
Subject: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 12:14:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
>Cheers"Jef Knight" <"Jef K

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jef Knight](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 14:50:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

astards when they are
caught!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It is time the world quits playing with these assholes!!! Seriously
I am Canadian and I dont agree with Jef Knights comments and popular opinion
here is that we are probably on the list of potential targets. I feel bad
for the innocent people of the world that are collateral damage in wars but
unless George dub

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jeremy Luzier](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 15:21:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ya and Saddam and Osama take part in a triple threat match
(WWF) then other people will get hurt.
Peace (if possible)
D
"JD" <no@nospam.com> wrote in message news:42cd73e7\$1@linux...
>
> "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:
> >Don Nafe wrote:
> >
> >>Just hear about it...check your news
> >>
> >>don
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> &

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Nil](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 15:32:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ng people is justified??? About now, I think you getting

> your ass kicked would be justified!!!!
>
> What would be justified, would be executing these bastards when they are
> caught!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It is time the world quits playing with these
assholes!!!
>Howdy,

Its the 2-channel A/d converter. Ill get the model number next time im
at the studio. Its a 1U, red in colour, piece of gold....

Cheers

"Chris Lang" <yo@yo.yo> wrote:

>
>Hi Dave-
>
>Which Mindprint unit?
>
>They make great stuff; just curious.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Chris
>
>
>"Dave Parkin" <dave@b

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 15:54:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lackbirdstudio.com.au> wrote:

>>
>>Howdy all. Ive just finished tracking drums on another album. One BIG difference
>>this time, was my new clocking device. WOW. Much more clarity.The top end
>>is much more defined, and the bottoms are almost tighter.Reverbs are easier
>>to fine tune. I know these descriptions are text book symptoms of external
>>clocking, but it is very apparent in my studio. I tried a genx clock last
>>year, via the B

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 16:06:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NC cable, and wasn't blown away. This time I'm clocking via
>>the Sdif input from a Mindprint unit. Awesome..... I'm sure I'll find more
>>sonic advantages as time goes by.

>>

>>

>>Cheers

>My wife is a Brit, she was very upset this morning.

I had to drive her to work as she would get on the T here in Boston.

That said, and I am not trying to get any fights started as I respect all
of your opinions. The war on Iraq seems not to be a retaliation eh? Seems
more like a planne

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 16:57:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't even want

to bring up Haliburton. We should all think again what it means to support
our troops.

Anyway, I think the cat seems out of the bag, we will see more radical groups
using these types of attacks. I know a guy who works in Airport security.
He said these things are almost impossible to detect.

"Neil" <IUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>"Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

>>Don Nafe wrote:

>>

>>>Just hear about it...check your news

>>>

>>>don

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and

>>bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't

>

>>be so upset with us.

>>

>>just a thought....

>
>
>Yes, all the problems of the world are our fault - we suck. We
>absolutelty do not have the right to defend oursel

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [tubeguru](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 17:24:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;
>"Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:
>>Don Nafe wrote:
>>
>>>Just hear about it...check your news
>>>
>>>don
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and

>>bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't
>
>>be so upset with us.
>>
>>just a thought....
>>
>>jef
>
>What are you a fucking idiot??? Who started bombing who????? Yeah, side
with
>the terrorists, that's brilliant. I guess you didn't hear about 911!

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [JD](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 18:26:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
Neill think this software may have this capability also.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIUOIU.com> wrote in message news:42cdd4cb\$1@linux...
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >I think this is what you're looking for.
> >
> > http://www.virtualstudiosystems.com/content.php?pg=tn_features
>
>
> Deej, thanks, but no that's not what I meant. I meant
> scheduling & basic accounting/billing... THAT kind of management (not
project
> management).
>
> NeilSome of those assholes got the weapons from us!!'m not saying
the US is all bad and should be blamed for everything,but we've
play

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [HeavyD](#) on Thu, 07 Jul 2005 18:49:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ed a role in things too and own up to that.

