
Subject: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 01:57:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least not in my lifetime.

Love,

Sarah
www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm

Subject: Re: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 02:22:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anyone catch "Hacking Democracy" on hbo last night? It's a bit un-nerving, but worth watching.

-Carl

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:454bf150@linux...
> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least
> not in my lifetime.
>
> Love,
>
> Sarah
> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 02:23:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Vote for what? They're all idiots with no plan. Show me a candidate with a written plan that shows clearly what he/she stands for, what immediate goals they have with a roadmap, what budget they propose to do it with and what timeframe they have. Oh and the milestones to indicate their progress along the way.

Hmm.....NONE of them.....surprise surprise

Neil wrote:

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least

>

>> not in my lifetime.

>

> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still

> be important?

>

> Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 02:33:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

john you said it brother!Its too bad there isnt a* none of the above*
vote.they are ALL corrupt idiots.I have a feeling this will be a
longggggggggggggggg ass thread.

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454bf73d@linux...

> Vote for what? They're all idiots with no plan. Show me a candidate with

> a written plan that shows clearly what he/she stands for, what immediate

> goals they have with a roadmap, what budget they propose to do it with and

> what timeframe they have. Oh and the milestones to indicate their

> progress along the way.

>

> Hmm.....NONE of them.....surprise surprise

>

> Neil wrote:

>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>> least

>>

>>> not in my lifetime.

>>

>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still

>> be important?

>>

>> Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 02:58:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least

>not in my lifetime.

But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still be important?

Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [excelav](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 03:36:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:

>john you said it brother!Its too bad there isnt a* none of the above*

>vote.they are ALL corrupt idiots.I have a feeling this will be a

>longgggggggggggggggg ass thread.

DAMN! I hope not. It's getting soooooo old, and it really doesn't belong here. Better on the General NG.

James

>

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454bf73d@linux...

>> Vote for what? They're all idiots with no plan. Show me a candidate with

>> a written plan that shows clearly what he/she stands for, what immediate

>> goals they have with a roadmap, what budget they propose to do it with and

>> what timeframe they have. Oh and the milestones to indicate their

>> progress along the way.

>>

>> Hmm.....NONE of them.....surprise surprise

>>

>> Neil wrote:

>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>>> least

>>>>

>>>> not in my lifetime.

>>>>

>>>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still

>>>> be important?

>>>>

>>> Neil

>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 04:16:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454bf73d@linux...

> Vote for what? They're all idiots with no plan. Show me a candidate with
> a written plan that shows clearly what he/she stands for, what immediate
> goals they have with a roadmap, what budget they propose to do it with and
> what timeframe they have. Oh and the milestones to indicate their
> progress along the way.

>

> Hmm.....NONE of them.....surprise surprise

>

> Neil wrote:

>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>> least

>>

>>> not in my lifetime.

>>

>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still

>> be important?

>>

>> Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 05:11:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If
you

>don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

Yes you do... you can complain that everyone sucked so bad that

not a single one of 'em deserved your vote in the first place... which is about the point that I'm getting to.

Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [animix](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 05:31:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wrote in Al Gore for county surveyor todaay.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454c12f6\$1@linux...
>
> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
> >Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If
> you
> >don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!
>
> Yes you do... you can complain that everyone sucked so bad that
> not a single one of 'em deserved your vote in the first
> place... which is about the point that I'm getting to.
>
> Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:22:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Of course. It might not be good, but important nevertheless. :)

S.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454bf3ad\$1@linux...
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least
>
>>not in my lifetime.
>
> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still
> be important?
>

> Neil

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 11:42:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And to me I don't care if they are of any party. I just want specifics.

Anything else is just rhetoric. Then I see the news saying Barack Obama is the new great thing. So I watch him on Larry King and he's just talking broad brush strokes pie in the sky. Sorry Barack, but if you can't lay it out in detail I'm not interested. Oh, and I reserve the right to QUESTION your detail and ask for more substantiation of your proposal as if you had one.

John

Elect me, I'm for puppies

alex plasko wrote:

> john you said it brother!Its too bad there isnt a* none of the above*

> vote.they are ALL corrupt idiots.I have a feeling this will be a

> longgggggggggggggggg ass thread.

>

> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454bf73d@linux...

>> Vote for what? They're all idiots with no plan. Show me a candidate with

>> a written plan that shows clearly what he/she stands for, what immediate

>> goals they have with a roadmap, what budget they propose to do it with and

>> what timeframe they have. Oh and the milestones to indicate their

>> progress along the way.

