
Subject: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 10:17:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 16:51:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So this guy is running OSX on a PC?

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:rsfqu2t0bu9um87ikvao5ji51h2k0meffv@4ax.com...
> <http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 17:58:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm not impressed enough with OSX to want to go there.....but the idea of making computers perform unnatural acts does appeal to me in general.

;o)

"JM" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:45eda0d9\$1@linux...
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>> So this guy is running OSX on a PC?
>>
>
> For some reason this link is taking a week to open. Anyways, there are
> hacks
> out there to run OSX on a PC. Some of those sites were posted here in the
> past. I'm sure if you do a google search...
>
> Running Mac OSX on your dual AMD? You said you wanted a challenge!
>
> James
>
>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:rsfqu2t0bu9um87ikvao5ji51h2k0meffv@4ax.com...
>>> <http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>
>>
>>

>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 18:11:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>So this guy is running OSX on a PC?
>

For some reason this link is taking a week to open. Anyways, there are hacks out there to run OSX on a PC. Some of those sites were posted here in the past. I'm sure if you do a google search...

Running Mac OSX on your dual AMD? You said you wanted a challenge!

James

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:rsfqu2t0bu9um87ikvao5ji51h2k0meffv@4ax.com...
>> <http://gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>
>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 18:17:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hehe!!!you mean the hardware business that hoses the users by quadrupling the margins? Let's welcome Apple to the real world where consumers have choices.

;o)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
[news:45edad86\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:45edad86$1@linux...)

>
> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like
> it
> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to
> protect their hardware business.
>
> James
>
> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>><http://gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>

>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 18:44:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

from thread:

>Cost of this.. Nasty. Basic barebones is about \$1200, then you need to add
>CPUs. The model closest to the ones in this machine will run you about
>\$1250. EACH. They do a 2.66 part too, which is \$1850 a shot, but proly
>overkill.

What's interesting is if you're bold you can drop the cpus in a current Mac Pro today and they'll work like champs.

You MUST make sure you have your memory setup right though for maximum performance.

Summary: Build one of these for XP, if you really want this perf in OSX buy a Mac Pro and drop clovertowns in there, or wait until Apple does it for you<

This is what Gene was talking about in a thread a few days back. It would make more sense to buy a Mac and upgrade it right now. Probably not in 6 months though depending on what transpires with Win 64 bit OS. It does appear that Apple has the upper hand though as far as a mature 64 bit OS goes. Vista is looking more and more like a total cluster**** at the moment.....no surprise there. My objections to Mac have to do with a closed, proprietary system. that's going to change whether they like it or not.

For me, to go Mac would involve buying all new PCIe audio hardware or spending \$2000+ to integrate my existing PCI hardware with a PCIe>PCI SBS expansion (or other) chassis.....and also switching to a different editor since I use WL (though I guess I could run bootcamp and Win XP for this).

In another year, things could be very different though. Vista may be sorted out, the new AMD quads look pretty incredible and though they will definitely be offered in Macs too, I doubt that there's a chance in hell that you will be able to use them in a Macintel. It will involve buying (sigh)..... another Mac. Now if the Mac mobo's were priced reasonably, could be substituted for the MacIntel mobo in an existing Mac and the rest of the system components could be used in the new comp, going Mac would make sense to me. I'd say that's about as likely to happen as me getting a call for a seat on the next space shuttle flight.

;o)

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45edad86\$1@linux...

>
> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like
> it
> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to
> protect their hardware business.
>
> James
>
> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>><http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 19:02:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the
hardware other than choice, it could actually
open the market up a bit more.

Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500 to buy
a new one, I'll just build her a
new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the box for
good measure). Nice.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45edad86\$1@linux...

>
> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like
> it
> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to
> protect their hardware business.
>
> James
>
> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>><http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>

>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 19:05:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like it or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to protect their hardware business.

