
Subject: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Sat, 20 Aug 2005 18:22:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

Wjb+JIWjASGRzjrtzXY/ENnn8B6oAsaKoGVA5HPavPPDShYIzlucpYA6qmAV WfvM5/rTpLQ1
v7f9LOU+22kbXp+i6fL9afhPOb5uF6H1pu5RleeBR/ZFNaXM/wC05Df7avT8 0enufrUcuq6s
x4suvqatCbA7e1L5oOCSR71X9k0dyP7QqPVFFL3WWUqlolZ2zTjd+IANpijF PEsa3A44+tWH
vIF

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 20 Aug 2005 18:26:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RWomC7owwAKvmjJ3hghckoLImcXqkQttUdQPkbkGmQuYLkZ4DcitLxNF II5Npyrcn2rG
v22xRyL/AA8ZqaicldGaVnY6zTbjgc1v2c3Tk1xOj3OUGTzXTWE2VHNTTdyZ rWx09vIDjFTg
85xWZZyZ71pl2Rmuq5ly2HfhQ2aXvSMcCldoeoH3pO9LQfSj5CSE7c0nPSIN JnGc0loPQt5H
ftQPajtzS96uwdRe9FHTtR+IINxcemKTV60tHvQgfmHbmmkinUmCe1NIAPPb Nct4rm3ajbwZ
OFG811JHTPeuNvs3WvXTZJEeFFL3eoXd1YuxsDARDPNYI/LMniAm0tzcOqYK itm2UxlcjIHO
Kr+Ef9K1S/vWH3pCFHpXFUrexjzI7YUIUlaRCmp6iBj+yJARUcuqa2fki06R BmuxZfm+tPMI
YgHgVxyzyEPR2+46o5fQT2f3nEvqXicjP2Bto7FqLTUvFFvOLiKwAbGDIq7f 7Mpbr+FOW2UL
kYx71j/aml7/Al

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [Craig Mitchell](#) on Tue, 23 Aug 2005 00:18:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er seen. If they

>>> existed the routing would have to appear in the patchbay window with

a

>>> separate module labeled effects sidechain I/O.

>>>

>>> I would probably use the channel inserts to run through an analog

>>> compressor

>>> if you have one with a sidechain.

>>>

>>> RZ

>>>

>>>

>>> "Rob Arsenault" <mani2@nbnnet.nb.ca> wrote in message

>>> news:4306a013\$

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 23 Aug 2005 04:09:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ugh...)

>

>Hope this helps.

>

>Dan

>

>"zmora" <docent191@wp.pl> wrote:

>>

>>

>>I have full FX pack, don't see "Chuck's wiring plug".?????

>>

>>

>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>>>Actually, it IS possible. You have to use Chuck's wiring plug in the FX

>>

>>>pack, I think that has been released to the public?

>>>Stock, Paris will not do this w/o some creative use/thinking, a few I/O's

>>

>>>and an external compressor.

>>>AA

>>&

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 23 Aug 2005 11:46:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

"zmora" <docent191@wp.pl> wrote:

>

>Dan

>

>I done !!!!Even that I working in WinMe.

>Just I extracted all to empty folder then I put new Fx from there to system/ensoniq...I

>see inWire and OutWire in Paris Fx(insert and aux), no new meter plugin
unfortunately(???)

>Now I will try it in action...If I can(?)

>

> Zmora

>

>

>

>

>"zmora" <docent191@wp.pl> wrote:

>>
>>Thanks, of course it help me.
>>
>> zhora
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"dan b" <daniel_burneNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>It's not in the add on FX pack, but it does comes with the

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 23 Aug 2005 14:45:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
>Oh, and my brother hasn't touched it, so it can't be him... ;o)
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.Anybody got one of the Apogee Mini Me units with USB? I am thinking of getting one(\$1,225 at Washington Professional)...I mainly wonder about the sound of the Mic Pre's.....thanksHi John,
Just curious which Royers your comparing them to.I've got an SF-12.Comparing them to Royers is pretty impressive especially at that price.