>>

>> Hmm.....NONE of them.....surprise surprise

>>

>> Neil wrote:

>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>>> least

>>>> not in my lifetime.

>>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still

>>> be important?

>>>

>>> Neil

>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 11:45:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bullshit

Martin Harrington wrote:

> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If you
> don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 11:48:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

compulsory? what do they do if you dont' vote?

Martin Harrington wrote:

> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If you
> don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 11:49:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

not important. just picking from different groups of crooks

Sarah wrote:

> Of course. It might not be good, but important nevertheless. :)

>

>

> S.

>

>

> "Neil" <OIOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454bf3ad\$1@linux...

>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote:

>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least

>>> not in my lifetime.

>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still

>> be important?

>>

>> Neil

>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 15:02:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

O.K. that was freakin funny

:0)

Rod

"DJ" <notachance@net.net> wrote:

>I wrote in Al Gore for county surveyor todaay.

>

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454c12f6\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>> >Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If

>> you

>> >don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

>>

>> Yes you do... you can complain that everyone sucked so bad that

>> not a single one of 'em deserved your vote in the first

>> place... which is about the point that I'm getting to.

>>

>> Neil

>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 16:02:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>Elect me, I'm for puppies

FINALLY! Someone who's not anti-puppy! You've got my vote.

:)

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [animix](#) on Sat, 04 Nov 2006 19:06:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well heck, Dick Cheney's brother is a surveyor in these parts and I figured if he got elected he would give no bid contracts to Halliburton to suck prarie dogs out of their burrows and send them to fight in Iraq since they are probably smarter than the soldiers over there.

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:454c9d5e\$1@linux...

>
> O.K. that was freakin funny
> :0)
> Rod
> "DJ" <notachance@net.net> wrote:
> >I wrote in Al Gore for county surveyor todaay.
> >
> >
> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454c12f6\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
> >> >Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say
is..lf
> >> you
> >> >don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!
> >>
> >> Yes you do... you can complain that everyone sucked so bad that
> >> not a single one of 'em deserved your vote in the first
> >> place... which is about the point that I'm getting to.
> >>
> >> Neil
> >
> >
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 00:05:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm, a very intelligent reply.

--

Martin Harrington
www.lendanear-sound.com

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7b12\$1@linux...

> bullshit
>
> Martin Harrington wrote:
>> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..lf
>> you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 00:05:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A fine.

--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message [news:454c7bc0\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:454c7bc0$1@linux...)

> compulsory? what do they do if you dont' vote?

>

> Martin Harrington wrote:

>> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If

>> you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 00:39:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, I really didn't think this would turn into a discussion, so I apologize for that. But I just wanted to say one thing before I drop this.

It's absurd to say "they're all . . ." anything. Whenever I hear huge generalities about any group of people, I figure I'm listening to someone who simply doesn't want to really look a little deeper. There are honest, ethical people in government, and if they're outnumbered it's precisely because as fat, comfy Americans we have been apathetic and cynical long enough to let the crooks and liars take over.

And even if it was true that they're ALL bad, which it isn't, I would still be saying, "Please vote," because sometimes choosing between the lesser of two evils is a life or death decision.

Sarah

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message [news:454c7be4\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:454c7be4$1@linux...)

> not important. just picking from different groups of crooks

>

> Sarah wrote:

>> Of course. It might not be good, but important nevertheless. :)

>>

>>

>> S.

>>

>>

>> "Neil" <OIOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454bf3ad\$1@linux...
>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>> least
>>>> not in my lifetime.
>>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still
>>> be important?
>>>
>>> Neil
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 01:22:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Why don't you submit yourself for public office, John.
You might even be able to change the world, but wait, you could never get
Paris working properly, so maybe that's not such a great idea either.