James

rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
><http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 19:14:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Unfortunately too many people are brainwashed by the Borg

there are two borgs because drivers haven't been developed for Linux that will work with everything that we need to do music production. I don't care much for Apple, I'll admit, but I don't have a problem using any OS that will get me where I want to go. I don't really give a rat's ass about OS'es because I only want a computer to do specific things....not be a jack of all trades, master of none, which is the thinking of Mac, IMHO. In any event, add the pricing of parts or the lack of any real advantages (to me) of using Mac OS, and I come up with a negative. When I see a positive, I won't have any problem going Mac, or anything else.

Deej

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45edb938\$1@linux...

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>>Hehe!!!you mean the hardware business that

>

>>hoses the users by quadrupling the margins? Let's welcome Apple to the

>>real

>

>>world where consumers have choices.

>>

>>;o)
>
> I don't know about quadrupling the margins. I know in the past the Dealer
> margins were 7% to 13%, 7% was the standard. Obviously Apple has a
> different
> business model than hardware only or software only companies like MS.
> Apple
> with around 5% of the market is a niche player. If more people would give
> them a try I think their prices would go down.
>
> Well, I think you proved it. even if you could build a cheap PC and run
> OSX
> on it you wouldn't, because????? See it's not about the price.
>
> Unfortunately too many people are brainwashed by the Borg.
>
> James
>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like
>
>>> it
>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
> to
>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>
>>> James
>>>
>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 19:44:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dual G4 1.25Ghz.

We've been holding off on doing anything as at the moment it only crashes or locks up once or twice a day. When she's busier with design work it's more of a problem,

but for checking email/net, not worth the cost to fix it when we'll replace it eventually anyway.

This time it will be custom built hardware with OSX. Newegg is my friend.

Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45edc14d\$1@linux...

>

> Dedric, which G4 has the problem?

>

> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:

>>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the

>

>>hardware other than choice, it could actually

>>open the market up a bit more.

>>

>>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500 to

> buy

>>a new one, I'll just build her a

>>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the box

> for

>>good measure). Nice.

>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>news:45edad86\$1@linux...

>>>

>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like

>

>>> it

>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement

> to

>>> protect their hardware business.

>>>

>>> James

>>>

>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>><http://gearsllutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>

>>>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 19:55:52 GMT

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>Hehe!!!you mean the hardware business that

>hoses the users by quadrupling the margins? Let's welcome Apple to the real

>world where consumers have choices.

>

>;o)

I don't know about quadrupling the margins. I know in the past the Dealer margins were 7% to 13%, 7% was the standard. Obviously Apple has a different business model than hardware only or software only companies like MS. Apple with around 5% of the market is a niche player. If more people would give them a try I think their prices would go down.

Well, I think you proved it. even if you could build a cheap PC and run OSX on it you wouldn't, because????? See it's not about the price.

Unfortunately too many people are brainwashed by the Borg.

James

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:45edad86\$1@linux...

>>

>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like

>> it

>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to

>> protect their hardware business.

>>

>> James

>>

>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 19:57:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I use Macs for one reason and one reason only!

....
....
....

... they're pretty.

Yes, I know I'm shallow.

:>)

Tony

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:45edb698@linux...

> from thread:

>

>>Cost of this.. Nasty. Basic barebones is about \$1200, then you need to add
>>CPUs. The model closest to the ones in this machine will run you about
>>\$1250. EACH. They do a 2.66 part too, which is \$1850 a shot, but proly
>>overkill.

>

> What's interesting is if you're bold you can drop the cpus in a current
> Mac Pro today and they'll work like champs.

>

> You MUST make sure you have your memory setup right though for maximum
> performance.

>

> Summary: Build one of these for XP, if you really want this perf in OSX
> buy a Mac Pro and drop clovertowns in there, or wait until Apple does it
> for you<

>

> This is what Gene was talking about in a thread a few days back. It would
> make more sense to buy a Mac and upgrade it right now. Probably not in 6
> months though depending on what transpires with Win 64 bit OS. It does
> appear that Apple has the upper hand though as far as a mature 64 bit OS
> goes. Vista is looking more and more like a total cluster**** at the
> moment.....no surprise there. My objections to Mac have to do with a
> closed, proprietary system. that's going to change whether they like it or
> not.

