Subject: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by excelav on Tue, 10 Jan 2006 19:54:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than the
G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will be
$49.00.

James

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by excelav on Tue, 10 Jan 2006 19:56:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry about the type-O!

Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than the
G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will be
$49.00.

James

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
the
>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will be
>$49.00.
>
>James

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by LaMont on Tue, 10 Jan 2006 20:10:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!

I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy PC..
Now, I'm Mac excited!!!

However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering if
it's too late to the Party?
This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed arguments
over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Sorry about the type-O!
>
>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
the
>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will be
>$49.00.
>
>James
>
>
>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>the
>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will be
>>$49.00.
>>
>>James
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by excelav on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 00:12:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all leapfrogged
each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer software
package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later every
body else catches up. It will always be this way.

The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story, ease

of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.

You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with its G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top with two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory and faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book, shouldn't surprise anybody.

The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which means higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no new computer for me for awhile

I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully the pricing will be a lot better.

The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not restrict you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in the way of the software!"

I think it's a good start.

James

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
>
>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy PC..
>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>
>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering if
>it's too late to the Party?
>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed arguments
>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>
>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>the
>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will be
>>$49.00.
>>

>>James
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>the
>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
be
>>>$49.00.
>>>
>>>James
>>
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by LaMont on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 05:26:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi James,

I would agree with you, but me and other PC zealots having been taking the Apple "marketing" machine down our throats for years. I mean (Apple)just paln O'll lying about it's processor prowess during those keynotes from Mr Jobs. I'm a Comp/Sci grad who works
(day gig) as a SeniorLevel GroupWare Consultant. I have and still maintain all types of CPU harware since 1986..I have to say that while the mac os is nice, the processing power was always lacking behind intel & amd. But Apple spewing that "we have the fastest machines" and pc's were a distant second.

As a fomer mac owner, I always feft I was getting shafted and just buying 'hype'. looking back, Mr jobs has to recognised as thee biggest snake oil salesman ever to walk this earth..

Now, all bets are off. Why should anyone purchase a new Mac? When you can buy the same intel machine a proven os thtat doesnot require devolpers to rewrite code from scratch ie:(plugins).. Most speculators say that it will take most mac developers at 2-3 years to to have a mac/intel compatible apps and plugins..I hope that they are wrong..
Best..Lamont

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all leapfrogged
>each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer software
>package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later every
>body else catches up. It will always be this way.
>
>The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story, ease
>of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.
>
>You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with its
>G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top
with
>two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory and
>faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book, shouldn't
>surprise anybody.
>
>The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which means
>higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no new
>computer for me for awhile
>
>I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully
>the pricing will be a lot better.
>
>The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what
>ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not restrict
>you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once
>said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in the
>way of the software!"
>
>I think it's a good start.
>
>James
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
>>
>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy PC..
>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>>
>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering
if
>>it's too late to the Party?
>>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed
arguments
>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>>
>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>the
>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro app.
>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
be
>>>$49.00.
>>>
>>>James
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>>the
>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
app.
>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>be
>>>>$49.00.
>>>>
>>>>James
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by Martin Harrington on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 06:59:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This is a reply to a similar question on the R.A.M.P.S. newsgroup....

>>>That's not completely true. Programs that depend on real time processing
(like recorders and editors or Multimedia software) will probably NOT be
supported by Rosetta.
 Apple has stated on their website that all of their PRO programs (Final Cut
Pro, Soundtrack Pro, DVD Studio Pro and many of the 3D Graphics programs)
will not be supported by Rosetta. They will all have to be replaced by
Intel Native programs. or their so-called "Universal" versions. Universal
versions of the Pro apps are not available yet and Apple will charge fees

from $99 to $699 to Upgrade when they are available.
Read more here: http://www.apple.com/rosetta/<<<<

