Subject: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Don Nafe on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 14:34:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi All

I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into a laptop...new or used

any suggestions

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Chris Ludwig on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 14:54:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

HI Don,

Haven't tried one of these yet but M-Audio's usually is pretty good.

http://www.m-audio.com/products/en_us/ProFireLightbridge-mai n.html

Chris

Don Nafe wrote:

>Hi All

>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into a >laptop...new or used

>

>any suggestions

>

>

>

__

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/> (859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Don Nafe on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 15:01:22 GMT does anyone make just a card for laptops?

```
"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:4576d288@linux...
> Hi All
>
> I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into
> a laptop...new or used
>
> any suggestions
>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Nil on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 15:31:13 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

RME Multiface with the PCMCIA card?

http://search.ebay.com/search/search.dll?cgiurl=http%3A%2F%2Fcgi.ebay.com%2Fws%2F&fkr=1&from=R8&satitle=multiface&category0=&submitSearch=Search

There's a couple combos like this listed on e-bay.

Neil

```
"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>Hi All
>
>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into a
>laptop...new or used
>
>any suggestions
>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Rich[3] on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 16:20:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Emu 1616M will work also - I use one for portable recording with Cubase SX and have had no problems...

```
"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>Hi All
>
>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into
a
>laptop...new or used
>
>any suggestions
>
>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Nappy on Wed, 06 Dec 2006 16:29:30 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

http://www.tcelectronic.com/Konnekt24D

Havent tried it, but it looks promising. It can also be used as a standalone effects

unit. Street price is \$399 I think? MOTU has a unit with the simalar spec without

effects. http://www.motu.com/products/motuaudio/ultralite/

respect Nappy

```
"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>Hi All
>
>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into a
>laptop...new or used
>
>any suggestions
>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Rich[3] on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 16:51:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

When you make you choice please let us know. I'm always looking for something

better portable wise. Also if you can post what your running on etc.

Thanks

So far the Emu 1616M wit sx3 has worked well as portable solution for me...

```
"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>http://www.tcelectronic.com/Konnekt24D
>Havent tried it, but it looks promising. It can also be used as a standalone
>effects
>unit. Street price is $399 I think? MOTU has a unit with the simalar spec
>without
>effects. http://www.motu.com/products/motuaudio/ultralite/
>respect
>Nappy
>
>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>Hi All
>>
>>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs into
>a
>>laptop...new or used
>>any suggestions
>>
>>
>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops
Posted by gene lennon on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 00:34:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

for hard drives or DSP (or that you have a second Firewire port.)

```
"Rich" <studiodog_99@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>When you make you choice please let us know. I'm always looking for something
>better portable wise. Also if you can post what your running on etc.
```

```
>Thanks
>So far the Emu 1616M wit sx3 has worked well as portable solution for me...
>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>http://www.tcelectronic.com/Konnekt24D
>>Havent tried it,but it looks promising. It can also be used as a standalone
>>effects
>>unit. Street price is $399 I think? MOTU has a unit with the simalar spec
>>without
>>effects. http://www.motu.com/products/motuaudio/ultralite/
>>
>>respect
>>Nappy
>>
>>
>>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>>Hi All
>>>
>>>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs
into
>>a
>>>laptop...new or used
>>>any suggestions
>>>
>>>
>>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by excelav on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 01:37:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Gene! Is there any way you could test and give me an idea of the round trip latency of the ProFire Lightbridge? I'm thinking about using one to move tracks back and forth between Paris and Cubase SX for processing. I'm sure FW will add latency, the question is, will it be impractical?