Pete

"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>
>I have a pair of the new 46's and a stock
>23. I am loving the 46's--have used them
>on electric guitars (sound great, equally
>as good as my Royers), acoustic guitars
>(a very nice wood sound), and just used
>them as room mics on a drum kit and they
>killed. I have used the 23 on all of the
>above with similar results. My next step
>is to get the Cinemag transformer for the
>23 and see what that does. I'll leave the
>46's stock.
>
>For that kind of money, they are a steal...
>
>Be sure and tell him you heard of them though

&

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 23 Aug 2005 16:00:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er
>>you've had a chance to work with them for a while. Do you have the 23 and
>>the 23c??? I'm pretty interested in getting a ribbon mic, and at these
prices,
>>I just may be able to get a pair.
>>Rod
>I have the 121's...

"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
>Hi John,
> Just curious which Royers your comparing them to.I've got an
>SF-12.Comparing them to Royers is pretty impressive especially at
>that price.

>
>Pete

>
>"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>>
>>I have a pair of the new 46's and a stock
>>23. I am loving the 46's--have used them
>>on electric guitars (sound great, equally
>>as good as my Royers), acoustic guitars
>>(a very nice wood sound), and just used
>>them as room mics on a drum kit and they
>>killed. I have used the 23 on all of the
>>above with similar results. My next step
>>is to get the Cinemag transformer for the
>>23 and see what that does. I'll leave the
>>46's stock.

>>
>>For that kind of money, they are a steal...

>>
>>Be sure and tell him you heard of them though
>>me :).

>>
>>
>>

blank">wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:430bf920@linux...

> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support, easy
> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,
> w2k servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on and
> on.

>
> W. Mark Wilson

>
> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...

>>
>> Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.

>>
>> However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely with
>> reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
>> your
>> needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....

>>
>> by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
>> server, That is not a monthly use limit.

>>
>> However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more reliable
>> and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.

>>
>> Craig

>>
>>
>>
>> in article 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com wrote

>> on
>> 8/23/05 11:13 PM:

>>
>>>
>>> so.....you got any???

>>> Rod
>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>> yes they are

>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>>>>> suggestions are always welcome

>>>>> rod
>>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap

>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>> thanks!
>>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at
>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 04:08:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"_blank">rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
>>>>>
>>>>> wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??
>>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.
>>>>>>>> I've been using yousendit...but it's gotten kind of hit and miss
>>>>>>>> lately
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> it's reliability recently.
>>>>>>>> rod
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Rod,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I've been using www.whalemail.com so far, so good.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Craig M.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>More on the ZOTOB and WinXP status:

[http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/advisory/906574.ms px](http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/advisory/906574.mspx)

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:430c0afa@linux...
> W2k servers makes me a bit nervous. They should be at 2k3 by now, and
> there's good reason for that.
>
> <http://news.google.com/news?q=windows+2000+vulnerability&hl=en&lr=&sa=N&tab=nn&oi=newsr>
>
> NOTE: It also mentions that XP users are vulnerable if you are not up to
> SP2 and have a guest account enabled. ____Patch those holes on your net
> machine guys.____
>
> "" Also vulnerable are systems that run Windows XP with SP1 with file and
> printer sharing and the Windows guest user account enabled, according to
> Microsoft. ""
>
> AA
>
> "W. Mark Wilson" <

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 04:35:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

arget="_blank">wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:430bf920@linux...
>> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support, easy
>> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,
>> w2k servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on and
>> on.
>>
>> W. Mark Wilson
>>
>> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...
>>>
>>> Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.
>>>
>>> However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely
>>> with
>>> reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
>>> your
>>> needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....
>>>
>>> by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
>>> server, That is not a monthly use limit.