--
Martin Harrington
www.lendanear-sound.com

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:43c49f77$1@linux...
>
> As far as software running on the new Intel Macs, they have a emulator
> software
> so you can run Mac applications now. All of the apps. that come with the
> machines are native. There are already some third party apps. that are
> native
> now. MS made a five year plus commitment, and stated that their apps
> will
> be native soon.
>
> The speed, well, I know that independent labs tested the Macs with
> industry
> testing software and used industry testing standards in the past. I
> believe
> those results were true at the time, however those results didn't stand
> for
> long. There is constant speed development in the PC world. I guess it's
> a matter of beliefs, so there is no sense in debating it.
>
> I'm sure the next argument will be, that a machine that is running
> Windows,
> runs software faster than the Mac running the same software. It will
> never
> end.
>
> The biggest problems with the new Mactel machines is the price.
>
> The best thing to come out of MacWorld to day for me was the iLife apps.
> and iWork. GarageBand is cool song writing software. It is a little
> weird,
> but it's the closest thing to a quick song writing scratch pad to get
> ideas
> down with. I think most of us would agree that we all need something like
> that,
>
> If you got QT and you have the time, You should check out the keynote
> speech.
>
> By the way, you PC Zealots that hate Apple no matter what, are going to

> really
> hate Apple when you see the new Apple Intel TV commercial;)
>
> I wonder if they are going to play it during the Super Bowl???
>
> Check it out, they played it not once, but twice during the Keynote!
>
> http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html
>
> www.apple.com
>
>
>
>
> "Lamont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>Hi James,
>>
>>I would agree with you, but me and other PC zealots having been taking the
>>Apple "marketing" machine down our throats for years. I mean (Apple)just
>>paln O'll lying about it's processor prowess during those keynotes from
> Mr
>>Jobs. I'm a Comp/Sci grad who works
>>(day gig) as a SeniorLevel GroupWare Consultant. I have and still maintain
>>all types of CPU harware since 1986..I have to say that while the mac os
>>is nice, the processing power was always lacking behind intel & amd. But
>>Apple spewing that "we have the fastest machines" and pc's were a distant
>>second.
>>
>>As a fomer mac owner, I always feft I was getting shafted and just buying
>>'hype'. looking back, Mr jobs has to recognised as thee biggest snake oil
>>salesman ever to walk this earth..
>>
>>Now, all bets are off. Why should anyone purchase a new Mac? When you can
>>buy the same intel machine a proven os thtat doesnot require devolpers to
>>rewrite code from scratch ie:(plugins).. Most speculators say that it will
>>take most mac developers at 2-3 years to to have a mac/intel compatible
> apps
>>and plugins..I hope that they are wrong..
>>Best..Lamont
>>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all
>>>leapfrogged
>>>each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer
>>>software

>>>package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later
>>>every
>>>body else catches up. It will always be this way.
>>>
>>>The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story,
> ease
>>>of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.
>>>
>>>You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with its
>>>G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top
>>with
>>>two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory and
>>>faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book,
>>>shouldn't
>>>surprise anybody.
>>>
>>>The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which
>>>means
>>>higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no new
>>>computer for me for awhile
>>>
>>>I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully
>>>the pricing will be a lot better.
>>>
>>>The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what
>>>ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not
> restrict
>>>you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once
>>>said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in
> the
>>>way of the software!"
>>>
>>>I think it's a good start.
>>>
>>>James
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
>>>>
>>>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>>>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy PC..
>>>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>>>>
>>>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering
>>if
>>>>it's too late to the Party?
>>>>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed

>>arguments
>>>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>>>>
>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>>>the
>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
>>>>>15.3"
>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
> app.
>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>be
>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>
>>>>>James
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster
>>>>>>than
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
> 15.3"
>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>>app.
>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>>be
>>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>James
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by excelav on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:02:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

As far as software running on the new Intel Macs, they have a emulator software
so you can run Mac applications now. All of the apps. that come with the

machines are native. There are already some third party apps. that are native now. MS made a five year plus commitment, and stated that their apps will be native soon.

The speed, well, I know that independent labs tested the Macs with industry testing software and used industry testing standards in the past. I believe those results were true at the time, however those results didn't stand for long. There is constant speed development in the PC world. I guess it's a matter of beliefs, so there is no sense in debating it.