--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454d3225\$1@linux...
>
>
> They all suck. NONE of them have any plans! I have better plans for
> building
> a dog house than this bunch of idiots have? Which genius have I
> overlooked
> ?
>
> Actually, I was going to build a dog house today and I did a complete plan
> and did a cost list before I bought the materials and the house I was
> building
> was going to be \$200 so I bought one for \$169 that suits me ok. So here
> I am costing things out before I execute them. What a concept !!
>
> John
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>> OK, I really didn't think this would turn into a discussion, so I
>> apologize for that. But I just wanted to say one thing before I drop this.
>>
>> It's absurd to say "they're all . . ." anything. Whenever I hear
>> huge
>
>> generalities about any group of people, I figure I'm listening to someone

>
>>who simply doesn't want to really look a little deeper. There are honest,
>
>>ethical people in government, and if they're outnumbered it's precisely
>
>>because as fat, comfy Americans we have been apathetic and cynical long
>
>>enough to let the crooks and liars take over.
>>
>> And even if it was true that they're ALL bad, which it isn't, I would
>
>>still be saying, "Please vote," because sometimes choosing between the
>>lesser of two evils is a life or death decision.
>>
>>Sarah
>>
>>
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7be4\$1@linux...
>>> not important. just picking from different groups of crooks
>>>
>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>> Of course. It might not be good, but important nevertheless. :)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> S.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Neil" <OIOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454bf3ad\$1@linux...
>>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>
>>>>>> least
>>>>>> not in my lifetime.
>>>>>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still
>>>>>> be important?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil
>>>>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 01:36:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They all suck. NONE of them have any plans! I have better plans for building a dog house than this bunch of idiots have? Which genius have I overlooked

?

Actually, I was going to build a dog house today and I did a complete plan and did a cost list before I bought the materials and the house I was building was going to be \$200 so I bought one for \$169 that suits me ok. So here I am costing things out before I execute them. What a concept !!

John

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> OK, I really didn't think this would turn into a discussion, so I
> apologize for that. But I just wanted to say one thing before I drop this.
>
> It's absurd to say "they're all . . ." anything. Whenever I hear huge
> generalities about any group of people, I figure I'm listening to someone
> who simply doesn't want to really look a little deeper. There are honest,
> ethical people in government, and if they're outnumbered it's precisely
> because as fat, comfy Americans we have been apathetic and cynical long
> enough to let the crooks and liars take over.
>
> And even if it was true that they're ALL bad, which it isn't, I would
> still be saying, "Please vote," because sometimes choosing between the
> lesser of two evils is a life or death decision.
>
> Sarah
>
>
> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7be4\$1@linux...
>> not important. just picking from different groups of crooks
>>
>> Sarah wrote:
>>> Of course. It might not be good, but important nevertheless. :)
>>>
>>>
>>> S.
>>>
>>>
>>> "Neil" <OIOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:454bf3ad\$1@linux...
>>>> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>>>> least

>>>> not in my lifetime.
>>>> But if I vote for all the candidates you oppose, will it still
>>>> be important?
>>>>
>>>> Neil
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 01:37:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Would like more words to help get the point across? For me one was plenty.

John

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>Hmm, a very intelligent reply.

>--

>Martin Harrington

>www.lendaneer-sound.com

>

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7b12\$1@linux...

>> bullshit

>>

>> Martin Harrington wrote:

>>> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If

>>> you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!

>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 01:38:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How stupid. So voting is like getting a rebate for something you don't want to buy.

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>A fine.

>--

>Martin Harrington

>www.lendaneer-sound.com

>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7bc0\$1@linux...
>> compulsory? what do they do if you dont' vote?
>>
>> Martin Harrington wrote:
>>> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..lf

>>> you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 02:10:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, I'm an idiot.

Martin Harrington wrote:
> Why don't you submit yourself for public office, John.
> You might even be able to change the world, but wait, you could never get
> Paris working properly, so maybe that's not such a great idea either.

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 07:53:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And a pint of American beer...

heh heh

"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>A fine.
>--
>Martin Harrington
>www.lendanear-sound.com
>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7bc0\$1@linux...
>> compulsory? what do they do if you dont' vote?
>>
>> Martin Harrington wrote:
>>> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..lf

>>> you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!
>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:31:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You're not an idiot John,
but.....argh!!! Never mind;-)
I mean it's a good idea to vote, trying to change America a bit for the
worlds sake. We can all be small wheels helping the bigger ones to go around
and make a better world for us all, wherever we're living in our great,
little world of today. Especially when we have got the choice to vote, where
so many good people around the world have fought for up against the years.
We have all heard the words "Human Rights" up against the years, but how
many of us are doing something with it? Sarah is one of them trying to do
something, where some other better wish to build or buy a doghouse for their
own world;-)

erlilo

"John" <no@no.com> skrev i melding news:454d45c4@linux...

