FONT face=3DVerdana size=3D2>W.C.
> =
>> Fields</FONT></FONT><BR>> <BR>> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
>> Don't eat=20
>> me! I have a wife and kids. Eat <BR>> them!" - Homer =
>> Simpson</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
>> protest=20
>> sign from Simpsons <BR>> episode</DIV>
>> <DIV> </DIV>
>> <DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.<BR><BR>> =
>>
>> <BR>> Courage,<BR>> Sarah ( . . . if I only had a brain . . .=20
>> )</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels.
> =
>> If a man=20
>> should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the
> =
>> hand and=20
>> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o)</FONT></DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </DIV>
>> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> </FONT><A=20
>> =
>> href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
>>> <FONT=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>> size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
>> </FONT></A><BR><FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <</FONT><A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
>> size=3D2>>=20
>> wrote in message <BR>> </FONT><A =
>> href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20

```

>> face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux<FONT =
>> face=3DArial=20
>> size=3D2>...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
>> can all=20
>> fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome =
>> some more=20
>> illegals.
>>
>> <A=20
>> =
>> href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
>> T=20
>> face=3DArial=20
>> =
>> size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
>> T>
<FONT=20
>> face=3DArial size=3D2>>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
>> price of=20
>> liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is =
>> entitled to=20
>> the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>>=20
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
>> MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
>> posted=20
>> as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas =
>> on Sept.=20
>> 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
>> patriot=20
>> is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> hated
>> and =
>> scorned.=20
>> When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =
>>
>>=20
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
>> Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the =
>> care of=20
>> freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight =
>> D.=20
>> Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
>> 1953
>>
>>=20
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>> the=20
>>
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
>> Reagan
>>
>>=20
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
> =
>> the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
>> Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
>> when=20
>> it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
>> Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =

>> doesn't=20
>> have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
>> conservative=20
>> doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
>> Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
>> Rich
>>
>>
>>
>=20
>>
></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 19:56:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now Bill.....god forbid we should get someone in office who won't sugar coat things....we need to feel good about ourselves

;o)

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message <news:4650a7f9@linux...>
> Thad,
>
> I missed the debate, so I don't know what he said there, but I went to his
> website and I found myself agreeing wholeheartedly with almost every point
> he made. He has a clear opinion and doesn't hedge on saying what he wants
> to do about it. That's a breath of fresh air.
>
> Check it out. You may be surprised.
> http://www.ronpaul2008.com/html/Issues_fx.html
>
> Bill L
>
> TCB wrote:
>> Yup, those wing nuts on the left. Like Ron Paul. Did you see him in the
>> pres.
>> debate? Freaking commie. TCB
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far left
>> =
>>> in this country slag the only administration that is willing to fight =
>>> for their right to slag the administration. The leftleaning/outspoken =
>>> first amendment loving folks will be the first to be slaughtered by =

>>> those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the policies of this
>> =
>>> administration.
>>>
>>> go figure.
>>>
>>> ;o}
>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
>>> news:464dc9f4@linux...
>>> DeeJ, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It astounds
>> =
>>> me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for Islamic =
>>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn those =
>>> democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to defend =
>>> themselves against such attacks.
>>>
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>>> news:464dc442@linux...
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
>>> news:464da14d@linux...
>>> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
>>> treating=20
>>> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
>>> been=20
>>> > instead of as a pretense for war,=20
>>>
>>>now if they would just build an aspirin factory there, we =
>>> could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =
>>> there are black so this would be PC.
>>>
>>> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20
>>> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
>>> you, but I=20
>>> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
>>> four years=20
>>> > has done anything but fan the flames.
>>> >=20
>>> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
>>> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp
>>>
>>>
>>> > Some alternative quotations:
>>> >=20
>>> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
>>> war-cry, and=20

>>> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
>>> his mouth;=20
>>> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
>>> will invent=20
>>> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
>>> and every=20
>>> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will
>> =
>>> diligently=20
>>> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
>>> thus he will=20
>>> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank =
>>> God for the=20
>>> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
>>> self-deception." -=20
>>> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>>>
>>> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can distort
>> =
>>> them as much as you please".
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
>>> acquisition of=20
>>> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20
>>> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise
>> =
>>> of=20
>>> > misplaced power exists and will persist.
>>>
>>> Mark Twain also said "I have been thought some terrible things in =
>>> my life, some of which actually happened"
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our =
>>> liberties or=20
>>> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an
>> =
>>> alert and=20
>>> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge
>> =
>>> industrial=20
>>> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and =
>>> goals, so=20
>>> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. =
>>> Eisenhower,=20
>>> > 1961
>>>

>>> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgable citizenry depends upon an
>> =
>>> alert and knowldegable CIA"=20
>>>
>>> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great =
>>> numbers of=20
>>> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are
>> =
>>> those=20
>>> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One
>> =
>>> thing is=20
>>> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be =
>>> allowed if it=20
>>> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." -
>> =
>>> Ronald=20
>>> > Reagan
>>>
>>> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any =
>>> identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal =
>>> health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is
>> =
>>> such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat either. I also liked
>> =
>>> living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got there and live. If
>> =
>>> I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I =
>>> have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I
>> =
>>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman;
>> =
>>> you can go=20
>>> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a =
>>> Greek, or=20
>>> > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to =
>>> live in=20
>>> > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
>>>
>>> I think it's soooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. =
>>> Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the
>> =
>>> way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North =
>>> one of your heroes?=20
>>>

>>> >=20
>>> > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to =
>>> the manger=20
>>> > even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do not
>> =
>>> admit that=20
>>> > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
>>> done to the=20
>>> > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not
>> =
>>> admit that=20
>>> > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a stronger
>> =
>>> race, a=20
>>> > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
>>> Churchill=20
>>> > (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
>>> heart/brain=20
>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>)
>>>
>>> I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a =
>>> way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
>>> handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out
>> =
>>> of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.
>>> =20
>>> > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
>>>
>>> "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
>>> intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
>>> educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
>>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
>>>
>>> >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson
>>>
>>> "When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
>>> disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
>>> >=20
>>> > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. =
>>> Eat=20
>>> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>>>
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
>>> Simpsons=20
>>> > episode
>>>

>>> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > Courage,
>>> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>>>
>>> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
>>> would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
>>> quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> >=20
>>> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112
>>> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
>>> > news:464ce932@linux...
>>> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
>>> flames. Why=20
>>> >> the
>>> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>> >>
>>> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>> >>
>>> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>> >>
>>> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
>>> vigilant in=20
>>> >> its
>>> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>> >>
>>> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
>>> outside a
>>> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>> >>
>>> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
>>> brave, and
>>> >> hated
>>> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
>>> then it=20
>>> >> costs
>>> >> nothing
>>> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>> >>
>>> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or
>> =

```

>>> the
>>> >> timid."
>>> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>> >>
>>> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>>> the=20
>>> >> brave."
>>> >> -- Ronald Reagan
>>> >>
>>> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
>>> vigilant, the
>>> >> active,
>>> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>> >>
>>> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
>>> home of
>>> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>> >>
>>> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
>>> brain." --=20
>>> >> Winston Churchill
>>> >>
>>> >> Guidance,
>>> >> Rich
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>=20
>>> >=20
>>> >
>>>
>>> <!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>>> <HTML><HEAD>
>>> <META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>>> charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>>> <META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
>>> <STYLE></STYLE>
>>> </HEAD>
>>> <BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>The thing that has always intrigued me
>> =
>>> is how the=20
>>> folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration that
>> =
>>> is=20
>>> willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
>>> leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to
>> =

```

>>> be=20
>>> slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
>>> policies=20
>>> of this administration.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>go figure.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>;o}</DIV>
>>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>>> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>> BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>> <DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
>>> =
>>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
>>> A>>=20
>>> wrote in message <A=20
>>> href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux...</DIV>
>>> <DIV>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
>>> written. It=20
>>> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for
>> =
>>> Islamic=20
>>> extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20
>>> condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, who =
>>> reserve=20
>>> the right to defend themselves against such attacks.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Rich
</DIV>
>>> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>>> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>> BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>>> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
>>> =
>>> href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> =
>>> wrote=20
>>> in message <A =
>>> href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
>>> href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
>>> size=3D2>> wrote=20
>>> in message <FONT face=3DArial
>> =
>>> size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>...</DIV>
>>> <DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
>>> you . . . =20

```

>>> ? Perhaps if we'd been treating <BR>> terrorism as the=20
>>> international criminal problem that it's always been <BR>> =
>>> instead of as=20
>>> a pretense for war, </FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>.....now if they would just =
>>> build an asprin=20
>>> factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like =
>>> most of=20
>>> the people there are black so this would be PC.</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>flourishing nests of fanatical =
>>> morons like=20
>>> <BR>> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, =
>>> I'm=20
>>> with you, but I <BR>> hope you don't think what we've been doing =
>>> in Iraq=20
>>> for the last four years <BR>> has done anything but fan the=20
>>> flames.<BR>> </FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>there are lots of flourishing nests
>> =
>>> of=20
>>> fanatical morons Sarah.</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </FONT><A=20
>>> =
>>> href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
>>> FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>> size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
>>> FONT></A></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><BR><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>> Some alternative =
>>> quotations:<BR>>=20
>>> <BR>> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
>>> the=20
>>> war-cry, and <BR>> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
>>> who=20
>>> ventures to open his mouth; <BR>> and presently such mouths will =
>>> cease to=20
>>> open. Next the statesmen will invent <BR>> cheap lies, putting =
>>> the blame=20
>>> upon the nation that is attacked, and every <BR>> man will be =
>>> glad of=20
>>> those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently <BR>> =
>>> study=20
>>> them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he =
>>> will=20

```

>>>
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will =
>>> thank God=20
>>> for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this process of =
>>> grotesque=20
>>> self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious=20
>>> Stranger</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
>>> facts first, and=20
>>> then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> "In the councils =
>>> of=20
>>> government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
>>> unwarranted=20
>>> influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
>>> military-industrial=20
>>> complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> misplaced =
>>> power=20
>>> exists and will persist.</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
>>> throught some=20
>>> terrible things in my life, some of which actually =
>>> happened"</DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> We must never let =
>>> the weight=20
>>> of this combination endanger our liberties or
> democratic =
>>> processes.=20
>>> We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and
> =
>>> knowledgeable=20
>>> citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial =
>>>
> and=20
>>> military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, =
>>> so=20
>>>
> that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight =
>>> D.=20
>>> Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
>>> knowledgable=20
>>> citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" </DIV>
>>> <DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
>>> about the=20
>>> illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =
>>> really=20
>>> victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> illegal =
>>> tourists=20
>>> actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is
> =

>>> certain=20
>>> in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it =
>>>
>=20
>>> results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - =
>>> Ronald=20
>>>
> Reagan</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =
>>> should not=20
>>> have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should
>> =
>>> be my=20
>>> own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
>>> vehicle=20
>>> insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
>>> either. I=20
>>> also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =
>>> there and=20
>>> live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in =
>>> jail. If=20
>>> I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in =
>>> jail. I=20
>>> know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.</DIV>
>>> <DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
>>> live in=20
>>> France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =
>>> live in=20
>>> Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =
>>>
>=20
>>> whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live
>> =
>>> in=20
>>>
> America and become an American." - more Ronald =
>>> Reagan</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =
>>> people who are=20
>>> liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the
>> =
>>> Contra=20
>>> Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
>>> inner=20
>>> cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>>
> "I do not agree =
>>> that the dog=20
>>> in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even though =
>>> he may=20

>>> have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that
> =
 >>> right. l=20
 >>> do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been done to the =
 >>>
>=20
 >>> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do not =
 >>> admit that=20
 >>>
> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
 >>> stronger=20
 >>> race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and taken their =
 >>> place." -=20
 >>> Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never said that thing =
 >>> about=20
 >>> liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
 >>> =
 >>> href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
 >>>> <FONT=20
 >>> face=3DArial=20
 >>> =
 >>> size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
 >>> <FONT=20
 >>> face=3DArial size=3D2>)</DIV>
 >>> <DIV> </DIV>
 >>> <DIV>I'll bet if we just got a few =
 >>> lawyers involved,=20
 >>> they could find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we =
 >>> could=20
 >>> pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they =
 >>> would=20
 >>> kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat and set up a=20
 >>> casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." - =
 >>> Christopher=20
 >>> Hitchens</DIV>
 >>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
 >>> size=3D2> </DIV>
 >>> <DIV>"I read in the newspapers they are
 >>> =
 >>> going to=20
 >>> have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
 >>> from 7.30=20
 >>> to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they =
 >>> started at=20
 >>> 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
 >>> <DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
 >>> refuge of a=20
 >>> scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
 >>> <DIV> </DIV>
 >>> <DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including =
 >>> hope, life=20

```

>>> becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-</FONT><FONT face=3DArial =
>>> size=3D2><FONT=20
>>> face=3D"Times New Roman" size=3D3><FONT face=3DVerdana size=3D2>W.C.
>> =
>>> Fields</FONT></FONT><BR>> <BR>> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
>>> Don't eat=20
>>> me! I have a wife and kids. Eat <BR>> them!" - Homer =
>>> Simpson</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant =
>>> protest=20
>>> sign from Simpsons <BR>> episode</DIV>
>>> <DIV> </DIV>
>>> <DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.<BR><BR>> =
>>>
>>> <BR>> Courage,<BR>> Sarah ( . . . if I only had a brain . . . =20
>>> )</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"I thoroughly disapprove of duels.
>> =
>>> If a man=20
>>> should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the
>> =
>>> hand and=20
>>> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o)</FONT></DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2> </DIV>
>>> <DIV><BR>> <BR>> </FONT><A=20
>>> =
>>> href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
>>>> <FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>> size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
>>> </FONT></A><BR><FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <</FONT><A=20
>>> href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>richard.lamanna@verizon.net</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
>>> size=3D2>>=20
>>> wrote in message <BR>> </FONT><A =
>>> href=3D"news:464ce932@linux"><FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>news:464ce932@linux</FONT></A><FONT =
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> size=3D2>...<BR>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you =
>>> can all=20

```


>>> fan the flames. Why
>> the
>> heck not welcome =
>>> some more=20
>>> illegals.
>>
>> <A=20
>>> =
>>> href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
>>> T=20
>>> face=3DArial=20
>>> =
>>> size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
>>> T>
<FONT=20
>>> face=3DArial size=3D2>>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the =
>>> price of=20
>>> liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>
>> "No man is =
>>> entitled to=20
>>> the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>>=20
>>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
>>> MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- =
>>> posted=20
>>> as an announcement outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas =
>>> on Sept.=20
>>> 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the =
>>> patriot=20
>>> is a scarce man, and brave, and
>> hated
>> and =
>>> scorned.=20
>>> When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it =
>>>
>>=20
>>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark=20
>>> Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the =
>>> care of=20
>>> freedom to the weak or the
>> timid."
>> -- Dwight =
>>> D.=20
>>> Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
>>> 1953
>>
>>=20
>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
>>> the=20
>>>
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald =
>>> Reagan
>>
>>=20
>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>> =
>>> the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
>>> Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be =
>>> when=20
>>> it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
>>> Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
>>> doesn't=20
>>> have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
>>> conservative=20
>>> doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20

>>> Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
>>> Rich
>>
>>
>>
>>=20
>>>
></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >
>>>
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 20 May 2007 22:33:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>Hmm . . . well, I'm not assuming any of those things that you assume I'm

>assuming.

Of course you are. It is implicit in the points you made.

for instance, when you say "are you safer now?" you imply there is a way to be safer. If not, then you accept that we are about as "safe" as we were. I call that a win, given the death of Saddam and the birth of democracy in Iraq. If there is a way to be safer, you have not offered it.

No stereotypes here, just the consequences of your own statements.

DC

Do you think Elton John would wear a Burqua???

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 01:47:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic theocratic caliphate on the free world.

> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
> bore.

We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we get in return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better thought of.

Rich

'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart, and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' - Winston Churchill

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...

> It was too early when I wrote that.

>

> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
> you dig?

>

> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish him
> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and
> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.
> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
> pathetic.>

Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.

>

> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
try?

>

> Bill

>

>

> Rich Lamanna wrote:

> > Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
> > constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.

Unfortunately

> > the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
> > dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
> > theocratic rubbish upon us.

> >

> > Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet

> > Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
> > search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
> > automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
children.
> > But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
no,
> > despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
practicing
> > for violent Jihad.
> >
> > Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
> > incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
> > "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the
DC
> > "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
the
> > group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
Pearl
> > was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
> > interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
> >
> > <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
> >
> > What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
> > Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
of
> > religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
to
> > exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
an
> > eye on these guys.
> >
> > Rich
> >
> > From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
> >
> >
> > "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
news:464d8d4f@linux...
> >> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
> >> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
> >>
> >> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
> >>
> >> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
> >> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all
> >> one's own freedoms are increased.
> >>

> >> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

> >>

> >>

> >>

> >>

> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:

> >>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.

Why

> > the

> >>> heck not welcome some more illegals.

> >>>

> >>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

> >>>

> >>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

> >>>

> >>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant

in

> > its

> >>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

> >>>

> >>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a

> >>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

> >>>

> >>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,

> > and

> >>> hated

> >>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it

> > costs

> >>> nothing

> >>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain

> >>>

> >>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the

> >>> timid."

> >>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953

> >>>

> >>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the

> > brave."

> >>> -- Ronald Reagan

> >>>

> >>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,

the

> >>> active,

> >>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry

> >>>

> >>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of

> >>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >>>
> >>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> >>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> >>> Winston Churchill
> >>>
> >>> Guidance,
> >>> Rich
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 02:02:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deej, Koresh should have gotten a gig and went on the road, would have saved him a barrel of trouble. Someone comes to my house and shoots my pups there's gonna be hell to pay. We're all goin' down.

Rich

'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.'

- Winston Churchill

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:464de593\$1@linux...
> Hey Paul,
>
> Here's a little something to think about.
>
> <http://www.serendipity.li/waco/moore1.html>
>
> One of my good friends went to high school with Vernon Howell (a/k/a David
> Koresh). My friend was a great guitar player, and Vernon was always
hanging
> out with him trying to cop some licks. They weren't really good friends or
> anything but fairly well acquainted and my friend said that he wasn't some
> demonic wanker or anything like that.
>
> there's lots of wacko's in Waco.....it's home to Baylor University and
> Jessica/Ashley Simpson fer chrissake!!! Some of my family members attended
> Baylor and they are now liberal Democrat lawyers so I can attest to the
> wacko factor.

>
> Now if a bunch of guys came up to your door in black stormtrooper uniforms
> and the first thing they did was shoot your dog, and the second thing they
> did was shoot you and a member of your family.....would you be disposed
> to just let them in?
>
> Not me dude.
>
> Regards,
>
> Deej
>
>
>
> "Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:bmmr43paj5r0d5b6kqbqtd25mmhstnsh2m@4ax.com...
> > If he was so innocent, why did he feel compelled to shoot at the Feds
> > when they came to arrest him and kill 4 of them. Paranoia? That logic
> > would turn Paris Hilton into Bonnie Parker!
> >
> > Should we assume everyone massing a stockpile of weapons is only a
> > danger to himself and not potentially to society?
> >
> > - Paul
> >
> > On Fri, 18 May 2007 11:57:29 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
> > <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Paul, I beg to differ with you. Really you've got to be kidding,
> >>justifying
> >>and rationalizing the actions that Sterno and the Clintonistas taken
> here.
> >>This incident could have been averted. I agree Koresh was a whack job,
> but
> >>Christian or otherwise, what were they guilty of, they weren't bothering
> >>anyone and they were legally authorized to trade arms, though it
> appeared
> >>that they weren't following protocol. To get back to the point, Koresh
> >>hadn't threatened anyone, he may have been a danger to himself and the
> >>others in the compound but unlike the huge network of Jihadists all over
> >>the
> >>world today, Koresh was a lone nut job.
> >>
> >>Rich
> >>
> >>
> >>"Paul Artola" <artola@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >>news:1rbr43djm2h7j63n2tvhtbbdedq08rj0b8@4ax.com...

> >>> Rich -
> >>>
> >>> Considering the events that ensued, I think the Feds' suspicions were
> >>> correct. Interesting that you put the entire blame on the Feds for the
> >>> final result. I, for one, am glad that Koresh and his collection of
> >>> whackjobs are closer to God now, though it is a shame that THEY choose
> >>> to take many innocents with them.
> >>>
> >>> Calling the Davidians Christians is like calling GWB eloquent.
> >>>
> >>> - Paul Artola
> >>> Ellicott City, Maryland
> >>>
> >>> On Fri, 18 May 2007 08:51:46 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
> >>> <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
> >>> >Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
> >>> a
> >>> >search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
> >>> >automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> >>> >children.
> >>> >But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh
> >>> God
> >>> no,
> >>> >despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
> >>> >practicing
> >>> >for violent Jihad.
> >>>
> >>> (snip)
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> >What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being
> >>> >Christians.
> >>>
> >>> (snip)
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> >Rich
> >>> >
> >>>
> >>
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 02:03:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_005F_01C79B22.57761BE0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Good point! As you say, go figure.

Rich

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:464ddb0@linux...
The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far =
left in this country slag the only administration that is willing to =
fight for their right to slag the administration. The =
leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first to =
be slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
policies of this administration.

go figure.

;o}

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message =
news:464dc9f4@linux...

Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It =
astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses for =
Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time condemn =
those democratic and freedom loving people, who reserve the right to =
defend themselves against such attacks.

Rich

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message =
news:464dc442@linux...

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
news:464da14d@linux...

> Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd been =
treating=20

> terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's always =
been=20

> instead of as a pretense for war,=20

.....now if they would just build an aspirin factory there, we =
could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like most of the people =

there are black so this would be PC.

flourishing nests of fanatical morons like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire, I'm with =
you, but I=20

> hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for the last =
four years=20

> has done anything but fan the flames.

>=20

there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.

http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp

> Some alternative quotations:

>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up the =
war-cry, and=20

> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures to open =
his mouth;=20

> and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen =
will invent=20

> cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, =
and every=20

> man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and =
will diligently=20

> study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
thus he will=20

> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will thank =
God for the=20

> better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque =
self-deception." -=20

> Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger

Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can =
distort them as much as you please".

>=20

> "In the councils of government, we must guard against the =
acquisition of=20

> unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the=20

> military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous =
rise of=20

> misplaced power exists and will persist.

Mark Twain also said "I have been throught some terrible things in =
my life, some of which actually happened"

>=20

- > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower, 1961

Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an alert and knowledgeable CIA"

- > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald Reagan

I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

- > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to live in America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan

I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the inner cities? Ollie North =

one of your heroes?=20

>=20

> "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final right to =
the manger=20

> even though he may have lain there for a very long time. I do =
not admit that=20

> right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
done to the=20

> Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia. I do =
not admit that=20

> a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a =
stronger race, a=20

> higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." - Winston =
Churchill=20

> (who apparently never said that thing about liberal/conservative =
heart/brain=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112> =
)

I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could find a =
way to challenge the title to this land, then we could pass a law that =
handed Islamberg over to the Senecas and they would kick their asses out =
of there in a heartbeat and set up a casino.

=20

> "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens

"I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes of =
intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30 to 8.00 to =
educate America. They couldn't educate America if they started at =
6:30".-Groucho Marx

>>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson

"When we have lost everything, including hope, life becomes a =
disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields

>=20

> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife and kids. =
Eat=20

> them!" - Homer Simpson

>=20

> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest sign from =
Simpsons=20

> episode

"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.

>=20
> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)

"I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge me, I =
would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and lead him to a =
quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.

;o)

>=20
> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message=20
> news:464ce932@linux...
>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
flames. Why=20
>> the
>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>
>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>
>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell =
Phillips
>>
>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
vigilant in=20
>> its
>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>
>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
outside a
>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>
>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
brave, and
>> hated
>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
then it=20
>> costs
>> nothing
>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>
>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak =
or the

```

>> timid."
>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>
>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
the=20
>> brave."
>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>
>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant, the
>> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
home of
>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a =
brain." --=20
>> Winston Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>> Rich
>>
>>
>>=20
>=20
>

```

-----=_NextPart_000_005F_01C79B22.57761BE0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
```

```
<HTML><HEAD>
```

```
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
```

```
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
```

```
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1555" name=3DGENERATOR>
```

```
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
```

```
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
```

```
<DIV><FONT size=3D2>Good point! As you say, go figure.</FONT></DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
```

```
<DIV><FONT size=3D2>Rich</FONT><BR></DIV>
```

```
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
```

```
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
```

<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> =
wrote in=20
message <A =
href=3D"news:464ddb0@linux">news:464ddb0@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>The thing that has always intrigued =
me is how the=20
folks on the far left in this country slag the only administration =
that is=20
willing to fight for their right to slag the administration. The=20
leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first =
to be=20
slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in defeating the =
policies=20
of this administration.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>go figure.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>;o</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
A>>=20
wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:464dc9f4@linux">news:464dc9f4@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well =
written.=20
It astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make excuses =
for=20
Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the same time=20
condemn those democratic and freedom loving people, =
who=20
reserve the right to defend themselves against such =
attacks.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Rich
</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com>=20
wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:464dc442@linux">news:464dc442@linux...</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>sarahjane@sarahtonin.com<FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>>=20
wrote in message <FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>news:464da14d@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>...</DIV>
<DIV>> Surely this doesn't surprise =
you . . .=20
? Perhaps if we'd been treating
> terrorism as the=20
international criminal problem that it's always been
> =
instead of=20
as a pretense for war, </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>.....now if they would just =
build an=20
asprin factory there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. =
Looks like=20
most of the people there are black so this would be =
PC.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>flourishing nests of fanatical =
morons like=20

> this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the =
fire, I'm=20
with you, but I
> hope you don't think what we've been =
doing in=20
Iraq for the last four years
> has done anything but fan =
the=20
flames.
> </DIV>
<DIV>there are lots of flourishing =
nests of=20
fanatical morons Sarah.</DIV>
<DIV> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp"><=
FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp</=
FONT></DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
> Some alternative =
quotations:
>=20

> "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up =
the=20

war-cry, and
> shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man =
who=20
ventures to open his mouth;
> and presently such mouths =
will cease=20
to open. Next the statesmen will invent
> cheap lies, =
putting the=20
blame upon the nation that is attacked, and every
> man =
will be=20
glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently =

>=20
study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and =
thus he=20
will
> by and by convince himself that the war is just, and =
will=20
thank God for the
> better sleep he enjoys after this =
process of=20
grotesque self-deception." -
> Mark Twain, from The =
Mysterious=20
Stranger</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "Get your =
facts first,=20
and then you can distort them as much as you please".</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "In the =
councils of=20
government, we must guard against the acquisition of
> =
unwarranted=20
influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> =
military-industrial=20
complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of
> =
misplaced power=20
exists and will persist.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Mark Twain also said "I have been =
through=20
some terrible things in my life, some of which actually=20
happened"</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> We must never =
let the=20
weight of this combination endanger our liberties or
> =
democratic=20
processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and =

>=20
knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge=20
industrial
> and military machinery of defense with our =
peaceful=20
methods and goals, so
> that security and liberty may =
prosper=20

together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower,
> 1961</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Deej also said "An alert and =
knowledgable=20
citizenry depends upon an alert and knowldegable CIA" =
</DIV>
<DIV>
> "...it makes one wonder =
about the=20
illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of
> our unemployed =
really=20
victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those
> =
illegal=20
tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is =

> certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should =
be=20
allowed if it
> results in crops rotting in the fields for =
lack of=20
harvesters." - Ronald
> Reagan</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I agree with this. I also think I =
should not=20
have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here =
should be my=20
own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying =
vehicle=20
insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do hqat =
either. I=20
also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't got =
there and=20
live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in =
jail.=20
If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me =
in=20
jail. I know these things for a fact. Pisses me=20
off.</DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "You can go to =
live in=20
France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go
> to =
live in=20
Germany, you cannot become a German- or a Turk, or a Greek, or =

>=20
whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can come to =
live in=20

> America and become an American." - more Ronald=20
Reagan</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I think it's sooooo cool when =

people who are=20
liberal quote Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy =
the Contra=20
Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in the =
inner=20
cities? Ollie North one of your heroes? </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>>
> "I do not =
agree that the=20
dog in a manger has the final right to the manger
> even =
though he=20
may have lain there for a very long time. I do not admit that =

>=20
right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong has been =
done to=20
the
> Red Indians of America or the black people of =
Australia. I do=20
not admit that
> a wrong has been done to these people by =
the fact=20
that a stronger race, a
> higher-grade race has come in and =
taken=20
their place." - Winston Churchill
> (who apparently never =
said that=20
thing about liberal/conservative heart/brain
> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
><FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=
<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'll bet if we just got a few =
lawyers=20
involved, they could find a way to challenge the title to this =
land, then=20
we could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas=20
and they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat =
and set=20
up a casino.

> "Religion poisons everything." -=20
Christopher Hitchens</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2> </DIV>
<DIV>"I read in the newspapers they =
are going to=20
have 30 minutes of intellectual stuff on television every Monday =
from 7.30=20

to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if they =
started=20
at 6:30".-Groucho Marx
</DIV>
<DIV>>>Patriotism is the last =
refuge of a=20
scoundrel" - Samuel Johnson</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>=93When we have lost everything, including =
hope, life=20
becomes a disgrace, and death a duty."-<FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2><FONT =
face=3DVerdana size=3D2>W.C.=20
Fields
>
> "Oh, my God! Space aliens! =
Don't eat=20
me! I have a wife and kids. Eat
> them!" - Homer =
Simpson</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
>
> "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - =
anti-immigrant=20
protest sign from Simpsons
> episode</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, =
Jr.

>=20

> Courage,
> Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . =
..=20
)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"I thoroughly disapprove of =
duels. If a man=20
should challenge me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by =
the hand=20
and lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark =
Twain.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>;o</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>
>
> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
><FONT=20
face=3DArial=20
=
size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=

<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>> "Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20

richard.lamanna@verizon.net

wrote in message <news:464ce932@linux>

news:464ce932@linux

...
> You can thank me for lighting the fire, =
you can all=20
fan the flames. Why
> the
> heck not welcome =
some=20
more illegals.
>
> <A=20
=
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm></FON=
T=20
face=3DArial=20
=
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm></FON=
T>
<FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2>>
> "Eternal vigilance is =
the price of=20
liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>
> "No man is =
entitled=20
to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant in
>=20
its
> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
MacArthur
>
> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." =
--=20
posted as an announcement outside a
> Unitarian Church =
in Texas=20
on Sept. 17, 2001
>
> "In the beginning of a =
change=20
the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and
> =
hated
>=20
and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then =
it=20

> costs
> nothing
> to be a =
patriot." --=20
Mark Twain
>
> "History does not long entrust =
the care=20
of freedom to the weak or the
> timid."
> -- =
Dwight D.=20
Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, =
1953
>
>=20
"The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs =
to the=20

> brave."
> -- Ronald =
Reagan
>
>=20
"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant,=20

the
> active,
>> the brave." -- Patrick=20
Henry
>>
>> "The land of the free will cease to =
be when=20
it's no longer the home of
>> the brave."-- Rick=20
Gaber
>>
>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
doesn't=20
have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
conservative=20
doesn't have a brain." --
>> Winston=20
Churchill
>>
>> Guidance,
>>=20
Rich
>>
>>
>>
>>=20
=

></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE
></BODY></HTML>=
>

-----=_NextPart_000_005F_01C79B22.57761BE0--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 09:34:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0044_01C79B50.8FCD3DB0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Dude, that just sounds completely wacky to me. I'm sure you have good =
reasons for believing that, but it just don't make no sense to me. =
Besides, I think the Clarkes (Richard and Gen Wesley) would disagree =
with you, and I think they might know something.

You seriously like Ron Paul? I do. He talks like what my Republican =
parents taught me Republicans were all about, even if he seems mildly =
dingy at times. I'd vote for him over all those other sound bite dorks =
at the "debates," and over most of the dems, too.

S
"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message =
news:46509cbf\$1@linux...

I definitely believe this. The whole reason we are in Iraq and =
Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal ineptitude. You =
can be assured that I believe that clinton was, without a doubt, "^The =
worst" president in american history, bar none.

;o)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
news:465015b5\$1@linux...

Sorry, I don't believe you. I'm sure you're making a point, but I =
don't believe you really think that.

Sorry. :)

SJB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
news:464f1633@linux...

"

Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most =
corrupt and destructive administration in US history, and the damage =
they did took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)

Just my opinion. I could be wrong.

Naaaa! :)

S

I think that this perfectly describes the Clinton =
administration.

go figure.....