>

> For me, to go Mac would involve buying all new PCIe audio hardware or
> spending \$2000+ to integrate my existing PCI hardware with a PCIe>PCI SBS
> expansion (or other) chassis.....and also switching to a different editor
> since I use WL (though I guess I could run bootcamp and Win XP for this).

>

> In another year, things could be very different though. Vista may be
> sorted out, the new AMD quads look pretty incredible and though they will
> definitely be offered in Macs too, I doubt that there's a chance in hell

> that you will be able to use them in a Macintel. It will involve buying
> (sigh)..... another Mac. Now if the Mac mobo's were priced
> reasonably, could be substituted for the MacIntel mobo in an existing Mac
> and the rest of the system components could be used in the new comp, going
> Mac would make sense to me. I'd say that's about as likely to happen as me
> getting a call for a seat on the next space shuttle flight.

>
> ;o)

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:45edad86\$1@linux...

>>

>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like
>> it

>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to
>> protect their hardware business.

>>

>> James

>>

>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923

>>

>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 20:30:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dedric, which G4 has the problem?

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:

>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the

>hardware other than choice, it could actually
>open the market up a bit more.

>

>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500 to
>buy

>a new one, I'll just build her a

>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the box

for
>good measure). Nice.
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>
>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like

>> it
>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
to
>> protect their hardware business.
>>
>> James
>>
>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 20:43:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the

>hardware other than choice, it could actually
>open the market up a bit more.
>
>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500 to
buy
>a new one, I'll just build her a
>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the box
for
>good measure). Nice.

Or you could save \$500.00 or more and buy her a new iMac with core 2 duo.
5% off at CompUSA, \$949.00, or Apple educational discount for \$899.00.
The upgraded model from Apple is \$1099.00.

James

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:45edad86\$1@linux...

>>
>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like

>> it
>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
to
>> protect their hardware business.
>>
>> James
>>
>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 21:29:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have the same machine, no crashes, no problems. Your machine is five years old, and it's still usable and running!

It sounds like you have a software conflict or a corrupted installation. If you installed 10.4 Tiger over the top of an older installation, I know you have a corrupted installation. There were a lot of problems with installing that way on the G4s. Back up, wipe the drive and do a new install.

If you have a non powered USB hub connected, that can cause you problems, or not work. I have a bad IO Gear USB KMV switch that has caused me problems. it causes USB sink to be lost. It's going back to them for the second time.

You should also flash your machine with the latest firmware. This may fix your USB problem.

I'd back up, wipe the drive, reinstall, flash if necessary, pull all the USB devices, then plug them back in one by one. Also check all the USB cables. This will probably fix your problems. If it's a burnt out USB socket, then there's not much you can do.

James

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>Dual G4 1.25Ghz.
>
>We've been holding off on doing anything as at the moment it only crashes

or
>locks up once or twice a day. When she's busier with design work it's more

>of a problem,
>but for checking email/net, not worth the cost to fix it when we'll replace

>it eventually anyway.
>
>This time it will be custom built hardware with OSX. Newegg is my friend.
>
>Dedric
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:45edc14d\$1@linux...
>>
>> Dedric, which G4 has the problem?
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the
>>
>>>hardware other than choice, it could actually
>>>open the market up a bit more.
>>>
>>>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500 to
>> buy
>>>a new one, I'll just build her a
>>>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the box
>> for
>>>good measure). Nice.
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this.
Like
>>
>>>> it
>>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
>> to
>>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>>
>>>> James
>>>>
>>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>><http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>
>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 21:37:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

One other thing. Unfortunately, that machine has USB 1.1 type A specification ports. So you could be having problems using USB 2.0 peripherals.