I'm sure the next argument will be, that a machine that is running Windows, runs software faster than the Mac running the same software. It will never end.

The biggest problems with the new Mactel machines is the price.

The best thing to come out of MacWorld to day for me was the iLife apps. and iWork. GarageBand is cool song writing software. It is a little weird, but it's the closest thing to a quick song writing scratch pad to get ideas down with. I think most of us would agree that we all need something like that,

If you got QT and you have the time, You should check out the keynote speech.

By the way, you PC Zealots that hate Apple no matter what, are going to really hate Apple when you see the new Apple Intel TV commercial;)

I wonder if they are going to play it during the Super Bowl???

Check it out, they played it not once, but twice during the Keynote!

http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html

www.apple.com

"Lamont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>Hi James,
>
>I would agree with you, but me and other PC zealots having been taking the
>Apple "marketing" machine down our throats for years. I mean (Apple)just
>paln O'll lying about it's processor prowess during those keynotes from
Mr
>Jobs. I'm a Comp/Sci grad who works
>(day gig) as a SeniorLevel GroupWare Consultant. I have and still maintain

>all types of CPU harware since 1986..I have to say that while the mac os
>is nice, the processing power was always lacking behind intel & amd. But
>Apple spewing that "we have the fastest machines" and pc's were a distant
>second.
>
>As a fomer mac owner, I always feft I was getting shafted and just buying
>'hype'. looking back, Mr jobs has to recognised as thee biggest snake oil
>salesman ever to walk this earth..
>
>Now, all bets are off. Why should anyone purchase a new Mac? When you can
>buy the same intel machine a proven os thtat doesnot require devolpers to
>rewrite code from scratch ie:(plugins).. Most speculators say that it will
>take most mac developers at 2-3 years to to have a mac/intel compatible
apps
>and plugins..I hope that they are wrong..
>Best..Lamont
>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all leapfrogged
>>each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer software
>>package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later every
>>body else catches up. It will always be this way.
>>
>>The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story,
ease
>>of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.
>>
>>You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with its
>>G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top
>with
>>two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory and
>>faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book, shouldn't
>>surprise anybody.
>>
>>The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which means
>>higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no new
>>computer for me for awhile
>>
>>I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully
>>the pricing will be a lot better.
>>
>>The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what
>>ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not
restrict
>>you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once
>>said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in

the
>>way of the software!"
>>
>>I think it's a good start.
>>
>>James
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
>>>
>>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy PC..
>>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>>>
>>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering
>if
>>>it's too late to the Party?
>>>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed
>arguments
>>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>>>
>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>>the
>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top 15.3"
>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
app.
>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>be
>>>>$49.00.
>>>>
>>>>James
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>>>the
>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
15.3"
>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>app.
>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will

>>be
>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>
>>>>>James
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by Michele Hobbs on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:58:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi James,

No, you understood correctly concerning the $49.00 upgrade price. The
prices listed in the link below refer to upgrades to Final Cut Studio
from other Apple video software.

-Michele Hobbs

James McCloskey wrote:

> They demoed MS Word and Adobe Photoshop running on the intel macs today using
> Rosetta. Jobs said the upgrades for the pro apps. would be $49.00, but I
> may have misunderstood what he was saying. Check out the Keynote speech
> for yourself.
>
> http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html
>
>
> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>
>>This is a reply to a similar question on the R.A.M.P.S. newsgroup....
>>
>>
>>>>>That's not completely true. Programs that depend on real time processing
>>
>>(like recorders and editors or Multimedia software) will probably NOT be
>>supported by Rosetta.
>>Apple has stated on their website that all of their PRO programs (Final
>
> Cut
>