-----=_NextPart_000_0044_01C79B50.8FCD3DB0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16441" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Dude, that just sounds completely wacky =
to=20

me. I'm sure you have good reasons for believing that, but it just =
don't=20

make no sense to me. Besides, I think the Clarkes (Richard and Gen = Wesley)=20 would disagree with you, and I think they might know = something.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>You seriously like Ron Paul? I = do. =20 He talks like what my Republican parents taught me Republicans were = all=20 about, even if he seems mildly dingy at times. I'd vote for him = over all=20 those other sound bite dorks at the "debates," and over most of the = dems,=20 too.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>S</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; = BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20 = href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> = wrote in=20 message <A = href=3D"news:46509cbf\$1 @linux">news:46509cbf\$1 @linux...</DIV>

<DIV>I definitely believe this. The whole = reason we=20 are in Iraq and Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal = ineptitude. You can be assured that I believe that clinton was, = without a=20 doubt, "The worst" president in american history, bar = none.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>;o)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20 style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; = BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20 = href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com">sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> = =20 wrote in message <A=20 href=3D"news:465015b5\$1 @linux">news:465015b5\$1 @linux...</DIV>

<DIV>Sorry, I don't believe you. = I'm sure=20 you're making a point, but I don't believe you really think=20

that.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Sorry. </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>SJB</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
=
href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>=20
wrote in message <A=20
href=3D"news:464f1633@linux">news:464f1633@linux...</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"</DIV>
<DIV>Here's what I think history =
will tell=20
us: </DIV>
administration in US=20
history, and the damage they did took years to recover =
from. </DIV>
(I'm=20
optimistic)</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Just my opinion. </DIV>
be=20
wrong.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Naaaa! </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>S</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I think that theis perfectly =
describes the=20
Clinton administration.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>go=20
=
figure.....</DIV></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE ></BLOCKQUOTE></B=
LOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0044_01C79B50.8FCD3DB0--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 09:50:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm . . . sounds more like the consequences of what you believe is implicit in my statements. So, like I said, you're assuming assumptions not in evidence.

And, yes, Elton John would wear a burqa, but he would drop the veil before he sang.

SJB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4650cca3\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>Hmm . . . well, I'm not assuming any of those things that you assume I'm

>

>>assuming.

>

> Of course you are. It is implicit in the points you made.

>

> for instance, when you say "are you safer now?" you imply there

> is a way to be safer. If not, then you accept that we are about

> as "safe" as we were. I call that a win, given the death of

> Saddam and the birth of democracy in Iraq. If there is a way

> to be safer, you have not offered it.

>

> No stereotypes here, just the consequences of your own

> statements.

>

> DC

>

> Do you think Elton John would wear a Burqua???

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [ulfiyya](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 11:10:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think better to change to name of this site!
I thought that this is a Paris forum site,not a political site
Greetings,

>

>

>Dude, that just sounds completely wacky to me. I'm sure you have good =

>reasons for believing that, but it just don't make no sense to me. =

>Besides, I think the Clarkes (Richard and Gen Wesley) would disagree =
>with you, and I think they might know something.
>
>You seriously like Ron Paul? I do. He talks like what my Republican =
>parents taught me Republicans were all about, even if he seems mildly =
>dingy at times. I'd vote for him over all those other sound bite dorks
=
>at the "debates," and over most of the dems, too.
>
>S
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>news:46509cbf\$1@linux...
> I definitely believe this. The whole reason we are in Iraq and =
>Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal ineptitude. You =
>can be assured that I believe that clinton was, without a doubt, "^The =
>worst" president in american history, bar none.
>
> ;o)
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =
>news:465015b5\$1@linux...
> Sorry, I don't believe you. I'm sure you're making a point, but I =
>don't believe you really think that.
>
> Sorry. :)
>
> SJB
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message =
>news:464f1633@linux...
>
> "
> Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most =
>corrupt and destructive administration in US history, and the damage =
>they did took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)
>
> Just my opinion. I could be wrong.
>
> Naaaa! :)
>
> S
>
>
>
> I think that this perfectly describes the Clinton =
>administration.
>
> go figure.....

```

>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16441" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Dude, that just sounds completely wacky
=
>to=20
>me. I'm sure you have good reasons for believing that, but it just =
>don't=20
>make no sense to me. Besides, I think the Clarkes (Richard and Gen =
>Wesley)=20
>would disagree with you, and I think they might know =
>something.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>You seriously like Ron Paul? I =
>do. =20
>He talks like what my Republican parents taught me Republicans were =
>all=20
>about, even if he seems mildly dingy at times. I'd vote for him =
>over all=20
>those other sound bite dorks at the "debates," and over most of the =
>dems,=20
>too.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>S</FONT></DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com</A>> =
>wrote in=20
> message <A =
>href=3D"news:46509cbf$1@linux">news:46509cbf$1@linux</A>...</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I definitely believe this. The whole
=
>reason we=20
> are in Iraq and Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal
=
>
> ineptitude. You can be assured that I believe that clinton was, =
>without a=20
> doubt, "^The worst" president in american history, bar =

```

```
>none.</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>;o</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com">sarahjane@sarahtonin.com</A>>=
>=20
> wrote in message <A=20
> href=3D"news:465015b5$1@linux">news:465015b5$1@linux</A>...</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Sorry, I don't believe you. =
>I'm sure=20
> you're making a point, but I don't believe you really think=20
> that.</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Sorry. :)</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>SJB</FONT></DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com</A>>=20
> wrote in message <A=20
> href=3D"news:464f1633@linux">news:464f1633@linux</A>...</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px;
=
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"<FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Here's what I think history =
>will tell=20
> us: this was the most corrupt and destructive =
>administration in US=20
> history, and the damage they did took years to recover =
>from. (I'm=20
> optimistic)</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Just my opinion. I could =
>be=20
> wrong.</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Naaaa! :)</FONT></DIV>
```

> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>S</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>I think that theis perfectly =
>describes the=20
> Clinton administration.</DIV>
> <DIV> </DIV>
> <DIV>go=20
> =
>figure.....</DIV></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE ></BLOCKQUOTE></B=
>LOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 11:36:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe players.

Bill L

Rich Lamanna wrote:

>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.
>
> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war
> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic
> theocratic caliphate on the free world.
>
>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
>> bore.
>
> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to
> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we get in
> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better
> thought of.
>

> Rich
>
> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' -
> Winston Churchill
>
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
>> It was too early when I wrote that.
>>
>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>> you dig?
>>
>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish him
>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and
>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.
>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>> pathetic.>
> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
>>
>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
> try?
>> Bill
>>
>>
>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
> Unfortunately
>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>
>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> children.
>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
> no,

>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
> practicing
>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>
>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the
> DC
>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
> the
>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
> Pearl
>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>
>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>>
>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
> of
>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
> to
>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
> an
>>> eye on these guys.
>>>
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>
>>>
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>
>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>
>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all
>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>

>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
> Why
>>> the
>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>>
>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
> in
>>> its
>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>
>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>>
>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>>> and
>>>>> hated
>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
>>> costs
>>>>> nothing
>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>>
>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
>>>>> timid."
>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>
>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>>> brave."
>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>
>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
> the
>>>>> active,
>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>
>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>
>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
>>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>>

>>>> Guidance,
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 13:57:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rubbish. That's like not blaming the Nazis for the beer hall putsch...
It was just those few lunatics...

There's no difference in any way that matters, between the leadership of the Mullahs in Afghanistan and the AQ's they harbored. And it was an act of war. Period.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in
>Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent

>wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts

>of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe
>players.

>

>Bill L

>

>

>Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is

>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

>>

>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war

>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic

>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.

>>

>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb

>>> bore.

>>

>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to

>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we

get in

>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better
>> thought of.

>>

>> Rich

>>

>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' -
>> Winston Churchill

>>

>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...

>>> It was too early when I wrote that.

>>>

>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>>> you dig?

>>>

>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish
him

>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and
>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.
>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>>> pathetic.>

>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.

>>>

>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
>> try?

>>> Bill

>>>

>>>

>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-)) I am a
>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.

>> Unfortunately

>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.

>>>>

>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve

a

>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>> children.

>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>> no,

>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>> practicing

>>>> for violent Jihad.

>>>>

>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?

>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the
>> DC

>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
>> the

>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>> Pearl

>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."

>>>>

>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>

>>>>

>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.

>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>> of

>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
>> to

>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
>> an

>>>> eye on these guys.

>>>>

>>>> Rich

>>>>

>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message

>> news:464d8d4f@linux...

>>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of

>>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.

>>>>>

>>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.

>>>>>

>>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased

>>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for
>>>>> all

>>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.

>>>>

>>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.

>> Why

>>>> the

>>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant

>> in

>>>> its

>>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside
a

>>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,

>>>> and

>>>>>> hated

>>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then
it

>>>> costs

>>>>>> nothing

>>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or
the

>>>>>> timid."

>>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>>>> brave."

>>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,

>> the

>>>>> active,
>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>
>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home
of
>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>
>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
--
>>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>>
>>>>> Guidance,
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 14:01:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>Hmm . . . sounds more like the consequences of what you believe is implicit

>in my statements. So, like I said, you're assuming assumptions not in
>evidence.

Waitaminute!

You said: (sarcastically)

Yes, clearly the world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.
I know I feel safer.

Which means that some other set of choices would have made us
safer, or that we spent a lot of money and killed a lot of people to
be no safer than we were.

I'm just looking to understand here.

best

DC

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 15:24:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wait a minute here. Where did I say a WORD about Iraq?
Did you even read the previous posts?

amazing...

DC

Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:

>
>Afghanistan, yes: clear connection to originators of 9/11; Iraq, no:
>zero evidence then and now of even the slightest complicity in the
>attacks of Sept. 01. Take your "rubbish" out with the rest of your
>trash.
>
>--chas.
>
>On 21 May 2007 23:57:37 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Rubbish. That's like not blaming the Nazis for the beer hall putsch...
>>It was just those few lunatics...
>>
>>There's no difference in any way that matters, between the leadership of
>>the Mullahs in Afghanistan and the AQ's they harbored. And it was an
>>act of war. Period.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in
>>>Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent
>>
>>>wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts
>>
>>>of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe

>>>players.
>>>
>>>Bill L
>>>

>>>

>>>Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is

>>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

>>>>

>>>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war

>>>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic

>>>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.

>>>>

>>>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb

>>>>> bore.

>>>>

>>>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to

>>>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we

>>get in

>>>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better

>>>> thought of.

>>>>

>>>> Rich

>>>>

>>>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,

>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.'

-

>>>> Winston Churchill

>>>>

>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...

>>>>> It was too early when I wrote that.

>>>>>

>>>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support

>>>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom.

I

>>>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,

>>>>> you dig?

>>>>>

>>>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one

>>>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish

>>him

>>>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and

>>>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them,

and

>>>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on

us.

>>>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they

>>>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite

>>>>> pathetic.>

>>>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been

>>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er
lives.
>>>>
>>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone
ever
>>>> try?
>>>> Bill
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>>>> Unfortunately
>>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I
hold
>>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>>>
>>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
>>a
>>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>>> children.
>>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh
God
>>>> no,
>>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>>> practicing
>>>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>>>
>>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both
the
>>>> DC
>>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid
to
>>>> the
>>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>>>> Pearl
>>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>

>>>>>
>>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>>>> of
>>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
>>>> to
>>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better
keep
>>>> an
>>>>> eye on these guys.
>>>>>
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part
of
>>>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for
>>all
>>>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
>>>> Why
>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant

>>>> in
>>>>> its
>>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside
>>a
>>>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> hated
>>>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then
>>it
>>>>>> costs
>>>>>>> nothing
>>>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
or
>>the
>>>>>>> timid."
>>>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to
the
>>>>>> brave."
>>>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>>> the
>>>>>>> active,
>>>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home
>>of
>>>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [duncan](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 15:58:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Afghanistan, yes: clear connection to originators of 9/11; Iraq, no:
zero evidence then and now of even the slightest complicity in the

attacks of Sept. 01. Take your "rubbish" out with the rest of your trash.

--chas.

On 21 May 2007 23:57:37 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Rubbish. That's like not blaming the Nazis for the beer hall putsch...

>It was just those few lunatics...

>

>There's no difference in any way that matters, between the leadership of

>the Mullahs in Afghanistan and the AQ's they harbored. And it was an

>act of war. Period.

>

>DC

>

>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in

>>Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent

>

>>wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts

>

>>of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe

>>players.

>>

>>Bill L

>>

>>

>>Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is

>>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

>>>

>>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war

>>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic

>>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.

>>>

>>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb

>>>> bore.

>>>

>>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to

>>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we

>get in

>>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better

>>> thought of.

>>>

>>> Rich

>>>

>>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' -
>>> Winston Churchill
>>>
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
>>>> It was too early when I wrote that.
>>>>
>>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
>>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>>>> you dig?
>>>>
>>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
>>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish
>him
>>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
>>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and
>>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.
>>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
>>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>>>> pathetic.>
>>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
>>>>
>>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
>>> try?
>>>> Bill
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-)) I am a
>>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>>> Unfortunately
>>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
>>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>>>
>>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
>a
>>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>> children.
>>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>>> no,

>>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>> practicing
>>>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>>>
>>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the
>>> DC
>>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
>>> the
>>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>>> Pearl
>>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>>>>
>>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>>> of
>>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
>>> to
>>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
>>> an
>>>>> eye on these guys.
>>>>>
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>>> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
>>>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for
>>>>>> all
>>>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
>>> Why
>>>> the
>>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
>>> in
>>>>> its
>>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside
>a
>>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>>>>> and
>>>>>> hated
>>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then
>it
>>>>> costs
>>>>>> nothing
>>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or
>the
>>>>>> timid."
>>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>>>>> brave."
>>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>> the
>>>>>> active,
>>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home
>of
>>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,

>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 16:08:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Clinton isn't even close to being worst. Worst is Woodrow Wilson by a mile and pulling away. The second tier of awfulness is kind of a three way tie in my mind, McKinley (for the Spanish American War), van Buren (who was an excellent statesman, just a horrible president) and perhaps Zachary Taylor. One could argue that Jefferson's second presidency was the single worst term, but his first was such a massive success we can't put him in the bad list. Besides, Jefferson was into hot babes and good French wine so how can I argue with that?

Bush the Younger? Jury is still out, but the Iraq war is by far the greatest foreign policy blunder in US history. It combines the mendacity of McKinley's war with the arrogance of Kennedy's war (I put Vietnam more on him than most) and it's draped in the Wilsonian drivel that got us into WW I. And the US will suffer its repercussions until long after I'm dead.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>

>

>I definitely believe this. The whole reason we are in Iraq and =

>Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal ineptitude. You =

>can be assured that I believe that clinton was, without a doubt, "^The =

>worst" president in american history, bar none.

>

>;o)

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message =

>news:465015b5\$1@linux...

> Sorry, I don't believe you. I'm sure you're making a point, but I =

>don't believe you really think that.

>

> Sorry. :)

>

> SJB

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message =

>news:464f1633@linux...

>

> "

> Here's what I think history will tell us: this was the most =
>corrupt and destructive administration in US history, and the damage =
>they did took years to recover from. (I'm optimistic)

>
> Just my opinion. I could be wrong.

>
> Naaaa! :)

>
> S

>
>
>
>
> I think that this perfectly describes the Clinton administration.

>
> go figure.....

>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16414" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV>I definitely believe this. The whole =
>reason we are=20
>in Iraq and Afghanistan right now is because of Clinton's criminal =
>ineptitude.=20
>You can be assured that I believe that Clinton was, without a doubt, =
>"^The=20
>worst" president in American history, bar none.</DIV>
><DIV> </DIV>
><DIV>;o</DIV>
><DIV> </DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Sarah" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com">sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>=
> wrote=20
> in message <A =
>href=3D"news:465015b5\$1@linux">news:465015b5\$1@linux...</DIV>
> <DIV>Sorry, I don't believe you. I'm =
>sure you're=20
> making a point, but I don't believe you really think =
>that.</DIV>

```

> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Sorry. :)</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>SJB</FONT></DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"DJ" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com">www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com</A>> =
>wrote=20
> in message <A =
>href=3D"news:464f1633@linux">news:464f1633@linux</A>...</DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"<FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Here's what I think history will
=
>tell=20
> us: this was the most corrupt and destructive administration =
>in US=20
> history, and the damage they did took years to recover from. =
>(I'm=20
> optimistic)</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Just my opinion. I could be =
>
> wrong.</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Naaaa! :)</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>S</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I think that theis perfectly =
>describes the=20
> Clinton administration.</FONT></DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT> </DIV>
> <DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>go=20
> =
>figure.....</FONT></DIV></DIV></BLOCKQUOTE></BLOCKQUOTE ></BLOCKQUOTE></B=
>ODY></HTML>
>

```

>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 16:33:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pay attention.

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent wars

He is claiming that it was a small group within the countries that did it, and it was not an act of war.

In the case of Afghanistan, that is clearly not the case. That is what I said. You brought up Iraq and tried to conflate the two.

You got caught.

Now, if you want to make a case about Iraq, go ahead.

DC

Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:
>"Amazing" only if you don't bother to read the entire first sentence
>of Bill's post to which your response began with this word "Rubbish."
>
>What's "amazing" to me is that you're so easily amazed.
>
>chas.
>
>
>
>On 22 May 2007 01:24:53 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Wait a minute here. Where did I say a WORD about Iraq?
>>Did you even read the previous posts?
>>
>>amazing...
>>
>>DC
>>
>>

>>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalIDOTnet@> wrote:
>>>
>>>Afghanistan, yes: clear connection to originators of 9/11; Iraq, no:
>>>zero evidence then and now of even the slightest complicity in the
>>>attacks of Sept. 01. Take your "rubbish" out with the rest of your
>>>trash.
>>>
>>>--chas.
>>>
>>>On 21 May 2007 23:57:37 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Rubbish. That's like not blaming the Nazis for the beer hall putsch...
>>>>It was just those few lunatics...
>>>>
>>>>There's no difference in any way that matters, between the leadership
of
>>>>the Mullahs in Afghanistan and the AQ's they harbored. And it was an
>>>>act of war. Period.
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in
>>>>>Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent
>>>>>
>>>>>wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts
>>>>>
>>>>>of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe
>>>>>
>>>>>players.
>>>>>
>>>>>Bill L
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
>>>>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is
a
>>war
>>>>>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an
Islamic
>>>>>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big
dumb

>>>>>> bore.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come
>>to
>>>>>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did
we
>>>>get in
>>>>>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be
better
>>>>>> thought of.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.'
>>-
>>>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
>>>>>> It was too early when I wrote that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>>>>>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom.
>>I
>>>>>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>>>>>>> you dig?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way
one
>>>>>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish
>>>>him
>>>>>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control
and
>>>>>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them,
>>and
>>>>>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect
on
>>us.
>>>>>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way
they
>>>>>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>>>>>>> pathetic.>
>>>>>>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>>>>>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>>>>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people
who
>>>>>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>>>>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er

>>lives.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone
>>ever
>>>>>> try?
>>>>>> Bill
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>>>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>>>>>> Unfortunately
>>>>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and
I
>>hold
>>>>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and
Janet
>>>>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
>>>>a
>>>>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>>>>>> children.
>>>>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men,
oh
>>God
>>>>>> no,
>>>>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>>>>>> practicing
>>>>>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>>>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>>>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both
>>the
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid
>>to
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>>>>>>>> Pearl
>>>>>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain
an
>>>>>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-larg e.jpg>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>>>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the
choice
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better
>>keep
>>>>>>> an
>>>>>>> eye on these guys.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>>>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part
>>of
>>>>>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>>>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms
for
>>>>>all
>>>>>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
>>>>>>>> Why
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> its
>>>>>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside
>>>>a
>>>>>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and
brave,
>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> hated
>>>>>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for
then
>>>>it
>>>>>>>>> costs
>>>>>>>>> nothing
>>>>>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
>>or
>>>>the
>>>>>>>>> timid."
>>>>>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to
>>the
>>>>>>>>> brave."
>>>>>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> active,
>>>>>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the
home
>>>>of
>>>>>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
>>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [duncan](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 16:44:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Amazing" only if you don't bother to read the entire first sentence of Bill's post to which your response began with this word "Rubbish."

What's "amazing" to me is that you're so easily amazed.

chas.

On 22 May 2007 01:24:53 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>Wait a minute here. Where did I say a WORD about Iraq?
>Did you even read the previous posts?
>
>amazing...
>
>DC
>
>
>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:
>>
>>Afghanistan, yes: clear connection to originators of 9/11; Iraq, no:
>>zero evidence then and now of even the slightest complicity in the
>>attacks of Sept. 01. Take your "rubbish" out with the rest of your
>>trash.
>>
>>--chas.
>>
>>On 21 May 2007 23:57:37 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Rubbish. That's like not blaming the Nazis for the beer hall putsch...
>>>It was just those few lunatics...
>>>
>>>There's no difference in any way that matters, between the leadership of
>>>the Mullahs in Afghanistan and the AQ's they harbored. And it was an
>>>act of war. Period.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in
>>>>Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent
>>>>

>>>>wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts
>>>
>>>>of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe
>
>>>>players.
>>>>
>>>>Bill L
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
>>>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a
>war
>>>>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic
>>>>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.
>>>>>
>>>>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
>>>>>> bore.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come
>to
>>>>>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we
>>>>>> get in
>>>>>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better
>>>>>> thought of.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.'
>-
>>>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
>>>>>>> It was too early when I wrote that.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>>>>>>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom.
>I
>>>>>>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>>>>>>>> you dig?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
>>>>>>>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish
>>>>him
>>>>>>>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and

>>>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them,
>and
>>>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on
>us.
>>>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
>>>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>>>>> pathetic.>
>>>>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>>>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>>>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er
>lives.
>>>>>
>>>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone
>ever
>>>>> try?
>>>>> Bill
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>>>>> Unfortunately
>>>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I
>hold
>>>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
>>>>>a
>>>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>>>> children.
>>>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh
>God
>>>>> no,
>>>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>>>> practicing
>>>>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>>>> incidents involving Jamaat Ul Fuora in the US?
>>>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both
>the
>>>>> DC
>>>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid

>to
>>>> the
>>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>>>> Pearl
>>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>>>>
>>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>>>> of
>>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
>>>> to
>>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better
>keep
>>>> an
>>>>> eye on these guys.
>>>>>
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part
>of
>>>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for
>>>all
>>>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>>>

>>>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
>>>> Why

>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
>>>>> in
>>>>>> its
>>>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside
>>>>a
>>>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> hated
>>>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then
>>>it
>>>>>>> costs
>>>>>>> nothing
>>>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
>or
>>>the
>>>>>>> timid."
>>>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to
>the
>>>>>>> brave."
>>>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>>>> the
>>>>>>> active,
>>>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home
>>>of
>>>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 17:54:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"ulfiyya" <ulfiyya@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>I think better to change to name of this site!
>I thought that this is a Paris forum site, not a political site
>Greetings,

yeah, I know what you mean, but really it has become a group of people who actually do like each other most of the time and usually get along despite our differences.

Being that we consider ourselves a bit of a community, we feel free to discuss this stuff.

Which of course brings up another problem...

It's like the prison joke? You know the one where everyone has heard all the jokes so they just give them numbers?

(I forget the rest of the joke... anyone?)

They don't TELL the jokes anymore, they just call out the number and they are all so bored that everyone still laughs.

So, why don't we assign numbers to all the possible positions on political issues, and just call the numbers out?

It would save a lot of typing, and hell, using Paris IS a bit like being in prison innit?

DC

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 20:42:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Point of order. We weren't attacked in WW I, at least not in any militarily significant way. We were actively supporting the British and (to a lesser degree) the French. The Germans (in both wars) desperately wanted the US on the sidelines, but decided in WW I they couldn't tolerate the amount of arms and supplies the US was providing. But the Lusitania was hardly a massive attack on the US.

In WW II we were attacked, but despite what you learned in history class it was a very complex thing. The US had been 'negotiating' for peace with imperial Japan, and doing so in extremely bad faith. Sentiment in the US was wildly anti-war, polls show over 80% of the public were against the US entering the war, and Roosevelt had campaigned promising that the US would not enter the war unless attacked. He clearly wanted war and had given the Japanese an ultimatum that everyone knew was a complete non-starter. There were parts of the Japanese military/bureaucracy that were for attacking the US, there were parts that were virulently against it. We don't know what would have happened, but the US ultimatum made the war party's line look like the only defensible one. Personally I think Roosevelt wanted the war but didn't imagine the Japanese could pull off something as devastating as Pearl Harbor. I think he was looking for a 'Lusitania in the Pacific.' Also, US cargo ships headed for England were being guarded by US Navy convoys even before the US entered the war, which is the kind of thing that usually turns a country from neutral to participating pretty quickly.

As far as Afghanistan, it's hard to say. On the one hand the Taliban clearly were providing al-Queda with a safe haven which is a massive operational gift to a non-state actor. That said, one could argue that Pakistan (operations, training) and Saudi Arabia (money, recruits) were as responsible. But Afghanistan doesn't have a trillion in US treasuries sitting in our banks. One could go further and suggest that the US should have attacked the US, as it was our funding, training and support that _made_ both the Taliban and al-Queda when the CIA decided to 'give Russia their Vietnam' after the Soviets invaded in 1979. Or, as one person says in Charlie Wilson's War, 'Nobody fucks with the Afghans and gets away with it.'

Iraq? A pure, simple war of aggression, for which history will judge us grimly.

TCB

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in
>Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent

>wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts

>of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe
>players.

>

>Bill L

>

>

>Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is

>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

>>
>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war
>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic
>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.
>>
>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
>>> bore.
>>
>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to
>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we
get in
>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better
>> thought of.
>>
>> Rich
>>
>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' -
>> Winston Churchill
>>
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
>>> It was too early when I wrote that.
>>>
>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>>> you dig?
>>>
>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish
him
>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and
>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.
>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>>> pathetic.>
>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
>>>
>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
>> try?
>>> Bill
>>>
>>>

>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>> Unfortunately
>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>>
>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
>>>> a
>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>> children.
>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>> no,
>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>> practicing
>>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>>
>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the
>> DC
>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
>> the
>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>> Pearl
>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>>>
>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>> of
>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
>> to
>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
>> an
>>>> eye on these guys.
>>>>
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message

>> news:464d8d4f@linux...

>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.

>>>>

>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.

>>>>

>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for
all

>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.

>>>>

>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.

>> Why

>>>> the

>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.

>>>>>

>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>

>>>>>

>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips

>>>>>

>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant

>> in

>>>> its

>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

>>>>>

>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside

a

>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

>>>>>

>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,

>>>> and

>>>>> hated

>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then

it

>>>> costs

>>>>> nothing

>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain

>>>>>

>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or

the
>>>>> timid."
>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>
>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>>>> brave."
>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>
>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>> the
>>>>> active,
>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>
>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home
of
>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>
>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
--
>>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>>
>>>>> Guidance,
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 22:10:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>In WW II we were attacked, but despite what you learned in history class
>it was a very complex thing. The US had been 'negotiating' for peace with
>imperial Japan, and doing so in extremely bad faith.

This is debatable, but what is not debatable is there was plenty of aggression and bad faith to go 'round.

Why did you not mention the Japanese' aggression and bad-faith negotiations?

>Sentiment in the US

>was wildly anti-war, polls show over 80% of the public were against the US

>entering the war,

They remembered "The War to End all War" all too well I think.

WWI was a vastly different beast though, and once people saw the nature of the enemy in 1941, those poll numbers inverted. Overnight.

>As far as Afghanistan, it's hard to say. On the one hand the Taliban clearly

>were providing al-Qaeda with a safe haven which is a massive operational

>gift to a non-state actor. That said, one could argue that Pakistan (operations,

>training) and Saudi Arabia (money, recruits) were as responsible.

But much less belligerent and uncooperative...

>One could

>go further and suggest that the US should have attacked the US, as it was

>our funding, training and support that _made_ both the Taliban and al-Qaeda

Nonsense. Both of these entities arose from Islamist ideology and needed little from us to learn how to sneak box-cutters onto planes and hijack them.

If anything, our support of _Muslim_ mujhadeen in Afghanistan and prtecting _Muslims_ in Bosnia should have made them allies. It did not, and the reason has little to do with our occasional stupid acts in the name of anti-communism...

>Iraq? A pure, simple war of aggression, for which history will judge us grimly.

Now, you compare these facts with the ones that got us into WWI and see if you have not grossly overstated this...

Iraq had a nuclear reactor. Israel destroyed it
Iraq invaded its neighbor and had designs on the Saudis
Iraq financially supported terrorists and hosted them
Iraq developed poison gas and used it on the Kurds
Iraq wiped out entire families, used rape as torture,
tortured in most creative and unpleasant manners and

killed people for even looking at Saddam in a non-respectful manner
Iraq got caught planning the assassination of a US President
Iraq defied 17 UN resolutions
Iraq defied the no-fly zone

I could go on and on...

Now, remind me of all the good reasons we got into WWI??

DC

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 23:01:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>>In WW II we were attacked, but despite what you learned in history class
>>it was a very complex thing. The US had been 'negotiating' for peace with
>>imperial Japan, and doing so in extremely bad faith.

>

>

>This is debatable, but what is not debatable is there was
>plenty of aggression and bad faith to go 'round.

>

>Why did you not mention the Japanese' aggression and
>bad-faith negotiations?

They certainly did, but I wasn't commenting on Japanese perception of the war, but on our own. Bill said we had 'been attacked,' which is absolutely true, but there are qualifiers. I think there was more unity in the top levels of the US government than the Japanese one. After all, one didn't need to know too much about the world to know that getting the US involved on the other side would be a long term net negative for the other sides.

>

>>Sentiment in the US

>>was wildly anti-war, polls show over 80% of the public were against the
>US

>>entering the war,

>

>They remembered "The War to End all War" all too well I think.

>WWI was a vastly different beast though, and once people

>saw the nature of the enemy in 1941, those poll numbers

>inverted. Overnight.

Had they known the true story of how they were in many ways manipulated into war they might have changed their mind.

Also, I'm not saying the US shouldn't have gotten into that war, I'm only saying that the true story is not really told about what happened.

>
>>As far as Afghanistan, it's hard to say. On the one hand the Taliban clearly
>>were providing al-Queda with a safe haven which is a massive operational
>>gift to a non-state actor. That said, one could argue that Pakistan (operations,
>>training) and Saudi Arabia (money, recruits) were as responsible.

>
>
>But much less belligerent and uncooperative...

>
>
>>One could
>>go further and suggest that the US should have attacked the US, as it was
>>our funding, training and support that _made_ both the Taliban and al-Queda

>
>Nonsense. Both of these entities arose from Islamist ideology
>and needed little from us to learn how to sneak box-cutters
>onto planes and hijack them.

That's very debatable. The Taliban grew in the Afghan refugee camps with over a million displaced Afghans lived for up to ten years in some cases. The original US support with the CIA had been a minor, small dollar affair, mostly consisting of help in the refugee camps and WW I era Enfield rifles. The old school CIA guys were smart enough to realize that the possibility for blowback was very real, and that in the words of one, 'The Afghans are so crooked when they die you don't have to bury them, you can just screw them into the ground.' However, after a few years it became obvious that Soviet morale was extremely low and there was the possibility that the Afghans could actually win, instead of be a constant pest. At that point an explicit decision was made to create a core of 150,000 'technoguerillas' that would get vastly greater weaponry and more training via Pakistan. And they did, demolitions, CCC, first aid, all of the stuff that any western, professional soldier would learn. Bin Laden's group became, in many ways, the 'special forces' of that core guerrilla army. So the US didn't teach them how to use box cutters, but we did teach them how to make a bike bomb that could be parked next to a bar where Soviet officers drank. The US taught them assassination tactics, how to attack convoys, how to use Stinger missiles (the final magic bullet that took the Soviet helicopters out of the war), and most importantly the mindset of an insurgent. Combining that with thousands of years of guerrilla fighting by the Afghans that left guerrilla tactics almost in their blood and it didn't take much for them to improvise on the theme.

Two questions. There will millions of Afghans in Iran as well as Pakistan. They received no military training (hell, the Iranians didn't even want to feed them), and there is no evidence that they ever planned to do anything against the us. Why? Second, if it's an islamist ideology to blame, why were the Tajiks and Uzbeks (islamists to the man) who made up the Northern Alliance still fighting against (mostly) Pashtun Afghans on 9/11, and why did the readily accept our aid an arms when we attacked the country?

>If anything, our support of _Muslim_ mujhadeen in Afghanistan
>and prtecting _Muslims_ in Bosnia should have made them
>allies. It did not, and the reason has little to do with our
>occasional stupid acts in the name of anti-communism...

Had we made good on our promises to the Afghans there is a chance that might have happened. Not much of one, but a chance. Instead we bugged off as soon as they had finished dying for us (and themselves to be sure) and let the country eventually fall into the hands of the Taliban. There might still be a chance for the western forces to turn the tide in Afghanistan, although it will take some massive intellectual leaps to do it. Iraq is much more likely irretrievable.

>
>>Iraq? A pure, simple war of aggression, for which history will judge us
>grimly.

>
>Now, you compare these facts with the ones that got us into
>WWI and see if you have not grossly overstated this...

>
>Iraq had a nuclear reactor. Israel destroyed it
>Iraq invaded its neighbor and had designs on the Saudis
>Iraq financially supported terrorists and hosted them
>Iraq developed poison gas and used it on the Kurds
>Iraq wiped out entire families, used rape as torture,
>tortured in most creative and unpleasant manners and
>killed people for even looking at Saddam in a non-respectful
>manner
>Iraq got caught planning the assassination of a US President
>Iraq defied 17 UN resolutions
>Iraq defied the no-fly zone

None of which made Iraq in any way a threat to the US. Lots of countries in the best graces of the US torture and kill their own citizens. And while they invaded one neighbor and got slapped around by the US, when they invaded Iran we gave them guns, money, helpful advice, and visits from Donald Rumsfeld. Could Iraq have put together any sort of conventional military campaign against the US? If Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Jordan did not consider Iraq in 2001 a threat to their security, how could the US possibly feel that way? It's just

a war of aggression.