I don't think it was Iraq that attacked us on 911.After that we should have gone after the terrorists and Bin Laden better than we did,but we had to many troops and money dedicated to going after Iraq.Cheney and his buddies had a hard-on for Saddam from when Bush version 1 was in office.

Pete

"JD" <no@nospam.com> wrote:

>

>"Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

>>Don Nafe wrote:

>>

>>>Just hear about it...check your news

>>>

>>>don

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and

>>bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't

>

>>be so upset with us.

>>
>>just a thought....
>>
>>jef
>
>What are you a fucking idiot??? Who started bombing who???? Yeah, side with
>the terrorists, that's brilliant. I guess you didn't hear about 911!!!!
> Wait until Canada gets hit by these assholes, you'll change your tune.
>
>You think killing people is justified??? About now, I think you getting
>your ass kicked would be justified!!!!
>
>What would be justified, would be executing these bastards when they are
>caught!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It is time the world quits playing with these assholes!!!
>SOME of those assholes got the weapons from us!!I'm not saying
the US is all bad and should be blamed

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [cujo](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 00:31:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tune.

>
>You think killing people is justified??? About now, I think you getting
>your ass kicked would be justified!!!!
>
>What would be justified, would be executing these bastards when they are
>caught!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It is time the world quits playing with these assholes!!!
>Cheney and his buddies had a hard-on for Saddam from
> when Bush version 1 was in office.

and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who saw any merit in enforcing UN resolutions which called for Sadaam to abide by the provisions of those resolutions or face the consequences. Instead, we had to enforce the UN's sanctions single handedly since the UN was busy getting rich on Sadaam's money while turning a blind eye to the continued muder, rape and starvation of the Iraqi people by Sadaam.

"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:42cddb7f\$1@linux...

>
> SOME of those assholes got the weapons from us!!I'm not saying
> the US is all bad and should be blamed for everything,but we've
> played a role in things too and own up to that.
>

> I don't think it was Iraq that attacked us on 911. After that we
> should have gone after the terrorists and Bin Laden better than
> we did, but we had too many troops and money dedicated to going
> after Iraq. Cheney and his buddies had a hard-on for Saddam from
> when Bush version 1 was in office.

>
> Pete

>
>
>
>
> "JD" <no@nospam.com> wrote:
> >
> > "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

> >> Don Nafe wrote:
> >>
> >>> Just hear about it... check your news
> >>>
> >

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Nightmale](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 00:55:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> don
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >> well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and
>
> >> bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't
> >
> >> be so upset with us.
> >>
> >>> just a thought....
> >>
> >>> jef
> >
> > What are you a fucking idiot??? Who started bombing who???? Yeah, side
> with
> > the terrorists, that's brilliant. I guess you didn't hear about 911!!!!
> > Wait until Canada gets hit by these assholes, you'll change your tune.
> >
> > You think killing people is justified??? About now, I think you getting
> > your ass kicked would be justified!!!!
> >
> > What would be justified, would be executing these bastards when they are

> >caught!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It is time the world quits playing with these
assholes!!!
> >
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who saw
any
> merit in enforcing UN resolutions

That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they went
about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly garbage).
The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Nightmale](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 00:55:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give them
more oil power.

It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and not
for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was obviously
sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care if
others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost* would
have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that as
he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had waited
a little longer, and put a little more pressure on the U.N. that eventually
the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually *didn't
want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in charge,
and that would give them more power politically as the country was restructured.
That's my belief anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate for
so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of countries
weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it was
getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The way
it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on war
for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Pete Ruthenburg](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 01:48:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dea what their interests are, other than any reason to kill. This is the essence of evil. It isn't a political agenda, it's a perverse counter-moral agenda that can't be requited or quenched until it has complete control.

Blaming the bombings on the US and its' allies is like saying terrorist have just as much right to express themselves by killing innocent men, women and children as we do to own an iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own one, but bin Laden probably does).