>>Pro, Soundtrack Pro, DVD Studio Pro and many of the 3D Graphics programs)
>>will not be supported by Rosetta. They will all have to be replaced by
>>Intel Native programs. or their so-called "Universal" versions. Universal
>>versions of the Pro apps are not available yet and Apple will charge fees
>
>>from $99 to $699 to Upgrade when they are available.
>
>>Read more here: http://www.apple.com/rosetta/<<<<
>>
>>--
>>Martin Harrington
>>www.lendanear-sound.com

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by excelav on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 08:42:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

They demoed MS Word and Adobe Photoshop running on the intel macs today using
Rosetta. Jobs said the upgrades for the pro apps. would be $49.00, but I
may have misunderstood what he was saying. Check out the Keynote speech
for yourself.

http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>This is a reply to a similar question on the R.A.M.P.S. newsgroup....
>
>>>>That's not completely true. Programs that depend on real time processing
>(like recorders and editors or Multimedia software) will probably NOT be
>supported by Rosetta.
> Apple has stated on their website that all of their PRO programs (Final
Cut
>Pro, Soundtrack Pro, DVD Studio Pro and many of the 3D Graphics programs)
>will not be supported by Rosetta. They will all have to be replaced by
>Intel Native programs. or their so-called "Universal" versions. Universal
>versions of the Pro apps are not available yet and Apple will charge fees
>from $99 to $699 to Upgrade when they are available.
>Read more here: http://www.apple.com/rosetta/<<<<
>
>--
>Martin Harrington
>www.lendanear-sound.com
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:43c49f77$1@linux...
>>

>> As far as software running on the new Intel Macs, they have a emulator

>> software
>> so you can run Mac applications now. All of the apps. that come with
the
>> machines are native. There are already some third party apps. that are

>> native
>> now. MS made a five year plus commitment, and stated that their apps

>> will
>> be native soon.
>>
>> The speed, well, I know that independent labs tested the Macs with
>> industry
>> testing software and used industry testing standards in the past. I
>> believe
>> those results were true at the time, however those results didn't stand

>> for
>> long. There is constant speed development in the PC world. I guess it's
>> a matter of beliefs, so there is no sense in debating it.
>>
>> I'm sure the next argument will be, that a machine that is running
>> Windows,
>> runs software faster than the Mac running the same software. It will

>> never
>> end.
>>
>> The biggest problems with the new Mactel machines is the price.
>>
>> The best thing to come out of MacWorld to day for me was the iLife apps.
>> and iWork. GarageBand is cool song writing software. It is a little
>> weird,
>> but it's the closest thing to a quick song writing scratch pad to get

>> ideas
>> down with. I think most of us would agree that we all need something
like
>> that,
>>
>> If you got QT and you have the time, You should check out the keynote

>> speech.
>>
>> By the way, you PC Zealots that hate Apple no matter what, are going to

>> really
>> hate Apple when you see the new Apple Intel TV commercial;)
>>
>> I wonder if they are going to play it during the Super Bowl???
>>
>> Check it out, they played it not once, but twice during the Keynote!
>>
>> http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html
>>
>> www.apple.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Lamont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>Hi James,
>>>
>>>I would agree with you, but me and other PC zealots having been taking
the
>>>Apple "marketing" machine down our throats for years. I mean (Apple)just
>>>paln O'll lying about it's processor prowess during those keynotes from
>> Mr
>>>Jobs. I'm a Comp/Sci grad who works
>>>(day gig) as a SeniorLevel GroupWare Consultant. I have and still maintain
>>>all types of CPU harware since 1986..I have to say that while the mac
os
>>>is nice, the processing power was always lacking behind intel & amd. But
>>>Apple spewing that "we have the fastest machines" and pc's were a distant
>>>second.
>>>
>>>As a fomer mac owner, I always feft I was getting shafted and just buying
>>>'hype'. looking back, Mr jobs has to recognised as thee biggest snake
oil
>>>salesman ever to walk this earth..
>>>
>>>Now, all bets are off. Why should anyone purchase a new Mac? When you
can
>>>buy the same intel machine a proven os thtat doesnot require devolpers
to
>>>rewrite code from scratch ie:(plugins).. Most speculators say that it
will
>>>take most mac developers at 2-3 years to to have a mac/intel compatible
>> apps
>>>and plugins..I hope that they are wrong..
>>>Best..Lamont
>>>
>>>