TCB

>I could go on and on...

>

>Now, remind me of all the good reasons we got into WWI??

>

>DC

>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 23:37:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>Had they known the true story of how they were in many ways manipulated into
>war they might have changed their mind.

I doubt it, and I think you have overstated the manipulation.

Since Vietnam, we seem to be finding "Tonkin Gulf Incidents" all over the place...

>Combining that with thousands of years of guerrilla
>fighting by the Afghans that left guerrilla tactics almost in their blood
>and it didn't take much for them to improvise on the theme.

All true. Yet, they fell so quickly! Don't you think the fall of the Taliban was a good thing?

Don't get me started on what we did in the name of anti-communism. But that is **really** not the point here unless you just intend to make some moral case (based on what?) that we had it coming, despite our support of Muslims?

>Two questions. There will millions of Afghans in Iran as well as Pakistan.
>They received no military training (hell, the Iranians didn't even want to
>feed them), and there is no evidence that they ever planned to do anything
>against the us. Why?

I'm not quite sure what you are asking here. Maybe you could rephrase it?

>Second, if it's an islamist ideology to blame, why were
>the Tajiks and Uzbeks (islamists to the man) who made up the Northern Alliance
>still fighting against (mostly) Pashtun Afghans on 9/11, and why did the
>readily accept our aid and arms when we attacked the country?

What is your definition of Islamist?

If it is Islamic triumphalism through their actions of Allah, then you are right and there are other reasons for the things you mention. If it is Islamic triumphalism through a new caliphate and the subjugation of all the infidels into moslems or dhimmis, then perhaps they were/are different sorts of Islamists.

>>>Iraq? A pure, simple war of aggression, for which history will judge us
>>grimly.

>>Now, you compare these facts with the ones that got us into
>>WWI and see if you have not grossly overstated this...

>>

>>Iraq had a nuclear reactor. Israel destroyed it
>>Iraq invaded its neighbor and had designs on the Saudis
>>Iraq financially supported terrorists and hosted them
>>Iraq developed poison gas and used it on the Kurds
>>Iraq wiped out entire families, used rape as torture,
>>tortured in most creative and unpleasant manners and
>>killed people for even looking at Saddam in a non-respectful
>>manner
>>Iraq got caught planning the assassination of a US President
>>Iraq defied 17 UN resolutions
>>Iraq defied the no-fly zone

>None of which made Iraq in any way a threat to the US.

WHEW! and the archduke's murder of course, was a big one!

>Lots of countries
>in the best graces of the US torture and kill their own citizens. And while
>they invaded one neighbor and got slapped around by the US, when they invaded
>Iran we gave them guns, money, helpful advice, and visits from Donald Rumsfeld.

So what? Would you have us attack all or none? Because

every evil was not addressed, should no evils be addressed?

What is your point here?

>Could Iraq have put together any sort of conventional military campaign against the US?

Not the issue. Support for terror and real concern, the world over, (including every Democrat who ran for President last time) that this was the guy most likely to make real trouble in the near future was the issue.

>If Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Jordan did not consider Iraq in 2001 a threat to their security, how could the US possibly feel that way?

Is there some lack of evidence for Saddam's plans? Does the opinions of these "leaders" change that evidence? How?

>It's just a war of aggression.

Bull. It's a war for democracy. Will it succeed? Who the hell knows?

But THIS is the issue that matters: Are those people in Iraq capable of living in a democracy? Do they even want one?

This is the big gamble we have taken. I do not know the answer but millions of Iraqis do want democracy so perhaps there is hope.

Your answer is both too simple and too cynical.

DC

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 03:37:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The post you were responding to was about both countries.

If you only meant to discuss one of them Don, you really didn't make that clear.

It's pretty obvious why Chas called you on that one, and it's not his problem to fix. Nor does he deserve that level of condescension. Sheesh.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

DC wrote:

> Pay attention.

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

> There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in

> Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent

> wars

>

> He is claiming that it was a small group within the countries that

> did it, and it was not an act of war.

>

> In the case of Afghanistan, that is clearly not the case. That is what I

> said. You brought up Iraq and tried to conflate the two.

>

> You got caught.

>

> Now, if you want to make a case about Iraq, go ahead.

>

> DC

>

>

> Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:

>> "Amazing" only if you don't bother to read the entire first sentence

>> of Bill's post to which your response began with this word "Rubbish."

>>

>> What's "amazing" to me is that you're so easily amazed.

>>

>> chas.

>>

>>

>>

>> On 22 May 2007 01:24:53 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>> Wait a minute here. Where did I say a WORD about Iraq?

>>> Did you even read the previous posts?

>>>

>>> amazing...

>>>

>>> DC

>>>
>>>
>>> Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:
>>>> Afghanistan, yes: clear connection to originators of 9/11; Iraq, no:
>>>> zero evidence then and now of even the slightest complicity in the
>>>> attacks of Sept. 01. Take your "rubbish" out with the rest of your
>>>> trash.
>>>>
>>>> --chas.
>>>>
>>>> On 21 May 2007 23:57:37 +1000, "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Rubbish. That's like not blaming the Nazis for the beer hall putsch...
>>>>> It was just those few lunatics...
>>>>>
>>>>> There's no difference in any way that matters, between the leadership
> of
>>>>> the Mullahs in Afghanistan and the AQ's they harbored. And it was an
>>>>> act of war. Period.
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>> There is one salient difference between WWI & II and our wars in
>>>>>> Afghanistan and Iraq. We did not start the WWs. We did start the recent
>>>>>> wars. You can't call 9/11 acts of war from those nations. They are acts
>>>>>> of vindictiveness from a tiny group of completely evil lunatic fringe
>>>>>> players.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Bill L
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
>>>>>>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.
>>>>>>> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is
> a
>>> war
>>>>>>> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an
> Islamic
>>>>>>> theocratic caliphate on the free world.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big
> dumb
>>>>>>>> bore.
>>>>>>>> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come
>>> to
>>>>>>>> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did

> we
>>>> get in
>>>>> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be
> better
>>>>> thought of.
>>>>>
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.'
>>> -
>>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>>
>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
>>>>>> It was too early when I wrote that.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>>>>>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom.
>>> I
>>>>>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>>>>>> you dig?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way
> one
>>>>>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish
>>>> him
>>>>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control
> and
>>>>>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them,
>>> and
>>>>>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect
> on
>>> us.
>>>>>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way
> they
>>>>>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>>>>>> pathetic.>
>>>>>> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>>>>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>>>>>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people
> who
>>>>>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>>>>>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er
>>> lives.
>>>>>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone
>>> ever
>>>>>> try?
>>>>>> Bill

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>>>>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
>>>>>>> Unfortunately
>>>>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and
> I
>>> hold
>>>>>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>>>>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and
> Janet
>>>>>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve
>>>>> a
>>>>>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>>>>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
>>>>>>> children.
>>>>>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men,
> oh
>>> God
>>>>>>> no,
>>>>>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
>>>>>>> practicing
>>>>>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>>>>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>>>>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both
>>> the
>>>>>>> DC
>>>>>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid
>>> to
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
>>>>>>> Pearl
>>>>>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain
> an
>>>>>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>>>>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the
> choice

>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better
>>> keep
>>>>>> an
>>>>>>> eye on these guys.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>>>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part
>>> of
>>>>>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>>>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms
> for
>>>>> all
>>>>>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
>>>>>>> Why
>>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>> its
>>>>>>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside
>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and
> brave,
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> hated
>>>>>>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for
> then
>>>>> it
>>>>>>>> costs
>>>>>>>>> nothing
>>>>>>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
>>> or
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> timid."
>>>>>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to
>>> the
>>>>>>>>> brave."
>>>>>>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>> active,
>>>>>>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the
> home
>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>>>>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain."
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 03:40:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' -
> Winston Churchill

Fact check:

<http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>

Quotes Falsely Attributed:

These quotes make for good story-telling but popular myth has falsely attributed them to Churchill.

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKrutz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

>> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
>> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

>

> Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war
> against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an Islamic
> theocratic caliphate on the free world.

>

>> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
>> bore.

>

> We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to
> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did we get in
> return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better
> thought of.

>

> Rich

>

>

> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...

>> It was too early when I wrote that.

>>

>> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
>> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
>> you dig?

>>

>> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
>> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish him
>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, and
>> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on us.

>> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
>> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
>> pathetic.>
> Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
>> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
>> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
>> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
>>
>> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone ever
> try?
>> Bill
>>
>>
>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
>>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
> Unfortunately
>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and intolerant
>>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
>>>
>>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
>>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
>>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> children.
>>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh God
> no,
>>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
> practicing
>>> for violent Jihad.
>>>
>>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the violent
>>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
>>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both the
> DC
>>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
> the
>>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
> Pearl
>>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
>>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
>>>
>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
>>>
>>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom

> of
>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the choice
> to
>>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
> an
>>> eye on these guys.
>>>
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
>>>
>>>
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
> news:464d8d4f@linux...
>>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
>>>>
>>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
>>>>
>>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms for all
>>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
>>>>

>>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
> Why
>> the
>>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>>>>>
>>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
> in
>> its
>>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
>>>>>
>>>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001

>>>>
>>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
>>> and
>>>> hated
>>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
>>> costs
>>>> nothing
>>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
>>>>
>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the
>>>> timid."
>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
>>>>
>>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>>> brave."
>>>> -- Ronald Reagan
>>>>
>>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
> the
>>>> active,
>>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
>>>>
>>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>>>>
>>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
>>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
>>>> Winston Churchill
>>>>
>>>> Guidance,
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 04:16:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464f363b@linux...
>
> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading

> to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals most
> everyone SAYS they believe in.
>
> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it could
> also have been much, much better.
>
> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible delusion
> is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a wrong
> direction.
>
> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE
> BEEN WORSE."
>
> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP SCREWING
> IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>
> Go team! Over the cliff.
>
> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>
> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
> malfeasance.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com

>
>
But he did say this:

"I would say to the House, as I said to those who have joined this Government, I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat. We have before us an ordeal of the most grievous kind. We have before us many long months of toil and struggle.

"You ask what is our policy. I will say, it is to wage war with all our might, with all the strength that God can give us, to wage war against a monstrous tyranny never surpassed in the dark, lamentable catalogue of human crime.

"You ask what is our aim? I can answer in one word: Victory. Victory at all costs. Victory in spite of all terror. Victory however long and hard the road may be. For without victory there is no survival."

Sounds familiar to me.

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 05:38:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think you answered the wrong post, DeeJ, sounds like you're reacting to the point that Churchill didn't actually say that stuff about being liberal and conservative by certain ages that we keep seeing quoted smugly as a (false, as it happens) justification for a particular filter set.

But is it the new talking point to compare Bush to Churchill? Last I heard, Bush was comparing himself to Lincoln.

Politicians (or their PR proxies) will sometimes attempt to invoke images and comparisons in an attempt to flatter themselves and their policies, whether generally appropriate or not.

Comparing our current president to Churchill is a stretch. But it would be an interesting discussion.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

DJ wrote:

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464f363b@linux...
>> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
>> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading
>> to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals most
>> everyone SAYS they believe in.
>>
>> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it could
>> also have been much, much better.
>>
>> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible delusion
>> is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a wrong
>> direction.
>>
>> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE
>> BEEN WORSE."
>>
>> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP SCREWING
>> IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"

>>
>> Go team! Over the cliff.
>>
>> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
>> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>>
>> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
>> malfeasance.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
> But he did say this:
>
> "I would say to the House, as I said to those who have joined this
> Government, I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat. We
> have before us an ordeal of the most grievous kind. We have before us many
> long months of toil and struggle.
> "You ask what is our policy. I will say, it is to wage war with all
> our might, with all the strength that God can give us, to wage war against a
> monstrous tyranny never surpassed in the dark, lamentable catalogue of human
> crime.
>
> "You ask what is our aim? I can answer in one word: Victory. Victory
> at all costs. Victory in spite of all terror. Victory however long and hard
> the road may be. For without victory there is no survival."
>
>
>
> Sounds familiar to me.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 14:44:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jaimie,

I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we went in

to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.

:"The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of misery".-Winston Churchill

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4652832d\$1@linux...

>

> I think you answered the wrong post, DeeJ, sounds like you're reacting to
> the point that Churchill didn't actually say that stuff about being
> liberal and conservative by certain ages that we keep seeing quoted
> smugly as a (false, as it happens) justification for a particular filter
> set.

>

> But is it the new talking point to compare Bush to Churchill? Last I
> heard, Bush was comparing himself to Lincoln.

>

> Politicians (or their PR proxies) will sometimes attempt to invoke images
> and comparisons in an attempt to flatter themselves and their policies,
> whether generally appropriate or not.

>

> Comparing our current president to Churchill is a stretch. But it would be
> an interesting discussion.

>

> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

>

>

>

> DJ wrote:

>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464f363b@linux...

>>> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>>> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
>>> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading
>>> to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals
>>> most everyone SAYS they believe in.

>>>

>>> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>>> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it
>>> could also have been much, much better.

>>>

>>> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible
>>> delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a
>>> wrong direction.
>>>
>>> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE
>>> BEEN WORSE."
>>>
>>> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP
>>> SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>>>
>>> Go team! Over the cliff.
>>>
>>> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
>>> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>>>
>>> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
>>> malfeasance.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>
>>>
>> But he did say this:
>>
>> "I would say to the House, as I said to those who have joined this
>> Government, I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat. We
>> have before us an ordeal of the most grievous kind. We have before us
>> many long months of toil and struggle.
>> "You ask what is our policy. I will say, it is to wage war with
>> all our might, with all the strength that God can give us, to wage war
>> against a monstrous tyranny never surpassed in the dark, lamentable
>> catalogue of human crime.
>>
>> "You ask what is our aim? I can answer in one word: Victory.
>> Victory at all costs. Victory in spite of all terror. Victory however
>> long and hard the road may be. For without victory there is no survival."
>>

>>
>> Sounds familiar to me.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 17:52:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJ wrote:

> Jaimie,

>

> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this by
> just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we went in
> to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.

Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?

The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.

Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix" Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?

> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the blessings.
> The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of misery". -Winston
> Churchill

Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.

BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)

"Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
-Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKruz.com

> ;o)

>

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4652832d\$1@linux...

>> I think you answered the wrong post, DeeJ, sounds like you're reacting to

>> the point that Churchill didn't actually say that stuff about being

>> liberal and conservative by certain ages that we keep seeing quoted

>> smugly as a (false, as it happens) justification for a particular filter

>> set.

>>

>> But is it the new talking point to compare Bush to Churchill? Last I

>> heard, Bush was comparing himself to Lincoln.
>>
>> Politicians (or their PR proxies) will sometimes attempt to invoke images
>> and comparisons in an attempt to flatter themselves and their policies,
>> whether generally appropriate or not.
>>
>> Comparing our current president to Churchill is a stretch. But it would be
>> an interesting discussion.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464f363b@linux...
>>>> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>>>> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
>>>> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading
>>>> to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals
>>>> most everyone SAYS they believe in.
>>>>
>>>> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>>>> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it
>>>> could also have been much, much better.
>>>>
>>>> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible
>>>> delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a
>>>> wrong direction.
>>>>
>>>> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD HAVE
>>>> BEEN WORSE."
>>>>
>>>> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP
>>>> SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>>>>
>>>> Go team! Over the cliff.
>>>>
>>>> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
>>>> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>>>>
>>>> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
>>>> malfeasance.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>> But he did say this:
>>>
>>> "I would say to the House, as I said to those who have joined this
>>> Government, I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat. We
>>> have before us an ordeal of the most grievous kind. We have before us
>>> many long months of toil and struggle.
>>> "You ask what is our policy. I will say, it is to wage war with
>>> all our might, with all the strength that God can give us, to wage war
>>> against a monstrous tyranny never surpassed in the dark, lamentable
>>> catalogue of human crime.
>>>
>>> "You ask what is our aim? I can answer in one word: Victory.
>>> Victory at all costs. Victory in spite of all terror. Victory however
>>> long and hard the road may be. For without victory there is no survival."
>>>

>>>
>>> Sounds familiar to me.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 18:45:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I dunno, DeeJ, I don't remember that. I remember being told that we had to stop Iraq's nuclear weapon's program, get that uranium yellowcake out of Saddam's hands, shut down the mobile chem/bio weapons labs, destroy vast stockpiles of nerve gas, and that we would be welcomed as liberators.

And about that 'ongoing' war. Again, in my recollection Saddam invaded Kuwait, Bush the Elder (an imperial jackass of a president to be sure, but nevertheless a statesman of no minor skill) put together a real coalition to enforce a UN resolution that Iraq leave the country. After they were chased out, didn't that effectively end the war?

TCB

"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](#)> wrote:

>Jaimie,
>
>I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this
by
>just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we went
in
>to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.
>
>:"The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the blessings.

>The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of misery".-Winston

>Churchill

>
>;o)
>
>
>
>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4652832d\$1@linux...
>>
>> I think you answered the wrong post, DeeJ, sounds like you're reacting
to
>> the point that Churchill didn't actually say that stuff about being
>> liberal and conservative by certain ages that we keep seeing quoted
>> smugly as a (false, as it happens) justification for a particular filter

>> set.
>>
>> But is it the new talking point to compare Bush to Churchill? Last I
>> heard, Bush was comparing himself to Lincoln.
>>
>> Politicians (or their PR proxies) will sometimes attempt to invoke images

>> and comparisons in an attempt to flatter themselves and their policies,

>> whether generally appropriate or not.
>>
>> Comparing our current president to Churchill is a stretch. But it would
be
>> an interesting discussion.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464f363b@linux...
>>>> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>>>> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes

>>>> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge. Leading

>>>> to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual goals

>>>> most everyone SAYS they believe in.
>>>>
>>>> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>>>> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it

>>>> could also have been much, much better.
>>>>
>>>> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible
>>>> delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in
a
>>>> wrong direction.
>>>>
>>>> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD
HAVE
>>>> BEEN WORSE."
>>>>
>>>> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP
>>>> SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>>>>
>>>> Go team! Over the cliff.
>>>>
>>>> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT

>>>> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>>>>
>>>> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic

>>>> malfeasance.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>> But he did say this:
>>>
>>> "I would say to the House, as I said to those who have joined this
>>> Government, I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat.

We

>>> have before us an ordeal of the most grievous kind. We have before us

>>> many long months of toil and struggle.

>>> "You ask what is our policy. I will say, it is to wage war with

>>> all our might, with all the strength that God can give us, to wage war

>>> against a monstrous tyranny never surpassed in the dark, lamentable

>>> catalogue of human crime.

>>>

>>> "You ask what is our aim? I can answer in one word: Victory.

>>> Victory at all costs. Victory in spite of all terror. Victory however

>>> long and hard the road may be. For without victory there is no survival."

>>>

>>>

>>> Sounds familiar to me.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 22:33:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46532f2d@linux...

> DJ wrote:

>> Jaimie,

>>

>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this

>> by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we

>> fwent in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.

>

> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?

I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think he is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same things to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.

>

> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.

You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.

>
> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in and
> racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix" Bush I's
> unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to agree with
> Bush I that that was a dumb idea?

>
I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times in the
history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's
supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.

>
>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of
>> misery".-Winston Churchill

>
> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.

I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
yin/yang'esque

>
> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)

I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)

>
> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)

Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.

;o)

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 22:52:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

After they were chased out,
> didn't that effectively end the war?
>
> TCB

well.....no.....I don't believe it ended anything. Sadaam said he's play
nice and abide by sanctions. We all knew what a pussycat he was and were so

shocked when a megalomaniac who, at every photo op took it upon himself to act as if he had transplanted a rifle into his groin so he could ejaculate bullets into the sky for the world press corps.....but by Sadaam being Sadaam, he signed his own death warrant.

I think Clinton could have done a lot more to really enforce sanctions rather than posture for the world popularity contest while we were getting dealt under the table by *our allies*. His ego and Sadaam's were pretty similar, their behaviours equally stupid and in Clinton's case, unforgivable.

;o)

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 23:07:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the whole Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero ... assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into even greater instability."

- George H.W. Bush

Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies" (how Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").

If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure "collateral damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then why screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to be sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably just eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are a cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue when you remove a cancer.

It's only logical.

S

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653036d\$1@linux...
> Jaimie,
>
> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this

> by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we
> went in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.
>
> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the blessings.
> The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of
> misery". -Winston Churchill
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4652832d\$1@linux...
>>
>> I think you answered the wrong post, Deej, sounds like you're reacting to
>> the point that Churchill didn't actually say that stuff about being
>> liberal and conservative by certain ages that we keep seeing quoted
>> smugly as a (false, as it happens) justification for a particular filter
>> set.
>>
>> But is it the new talking point to compare Bush to Churchill? Last I
>> heard, Bush was comparing himself to Lincoln.
>>
>> Politicians (or their PR proxies) will sometimes attempt to invoke images
>> and comparisons in an attempt to flatter themselves and their policies,
>> whether generally appropriate or not.
>>
>> Comparing our current president to Churchill is a stretch. But it would
>> be an interesting discussion.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464f363b@linux...
>>>> Yep Don, dangerous naivety is an epidemic these days. It leads to
>>>> blindered thinking on all sides, which can lead to naive, sometimes
>>>> idiotically cocksure choices by whoever happens to be in charge.
>>>> Leading to actions that may in fact be counterproductive to the actual
>>>> goals most everyone SAYS they believe in.
>>>>
>>>> But then, when a situation predictably deteriorates, the easy
>>>> rationalization is that IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE. Well sure, but it
>>>> could also have been much, much better.

>>>>
>>>> And this shaky rationalization, this flawed logic, this possible
>>>> delusion is sometimes used to justify continued marching, blindly, in a
>>>> wrong direction.
>>>>
>>>> "Hey, let's feel good about the continued screwups because IT COULD
>>>> HAVE BEEN WORSE."
>>>>
>>>> Followed by the completely irrational "In fact, we'd better KEEP
>>>> SCREWING IT UP UNTIL AT LAST WE SUCCEED!"
>>>>
>>>> Go team! Over the cliff.
>>>>
>>>> "Uh, OK, sure we're now falling toward the jagged rocks below, but IT
>>>> COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE!" :^)
>>>>
>>>> There's probably a diagnosis for this type of rationalized psychotic
>>>> malfeasance.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>> But he did say this:
>>>
>>> "I would say to the House, as I said to those who have joined this
>>> Government, I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat. We
>>> have before us an ordeal of the most grievous kind. We have before us
>>> many long months of toil and struggle.
>>> "You ask what is our policy. I will say, it is to wage war with
>>> all our might, with all the strength that God can give us, to wage war
>>> against a monstrous tyranny never surpassed in the dark, lamentable
>>> catalogue of human crime.
>>>
>>> "You ask what is our aim? I can answer in one word: Victory.
>>> Victory at all costs. Victory in spite of all terror. Victory however
>>> long and hard the road may be. For without victory there is no
>>> survival."
>>>
>>> -First speech as Prime Minister, House of Commons, 13 May 1940.
>>>
>>> Sounds familiar to me.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 23:33:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, yeah, you said it: ". . . we spent a lot of money and killed a lot of people to be no safer than we were." Putting it mildly. This whole Iraq fiasco has only put us in more danger. I can't think of a better example of trying to put out a fire with gasoline.

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4651a643\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>Hmm . . . sounds more like the consequences of what you believe is

>>implicit

>

>>in my statements. So, like I said, you're assuming assumptions not in

>>evidence.

>

> Waitamminute!

>

> You said: (sarcastically)

> ---

> Yes, clearly the world so much a better place now than 6 years ago.

> I know I feel safer.

> ---

>

> Which means that some other set of choices would have made us

> safer, or that we spent a lot of money and killed a lot of people to

> be no safer than we were.

>

> I'm just looking to understand here.

>

> best

>

> DC

>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 01:09:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>OK, yeah, you said it: ". . . we spent a lot of money and killed a lot of

>people to be no safer than we were." Putting it mildly. This whole Iraq

>fiasco has only put us in more danger. I can't think of a better example of

>trying to put out a fire with gasoline.

But all this is, of course, unknowable! It depends on your assumptions.

We don't KNOW what would have happened if Clinton was around still and had bombed a couple of more asprin factories in response to 9-11.

We don't know what would have happened had he gone running when the next soldiers bodies were dragged through the streets of some hellhole

We do know that OBL used the number of times we were attacked and the weakness of our response to claim that we were unable to respond in any other way but weakness. We know this because he said it.

Your assertion is, at best, an "alternative history" version that we cannot know the truth of one way or another.

What do you make of this?

<http://www.michaelyon-online.com/flf/article.php?ID=9>

I can get you hundreds more...

How would you answer someone who said that we no longer have the guts to actually win a war; that the people at home and the press no longer have the stomach to fight for freedom? That Iraq is indeed becoming "another Vietnam" with wretches like Jane Fonda making sure we lose, and then not feeling the least bit of guilt from the bloodbath that follows?

This question has always been; do they want democracy?

On this, the whole thing hinges. I think a lot of them do, but we have to stop calling the war (which has actually been going well recently) "lost" and undercutting this effort.

You probably won't agree, and I will not call you disloyal, but I do think you are wrong on this.

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 02:14:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:46537917@linux...

> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the whole
> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero ...
> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into even
> greater instability."

>

> - George H.W. Bush

And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one that Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???

>

> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies" (how
> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").

Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions, there were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any kind of serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do this and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid blood money to the families of terrorists to ensure that they could more easily walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people while he flaunted UN authority was biding his time until sham sanctions were officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee who felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from Sadaam and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to deify them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world to kill people.

Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.

>

> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure "collateral
> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then why
> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to be
> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably just
> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran compels

> true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are a cancer on
> civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue when you remove a
> cancer.
>
> It's only logical.

Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who would like to be rid of them.

In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the aisle, I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these cretins.

<http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>

....if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing, take those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with them.

;o)

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 02:21:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In the end there are a few simple truths the wise leader uses for guidance:

1. Force begets force
2. Ideas not bullets win in the long run (though dissemination requires patient work)
3. False data and corrupt ideas from the mouths of evil leaders can only be defeated by truth. Truth is not introduced with force.

Ergo, war is the desperate act of a leader who has already lost his way and his confidence and has no right to lead.

Bill

DC wrote:

> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>

>> Had they known the true story of how they were in many ways manipulated

> into
>> war they might have changed their mind.
>
> I doubt it, and I think you have overstated the manipulation.
>
> Since Vietnam, we seem to be finding "Tonkin Gulf Incidents"
> all over the place...
>
>
>> Combining that with thousands of years of guerrilla
>> fighting by the Afghans that left guerrilla tactics almost in their blood
>> and it didn't take much for them to improvise on the theme.
>
> All true. Yet, they fell so quickly! Don't you think the fall
> of the Taliban was a good thing?
>
> Don't get me started on what we did in the name of anti-
> communism. But that is *really* not the point here unless
> you just intend to make some moral case (based on what?)
> that we had it coming, despite our support of Muslims?
>
>
>> Two questions. There will millions of Afghans in Iran as well as Pakistan.
>> They received no military training (hell, the Iranians didn't even want
> to
>> feed them), and there is no evidence that they ever planned to do anything
>> against the us. Why?
>
> I'm not quite sure what you are asking here. Maybe you could
> rephrase it?
>
>> Second, if it's an islamist ideology to blame, why were
>> the Tajiks and Uzbeks (islamists to the man) who made up the Northern Alliance
>> still fighting against (mostly) Pashtun Afghans on 9/11, and why did the
>> readily accept our aid an arms when we attacked the country?
>
> What is your definition of Islamist?
>
> If it is Islamic triumphalism through ther actions of Allah, then you
> are right and there are other reasons for the things you mention.
> If it is Islamic triumphalism through a new caliphate and the
> subjugation of all the infidels into moslems or dhimmis, then
> perhaps they were/are different sorts of Islamists.
>
>
>>>> Iraq? A pure, simple war of aggression, for which history will judge us
>>> grimly.
>

>
>>> Now, you compare these facts with the ones that got us into
>>> WWI and see if you have not grossly overstated this...
>>>
>>> Iraq had a nuclear reactor. Israel destroyed it
>>> Iraq invaded its neighbor and had designs on the Saudis
>>> Iraq financially supported terrorists and hosted them
>>> Iraq developed poison gas and used it on the Kurds
>>> Iraq wiped out entire families, used rape as torture,
>>> tortured in most creative and unpleasant manners and
>>> killed people for even looking at Saddam in a non-respectful
>>> manner
>>> Iraq got caught planning the assassination of a US President
>>> Iraq defied 17 UN resolutions
>>> Iraq defied the no-fly zone
>
>
>> None of which made Iraq in any way a threat to the US.
>
> WHEW! and the archduke's murder of course, was a big one!
>
>
>> Lots of countries
>> in the best graces of the US torture and kill their own citizens. And while
>> they invaded one neighbor and got slapped around by the US, when they invaded
>> Iran we gave them guns, money, helpful advice, and visits from Donald Rumsfeld.
>
> So what? Would you have us attack all or none? Because
> every evil was not addressed, should no evils be addressed?
>
> What is you
> r point here?
>
>> Could Iraq have put together any sort of conventional military campaign
> against
>> the US?
>
> Not the issue. Support for terror and real concern, the world
> over, (including every Democrat who ran for President last time)
> that this was the guy most likely to make real trouble in the
> near future was the issue.
>
>
>> If Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Jordan did not consider Iraq in 2001 a
>> threat to their security, how could the US possibly feel that way?
>
> Is there some lack of evidence for Saddam's plans? Does the
> opinions of these "leaders" change that evidence? How?

>
>
>> It's just
>> a war of aggression.
>
> Bull. It's a war for democracy. Will it succeed? Who the
> hell knows?
>
> But THIS is the issue that matters: Are those people in Iraq
> capable of living in a democracy? Do they even want one?
>
> This is the big gamble we have taken. I do not know the
> answer but millions of Iraqi's do want democracy so perhaps
> there is hope.
>
> Your answer is both too simple and too cynical.
>
> DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 03:25:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD kill all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?

S

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:46537917@linux...
>> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the whole
>> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero ...
>> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into even
>> greater instability."
>>
>> - George H.W. Bush
>
> And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one that
> Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>
>>
>> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies" (how
>> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").

>
> Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions, there
> were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any kind of
> serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do this
> and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid blood
> money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more easlily
> walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people while
> he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions were
> officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee who
> felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from Sadaam
> and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
> other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to deify
> them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world to kill
> people.

>
> Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.

>>
>> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure "collateral
>> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then why
>> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to be
>> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably just
>> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are a
>> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue when
>> you remove a cancer.

>>
>> It's only logical.

>
> Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
> would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
> world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who would
> like to be rid of them.

>
> In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the aisle,
> I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
> cretins.

>
> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>

>
> ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing, take
> those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with them.

>
> ;o)

>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 04:04:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4653944b\$1@linux...

>

>

> How would you answer someone who said that we no longer
> have the guts to actually win a war; that the people at home
> and the press no longer have the stomach to fight for freedom?

I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach for is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And the only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.

Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get mired down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with a slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush has brought him some.

Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really radical statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans weren't saying the same thing in more polite ways.

It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a different result is generally considered to be insane.

How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and secure our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and kill terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?

If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.

S

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 05:09:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>In the end there are a few simple truths the wise leader uses for guidance:

>

>1. Force begets force

Bill, I respect your ideas. They are internally consistent and very hopeful at their heart. No one changes their mind because of these exchanges and I don't know why we do them sometimes.

But,

You know as well as I that sometimes force begets peace such as in our history with Japan and in the case of stopping a rapist.

>2. Ideas not bullets win in the long run (though dissemination requires

>patient work)

Sometimes the bullets have to come first.

>3. False data and corrupt ideas from the mouths of evil leaders can only

>be defeated by truth. Truth is not introduced with force.

Tell it to the folks at Auschwitz...

>Ergo, war is the desperate act of a leader who has already lost his way

>and his confidence and has no right to lead.

And sometimes force is the only virtue available and it makes all the other virtues possible.

But I doubt you agree.

Have a nice night.

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 05:14:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach for

>is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And
the
>only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration
>policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.

Answer #32 (with subtext of number 17).

>Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get mired
>down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in
>Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with
a
>slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush has
>brought him some.

Answer #19 with answer 7 implied, but not meant as an insult...

>Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our
>leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really radical
>statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans weren't
>saying the same thing in more polite ways.
>
>It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and
>thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a
>different result is generally considered to be insane.

Answer number 22 with a repetition of 17 above, but of course, you are
not like that!!

>How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and secure
>our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and kill
>terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
>interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?

Agreement limited to answer #9 only, with reservations expressed in
number 49...

>If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.

>
>S

Oh-tay

You know, I am starting to see your face when I read your post.
I don't want to debate much tonight.

We need all kinds to make a good world. Your kind as well as mine.

best,

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 08:05:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uh oh . . . damn . . . ya caught me stealing answers from the Liberal Talking Point Encyclopedia. Well, surely you don't expect someone who's still liberal at my age to be able to think for herself . . . (knocking on skull) . . . hear that echo? Nuthin' . . .