No offense Jef - you are entitled to your opinion - just expressing mine.

On 7/7/05 8:50 AM, in article 42cd40e8@linux, "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

> Don Nafe wrote:

>

>> Just hear about it...check your news

>>

>> don

>>

>>

>>

>>Kim,

I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however, agree with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all (my opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war feel due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in the desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet. I do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so they can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the war was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Pete Ruthenburg](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 01:48:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

resolutions and in doing so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability in the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know, but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one of

our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have half a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.

Regards,

Deej

"Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who saw
> any

> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions

>

> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they went
> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
garbage).

> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give them
> more oil power.

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 01:56:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

>

> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and not
> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
obviouly

> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care if
> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
would

> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that as
> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
waited

> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually

*didn't

> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in charge,
> and that would give them more power politically as the country was restructured.
> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate for
> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of countries
> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it was
> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The way
> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on war
> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have made
> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually ended
> up behind it or not.
>
> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>
> This London thing is screwed.
>
> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>
> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>
> Cheers,

> Kim.This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_006F_01C5835A.2BD71B60

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

>to own an iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own one,
>but bin Laden probably does). =20

He's got a couple of Dialysis machines too I heard. =20
Some guys have all the luck...

Tom
(Sorry Nappy!)

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote in message =
news:BEF35517.2C9B%

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 02:20:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dedric@keyofd.net..." target="_blank">dedric@keyofd.net...

> well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children =
and
> bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they =
wouldn't
> be so upset with us.
>=20
> just a thought....
>=20
> jef

That's a nicely wrapped and media-induced anti-war bandwagon banner if =
I
ever saw one.

Just remember this is the same terrorist organization (al Qaeda) that =
is
killing Iraqi officials, citizens, Egyptian diplomats (any diplomats
actually) and trying to derail any semblance of government in Iraq. =
All in
the name off...??? What exactly? Does anyone know why they would =
bomb
London to get Britain out of Iraq, and derail the Iraqi government at =
the
same time? There is no logic in cutting off one's nose to spite one's =
face,
unless it really isn't your face after all...

Only one answer: terrorists don't represent anyone's best interests =
other
than their own - not Iraq, not Afghanistan, not Islam. And I'm pretty =
sure
they don't have any idea what their interests are, other than any =
reason to
kill. This is the essence of evil. It isn't a political agenda, it's =
a
perverse counter-moral agenda that can't be requited or quenched until =
it
has complete control.

Blaming the bombings on the US and its' allies is like saying =
terrorist have
just as much right to express themselves by killing innocent men, =
women and
children as we do to own an iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own =

one,
but bin Laden probably does).

No offense Jef - you are entitled to your opinion - just expressing = mine.

On 7/7/05 8:50 AM, in article 42cd40e8@linux, "Jef Knight" <"Jef = Knight">
wrote:

> Don Nafe wrote:
>=20
>> Just hear about it...check your news
>>=20
>> don=20
>>=20
>>=20
>> =20
>>=20