> Yeah, I'm an idiot.

>

> Martin Harrington wrote:

>> Why don't you submit yourself for public office, John.

>> You might even be able to change the world, but wait, you could never get

>> Paris working properly, so maybe that's not such a great idea either.

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 11:45:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anything but that...except some of course...

--

Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"DC" <dc@spammersinsydney.org> wrote in message news:454d8a6b\$1@linux...

>

> And a pint of American beer...

>

> heh heh

>

>

> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>>A fine.
>>--
>>Martin Harrington
>>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>>
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:454c7bc0\$1@linux...
>>> compulsory? what do they do if you dont' vote?
>>>
>>> Martin Harrington wrote:
>>>> Coming from a country that has compulsory voting...all I can say is..If
>
>>>> you don't vote, you have no right to complain about what you get !!
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 17:35:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Sarah wrote:
> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at least
> not in my lifetime.
>
> Love,
>
> Sarah
> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 18:08:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and

says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war games where the only winning strategy is not to play.

I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm

hehe,
John

Jamie K wrote:

>
> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com

>
>
> Sarah wrote:
>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>> least not in my lifetime.

>>
>> Love,
>>
>> Sarah
>> www.sarhtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 20:48:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed plan ready for scrutiny. Do you know of any? hmmm

Gene Lennon wrote:

> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
>
>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>
>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm

>>
>>
>> hehe,
>> John
>>
>

> ideas stayed home because they felt they had no good options. As a result,

> and worse. I can only hope that this time the other side stays home by the
> millions. To all my conservative Paris friends: Please pass on this election,
> and the next. There are no good choices for you.
> Gene
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 21:17:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's

>like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>games where the only winning strategy is not to play.

>
>I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm

>
>
>hehe,
>John
>

ideas stayed home because they felt they had no good options. As a result,

and worse. I can only hope that this time the other side stays home by the
millions. To all my conservative Paris friends: Please pass on this election,
and the next. There are no good choices for you.
Gene

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 22:01:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>plan ready for scrutiny. Do you know of any? hmmm
>

John,

If you think I believe the Dems have a perfect plan and will make everything swell, you are sadly mistaken. I believe the Democrats will have their share of crooks and liars, including plenty of pocket lining old school fat cats.

Even so they will be FAR better.

and most dangerous administration in the modern era and they have to go.
I only hope my vote will actually be counted.

Gene

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 05 Nov 2006 22:06:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually I may be spending Election Day hiding indoors.

<http://apnews.myway.com/article/20061105/D8L74U4O0.html>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Graham Duncan](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 00:01:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You know John, I hear you! Maybe you should run for office?

The problem I see is this: people are for the most part pretty stupid. Shocking, I know. Who in this country would actually read a detailed plan of action? I think if there were enough people who demand that (over say probably the meager 15% who care) that might happen. But that doesn't sound like the US to me...

Who actually cares about anything if nothing really touches their daily lives?

At what point does your average citizen stand up and go to the streets?

I'm really amazed at our populace's (and my own) ability to sit comfortably watching TV. But if you don't have a draft touching you, or if you don't think your privacy counts for anything, then no one really cares. Sad, really.

But I feel like my own voice is pretty drowned out by complacency...

I also hope my vote is counted, even if I can't stand most of the people I'm voting for. Would our election process stand up to world scrutiny? No. There has to be a better way.

Oh, and while I'm on a rant, I can't stand that horrible John Mellencamp "song" Chevrolet is hawking on TV. Oh, right, rural white dudes own the country. We've come so far!

So, how many of us here are still using Paris?

Peace,

Graham (who is probably stupid too)

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>plan ready for scrutiny. Do you know of any? hmmm

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 02:07:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection to come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be voting for someone you think is incompetent.

I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper ballot.

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKruz.com

John wrote:

> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.

>

> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm

>

>

> hehe,
> John
>
> Jamie K wrote:
>>
>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>> Sarah wrote:
>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>
>>> Love,
>>>
>>> Sarah
>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 02:14:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ah, a voice of reason, (Remember, You Get What You Pay For...)

--

Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454e9689@linux...

>
> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection to
> come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be
> voting for someone you think is incompetent.
>
> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no
> reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper
> ballot.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> John wrote:

>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>
>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed plan
>> ready for scrutiny. hmmm
>>
>>
>> hehe,
>> John
>>
>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>
>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>
>>>> Love,
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>> www.sarahonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [michael bliss](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 03:06:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kinky Friedman
vote independant, but at least vote.