Thanks James

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@nospMyrealbox.com> wrote:

```
>
with
>for hard drives or DSP (or that you have a second Firewire port.)
>
>"Rich" <studiodog_99@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>When you make you choice please let us know. I'm always looking for something
>>better portable wise. Also if you can post what your running on etc.
>>
>>Thanks
>>So far the Emu 1616M wit sx3 has worked well as portable solution for me...
>>
>>
>>"Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>>http://www.tcelectronic.com/Konnekt24D
>>>Havent tried it,but it looks promising. It can also be used as a standalone
>>>effects
>>>unit. Street price is $399 I think? MOTU has a unit with the simalar
spec
>>>without
>>>effects. http://www.motu.com/products/motuaudio/ultralite/
>>>
>>>respect
>>>Nappy
>>>
>>>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>>>Hi All
>>>I'm looking for an inexpensive way to get adat and/or optical inputs
>into
>>>a
>>>>laptop...new or used
>>>>
>>>>any suggestions
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops
Posted by Gene Lennon[4] on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 05:59:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No problem, but you will need to wait a few days. The Lightbridge in part

finished. Gene

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hey Gene! Is there any way you could test and give me an idea of the round >trip latency of the ProFire Lightbridge? I'm thinking about using one to >move tracks back and forth between Paris and Cubase SX for processing.

>sure FW will add latency, the question is, will it be impractical?

> >Thanks >James

>

I'm

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops
Posted by Gene Lennon[4] on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 06:40:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>Hey Gene! Is there any way you could test and give me an idea of the round >>trip latency of the ProFire Lightbridge? I'm thinking about using one to

>>move tracks back and forth between Paris and Cubase SX for processing.

>I'm

>>sure FW will add latency, the question is, will it be impractical?

>>

>>Thanks

>>James

>>

This is the only test that I have had the time to check.

Snare on track one.

ADAT loop out and back.

Record snare on track two.

Track two is recorded 134 samples BEFORE track one?

Changing PT Hardware Buffer size had no effect.

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by excelav on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 01:25:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Gene! It sounds like it could be usable if it's only around 3ms. I'm wondering about multi tracks. Please let me know more when you find out. What do you think of PT with it? Can you get 32 track of I/O in PT at 44.1, 48K? It was unclear if it is stuck at 18 I/Os with PT at 44.1/48.

Thanks James "Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSpammyrealbox.com> wrote: > >>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote: >>>Hey Gene! Is there any way you could test and give me an idea of the round >>>trip latency of the ProFire Lightbridge? I'm thinking about using one >to >>>move tracks back and forth between Paris and Cubase SX for processing. >>I'm >>>sure FW will add latency, the question is, will it be impractical? >>>Thanks >>>James >>> >This is the only test that I have had the time to check. >Snare on track one. >ADAT loop out and back. >Record snare on track two. >Track two is recorded 134 samples BEFORE track one? >Changing PT Hardware Buffer size had no effect. >Pro Tools M-Powered 7.3 >ProFire Lightbridge V1.8.1-3 >Gene

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Mike Mullin on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 03:30:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Hi Fella's.
I've recorded a couple of things with the Profire
Lightbridge and my macbook pro. I did a test recording
@ 24bit/48/khz, 24 track to the internal drive and
it did not hiccup at all for about 30 minutes.
The computer processor allowed me to monitor
with 128 samples latency in real time (no plugins).
I did a live recording of an R&B band off of my Yamaha
O1V96 (17 tracks @ 16bit/44.1khz) for 90 minutes no problem. For safety sake
I set the latency to 500 samples .I'm going to get an Express 34 card and
SATA
drive (7200rpm, 500 gig, 16 mb buffer) and I anticipate
no problem recording 32 trcks @ 24bit/48Khz.
cheers.
Mike
"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Hey Gene! It sounds like it could be usable if it's only around 3ms. I'm
>wondering about multi tracks. Please let me know more when you find out.
> What do you think of PT with it? Can you get 32 track of I/O in PT at
44.1.
>48K? It was unclear if it is stuck at 18 I/Os with PT at 44.1/48.
>Thanks
>James
>"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSpammyrealbox.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>Hey Gene! Is there any way you could test and give me an idea of the
>round
>>>>trip latency of the ProFire Lightbridge? I'm thinking about using one
>>> move tracks back and forth between Paris and Cubase SX for processing.
>>
>>>I'm
>>>sure FW will add latency, the question is, will it be impractical?
>>>>
>>>>Thanks
>>>James
>>>>
>>This is the only test that I have had the time to check.
```

```
>>Snare on track one.
>>ADAT loop out and back.
>>Record snare on track two.
>>Track two is recorded 134 samples BEFORE track one?
>>Changing PT Hardware Buffer size had no effect.
>>
>>Pro Tools M-Powered 7.3
>>ProFire Lightbridge V1.8.1-3
>>
>>Gene
>>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Gene Lennon[4] on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 03:38:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Gene! It sounds like it could be usable if it's only around 3ms. I'm
>wondering about multi tracks. Please let me know more when you find out.
> What do you think of PT with it? Can you get 32 track of I/O in PT at
44.1,
>48K? It was unclear if it is stuck at 18 I/Os with PT at 44.1/48.
>
>Thanks
>James
>
```

PT MP is hobbled at a max I/O of 18 by Digi, but it is possible to get a

The latency has no effect on this config. Paris is simply sitting there,

of latency is in this loop, it is not affecting my tightest mutes or delaying any metering to the point that I can perceive it.

My choice for PT for this mix has to do with the complexity of the mix and the fact that PT has the best options for editing and mix automation (for me), and it integrates very nicely with my MotorMix.

I have the Oxford plugs running native in PT, so having an unlimited number of Oxford plugs and Waves SSL plugs gives a lot of options. So far I like

this better than mixing from Logic or DP. The limited number of tracks (48 mono or stereo) and the limited I/O will force me to use other options in some circumstances, but this setup benefits from many of Pro Tools strengths

years.

and Logic mess with the input timing just the way PT seems to do. I think

Gene

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops
Posted by Gene Lennon[4] on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 07:41:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Mike Mullin" < lunarlordship@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>

- >Hi Fella's,
- >I've recorded a couple of things with the Profire
- >Lightbridge and my macbook pro. I did a test recording
- >@ 24bit/48/khz, 24 track to the internal drive and
- >it did not hiccup at all for about 30 minutes.
- >The computer processor allowed me to monitor
- >with 128 samples latency in real time (no plugins).
- >I did a live recording of an R&B band off of my Yamaha
- >O1V96 (17 tracks @ 16bit/44.1khz) for 90 minutes no problem. For safety sake
- >I set the latency to 500 samples .I'm going to get an Express 34 card and
- >SATA
- >drive (7200rpm, 500 gig, 16 mb buffer) and I anticipate
- >no problem recording 32 trcks @ 24bit/48Khz.
- >cheers.
- >Mike

130 tracks playback using my FirmTek RAID and Logic.