-----=_NextPart_000_006F_01C5835A.2BD71B60
Content-Type: text/html;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 03:54:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
;META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =  
charset=3Diso-8859-1">  
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>  
<STYLE></STYLE>  
</HEAD>  
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>  
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><FONT face=3D"Times New Roman" =  
size=3D3>&gt;to own an=20  
iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own one,<BR>&gt;but bin Laden =  
probably=20  
does).&nbsp; </FONT></FONT></DIV>
```


logic in=20
cutting off one's nose to spite one's face,
unless it really isn't =
your=20
face after all...

Only one answer: terrorists don't =
represent=20
anyone's best interests other
than their own - not Iraq, not =
Afghanistan,=20
not Islam. And I'm pretty sure
they don't have any idea what =
their=20
interests are, other than any reason to
kill. This is the =
essence of=20
evil. It isn't a political agenda, it's a
perverse =
counter-moral=20
agenda that can't be requited or quenched until it
has complete=20
control.

Blaming the bombings on the US and its' allies is like =
saying=20
terrorist have
just as much right to express themselves by killing =
innocent=20
men, women and
children as we do to own an iPod with our favorite =
tunes (I=20
don't own one,
but bin Laden probably does).

No offense Jef =
- you=20
are entitled to your opinion - just expressing mine.

On =
7/7/05=20
8:50 AM, in article <A =
href=3D"mailto:42cd40e8@linux">42cd40e8@linux, "Jef=20
Knight" <"Jef Knight">>
wrote:

>
wrote:
>=20

>> Just hear about it...check your news
>> =

>> don=20

>>
>>
>>
>>=20

</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_006F_01C5835A.2BD71B60--Deej,

Thanks for the response, and your extremely civilized approach, in a thread and topic which can often provoke the worst in people. Appreciated, as always. :o)

I guess my thoughts on waiting come back to this. I hear what you're saying about the possible disposal of weapons. Personally I'm of the opinion that there were not any of any note in the first place, but of course I don't see how any of us "NG experts" could possibly know for sure, so I certainly cannot be certain. The thing that bothers me is...

I do think we definately do need some kind of world body to make invasion decisions, and that world body needs to be publicly accountable and transparent. (admittedly the U.N. isn't ideal) Hence any country being invaded will, unfortunately,

get warning, but I just don't see a way around this. Even if the U.N. was completely decisive, you would still have to have a process of debate over such an important issue, so I would be hard to imagine that, in best best case scenario, you could invade in less than a couple of weeks, which would of course still allow some forwarning of the invasion during which time any said weapons could be removed.

And I can't reconcile the idea that it is worth going against, or preempting the world body for the sake of the surprise factor. Hence, to me, in this case, the simple fact was that, to me, there was no choice but to give warning of the inva

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 05:12:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sion. To me, going against the world body means going against democracy pretty much. Unfortunate as it may be, I'm willing to risk WMDs getting out there in order to maintain democracy. If we lose democracy then really, who cares?

Admittedly the U.N. is a dissapointingly inefficient body, and was, indeed, deliberately designed that way by a small band of power hungry countries who, while wanting a world body, didn't actually want to give up any power in the process. Unfortunately this status quo remains to this day, hence it remains unlikely that a better body could be put into force today.

Anyhow...

To me the war should never have been about WMDs. We all know lots of countries have them, and if you could invade countries for that the world would be a mess. There's a lllloooong list of countries I'd like not to have them. All of them in fact. Like many people, if they weren't around I'd be happy. Unfortunately everyone seems to have them. That's no reason to invade though. The reason to invade is that Suddam was a brutal dictator. Dissapointingly, that didn't seem to rate very highly in the debate.

>If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have half
>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.

Err, I thought you did? ;o) Or were, or whatever. Last I heard you've got a lot of troupes there, and I've read a number of reports...

....well, take a look at this for example. This was just the third thing in the list when I typed "U.S. Iraq oil" into google:

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/programmes/newsnight/4354269.stm>

I've heard Americans hate the BBC as much as non Americans hate, well NBC for example, so I understand if you don't accept it as gospel, but take a look anyhow. At least you'll understand where I'm coming from. There's a lot of information around on supposed U.S. plans for Iraq's oil.

