
Subject: worst. president. ever.

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 04 Sep 2005 20:46:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

human loss and destruction you bring to the table

> more suffering, anger and destruction of personal relationships man.

> Think about it, brother.

> AA

>

>

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

> news:431b3644@linux...

>> deej is coppin out...

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

>> news:431b359b@linux...

>>> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned

>>>

>>> I checked back into this thread because I expected a cheap shot from

>>> you.

>>> I'm in the process of setting up 10 mics for a tracking session but I'm

>>> going to take a second here to clairfy your out - of -context spin of my

>>> statement.

>>>

>>> I said that there is always credibility to a number of new sources

>>> *depending on what they are reporting*. The obvious bias of the LA times

>>> calls their agenda into question. Had you been at all objective in your

>>> thinking, you might have looked to more than one source before showing

>>> your

>>> ass with something like this.

>>>

>>> Now, whether you beileve it or not, I've got work to do.

>>> C'ya

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message

>>> news:431b30d3\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message

>>>> news:431b300e@linux...

>>>> > You want to talk about credibility, yours is

>>>> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.

>>>> >>

>>>> > So my credibility is suspect because I don't cull my information from
>>>> > a
>>>> > single source?
>>>>
>>>> I get the feeling you might just be copping out, but since you seem to
>>>> be
>>>> asking me a question, I guess I can spell it out again.
>>>>
>>>> You initially dismissed the simple information graph because it said LA
>>>> Times on it, then when pressed as to your preferred credible sources,
>>>> you
>>>> said LA Times *could* be credible, and that all sources need to be
>>>> considered and balanced.
>>>>
>>>> So your initial dismissal of the info was the bogus part.
>>>>
>>>> > I've got more important things to attend to today.
>>>>
>>>> no dout man, but this is fun... u got pwned
>>>>
>>>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compounded> wrote in message
>>>> > news:431b2e9d@linux...
>>>> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>> >> news:431b2d92\$1@linux...
>>>> >> > I think there is always credibility to a number of news sources,
>>>> > depending
>>>> >> > on what they are reporting. Even the LA times. Politically
>>>> >> > speaking,
>>> if
>>>> >> > you
>>>> >> > take the LA times and balance it's coverage with that of any
>>>> >> > number
>>> of
>>>> >> > other
>>>> >> > news sources, you are likely to get something approximating
>>>> >> > reality,
>>>> >> > but
>>>> >> > never from just one news source. For me to quote from an approved
>>> list
>>>> >> > would be bogus because I don't have one. I look at numerous
>>>> >> > sources
>>> and
>>>> >> > try
>>>> >> > to cull a semblance of reality from different points of view. You
>>> post
>>>> >> > articles here by a single source that happens to support your
>>>> >> > view.
>>>> >> > Fair

>>>> >> > enough. It's suspect though because it's the same old crap every
>>> time.
>>>> >> > I
>>>> >> > see
>>>> >> > no attempt at objectivity.....bitch
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Exactly. So how do you rectify that with your outrage at my
>>>> >> source,
>>>> >> solely based on the source? You want to talk about credibility,
>>>> >> yours
>>>> >> is
>>>> >> being ripped to shreds, by your own logic.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Keep in mind I'm not a journalist. I'm a person with an opinion.
>>>> >> Its
>>>> >> not
>>>> >> my job to be objective.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Theres 2 sources of info nowadays. There are people with their
>>>> >> eyes
>>>> >> open
>>>> >> who see things for what they are, and there are pro-Bush supporters
>>>> >> who
>>>> >> would overlook any shortcoming of our coward in chief. And the
>>>> >> blind
>>>> >> pro-Bush sheep group is shrinking by the day.
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> >
>>>> >> > "justcron

File Attachments

1) [436-errolpic.JPG](#), downloaded 63 times



Appleton NEWS