James

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>I have the same machine, no crashes, no problems. Your machine is five years
>old, and it's still usable and running!
>
>It sounds like you have a software conflict or a corrupted installation.
> If you installed 10.4 Tiger over the top of an older installation, I know
>you have a corrupted installation. There were a lot of problems with installing
>that way on the G4s. Back up, wipe the drive and do a new install.
>
>If you have a non powered USB hub connected, that can cause you problems,
>or not work. I have a bad IO Gear USB KVM switch that has caused me problems.
> it causes USB sink to be lost. It's going back to them for the second
time.
>
>
>You should also flash your machine with the latest firmware. This may fix
>your USB problem.
>
>I'd back up, wipe the drive, reinstall, flash if necessary, pull all the
>USB devices, then plug them back in one by one. Also check all the USB
cables.
> This will probably fix your problems. If it's a burnt out USB socket,
then
>there's not much you can do.
>
>James
>
>"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>Dual G4 1.25Ghz.
>>
>>We've been holding off on doing anything as at the moment it only crashes
>or

>>locks up once or twice a day. When she's busier with design work it's more
>
>>of a problem,
>>but for checking email/net, not worth the cost to fix it when we'll replace
>
>>it eventually anyway.
>>
>>This time it will be custom built hardware with OSX. Newegg is my friend.
>>
>>Dedric
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:45edc14d\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Dedric, which G4 has the problem?
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>>>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the
>>>
>>>>hardware other than choice, it could actually
>>>>open the market up a bit more.
>>>>
>>>>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500
>>> to
>>> buy
>>>>a new one, I'll just build her a
>>>>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the
>>> box
>>> for
>>>>good measure). Nice.
>>>>
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this.

>Like
>>
>>>> it
>>>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
>>> to
>>>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>>>
>>>>> James
>>>>>
>>>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>>http://gearsutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 21:47:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey.why do you think the Dallas Cowboys had such a great record back in the 70's???.....the had prettier uniforms.

;o)

"Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
news:45edc7e1@linux...

>I use Macs for one reason and one reason only!

> . . .

> . . .

> . . .

> . . . they're pretty.

>

> Yes, I know I'm shallow.

>

> :>)

>

> Tony

>

>

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:45edb698@linux...

>> from thread:

>>

>>>Cost of this.. Nasty. Basic barebones is about \$1200, then you need to

>>>add CPUs. The model closest to the ones in this machine will run you

>>>about \$1250. EACH. They do a 2.66 part too, which is \$1850 a shot, but

>>>prolly overkill.

>>

>> What's interesting is if you're bold you can drop the cpus in a current

>> Mac Pro today and they'll work like champs.

>>

>> You MUST make sure you have your memory setup right though for maximum

>> performance.

>>

>> Summary: Build one of these for XP, if you really want this perf in OSX
>> buy a Mac Pro and drop clovertowns in there, or wait until Apple does it
>> for you<
>>
>> This is what Gene was talking about in a thread a few days back. It would
>> make more sense to buy a Mac and upgrade it right now. Probably not in 6
>> months though depending on what transpires with Win 64 bit OS. It does
>> appear that Apple has the upper hand though as far as a mature 64 bit OS
>> goes. Vista is looking more and more like a total cluster**** at the
>> moment.....no surprise there. My objections to Mac have to do with a
>> closed, proprietary system. that's going to change whether they like it
>> or not.
>>
>> For me, to go Mac would involve buying all new PCIe audio hardware or
>> spending \$2000+ to integrate my existing PCI hardware with a PCIe>PCI SBS
>> expansion (or other) chassis.....and also switching to a different
>> editor since I use WL (though I guess I could run bootcamp and Win XP for
>> this).
>>
>> In another year, things could be very different though. Vista may be
>> sorted out, the new AMD quads look pretty incredible and though they will
>> definitely be offered in Macs too, I doubt that there's a chance in hell
>> that you will be able to use them in a Macintel. It will involve buying
>> (sigh)..... another Mac. Now if the Mac mobo's were priced
>> reasonably, could be substituted for the MacIntel mobo in an existing Mac
>> and the rest of the system components could be used in the new comp,
>> going Mac would make sense to me. I'd say that's about as likely to
>> happen as me getting a call for a seat on the next space shuttle flight.
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this. Like
>>> it
>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement to
>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>
>>> James
>>>
>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>>http://gearsutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923