>>>
>>> However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more
>>> reliable
>>> and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.
>>>
>>> Craig
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> in article 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
>>> wrote on
>>> 8/23/05 11:13 PM:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> so.....you got any???
>>>> Rod
>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>> yes they are
>>>>>
>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>>>>>> suggestions are always welcome
>>>>>> rod
>>>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> thanks!
>>>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at
>>>>>>>> rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> wrote
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??
>>>>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.
>>>>>>>>>> I've been using yousendit...but it's gotten kind of hit and miss

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

>>>>>>>> lately

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> with

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> it's reliability recently.

>>>>>>>> rod

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Rod,

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> I've been using www.whalemail.com so far, so good.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Craig M.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>

>2K was never immune to any of the other 300 virii to be released on the net per month in the last 5 years, not to specifically mention any of the notoriously malicious ones. Readyhosting never went down and I've never seen them off the air at any time in 4 years. I'm not certain they are still 2k servers.... maybe newer now. Check the site.

By the way, and completely OT: cool tip for windows users: type the unique portion of any known url in the IE url line. Example, >rodlincoln< then simple hit CTRL+ENTER and IE will add >www.< to the front and >.com< to the end and send the query. FYI.

Dubya

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:430c0d9d@linux...

> More on the ZOTOB and WinXP status:

>

> [http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/advisory/906574.ms px](http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/advisory/906574.mspx)

>

>

>

> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message

> news:430c0afa@linux...

>> W2k servers makes me a bit nervous. They should be at 2k3 by now, and

>> there's good reason for that.
>>
>> <http://news.google.com/news?q=windows+2000+vulnerability&hl=en&lr=&sa=N&tab=nn&oi=newsr>
>>
>> NOTE: It also mentions that XP users are vulnerable if you are not up to
>> SP2 and have a guest account enabled. ____Patch those holes on your net
>> machine guys.____
>>
>> "" Also vulnerable are systems that run Windows XP with SP1 with file
>> and printer sharing and the Windows guest user account enabled, according
>> to Microsoft. ""
>>
>> AA
>>
>> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:430bf920@linux...
>>> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support, easy
>>> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,
>>> w2k servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on and
>>> on.
>>>
>>> W. Mark Wilson
>>>
>>> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
>>> news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...
>>>>
>>>> Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.
>>>>
>>>> However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely
>>>> with
>>>> reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
>>>> your
>>>> needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....
>>>>
>>>> by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
>>>> server, That is not a monthly use limit.
>>>>
>>>> However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more
>>>> reliable
>>>> and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.
>>>>
>>>> Craig
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> in article 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by Aaron Allen on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 06:02:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.com" target="_blank">rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com

>>>> wrote on

>>>> 8/23/05 11:13 PM:

>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> so.....you got any???

>>>>> Rod

>>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>> yes they are

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

>>>>>>> suggestions are always welcome

>>>>>>> rod

>>>>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> thanks!

>>>>>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at

>>>>>>>>>>> rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> wrote

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I've been using yousendit...but it's gotten kind of hit and miss

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> lately

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> with

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> it's reliability recently.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> rod

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Rod,

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> I've been using www.whalemail.com so far, so good.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Craig M.

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>nah.... win2k is still more stable than 2k3... just gotta keep it patched up... regardless of version

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:430c0afa@linux...

> W2k servers makes me a bit nervous. They should be at 2k3 by now, and

> there's good reason for that.

>

> <http://news.google.com/news?q=windows+2000+vulnerability&hl=en&lr=&sa=N&tab=nn&oi=newsr>

>

> NOTE: It also mentions that XP users are vulnerable if you are not up to

> SP2 and have a guest account enabled. ____Patch those holes on your net

> machine guys.____

>

> "" Also vulnerable are systems that run Windows XP with SP1 with file and

> printer sharing and the Windows guest user account enabled, according to

> Microsoft. ""

>

> AA

>

> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message

> news:430bf920@linux...

>> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support, easy

>> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,

>> w2k servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on and

>> on.

>>

>> W. Mark Wilson

>>

>> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message

>> news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...

>>>

>>> Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.

>>>

>>> However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely
>>> with
>>> reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
>>> your
>>> needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....
>>>
>>> by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
>>> server, That is not a monthly use limit.
>>>
>>> However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more
>>> reliable
>>> and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.
>>>
>>> Craig
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> in a

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 07:00:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rticle 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
>>> wrote on
>>> 8/23/05 11:13 PM:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> so.....you got any???
>>>> Rod
>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>> yes they are
>>>>>
>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>>>>>> suggestions are always welcome
>>>>>> rod
>>>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> thanks!
>>>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at

>>>>>>>> rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>> wrote
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??
>>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.
>>>>>>>> I've been using yousendit...but it's gotten kind of hit and miss
>>>>>>>> lately
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> it's reliability recently.
>>>>>>>> rod
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Rod,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I've been using www.whalemail.com so far, so good.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Craig M.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>That's it...It's gone..

Graig West of Crave Music studios made me an offer I couldn't refuse.

Makes sense really.

I haven't used it in well over a year, and when I did, I wasn't really using it's full potential, only recording Voice overs and mixing to picture.

It's now gone to a new loving home, where hopefully it's full potential will be unleashed

Good luck with it Craig, put it to good use.

I'll still be here though, checking in as often as before, but only as an observer, not user

--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com I used readyhosting for a couple of years - however, these days, I believe that GoDaddy has the best bang for the buck. 500mb for around \$38/year. You can also add 100mb to that for \$10 more.

www.godaddy.com

-Carl

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message news:430bf920@linux...
> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support, easy
> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,
w2k
> servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on and on.
>
> W. Mark Wilson
>
> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...
> >
> > Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.
> >
> > However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely
with
> > reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
> > your
> > needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....
> >
> > by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
> > server, That is not a monthly use limit.
> >
> > However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more
reliable
> > and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.
> >
> > Craig
> >
> >
> >
> > in article 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
wrote
> > on
> > 8/23/05 11:13 PM:
> >
> >>

> >> so.....you got any???

> >> Rod

> >> John <no@no.com> wrote:

> >>> yes they are

> >>>

> >>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

> >>>> suggestions are always welcome

> >>>> rod

> >>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

> >>>>

> >>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap

> >>>>>

> >>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

> >>>>>

> >>>>>> thanks!

> >>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at

> >>>>>>> rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com

> >>>>>

> >>>>> wrote

> >>>>>

> >>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??

> >

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 07:39:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.

> >>>>>>>> I've been using yousendit...but it's gotten kind of hit and miss

> >>>>>>>> lately

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> with

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>> it's reliability recently.

> >>>>>>>>> rod

> >>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>>> Rod,

> >>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>> I've been using www.whalemail.com so far, so good.

> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Craig M.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>
> >>
> >
> >
>

>I'd love to hear what sort of stuff you pick up in this area - a lot of these thoughts about mixing have also been running through my head.

Cheers

-Markus

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:43040e9f@linux...

> get an education. Glad he's a nice guy. I'll probably be learning a lot from

> him.
> Lots ot learn.

>
> ;o)

>
>
> "gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message > news:43017d6c\$1@linux...

> >
> > "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >I'm needing to get pretty anal retentive with this stuff these days...

> > >Deej
> > >

> > Well.
> > I have worked with many producers and mix engineers over the years that do

> > sweat the details, including sound stage.
> > M/S.

> > Binaural.
> > X/Y.

> > These exist because people consider the stereo imaging and sound stage > important.

