
Subject: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 12:07:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Where were the cheerleaders? In my day there was lots of camera time spent on the cheerleaders.

The Stones appeared to actually be live which was cool but that had to be the worst guitar solo in Satisfaction that I have ever heard. hahahaah

Subject: Re: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 15:44:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>Where were the cheerleaders? In my day there was lots of camera time
>spent on the cheerleaders.

>

>The Stones appeared to actually be live which was cool but that had to
>be the worst guitar solo in Satisfaction that I have ever heard. hahahaah

What do you want from a drug induced fossilized corpse?

Subject: Re: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 17:45:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are their live solos really that bad normally? The DVDs I have of them (Voodoo Lounge and Bridges to Babylon) have nice solos. Are those just anomollies?

LaMont wrote:

> Greetings from Motown!!!

>

> Since this year Super Bowl was in my home town, i have to say that this past
> week has been a Blast!!! Oh yeah, there was a game too.:)

>

> I love the Stones show. They were actually playing live!! As for the solo
> at the end, hey that's just the stones. I love it!!

> LaMont

>

>

> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>>Where were the cheerleaders? In my day there was lots of camera time

>>spent on the cheerleaders.

>>

>>The Stones appeared to actually be live which was cool but that had to
>>be the worst guitar solo in Satisfaction that I have ever heard. hahahaah

>

>

Subject: Re: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by [Curt Cassingham](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 17:47:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 2/6/2006 9:49 AM, LaMont wrote:

> I love the Stones show. They were actually playing live!! As for the solo
> at the end, hey that's just the stones. I love it!!
> LaMont

Yeah, but only three songs? They coulda done a medley, or shortened the vamping, etc etc, and done more songs in their allotted time. Woulda given more Sprint cellphones more tunes to download.

Subject: Re: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 17:49:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Greetings from Motown!!!

Since this year Super Bowl was in my home town, i have to say that this past week has been a Blast!!! Oh yeah, there was a game too.:)

I love the Stones show. They were actually playing live!! As for the solo at the end, hey that's just the stones. I love it!!

LaMont

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>Where were the cheerleaders? In my day there was lots of camera time
>spent on the cheerleaders.

>

>The Stones appeared to actually be live which was cool but that had to
>be the worst guitar solo in Satisfaction that I have ever heard. hahahaah

Subject: Re: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by ["Kris"](#) . on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 20:55:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>Where were the cheerleaders? In my day there was lots of camera time

>spent on the cheerleaders.

>

Didn't see the game myself, but my guess is that they may have been concerned about possible "wardrobe malfunctions".

K

Subject: Re: Superbowl gone gay?

Posted by [D-unit](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 22:02:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43e73d08\$1@linux...

> Where were the cheerleaders? In my day there was lots of camera time

> spent on the cheerleaders.

>

> The Stones appeared to actually be live which was cool but that had to

> be the worst guitar solo in Satisfaction that I have ever heard. hahahaah

I'll take bad sound/solos LIVE over listening to lip-synching any day of the week.

Thanks super bowl 40. Its about time.

db