>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all
>>>>leapfrogged
>>>>each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer
>>>>software
>>>>package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later

>>>>every
>>>>body else catches up. It will always be this way.
>>>>
>>>>The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story,
>> ease
>>>>of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.
>>>>
>>>>You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with
its
>>>>G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top
>>>with
>>>>two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory
and
>>>>faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book,
>>>>shouldn't
>>>>surprise anybody.
>>>>
>>>>The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which

>>>>means
>>>>higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no
new
>>>>computer for me for awhile
>>>>
>>>>I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully
>>>>the pricing will be a lot better.
>>>>
>>>>The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what
>>>>ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not
>> restrict
>>>>you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once
>>>>said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in
>> the
>>>>way of the software!"
>>>>
>>>>I think it's a good start.
>>>>
>>>>James
>>>>
>>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
>>>>>
>>>>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>>>>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy
PC..
>>>>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>>>>>
>>>>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering
>>>if
>>>>>it's too late to the Party?
>>>>>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed
>>>arguments
>>>>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster
than
>>>>>the
>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top

>>>>>>15.3"
>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>> app.
>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>>be
>>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>James
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster

>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
>> 15.3"
>>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>>>app.
>>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>>>be
>>>>>>>$49.00.

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>James
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by excelav on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 09:00:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I just double checked my info, according the Steve Jobs, they have a crossgrade program, were you trade in your pro disc for the new universal ones and the cost is just $49.00. You must have the latest version of the program to do the crossgrade for $49.00. Up grading older versions will probably cost more. The applications he named were, FCP pro, Logic Pro and aperture.

James

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>This is a reply to a similar question on the R.A.M.P.S. newsgroup....
>
>>>>That's not completely true. Programs that depend on real time processing
>(like recorders and editors or Multimedia software) will probably NOT be
>supported by Rosetta.
> Apple has stated on their website that all of their PRO programs (Final
Cut
>Pro, Soundtrack Pro, DVD Studio Pro and many of the 3D Graphics programs)
>will not be supported by Rosetta. They will all have to be replaced by
>Intel Native programs. or their so-called "Universal" versions. Universal
>versions of the Pro apps are not available yet and Apple will charge fees
>from $99 to $699 to Upgrade when they are available.
>Read more here: http://www.apple.com/rosetta/<<<<
>
>--
>Martin Harrington
>www.lendanear-sound.com
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:43c49f77$1@linux...
>>
>> As far as software running on the new Intel Macs, they have a emulator

>> software
>> so you can run Mac applications now. All of the apps. that come with
the
>> machines are native. There are already some third party apps. that are

>> native
>> now. MS made a five year plus commitment, and stated that their apps

>> will
>> be native soon.
>>
>> The speed, well, I know that independent labs tested the Macs with
>> industry
>> testing software and used industry testing standards in the past. I
>> believe
>> those results were true at the time, however those results didn't stand

>> for
>> long. There is constant speed development in the PC world. I guess it's
>> a matter of beliefs, so there is no sense in debating it.
>>
>> I'm sure the next argument will be, that a machine that is running
>> Windows,
>> runs software faster than the Mac running the same software. It will

>> never
>> end.
>>
>> The biggest problems with the new Mactel machines is the price.
>>
>> The best thing to come out of MacWorld to day for me was the iLife apps.
>> and iWork. GarageBand is cool song writing software. It is a little
>> weird,
>> but it's the closest thing to a quick song writing scratch pad to get

>> ideas
>> down with. I think most of us would agree that we all need something
like
>> that,
>>
>> If you got QT and you have the time, You should check out the keynote

>> speech.
>>
>> By the way, you PC Zealots that hate Apple no matter what, are going to

>> really
>> hate Apple when you see the new Apple Intel TV commercial;)