> > Obviously this is not the most critical issue in typical, modern, radio > driven

> > productions, but that does not equate to being meaningless.
> > I love recording in true stereo (primarily M/S) whenever it seems

> appropriate.
> >
> > Trying to control the sound stage "after the fact" is a completely
> different
> > animal but again, the details do add up.
> > I have been playing around a little with GigaPulse, the convolution
reverb
> > that comes with Giga3, and now available separately. With multiple
> instances,
> > you can create a very controlled and convincing 3D soundstages that you
> actually
> > can adjust during mixdown. This reminds me a little of using the Calrec
> Soundfield
> > (the ultimate mic for post recording control of sound stage.)
> >
> > The new generation of hardware and software phase correction systems
also
> > opens up new possibilities. As an example: If you record a small
acoustic
> > ensemble with M/S, you should be able to add spot mics and "correct" the
> > phase relationship after the fact. I have not had a chance to try this
> yet,
> > but I hope to soon.
> > (PhaseTone from Tritone is out now and free.)
> > <http://www.tritonedigital.com/products.htm>
> > Gene
> >
>
>Martin, you've been using Nuendo? is that correct?
Rod
"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>That's it...It's gone..
>
>Graig West of Crave Music studios made me an offer I couldn't refuse.
>
>Makes sense really.
>
>I haven't used it in well over a year, and when I did, I wasn't really using

>it's full potential, only recording Voice overs and mixing to picture.
>
>It's now gone to a new loving home, where hopefully it's full potential
will
>be unleashed
>Good luck with it Craig, put it to good use.
>
>I'll still be here though, checking in as often as before, but only as an

>observer, not user
>--
>Martin Harrington
>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>
>Yes, I have Rod
For my purposes, it's ideal, especially for video sync
Also surround facility, which I'm about to explore.
--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:430c6c37\$1@linux...

>
> Martin, you've been using Nuendo? is that correct?
> Rod
> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>That's it...It's gone..
>>
>>Graig West of Crave Music studios made me an offer I couldn't refuse.
>>
>>Makes sense really.
>>

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 09:37:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
>>
>>
>Hey...cool tip! Thanks!
"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:
>2K was never immune to any of the other 300 virii to be released on the
net
>per month in the last 5 years, not to specifically mention any of the
>notoriously malicious ones. Readyhosting never went down and I've never

>seen them off the air at any time in 4 years. I'm not certain they are

>still 2k servers.... maybe newer now. Check the site.
>
>By the way, and completely OT: cool tip for windows users: type the unique

>portion of any known url in the IE url line. Example, >rodlincoln< then

>simple hit CTRL+ENTER and IE will add >www.< to the front and >.com<
to
>the end and send the query. FYI.
>
>Dubya
>
>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message news:430c0d9d@linux...
>> More on the ZOTOB and WinXP status:
>>
>> [http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/advisory/906574.ms px](http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/advisory/906574.mspx)
>>
>>
>>
>> "Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote in message
>> news:430c0afa@linux...
>>> W2k servers makes me a bit nervous. They should be at 2k3 by now, and

>>> there's good reason for that.
>>>
>>> [http://news.google.com/news?q=windows+2000+vulnerability&
;hl=en&lr=&sa=N&tab=nn&oi=newsr](http://news.google.com/news?q=windows+2000+vulnerability&hl=en&lr=&sa=N&tab=nn&oi=newsr)
>>>
>>> NOTE: It also mentions that XP users are vulnerable if you are not up
to
>>> SP2 and have a guest account enabled. _____Patch those holes on your net

>>> machine guys._____
>>>
>>> "" Also vulnerable are systems that run Windows XP with SP1 with file

>>> and printer sharing and the Windows guest user account enabled, according

>>> to Microsoft. ""
>>>
>>> AA
>>>
>>> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>> news:430bf920@linux...
>>>> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support,
easy
>>>> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,

>>>> w2k servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on
and
>>>> on.
>>>>
>>>> W. Mark Wilson
>>>>

>>>> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...
>>>>>
>>>>> Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.
>>>>>
>>>>> However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely

>>>>> with
>>>>> reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down
to
>>>>> your
>>>>> needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....
>>>>>
>>>>> by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
>>>>> server, That is not a monthly use limit.
>>>>>
>>>>> However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more
>>>>> reliable
>>>>> and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.
>>>>>
>>>>> Craig
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> in article

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 12:53:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

g at 2 gig of storage.
Yousendit is great for that, and totally free, but the upload times are pretty
slow and it doesn't always work. Hence the reason for my question.
Rod

Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>
>Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.
>
>However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely with
>reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
your
>needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....
>
>by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the
>server, That is not a monthly use limit.
>

>However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more reliable
>and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.