It's possible though that the U.S. has discovered that the world is watching. We all know this subject has been done to death. I imagine GWB is well aware at this point that if the U.S. goes and takes all the oil that the gig will be up. I expect he hoped it would fade away, but has discovered everyone has stayed a little more tense about the issue than expected.

Like I said, the way I look at it, every single country who was politically involved in that whole fiasco had an alterier motive, and it saddens me that all around the world the polliticians and citizens were up in arms because of one side of the argument or another, but seemly no political forces, and few citizens, had the pure open and honest desire to do good my removing a dictator. Citizens wanted either to defend themselves against suspected WMDs and terrorists, or to keep their sons and daughters safe at home. Politicians wanted oil.

I don't accept that GWB, or anybody else, was doing it for good. What I see as a neon sign which tells me this is that none of the long list of other cases where good could be done have been acted upon.

Of course I understand that nobody has the might to take on everyone, but I think there was a clear reason why Iraq was chosen.

Cheers,

Kim. The US only imports about 4.9% of it's imported oil from Iraq. Only about 55% of our oil is imported - that amounts to 2.69% of our total oil consumption coming from Iraq (as of 2003). In 2000, it was even less, and our lead supplier changes every few years (it was Canada in 2001, then Saudi Arabia in 2002/3). Iraq was a distant 6th in 2003 on the US import list.

Iraq isn't a significant concern for US oil consumption. However, it was in fact (according to reports I've read) only producing a small percentage of it's capabilities before the war, but it has the 2nd largest reserves behind Saudi Arabia. So, the scenario I (and others) see is that the US is looking at an opportunity for much of the world to break the OPEC hold on prices. Remember, most of the world's oil comes from OPEC - less than 1/2 of the US oil comes from OPEC countries (including non-Arab OPEC countries, such as Venezuela, which was the 4th top supplier for the US in 2003). Much of Iraq's potential was likely buried behind Saddam's regime, assuming that reserve can be efficiently drilled and processed.

I haven't seen anything in any administration that leads me to believe the US is seeking Iraq's oil - including statements by Bill Clinton. Perhaps Iraq doesn't hold the potential it's reserves would seem to indicate - and perhaps it's a possible way for the world to stop the slide into an OPEC-dominated world economy. Just my speculation, but one I fear - not the US's intentions, but OPEC's.

Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don't live here. There is a lot of hearsay and paranoia that many find convenient to throw at the US, but none of it fits logical facts and statistics. I don't think GWB comes across as a very bright man, but I also think his intentions are better than some might believe - he just doesn't sell it as well as some past politicians might have.

Somewhere along the line after the cold war we allowed ourselves to believe the world would be happy and peaceful, and war would never be an issue again because there are no politically acceptable reasons for it. Then we woke up from our naive dream state to find that some questions have no easy answers, war is painful at best, and we rarely see any good in it until years later when we realize what we narrowly averted in the process. Some see it coming, others see it in passing, and still others look the other way to only see what they want to.

On 7/8/05 12:20 AM, in article 42ce1b4b\$1 @linux, "Kim"

<hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

> ...well, take a look at this for example. This was just the third thing
> in the list when I typed "U.S. Iraq oil" into google:

>

> <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/programmes/newsnight/4354269.stm>

>

> I've heard Americans hate the BBC as much as non Americans hate, well NBC

> for example, so I understand if you don't accept it as gospel, but take a

> look anyhow. At least you'll understand where I'm coming from. There's a

> lot of information around on supposed U.S. plans for Iraq's oil.

>

> It's possible though that the U.S. has discovered that the world is watching.

> We all know this subject has been done to death. I imagine GWB is well aware

> at this point that if the U.S. goes and takes all the oil that the gig will

> be up. I expect he hoped it would fade away, but has discovered everyone

> has stayed a little more tense about the issue than expected.

>

> Like I said, the way I look at it, every single country who was politically

> involved in that whole fiasco had an alterier motive, and it saddens me that

> all around the world the polliticians and citizens were up in arms because

> of one side of the argument or another, but seemly no political forces, and

> few citizens, had the pure open and honest desire to do good my removing

> a dictator. Citizens wanted either to defend themselves against suspected

> WMDs and terrorists, or to keep their sons and daughters safe at home.

> Politicians
> wanted oil.
>
> I don't accept that GWB, or anybody else, was doing it for good. What I see
> as a neon sign which tells me this is that none of the long list of other
> cases where good could be done have been acted upon.
>
> Of course I understand that nobody has the might to take on everyone, but