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection

>to come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be

>voting for someone you think is incompetent.

>
>I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no
>reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper
>ballot.
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>John wrote:
>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's

>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and

>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war

>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>
>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm
>>
>>
>> hehe,
>> John
>>
>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>
>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>
>>>> Love,
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!

Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 18:06:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know if it's true, but I heard someone on the radio saying that absentee ballots aren't even counted unless the margin is small enough for them to make a difference. It doesn't sound right to me, but that's what someone said on some radio talk show (don't even remember what show it was).

Tony

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454e9689@linux...

>

> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection to
> come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be
> voting for someone you think is incompetent.

>

> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no
> reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper
> ballot.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

> John wrote:

>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.

>>

>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed plan
>> ready for scrutiny. hmmm

>>

>>

>> hehe,

>> John

>>

>> Jamie K wrote:

>>>

>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...

>>>

>>> Cheers,

>>> -Jamie

>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>

>>>
>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>
>>>> Love,
>>>>
>>>> Sarah
>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 18:38:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Could they have been referring to "provisional ballots" rather than absentee ballots?

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Tony Benson wrote:
> I don't know if it's true, but I heard someone on the radio saying that
> absentee ballots aren't even counted unless the margin is small enough for
> them to make a difference. It doesn't sound right to me, but that's what
> someone said on some radio talk show (don't even remember what show it was).
>
> Tony
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message <news:454e9689@linux...>
>> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection to
>> come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be
>> voting for someone you think is incompetent.
>>
>> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no
>> reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper
>> ballot.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>

>> John wrote:
>>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
>>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>>
>>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed plan
>>> ready for scrutiny. hmmm
>>>
>>>
>>> hehe,
>>> John
>>>
>>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>>
>>>>> Love,
>>>>>
>>>>> Sarah
>>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 19:02:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm sure they said absentee, but like I said, I wasn't really paying attention until I heard that comment, then they quit talking about it. :>
It just struck me wrong, that this would be the case.

Tony

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454f7ebf@linux...

>
> Could they have been referring to "provisional ballots" rather than
> absentee ballots?
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
> Tony Benson wrote:
>> I don't know if it's true, but I heard someone on the radio saying that
>> absentee ballots aren't even counted unless the margin is small enough
>> for them to make a difference. It doesn't sound right to me, but that's
>> what someone said on some radio talk show (don't even remember what show
>> it was).
>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454e9689@linux...
>>> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection
>>> to come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be
>>> voting for someone you think is incompetent.
>>>
>>> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no
>>> reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper
>>> ballot.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> John wrote:
>>>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>>>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
>>>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>>>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>>>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>>>
>>>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>>>> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> hehe,
>>>> John
>>>>

>>>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Love,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 21:32:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For absentee ballots returned on or before election day I do not believe that is the case.

They are counted with a scanning machine in the county where I vote, which has its own problems, but is probably less hackable than a touch screen machine and has the advantage of the original paper ballot for manual recounting as needed.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Tony Benson wrote:
> I'm sure they said absentee, but like I said, I wasn't really paying
> attention until I heard that comment, then they quit talking about it. :>
> It just struck me wrong, that this would be the case.
>
> Tony
>
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454f7ebf@linux...
>> Could they have been referring to "provisional ballots" rather than

>> absentee ballots?
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>
>>
>>
>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>> I don't know if it's true, but I heard someone on the radio saying that
>>> absentee ballots aren't even counted unless the margin is small enough
>>> for them to make a difference. It doesn't sound right to me, but that's
>>> what someone said on some radio talk show (don't even remember what show
>>> it was).
>>>
>>> Tony
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454e9689@linux...
>>>> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for perfection
>>>> to come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least you won't be
>>>> voting for someone you think is incompetent.
>>>>
>>>> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see no
>>>> reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a paper
>>>> ballot.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> John wrote:
>>>>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>>>>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right. It's
>>>>> like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5 fingers and
>>>>> says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like the movie war
>>>>> games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed
>>>>> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> hehe,
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...

>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Love,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 21:54:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I did some checking and it looks like that was bogus info from the radio. At least the federal absentee web site seems to indicate that absentee ballots will be counted.