>

>Craig

>

>

>

>in article 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com wrote
on

>8/23/05 11:13 PM:

>

>>

>> so.....you got any???

>> Rod

>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>> yes they are

>>>

>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

>>>> suggestions are always welcome

>>>> rod

>>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap

>>>>>

>>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> thanks!

>>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> wrote

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??

>>>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.

>>>>>>>>> I've been using yousendit...but it's gotten kind of hit and miss
lately

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> with

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> it's reliability recently.

>>>>>>>>>> rod

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rod,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I've been using www.whalemail.com so far, so good.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Craig M.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>The cheapest I've been able to find is <http://shieldhost.com/>

Quality hosting at a lost cost at <http://hostrocket.com> (can you say rollover bandwidth!)

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotNOSPAMmail.com> wrote in message news:430c3ecd\$1@linux...

>I used readyhosting for a couple of years - however, these days, I believe
> that GoDaddy has the best bang for the buck. 500mb for around \$38/year.
> You
> can also add 100mb to that for \$10 more.

>
> www.godaddy.com

>
> -Carl

>
> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message news:430bf920@linux...

>> Readyhosting.com. Used them for 4 years. Always up, good support, easy
>> setup, 99 bucks per year when I was there, 500 megs, no transfer limit,
> w2k
>> servers, unlimited email, Admin and user profiles/priveleges, on and on.

>>
>> W. Mark Wilson

>>
>> "Craig Mitchell" <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message news:BF3166B4.150D3%camitchell@cfl.rr.com...

>> >
>> > Opps, I didn't catch the free part. Sorry.

>> >
>> > However, \$25 a month for 500mb is cheap, IMO, to send files securely
> with
>> > reasonably fast download speeds. Considering it can scale up or down to
>> > your
>> > needs at the moment, it's not a bad deal....

>> >
>> > by the way, that is a 500mb file size at a time you can load onto the

>> > server, That is not a monthly use limit.
>> >
>> > However, If you guys come across something cheaper, faster, more
> reliable
>> > and easier to use, I'd love to know about it. Seriously.
>> >
>> > Craig
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > in article 430be5df@linux, Rod Lincoln at rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
> wrote
>> > on
>> > 8/23/05 11:13 PM:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> so.....you got any???
>> >> Rod
>> >> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>> >>> yes they are
>> >>>
>> >>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>> >>>> suggestions are always welcome
>> >>>> rod
>> >>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>> 10mb is too small for me personally and \$75/year is not cheap
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Rod Lincoln wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>> thanks!
>> >>>>>> Craig Mitchell <camitchell@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> in article 430774d1\$1@linux, Rod Lincoln at
>> >>>>>>> rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> wrote
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>> on 8/20/05 2:22 PM:
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>> Does anyone know of an online (free) ftp sight to post files??
>> >>>>>>>>> It need to have around 300 to 400 meg per file capability.
>> >>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 13:02:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

them to Royers is pretty impressive especially at
>>>that price.

>>>

>>>Pete

>>>

>>>"John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>I have a pair of the new 46's and a stock
>>>>23. I am loving the 46's--have used them
>>>>on electric guitars (sound great, equally
>>>>as good as my Royers), acoustic guitars
>>>>(a very nice wood sound), and just used
>>>>them as room mics on a drum kit and they
>>>>killed. I have used the 23 on all of the
>>>>above with similar results. My next step
>>>>is to get the Cinemag transformer for the
>>>>23 and see what that does. I'll leave the
>>>>46's stock.