> I think there was a clear reason why Iraq was chosen.
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.Dedric,

Thanks for the response.

Just briefly, I don't really want to get too far into this right now, but just quickly...

>The

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 06:20:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

US only imports about 4.9% of it's imported oil from Iraq.

That may be true right now. That of course doesn't mean you could increase your Iraq quoter if you were able to access their oil cheaply.

>So, the scenario I (and others) see is that the US is looking
>at an opportunity for much of the world to break the OPEC hold on prices.

And well that may happen.

>I haven't seen anything in any administration that leads me to believe the
>US is seeking Iraq's oil

So what are your thoughts specifically on the fact that there are so many brutal leaders around the world, and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was? Co-incidence? See, that's a big thing for me. I just can't for the life of me work out another reason why they chose to free Iraq, and not, well Saudi Arabia would be one... bad example maybe because they too have oil, but they're long time U.S. allies and totally undemocratic. There's no sign of the U.S. saying "We don't like you Saudi's because you're not a democracy".

There may be nothing specific you can put your finger on, but I think it's

important to pay attention to the underlying things.

>Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don't live here.

I think this is a very interesting statement. The reason is because it is an exact mirror of what many outside the U.S. would say to yourself... ie. Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who live in the U.S.

One thing is extraordinarily clear, and that is that reports in the U.S. suggest a different scenario to reports outside. It is my opinion that it is the U.S. sources that are biased, but that doesn't make me correct. As I say that please note that most of Australia's media sources are actually aligned with the U.S. media sources, but those are the commercial media.

I can't speak for yourself Detric as I don't know your viewing/media habits, but I understand that something like 98% of the reports watched by U.S. citizens is produced in the U.S. Here in Australia we can watch CNN. We get the NBC Today show. It's fairly easy to access news from other places, and I try to do so. That does give me a little confidence in my opinion of who is biased. My viewing, of course, is also mostly Australian, but more on an 75/25 ratio than a 98/2 ratio, which gives me some first hand experience in knowing how the same event can be reported differently in different countries, and what the differences between reports tend to be. I spent about 2 years watching CNN for many hours a week. It was then that I learned that CNN is garbage, and that our leading news service is too. I went searching for which news services actually reported things in a way which made sense in the context of actually reporting what happened without a spin on it. I believe I now have some idea of which sources do and don't fit that.

Of course it is likely that you think you have too, and I can understand how that may be the case.

It is my opinion however that there is plenty to be worried about with how the U.S. media reports things.

You may have done this already, but to be blunt, until you've done the equivalent to what I have done, and spent several hours a week for a couple of years absorbing news from some other source *outside* your country with an open mind, you can't really make a decent judgement. It is obvious that, if you only taste your own media (whatever that media) and then taste a different one, most people find the different taste displeasing, and that's a worldwide phenomenon. The same thing, actually, happens with beer.

Don't ask somebody who only drinks one beer which beer is best. He will, almost without fail, name one of the top three selling beers in his particular neighbourhood of the planet earth, and tell you that it is the best beer on the planet without reservation.

If you want to find the best beer, ask someone who drinks a lot of different beers. They can then judge, without bias, which beer really does compare well.

If you want to find the best news service...

I may be underestimating your media experience based on the figures I have heard. My experience is that many on this group are better educated in such things than, perhaps, the average from their culture.

It seems a little unrealistic though for you to ask me to be careful of media sources outside the U.S. I'm a little surprised you didn't, or at least appeared not to, realise that.

I guess my reply to that is simply that I see plenty of U.S. news direct, and plenty of news from other sources internationally, and have an opinion that sits somewhere in between. I think that's the best way to be.

What concerns me is that I get the feeling that you don't do the same (though I could be wr

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 07:52:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ong), and without a large sample of international sources I'm unsure how you can be certain that your sources are always correct.

Why do I always start off planning to be brief, and then write ten pages...
;o)

Cheers,