>>>

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Tue, 06 Mar 2007 23:26:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, it's always been looks over substance for me. ;>)

The Cowboy cheerleaders were pretty hot also! I do recall the Cowboys had a couple of pretty good players then though also.

Tony

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:45ede17c@linux...

> Hey.why do you think the Dallas Cowboys had such a great record back in
> the 70's???.....the had prettier uniforms.

>

> ;o)

>

> "Tony Benson" <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote in message
> news:45edc7e1@linux...

>>I use Macs for one reason and one reason only!

>> ...

>> ...

>> ...

>> ... they're pretty.

>>

>> Yes, I know I'm shallow.

>>

>> :>)

>>

>> Tony

>>

>>

>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote in message news:45edb698@linux...

>>> from thread:

>>>

>>>>Cost of this.. Nasty. Basic barebones is about \$1200, then you need to

>>>>add CPUs. The model closest to the ones in this machine will run you

>>>>about \$1250. EACH. They do a 2.66 part too, which is \$1850 a shot, but

>>>>prolly overkill.

>>>
>>> What's interesting is if you're bold you can drop the cpus in a current
>>> Mac Pro today and they'll work like champs.
>>>
>>> You MUST make sure you have your memory setup right though for maximum
>>> performance.
>>>
>>> Summary: Build one of these for XP, if you really want this perf in OSX
>>> buy a Mac Pro and drop clovertowns in there, or wait until Apple does it
>>> for you<
>>>
>>> This is what Gene was talking about in a thread a few days back. It
>>> would make more sense to buy a Mac and upgrade it right now. Probably
>>> not in 6 months though depending on what transpires with Win 64 bit OS.
>>> It does appear that Apple has the upper hand though as far as a mature
>>> 64 bit OS goes. Vista is looking more and more like a total cluster****
>>> at the moment.....no surprise there. My objections to Mac have to do
>>> with a closed, proprietary system. that's going to change whether they
>>> like it or not.
>>>
>>> For me, to go Mac would involve buying all new PCIe audio hardware or
>>> spending \$2000+ to integrate my existing PCI hardware with a PCIe>PCI
>>> SBS expansion (or other) chassis.....and also switching to a different
>>> editor since I use WL (though I guess I could run bootcamp and Win XP
>>> for this).
>>>
>>> In another year, things could be very different though. Vista may be
>>> sorted out, the new AMD quads look pretty incredible and though they
>>> will definitely be offered in Macs too, I doubt that there's a chance in
>>> hell that you will be able to use them in a Macintel. It will involve
>>> buying (sigh)..... another Mac. Now if the Mac mobo's
>>> were priced reasonably, could be substituted for the MacIntel mobo in an
>>> existing Mac and the rest of the system components could be used in the
>>> new comp, going Mac would make sense to me. I'd say that's about as
>>> likely to happen as me getting a call for a seat on the next space
>>> shuttle flight.
>>>
>>> ;o)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>>

>>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this.
>>>> Like it
>>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
>>>> to
>>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>>
>>>> James
>>>>
>>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Wed, 07 Mar 2007 00:18:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James,

Thanks but we went through this already. I've reinstalled OSX after a reformat with no apps and no peripherals other than the stock keyboard and mouse - it kernel panicked later sitting idle - I think it was only 20 minutes this time. I replaced the RAM, traded sockets, put in only one at a time, etc... still crashed. That was almost 2 years ago, so it isn't 10.4 - we've been through a few versions of OSX (what is with the updates ever 2 months? I guess OSX is never done... ;-)

It's crashed a HD irreparably once already from multiple kernel panics over time. I installed a new HD and OSX yet again - same thing. Crashed later that day.