S

PS: Explain the two kinds of people for me sometime when you have a few minutes . . .

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4653cdb5\$1@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>>I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach

> for

>>is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And

> the

>>only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration

>

>>policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.

>

> Answer #32 (with subtext of number 17).

>

>

>

>>Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get
>>mired
>
>>down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in
>>Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with
> a
>>slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush has
>
>>brought him some.
>
> Answer #19 with answer 7 implied, but not meant as an insult...
>
>
>
>>Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our
>>leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really
>>radical
>
>>statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans
>>weren't
>
>>saying the same thing in more polite ways.
>>
>>It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and
>>thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a
>
>>different result is generally considered to be insane.
>
> Answer number 22 with a repetition of 17 above, but of course, you are
> not like that!!
>
>
>>How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and
>>secure
>
>>our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and kill
>
>>terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
>>interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?
>
> Agreement limited to answer #9 only, with reservations expressed in
> number 49...
>
>
>>If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.
>>
>>S
>

> Oh-tay
>
> You know, I am starting to see your face when I read your post.
> I don't want to debate much tonight.
>
> We need all kinds to make a good world. Your kind as well as mine.
>
> best,
>
> DC
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 08:55:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

those who see fighting a gorilla war with conventional means as smart
and those who don't.
those who see giving up rights for "safety sakes" as necessary
and those who don't.
....something like that...damn, i meant to stay out of this...

On Wed, 23 May 2007 01:05:31 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
wrote:

>Uh oh . . . damn . . . ya caught me stealing answers from the Liberal
>Talking Point Encyclopedia. Well, surely you don't expect someone who's
>still liberal at my age to be able to think for herself . . . (knocking on
>skull) . . . hear that echo? Nuthin' . . .
>
>S
>
>PS: Explain the two kinds of people for me sometime when you have a few
>minutes . . .
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message [news:4653cdb5\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4653cdb5$1@linux...)
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach
>> for
>>>is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And
>> the
>>>only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration
>>

>>>policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.
>>
>> Answer #32 (with subtext of number 17).
>>
>>
>>
>>>Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get
>>>mired
>>
>>>down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in
>>>Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with
>> a
>>>slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush has
>>
>>>brought him some.
>>
>> Answer #19 with answer 7 implied, but not meant as an insult...
>>
>>
>>
>>>Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our
>>>leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really
>>>radical
>>
>>>statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans
>>>weren't
>>
>>>saying the same thing in more polite ways.
>>>
>>>It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and
>>>thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a
>>
>>>different result is generally considered to be insane.
>>
>> Answer number 22 with a repetition of 17 above, but of course, you are
>> not like that!!
>>
>>
>>>How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and
>>>secure
>>
>>>our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and kill
>>
>>>terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
>>>interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?
>>
>> Agreement limited to answer #9 only, with reservations expressed in
>> number 49...

>>
>>
>>>If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.
>>>
>>>S
>>
>> Oh-tay
>>
>> You know, I am starting to see your face when I read your post.
>> I don't want to debate much tonight.
>>
>> We need all kinds to make a good world. Your kind as well as mine.
>>
>> best,
>>
>> DC
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 10:05:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now you've done it.

S

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:i50853t6lnvnucdqr6t27eodbi8oss2a59@4ax.com...
> those who see fighting a gorilla war with conventional means as smart
> and those who don't.
> those who see giving up rights for "safety sakes" as necessary
> and those who don't.
> ...something like that...damn, i meant to stay out of this...
>
>
>
> On Wed, 23 May 2007 01:05:31 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Uh oh . . . damn . . . ya caught me stealing answers from the Liberal
>>Talking Point Encyclopedia. Well, surely you don't expect someone who's
>>still liberal at my age to be able to think for herself . . . (knocking on
>>skull) . . . hear that echo? Nuthin' . . .
>>
>>S

>>
>>PS: Explain the two kinds of people for me sometime when you have a few
>>minutes . . .
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4653cdb5\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach
>>> for
>>>>is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And
>>> the
>>>>only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration
>>>
>>>>policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.
>>>
>>> Answer #32 (with subtext of number 17).
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get
>>>>mired
>>>
>>>>down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in
>>>>Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with
>>> a
>>>>slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush
>>>>has
>>>
>>>>brought him some.
>>>
>>> Answer #19 with answer 7 implied, but not meant as an insult...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our
>>>>leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really
>>>>radical
>>>
>>>>statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans
>>>>weren't
>>>
>>>>saying the same thing in more polite ways.
>>>>
>>>>It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and
>>>>thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a
>>>
>>>>different result is generally considered to be insane.

>>>
>>> Answer number 22 with a repetition of 17 above, but of course, you are
>>> not like that!!
>>>
>>>
>>>>How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and
>>>>secure
>>>
>>>>our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and
>>>>kill
>>>
>>>>terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
>>>>interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?
>>>
>>> Agreement limited to answer #9 only, with reservations expressed in
>>> number 49...
>>>
>>>
>>>>If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.
>>>>
>>>>S
>>>
>>> Oh-tay
>>>
>>> You know, I am starting to see your face when I read your post.
>>> I don't want to debate much tonight.
>>>
>>> We need all kinds to make a good world. Your kind as well as mine.
>>>
>>> best,
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 12:04:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No, silly. I was referring to my earlier post saying we should just list all the points and rebuttals on both sides and assign them numbers so we could save ourselves some time. It was my answers that were getting the numbers, not your points.

I do not think you work from anyone's talking points.

best,

DC

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Uh oh . . . damn . . . ya caught me stealing answers from the Liberal

>Talking Point Encyclopedia. Well, surely you don't expect someone who's

>still liberal at my age to be able to think for herself . . . (knocking

on

>skull) . . . hear that echo? Nuthin' . . .

>

>S

>

>PS: Explain the two kinds of people for me sometime when you have a few

>minutes . . .

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4653cdb5\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>

>>>I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach

>> for

>>>is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And

>> the

>>>only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration

>>

>>>policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.

>>

>> Answer #32 (with subtext of number 17).

>>

>>

>>

>>>Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get

>>>mired

>>

>>>down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in

>>>Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with

>> a

>>>slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush

has

>>

>>>brought him some.

>>

>> Answer #19 with answer 7 implied, but not meant as an insult...
>>
>>
>>
>>>Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our
>>>leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really
>>>radical
>>
>>>statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans
>>>weren't
>>
>>>saying the same thing in more polite ways.
>>>
>>>It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and
>>>thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for
a
>>
>>>different result is generally considered to be insane.
>>
>> Answer number 22 with a repetition of 17 above, but of course, you are
>> not like that!!
>>
>>
>>>How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and

>>>secure
>>
>>>our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and kill
>>
>>>terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
>>>interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?
>>
>> Agreement limited to answer #9 only, with reservations expressed in
>> number 49...
>>
>>
>>>If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.
>>>
>>>S
>>
>> Oh-tay
>>
>> You know, I am starting to see your face when I read your post.
>> I don't want to debate much tonight.
>>
>> We need all kinds to make a good world. Your kind as well as mine.
>>
>> best,

>>
>> DC
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 12:08:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC, I totally agree with you that there are times when desperate measures are the only ones that work. For instance if someone needs chemotherapy for lung cancer, of course they must get it. But the wise person doesn't smoke themselves to sickness. What I am promoting is forethought, wisdom and pan-determined (consideration for the good of *all* concerned) action loooooong before the stage of armed conflict.

Even in desperate times one can find solutions that are least destructive. However they require a far better understanding of the minds of men than recent leaders have shown. We need leaders who can truly unite with confidence and brilliant future-thinking leadership.

The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard. These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages we must follow.

For example, today there is a booklet of effective guiding precepts for living, by Hubbard, called The Way To Happiness that is being disseminated all over the world and creating a calming influence and leading people to a happier life. <http://www.twth.org/> People get false data, and harmful concepts from many sources and they really do need a counter-acting influence for the good.

Like I said, ideas create civilization. That is why Hubbard wrote that artists are the most important people in a society, because they dream and create the new civilization every day.

Bill

DC wrote:

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>> In the end there are a few simple truths the wise leader uses for guidance:

>>

>> 1. Force begets force

>

> Bill, I respect your ideas. They are internally consistent and very

> hopeful at their heart. No one changes their mind because of these
> exchanges and I don't know why we do them sometimes.
>
> But,
>
> You know as well as I that sometimes force begets peace such as
> in our history with Japan and in the case of stopping a rapist.
>
>
>> 2. Ideas not bullets win in the long run (though dissemination requires
>
>> patient work)
>
> Sometimes the bullets have to come first.
>
>
>> 3. False data and corrupt ideas from the mouths of evil leaders can only
>
>> be defeated by truth. Truth is not introduced with force.
>
> Tell it to the folks at Auschwitz...
>
>
>> Ergo, war is the desperate act of a leader who has already lost his way
>
>> and his confidence and has no right to lead.
>
> And sometimes force is the only virtue available and it makes all the
> other virtues possible.
>
> But I doubt you agree.
>
> Have a nice night.
>
> DC
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 12:37:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were
>the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.
>These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages we
>must follow.

And all of them were just people, with one exception.

He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.

take care

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 13:35:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

i feel so ashamed of myself...must have chocolate now...

On Wed, 23 May 2007 03:05:30 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Now you've done it.

>

>S

>

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:i50853t6lnvnuqdqr6t27eodbi8oss2a59@4ax.com...

>> those who see fighting a gorilla war with conventional means as smart

>> and those who don't.

>> those who see giving up rights for "safety sakes" as necessary

>> and those who don't.

>> ...something like that...damn, i meant to stay out of this...

>>

>>

>>

>> On Wed, 23 May 2007 01:05:31 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>

>> wrote:

>>

>>>Uh oh . . . damn . . . ya caught me stealing answers from the Liberal

>>>Talking Point Encyclopedia. Well, surely you don't expect someone who's

>>>still liberal at my age to be able to think for herself . . . (knocking on

>>>skull) . . . hear that echo? Nuthin' . . .

>>>

>>>S

>>>

>>>PS: Explain the two kinds of people for me sometime when you have a few

>>>minutes . . .

>>>

>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4653cdb5\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach

>>>> for

>>>>>is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And

>>>> the

>>>>>only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration

>>>>

>>>>>policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.

>>>>

>>>> Answer #32 (with subtext of number 17).

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get

>>>>>mired

>>>>

>>>>>down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in

>>>>>Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with

>>>> a

>>>>>slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush

>>>>>has

>>>>

>>>>>brought him some.

>>>>

>>>> Answer #19 with answer 7 implied, but not meant as an insult...

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our

>>>>>leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really

>>>>>radical

>>>>

>>>>>statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans

>>>>>weren't

>>>>

>>>>>saying the same thing in more polite ways.

>>>>>

>>>>>It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and

>>>>>thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a

>>>>

>>>>>different result is generally considered to be insane.

>>>>

>>>> Answer number 22 with a repetition of 17 above, but of course, you are

>>>> not like that!!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and
>>>>>secure
>>>>
>>>>>our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and
>>>>>kill
>>>>
>>>>>terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
>>>>>interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?
>>>>
>>>> Agreement limited to answer #9 only, with reservations expressed in
>>>> number 49...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.
>>>>>
>>>>>S
>>>>
>>>> Oh-tay
>>>>
>>>> You know, I am starting to see your face when I read your post.
>>>> I don't want to debate much tonight.
>>>>
>>>> We need all kinds to make a good world. Your kind as well as mine.
>>>>
>>>> best,
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 16:35:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No Sarah. I don't think we should kill all Muslims. I think we are obviously on different planets here. What part of my post led you to believe that I think this?

Deej

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
> kill all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>
> S
>
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>> news:46537917@linux...
>>> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the whole
>>> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero
>>> ... assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely
>>> entrenched dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an
>>> un-winnable urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the
>>> world into even greater instability."
>>>
>>> - George H.W. Bush
>>
>> And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one
>> that Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>
>>>
>>> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies" (how
>>> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>
>> Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>> there were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>> kind of serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to
>> do this and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
>> blood money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>> easlily walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder
>> people while he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham
>> sanctions were officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich
>> wannabee who felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend
>> them from Sadaam and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the
>> earth and every other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic
>> mullas to deify them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around
>> the world to kill people.
>>
>> Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>
>>> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure "collateral
>>> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then why
>>> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to be
>>> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably just

>>> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are a
>>> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue when
>>> you remove a cancer.
>>>
>>> It's only logical.
>>
>> Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
>> would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>> world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who would
>> like to be rid of them.
>>
>> In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the aisle,
>> I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>> cretins.
>>
>> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>>
>> ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing, take
>> those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with them.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 16:37:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qng8531ug05av5odeloce9fmsf95j75vju@4ax.com...
>i feel so ashamed of myself...must have chocolate now...
>

Coffee my man, more coffee.....

;o)

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 19:07:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJ wrote:
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46532f2d@linux...

>> DJ wrote:

>>> Jaimie,

>>>

>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this

>>> by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we

>>> went in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.

>

>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?

>

> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think he is

> confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same things to a

> nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.

We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.

Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His was a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker. Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes during time of war.

A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an addicted gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate attempt to come out even in the end.

On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare it to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.

>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.

>

> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.

I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.

>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in and

>> racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix" Bush I's

>> unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to agree with

>> Bush I that that was a dumb idea?

>>

> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times in the

> history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his

> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's

> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.

I hate to break it to you Deej, but you're not always right (nor am I), and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you are right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck, sometimes Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty in a complex world.

BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around as a species. :^)

>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of
>>> misery". -Winston Churchill

>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.

>

> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are

> yin/yang'esque

There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason we can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works optimally and adapts appropriately.

>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)

>

> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)

>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"

>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)

>

> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.

I look forward to it!

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKruz.com

> ;o)

>

>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 19:30:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>DJ wrote:

>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46532f2d@linux...

>>> DJ wrote:

>>>> Jaimie,

>>>>

>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing this

>>>> by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing before we

>>>> went in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.

>>

>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?

>>

>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think he is

>> confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same things to a

>> nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.

>

>We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.

While this is true, and a good point, it's the fault of Congress not the executive. Despite their cost in blood and treasure our military hijinks in Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia, Afghanistan, Nicaragua, Kuwait etc. and so forth were never declared wars. Were Congress to reclaim that power would be a good part, but you can't blame the executives for not getting what the Constitution demands if the people expressly charged to declare war won't defend that right.

TCB

>Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His >was a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for >sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a >country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that >tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker. >Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes >>during time of war.

>

>A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an >addicted gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a >desperate attempt to come out even in the end.

>

>On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
>it to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by
>juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>
>
>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.
>>
>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>
>I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>
>
>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
>and
>>> racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix" Bush
>I's
>>> unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to agree
>with
>>> Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>
>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times
>in the
>> history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's

>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>
>I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),

>and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
>are right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>sometimes Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those
>are, and to not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer

>certainty in a complex world.
>
>BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around as

>a species. :^)
>
>
>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of

>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>
>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>> yin/yang'esque
>
>There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason we

>can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
>optimally and adapts appropriately.
>
>
>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)
>>
>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>
>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>
>I look forward to it!
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>> ;o)
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 19:56:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That argument begs a lot of questions. In fact, many people (not just the "far left") think this administration may be making the world more dangerous, not less.

Yet some people see no need to question, and even rationalize that questioning is somehow a bad thing.

Go figure.

I think it's entirely possible to criticize repression wherever it

exists, religious or secular. And as we look to respond to it, it's our responsibility to hold our government to high standards, support responsible action and question irresponsible action, as best we can.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> Good point! As you say, go figure.

>

> Rich

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>>

> wrote in message news:464ddb0@linux...

> The thing that has always intrigued me is how the folks on the far

> left in this country slag the only administration that is willing to

> fight for their right to slag the administration. The

> leftleaning/outspoken first amendment loving folks will be the first

> to be slaughtered by those that they are tacitly assisting in

> defeating the policies of this administration.

>

> go figure.

>

> ;o}

>

> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net

> <mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>> wrote in message

> news:464dc9f4@linux...

> Deej, Interesting article by Fred Halliday, well written. It

> astounds me at how the left continues to justify and make

> excuses for Islamic extremist violence and fascism while at the

> same time condemn those democratic and freedom loving people,

> who reserve the right to defend themselves against such attacks.

>

> Rich

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com

> <http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com>> wrote in message

> news:464dc442@linux...

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com

> <mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>> wrote in message

> news:464da14d@linux...

> > Surely this doesn't surprise you . . . ? Perhaps if we'd

> been treating

> > terrorism as the international criminal problem that it's
> always been
> > instead of as a pretense for war,
>
>now if they would just build an aspirin factory
> there, we could attack it with a cruise missile. Looks like
> most of the people there are black so this would be PC.
>
> flourishing nests of fanatical morons like
> > this would be nipped in the bud. Hey, fight the fire,
> I'm with you, but I
> > hope you don't think what we've been doing in Iraq for
> the last four years
> > has done anything but fan the flames.
> >
> there are lots of flourishing nests of fanatical morons Sarah.
> http://melbourne.indymedia.org/news/2006/12/135069_comment.p hp
>
>
> > Some alternative quotations:
> >
> > "And now the whole nation--pulpit and all-- will take up
> the war-cry, and
> > shout itself hoarse, and mob any honest man who ventures
> to open his mouth;
> > and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the
> statesmen will invent
> > cheap lies, putting the blame upon the nation that is
> attacked, and every
> > man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities,
> and will diligently
> > study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of
> them; and thus he will
> > by and by convince himself that the war is just, and will
> thank God for the
> > better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque
> self-deception." -
> > Mark Twain, from The Mysterious Stranger
>
> Mark Twain also said "Get your facts first, and then you can
> distort them as much as you please".
>
>
> >
> > "In the councils of government, we must guard against the
> acquisition of
> > unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the
> > military-industrial complex. The potential for the
> disastrous rise of

> > misplaced power exists and will persist.

>

> Mark Twain also said "I have been through some terrible things in my life, some of which actually happened"

>

> >

> > We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or

> > democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and

> > knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial

> > and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so

> > that security and liberty may prosper together." - Dwight D. Eisenhower,

> > 1961

>

> Deej also said "An alert and knowledgeable citizenry depends upon an alert and knowledgeable CIA"

>

> > "...it makes one wonder about the illegal alien fuss. Are great numbers of

> > our unemployed really victims of the illegal alien invasion or are those

> > illegal tourists actually doing work our own people won't do? One thing is

> > certain in this hungry world; no regulation or law should be allowed if it

> > results in crops rotting in the fields for lack of harvesters." - Ronald

> > Reagan

>

> I agree with this. I also think I should not have to produce any identification, pay taxes and the ER here should be my own personal health insurance, which I don't have to pay. Paying vehicle insurance is such a pain in the ass, I shouldn't have to do that either. I also liked living in Mexico quite a bit. Problem is, I can't go there and live. If I go down there without any papers, they will throw me in jail. If I have a wreck down there without insurance, they will throw me in jail. I know these things for a fact. Pisses me off.

>

> >

> > "You can go to live in France, but you cannot become a Frenchman; you can go

> > to live in Germany, you cannot become a German- or a

> Turk, or a Greek, or
 > > whatever. But anyone, from any corner of the world, can
 > come to live in
 > > America and become an American." - more Ronald Reagan
 >
 > I think it's sooooo cool when people who are liberal quote
 > Reagan. Are you a Reagan fan Sarah? Did you enjoy the Contra
 > Show? How about the way we paid for it by selling cocaine in
 > the inner cities? Ollie North one of your heroes?
 >
 > >
 > > "I do not agree that the dog in a manger has the final
 > right to the manger
 > > even though he may have lain there for a very long time.
 > I do not admit that
 > > right. I do not admit for instance, that a great wrong
 > has been done to the
 > > Red Indians of America or the black people of Australia.
 > I do not admit that
 > > a wrong has been done to these people by the fact that a
 > stronger race, a
 > > higher-grade race has come in and taken their place." -
 > Winston Churchill
 > > (who apparently never said that thing about
 > liberal/conservative heart/brain
 > >
 > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112>)
 >
 > I'll bet if we just got a few lawyers involved, they could
 > find a way to challenge the title to this land, then we
 > could pass a law that handed Islamberg over to the Senecas
 > and they would kick their asses out of there in a heartbeat
 > and set up a casino.
 >
 > > "Religion poisons everything." - Christopher Hitchens
 >
 > "I read in the newspapers they are going to have 30 minutes
 > of intellectual stuff on television every Monday from 7.30
 > to 8.00 to educate America. They couldn't educate America if
 > they started at 6:30".-Groucho Marx
 > >>Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel" - Samuel
 > Johnson
 >
 > a disgrace, and death a duty."-W.C. Fields
 > >
 > > "Oh, my God! Space aliens! Don't eat me! I have a wife
 > and kids. Eat

> > them!" - Homer Simpson
>
>
> >
> > "Get Eurass Back to Eurasia" - anti-immigrant protest
> sign from Simpsons
> > episode
>
> "We don't have a permit. Run! "-Edward D. Wood, Jr.
>
> >
> > Courage,
> > Sarah (. . . if I only had a brain . . .)
>
> "I thoroughly disapprove of duels. If a man should challenge
> me, I would take him kindly and forgivingly by the hand and
> lead him to a quiet place and kill him"-Mark Twain.
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
> >
> >
> [http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=1 12](http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=112)
> > "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net>
> <<mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net>>> wrote in message
> > news:464ce932@linux...
> >> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan
> the flames. Why
> >> the
> >> heck not welcome some more illegals.
> >>
> >> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
> >>
> >> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell
> Phillips
> >>
> >> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless
> he be vigilant in
> >> its
> >> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
> >>
> >> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an
> announcement outside a
> >> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> >>

> >> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce
> man, and brave, and
> >> hated
> >> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join
> him, for then it
> >> costs
> >> nothing
> >> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>
> >> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to
> the weak or the
> >> timid."
> >> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan.
> 20, 1953
> >>
> >> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It
> belongs to the
> >> brave."
> >> -- Ronald Reagan
> >>
> >> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to
> the vigilant, the
> >> active,
> >> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> >>
> >> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no
> longer the home of
> >> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >>
> >> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> >> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't
> have a brain." --
> >> Winston Churchill
> >>
> >> Guidance,
> >> Rich
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 20:00:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TCB wrote:

> While this is true, and a good point, it's the fault of Congress not the
> executive. Despite their cost in blood and treasure our military hijinks
> in Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia, Afghanistan, Nicaragua, Kuwait etc. and so forth
> were never declared wars. Were Congress to reclaim that power would be a
> good part, but you can't blame the executives for not getting what the Constitution
> demands if the people expressly charged to declare war won't defend that
> right.

Good points. Deej was looking for reasons that "half the people don't believe we're at war." Part of it is the not declaring part. Go ahead and blame that on Congress, but also on the executive for not asking for a declaration for whatever reason when one party essentially controlled both of these government branches at the time and exercised that control from the whitehouse primarily. Another other element is the move by the executive branch to sanitize the conflict here, by lowering taxes while accelerating spending; trying to control reporting (show no caskets, things are fine, mission accomplished); and generally painting a less than accurate picture of the complete situation.

You're quite right that we've done a lot of fighting without declaring war since WWII.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> TCB

>
>

>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His
>> was a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for
>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
>> during time of war.

>>
>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>> addicted gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a
>> desperate attempt to come out even in the end.

>>
>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare

>
>> it to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different

>
>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by

>

>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>
>>
>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.
>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>
>>
>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
> and
>>>> racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix" Bush
> I's
>>>> unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to agree
> with
>>>> Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>
>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times
> in the
>>> history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's
>
>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),
>
>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
>> are right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>> sometimes Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those
>> are, and to not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer
>
>> certainty in a complex world.
>>
>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around as
>
>> a species. :^)
>>
>>
>>>>> ."The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of
>
>>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>> yin/yang'esque
>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason we
>
>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works

>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>
>>
>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)
>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>> I look forward to it!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 20:37:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right

I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46549242@linux...
> DJ wrote:
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46532f2d@linux...
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>> Jaimie,
>>>>
>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing
>>>> this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing
>>>> before we went in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.
>>
>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?
>>
>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think he
>> is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same things
>> to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.
>
> We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.

>
> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His was
> a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for
> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
> during time of war.
>
> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an addicted
> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
> attempt to come out even in the end.
>
> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare it
> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by
> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>
>
>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.
>>
>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>
> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>
>
>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix"
>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to
>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>
>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times in
>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's
>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>
> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),
> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you are
> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck, sometimes
> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to
> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty in a
> complex world.
>
> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around as a
> species. :^)
>
>

>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing of
>>>> misery". -Winston Churchill
>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>
>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>> yin/yang'esque
>
> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason we
> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>
>
>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)
>>
>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>
>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>
> I look forward to it!
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>> ;o)

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 20:55:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, Jamie, I'm a pragmatist, a capitalist, and half a libertarian. So I don't expect people to act other than in what they perceive as their self interest. So to me the people to blame for the press being lapdogs are the lapdog press. It's their job to sell newspapers and television commercials, but it's also their job to tell the truth to power. Every executive since the time of Homer has tried to spin the reporting on their behalf, I bet Achilles had his reputation buffed a bit as the story was fleshed out. Our current executive thinks its in their self interest to do the things you say (hide the costs, both human and financial, of the war), but what I can't understand is why Congress and the press don't think of it as in their interest to push back. I guess the representatives of We the People are too busy finding new ways to get defense contracts and military bases back in their districts.

TCB

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>TCB wrote:

>> While this is true, and a good point, it's the fault of Congress not the
>> executive. Despite their cost in blood and treasure our military hijinks
>> in Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia, Afghanistan, Nicaragua, Kuwait etc. and so
forth

>> were never declared wars. Were Congress to reclaim that power would be
a

>> good part, but you can't blame the executives for not getting what the
Constitution

>> demands if the people expressly charged to declare war won't defend that
>> right.

>

>Good points. DeeJ was looking for reasons that "half the people don't
>believe we're at war." Part of it is the not declaring part. Go ahead
>and blame that on Congress, but also on the executive for not asking for

>a declaration for whatever reason when one party essentially controlled

>both of these government branches at the time and exercised that control

>from the whitehouse primarily. Another other element is the move by the

>executive branch to sanitize the conflict here, by lowering taxes while

>accelerating spending; trying to control reporting (show no caskets,
>things are fine, mission accomplished); and generally painting a less
>than accurate picture of the complete situation.

>

>You're quite right that we've done a lot of fighting without declaring
>war since WWII.

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>

>

>> TCB

>>

>>

>>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His

>>> was a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for

>>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
>>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
>>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes

>>> during time of war.
>>>
>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>>> addicted gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a
>>> desperate attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>
>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
>>
>>> it to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>
>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up
by
>>
>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>
>>>
>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.
>>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone
in
>> and
>>>> racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix" Bush
>> I's
>>>> unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid to agree
>> with
>>>> Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>
>>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times
>> in the
>>>> history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and
he's
>>
>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),
>>
>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you

>>> are right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>>> sometimes Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those

>>> are, and to not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer
>>
>>> certainty in a complex world.
>>>
>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal

>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
as
>>
>>> a species. :^)
>>>
>>>
>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
of
>>
>>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>>> yin/yang'esque
>>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason
we
>>
>>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works

>>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>>
>>>
>>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions?
:^)
>>>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojurn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>> I look forward to it!
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>>> ;o)
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [wireline\[3\]](#) on Wed, 23 May 2007 21:22:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is correct

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right

>

>I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.

>

>;o)

>

>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46549242@linux...

>> DJ wrote:

>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46532f2d@linux...

>>>> DJ wrote:

>>>>> Jaimie,

>>>>>

>>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing

>>>>> this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing

>>>>> before we went in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.

>>>>

>>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?

>>>>

>>>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think
he

>>>> is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same things

>>> to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.

>>

>> We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.

>>

>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His
was

>> a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for

>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a

>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that

>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.

>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes

>> during time of war.

>>

>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an addicted
>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>
>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
it
>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different

>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by

>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>
>>
>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.
>>>
>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>
>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>
>>
>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in

>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix"

>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid
to
>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>
>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times
in
>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his

>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's

>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>
>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),

>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
are
>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck, sometimes

>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to

>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
in a
>> complex world.

>>
>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal

>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
as a
>> species. :^)
>>
>>
>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
of
>>>> misery". -Winston Churchill
>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>
>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>> yin/yang'esque
>>
>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason
we
>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works

>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>
>>
>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)
>>>
>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>
>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>
>> I look forward to it!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>> ;o)
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 00:26:41 GMT

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0018_01C79D70.4A9DC4E0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="Windows-1252"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Jamie, picky, picky, picky :-) Well, all it says on that site is, "there = is no record of anyone hearing Churchill say this", possibly he didn't = say it, possibly he did. Somebody obviously attributed it to him. Wonder = who that was? The liberals of yesteryear are today's conservatives = anyway.

Thanks for the edification,
Rich

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4652677b@linux...

>=20

> > 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,

> > and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' =

-

> > Winston Churchill

>=20

> Fact check:

>=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112>

>=20

> Quotes Falsely Attributed:

> These quotes make for good story-telling but popular myth has falsely=20

> attributed them to Churchill.

>=20

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>=20

>=20

>=20

> Rich Lamanna wrote:

> >> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is

> >> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.

> >=20

> > Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a = war

> > against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an = Islamic

> > theocratic caliphate on the free world.