Kim. I'm sure that what this thread was actually about was whether or not your fellow list members in London were OK or not. Which this one is.

We live near Russell and Tavistock Squares, so it was pretty close, literally, to home.

MylesKim, many people here in the USA watch/listen to a fairly narrow band of media, much of it actively pushing a specific agenda, and much of it as related to journalism as a wasp to a moon rock.

Generally this sort of media does not rely on the critical thinking skills of its audience, rather it provides a series of rationalizations for a particular view or group. It often works to justify power or to justify a change of power.

As long as the audience agrees with a particular view or group, they don't seem to mind being distracted by the ad hominem attacks, straw man arguments, emotionalism, slant, spin, fear, scapegoating, hypocrisy and misdirection they are fed.

Unfortunately this contributes to a closed-minded vilification of generalized groups of people while propagating ignorance, misunderstanding and misdirected anger.

Keeping a civil tone in this news group is a sign that people can still respect each other, despite the narrow viewpoints expressed in some media, despite history and our part in it being open to different interpretations, and despite the fact that reasonable people can and will disagree.

Not that we always manage to keep a civil tone. Even here we have trouble, at times, transcending our respective biases, speaking calmly, listening accurately and actually learning something.

No matter who did what to whom over history, the bombings in London were despicable. One horrible event in a long history of man's inhumanity to man.

Moving forward, as always it's best to get beyond the spin, discuss events rationally, seek all facts and attempt to make better decisions. This is our responsibility. We build the future one day at a time.

Kudos to everyone on this group who can listen more than talk, think more than blame and, upon reflection, help to build a positive future.

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Kim wrote:

> Dedic,
>
> Thanks for the response.
>
> Just briefly, I don't really want to get too far into this right now, but
> just quickly...
>
>
>>The US only imports about 4.9% of it's imported oil from Iraq.
>
>
> That may be true right now. That of course doesn't mean you could increase

> your Iraq quoter if you were able to access their oil cheaply.
>
>
>>So, the scenario I (and others) see is that the US is looking
>>at an opportunity for much of the world to break the OPEC hold on prices.
>
>
> And well that may happen.
>
>
>>I haven't seen anything in any administration that leads me to believe the
>>US is seeking Iraq's oil
>
>
> So what are your thoughts specifically on the fact that there are so many
> brutal leaders around the world, and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the
> problem where the oil was? Co-incidence? See, that's a big thing for me.
> I just can't for the life of me work out another reason why they chose to
> free Iraq, and not, well Saudi Arabia would be one... bad example maybe
> because they too have oil, but they're long time U.S. allies and totally
> undemocratic. There's no sign of the U.S. saying "We don't like you Saudi's
> because you're not a democracy".
>
> There may be nothing specific you can put your finger on, but I think it's
> important to pay attention to the underlying things.
>
>
>>Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don't live here.
>
>
> I think this is a very interesting statement. The reason is because it is
> an exact mirror of what many outside the U.S. would say to yourself... ie.
> Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who live in the U.S.
>
> One thing is extraordinarily clear, and that is that reports in the U.S.
> suggest a different scenario to reports outside. It is my opinion that it
> is the U.S. sources that are biased, but tha

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 09:29:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t doesn't make me correct. As
> I say that please note that most of Australia's media sources are actually
> aligned with the U.S. media sources, but those are the commercial media.
>
> I can't speak for yourself Detric as I don't know your viewing/media habits,

> but I understand that something like 98% of the reports watched by U.S. citizens
> is produced in the U.S. Here in Australia we can watch CNN. We get the NBC
> Today show. It's fairly easy to access news from other places, and I try
> to do so. That does give me a little confidence in my opinion of who is biased.
> My viewing, of course, is also mostly Australian, but more on an 75/25 ratio
> than a 98/2 ratio, which gives me some first hand experience in knowing how
> the same event can be reported differently in different countries, and what
> the differences between reports tend to be. I spent about 2 years watching
> CNN for many hours a week. It was then that I learned that CNN is garbage,
> and that our leading news service is too. I went searching for which news
> services actually reported things in a way which made sense in the context
> of actually reporting what happened without a spin on it. I believe I now
> have some idea of which sources do and don't fit that.

>

> Of course it is likely that you think you have too, and I can understand