Tony

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454fa7be@linux...
>
> For absentee ballots returned on or before election day I do not believe
> that is the case.
>
> They are counted with a scanning machine in the county where I vote, which
> has its own problems, but is probably less hackable than a touch screen
> machine and has the advantage of the original paper ballot for manual
> recounting as needed.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> Tony Benson wrote:
>> I'm sure they said absentee, but like I said, I wasn't really paying
>> attention until I heard that comment, then they quit talking about it.
>> :>(It just struck me wrong, that this would be the case.

>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454f7ebf@linux...
>>> Could they have been referring to "provisional ballots" rather than
>>> absentee ballots?
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>> I don't know if it's true, but I heard someone on the radio saying that
>>>> absentee ballots aren't even counted unless the margin is small enough
>>>> for them to make a difference. It doesn't sound right to me, but that's
>>>> what someone said on some radio talk show (don't even remember what
>>>> show it was).
>>>>
>>>> Tony
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:454e9689@linux...
>>>>> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for
>>>>> perfection to come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least
>>>>> you won't be voting for someone you think is incompetent.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see
>>>>> no reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a
>>>>> paper ballot.
>>>>>
>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> John wrote:
>>>>>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my
>>>>>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right.
>>>>>> It's like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5
>>>>>> fingers and says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like
>>>>>> the movie war games where the only winning strategy is not to play.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed

>>>>> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> hehe,
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> Jamie K wrote:
>>>>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarah wrote:
>>>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at
>>>>>>> least not in my lifetime.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Love,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sarah
>>>>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm
>>>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Vote . . . Please!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 06 Nov 2006 22:19:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bogus info from a RADIO TALK SHOW?!?

I must say I'm shocked, what IS this world coming to? ;^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Tony Benson wrote:

> I did some checking and it looks like that was bogus info from the radio. At
> least the federal absentee web site seems to indicate that absentee ballots
> will be counted.

>

> Tony

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454fa7be@linux...

>> For absentee ballots returned on or before election day I do not believe
>> that is the case.
>>
>> They are counted with a scanning machine in the county where I vote, which
>> has its own problems, but is probably less hackable than a touch screen
>> machine and has the advantage of the original paper ballot for manual
>> recounting as needed.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>
>>
>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>> I'm sure they said absentee, but like I said, I wasn't really paying
>>> attention until I heard that comment, then they quit talking about it.
>>> :(It just struck me wrong, that this would be the case.
>>>
>>> Tony
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:454f7ebf@linux...
>>>> Could they have been referring to "provisional ballots" rather than
>>>> absentee ballots?
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Tony Benson wrote:
>>>>> I don't know if it's true, but I heard someone on the radio saying that
>>>>> absentee ballots aren't even counted unless the margin is small enough
>>>>> for them to make a difference. It doesn't sound right to me, but that's
>>>>> what someone said on some radio talk show (don't even remember what
>>>>> show it was).
>>>>>
>>>>> Tony
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:454e9689@linux...
>>>>>> Well, you're free to vote or not, and sit around waiting for
>>>>>> perfection to come knocking. Hope that works out OK for you. At least
>>>>>> you won't be voting for someone you think is incompetent.
>>>>>>

>>>>> I'm with Sarah, definitely not sitting this one out. And since I see
>>>>> no reason to trust hackable voting machines, I'm voting absentee on a
>>>>> paper ballot.

>>>>>

>>>>> Cheers,

>>>>> -Jamie

>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> John wrote:

>>>>>> I don't think I've ever been so bored with voting.....at least in my

>>>>>> lifetime. I won't even bother with it. Penn Gillette is right.

>>>>>> It's like the Moe, Curly and Larry routine where he holds up 5

>>>>>> fingers and says "Pick 2" and then pokes you in the eyes, or like

>>>>>> the movie war games where the only winning strategy is not to play.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> I'm still waiting for a list of the politicians that have a detailed

>>>>>> plan ready for scrutiny. hmmm

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> hehe,

>>>>>> John

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Jamie K wrote:

>>>>>>> Yep. And vote on a paper ballot if you have the option...

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Cheers,

>>>>>>> -Jamie

>>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Sarah wrote:

>>>>>>>> I don't think it's ever been more important to the country . . . at

>>>>>>>> least not in my lifetime.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Love,

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Sarah

>>>>>>>> www.sarahtonin.com/wayward.htm

>>>>>>>>

>

>