> >=20
> >> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big =
dumb
> >> bore.
> >=20
> > We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come =
to
> > think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did =
we get in
> > return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be =
better
> > thought of.
> >=20
> > Rich
> >=20
>=20
> >=20
> > "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message =
news:464e6433@linux...
> >> It was too early when I wrote that.
> >>
> >> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I =
support
> >> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. =
I
> >> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail =
freedom,
> >> you dig?
> >>
> >> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way =
one
> >> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and =
punish him
> >> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control =
and
> >> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than them, =
and
> >> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect on =
us.
> >> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way =
they
> >> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
> >> pathetic.>
> > Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
> >> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the =
terrorists
> >> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people =
who

> >> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
> >> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er =
lives.
> >>
> >> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone =
ever
> > try?
> >> Bill
> >>
> >>
> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
> >>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
> > Unfortunately
> >>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I =
hold
> >>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and =
intolerant
> >>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
> >>>
> >>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and =
Janet
> >>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to =
serve a
> >>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was =
stockpiling
> >>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> > children.
> >>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, oh =
God
> > no,
> >>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
> > practicing
> >>> for violent Jihad.
> >>>
> >>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the =
violent
> >>> incidents involving Jamaat Ul Fuora in the US?
> >>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked both =
the
> > DC
> >>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid =
to
> > the
> >>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter =
Daniel
> > Pearl
> >>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain =

an
> >>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
> >>>
> >>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
> >>>
> >>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being =
Christians.
> >>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about =
freedom
> > of
> >>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the =
choice
> > to
> >>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better =
keep
> > an
> >>> eye on these guys.
> >>>
> >>> Rich
> >>>
> >>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
> > news:464d8d4f@linux...
> >>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part =
of
> >>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
> >>>>
> >>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
> >>>>
> >>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
> >>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms =
for all
> >>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
> >>>>
> >>>> =93Constant and continual alertness is the price of freedom.
> >>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.
> >>>> There is no other price actually.=94
> >>>> =97 L. RON HUBBARD
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the =
flames.
> > Why

> >>> the
> >>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
> >>>>
> >>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
> >>>>
> >>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
> >>>>
> >>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be =
vigilant
> > in
> >>> its
> >>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur
> >>>>
> >>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement =
outside a
> >>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> >>>>
> >>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and =
brave,
> >>> and
> >>>> hated
> >>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for =
then it
> >>> costs
> >>>> nothing
> >>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>>>
> >>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak =
or the
> >>>> timid."
> >>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
> >>>>
> >>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to =
the
> >>> brave."
> >>>> -- Ronald Reagan
> >>>>
> >>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant,
> > the
> >>>> active,
> >>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> >>>>
> >>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the =
home of
> >>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> >>>>
> >>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,

conservative=20
doesn't have a brain.' -
> > Winston Churchill
> =

>=20
Fact check:
>
> <A=20
href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
><FONT=20
size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=

<FONT=20
size=3D2>>
> Quotes Falsely Attributed:
> These quotes =
make for=20
good story-telling but popular myth has falsely
> attributed them =
to=20
Churchill.
>
> Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > =

<FONT=20
size=3D2>www.JamieKruz.com
>
> =

>=20

> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >> To react to that, to =
conduct a=20
"War Against Terrorism" is
> >> about as desperate and =
pathetic=20
IMHO.
> >
> > Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, =
this is=20
a misnomer. It is a war
> > against "Radical Islamic Extremism" =
and=20
their desire to impose an Islamic
> > theocratic caliphate on =
the free=20
world.
> >
> >> And it's so uncreative and =
historically=20
repetitive as to be a big dumb
> >> bore.
> > =

> >=20
We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come =
to
>=20
> think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did =
we get=20
in
> > return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy =
we'll=20
be better
> > thought of.
> >
> > =
Rich
> >=20

>
> >
> > "Bill L" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com"><FONT=20
size=3D2>bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in =
message=20
<FONT=20
size=3D2>news:464e6433@linux...
> =
>> It was=20
too early when I wrote that.
> >>
> >> Look, =
it's a=20

thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
> =
>> the=20
freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
> =
>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail=20
freedom,
> >> you dig?
> >>>
> >>> We =
have to=20
take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
> =
>>> does=20
for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish =
him
>=20
>>> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident =
control=20
and
> >>> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be =
bigger=20
than them, and
> >>> not react angrily to their desperate =
attempts to=20
cause an effect on us.
> >>> Think how pathetically desperate =
a group=20
must be if the only way they
> >>> can dream up to =
communicate is=20
through terrorism. That is quite
> >>> pathetic.
> =
>>=20
Just think how much more effective and creative it would have =
been
>=20
>>> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the=20
terrorists
> >>> and then put them away. Very little =
collateral=20
damage. The people who
> >>> stand up for freedom by =
denouncing the=20
terrorists get rich as they
> >>> deserve for their bravery =
and=20
everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
> >>>
> =
>>> I=20
know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone =
ever
>=20
>> try?
> >>> Bill
> >>>
> =
>>>
>=20
>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >>>> Bill, I have no =
problem with=20
freedom, you infidel :) I am a
> >>>> constitutionalist =
and=20
believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
> >> =
Unfortunately
>=20
>>>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you =
and I=20
hold
> >>>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose =
their=20

fascism and intolerant
us.
>>>
>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the
administration of Clinton and Janet
Stern, stormed
Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to serve a
search
warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
>>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, =
women,=
and
>> children.
>> But I doubt that the FBI =
or ATF=
would ever raid these holy men, oh God
>> no,
>> =
>>>
>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth =
and
>> =
practicing
>> for violent Jihad.
>> =
>>>
>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about =
the=
violent
>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the=
US?
>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered =
evidence that=
linked both the
>> DC
>> "sniper killer" =
John Allen=
Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
>> the
>> =
>>>
>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter =
Daniel
>> =
Pearl
>> was captured and beheaded in the process of=
attempting to obtain an
>> interview with Sheikh =
Gilani in=
Pakistan."
>>
>> <A=
href=3D" http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-larg e.jpg=
">=
size=3D2> http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-larg e.jp=
g
=
size=3D2>>>
>> What were Koresh and the =
Davidians=
guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
>> Nothing =
compared to=
this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
>> =
of
>> =
>>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence =
and the=
choice
>> to
>> exercise any freedoms let =
alone=
freedom of religion. We had better keep
>> an
>> =

>>> eye=20
on these guys.
> >>>
> >>>
> Rich
>=20
>>>
> >>>
> From my cold dead hands - Charlton=20
Heston
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> =
"Bill L"=20
<<FONT=20
size=3D2>bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in=20
message
> > <FONT=20
size=3D2>news:464d8d4f@linux...
> =
>>>> l=20
strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part =
of
>=20
>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious =
choice.
>=20
>>>>
> >>>>> A religion worthy of freedom =
would=20
increase individual freedom.
> >>>>>
> =
>>>>=20
With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>=20
>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing =
freedoms=20
for all
> >>>>> one's own freedoms are =
increased.
>=20
>>>>
> >>>>> =93Constant and continual =
alertness is=20
the price of freedom.
> >>>>> Constant willingness to =
fight=20
back is the price of freedom.
> >>>>> There is no other =
price=20
actually.=94
> >>>>> =97 L. RON HUBBARD
>=20
>>>>
> >>>>>
> =
>>>>
>=20
>>>>
> >>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>=20
>>>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all =
fan the=20
flames.
> > Why
> >>>> the
> =
>>>>>=20
heck not welcome some more illegals.
> =
>>>>>
>=20
>>>>>
> <A=20
href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=20
T=20
size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=20
T>
<FONT=20
size=3D2>> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "Eternal =
vigilance=20
is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>=20
>>>>>>
> >>>>>> "No man is entitled to =

the=20
blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
> > in
> =
>>>=20
its
> >>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas=20
MacArthur
> >>>>>
> >>>>> =
"FREEDOM IS=20
NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside a
>=20
>>>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> =
>>>>>
> >>>>> "In the beginning of a =
change=20
the patriot is a scarce man, and brave,
> >>> and
> =
>>>>> hated
> >>>>> and scorned. =
When his=20
cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it
> >>>=20
costs
> >>>>> nothing
> >>>>> =
to be a=20
patriot." -- Mark Twain
> >>>>>
>=20
>>>>> "History does not long entrust the care of =
freedom to=20
the weak or the
> >>>>> timid."
>=20
>>>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, =
Jan. 20,=20
1953
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "The =
future=20
doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
>=20
>>>> brave."
> >>>>> -- Ronald =
Reagan
>=20
>>>>>
> >>>>> "The battle, sir, is =
not to=20
the strong alone; it is to the vigilant,
> > the
>=20
>>>>> active,
> >>>>> the brave." -- =
Patrick=20
Henry
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "The =
land of the=20
free will cease to be when it's no longer the home of
>=20
>>>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
>=20
>>>>>
> >>>>> "Any 20 year-old who =
isn't a=20
liberal doesn't have a heart,
> >>>>> and any 40 =
year-old=20
who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain." --
> =
>>>>>=20
Winston Churchill
> >>>>>
> =
>>>>>=20
Guidance,
> >>>>> Rich
> =
>>>>>
>=20

>>>>>
> >>>>>
> =
>>>
>=20
>
> ></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0018_01C79D70.4A9DC4E0--

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 01:02:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who would
> > like to be rid of them.

Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many more, non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What the press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones are the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you look at the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against the mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only read about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world and for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!

http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0

<http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...

> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
kill

> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?

>

> S

>

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...

> >

> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
news:46537917@linux...

> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
whole

> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero

....

> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
even
> >> greater instability."
> >>
> >> - George H.W. Bush
> >
> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one
that
> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
> >
> >>
> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies" (how
> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
> >
> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
there
> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any kind
of
> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do this
> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid blood
> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more easlily
> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people while
> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions were
> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee who
> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
Sadaam
> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to deify
> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world to
kill
> > people.
> >
> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
> >>
> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure "collateral
> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then
why
> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to be
> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably just
> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are a
> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue when
> >> you remove a cancer.
> >>
> >> It's only logical.

> >
> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who would
> > like to be rid of them.
> >
> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
aisle,
> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
> > cretins.
> >
> >
http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm
> >
> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing, take
> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with them.
> >
> > ;o)
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 01:05:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wireline wrote:

> News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is correct

Wow, really? Well now, who could possibly question a bravely anonymous authority like yourself? I'm certainly convinced then, your finely honed argument has made all the difference! :^)

Seriously, unless you can clearly state what you think DeeJ is right about and why you think so, you've added this much to the discussion:

Zip.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

PS. BTW, I never claimed DeeJ was always wrong. Better check those eyeglasses.

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>>> I hate to break it to you Deej, but you're not always right
>> I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46549242@linux...
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46532f2d@linux...
>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>> Jaimie,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing
>>>>>>> before we fwent in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in Iraq.
>>>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?
>>>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think
>>> he
>>>> is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same things
>>>>
>>>> to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.
>>> We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.
>>>
>>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His
>>> was
>>> a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for
>>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
>>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
>>>
>>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
>>>
>>> during time of war.
>>>
>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an addicted
>>>
>>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>
>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
>>> it
>>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>>
>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by
>>>
>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>
>>>

>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very different.
>>> You noticed??? ;o)...well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
>
>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix"
>
>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid
> to
>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>
>>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times
> in
>>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>
>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and he's
>
>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),
>
>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
> are
>>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck, sometimes
>
>>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to
>
>>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
> in a
>>> complex world.
>>>
>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>
>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
> as a
>>> species. :^)
>>>
>>>
>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
> of
>>>>> misery". -Winston Churchill
>>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>>> yin/yang'esque
>>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason

> we
>>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
>
>>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>>
>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions? :^)
>>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>> I look forward to it!
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>> ;o)
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamburg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 01:33:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're welcome, Rich. It's another example of something we all need to be aware of - our human tendency to hear what we want to hear, and accept without question those statements that fit our preconceived notions even if those statements are incorrect.

It's the sort of tendency that makes us susceptible to propaganda.

The Churchill site is pretty clear why Winston would not have uttered that quote.

Any other such theory about "liberal" to "conservative" or "conservative" to "liberal" switching over time is AFAIK, unsubstantiated conjecture.

BTW, I put those terms in quotes because I find the so-called "liberal/conservative" dichotomy to be highly manipulated, poorly defined in actual argument, divisive and sometimes almost irrelevant to real solutions.

It's a limited two-dimensional approach that fails to encompass all the space, perspectives and choices available. And, as often manipulated, it

encourages demonization and foolish self righteousness from both of its narrowly allowed "sides" instead of thoughtful listening, mutual respect and constructive collaboration.

We're all on the same team - differences in perspectives and insights potentially make us stronger.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> Jamie, picky, picky, picky :-) Well, all it says on that site is, "there
> is no record of anyone /*hearing*/ Churchill say this", possibly he
> didn't say it, possibly he did. Somebody obviously attributed it to him.
> Wonder who that was? The liberals of yesteryear are today's
> conservatives anyway.
>
> Thanks for the edification,
> Rich
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com <mailto:Meta@Dimensional.com>> wrote in
> message news:4652677b@linux...
> >
> > > 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> > > and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a brain.' -
> > > Winston Churchill
> >
> > Fact check:
> >
> > <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=1> 12
> >
> > Quotes Falsely Attributed:
> > These quotes make for good story-telling but popular myth has falsely
> > attributed them to Churchill.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> > www.JamieKruz.com <<http://www.JamieKruz.com>>
> >
> >
> >
> > Rich Lamanna wrote:
> > >> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
> > >> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.
> > >

> > > Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is a war
> > > against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an
> Islamic
> > > theocratic caliphate on the free world.
> > >
> > >> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
> > >> bore.
> > >
> > > We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. Come to
> > > think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did
> we get in
> > > return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be
> better
> > > thought of.
> > >
> > > Rich
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com <mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com>>
> wrote in message news:464e6433@linux...
> > >> It was too early when I wrote that.
> > >>
> > >> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
> > >> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. I
> > >> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail freedom,
> > >> you dig?
> > >>
> > >> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
> > >> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and
> punish him
> > >> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control and
> > >> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than
> them, and
> > >> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect
> on us.
> > >> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way they
> > >> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
> > >> pathetic.>
> > > Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
> > >> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the terrorists
> > >> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people who
> > >> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
> > >> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier,
> free-er lives.
> > >>
> > >> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did anyone
> ever

> > > try?
> > >> Bill
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> > >>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I am a
> > >>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
> > > Unfortunately
> > >>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I
> hold
> > >>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and
> intolerant
> > >>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
> > >>>
> > >>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and Janet
> > >>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to
> serve a
> > >>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was stockpiling
> > >>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> > > children.
> > >>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men,
> oh God
> > > no,
> > >>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
> > > practicing
> > >>> for violent Jihad.
> > >>>
> > >>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the
> violent
> > >>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
> > >>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked
> both the
> > > DC
> > >>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
> > > the
> > >>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel
> > > Pearl
> > >>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
> > >>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
> > >>>
> > >>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
> > >>>
> > >>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being
> Christians.
> > >>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about
> freedom
> > > of
> > >>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the

> choice
> > to
> > >>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had
> better keep
> > an
> > >>> eye on these guys.
> > >>>
> > >>> Rich
> > >>>
> > >>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com <mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com>>
> wrote in message
> > > news:464d8d4f@linux...
> > >>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part of
> > >>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
> > >>>>
> > >>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
> > >>>>
> > >>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
> > >>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms
> for all
> > >>>> one's own freedoms are increased.
> > >>>>

> > >>>> Constant willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.

> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> > >>>>> You can thank me for lighting the fire, you can all fan the flames.
> > > Why
> > >>> the
> > >>>>> heck not welcome some more illegals.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> <http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> "No man is entitled to the blessings of freedom unless he be
> vigilant
> > > in
> > >>> its
> > >>>>> preservation." -- General Douglas MacArthur

> > >>>>
> > >>>> "FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement
> outside a
> > >>>> Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, 2001
> > >>>>
> > >>>> "In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and
> brave,
> > >>> and
> > >>>> hated
> > >>>> and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for
> then it
> > >>> costs
> > >>>> nothing
> > >>>> to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
> > >>>>
> > >>>> "History does not long entrust the care of freedom to the weak
> or the
> > >>>> timid."
> > >>>> -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
> > >>>>
> > >>>> "The future doesn't belong to the faint-hearted. It belongs to the
> > >>> brave."
> > >>>> -- Ronald Reagan
> > >>>>
> > >>>> "The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the
> vigilant,
> > > the
> > >>>> active,
> > >>>> the brave." -- Patrick Henry
> > >>>>
> > >>>> "The land of the free will cease to be when it's no longer the
> home of
> > >>>> the brave."-- Rick Gaber
> > >>>>
> > >>>> "Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> > >>>> and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a
> brain." --
> > >>>> Winston Churchill
> > >>>>
> > >>>> Guidance,
> > >>>> Rich
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >
> > >

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 01:36:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach
for

> is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people.

Sarah, wait a minute, we are killing the right people, Al Qaeda! They are killing the wrong people and fulminating much of the sectarian violence. I believe the majority of Iraqi's are on our side and want us there to finish the job. I think what we have less of a stomach for is what would ensue should we leave. This may be the next 100 years war. Ask me whether it was smart 1,000 years from now, when either democracy or tyranny is the governing engine of the world.

Rich

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653beb5@linux...

>

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4653944b\$1@linux...

>>

>>

>> How would you answer someone who said that we no longer
>> have the guts to actually win a war; that the people at home
>> and the press no longer have the stomach to fight for freedom?

>

> I would say that's nonsense . . . the only thing we've lost the stomach
for

> is going to war under false pretenses and killing the wrong people. And
the

> only thing the press lost the stomach for was challenging administration
> policy for fear of appearing "unpatriotic" in the aftermath of 9/11.

>

> Osama bin Laden is not stupid. He goads us into war hoping we'll get
mired

> down until we collapse. He saw what happened to the Soviet Union in
> Afghanistan and probably figures he knows how to bring down a giant with a
> slingshot. If bin Laden is capable of happiness, I'm sure George Bush has
> brought him some.

>

> Unfortunately for our soldiers and the innocent citizens of Iraq, our
> leadership IS stupid. Stupid and dishonest. Those would be really
radical

> statements if experienced military leaders and intelligence veterans
weren't

> saying the same thing in more polite ways.

>

> It's really hard to admit that we've wasted billions of dollars and

> thousands of lives, but continuing to make the same mistake hoping for a
> different result is generally considered to be insane.
>
> How 'bout we use those dollars and manpower to secure our borders and
secure
> our ports and use the international intelligence network to find and kill
> terrorists? And how 'bout we stop listening to "leaders" with vested
> interests in oil and defense contracts? Hmmm?
>
> If that's lefty lunacy, sign me up.
>
> S
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 03:04:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Something I realized about "god" a while back is: god is relative. The way George Benson plays guitar makes him a god to me. I think any of us can be gods when we do something truly spectacular.

I would judge a being's godliness by the beneficial effect they create. If it is so amazingly wonderful that billions of people's lives are improved by it, then they are gods. Buddha's message would probably have to stand above all others in the "quantity of good influence" category. Probably Jesus next. The thing they had in common was they gave people hope of a better world to come.

There are billions of viewpoints out there and more coming every day. They all see something that's real to them. It's a trip learning what other beings perceive and it makes one wiser and more able to help.

Bill L

DC wrote:

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>
>> The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were
>> the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.
>> These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages we
>> must follow.
>
>
> And all of them were just people, with one exception.

>
> He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.
>
> take care
>
> DC
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 03:38:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jaimie,

Wireline is a friend of mine who I invited here a while back.. I will admit that he and I sometimes see eye to eye on things, but not always. However, in this case, I'm pretty sure he is right about me being right..

;oD

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4654e630@linux...

> wireline wrote:

>> News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is

>> correct

>

> Wow, really? Well now, who could possibly question a bravely anonymous
> authority like yourself? I'm certainly convinced then, your finely honed
> argument has made all the difference! :^)

>

> Seriously, unless you can clearly state what you think Deej is right about
> and why you think so, you've added this much to the discussion:

>

> Zip.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

> PS. BTW, I never claimed Deej was always wrong. Better check those
> eyeglasses.

>

>

>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>>>> I hate to break it to you Deej, but you're not always right

>>> I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.

>>>

>>> ;o)

>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:46549242@linux...
>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:46532f2d@linux...
>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> Jaimie,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm doing
>>
>>>>>>> this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing
>>>>>>> before we went in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in
>>>>>>> Iraq.
>>>>>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?
>>>>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think
>> he
>>>>> is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same
>>>>> things
>>
>>>>> to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.
>>>> We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.
>>>>
>>>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His
>> was
>>>>> a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for
>>>>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
>>>>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
>>
>>>>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>>>>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
>>
>>>>> during time of war.
>>>>>
>>>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>>>>> addicted
>>
>>>>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>>>>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>>>
>>>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
>> it
>>>>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>
>>>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by
>>
>>>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very
>>>>> different.
>>>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
>>
>>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix"
>>
>>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid
>> to
>>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>>
>>>>> I think clinton was elected president at one of the most pvotal times
>> in
>>>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>
>>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and
>>>>> he's
>>
>>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>>> I hate to break it to you Deej, but you're not always right (nor am I),
>>
>>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
>> are
>>>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>>>> sometimes
>>
>>>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to
>>
>>>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
>> in a
>>>> complex world.
>>>>
>>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>>
>>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
>> as a
>>>> species. :^)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
>> of
>>>>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>>>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>>>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are

>>>> yin/yang'esque
>>>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>>>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason
>> we
>>>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
>>
>>>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions?
>>>>> :^)
>>>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>>> I look forward to it!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> ;o)
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [BT](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 03:51:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a given day.

It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance, Guatemala or New Zealand.

Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue. I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

Regards,
Brian T

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:17:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The God I know is not a metaphor and does not get quotes around his name.

So there you have it.

best wishes,

Don

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Something I realized about "god" a while back is: god is relative. The
>way George Benson plays guitar makes him a god to me. I think any of us

>can be gods when we do something truly spectacular.

>

>I would judge a being's godliness by the beneficial effect they create.

>If it is so amazingly wonderful that billions of people's lives are
>improved by it, then they are gods. Buddha's message would probably have

>to stand above all others in the "quantity of good influence" category.

>Probably Jesus next. The thing they had in common was they gave people
>hope of a better world to come.

>

>There are billions of viewpoints out there and more coming every day.

>They all see something that's real to them. It's a trip learning what

>other beings perceive and it makes one wiser and more able to help.

>

>Bill L

>

>

>DC wrote:

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>

>>> The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were

>>> the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.
>>> These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages we

>>> must follow.

>>

>>

>> And all of them were just people, with one exception.

>>

>> He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.

>>

>> take care

>>

>> DC

>>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:24:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi BT!

Nice to hear from you. How have you been?

DC

BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>given day.

>

>It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>Guatemala or New Zealand.

>

>Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of

>the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>

>When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for

>others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.

>I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
>boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>
>
>Regards,
>Brian T

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:34:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

When Moses went up in to the mountain, the people got bored and built themselves a golden calf to worship. Like they say, when you don't believe in god, you'll believe in any thing.

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Something I realized about "god" a while back is: god is relative. The
>way George Benson plays guitar makes him a god to me. I think any of us

>can be gods when we do something truly spectacular.

>

>I would judge a being's godliness by the beneficial effect they create.

>If it is so amazingly wonderful that billions of people's lives are
>improved by it, then they are gods. Buddha's message would probably have

>to stand above all others in the "quantity of good influence" category.

>Probably Jesus next. The thing they had in common was they gave people
>hope of a better world to come.

>

>There are billions of viewpoints out there and more coming every day.

>They all see something that's real to them. It's a trip learning what

>other beings perceive and it makes one wiser and more able to help.

>

>Bill L

>

>

>DC wrote:

>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>

>>> The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were

>>> the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.

>>> These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages we

>>> must follow.

>>

>>
>> And all of them were just people, with one exception.
>>
>> He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.
>>
>> take care
>>
>> DC
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:46:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Welcome "Wireline"! Is there a reason you don't want to use your real name?

If DeeJ invited you, you are no doubt cool. Please feel free to expound on how right DeeJ is, in your opinion, and why. As you know, DeeJ doesn't need anyone to step out in front of him, he does just fine. But substantive input is always welcome for discussion.

Until, that is, someone gets tired of the politics and reality issues and complains that this used to be a useful PARIS group! :^)

DeeJ, I'm glad you have many friends, you deserve it. One or two should nod their heads the other way now and then, just to make sure you're still paying attention. Sometimes it looks from here like you have incredible insights that cut to the core of an issue. Other times it looks from here like you're fishing out on the deep end of unsupportable hyperbole. Either way, I'm glad to know you.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

DJ wrote:

> Jaimie,
>
> Wireline is a friend of mine who I invited here a while back.. I will admit
> that he and I sometimes see eye to eye on things, but not always. However,
> in this case, I'm pretty sure he is right about me being right..
>
> ;oD
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message <news:4654e630@linux...>
>> wireline wrote:

>>>>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made that
>>>>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>>>>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
>>>>> during time of war.
>>>>>
>>>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>>>>> addicted
>>>>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>>>>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>>>
>>>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and compare
>>> it
>>>>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up by
>>>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very
>>>>>>> different.
>>>>>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone in
>>>>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to "fix"
>>>>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was stupid
>>> to
>>>>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal times
>>> in
>>>>>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>>>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and
>>>>>>> he's
>>>>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am I),
>>>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes you
>>> are
>>>>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>>>>> sometimes
>>>>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and to
>>>>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
>>> in a
>>>>> complex world.
>>>>>
>>>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>>>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
>>> as a

>>>> species. :^)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
>>> of
>>>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>>>>> yin/yang'esque
>>>>>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>>>>>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason
>>> we
>>>>>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
>>>>>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions?
>>>>>>> :^)
>>>>>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>>>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>>>>>> I look forward to it!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> ;o)
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:50:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Other times it
> looks from here like you're fishing out on the deep end of unsupportable
> hyperbole. Either way, I'm glad to know you.

What fun would life be without unsupportable hyperbole??? Bring it on, I
say!!! Mission accomplished!!!

(ducking for cover)

Glad to know you too amigo.

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:465519e8@linux...

>

> Welcome "Wireline"! Is there a reason you don't want to use your real
> name?

>

> If DeeJ invited you, you are no doubt cool. Please feel free to expound on
> how right DeeJ is, in your opinion, and why. As you know, DeeJ doesn't
> need anyone to step out in front of him, he does just fine. But
> substantive input is always welcome for discussion.

>

> Until, that is, someone gets tired of the politics and reality issues and
> complains that this used to be a useful PARIS group! :^)

>

> DeeJ, I'm glad you have many friends, you deserve it. One or two should
> nod their heads the other way now and then, just to make sure you're still
> paying attention. Sometimes it looks from here like you have incredible
> insights that cut to the core of an issue. Other times it looks from here
> like you're fishing out on the deep end of unsupportable hyperbole. Either
> way, I'm glad to know you.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>

>

> DJ wrote:

>> Jaimie,

>>

>> Wireline is a friend of mine who I invited here a while back.. I will
>> admit that he and I sometimes see eye to eye on things, but not always.
>> However, in this case, I'm pretty sure he is right about me being right..

>>

>> ;oD

>>

>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4654e630@linux...

>>> wireline wrote:

>>>> News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is
>>>> correct

>>> Wow, really? Well now, who could possibly question a bravely anonymous
>>> authority like yourself? I'm certainly convinced then, your finely honed
>>> argument has made all the difference! :^)

>>>

>>> Seriously, unless you can clearly state what you think DeeJ is right
>>> about and why you think so, you've added this much to the discussion:

>>>
>>> Zip.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>
>>> PS. BTW, I never claimed DeeJ was always wrong. Better check those
>>> eyeglasses.
>>>
>>>
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right
>>>>> I just wish I was half the man my dogs think I am.
>>>>>
>>>>> ;o)
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:46549242@linux...
>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:46532f2d@linux...
>>>>>> DJ wrote:
>>>>>>> Jaimie,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't think Bush has ever compared himself to Churchill. I'm
>>>>>>> doing
>>>>>>> this by just pointing out that he said pretty much the same thing
>>>>>>> before we fwent in to finish the ongoing war Clinton neglected in
>>>>>>> Iraq.
>>>>>>> Are you saying Bush essentially stole from Churchill's speeches?
>>>>>>> I didn't hear Bush use these words or anything close really. I think
>>>> he
>>>>>>> is confronted by a similar situation and tried to express the same
>>>>>>> things
>>>>>>> to a nation, half of whom, doesn't believe that we are at war.
>>>>>> We never declared war. Maybe that would be a start.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Churchill didn't really express the same overall message as Bush. His
>>>>>>> was
>>>>>>> a country under direct attack by another country and he asked for
>>>>>>> sacrifice and commitment from his countrymen. Bush is not fighting a
>>>>>>> country but a tactic, and his preemptive actions so far have made
>>>>>>> that
>>>>>>> tactic measurably more prevalent and our country measurably weaker.
>>>>>>> Meanwhile he has asked for the opposite of sacrifice by cutting taxes
>>>>>>> during time of war.
>>>>>>>

>>>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>>>>> addicted
>>>>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>>>>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>>>
>>>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and
>>>>> compare
>>>> it
>>>>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up
>>>>> by
>>>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very
>>>>>> different.
>>>>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>>>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone
>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to
>>>>>>> "fix"
>>>>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was
>>>>>>> stupid
>>>> to
>>>>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal
>>>>>>> times
>>>> in
>>>>>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>>>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and
>>>>>>> he's
>>>>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>>>>> I hate to break it to you Deej, but you're not always right (nor am
>>>>>> I),
>>>>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes
>>>>>> you
>>>>> are
>>>>>>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>>>>>>> sometimes
>>>>>>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
>>>>>>> in a
>>>>>>> complex world.

>>>>>
>>>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>>>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
>>>> as a
>>>>> species. :^)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
>>>> of
>>>>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>>>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>>>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>>>>>> yin/yang'esque
>>>>>>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>>>>>>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason
>>>> we
>>>>>>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
>>>>>>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions?
>>>>>>> :^)
>>>>>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>>>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>>>>>> I look forward to it!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ;o)
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:50:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Brian, welcome back! What's shakin'?

You still using PARIS? Or does Nuendo have you covered these days?

BTW, good points.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

BT wrote:

> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
> given day.
>
> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,
> Guatemala or New Zealand.
>
> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of
> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.
>
> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for
> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.
> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?
>
>
> Regards,
> Brian T

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:51:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DJ wrote:

> Other times it
>> looks from here like you're fishing out on the deep end of unsupportable
>> hyperbole. Either way, I'm glad to know you.
>
> What fun would life be without unsupportable hyperbole??? Bring it on, I
> say!!! Mission accomplished!!!
>
> (ducking for cover)

LOL!

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> Glad to know you too amigo.
>
> ;o)
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:465519e8@linux...
>> Welcome "Wireline"! Is there a reason you don't want to use your real
>> name?
>>
>> If DeeJ invited you, you are no doubt cool. Please feel free to expound on
>> how right DeeJ is, in your opinion, and why. As you know, DeeJ doesn't
>> need anyone to step out in front of him, he does just fine. But
>> substantive input is always welcome for discussion.
>>
>> Until, that is, someone gets tired of the politics and reality issues and
>> complains that this used to be a useful PARIS group! :^)
>>
>> DeeJ, I'm glad you have many friends, you deserve it. One or two should
>> nod their heads the other way now and then, just to make sure you're still
>> paying attention. Sometimes it looks from here like you have incredible
>> insights that cut to the core of an issue. Other times it looks from here
>> like you're fishing out on the deep end of unsupportable hyperbole. Either
>> way, I'm glad to know you.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>> Jaimie,
>>>
>>> Wireline is a friend of mine who I invited here a while back.. I will
>>> admit that he and I sometimes see eye to eye on things, but not always.
>>> However, in this case, I'm pretty sure he is right about me being right..
>>>
>>> ;oD
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4654e630@linux...
>>>> wireline wrote:
>>>>> News flash - he ain't always wrong either...and in this instance, he is
>>>>> correct
>>>>> Wow, really? Well now, who could possibly question a bravely anonymous
>>>>> authority like yourself? I'm certainly convinced then, your finely honed
>>>>> argument has made all the difference! :^)
>>>>>
>>>>> Seriously, unless you can clearly state what you think DeeJ is right
>>>>> about and why you think so, you've added this much to the discussion:

>>>>>> A friend of mine has this administration pegged as acting like an
>>>>>> addicted
>>>>>> gambler on a losing streak, betting ever more heavily in a desperate
>>>>>> attempt to come out even in the end.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On the Churchill comparison, you could take any call to war and
>>>>>> compare
>>>>>> it
>>>>>> to any other and there will be similar elements. But Bush's different
>>>>>> context and course doesn't especially lend itself to being shined up
>>>>>> by
>>>>>> juxtaposition to Churchill's oratory.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The style of leadership and the situations/context are very
>>>>>>> different.
>>>>>>> You noticed??? ;o)....well.....even Churchill had Gallipoli.
>>>>>>> I thought the attempted comparison was to WWII.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Extra points for Clinton bashing, though. If only Clinton had gone
>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>> and racked up a 300 billion+ dollar war with no end, to try to
>>>>>>>> "fix"
>>>>>>>> Bush I's unfinished business. Is it your theory that Bill was
>>>>>>>> stupid
>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> agree with Bush I that that was a dumb idea?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I think Clinton was elected president at one of the most pivotal
>>>>>>>>> times
>>>>>>>>> in
>>>>>>>>>> the history of the human race and utterly failed to realize what his
>>>>>>>>>> responsibilities really were. Hell man, even I recognized this, and
>>>>>>>>>> he's
>>>>>>>>>> supposed to be the Rhodes scholar genius.
>>>>>>>>>> I hate to break it to you DeeJ, but you're not always right (nor am
>>>>>>>>>> I),
>>>>>>>>>>> and your favorite whipping boy hasn't always been wrong. Sometimes
>>>>>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>>>>>>> right, though, and sometimes Mr. Rhodes scholar is right. Heck,
>>>>>>>>>>>> sometimes
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Bush II is right. The trick is to discern which times those are, and
>>>>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> not be taken in by the siren call of simplistic easy-answer certainty
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in a
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> complex world.

>>>>>>
>>>>>> BTW, EVERY time period could be claimed to be one of the most pivotal
>>>>>> times in the history of the human race, as long as we're still around
>>>>> as a
>>>>>> species. :^)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> : "The inherent vice of capitalism is the unequal sharing of the
>>>>>>>>> blessings. The inherent blessing of socialism is the equal sharing
>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>> misery".-Winston Churchill
>>>>>>>>> Neither sounds ideal the way he puts it in that soundbite.
>>>>>>>>> I think each creates the need for the other, actually. They are
>>>>>>>>> yin/yang'esque
>>>>>>>>> There does seem to be a relationship. Elements of each are worth
>>>>>>>>> considering. Elements of each are worth discarding. There's no reason
>>>>>> we
>>>>>>>>> can't pick and choose from every option to create a system that works
>>>>>>>>> optimally and adapts appropriately.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> BTW, is this now the thread of random Churchill quote digressions?
>>>>>>>>>>>> :^)
>>>>>>>>>>>> I sorta' liked Sarah's sojourn into the mind of Samuel Clemens ;o)
>>>>>>>>>>>> "Crashbasket is the best damn dog name ever!"
>>>>>>>>>>>> -Winston Churchill, (interviewed from the grave)
>>>>>>>>>>>> Crash truly rocks. You're gonna have to meet "th'basket" sometime.
>>>>>>>>>>>> I look forward to it!
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> ;o)
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 08:50:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

maybe one in each hand.

On Wed, 23 May 2007 10:37:32 -0600, "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com>
wrote:

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:qng8531ug05av5odeloce9fmsf95j75vju@4ax.com...
>>i feel so ashamed of myself...must have chocolate now...
>>
>
>Coffee my man, more coffee.....
>
>;o)
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 13:46:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think Deej or any other sane person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.

And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking about the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran you refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders. "Bomb bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds of laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.

Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority, which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're killing a lot more of them than we are Al Qaeda, and that's not acceptable. What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda? I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after a decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).

Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.

Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should be dealt with as such.

Sarah

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:4654d702\$1@linux...

>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>> > would
>> > like to be rid of them.

>
> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many more,
> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What
> the
> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones are
> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
> moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you look at
> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against the
> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only read
> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world and
> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!

>
> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0

>
> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>

>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...

>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
> kill

>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?

>>
>> S
>>
>>

>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...

>> >
>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
> news:46537917@linux...

>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
> whole

>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero
> ...

>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
> even

>> >> greater instability."