I've replaced the mouse with the stock mouse - it crashed again later that day (the average then was 4-5 times a day - it comes and goes...).

It's a bad USB buss/motherboard, guaranteed, esp. since it will lock up, freeze or kernel panic just sitting idle, typing email, etc. Not application related or bad install. Second HD, 3rd install of OSX and it still

crashes - the motherboard is the only thing I haven't been able to replace and test.

Regards,
Dedric

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:45edcf1c\$1@linux...

>
> I have the same machine, no crashes, no problems. Your machine is five
> years
> old, and it's still usable and running!
>
> It sounds like you have a software conflict or a corrupted installation.
> If you installed 10.4 Tiger over the top of an older installation, I know
> you have a corrupted installation. There were a lot of problems with
> installing
> that way on the G4s. Back up, wipe the drive and do a new install.
>
> If you have a non powered USB hub connected, that can cause you problems,
> or not work. I have a bad IO Gear USB KVM switch that has caused me
> problems.
> it causes USB disk to be lost. It's going back to them for the second
> time.
>
>
> You should also flash your machine with the latest firmware. This may fix
> your USB problem.
>
> I'd back up, wipe the drive, reinstall, flash if necessary, pull all the
> USB devices, then plug them back in one by one. Also check all the USB
> cables.
> This will probably fix your problems. If it's a burnt out USB socket,
> then
> there's not much you can do.
>
> James
>
> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>Dual G4 1.25Ghz.
>>
>>We've been holding off on doing anything as at the moment it only crashes
> or
>>locks up once or twice a day. When she's busier with design work it's
>>more
>
>>of a problem,
>>but for checking email/net, not worth the cost to fix it when we'll

>>replace
>
>>it eventually anyway.
>>
>>This time it will be custom built hardware with OSX. Newegg is my friend.
>>
>>Dedric
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:45edc14d\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Dedric, which G4 has the problem?
>>>
>>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>>>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in the
>>>>
>>>>hardware other than choice, it could actually
>>>>open the market up a bit more.
>>>>
>>>>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500 to
>>> buy
>>>>a new one, I'll just build her a
>>>>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the box
>>> for
>>>>good measure). Nice.
>>>>
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this.
> Like
>>>
>>>> it
>>>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
>>> to
>>>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>>>
>>>>> James
>>>>>
>>>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>><http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 07 Mar 2007 03:14:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:

>James,

>

>Thanks but we went through this already. I've reinstalled OSX after a

>reformat with no apps

> and no peripherals other than the stock keyboard and mouse - it kernel

>paniced later sitting idle -

>I think it was only 20 minutes this time. I replaced the RAM, traded

>sockets, put in only one

>at a time, etc... still crashed. That was almost 2 years ago, so it isn't

>10.4 - we've been through

>a few versions of OSX (what is with the updates ever 2 months? I guess
OSX

>is never done... ;-)

>

>It's crashed a HD irreparably once already from multiple kernel panics
over

>time. I installed

>a new HD and OSX yet again - same thing. Crashed later that day.

>

>I've replaced the mouse with the stock mouse - it crashed again later that

>day (the average then

>was 4-5 times a day - it comes and goes...).

>

>It's a bad USB buss/motherboard, guaranteed, esp. since it will lock up,

>freeze or kernel panic just sitting idle,

>typing email, etc. Not application related or bad install. Second HD,
3rd

>install of OSX and it still

>crashes - the motherboard is the only thing I haven't been able to replace

>and test.

>

>Regards,

>Dedric

Well, I tried.