>>>>

>>>>For that kind of money, they are a steal...

>>>>

>>>>Be sure and tell him you heard of them though
>>>>me :).

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>John, I was wondering what you thought of the Shiny Box ribbon mics
now,

>>>>>after

>>>>>you've had a chance to work with them for a while. Do you have the 23

>>>>>and

>>>>>the 23c??? I'm pretty interested in getting a ribbon mic, and at these

>>>>>prices,

>>>>>I just may be able to get a pair.

>>>>>Rod

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>yeah, I was sort of thinking this was as well, I work wiht mostly garage or
Clash ere style punk bands, Big low end does not seem to be there on some
of the stuff.

Now When I produce this particular bands next CD, I am going to make sure

there is a tight kick and bass team, not a mush of missed hits ghost notes and flabby bass. Then I think, I can put some of the bottom back,

As it is now, It seems to make more of a mess.

Ah the polishing goes on.

>>

>>

>

>I suppose it just boils down to working methodologies. The stuff I'm
>mixing (rock, metal, hardcore)I beat to death on the low end with EQ.
>I'll hack bass guitar at 150 if I have to... fundamentals be damned. Make

>it up in the upper octaves with the overtones. I'm learning how to
>*IMPLY* bass energy without actually using the fundamental tones that you

>would say "Hey, that's a low ass note there" while playing it over 12"
>speakers. Take that same material with all the low-end and play it on
>your boom box and it's all, "Hey, where's the bass guitar?"

>

>Same thing with kick. Brutal. Disgusting at times... but when I ref. it

>against popular program material, I'm right in the game.

>

>Anyshit.. enough about me.

>

>-volthauseThis is the first I've heard of these - are they more of a diamond in the
rough than other typical "bargain" mics? The demos sounded good. They at
least sound like a good deal for the money.

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/24/05 7:26 AM, in article 430c7571\$

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 24 Aug 2005 13:07:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[href="mailto:1@linux" target="_blank">1@linux, "cujo"](mailto:1@linux)
<chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>

>

> I too would love to know, have you used em on crunchy marshall?
>
> Hpoefully I can swing for a pair of 46's before my sext big session.
>
>
> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> I'mn trying to decide whether to get the 23c or the stock 23.
>> What would you say the difference between the 23's and 46's are..sound wise.
>> rod
>> "ohn Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> I have the 121's...
>>>
>>> "Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Hi John,
>>>> Just curious which Royers your comparing them to.I've got an
>>>> SF-12.Comparing them to Royers is pretty impressive especially at
>>>> that price.
>>>>
>>>> Pete
>>>>
>>>> "John Macy" <spamlessjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> I have a pair of the new 46's and a stock
>>>>> 23. I am loving the 46's--have used them
>>>>> on electric guitars (sound great, equally
>>>>> as good as my Royers), acoustic guitars
>>>>> (a very nice wood sound), and just used
>>>>> them as room mics on a drum kit and they
>>>>> killed. I have used the 23 on all of the
>>>>> above with similar results. My next step
>>>>> is to get the Cinemag transformer for the
>>>>> 23 and see what that does. I'll leave the
>>>>> 46's stock.
>>>>>
>>>>> For that kind of money, they are a steal...
>>>>>
>>>>> Be sure and tell him you heard of them though
>>>>> me :).
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> John, I was wondering what you thought of the Shiny Box ribbon mics
> now,

>>>> after
>>>>> you've had a chance to work with them for a while. Do you have the 23
>>> and
>>>>> the 23c??? I'm pretty interested in getting a ribbon mic, and at these
>>>> prices,
>>>>> I just may be able to get a pair.
>>>>> Rod
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>Yes, Nuendo 3
The Comp is a P4 running at 2.2 with (at the moment) 768 mg of ram.
--
Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:430c6e35\$1@linux...

