
Subject: Dither or Sample Rate convert First
Posted by [Lance Reichert](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 15:15:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Folks,

I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS
I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither
or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

I bow to those who know more.

TIA,

Lance

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 15:24:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dither should be the last step. always.

"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message
news:47cc185e\$1@linux...

> Hey Folks,

>

> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

>

> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS

> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither

> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

>

> I bow to those who know more.

>

> TIA,

>

> Lance

>

>

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First
Posted by [Lance Reichert](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 16:30:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Alex!!

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote in message
news:47cc1b4f\$1@linux...

> dither should be the last step. always.

> "Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:47cc185e\$1@linux...

>> Hey Folks,

>>

>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

>>

>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS

>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither

>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

>>

>> I bow to those who know more.

>>

>> TIA,

>>

>> Lance

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 19:16:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And never ever record at 48KHz :-)

Chuck

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:

>dither should be the last step. always.

>"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message

>news:47cc185e\$1@linux...

>> Hey Folks,

>>

>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

>>

>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS

>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither

>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

>>
>> I bow to those who know more.
>>
>> TIA,
>>
>> Lance
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 19:19:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Agree for music production. If it's going to video and will be a 96k
upsample, then 48k is preferred.
To answer the original question: Dither is last step as Alex stated.
Haven't seen your name in quite a while Lance, how's it going?

AA

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47cc4096\$1@linux...
>
> And never ever record at 48KHz :-)
>
> Chuck
> "alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:
>>dither should be the last step. always.
>>"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message
>>news:47cc185e\$1@linux...
>>> Hey Folks,
>>>
>>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.
>>>
>>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS
>>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither
>>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?
>>>
>>> I bow to those who know more.
>>>
>>> TIA,
>>>
>>> Lance
>>>
>>>

>>
>>
>