The LightBridge seems to work well with the FirmTek.

This is truly a studio under your arm.

I posted some results here:

http://www.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=80869 gene

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by excelar on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:14:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey guys! Thanks for the info. Maybe Deej could pipe in with some test ideas for the round trip thing.

Gene, are you sub mixing the PT 48 track down to 18 outs? At least there are other software options for 32x34 I/Os.

```
Thanks again.
James
"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSpammyrealbox.com> wrote:
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Hey Gene! It sounds like it could be usable if it's only around 3ms.
>>wondering about multi tracks. Please let me know more when you find out.
>> What do you think of PT with it? Can you get 32 track of I/O in PT at
>44.1.
>>48K? It was unclear if it is stuck at 18 I/Os with PT at 44.1/48.
>>
>>Thanks
>>James
>>
>
>
>PT MP is hobbled at a max I/O of 18 by Digi, but it is possible to get a
>The latency has no effect on this config. Paris is simply sitting there,
2-track
>of latency is in this loop, it is not affecting my tightest mutes or delaying
>any metering to the point that I can perceive it.
>My choice for PT for this mix has to do with the complexity of the mix and
>the fact that PT has the best options for editing and mix automation (for
>me), and it integrates very nicely with my MotorMix.
>I have the Oxford plugs running native in PT, so having an unlimited number
>of Oxford plugs and Waves SSL plugs gives a lot of options. So far I like
```

>this better than mixing from Logic or DP. The limited number of tracks (48 >mono or stereo) and the limited I/O will force me to use other options in >some circumstances, but this setup benefits from many of Pro Tools strengths

```
>years.
>
Performer
>and Logic mess with the input timing just the way PT seems to do. I think
>
>Gene
>
```

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by excelav on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:28:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSpammyrealbox.com> wrote:
>"Mike Mullin" < lunarlordship@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>>Hi Fella's,
>>I've recorded a couple of things with the Profire
>>Lightbridge and my macbook pro. I did a test recording
>>@ 24bit/48/khz, 24 track to the internal drive and
>>it did not hiccup at all for about 30 minutes.
>>The computer processor allowed me to monitor
>>with 128 samples latency in real time (no plugins).
>>I did a live recording of an R&B band off of my Yamaha
>>O1V96 (17 tracks @ 16bit/44.1khz) for 90 minutes no problem. For safety
>sake
>>I set the latency to 500 samples .I'm going to get an Express 34 card and
>>SATA
>>drive (7200rpm, 500 gig, 16 mb buffer) and I anticipate
>>no problem recording 32 trcks @ 24bit/48Khz.
>>cheers.
>>Mike
>
>130 tracks playback using my FirmTek RAID and Logic.
>The LightBridge seems to work well with the FirmTek.
>This is truly a studio under your arm.
```

>I posted some results here:

>http://www.gearslutz.com/board/showthread.php?t=80869 >gene >

Gene, that is incredible!

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by Gene Lennon[4] on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:29:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Gene, are you sub mixing the PT 48 track down to 18 outs? At least there >are other software options for 32x34 I/Os.

>

>Thanks again.

>

>James

>

>

Typically my most important 10 or12 tracks get individual outputs, that leaves me two or three subs/stems.

Gene

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops Posted by IOUOI on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 14:38:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Gene... what type of remote recording are you doing with your laptop, mostly? Live bands at clubs & festivals? Nearby symphony orchestras with a lotta spot-micing?

Sounds like you have a serious out-of-studio setup there.

Neil

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSpammyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

Subject: Re: lightpipe/ADAT input for laptops
Posted by Gene Lennon[4] on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 15:46:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"Neil" <IOUOI@OIU.com> wrote:
>
>Hey Gene... what type of remote recording are you doing with
>your laptop, mostly? Live bands at clubs & festivals? Nearby
>symphony orchestras with a lotta spot-micing?
>
>Sounds like you have a serious out-of-studio setup there.
>
>Neil
>
```

Running my MacBook Pro to see how far I could push it was primarily academic. For my own production work 48 is typical with occasional songs going into the 80-90-track range.

I have started many tracks in commercial studios over the years, and still do. With this setup I can bring my laptop with reference tracks and click tracks already prepped and just plug into the house converters and go. At end of session unplug and leave.

the power is important. If I am working on a song with 50 tracks and I want to add a VSTI part or two, previous generations of laptops would fail. On a recent session I was re-arranging the song on my laptop while the first passes of the recording were starting. I had a new version of the song ready with both tracks and sheet music to pass to the studios Pro Tools HD rig

and musicians in just a few minutes.

Logic Node, a rack of soft synths, or 20 convolution reverbs.

Gene