James

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:45edcf1c\$1@linux...
>>
>> I have the same machine, no crashes, no problems. Your machine is five

>> years
>> old, and it's still usable and running!
>>
>> It sounds like you have a software conflict or a corrupted installation.
>> If you installed 10.4 Tiger over the top of an older installation, I
know
>> you have a corrupted installation. There were a lot of problems with

>> installing
>> that way on the G4s. Back up, wipe the drive and do a new install.
>>
>> If you have a non powered USB hub connected, that can cause you problems,
>> or not work. I have a bad IO Gear USB KMV switch that has caused me
>> problems.
>> it causes USB sink to be lost. It's going back to them for the second

>> time.
>>
>>
>> You should also flash your machine with the latest firmware. This may
fix
>> your USB problem.
>>
>> I'd back up, wipe the drive, reinstall, flash if necessary, pull all the
>> USB devices, then plug them back in one by one. Also check all the USB

>> cables.
>> This will probably fix your problems. If it's a burnt out USB socket,

>> then
>> there's not much you can do.
>>
>> James
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>>Dual G4 1.25Ghz.
>>>
>>>We've been holding off on doing anything as at the moment it only crashes
>> or
>>>locks up once or twice a day. When she's busier with design work it's

>>>more
>>

>>>of a problem,
>>>but for checking email/net, not worth the cost to fix it when we'll
>>>replace
>>
>>>it eventually anyway.
>>>
>>>This time it will be custom built hardware with OSX. Newegg is my friend.
>>>
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:45edc14d\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Dedric, which G4 has the problem?
>>>>
>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>>>>It won't take long for users to figure out there is no difference in
the
>>>>>
>>>>>hardware other than choice, it could actually
>>>>>open the market up a bit more.
>>>>>
>>>>>Cool - instead of paying \$700 - \$1000 to fix my wife's Mac, or \$2500
to
>>>>> buy
>>>>>a new one, I'll just build her a
>>>>>new core 2 duo for \$1500 and load OSX on it (and a fruit logo on the
box
>>>>> for
>>>>>good measure). Nice.
>>>>>
>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:45edad86\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I finally got through to see this. I hope Apple doesn't see this.
>> Like
>>>>
>>>>> it
>>>>>> or not, I'm sure Apple would have to change their licensing agreement
>>>> to
>>>>>> protect their hardware business.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> James
>>>>>>
>>>>>> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>><http://gearsutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Wed, 07 Mar 2007 04:28:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 3/6/07 8:14 PM, in article 45ee2023\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"
<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> Well, I tried.
>
> James
>
>

Much appreciated James.

Regards,
Dedric

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Thu, 08 Mar 2007 17:28:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I thought the hackers have already figure it out (OS-X on a PC) just like they discovered early about ruuning Win XP on a MAC/Intel..

What's all the about??Choices are good..

"JM" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>So this guy is running OSX on a PC?
>>
>

>For some reason this link is taking a week to open. Anyways, there are hacks

>out there to run OSX on a PC. Some of those sites were posted here in the

>past. I'm sure if you do a google search...
>
>Running Mac OSX on your dual AMD? You said you wanted a challenge!
>
>James
>
>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:rsfqu2t0bu9um87ikvao5ji51h2k0meffv@4ax.com...
>>> http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: hey mr. goldberg...your next puter.
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 08 Mar 2007 19:26:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

it's x86

On 9 Mar 2007 03:28:00 +1000, "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>I thought the hackers have already figure it out (OS-X on a PC) just like
>they discovered early about ruining Win XP on a MAC/Intel..
>
>What's all the about??Choices are good..
>
>"JM" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>So this guy is running OSX on a PC?
>>>
>>
>>For some reason this link is taking a week to open. Anyways, there are
>hacks
>>out there to run OSX on a PC. Some of those sites were posted here in the
>>past. I'm sure if you do a google search...
>>
>>Running Mac OSX on your dual AMD? You said you wanted a challenge!
>>
>>James
>>
>>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:rsfqu2t0bu9um87ikvao5ji51h2k0meffv@4ax.com...
>>>> http://gearsultz.com/board/showthread.php?t=112923
>>>
>>>

>>