>> >>

>> >> - George H.W. Bush

>> >
>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one
> that
>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"
>> >> (how
>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>> >
>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
> there
>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any kind
> of
>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do
>> > this
>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid blood
>> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>> > easlily
>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>> > while
>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions were
>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee who
>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
> Sadaam
>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to deify
>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world to
> kill
>> > people.
>> >
>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>> >>
>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure
>> >> "collateral
>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then
> why
>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to be
>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably just
>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are a
>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue
>> >> when
>> >> you remove a cancer.
>> >>
>> >> It's only logical.
>> >

>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>> > would
>> > like to be rid of them.
>> >
>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
> aisle,
>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>> > cretins.
>> >
>> >
> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>> >
>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing, take
>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with them.
>> >
>> > ;o)
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 14:24:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This stat distorts things a bit, because after WW II the US Navy decided that the aircraft carrier was the primary weapon to have in the closet. The Russians then, and the Chinese now, have decided that the submarine is the crucial link, and the US has nowhere near 90% of the subs in the world. This makes sense because the sub navies meet a largely defensive/deterrent goal while carrier navies are about projecting power overseas. Since the US has zero fear of anyone sailing over here and invading the continental US, we built a navy that can be used to attack, or at least threaten, other countries. All of which is to say that 80/90/100 percent of carriers does not equal a similar percentage of naval power. Oh, one more thing. In war games the subs almost always sink the carriers, so the carrier navy is really only useful against countries without powerful sub navies. So if Iran were smart they'd be buying subs instead of trying to acquire a nuke.

Second, your argument is correct, to an extent. However, if the War Minister of Lichtenstein does something stupid, say, manipulates his country into war with known faulty intelligence, it doesn't matter. When the US does that a few hundred thousand people, almost all of them civilians, wind up dead. The dead people are no less dead because we possess roughly average wisdom.

Which means we need to wise up.

TCB

BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>given day.

>

>It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>Guatemala or New Zealand.

>

>Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of

>the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>

>When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for

>others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.

>I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
>boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>

>

>Regards,

>Brian T

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 14:41:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And conversely (inversely? contrapositively?), when you believe in
god, you'll believe anything.

- Paul Artola
Ellicott City, Maryland

On 24 May 2007 14:34:22 +1000, "James McCloskey"
<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>When Moses went up in to the mountain, the people got bored and built themselves
>a golden calf to worship. Like they say, when you don't believe in god,

>you'll believe in any thing.

>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 18:35:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> On 24 May 2007 14:34:22 +1000, "James McCloskey"
> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Like they say, when you don't believe in god,
>> you'll believe in any thing.

Like "they" say. :^)

"They" could be anyone. Which is the interesting thing about "they."

So from the perspective of a single religious creed, that particular "they" could say that if you don't believe in that creed's particular god, and by extension, their way of life, "you'll believe in anything."

This leads to a lot of disrespect among people with different beliefs and assumptions who each think the other "will believe in anything."

Such a basic lack of respect works wonders for fear-mongering by any leaders who assume a religious mantle and don't mind exploiting it.

Such leaders can simply raise the specter of a threat from "them" - those others who believe in a different god and so aren't grounded in the one "right" way.

It's an easy way to whip up a frenzied following, enforce conformity and consolidate power. When different leaders use that technique to raise fear of the other leader's supporters, they can actually both gain power within their respective insular bases.

It's been this way throughout history. The gods have been many, the believers fervent, and the amassed power impressive. When conflicts arise, they can be very bloody indeed because both sides are firmly convinced they are fighting for the one rightness, with the blessing of the only true god(s), who are actively aiding them to triumph against the evil "non-people."

THIS PATTERN is evident whether or not you believe in any of the gods or religions in question.

But whether we believe in a particular god or not, we have a

responsibility to recognize this pattern from the more informed perspective of our times, so that we do not get caught up in abject fear of other people and act out any part in yet another blind, manipulated religious conflict.

Nor should we exploit religious differences to control foreign territory or infrastructure.

It should be said that this fear of "others" is not restricted to religious foundations. It can also involve exploiting ethnic differences and secular belief system differences.

It should also be said that recognizing this repeating pattern does not negate anyone's religious beliefs. But it shows the responsibility we all have in recognizing the rights of others to follow their beliefs, as we have that right to follow ours, individually.

Freedom of religion. Freedom of speech. Freedom of thought. Important enlightened concepts in our age. Hard won and not to be foolishly discarded for the convenience of any insular group or wannabe leader on a power trip.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Paul Artola wrote:

> And conversely (inversely? contrapositively?), when you believe in
> god, you'll believe anything.

>
> - Paul Artola
> Ellicott City, Maryland

>
>
> On 24 May 2007 14:34:22 +1000, "James McCloskey"
> <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>> When Moses went up in to the mountain, the people got bored and built themselves
>> a golden calf to worship. Like they say, when you don't believe in god,
>> you'll believe in any thing.

>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 20:45:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other sane person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<

There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There is no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have removed Sadam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out invading? We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.

>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking about the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran you refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders. "Bomb bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds of laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<

It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the people Sadam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking. They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is a weasel.

>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority, which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're

killing a lot more of them than we are Al Qaeda, and that's not acceptable.

What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?

I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after a decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<

Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business being in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop fighting and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries. What do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there. They keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al Qaeda aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always wrong. If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!

>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<

Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That is so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at will and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that is wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of rotten, stinking, bullshit!!!!

Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you with an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape, and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river, that's why not!

It's you that doesn't get it!

>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should be dealt with as such.

Sarah<<

Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think Deej or any other sane

>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical

>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it

>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem

>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what

>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been

>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.

>

>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking about

>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about

>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there

>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places

>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran

you

>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders. "Bomb

>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds

of

>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.

>

>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,

>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're

>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Qaeda, and that's not acceptable.

>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?

>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after

a

>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).

>

>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator

>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying

>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>
>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should

>be dealt with as such.
>
>Sarah
>
>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they
>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who

>>> > would
>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>
>> Sarah, I think what Deej is saying here is that there are many, many more,
>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What

>> the
>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>> are
>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
>> moderate Islamics. I agree with Deej. This can be supported if you look
>> at
>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>> the
>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>> read
>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>> and
>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>
>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>
>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>
>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>> kill
>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>
>>> S
>>>

>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>> >
>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>> news:46537917@linux...
>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
>> whole
>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero
>> ...
>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
>> even
>>> >> greater instability."
>>> >>
>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>> >
>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the one
>> that
>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"

>>> >> (how
>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>> >
>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>> there
>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
kind
>> of
>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do

>>> > this
>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid blood
>>> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>>> > easlily
>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people

>>> > while
>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
were
>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
who
>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>> Sadaam
>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every

>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to deify
>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
to

>> kill

>>> > people.

>>> >

>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.

>>> >>

>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure

>>> >> "collateral

>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then

>> why

>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the

>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to

be

>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably

just

>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran

>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are

a

>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue

>>> >> when

>>> >> you remove a cancer.

>>> >>

>>> >> It's only logical.

>>> >

>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press, they

>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this

>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who

>>> > would

>>> > like to be rid of them.

>>> >

>>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the

>> aisle,

>>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these

>>> > cretins.

>>> >

>>> >

>> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>

>>> >

>>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing,

take

>>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with them.

>>> >

>>> > ;o)

>>> >

>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 21:28:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You certainly blow to bits that stereotype of Apple users as Birkenstock wearing, muesli chewing, wheatgrass swilling hippies.

TCB

P.S. Al-Queda is in Iraq NOW, but wasn't before the US invaded and destroyed the state of Iraq. An admittedly brutal dictatorship of a state, but a state nonetheless. The final 'break' bin Laden had with the moneyed Gulf potentates (esp. the Saudi royal family) was when Saddam invaded Kuwait. Bin Laden wanted to take his jihadis and roll Saddam back, while the Saudis wanted to invite in the Americans. In other words, Saddam and bin Laden were sworn enemies, and I doubt Saddam tolerated a lot of his sworn enemies lolling about in Baghdad.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think Deej or any other sane
>
>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>
>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem
>
>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>
>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>
>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<
>
>There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral
>damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There is
>no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>removed Sadam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out invading?
> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>

>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>about
>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>
>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>
>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>
>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
you
>
>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders. "Bomb
>
>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>of
>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<
>
>It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
people
> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is a
>weasel.
>
>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>
>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>
>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>
>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>
>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>a
>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<
>
>Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are
>not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
being
>in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop fighting
>and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
What
>do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
They
>keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
Qaeda
>aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always wrong.

> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!
>
>
>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>
>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>
>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<
>
>
>Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
is
>so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you
>stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at will
>and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
is
>wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of rotten,
>stinking, bullshit!!!!
>
>Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure
>the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you with
>an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river, that's
>why not!
>
>It's you that doesn't get it!
>
>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
should
>
>be dealt with as such.
>
>Sarah<<
>
>Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>
>
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
sane
>
>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>
>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem
>

>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>
>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>
>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.
>>
>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
about
>
>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>
>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>
>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>
>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>you
>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.
"Bomb
>
>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>of
>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.
>>
>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>
>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>
>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Qaeda, and that's not acceptable.
>
>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>
>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>a
>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).
>>
>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>
>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>
>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>>
>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should
>
>>be dealt with as such.
>>
>>Sarah
>>

>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
they
>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>
>>>> > would
>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>
>>> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many
more,
>>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What
>
>>> the
>>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>are
>>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
>>> moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you look
>at
>>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>the
>>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>read
>>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>and
>>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>>
>>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>>
>>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>>> kill
>>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>>
>>>> S
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>>> >
>>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>> news:46537917@linux...
>>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
>>> whole

>>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day hero
>>> ...
>>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
>>> even
>>>> >> greater instability."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>>> >
>>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the
one
>>> that
>>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"
>
>>>> >> (how
>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>>> >
>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>> there
>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>kind
>>> of
>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do
>
>>>> > this
>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
blood
>>>> > money to the families of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>>>> > easlily
>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>
>>>> > while
>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>were
>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
>who
>>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>>> Sadaam
>>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
>>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to
deify
>>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
>to

>>> kill
>>>> > people.
>>>> >
>>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure
>>>> >> "collateral
>>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then
>>> why
>>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to
>be
>>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably
>just
>>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are
>a
>>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue
>
>>>> >> when
>>>> >> you remove a cancer.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> It's only logical.
>>>> >
>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
they
>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>
>>>> > would
>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>> >
>>>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
>>> aisle,
>>>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>>>> > cretins.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>>>> >
>>>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing,
>take
>>>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with
them.
>>>> >
>>>> > ;o)
>>>> >
>>>>

>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [BT](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 22:11:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The US submarine contingent may not be 90% of the world, but it's still well over 50% of the world's total combat capability. Chinese subs are largely ineffective, at least currently, by comparison. And the Russian submarine force is now a far cry from it's former USSR glory. Look here for comparisons, and there are other sites as well:

<http://www.hazegray.org/worldnav/>

I'd say our submarine dominance is undisputed.

Subs are most useful in a full-on "official" war between belligerent nations. OTOH, carriers project power that can be employed in many different ways and scenarios, in peacetime/almost-wartime/wartime.... that transient state the world exists in now.

Carriers also pack far more psychological impact. A show of force rarely involves submarines....not much to see. It usually involves parading a couple of carriers back and forth with dozens and dozens of high performance attack planes flying about as they please. Carriers make for far more impressive dog 'n pony shows and can sometimes forestall the need to actually use force.

Also keep in mind, the subs that sink the carriers in the war games are *our* subs. I don't think their subs are going to fare as well, overall.

Back to the main point. America's mistakes, and there are plenty of them, are highly amplified in both their impact and their scrutinization by the inordinately broad influence our country wields. I wish we made better and more benevolent use of that power.

We should blow up less and build more.

Regards,
Brian T

TCB wrote:

> This stat distorts things a bit, because after WW II the US Navy decided that
> the aircraft carrier was the primary weapon to have in the closet. The Russians
> then, and the Chinese now, have decided that the submarine is the crucial
> link, and the US has nowhere near 90% of the subs in the world. This makes
> sense because the sub navies meet a largely defensive/deterrent goal while
> carrier navies are about projecting power overseas. Since the US has zero
> fear of anyone sailing over here and invading the continental US, we built
> a navy that can be used to attack, or at least threaten, other countries.
> All of which is to say that 80/90/100 percent of carriers does not equal
> a similar percentage of naval power. Oh, one more thing. In war games the
> subs almost always sink the carriers, so the carrier navy is really only
> useful against countries without powerful sub navies. So if Iran were smart
> they'd be buying subs instead of trying to acquire a nuke.

>
> Second, your argument is correct, to an extent. However, if the War Minister
> of Lichtenstein does something stupid, say, manipulates his country into
> war with known faulty intelligence, it doesn't matter. When the US does that
> a few hundred thousand people, almost all of them civilians, wind up dead.
> The dead people are no less dead because we possess roughly average wisdom.
> Which means we need to wise up.

>
> TCB

>
> BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>> given day.

>>
>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>
>> Guatemala or New Zealand.

>>
>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of

>
>> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>>
>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for

>
>> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.

>
>> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
>> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>>
>>
>> Regards,

>> Brian T

>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [BT](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 22:13:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doing well, thanks. And you?

BT

DC wrote:

> Hi BT!

>

> Nice to hear from you. How have you been?

>

> DC

>

>

> BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>> given day.

>>

>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>

>> Guatemala or New Zealand.

>>

>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of

>

>> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>>

>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for

>

>> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.

>

>> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
>> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>>

>>

>> Regards,

>> Brian T

>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [BT](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 22:15:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll cut and paster from a different thread, as answer.

Howdy Ya'll..... from Texas.

Well, well, it appears that Brian T is still alive and still uses Paris on a regular basis, despite what you may have heard to the contrary. I still drop in here now and again in lurker mode to see what's up, and this is still the coolest collection of people on any newsgroup I've ever seen.

Dimitrios has taken up the torch in the true spirit of bleeding edge just-because-we-can-ism. I love it.

I currently have 8 EDS cards and 5 MECs running a total of 9 ADAT cards. 2x ADAT on MECs B, C, D and E and a single ADAT on the "A" MEC. I never have a problem, other than it takes a while to boot Paris because of the way the Paris app parses the hardware attached to the EDS1000s so slowly. That's something Chris T tried to improve when we were fine tuning the XP drivers at my studio, but when we sped it up on the XP drivers, Paris would lock up while booting. Still don't know why.

The only consistent thing I can think of is that in all cases, my ADAT cards are farthest from the MEC power supply. Other than that, it's just turn it on and go.

I've been told that electrons seem to like me especially well, for some reason or other. I suppose this could also be a factor. Say, you guys DO talk nice to your gear, don't you?

Regards,
Brian T

Jamie K wrote:

- >
- > Hey Brian, welcome back! What's shakin'?
- >
- > You still using PARIS? Or does Nuendo have you covered these days?
- >
- > BTW, good points.
- >
- > Cheers,
- > -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

> BT wrote:

>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>> given day.

>>

>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for
>> instance, Guatemala or New Zealand.

>>

>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so
>> of the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>>

>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications
>> for others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this
>> issue. I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out
>> over some boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>>

>>

>> Regards,

>> Brian T

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 22:26:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A very strange thing has happened here...

All these really different people, who see eye to eye on
next to nothing, have actually mostly learned to get along
even when discussing the most sensitive of issues...

It is amazing, and exists almost no where else on the web.

Yes, there are exceptions, but in general it's a pretty good
place to hang out.

I am still designing and installing live sound and studios. We have
2 big Catholic churches going now, and in another 2 weeks, no
one will see me for a month...

You can have time or you can have money...

Pick one..

DC

BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>Doing well, thanks. And you?

>

>BT

>

>DC wrote:

>> Hi BT!

>>

>> Nice to hear from you. How have you been?

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a

>>> given day.

>>>

>>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody

>>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>>

>>> Guatemala or New Zealand.

>>>

>>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's

>>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier

>>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so
of

>>

>>> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.

>>>

>>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for

>>

>>> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.

>>

>>> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some

>>> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?

>>>

>>>

>>> Regards,

>>> Brian T

>>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 01:19:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"We should blow up less and build more."

This is why I'll always have a soft spot for you tank.

Chuck

BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>The US submarine contingent may not be 90% of the world, but it's still

>well over 50% of the world's total combat capability. Chinese subs are
>largely ineffective, at least currently, by comparison. And the Russian

>submarine force is now a far cry from it's former USSR glory. Look here

>for comparisons, and there are other sites as well:

>

><http://www.hazegray.org/worldnav/>

>

>I'd say our submarine dominance is undisputed.

>

>Subs are most useful in a full-on "official" war between belligerent
>nations. OTOH, carriers project power that can be employed in many
>different ways and scenarios, in peacetime/almost-wartime/wartime....
>that transient state the world exists in now.

>

>Carriers also pack far more psychological impact. A show of force rarely

>involves submarines....not much to see. It usually involves parading a
>couple of carriers back and forth with dozens and dozens of high
>performance attack planes flying about as they please. Carriers make for

>far more impressive dog 'n pony shows and can sometimes forestall the
>need to actually use force.

>

>Also keep in mind, the subs that sink the carriers in the war games are

>*our* subs. I don't think their subs are going to fare as well, overall.

>

>Back to the main point. America's mistakes, and there are plenty of
>them, are highly amplified in both their impact and their scrutinization

> by the inordinately broad influence our country wields. I wish we

>made better and more benevolent use of that power.

>

>We should blow up less and build more.

>

>

>Regards,

>Brian T

>

>TCB wrote:

>> This stat distorts things a bit, because after WW II the US Navy decided that

>> the aircraft carrier was the primary weapon to have in the closet. The Russians

>> then, and the Chinese now, have decided that the submarine is the crucial link, and the US has nowhere near 90% of the subs in the world. This makes sense because the sub navies meet a largely defensive/deterrent goal while carrier navies are about projecting power overseas. Since the US has zero fear of anyone sailing over here and invading the continental US, we built a navy that can be used to attack, or at least threaten, other countries.

>> All of which is to say that 80/90/100 percent of carriers does not equal a similar percentage of naval power. Oh, one more thing. In war games the

>> subs almost always sink the carriers, so the carrier navy is really only useful against countries without powerful sub navies. So if Iran were smart

>> they'd be buying subs instead of trying to acquire a nuke.

>>

>> Second, your argument is correct, to an extent. However, if the War Minister of Lichtenstein does something stupid, say, manipulates his country into war with known faulty intelligence, it doesn't matter. When the US does that

>> a few hundred thousand people, almost all of them civilians, wind up dead.

>> The dead people are no less dead because we possess roughly average wisdom.

>> Which means we need to wise up.

>>

>> TCB

>>

>> BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a

>>> given day.

>>>

>>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody

>>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,

>>>

>>> Guatemala or New Zealand.

>>>

>>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier

>>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so
of
>>
>>> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.
>>>
>>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for
>>
>>> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.
>>
>>> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some

>>> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?
>>>
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> Brian T
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 03:07:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Like I said, there are almost infinite viewpoints and each is valid to the person who has it. If we understand the reasons a person has a particular viewpoint, we increase our love for each other and our willingness to communicate one to another.

Do you love God for what he does for you?

I love my wife for what she does for me.

I love George Benson for what his music does for me.

We all have some "god" in us - some more than others, but it's always there.

Bill

DC wrote:

> The God I know is not a metaphor and does not get quotes around
> his name.

>

> So there you have it.

>

> best wishes,
>
> Don
>
>
>
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>> Something I realized about "god" a while back is: god is relative. The
>> way George Benson plays guitar makes him a god to me. I think any of us
>
>> can be gods when we do something truly spectacular.
>>
>> I would judge a being's godliness by the beneficial effect they create.
>
>> If it is so amazingly wonderful that billions of people's lives are
>> improved by it, then they are gods. Buddha's message would probably have
>
>> to stand above all others in the "quantity of good influence" category.
>
>> Probably Jesus next. The thing they had in common was they gave people
>> hope of a better world to come.
>>
>> There are billions of viewpoints out there and more coming every day.
>> They all see something that's real to them. It's a trip learning what
>> other beings perceive and it makes one wiser and more able to help.
>>
>> Bill L
>>
>>
>> DC wrote:
>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were
>
>>>> the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.
>>>> These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages we
>
>>>> must follow.
>>>
>>> And all of them were just people, with one exception.
>>>
>>> He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.
>>>
>>> take care
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 03:37:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thad you said a mouthful about "wising up". If Shak walks around bumping into people they fall down hard. If Larry Seinfeld walks into somebody they just kind of shift a little. We should be a wise and gentle giant, not a clumsy, stupid troll.

Someone else made the comparison between these recent wars and WWI and II. The obvious difference is in those wars we new exactly who, what and where the enemy was, so we could accurately target them. After 9/11, we didn't have a clue where the target was except we knew for sure they were Muslims somewhere in the Middle East - probably.

Bill

TCB wrote:

> This stat distorts things a bit, because after WW II the US Navy decided that
> the aircraft carrier was the primary weapon to have in the closet. The Russians
> then, and the Chinese now, have decided that the submarine is the crucial
> link, and the US has nowhere near 90% of the subs in the world. This makes
> sense because the sub navies meet a largely defensive/deterrent goal while
> carrier navies are about projecting power overseas. Since the US has zero
> fear of anyone sailing over here and invading the continental US, we built
> a navy that can be used to attack, or at least threaten, other countries.
> All of which is to say that 80/90/100 percent of carriers does not equal
> a similar percentage of naval power. Oh, one more thing. In war games the
> subs almost always sink the carriers, so the carrier navy is really only
> useful against countries without powerful sub navies. So if Iran were smart
> they'd be buying subs instead of trying to acquire a nuke.

>
> Second, your argument is correct, to an extent. However, if the War Minister
> of Lichtenstein does something stupid, say, manipulates his country into
> war with known faulty intelligence, it doesn't matter. When the US does that
> a few hundred thousand people, almost all of them civilians, wind up dead.
> The dead people are no less dead because we possess roughly average wisdom.
> Which means we need to wise up.

>
> TCB

> BT <gbtank@comcast.net> wrote:

>> We're not much wiser or more foolish than most other countries, on a
>> given day.

>>
>> It's just that the USA leaves so much larger a footprint than anybody
>> else, our mistakes are greatly amplified when compared to, for instance,
>

>> Guatemala or New Zealand.
>>
>> Has anybody noticed that the US possesses over 80% of the world's
>> aircraft carrier deck space, and more like 90% of the world's carrier
>> based warplanes? So that's 5% of the world's populace with 90% or so of
>
>> the world's naval power. Kind of disproportionate.
>>
>> When we screw up, it's a big deal, usually has sizable implications for
>
>> others, and everybody notices. That really is a big part of this issue.
>
>> I mean, when is the last time the whole world was bummed out over some
>> boneheaded move by Lichtenstein's Secretary of War?
>>
>>
>> Regards,
>> Brian T
>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 05:15:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bill, these things are truth claims. At least mine sure is.

Your truth claim is the assertion that all beliefs are personal ones.

I claim mine to be objectively true. We were created, we fell from grace by sinning, and Jesus Christ came to earth to save us from our sins and offer us a new life in relationship with him.

If this is true, then it makes claims on each of us and has import despite our opinions. It also means some beliefs are simply wrong. Factually wrong. It is clear you do not agree.

That is why your "god" has quotes around his name and mine does not.

I wish you well.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Like I said, there are almost infinite viewpoints and each is valid to
>the person who has it. If we understand the reasons a person has a
>particular viewpoint, we increase our love for each other and our
>willingness to communicate one to another.

>
>Do you love God for what he does for you?
>
>I love my wife for what she does for me.
>
>I love George Benson for what his music does for me.
>
>We all have some "god" in us - some more than others, but it's always there.
>
>Bill
>
>
>DC wrote:
>> The God I know is not a metaphor and does not get quotes around
>> his name.
>>
>> So there you have it.
>>
>> best wishes,
>>
>> Don
>>
>>
>>
>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>> Something I realized about "god" a while back is: god is relative. The

>>> way George Benson plays guitar makes him a god to me. I think any of
us
>>
>>> can be gods when we do something truly spectacular.
>>>
>>> I would judge a being's godliness by the beneficial effect they create.
>>
>>> If it is so amazingly wonderful that billions of people's lives are
>>> improved by it, then they are gods. Buddha's message would probably have
>>
>>> to stand above all others in the "quantity of good influence" category.
>>
>>> Probably Jesus next. The thing they had in common was they gave people

>>> hope of a better world to come.
>>>
>>> There are billions of viewpoints out there and more coming every day.

>>> They all see something that's real to them. It's a trip learning what

>>> other beings perceive and it makes one wiser and more able to help.

>>>
>>> Bill L
>>>
>>>
>>> DC wrote:
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were
>>
>>>>> the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.

>>>>> These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages
we
>>
>>>>> must follow.
>>>>
>>>>> And all of them were just people, with one exception.
>>>>>
>>>>> He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.
>>>>>
>>>>> take care
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 08:44:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

exactly, and let's not forget who propped up saddam to begin with. oh
yeah, who gave bin laden et al the weapons to fight the russians.
man, our asses have so many bite marks it's easy to understand how
difficult we find it to sit down and stop doing the same stupid things
over and over again.

On 25 May 2007 07:28:42 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>You certainly blow to bits that stereotype of Apple users as Birkenstock wearing,
>muesli chewing, wheatgrass swilling hippies.
>
>TCB
>
>P.S. Al-Queda is in Iraq NOW, but wasn't before the US invaded and destroyed

>the state of Iraq. An admittedly brutal dictatorship of a state, but a state
>nonetheless. The final 'break' bin Laden had with the moneyed Gulf potentates
>(esp. the Saudi royal family) was when Saddam invaded Kuwait. Bin Laden wanted
>to take his jihadis and roll Saddam back, while the Saudis wanted to invite
>in the Americans. In other words, Saddam and bin Laden were sworn enemies,
>and I doubt Saddam tolerated a lot of his sworn enemies lolling about in
>Baghdad.

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other

>sane

>>

>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical

>>

>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it

>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem

>>

>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what

>>

>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been

>>

>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<

>>

>>There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral

>>damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There is

>>no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have

>>removed Sadam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out invading?

>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.

>>

>>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking

>>about

>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about

>>

>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there

>>

>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places

>>

>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran

>you

>>

>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders. "Bomb

>>

>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds

>>of

>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<

>>

>>It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that

>>attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
>people
>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is a
>>weasel.
>>
>>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>
>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>>
>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>
>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>
>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>a
>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<
>>
>>Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are
>>not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>being
>>in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop fighting
>>and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
>What
>>do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
>They
>>keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
>Qaeda
>>aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always wrong.
>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!
>>
>>
>>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>
>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>>
>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<
>>
>>
>>Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
>is
>>so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>>count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you
>>stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at will
>>and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>is

>>wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of rotten,
>>stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>
>>Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure
>>the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you with
>>an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigress river, that's
>>why not!
>>
>>It's you that doesn't get it!
>>
>>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
>should
>>
>>be dealt with as such.
>>
>>Sarah<<
>>
>>Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>
>>
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think Deej or any other
>sane
>>
>>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>
>>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem
>>
>>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>>
>>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>>
>>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.
>>>
>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>about
>>
>>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>>
>>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>
>>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>
>>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>>you
>>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.

>"Bomb
>>
>>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>of
>>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.
>>>
>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>
>>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>>
>>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>
>>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>
>>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>a
>>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).
>>>
>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>
>>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>>
>>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>>>
>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should
>>
>>>be dealt with as such.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>>
>>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
>they
>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>
>>>>> > would
>>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>
>>>> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many
>more,
>>>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>>>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What
>>
>>>> the
>>>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>>are

>>>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
>>>> moderate Islamics. I agree with Deej. This can be supported if you look
>>at
>>>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>>the
>>>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>>read
>>>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>>>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>>and
>>>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>>>
>>>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>>>
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>>>> kill
>>>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>>>
>>>>> S
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>>>> >
>>>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:46537917@linux...
>>>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
>>>> whole
>>>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day
>hero
>>>> ...
>>>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
>>>> even
>>>>> >> greater instability."
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>>>> >
>>>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the
>one
>>>> that
>>>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>>>> >
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"

>>
>>>>> >> (how
>>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>>> there
>>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>>kind
>>>> of
>>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do
>>
>>>>> > this
>>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
>blood
>>>>> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>>>>> > easlily
>>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>>
>>>>> > while
>>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>>were
>>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
>>who
>>>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>>>> Sadaam
>>>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
>>>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to
>deify
>>>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
>>to
>>>> kill
>>>>> > people.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure
>>>>> >> "collateral
>>>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then
>>>> why
>>>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to
>>be
>>>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably
>>just
>>>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are
>>a
>>>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue

bright passage, I must say. A little wishful thinking there?

Yeah, we're all "damned lucky" you're not in charge. Unfortunately, the morons in who are in charge could not have stayed in power this long without the support of hateful, violent people who "think" like you.

I worked for a long time in a state hospital for the mentally "challenged," and it was always interesting to me how condescending retarded people can be. I think it's because they're not smart enough to know that they don't know, so when they can't comprehend what you're saying to them, they think you're the idiot.

I'm saving your post so when I hear people say things like, "Holy crap, why is the world such an ugly, violent place?" I can say, "Well, here, read this . . . and then imagine how many millions of others are similarly limited."

Go play now, James . . . this is big people talk.

Sarah

PS: My apologies to the other, more rational participants in this seemingly endless discussion. I usually refrain from personal attacks, but he pissed me off, and I gave in to a moment of weakness. Sorry. I'll shut up now. I think I've said enough on this particular topic anyway.

PPS: Anybody know this McCloskey guy? Is he gonna rape me, beat me "with an inch" of my life, and cut my head off now? (No! No! Not the inch again!)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4655f94e\$1@linux...

>

>

> There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on
> collateral

> damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There is
> no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
> removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
> invading?

> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.

>

> It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
> attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
> people

> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
> in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.

> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is a
> weasel.

> >

> Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are
> not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
> being
> in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop
> fighting
> and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
> What
> do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
> They
> keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
> Qaeda
> aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
> wrong.
> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
> party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal
> media!
>
> Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That is
> so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
> count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you
> stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at
> will
> and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that is
> wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of
> rotten,
> stinking, bullshit!!!!
>
> Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure
> the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
> with
> an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
> and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
> that's
> why not!
>
> It's you that doesn't get it!
>
> Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [duncan](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 17:28:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don't know the guy, except by the evidence of his own words on this forum, but on that basis I'd say you have him measured, right down to the molecule.

-- Chas

On Fri, 25 May 2007 07:11:14 -0700, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>I was just going to take a deep breath and let this go, because your post is
>so full of defective logic that it would take a week to address each
>individual "point." But . . . being called stupid by an adult (assuming)
>with the reasoning ability of a 5-year-old was a little more than I could
>stand to let slide. The closing scene with my rotting corpse floating down
>the river, minus its "silly head," was icing on the cake, and a particularly
>bright passage, I must say. A little wishful thinking there?

>
>Yeah, we're all "damned lucky" you're not in charge. Unfortunately, the
>morons in who are in charge could not have stayed in power this long without
>the support of hateful, violent people who "think" like you.

>
>I worked for a long time in a state hospital for the mentally "challenged,"
>and it was always interesting to me how condescending
>retarded people can be. I think it's because they're not smart enough to
>know that they don't know, so when they can't comprehend what you're saying
>to them, they think you're the idiot.

>
>I'm saving your post so when I hear people say things like, "Holy crap, why
>is the world such an ugly, violent place?" I can say, "Well, here, read this
>. . . and then imagine how many millions of others are similarly limited."

>
>Go play now, James . . . this is big people talk.

>
>Sarah

>
>PS: My apologies to the other, more rational participants in this seemingly
>endless discussion. I usually refrain from personal attacks, but he pissed
>me off, and I gave in to a moment of weakness. Sorry. I'll shut up now. I
>think I've said enough on this particular topic anyway.

>
>PPS: Anybody know this McCloskey guy? Is he gonna rape me, beat me "with
>an inch" of my life, and cut my head off now? (No! No! Not the inch again!)

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4655f94e\$1@linux...

>>

>>

>> There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on
>> collateral

>> damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There is

>> no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have

>> removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
>> invading?
>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>
>> It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>> attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
>> people
>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>> in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is a
>> weasel.
>> >
>> Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are
>> not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>> being
>> in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop
>> fighting
>> and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
>> What
>> do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
>> They
>> keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
>> Qaeda
>> aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
>> wrong.
>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>> party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal
>> media!
>>
>> Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That is
>> so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>> count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you
>> stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at
>> will
>> and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that is
>> wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of
>> rotten,
>> stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>
>> Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure
>> the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
>> with
>> an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>> and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
>> that's
>> why not!
>>
>> It's you that doesn't get it!

>>
>> Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 17:57:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

I don't know what you're so upset about.

>floating down the Tigris river,

Has always seemed a good place for you. They should leave you alive though and maybe even give you a guitar...

Just stay away from that Youfraytees though, I hear it's dangerous...

snork...

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 18:17:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I said your liberal thinking was stupid, but it's Ok, take it anyway you want to. I guess radical muslims are not hateful, violent people? It's only the US that is wrong. right? Will we deserve it when they take out one of our cities? If it is your city they pick will it be Ok?