>
> I thought so. What kind of horsepower machine are you using to run that?
> Is
> it Nuendo 3?
> Rod
> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>Yes, I have Rod
>>For my purposes, it's ideal, especially for video sync
>>Also surround facility, which I'm about to explore.
>>--
>>Martin Harrington
>>www.lendaneer-sound.com
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>>news:430c6c37\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Martin, you've been using Nuendo? is that correct?
>>> Rod
>>> "Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>>>>That's it...It's gone..
>>>>
>>>>Graig West of Crave Music studios made me an offer I couldn't refuse.
>>>>
>>>>Makes sense really.
>>>>
>>>>I haven't used it in well over a year, and when I did, I wasn't really
>
>>>>using
>>>>
>>>>it's full potential, only recording Voice overs and mixing to picture.

>>>>
>>>>It's now gone to a new loving home, where hopefully it's full potential
>>> will
>>>>be unleashed
>>>>Good luck with it Craig, put it to good use.
>>>>
>>>>I'll still be here though, checking in as often as before, but on

Subject: Re: free FTP sight???? or cheap???
Posted by [Craig Mitchell](#) on Thu, 25 Aug 2005 02:18:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

)
>
>
>
>
> "EK Sound" <spamnot.info@eksoundNO.com> wrote in message
> news:43020d34\$1@linux...
> > Speaking of which, have you seen this yet?
> >
> > <http://www.waves.com/content.asp?id=1600>
> >
> > You must have one or..... ;-)
> >
> > David.
> >
> > DJ wrote:
> > > The hipass has a slope so unless you set it with the bottom of the
> > > slope
> > > around 90Hz, you are going to have some residual audible LF. What you
> > > will
> > > hear will depend on how good your LF hearing is, but teeney computer
> > > speakers might start fartin' at you if inaudible LF energy within
> > > their
> > > frequency range capabilities is too high. Sounds like what you need
> > > is
> > > a
> > > graphic EQ. If you're trying to mix to suit the masses of teeny
> > > speaker
> > > users, the Waves MaXXBass is a cool tool to get this stuff under
> > > control
> > > (though personally, I think it's cooler to watch tiny bits of smoking
> > > speaker cone go flying out of the grilles of laptops. ;o)
> > >
> > > "Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote in message
> > > news:4301eec7\$1@linux...
> > >

> > >>
> > >>
> > >>Anone else notice that the graphical interface if the EQ is somewhat
> > >
> > > misleading.
> > >
> > >>For instance the highpass seems to leave a bunch of low stuff int
> > >
> > > here..but
> > >
> > >>the graphic looks like it is cutting everything.
> > >>
> > >>Are there any more "accurate" eq's out there..Say I want to cut
> evrything
> > >>under 90hz..it it could make a hard cut there with out a slope?
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_002E_01C5A91C.187FBC10
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Well, Bob Clearmountain had this to say about his Apogee Mini MP mic pre =
...
"This thing Rocks!"

And his ex-wife owns Apogee...

That's what I was told anyway.
Tom

-----=_NextPart_000_002E_01C5A91C.187FBC10
Content-Type: text/html;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">  
<HTML><HEAD>  
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =  
 charset=3Diso-8859-1">  
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>  
<STYLE></STYLE>
```

```
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2><FONT face="Times New Roman" =
size=3>Well, Bob=20
Clearmountain had this to say about his Apogee Mini MP mic pre =
...<BR>"This thing=20
Rocks!"</FONT></FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2><FONT face="Times New Roman" =
size=3></FONT><BR>And=20
his ex-wife owns Apogee...</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>That's what I was told =
anyway.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=Arial size=2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV></BODY></HTML>
```

-----=_NextPart_000_002E_01C5A91C.187FBC10--The SMPTE Module was a dismal failure when it came to syncing up Paris to video or an analogue tape recorder with a SMPTE stripe. The o