>>
>> I wonder if they are going to play it during the Super Bowl???
>>
>> Check it out, they played it not once, but twice during the Keynote!
>>
>> http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html
>>
>> www.apple.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Lamont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>Hi James,
>>>
>>>I would agree with you, but me and other PC zealots having been taking
the
>>>Apple "marketing" machine down our throats for years. I mean (Apple)just
>>>paln O'll lying about it's processor prowess during those keynotes from
>> Mr
>>>Jobs. I'm a Comp/Sci grad who works
>>>(day gig) as a SeniorLevel GroupWare Consultant. I have and still maintain
>>>all types of CPU harware since 1986..I have to say that while the mac
os
>>>is nice, the processing power was always lacking behind intel & amd. But
>>>Apple spewing that "we have the fastest machines" and pc's were a distant
>>>second.
>>>
>>>As a fomer mac owner, I always feft I was getting shafted and just buying
>>>'hype'. looking back, Mr jobs has to recognised as thee biggest snake
oil
>>>salesman ever to walk this earth..
>>>
>>>Now, all bets are off. Why should anyone purchase a new Mac? When you
can
>>>buy the same intel machine a proven os thtat doesnot require devolpers
to
>>>rewrite code from scratch ie:(plugins).. Most speculators say that it
will
>>>take most mac developers at 2-3 years to to have a mac/intel compatible
>> apps
>>>and plugins..I hope that they are wrong..
>>>Best..Lamont
>>>
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>

>>>>Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all
>>>>leapfrogged
>>>>each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer
>>>>software
>>>>package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later

>>>>every
>>>>body else catches up. It will always be this way.
>>>>
>>>>The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story,
>> ease
>>>>of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.
>>>>
>>>>You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with
its
>>>>G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top
>>>with
>>>>two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory
and
>>>>faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book,
>>>>shouldn't
>>>>surprise anybody.
>>>>
>>>>The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which

>>>>means
>>>>higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no
new
>>>>computer for me for awhile
>>>>
>>>>I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully
>>>>the pricing will be a lot better.
>>>>
>>>>The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what
>>>>ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not
>> restrict
>>>>you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once
>>>>said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in
>> the
>>>>way of the software!"
>>>>
>>>>I think it's a good start.
>>>>
>>>>James
>>>>
>>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!

>>>>>
>>>>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>>>>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy
PC..
>>>>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>>>>>
>>>>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering
>>>if
>>>>>it's too late to the Party?
>>>>>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed
>>>arguments
>>>>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster
than
>>>>>the
>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top

>>>>>>15.3"
>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>> app.
>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>>be
>>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>James
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster

>>>>>>>than
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
>> 15.3"
>>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>>>app.
>>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>>>be
>>>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>James

>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: News for you Mac guys!
Posted by Kim on Wed, 11 Jan 2006 10:42:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Damn thing wont play on Quicktime Alternative...

....if they think I'm loading the QT virus on my machine they've got another
thing coming. ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>As far as software running on the new Intel Macs, they have a emulator software
>so you can run Mac applications now. All of the apps. that come with the
>machines are native. There are already some third party apps. that are
native
>now. MS made a five year plus commitment, and stated that their apps will
>be native soon.
>
>The speed, well, I know that independent labs tested the Macs with industry
>testing software and used industry testing standards in the past. I believe
>those results were true at the time, however those results didn't stand
for
>long. There is constant speed development in the PC world. I guess it's
>a matter of beliefs, so there is no sense in debating it.
>
>I'm sure the next argument will be, that a machine that is running Windows,
>runs software faster than the Mac running the same software. It will never
>end.
>
>The biggest problems with the new Mactel machines is the price.
>
>The best thing to come out of MacWorld to day for me was the iLife apps.
>and iWork. GarageBand is cool song writing software. It is a little weird,
>but it's the closest thing to a quick song writing scratch pad to get ideas
>down with. I think most of us would agree that we all need something like
>that,