It's the insurgents and Al Qaeda that are intentionally killing innocent people in Iraq, not the US. It's a war, there are going to be casualties. Casualties are unfortunate. Do you believe in killing? How about when it's convenient? DO YOU BELIEVE IN ABORTION? Do you conveniently put a title on it and make excuses for killing like, a women's right to choose? It's still human, it's still alive, it's still killing! Is that Ok? From what I've read, around 47 million babies have been killed by abortion in the US over the years, is that Ok?

Do you think your freedom here in America was won with out blood shed? If you do, I have a special announcement, Your freedom was won at the end of

a gun barrel. I'll bet your anti gun to. It's funny how liberals do not want honest decent law abiding citizens to have guns, but the liberals will be the first to defend criminals, and get laws legislated for criminals rights. Kind of like you advocating for all of those nice peaceful innocent people in Guantanamo. I think your thinking is a bit mixed up. The US has maid a lot of mistakes, but we, the US are not the bad guys!

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>I was just going to take a deep breath and let this go, because your post is

>so full of defective logic that it would take a week to address each

>individual "point." But . . . being called stupid by an adult (assuming)

>with the reasoning ability of a 5-year-old was a little more than I could

>stand to let slide. The closing scene with my rotting corpse floating down

>the river, minus its "silly head," was icing on the cake, and a particularly

>bright passage, I must say. A little wishful thinking there?

>

>Yeah, we're all "damned lucky" you're not in charge. Unfortunately, the

>morons in who are in charge could not have stayed in power this long without

>the support of hateful, violent people who "think" like you.

>

>I worked for a long time in a state hospital for the mentally "challenged,"

>and it was always interesting to me how condescending

>retarded people can be. I think it's because they're not smart enough to

>know that they don't know, so when they can't comprehend what you're saying

>to them, they think you're the idiot.

>

>I'm saving your post so when I hear people say things like, "Holy crap, why

>is the world such an ugly, violent place?" I can say, "Well, here, read this

>. . . and then imagine how many millions of others are similarly limited."

>

>Go play now, James . . . this is big people talk.

>

>Sarah

>

>PS: My apologies to the other, more rational participants in this seemingly

>endless discussion. I usually refrain from personal attacks, but he pissed
>me off, and I gave in to a moment of weakness. Sorry. I'll shut up now.
|
>think I've said enough on this particular topic anyway.
>
>PPS: Anybody know this McCloskey guy? Is he gonna rape me, beat me "with
>an inch" of my life, and cut my head off now? (No! No! Not the inch again!)
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4655f94e\$1@linux...
>>
>>
>> There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on
>> collateral
>> damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
is
>> no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>> removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out

>> invading?
>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>
>> It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>> attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the

>> people
>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>> in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
a
>> weasel.
>> >
>> Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We
are
>> not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business

>> being
>> in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop
>> fighting
>> and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.

>> What
>> do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.

>> They
>> keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al

>> Qaeda
>> aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always

>> wrong.
>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>> party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal
>> media!
>>
>> Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
is
>> so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>> count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to
you
>> stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at

>> will
>> and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
is
>> wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of

>> rotten,
>> stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>
>> Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make
sure
>> the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you

>> with
>> an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>> and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,

>> that's
>> why not!
>>
>> It's you that doesn't get it!
>>
>> Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 18:26:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi James,

Now that you are winding down, re-visiting, and re-casting your own words, take a moment to calm down and consider what Sarah found objectionable in your post. It's the human thing to do.

Chuck

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>I said your liberal thinking was stupid, but it's Ok, take it anyway you want

>to. I guess radical muslims are not hateful, violent people? It's only

>the US that is wrong. right? Will we deserve it when they take out one of

>our cities? If it is your city they pick will it be Ok?

>

>It's the insurgents and Al Qaeda that are intentionally killing innocent

>people in Iraq, not the US. It's a war, there are going to be casualties.

> Casualties are unfortunate. Do you believe in killing? How about when

>it's convenient? DO YOU BELIEVE IN ABORTION? Do you conveniently put a

>title on it and make excuses for killing like, a women's right to choose?

> It's still human, it's still alive, it's still killing! Is that Ok? From

>what I've read, around 47 million babies have been killed by abortion in

>the US over the years, is that Ok?

>

>

>Do you think your freedom here in America was won with out blood shed?

If

>you do, I have a special announcement, Your freedom was won at the end of

>a gun barrel. I'll bet your anti gun to. It's funny how liberals do not

>>want honest decent law abiding citizens to have guns, but the liberals will

>be the first to defend criminals, and get laws legislated for criminals

rights.

> Kind of like you advocating for all of those nice peaceful innocent people

>in Guantanamo. I think your thinking is a bit mixed up. The US has made

>a lot of mistakes, but we, the US are not the bad guys!

>

>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>I was just going to take a deep breath and let this go, because your post

>is

>>so full of defective logic that it would take a week to address each

>>individual "point." But . . . being called stupid by an adult (assuming)

>

>>with the reasoning ability of a 5-year-old was a little more than I could

>

>>stand to let slide. The closing scene with my rotting corpse floating

down

>

>>the river, minus its "silly head," was icing on the cake, and a particularly

>

>>bright passage, I must say. A little wishful thinking there?
>>
>>Yeah, we're all "damned lucky" you're not in charge. Unfortunately, the
>
>>morons in who are in charge could not have stayed in power this long without
>
>>the support of hateful, violent people who "think" like you.
>>
>>I worked for a long time in a state hospital for the mentally "challenged,"
>
>>and it was always interesting to me how condescending
>>retarded people can be. I think it's because they're not smart enough
to
>
>>know that they don't know, so when they can't comprehend what you're saying
>
>>to them, they think you're the idiot.
>>
>>I'm saving your post so when I hear people say things like, "Holy crap,
>why
>>is the world such an ugly, violent place?" I can say, "Well, here, read
>this
>>. . . and then imagine how many millions of others are similarly limited."
>>
>>Go play now, James . . . this is big people talk.
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>>PS: My apologies to the other, more rational participants in this seemingly
>
>>endless discussion. I usually refrain from personal attacks, but he pissed
>
>>me off, and I gave in to a moment of weakness. Sorry. I'll shut up now.
> I
>>think I've said enough on this particular topic anyway.
>>
>>PPS: Anybody know this McCloskey guy? Is he gonna rape me, beat me "with
>
>>an inch" of my life, and cut my head off now? (No! No! Not the inch again!)
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:4655f94e\$1@linux...
>>>
>>>
>>> There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on
>>> collateral
>>> damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
>is

>>> no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>>> removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
>
>>> invading?
>>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>>
>>> It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>>> attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
>
>>> people
>>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>> in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
>a
>>> weasel.
>>> >
>>> Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We
>are
>>> not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>
>>> being
>>> in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop

>>> fighting
>>> and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
>
>>> What
>>> do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
>
>>> They
>>> keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around
Al
>
>>> Qaeda
>>> aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
>
>>> wrong.
>>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>> party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal

>>> media!
>>>
>>> Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
>is
>>> so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>>> count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to
>you

>>> stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at
>
>>> will
>>> and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>is
>>> wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of
>
>>> rotten,
>>> stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>>
>>> Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make
>sure
>>> the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
>
>>> with
>>> an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>> and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
>
>>> that's
>>> why not!
>>>
>>> It's you that doesn't get it!
>>>
>>> Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 18:35:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This whole thing boils down to one question:

Do the Iraqi's want democracy? Do they know what to do with it?

When we leave will they slide into a theocracy dominated by mullahs, or will they slide back into a corrupt and brutal Baathism, or will they constitute a robust and free country?

Either of the former means we will have failed in a most large and tragic manner. The latter will mean success and a greater chance for peace.

Anyone who says they know which will happen is a liar. There is plenty of evidence for either position.

Anyone who isn't scared about the possibilites for failure is not paying attention.

This is what you see when you strip away both the flag-waving from some folks, and the campaign of the left to make us lose this war.

I'm nervous but hopeful. Others are just nervous.

We will know soon, won't we?

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 18:41:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Don,

I don't pretend to know what will happen, but I stick with the following idea, one that I came to circa 1992. There will be no fundamental change in the arab world until the last drop of oil is extracted from the desert.

Chuck

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>This whole thing boils down to one question:
>
>Do the Iraqi's want democracy? Do they know what to do with it?
>
>When we leave will they slide into a theocracy dominated by
>mullahs, or will they slide back into a corrupt and brutal Baathism,
>or will they constitute a robust and free country?
>
>Either of the former means we will have failed in a most large
>and tragic manner. The latter will mean success and a greater
>chance for peace.
>
>Anyone who says they know which will happen is a liar.
>There is plenty of evidence for either position.
>
>Anyone who isn't scared about the possibilites for failure is
>not paying attention.
>
>This is what you see when you strip away both the flag-waving

>from some folks, and the campaign of the left to make us lose
>this war.
>
>I'm nervous but hopeful. Others are just nervous.
>
>We will know soon, won't we?
>
>DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 18:42:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chuck, I am calm. Go reread her post, I find it objectionable that she is blaming the United States for everything. She is also saying that the prisoners in Guantanamo are innocent. These people are terrorist and killed Americans. One stupid rant deserved another. I'm just sick of hearing all the anti American stuff.

The Bush administration and their cronies have terribly mismanaged this war, to a point that I think it is criminal. However, I'm not going to jump on the liberal bandwagon with all of their twisting of the truth and their lies. I don't blame the troops or the US then there is collateral damage. As an American, I find her rant offensive.

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Hi James,
>
>Now that you are winding down, re-visiting, and re-casting your own words,
>take a moment to calm down and consider what Sarah found objectionable in
>your post. It's the human thing to do.

>
>Chuck
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>>I said your liberal thinking was stupid, but it's Ok, take it anyway you
>want
>>to. I guess radical muslims are not hateful, violent people? It's only
>>the US that is wrong. right? Will we deserve it when the take out one
of
>>our cities? If it is your city they pick will it be Ok?

>>
>>It's the insurgents and Al Qaeda that are intentionally killing innocent
>>people in Iraq, not the US. It's a war, there are going to be casualties.
>> Casualties are unfortunate. Do you believe in killing? How about when

>>it's convenient? DO YOU BELIEVE IN ABORTION? Do you conveniently put
a
>>title on it and make excuses for killing like, a women's right to choose?
>> It's still human, it's still alive, it's still killing! Is that Ok?
From
>>what I've read, around 47 million babies have been killed by abortion in
>>the US over the years, is that Ok?
>>
>>
>>Do you think your freedom here in America was won with out blood shed?

>If
>>you do, I have a special announcement, Your freedom was won at the end
of
>>a gun barrel. I'll bet your anti gun to. It's funny how liberals do not
>>want honest decent law abiding citizens to have guns, but the liberals
will
>>be the first to defend criminals, and get laws legislated for criminals
>rights.
>> Kind of like you advocating for all of those nice peaceful innocent people
>>in Guantanamo. I think your thinking is a bit mixed up. The US has maid
>>a lot of mistakes, but we, the US are not the bad guys!
>>
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>I was just going to take a deep breath and let this go, because your post
>>is
>>>so full of defective logic that it would take a week to address each
>>>individual "point." But . . . being called stupid by an adult (assuming)
>>
>>>with the reasoning ability of a 5-year-old was a little more than I could
>>
>>>stand to let slide. The closing scene with my rotting corpse floating
>down
>>
>>>the river, minus its "silly head," was icing on the cake, and a particularly
>>
>>>bright passage, I must say. A little wishful thinking there?
>>>
>>>Yeah, we're all "damned lucky" you're not in charge. Unfortunately, the
>>
>>>morons in who are in charge could not have stayed in power this long without
>>
>>>the support of hateful, violent people who "think" like you.
>>>
>>>I worked for a long time in a state hospital for the mentally "challenged,"
>>
>>>and it was always interesting to me how condescending
>>>retarded people can be. I think it's because they're not smart enough

>to
>>
>>>know that they don't know, so when they can't comprehend what you're saying
>>
>>>to them, they think you're the idiot.
>>>
>>>I'm saving your post so when I hear people say things like, "Holy crap,
>>why
>>>is the world such an ugly, violent place?" I can say, "Well, here, read
>>this
>>>. . . and then imagine how many millions of others are similarly limited."
>>>
>>>Go play now, James . . . this is big people talk.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>>
>>>PS: My apologies to the other, more rational participants in this seemingly
>>
>>>endless discussion. I usually refrain from personal attacks, but he pissed
>>
>>>me off, and I gave in to a moment of weakness. Sorry. I'll shut up now.
>> I
>>>think I've said enough on this particular topic anyway.
>>>
>>>PPS: Anybody know this McCloskey guy? Is he gonna rape me, beat me "with
>>
>>>an inch" of my life, and cut my head off now? (No! No! Not the inch again!)
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:4655f94e\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on

>>>> collateral
>>>> damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
>>is
>>>> no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we
>have
>>>> removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
>>
>>>> invading?
>>>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>>>
>>>> It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims
that
>>>> attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about
the

>>
>>>> people
>>>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>>> in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>>>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
>>a
>>>> weasel.
>>>> >
>>>> Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We
>>are
>>>> not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>>
>>>> being
>>>> in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop
>
>>>> fighting
>>>> and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
>>
>>>> What
>>>> do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
>>
>>>> They
>>>> keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around
>Al
>>
>>>> Qaeda
>>>> aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
>>
>>>> wrong.
>>>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>>> party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal
>
>>>> media!
>>>>
>>>> Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
>>is
>>>> so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>>>> count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to
>>you
>>>> stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own
at
>>
>>>> will
>>>> and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>>is
>>>> wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile
of
>>

>>>> rotten,
>>>> stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>>>
>>>> Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make
>>sure
>>>> the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
>>
>>>> with
>>>> an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>>> and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
>>
>>>> that's
>>>> why not!
>>>>
>>>> It's you that doesn't get it!
>>>>
>>>> Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 18:57:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I hope you are wrong, but I cannot swear that you are...

What gives me some hope is that there is a pretty significant number of Iraqis who don't want to be governed by Mullahs, or Baathists.

But our biggest mistake of the last 50 years was not seeing the geopolitical significance of our energy policies... No doubt about it.

Now name me a candidate, of either party who is standing up and promising to drill everywhere and build more refineries while at the same time developing alternatives... Name one who is taking this seriously!

meet the new boss...

DC

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Hi Don,
>
>I don't pretend to know what will happen, but I stick with the following
>idea, one that I came to circa 1992. There will be no fundamental change
>in the arab world until the last drop of oil is extracted from the desert.
>
>Chuck
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>This whole thing boils down to one question:
>>
>>Do the Iraqi's want democracy? Do they know what to do with it?
>>
>>When we leave will they slide into a theocracy dominated by
>>mullahs, or will they slide back into a corrupt and brutal Baathism,
>>or will they constitute a robust and free country?
>>
>>Either of the former means we will have failed in a most large
>>and tragic manner. The latter will mean success and a greater
>>chance for peace.
>>
>>Anyone who says they know which will happen is a liar.
>>There is plenty of evidence for either position.
>>
>>Anyone who isn't scared about the possibilites for failure is
>>not paying attention.
>>
>>This is what you see when you strip away both the flag-waving
>>from some folks, and the campaign of the left to make us lose
>>this war.
>>
>>I'm nervous but hopeful. Others are just nervous.
>>
>>We will know soon, won't we?
>>
>>DC
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Gene Lennon\[6\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 19:12:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

They're damned lucky I'm not in charge.

The last six years have been a great American experiment. At no time in our history have we been so controlled by a single philosophy. The Bush doctrine has been pushed into every nook and cranny of our government, national and

in the world that gets any financial assistance or incentive from US dollars goes along. The Bush administration has actually placed cronies in every spot they can. Both important positions and less important positions. From the key leaders of our country, our military, our Supreme Court, the UN, all the way down to who runs Public Radio and ten thousand other examples. Who filters the science coming from NASA, NOAA etc? The civilian staffing in Iraq is a perfect example. Bush loyalist got all the key positions. Why bring in someone with knowledge and experience when you can bring in a crony? Our

Sure other administrations, both republican and democrat, have tried to extend their sphere of influence, but at no time in American history has one administration been so successful at achieving near 100 percent control. The fact that the republicans controlled both houses of congress combined with the post 9/11 fear that questioning the administration could be interpreted as being un-patriotic

So congratulations James. You have been in charge and you should be very proud of what you have achieved.

Gene

>
>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other sane
>
>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>
>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem
>
>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what

>
>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>
>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<
>
>There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral
>damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There is
>no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>removed Sadam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out invading?
> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>
>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>about
>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>
>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>
>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>
>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
you
>
>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders. "Bomb
>
>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>of
>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<
>
>It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
people
> Sadam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is a
>weasel.
>
>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>
>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>
>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>
>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>
>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>a
>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<
>

>Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are
>not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
being
>in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop fighting
>and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
What
>do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
They
>keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
Qaeda
>aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always wrong.
> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!
>
>
>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>
>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>
>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<
>
>
>Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
is
>so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you
>stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at will
>and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
is
>wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of rotten,
>stinking, bullshit!!!!
>
>Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure
>the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you with
>an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river, that's
>why not!
>
>It's you that doesn't get it!
>
>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
should
>
>be dealt with as such.
>
>Sarah<<
>
>Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?

>
>
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
sane
>
>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>
>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem
>
>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>
>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>
>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.
>>
>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
about
>
>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>
>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>
>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>
>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>you
>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.
"Bomb
>
>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>of
>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.
>>
>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>
>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>
>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>
>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>
>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>a
>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).
>>
>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator

>
>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>
>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>>
>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should
>
>>be dealt with as such.
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
they
>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>
>>>> > would
>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>
>>> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many
more,
>>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy. What
>
>>> the
>>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>are
>>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
>>> moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you look
>at
>>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>the
>>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>read
>>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>and
>>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>>
>>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>>
>>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>>> kill

>>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>>
>>>> S
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>>> >
>>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>> news:46537917@linux...
>>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
>>> whole
>>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day
hero
>>> ...
>>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world into
>>> even
>>>> >> greater instability."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>>> >
>>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the
one
>>> that
>>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>>> >
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"
>
>>>> >> (how
>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>>> >
>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>> there
>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>kind
>>> of
>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to do
>
>>>> > this
>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
blood
>>>> > money to the families of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>>>> > easlily
>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>
>>>> > while

>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>were
>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
>who
>>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>>> Sadaam
>>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
>>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to
deify
>>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
>to
>>> kill
>>>> > people.
>>>> >
>>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure
>>>> >> "collateral
>>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far, then
>>> why
>>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way to
>be
>>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably
>just
>>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists are
>a
>>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue
>
>>>> >> when
>>>> >> you remove a cancer.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> It's only logical.
>>>> >
>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
they
>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in this
>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>
>>>> > would
>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>> >
>>>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
>>> aisle,
>>>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>>>> > cretins.
>>>> >

>>>> >
>>> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>>>> >
>>>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing,
>take
>>>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with
them.
>>>> >
>>>> > ;o)
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 19:24:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James, one reason you find Sarah's thoughts offensive, I wager, is because you are not really hearing what she is saying. Using a black and white interpretation is causing you to overreact, IMO.

She actually didn't make the claim that all prisoners at Gitmo are innocent, for example.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

James McCloskey wrote:

> Chuck, I am calm. Go reread her post, I find it objectionable that she is
> blaming the United States for everything. She is also saying that the prisoners
> in Guantanamo are innocent. These people are terrorist and killed Americans.
> One stupid rant deserved another. I'm just sick of hearing all the anti
> American stuff.
>
> The Bush administration and their cronies have terribly mismanaged this war,
> to a point that I think it is criminal. However, I'm not going to jump on
> the liberal bandwagon with all of their twisting of the truth and their lies.
> I don't blame the troops or the US then there is collateral damage. As
> an American, I find her rant offensive.
>

>
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>> Hi James,
>>
>> Now that you are winding down, re-visiting, and re-casting your own words,
>> take a moment to calm down and consider what Sarah found objectionable in
>> your post. It's the human thing to do.
>>
>> Chuck
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> I said your liberal thinking was stupid, but it's Ok, take it anyway you
>> want
>>> to. I guess radical muslims are not hateful, violent people? It's only
>>> the US that is wrong. right? Will we deserve it when they take out one
> of
>>> our cities? If it is your city they pick will it be Ok?
>>>
>>> It's the insurgents and Al Qaeda that are intentionally killing innocent
>>> people in Iraq, not the US. It's a war, there are going to be casualties.
>>> Casualties are unfortunate. Do you believe in killing? How about when
>>> it's convenient? DO YOU BELIEVE IN ABORTION? Do you conveniently put
> a
>>> title on it and make excuses for killing like, a women's right to choose?
>>> It's still human, it's still alive, it's still killing! Is that Ok?
> From
>>> what I've read, around 47 million babies have been killed by abortion in
>>> the US over the years, is that Ok?
>>>
>>>
>>> Do you think your freedom here in America was won with out blood shed?
>
>> If
>>> you do, I have a special announcement, Your freedom was won at the end
> of
>>> a gun barrel. I'll bet your anti gun to. It's funny how liberals do not
>>> want honest decent law abiding citizens to have guns, but the liberals
> will
>>> be the first to defend criminals, and get laws legislated for criminals
>> rights.
>>> Kind of like you advocating for all of those nice peaceful innocent people
>>> in Guantanamo. I think your thinking is a bit mixed up. The US has made
>>> a lot of mistakes, but we, the US are not the bad guys!
>>>
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>> I was just going to take a deep breath and let this go, because your post
>>> is
>>>> so full of defective logic that it would take a week to address each
>>>> individual "point." But . . . being called stupid by an adult (assuming)

>>>> with the reasoning ability of a 5-year-old was a little more than I could
>>>> stand to let slide. The closing scene with my rotting corpse floating
>> down
>>>> the river, minus its "silly head," was icing on the cake, and a particularly
>>>> bright passage, I must say. A little wishful thinking there?
>>>>
>>>> Yeah, we're all "damned lucky" you're not in charge. Unfortunately, the
>>>> morons in who are in charge could not have stayed in power this long without
>>>> the support of hateful, violent people who "think" like you.
>>>>
>>>> I worked for a long time in a state hospital for the mentally "challenged,"
>>>> and it was always interesting to me how condescending
>>>> retarded people can be. I think it's because they're not smart enough
>> to
>>>> know that they don't know, so when they can't comprehend what you're saying
>>>> to them, they think you're the idiot.
>>>>
>>>> I'm saving your post so when I hear people say things like, "Holy crap,
>>> why
>>>> is the world such an ugly, violent place?" I can say, "Well, here, read
>>> this
>>>> . . . and then imagine how many millions of others are similarly limited."
>>>>
>>>> Go play now, James . . . this is big people talk.
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>>
>>>> PS: My apologies to the other, more rational participants in this seemingly
>>>> endless discussion. I usually refrain from personal attacks, but he pissed
>>>> me off, and I gave in to a moment of weakness. Sorry. I'll shut up now.
>>> I
>>>> think I've said enough on this particular topic anyway.
>>>>
>>>> PPS: Anybody know this McCloskey guy? Is he gonna rape me, beat me "with
>>>> an inch" of my life, and cut my head off now? (No! No! Not the inch again!)
>>>>
>>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:4655f94e\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on
>
>>>>> collateral
>>>>> damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
>>> is
>>>>> no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we
>> have
>>>>> removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
>>>>> invading?

>>>>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>>>>
>>>>> It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims
> that
>>>>> attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about
> the
>>>>> people
>>>>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>>>> in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>>>>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
>>> a
>>>>> weasel.
>>>>> Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We
>>> are
>>>>> not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>>>>> being
>>>>> in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop
>>>>> fighting
>>>>> and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
>>>>> What
>>>>> do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
>>>>> They
>>>>> keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around
>> Al
>>>>> Qaeda
>>>>> aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
>>>>> wrong.
>>>>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>>>> party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal
>>>>> media!
>>>>>
>>>>> Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
>>> is
>>>>> so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>>>>> count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to
>>> you
>>>>> stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own
> at
>>>>> will
>>>>> and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>>> is
>>>>> wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile
> of
>>>>> rotten,
>>>>> stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>>>>
>>>>> Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make
>>> sure

>>>> the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
>>>> with
>>>> an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>>> and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
>>>> that's
>>>> why not!
>>>>
>>>> It's you that doesn't get it!
>>>>
>>>> Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>>>
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 19:59:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Now name me a candidate, of either party who is standing up and promising to drill everywhere and build more refineries while at the same time developing alternatives... Name one who is taking this seriously!"

Well, let me run it down,

Select * from
candidates
where
drillEverywhere = 1 AND
developAlternatives = 1

"Query returned no rows"

But anyhooo.. That wasn't the point. To find our way out of the dependency pickle requires a different level of thinking than was used to create the problem (thanks Albert:-). We have that covered. I don't think that politicians will provide the answer, the answer will come from this centuries Edison. I am eternally hopeful that there will be an energy 'aha' moment, the tipping point where our collective ingenuity comes through, as it always does.

AFAIK The September 11 attack on the US required planning and funding. Unless I am completely misunderstanding the events, I believe that a group of suicidal, well funded, saudi citizens attacked the US.

The money is vanishing with the oil. Forward thinking arab nations are in a mad scramble to find an income replacement. Look what's going on in DXB (Dubai). This is crazy and ridiculous, but think about it - why did they

build the worlds largest indoor ski slope? They are building an air traffic hub, where the worlds elite can stop and ski, shop and relax between connecting flights. What else are they gonna sell, the raw material for glass?

Chuck

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>I hope you are wrong, but I cannot swear that you are...
>
>What gives me some hope is that there is a pretty significant
>number of Iraqis who don't want to be governed by Mullahs,
>or Baathists.
>
>But our biggest mistake of the last 50 years was not seeing
>the geopolitical significance of our energy policies...
>No doubt about it.
>
>Now name me a candidate, of either party who is standing up
>and promising to drill everywhere and build more refineries
>while at the same time developing alternatives... Name one who
>is taking this seriously!
>
>meet the new boss...

>DC

>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>
>>Hi Don,
>>
>>I don't pretend to know what will happen, but I stick with the following
>>idea, one that I came to circa 1992. There will be no fundamental change
>>in the arab world until the last drop of oil is extracted from the desert.

>>Chuck

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>This whole thing boils down to one question:
>>>
>>>Do the Iraqi's want democracy? Do they know what to do with it?

>>>
>>>When we leave will they slide into a theocracy dominated by
>>>mullahs, or will they slide back into a corrupt and brutal Baathism,
>>>or will they constitute a robust and free country?

>>>
>>>Either of the former means we will have failed in a most large

>>>and tragic manner. The latter will mean success and a greater
>>>chance for peace.
>>>
>>>Anyone who says they know which will happen is a liar.
>>>There is plenty of evidence for either position.
>>>
>>>Anyone who isn't scared about the possibilites for failure is
>>>not paying attention.
>>>
>>>This is what you see when you strip away both the flag-waving
>>>from some folks, and the campaign of the left to make us lose
>>>this war.
>>>
>>>I'm nervous but hopeful. Others are just nervous.
>>>
>>>We will know soon, won't we?
>>>
>>>DC
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 20:08:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>But anyhooo.. That wasn't the point. To find our way out of the dependency
>pickle requires a different level of thinking than was used to create the
>problem (thanks Albert:-). We have that covered. I don't think that politicians
>will provide the answer, the answer will come from this centuries Edison.

>I am eternally hopeful that there will be an energy 'aha' moment, the tipping
>point where our collective ingenuity comes through, as it always does.

I think it's a Hail Mary perspective. I hope it happens, but
we need our own oil in the meantime.

>AFAIK The September 11 attack on the US required planning and funding. Unless
>I am completely misunderstanding the events, I believe that a group of suicidal,
>well funded, saudi citizens attacked the US.
>
>The money is vanishing with the oil. Forward thinking arab nations are

in

>a mad scramble to find an income replacement. Look what's going on in DXB
>(Dubai). This is crazy and ridiculous, but think about it - why did they
>build the worlds largest indoor ski slope? They are building an air traffic
>hub, where the worlds elite can stop and ski, shop and relax between connecting
>flights. What else are they gonna sell, the raw material for glass?

Good point. And if the oil really runs out (I don't think that will happen for a long time) this culture will certainly find something else. You are right.

DC

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 20:10:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This was on my required reading political science course curriculum in 1972.
It's an interesting read.

<http://www.amazon.com/Arab-Awakening-Story-National-Movement /dp/0866850007>

Deej

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:46572dc5\$1@linux...

>

> Hi Don,

>

> I don't pretend to know what will happen, but I stick with the following
> idea, one that I came to circa 1992. There will be no fundamental change
> in the arab world until the last drop of oil is extracted from the desert.

>

> Chuck

>

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>This whole thing boils down to one question:

>>

>>Do the Iraqi's want democracy? Do they know what to do with it?

>>

>>When we leave will they slide into a theocracy dominated by
>>mullahs, or will they slide back into a corrupt and brutal Baathism,
>>or will they constitute a robust and free country?

>>

>>Either of the former means we will have failed in a most large

>>and tragic manner. The latter will mean success and a greater
>>chance for peace.
>>
>>Anyone who says they know which will happen is a liar.
>>There is plenty of evidence for either position.
>>
>>Anyone who isn't scared about the possibilites for failure is
>>not paying attention.
>>
>>This is what you see when you strip away both the flag-waving
>>from some folks, and the campaign of the left to make us lose
>>this war.
>>
>>I'm nervous but hopeful. Others are just nervous.
>>
>>We will know soon, won't we?
>>
>>DC
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 20:22:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well Gene, you obviously don't know me! I've never liked Bush or his trilateralist family. When he ran for the nomination for the Republican party, I said he is an oil man, If he gets elected our standard of living will drop and will be paying through the nose for gas and oil.

His father is a card holding member of the CFR and the Trilateral commission, and don't kid you self for a minute that it doesn't exist. At the end of George Bush the first's term, he invaded Rwanda and Somalia. The bullshit excuse was that we wanted to go in to stabilize and play Santa to all the poor children. We were lied to. Americans died for oil companies. The truth was, their leader was assassinated and two warlords were fighting for control. The oil companies lost their on shore and off shore oil leases. It was about oil! That's why we went, not playing santa to poor people. There has been blood shed and unrest in Africa for thousands of years. We don't go in to straiten things out unless there is oil at stake. I don't like the Bush family.

You've got it wrong, it's not the Bush's that have control of the world and have their people running things in every nook and cranny. It's a particular group of people that are running everything. The bush's are just helping them and appointing their people to all of those positions you speak of. Funny thing is, they have even gotten in to china, but they haven't been able to control the arab countries of the middle east. These people are

never happy unless they are taking advantage of some body. I'm not going to say who these people are but you can find them through out world history.

"Gene Lennon" <g@mail.com> wrote:

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge.

>

>

>

>

>The last six years have been a great American experiment. At no time in our

>history have we been so controlled by a single philosophy. The Bush doctrine >has been pushed into every nook and cranny of our government, national and

>in the world that gets any financial assistance or incentive from US dollars

>goes along. The Bush administration has actually placed cronies in every

>spot they can. Both important positions and less important positions. From

>the key leaders of our country, our military, our Supreme Court, the UN,

all

>the way down to who runs Public Radio and ten thousand other examples. Who

>filters the science coming from NASA, NOAA etc? The civilian staffing in

>Iraq is a perfect example. Bush loyalist got all the key positions. Why

bring

>in someone with knowledge and experience when you can bring in a crony?

Our

>

>Sure other administrations, both republican and democrat, have tried to extend

>their sphere of influence, but at no time in American history has one administration

>been so successful at achieving near 100 percent control. The fact that

the

>republicans controlled both houses of congress combined with the post 9/11

>fear that questioning the administration could be interpreted and being

un-patriotic

>

>So congratulations James. You have been in charge and you should be very

>proud of what you have achieved.

>

>Gene
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
>sane
>>
>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>
>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation seem
>>
>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>>
>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>>
>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<
>>
>>There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral
>>damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
is
>>no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>>removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out invading?
>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>
>>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>>about
>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>>
>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>
>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>
>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>you
>>
>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.
"Bomb
>>
>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>of
>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<
>>
>>It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that

>>attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
>people
>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
>a
>>weasel.
>>
>>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>
>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>>
>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>
>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>
>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>a
>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<
>>
>>Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We are
>>not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>being
>>in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop fighting
>>and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.

>What

>>do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.

>They

>>keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
>Qaeda

>>aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
wrong.

>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!

>>

>>

>>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator

>>

>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying

>>

>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<

>>

>>

>>Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That

>is

>>so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents

>>count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to you
>>stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at
will
>>and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>is
>>wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of
rotten,
>>stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>
>>Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make sure
>>the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
with
>>an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,
that's
>>why not!
>>
>>It's you that doesn't get it!
>>
>>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
>should
>>
>>be dealt with as such.
>>
>>Sarah<<
>>
>>Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>
>>
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
>sane
>>
>>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>
>>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it

>>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation
seem
>>
>>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what
>>
>>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been
>>
>>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.
>>>
>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>about

>>
>>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking about
>>
>>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>
>>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>
>>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>>you
>>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.

>"Bomb

>>
>>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>of
>>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.
>>>
>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>
>>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>>
>>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>
>>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>
>>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>a
>>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).
>>>
>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>
>>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>>
>>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>>>
>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and should
>>
>>>be dealt with as such.
>>>
>>>Sarah
>>>
>>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
>>>they
>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in

this
>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>
>>>> > would
>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>
>>>> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many
>more,
>>>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>>>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy.
What
>>
>>>> the
>>>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>>are
>>>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by more
>>>> moderate Islamics. I agree with DeeJ. This can be supported if you look
>>at
>>>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>>the
>>>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>>read
>>>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>>>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>>and
>>>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>>>
>>>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>>>
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>>>> kill
>>>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>>>
>>>>> S
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>>>> >
>>>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:46537917@linux...
>>>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning the
>>>> whole
>>>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day
>>hero
>>>> ...

>>>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world
into
>>>>> even
>>>>> >> greater instability."
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>>>> >
>>>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the
>one
>>>> that
>>>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>>>> >
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"
>>
>>>>> >> (how
>>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>>> there
>>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>>kind
>>>> of
>>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to
do
>>
>>>>> > this
>>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
>blood
>>>>> > money to the familes of terrorists to ensure that the could more

>>>>> > easlily
>>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>>
>>>>> > while
>>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>>were
>>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
>>who
>>>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>>>> Sadaam
>>>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and every
>>>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to
>deify
>>>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
>>to

>>>> kill
>>>>> > people.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure
>>>>> >> "collateral
>>>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far,
then
>>>> why
>>>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way
to
>>be
>>>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably
>>just
>>>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists
are
>>a
>>>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue
>>
>>>>> >> when
>>>>> >> you remove a cancer.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> It's only logical.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
>they
>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in
this
>>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>
>>>>> > would
>>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
>>>> aisle,
>>>>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>>>>> > cretins.
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>> <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>>>>> >
>>>>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing,
>>take
>>>>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with
>them.

>will be paying through the nose for gas and oil.

>

>His father is a card holding member of the CFR and the Trilateral commission,

>and don't kid you self for a minute that it doesn't exist. At the end of

>George Bush the first's term, he invaded Rwanda and Somalia. The bullshit

>excuse was that we wanted to go in to stabilize and play Santa to all the

>poor children. We were lied to. Americans died for oil companies. The

>truth was, their leader was assassinated and two warlords were fighting

for

>control. The oil companies lost their on shore and off shore oil leases.

> It was about oil! That's why we went, not playing santa to poor people.

> There has been blood shed and unrest in Africa for thousands of years.

>We don't go in to straiten things out unless there is oil at stake. I don't

>like the Bush family.

>

>You've got it wrong, it's not the Bush's that have control of the world

and

>have their people running things in every nook and cranny. It's a particular

>group of people that are running everything. The bush's are just helping

>them and appointing their people to all of those positions you speak of.

> Funny thing is, they have even gotten in to china, but they haven't been

>able to control the arab countries of the middle east. These people are

>never happy unless they are taking advantage of some body. I'm not going

>to say who these people are but you can find them through out world history.

>

>

>

>"Gene Lennon" <g@mail.com> wrote:

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge.

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>The last six years have been a great American experiment. At no time in

>our

>>history have we been so controlled by a single philosophy. The Bush doctrine

>>has been pushed into every nook and cranny of our government, national

and

>>in the world that gets any financial assistance or incentive from US dollars

>>goes along. The Bush administration has actually placed cronies in every

>>spot they can. Both important positions and less important positions. From

>>the key leaders of our country, our military, our Supreme Court, the UN,
>all
>>the way down to who runs Public Radio and ten thousand other examples.
Who
>>filters the science coming from NASA, NOAA etc? The civilian staffing
in
>>Iraq is a perfect example. Bush loyalist got all the key positions. Why
>bring
>>in someone with knowledge and experience when you can bring in a crony?
>Our

>>
>>Sure other administrations, both republican and democrat, have tried to
>extend
>>their sphere of influence, but at no time in American history has one administration
>>been so successful at achieving near 100 percent control. The fact that
>the
>>republicans controlled both houses of congress combined with the post 9/11
>>fear that questioning the administration could be interpreted and being
>un-patriotic

>>
>>So congratulations James. You have been in charge and you should be very
>>proud of what you have achieved.

>>
>>Gene

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think Deej or any other
>>sane

>>>
>>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>>
>>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it

>>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation
seem

>>>

>>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for what

>>>

>>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've been

>>>

>>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.<<
>>>
>>>There is always collateral damage in war. You can't put a price on collateral
>>>damage when it comes to war. This isn't patty cakes, it's war. There
>is
>>>no way to make it clean, convenient, or palatable! So how could we have
>>>removed Saddam, his sons and the whole Baath party from power with out
invading?
>>> We couldn't have. Your thinking is hindsight and vary convenient.
>>>
>>>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>>>about
>>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking
about
>>>
>>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>>
>>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>>
>>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>>you
>>>
>>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.

>"Bomb
>>>
>>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>>of
>>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.<<
>>>
>>>It was Muslims that declared war on the US in 1996! It was Muslims that
>>>attacked us first. It's muslims that want a holy war. What about the
>>people
>>> Saddam killed, possibly millions? Oh yeah, all those poor innocent people
>>>in Guantanamo. I love that hey terrorist should have rights too thinking.
>>> They're damned lucky I'm not in charge. As far as McCain goes he is
>a
>>>weasel.
>>>
>>>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>>
>>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war! We're
>>>
>>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Caeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>>
>>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>>
>>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after

>>>a
>>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).<<
>>>
>>>Of course they are decent people, and I guess we are not, right? We
are
>>>not making war. They started war in our country! They had no business
>>being
>>>in our country. As far as their countries, we say that if they stop fighting
>>>and get along, we'll pull out and get the hell out of their countries.
>
>>What
>>>do they do? They keep fighting to keep us there. They want us there.
>
>>They
>>>keep us there. How can you be so sure that the people killed around Al
>>Qaeda
>>>aren't giving aid and support to them. Yes our intelligence is always
>wrong.
>>> If we bomb a target of insurgents, it's going to turn out to be a wedding
>>>party full of kids. That is so convenient for the left wing liberal media!
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>>
>>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're trying
>>>
>>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.<<
>>>
>>>
>>>Who is killing the innocent in Iraq? You'd like to blame the US. That
>>is
>>>so convenient for you. Why don't Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents
>>>count? What, is it inconvenient? Is it because it doesn't fit in to
you
>>>stupid liberal thinking? What about Al Qaeda? They kill their own at
>will
>>>and it's Ok. And it's the US that is wrong. It's the US thinking that
>>is
>>>wrong. It the US that asked for this by our policies. What a pile of
>rotten,
>>>stinking, bullshit!!!!
>>>
>>>Since we are doing it all wrong, why don't you go over there and make
sure
>>>the right people get killed? They would catch you, rape you, beat you
>with
>>>an inch of your life, then they'd cut your silly head off on video tape,
>>>and send your headless rotting corpse floating down the Tigris river,

>that's
>>>why not!
>>>
>>>It's you that doesn't get it!
>>>
>>>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
>>should
>>>
>>>be dealt with as such.
>>>
>>>Sarah<<
>>>
>>>Al Qaeda is in Iraq!!! Where should it be dealt with?
>>>
>>>
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarhtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>Sorry, guys . . . of course I didn't seriously think DeeJ or any other
>>sane
>>>
>>>>>person would advocate killing all Muslims. I was just trying in my typical
>>>
>>>>>clumsy sarcastic way to make a point, that point being that I find it
>
>>>>>incomprehensible that people who support this invasion and occupation
>seem
>>>
>>>>>to believe that the stunning "collateral damage" is a fair price for
what
>>>
>>>>>little we've gained in return. Saddam is gone. Great. He could've
been
>>>
>>>>>gone without declaring war on Iraq . . . without the collateral damage.
>>>>>
>>>>>And when I use the euphemism "collateral damage," I'm not just talking
>>about
>>>
>>>>>the tens, maybe hundreds of thousands of dead civilians. I'm talking
>about
>>>
>>>>>the survivors, too, who we've given reason to hate us where perhaps there
>>>
>>>>>wasn't reason before. And that includes innocent people rotting in places
>>>
>>>>>like Guantanamo. And it includes the freedom-loving dissenters in Iran
>>>you
>>>>>refer to who now fear George Bush more than their own insane leaders.
>

>>"Bomb
>>>
>>>>bomb bomb . . . bomb bomb Iran" - John McCain doing Beach Boys, to rounds
>>>of
>>>>laughter and applause. Yeah, that's funny.
>>>>
>>>>Of course the decent, sane Muslims in the middle East are in the majority,
>>>>
>>>>which is exactly why we shouldn't be in their countries making war!
We're
>>>
>>>>killing a lot more of them than we are Al Qaeda, and that's not acceptable.
>>>
>>>>What percentage of the people we've killed in Iraq were actually Al Qaeda?
>>>
>>>>I bet you anything it's a single digit, maybe even a couple digits after
>>>>a
>>>>decimal point. (Sunni and Shia civil warring insurgents don't count).
>>>>
>>>>Overall, we are NOT killing the right people. We're like an exterminator
>>>
>>>>who shows up at your house to get cockroaches with a shotgun. We're
trying
>>>
>>>>to eliminate head lice with a hammer. Ow.
>>>>
>>>>Al Qaeda is an international network of criminals, not a country, and
>should
>>>
>>>>be dealt with as such.
>>>>
>>>>Sarah
>>>>
>>>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:4654d702\$1@linux...
>>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
>>they
>>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in
>this
>>>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>>
>>>>>> > would
>>>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah, I think what DeeJ is saying here is that there are many, many
>>more,
>>>>>> non fundamentalist Muslims around the world who are reasonable, not
>>>>>> radicalized and want to live under democracy rather than theocracy.

>What
>>>
>>>> the
>>>> press would have you believe is just the opposite, that the crazy ones
>>>are
>>>> the majority. You don't see the press covering dissenting views by
more
>>>> moderate Islamics. I agree with Deej. This can be supported if you
look
>>>at
>>>> the contemptuous reaction by the younger and educated in Iran against
>>>the
>>>> mullahs and Amadinejad. There is much dissent in Iran, which you only
>>>read
>>>> about in rare publications. There is also much repression in Iran against
>>>> the voice of democracy. We need to be the light and hope for the world
>>>and
>>>> for democratic freedoms. The alternative is frightening, isn't it!
>>>>
>>>> http://www.nowpublic.com/silencing_dissent_in_iran_four_hanged_0
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.hrw.org/english/docs/2004/06/07/iran8774.htm>
>>>>
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4653b5af@linux...
>>>>> So . . . hang on a minute here . . . you're saying you think we SHOULD
>>>>> kill
>>>>> all Muslims, starting with the entire Middle East?
>>>>>
>>>>> S
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:4653a4f0@linux...
>>>>> >
>>>>> > "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:46537917@linux...
>>>>> >> "To occupy Iraq would instantly shatter our coalition, turning
the
>>>>> whole
>>>>> >> Arab world against us and make a broken tyrant into a latter-day
>>hero
>>>>> ...
>>>>> >> assigning young soldiers to a fruitless hunt for a securely entrenched
>>>>> >> dictator and condemning them to fight in what would be an un-winnable
>>>>> >> urban guerilla war. It could only plunge that part of the world
>into
>>>>> even
>>>>> >> greater instability."
>>>>> >>

>>>>> >> - George H.W. Bush
>>>>> >
>>>>> > And you are referring to what coalition????.....the
>>one
>>>>> that
>>>>> > Clinton failed to hold together the minute he was elected???
>>>>> >
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Baby Bush shoulda listened to Big Bush instead of to "the crazies"
>>>
>>>>> >> (how
>>>>> >> Bush I's administration referred to the "neocons").
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Bush I had achieved a scenario wherein if Sadaam violated sanctions,
>>>>> there
>>>>> > were remedies. No one was willing to explore those remedies in any
>>>kind
>>>>> of
>>>>> > serious way. this is Clintons major crime, IMO. He had a duty to
>do
>>>
>>>>> > this
>>>>> > and he didn't. As the 90's wore on, a billionaire maniac who paid
>>blood
>>>>> > money to the families of terrorists to ensure that the could more
>
>>>>> > easlily
>>>>> > walk into Israeli shipping malls and restaurants and murder people
>>>
>>>>> > while
>>>>> > he flaunted UN authority was buiding his time until sham sanctions
>>>were
>>>>> > officially lifted while in Afghanistan, the weaselly rich wannabee
>>>who
>>>>> > felt dissed because the Saudi's didn't want him to defend them from
>>>>> Sadaam
>>>>> > and decided it should be us, collects the scum of the earth and
every
>>>>> > other variety of homicidal miscreant, gets some lunatic mullas to
>>deify
>>>>> > them in their own minds and sends these Aassholes around the world
>>>to
>>>>> kill
>>>>> > people.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Sorry Sarah....some things are not complicated at all.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> If this crusade is truly a righteous one, and if six figure

>>>>> >> "collateral
>>>>> >> damage" is an acceptable loss for what we've gained (?) so far,
>then
>>>>> why
>>>>> >> screw around? Why not just kill everyone between India and the
>>>>> >> Mediterranean (except for Israel, of course)? It's the only way
>to
>>>>>be
>>>>> >> sure. We must have the technology. After that we should probably
>>>>>just
>>>>> >> eliminate all Muslims around the world, since apparently the Quran
>>>>> >> compels true believers to kill non-Muslims. Islamic extremists
>are
>>>>>a
>>>>> >> cancer on civilisation, and you have to get the surrounding tissue
>>>>>
>>>>> >> when
>>>>> >> you remove a cancer.
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> It's only logical.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Because if you listen to the majority of the international press,
>>they
>>>>> > would have you believe that there are a lot more crazy people in
>this
>>>>> > world like the assholes we are fighting than reasonable people who
>>>>>
>>>>> > would
>>>>> > like to be rid of them.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > In spite of the apparent lunacy that I see on the left side of the
>>>>> aisle,
>>>>> > I think that they have a huge part to play in the downfall of these
>>>>> > cretins.
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> > <http://www.marxists.org/archive/hekmat-mansoor/2001/misc/rise-fall-islam.htm>
>>>>> >
>>>>> > ...if the left doesn't screw the pooch beforehand and in so doing,
>>>take
>>>>> > those in this country that are still willing to fight for it with
>>them.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > ;o)
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 25 May 2007 21:22:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>In DC it's impossible to walk down the street without a [JEW] trying to
lend
>me money at usurious rates, so I TOTALLY GET IT. If only someone could
come
>up with a viable plan to eradicate the [JEWS]!

Oh, it ain't the Jews. It's the Illuminati...

Oh wait, they are run by the Jews! Dang...

It's a hall of mirrors!! arrggHHHHH!

(hey where's Cron when you need him???)

Actually there is a plan to eradicate the Jews. It's called
radical Islam. Innit funny how extremism of both the left
and the right, eventually meet when things get really weird?

We live in scary times.

DC

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 26 May 2007 02:43:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the thoughtful dialog. I wish you well too.

Bill

DC wrote:

> Bill, these things are truth claims. At least mine sure is.
>
> Your truth claim is the assertion that all beliefs are personal ones.
>
> I claim mine to be objectively true. We were created, we fell from
> grace by sinning, and Jesus Christ came to earth to save us from
> our sins and offer us a new life in relationship with him.
>
> If this is true, then it makes claims on each of us and has import
> despite our opinions. It also means some beliefs are simply wrong.
> Factually wrong. It is clear you do not agree.
>
> That is why your "god" has quotes around his name and mine does not.
>
> I wish you well.
>
> DC
>
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>> Like I said, there are almost infinite viewpoints and each is valid to
>> the person who has it. If we understand the reasons a person has a
>> particular viewpoint, we increase our love for each other and our
>> willingness to communicate one to another.
>>
>> Do you love God for what he does for you?
>>
>> I love my wife for what she does for me.
>>
>> I love George Benson for what his music does for me.
>>
>> We all have some "god" in us - some more than others, but it's always there.
>>
>> Bill
>>
>>
>> DC wrote:
>>> The God I know is not a metaphor and does not get quotes around
>>> his name.
>>>
>>> So there you have it.
>>>
>>> best wishes,
>>>
>>> Don
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>> Something I realized about "god" a while back is: god is relative. The
>
>>>> way George Benson plays guitar makes him a god to me. I think any of
> us
>>>> can be gods when we do something truly spectacular.
>>>>
>>>> I would judge a being's godliness by the beneficial effect they create.
>>>> If it is so amazingly wonderful that billions of people's lives are
>>>> improved by it, then they are gods. Buddha's message would probably have
>>>> to stand above all others in the "quantity of good influence" category.
>>>> Probably Jesus next. The thing they had in common was they gave people
>
>>>> hope of a better world to come.
>>>>
>>>> There are billions of viewpoints out there and more coming every day.
>
>>>> They all see something that's real to them. It's a trip learning what
>
>>>> other beings perceive and it makes one wiser and more able to help.
>>>>
>>>> Bill L
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DC wrote:
>>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> The great leaders who truly changed the world with enlightenment were
>>>>>> the likes of Buddha, Jesus, Confucius, Ben Franklin, L Ron Hubbard.
>
>>>>>> These are the leaders we must seek out and theirs are the messages
> we
>>>>>> must follow.
>>>>> And all of them were just people, with one exception.
>>>>>
>>>>> He was, and is, God. So I think we have some differences in basic worldview.
>>>>>
>>>>> take care
>>>>>
>>>>> DC
>>>>>
>

Subject: Re: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 26 May 2007 02:57:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"until the last drop of oil is extracted from the desert." And the last

opium is harvested.

Has anyone else noticed that since Korea we never seem to get involved in a war unless they produce lots of drugs there? Hmmm. And we just can't seem to win them either, even though we overpower them about 100 to 1. Hmmm. Neither could the USSR in Afghanistan even though they overpowered them about 200 to 1. Hmmm. And those wars sure do take a long time to eventually lose. Hmmm.

Hmmm. Bill Hmmm.

chuck duffy wrote:

> Hi Don,

>

> I don't pretend to know what will happen, but I stick with the following
> idea, one that I came to circa 1992. There will be no fundamental change
> in the arab world until the last drop of oil is extracted from the desert.

>

> Chuck

>

> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>> This whole thing boils down to one question:

>>

>> Do the Iraqi's want democracy? Do they know what to do with it?

>>

>> When we leave will they slide into a theocracy dominated by
>> mullahs, or will they slide back into a corrupt and brutal Baathism,
>> or will they constitute a robust and free country?

>>

>> Either of the former means we will have failed in a most large
>> and tragic manner. The latter will mean success and a greater
>> chance for peace.

>>

>> Anyone who says they know which will happen is a liar.

>> There is plenty of evidence for either position.

>>

>> Anyone who isn't scared about the possibilites for failure is
>> not paying attention.

>>

>> This is what you see when you strip away both the flag-waving
>> from some folks, and the campaign of the left to make us lose
>> this war.

>>

>> I'm nervous but hopeful. Others are just nervous.

>>

>> We will know soon, won't we?

>>

>> DC

>

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [John](#) on Sun, 27 May 2007 11:41:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He's ALIVE ! wow. Just stopping by to talk submarines or you gonna hang out a bit? Great to see you. I thought the rapture got you!

John

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 04 Jun 2007 16:31:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I came back from holidays in Creece today after 2 weeks with nearly 70 Scandinavian folksingers, families and friends, that's loving the atmosphere in the land and islands that's starting our democracy-form for thousands of years ago. With us, we had an old, danish priest full of experiences with the life that I talked much with on this tour. We were often talking about wars, other cultures and problems this old nation have had in thousands of years with both Europe, Asia and Africa. We were just talking about life as humans in the world have done in generations before us. One of the evenings, we were sitting on a taverna, eating what the ocean had offered for the day to the owner of the taverna. I came to say to Freddy when eating fish, that the world have only had one real communist and it was the first, the only and last one. Freddy saw me deep into my eyes, with his old face smiling up over it all. He knew at once who the man I mean was and said: "It was Jesus, with the way he was living."

In deep honour and respect for Freddy and Jesus.

Erling

"DC" <dc@spammersinNYC.org> skrev i en meddelelse [news:46567107\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:46567107$1@linux...)

>

> I claim mine to be objectively true. We were created, we fell from
> grace by sinning, and Jesus Christ came to earth to save us from
> our sins and offer us a new life in relationship with him.

Subject: Re: OT: Springtime in Islamberg.

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 04 Jun 2007 16:39:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_004D_01C7A6D7.AF340570

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="Windows-1252"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Can it be the same way the Bible have started to be written, that = someone heard someone saying something about wise words someone had = heard that some wise persons had said, that someone so wanted to write = about???

Erling

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> skrev i en meddelelse = news:4654ceab@linux...

Jamie, picky, picky, picky :-) Well, all it says on that site is, = "there is no record of anyone hearing Churchill say this", possibly he = didn't say it, possibly he did. Somebody obviously attributed it to him. = Wonder who that was? The liberals of yesteryear are today's = conservatives anyway.

Thanks for the edification,

Rich

=20

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message = news:4652677b@linux...

>=20

> > 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart,
> > and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative doesn't have a = brain.' -

> > Winston Churchill

>=20

> Fact check:

>=20

> <http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3> D112

>=20

> Quotes Falsely Attributed:

> These quotes make for good story-telling but popular myth has = falsely=20

> attributed them to Churchill.

>=20

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>=20

>=20
>=20
> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >> To react to that, to conduct a "War Against Terrorism" is
> >> about as desperate and pathetic IMHO.
> >=20
> > Bill, it's not a war against terrorism, this is a misnomer. It is =
a war
> > against "Radical Islamic Extremism" and their desire to impose an =
Islamic
> > theocratic caliphate on the free world.
> >=20
> >> And it's so uncreative and historically repetitive as to be a big =
dumb
> >> bore.
> >=20
> > We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the worst allies. =
Come to
> > think of it, we bailed out the French in WW2 and what the hell did =
we get in
> > return, Chirac? Maybe now, however, with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be =
better
> > thought of.
> >=20
> > Rich
> >=20
>=20
> >=20
> > "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message =
news:464e6433@linux...
> >> It was too early when I wrote that.
> >>
> >> Look, it's a thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I =
support
> >> the freedom of those religions that grant others the same =
freedom. I
> >> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail =
freedom,
> >> you dig?
> >>
> >> We have to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way =
one
> >> does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and =
punish him
> >> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, confident control =
and
> >> direct it towards the right choice. We have to be bigger than =
them, and

> >> not react angrily to their desperate attempts to cause an effect =
on us.
> >> Think how pathetically desperate a group must be if the only way =
they
> >> can dream up to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
> >> pathetic.>
> > Just think how much more effective and creative it would have been
> >> to spend a few billion dollars to simply buy access to the =
terrorists
> >> and then put them away. Very little collateral damage. The people =
who
> >> stand up for freedom by denouncing the terrorists get rich as =
they
> >> deserve for their bravery and everybody else lives happier, =
free-er lives.
> >>
> >> I know some will say it would never work like that, but did =
anyone ever
> > try?
> >> Bill
> >>
> >>
> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >>> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-)) I am a
> >>> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the 1st amendment.
> > Unfortunately
> >>> the radical Islamic doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and =
I hold
> >>> dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and =
intolerant
> >>> theocratic rubbish upon us.
> >>>
> >>> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of Clinton and =
Janet
> >>> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, in Rambo fashion, to =
serve a
> >>> search warrant issued on the suspicion that the group was =
stockpiling
> >>> automatic weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> > children.
> >>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would ever raid these holy men, =
oh God
> > no,
> >>> despite the fact that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth =
and
> > practicing
> >>> for violent Jihad.
> >>>

> >>> Did you read the article dude? Did you read the part about the =
violent
> >>> incidents involving Jamaat UI Fuora in the US?
> >>> "By 2004 federal investigators uncovered evidence that linked =
both the
> > DC
> >>> "sniper killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard =
Reid to
> > the
> >>> group and reports surfaced that Wall Street Journal reporter =
Daniel
> > Pearl
> >>> was captured and beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain =
an
> >>> interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
> >>>
> >>> =
<http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-large.jpg>
> >>>
> >>> What were Koresh and the Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being =
Christians.
> >>> Nothing compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about =
freedom
> > of
> >>> religion this is about a Jihad threatening our existence and the =
choice
> > to
> >>> exercise any freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had =
better keep
> > an
> >>> eye on these guys.
> >>>
> >>> Rich
> >>>
> >>> From my cold dead hands - Charlton Heston
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message
> > news:464d8d4f@linux...
> >>>> I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. =
Part of
> >>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious choice.
> >>>>
> >>>> A religion worthy of freedom would increase individual freedom.
> >>>>
> >>>> With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
> >>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing freedoms =
for all

<DIV>Erling</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"Rich Lamanna" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net">richard.lamanna@verizon.net</=
A>>=20
skrev i en meddelelse <A=20
href=3D"news:4654ceab@linux">news:4654ceab@linux...</DIV>
<DIV>Jamie, picky, picky, picky :-) Well, all it says =
on that=20
site is, "there is no record of anyone =
hearing=20
Churchill say this", possibly he didn't say it, possibly he did. =
Somebody=20
obviously attributed it to him. Wonder who that =
was? The liberals of=20
yesteryear are today's conservatives anyway.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Thanks for the edification,</DIV>
<DIV>Rich</DIV>
<DIV>
 </DIV>
<DIV>"Jamie K" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:Meta@Dimensional.com"><FONT=20
size=3D2>Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in =
message=20
<FONT=20
size=3D2>news:4652677b@linux<FONT =
size=3D2>...</DIV><FONT=20
size=3D2>>
> > > 'Any 20 year-old who isn't a liberal =
doesn't=20
have a heart,
> > and any 40 year-old who isn't a =
conservative=20
doesn't have a brain.' -
> > Winston Churchill
> =

>=20
Fact check:
>
> <A=20
=
href=3D" http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112"=
><FONT=20
=
size=3D2> http://www.winstonchurchill.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3 D112=

<FONT=20
size=3D2>>
> Quotes Falsely Attributed:
> These quotes =
make for=20
good story-telling but popular myth has falsely
> attributed =
them to=20
Churchill.
>
> Cheers,
> > -Jamie
> =

 =20
<FONT=20
size=3D2>www.JamieKruz.com
>
> =

>=20

> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >> To react to that, to =
conduct a=20
"War Against Terrorism" is
> >> about as desperate and =
pathetic=20
IMHO.
> >
> > Bill, it's not a war against =
terrorism, this=20
is a misnomer. It is a war
> > against "Radical Islamic =
Extremism"=20
and their desire to impose an Islamic
> > theocratic =
caliphate on the=20
free world.
> >
> >> And it's so uncreative and=20
historically repetitive as to be a big dumb
> >> =
bore.
>=20
>
> > We defeated Japan and Germany and they're not the =
worst=20
allies. Come to
> > think of it, we bailed out the French in =
WW2 and=20
what the hell did we get in
> > return, Chirac? Maybe now, =
however,=20
with Nicolas Sarkozy we'll be better
> > thought of.
> =
>=20

> > Rich
> >
>
> >
> > =
"Bill L"=20
<<FONT=20
size=3D2>bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in =
message=20
<FONT=20
size=3D2>news:464e6433@linux...
> =
>> It was=20
too early when I wrote that.
> >>
> >> Look, =
it's a=20
thorny issue. The point I was trying to make is I support
> > =
>> the=20
freedom of those religions that grant others the same freedom. =
I
>=20
>> don't support freedom of a religion that is trying to curtail =

freedom,
> >> you dig?
> >>
> >> =
We have=20
to take responsibility for mis-guided people just the way one
> =
>>=20
does for a child. With a small child, you don't get angry and punish=20
him
> >> or her for making a bad choice. You exert calm, =
confident=20

control and
> > > direct it towards the right choice. We =
have to be=20
bigger than them, and
> > > not react angrily to their =
desperate=20
attempts to cause an effect on us.
> > > > Think how =
pathetically=20
desperate a group must be if the only way they
> > > > can =
dream up=20
to communicate is through terrorism. That is quite
> > > >=20
pathetic.
> > > > Just think how much more effective and =
creative it=20
would have been
> > > > to spend a few billion dollars to =
simply buy=20
access to the terrorists
> > > > and then put them away. Very =
little=20
collateral damage. The people who
> > > > stand up for =
freedom by=20
denouncing the terrorists get rich as they
> > > > deserve =
for their=20
bravery and everybody else lives happier, free-er lives.
> > > >=20
> > >
> > > > I know some will say it would never work =
like that,=20
but did anyone ever
> > > > try?
> > > > Bill
> > > >=20
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Rich Lamanna wrote:
> > > > =
> > >> Bill, I have no problem with freedom, you infidel :-) I =
am=20
a
> > > >> constitutionalist and believe strongly in the =
1st=20
amendment.
> > > > Unfortunately
> > > >> the radical =
Islamic=20
doesn't cherish those same freedoms you and I hold
> > > > =
> > >>=20
dearly. They are willing to die to impose their fascism and =
intolerant
> > > >=20
> > >> theocratic rubbish upon us.
> > > >>
> > > >=20
> > >> Remember Waco. The ATF, under the administration of =
Clinton and=20
Janet
> > > >> Sterno, stormed the Branch Davidian home, =
in Rambo=20
fashion, to serve a
> > > >> search warrant issued on the=20
suspicion that the group was stockpiling
> > > >> > > > =
automatic=20
weapons, massacring almost 100 hundred men, women, and
> > > >=20
children.
> > > >>> But I doubt that the FBI or ATF would =
ever raid=20
these holy men, oh God
> > > > no,
> > > >>> despite =
the fact=20

that they are irrefutably armed to the teeth and
practicing
> > > > for violent Jihad.
> > > > Did you read the article dude? Did =
you read
the part about the violent
Jamaat UI
Fuora in the US?
uncovered
evidence that linked both the
"sniper
killer" John Allen Muhammed and "Shoe Bomber" Richard Reid to
> =
the
group and reports surfaced that Wall Street =
Journal
reporter Daniel
and
beheaded in the process of attempting to obtain an
> =
interview with Sheikh Gilani in Pakistan."
> > > > <A=
=
href="http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-larg e.jpg="><FONT=
=
size="22"> http://www.canadafreepress.com/images/paul-williams-map-larg e.jp=
g
<FONT=
size="22">> > > > > What were Koresh and =
the
Davidians guilty of? Maybe of being Christians.
> > > > > =
Nothing
compared to this group. Wake up man, this is not about freedom
> =
> > =
of
> > > > > religion this is about a Jihad threatening our =

existence and the choice
> > > to
> > > > > exercise =
any
freedoms let alone freedom of religion. We had better keep
> > =
> > =
an
> > > > > eye on these guys.
> > =
> > > >
> > =
> > > > Rich
> > > > >
> > > > > From my =
cold dead
hands - Charlton Heston
> > > > >
> > =
> > > >
> > =
> > > > "Bill L" <<A =
href="mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com"><FONT=
size="22">bill@billlorentzen.com> > wrote in =

message
> > <FONT=20
size=3D2>news:464d8d4f@linux...
> =
>>>>=20

I strongly support freedom, especially freedom of religion. Part =
of
>=20

>>>> religious freedom is the freedom of religious =
choice.
>=20

>>>>
> >>>> A religion worthy of freedom =
would=20

increase individual freedom.
> >>>>
> =
>>>>=20

With freedom comes increased responsibility; with increased
>=20
>>>> responsibility comes increased freedom. By increasing =

freedoms for all
> >>>> one's own freedoms are=20
increased.
> >>>>
> >>>> =
=93Constant and=20

continual alertness is the price of freedom.
> >>>> =
Constant=20

willingness to fight back is the price of freedom.
> =
>>>>=20

There is no other price actually.=94
> >>>> =97 L. =
RON=20

HUBBARD
> >>>>
> >>>>
>=20
>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> =
Rich=20

Lamanna wrote:
> >>>>> You can thank me for =
lighting the=20

fire, you can all fan the flames.
> > Why
> =
>>>>=20

the
> >>>>> heck not welcome some more =
illegals.
>=20

>>>>>
> >>>>><A=20
=

href=3D"http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm"><FON=
T=20

=
size=3D2>http://www.canadafreepress.com/2007/paul-williams051107.htm</FON=
T>
<FONT=20

size=3D2>> >>>>>
> >>>>> =
"Eternal=20

vigilance is the price of liberty." -- Wendell Phillips
>=20

>>>>>
> >>>>> "No man is entitled =
to the=20

blessings of freedom unless he be vigilant
> > in
>=20
>>>> its
> >>>>> preservation." -- =

General=20

Douglas MacArthur
"FREEDOM IS NOT FOR THE TIMID." -- posted as an announcement outside =
Unitarian Church in Texas on Sept. 17, =
2001
"In the beginning of =
change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, =
and hated =
and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then =
it costs nothing to be a patriot." -- Mark Twain
"History does =
entrust the care of freedom to the weak or the =
timid." -- Dwight D. Eisenhower, First =
Inaugural Address, Jan. 20, 1953
"The future doesn't belong to the =
faint-hearted. It belongs to the brave." --
Ronald Reagan
"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the =
vigilant, the active, the brave." -- Patrick Henry
"The land of the free will cease to be when it's =
no longer the home of the brave." -- Rick =
Gaber
"Any =
year-old who isn't a liberal doesn't have a heart, =
and any 40 year-old who isn't a conservative =
doesn't have =
a brain." -- Winston Churchill
Guidance, =
Rich =
=

-----=_NextPart_000_004D_01C7A6D7.AF340570--