>
>If you got QT and you have the time, You should check out the keynote speech.
>
>By the way, you PC Zealots that hate Apple no matter what, are going to
really
>hate Apple when you see the new Apple Intel TV commercial;)
>
>I wonder if they are going to play it during the Super Bowl???
>
>Check it out, they played it not once, but twice during the Keynote!
>
>http://macworld.apple.com.edgesuite.net/mw/index.html
>
>www.apple.com
>
>
>
>
>"Lamont " <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>Hi James,
>>
>>I would agree with you, but me and other PC zealots having been taking
the
>>Apple "marketing" machine down our throats for years. I mean (Apple)just
>>paln O'll lying about it's processor prowess during those keynotes from
>Mr
>>Jobs. I'm a Comp/Sci grad who works
>>(day gig) as a SeniorLevel GroupWare Consultant. I have and still maintain
>>all types of CPU harware since 1986..I have to say that while the mac os
>>is nice, the processing power was always lacking behind intel & amd. But
>>Apple spewing that "we have the fastest machines" and pc's were a distant
>>second.
>>
>>As a fomer mac owner, I always feft I was getting shafted and just buying
>>'hype'. looking back, Mr jobs has to recognised as thee biggest snake oil
>>salesman ever to walk this earth..
>>
>>Now, all bets are off. Why should anyone purchase a new Mac? When you can
>>buy the same intel machine a proven os thtat doesnot require devolpers
to
>>rewrite code from scratch ie:(plugins).. Most speculators say that it will
>>take most mac developers at 2-3 years to to have a mac/intel compatible
>apps
>>and plugins..I hope that they are wrong..
>>Best..Lamont
>>
>>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Over the years the processors from Apple, intel and AMD have all leapfrogged
>>>each other in speed at times. It's like a feature in a sequencer software
>>>package, somebody comes out with a new feature, and six months later every
>>>body else catches up. It will always be this way.
>>>
>>>The need for speed will always drive us, but it's not the whole story,
>ease
>>>of use, usefulness, and productivity are more important.
>>>
>>>You have to look at what they are really saying. The Power book with
its
>>>G4 processor is a Pentium III era processor. Saying that a new lap top
>>with
>>>two new generation Intel dual core 1.8 MHz processors, faster memory and
>>>faster bussing, is 4x faster than a single 1.6 MHz G4 power book, shouldn't
>>>surprise anybody.
>>>
>>>The thing that bums me out is, they kept their price structure, which
means
>>>higher profits for Apple per unit, but smaller market share. And no new
>>>computer for me for awhile
>>>
>>>I'll wait for the iBook and Mac mini, should be April, or May. Hopefully
>>>the pricing will be a lot better.
>>>
>>>The thing that people should be excited about is the ability to run what
>>>ever software you want on one machine. This way the hardware does not
>restrict
>>>you. Or as my sister, the holder of a masters in computer science once
>>>said, " I don't care what hardware I use, as long as it doesn't get in
>the
>>>way of the software!"
>>>
>>>I think it's a good start.
>>>
>>>James
>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Wow!! So, it finnaly happend!!
>>>>
>>>>I sold my G4 last year because it was just too slow for my VSti
>>>>modern recording studio needs. Tok the money, and got a nice speedy PC..
>>>>Now, I'm Mac excited!!!
>>>>
>>>>However, as excited as I am for Apple and it's new Macs, I'm wondering

>>if
>>>>it's too late to the Party?
>>>>This move proves all they ney sayer's and Mac loverswrong in the speed
>>arguments
>>>>over the years. Even worse is when Apple purchases
>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>Sorry about the type-O!
>>>>>
>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster than
>>>>the
>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
15.3"
>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 times faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>app.
>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>be
>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>
>>>>>James
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Apple announced a new Intel based iMac that is 2 to 3 times faster
than
>>>>>the
>>>>>>G5 iMac. The one more thing, was the announcement of a new lap top
>15.3"
>>>>>>screen, that is 5 to 6 tomes faster than the current PowerBook. Pro
>>app.
>>>>>>will be available for the new Intel Macs in March. The upgrades will
>>>be
>>>>>>$49.00.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>James
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>