> how that may be the case.

>

> It is my opinion however that there is plenty to be worried about with how
> the U.S. media reports things.

>

> You may have done this already, but to be blunt, until you've done the equivalent
> to what I have done, and spent several hours a week for a couple of years
> absorbing news from some other source *outside* your country with an open
> mind, you can't really make a decent judgement. It is obvious that, if you
> only taste your own media (whatever that media) and then taste a different
> one, most people find the different taste displeasing, and that's a worldwide
> phenomenon. The same thing, actually, happens with beer.

>

> Don't ask somebody who only drinks one beer which beer is best. He will,
> almost without fail, name one of the top three selling beers in his particular
> neighbourhood of the planet earth, and tell you that it is the best beer
> on the planet without reservation.

>

> If you want to find the best beer, ask someone who drinks a lot of different
> beers. They can then judge, without bias, which beer really does compare
> well.

>

> If you want to find the best news service...

>

> I may be underestimating your media experience based on the figures I have
> heard. My experience is that many on this group are better educated in such
> things than, perhaps, the average from their culture.

>

> It seems a little unrealistic though for you to ask me to be careful of media
> sources outside the U.S. I'm a little surprised you didn't, or at least appeared
> not to, realise that.

>

> I guess my reply to that is simply that I see plenty of U.S. news direct,

> and plenty of news from other sources internationally, and have an opinion
> that sits somewhere in between. I think that's the best way to be.
>
> What concerns me is that I get the feeling that you don't do the same (though
> I could be wrong), and without a large sample of international sources I'm
> unsure how you can be certain that your sources are always correct.
>
> Why do I always start off planning to be brief, and then write ten pages...
> ;o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim. Have any of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that looks
like a really slick high end stereo component.

I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes move heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.

There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is completely, absolutely utterly silent.

Of course you can't run Paris on it, but I would bet that it would make a decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wire interface. The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run Cubase and Halion on a laptop with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.

Chuck "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I've heard Americans hate the BBC as much as non-Americans hate, well NBC
> for example,

Interesting... I don't know anybody who hates the BBC; when I had Time-Warner cable (now I've switched to satellite), I used to enjoy watching BBC America from time to time - and not just for the Blackadder reruns :) I liked hearing their news. In fact, I know a few people that have that, and like their news also. With the exception of FOX News Channel, our network news here is so left-leaning that I tend to think that conservatives especially like being able to watch the BBC news, even if it's just for the reason that it gives you a different viewpoint than the nets that are still act

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Myles Davis](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 12:47:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ively lamenting the fact that

Kerry didn't get elected president. I actually caught a moment a few weeks ago where Matt Lauer was asking a guest on the Today Show "Now, if John Kerry had been elected, do you think this would have happened?"

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:03:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ly to that is simply that I see plenty of U.S. news direct,
> and plenty of news from other sources internationally, and have an opinion
> that sits somewhere in between. I think that's the best way to be.
>
> What concerns me is that I get the feeling that you don't do the same
(though
> I could be wrong), and without a large sample of international sources I'm
> unsure how you can be certain that your sources are always correct.
>
> Why do I always start off planning to be breif, and then write ten
pages...
> ;o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.Dedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and
>> bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't
>> be so upset with us.
>>
>> just a thought....
>>
>> jef
>
>That's a nicely wrapped and media-induced anti-war bandwagon banner if I
>ever saw one.
>
>Just remember this is the same terrorist organization (al Qaeda) that is
>killing Iraqi officials, citizens, Egyptian diplomats (any diplomats
>actually) and trying to derail any semblance of government in Iraq. All
in
>the name off...??? What exactly? Does anyone know why they would bomb
>London to get Britain out of Iraq, and derail the Iraqi government at the
>same time? There is no logic in cutting off one's nose to spite one's face,
>unless it really isn't your face after all...
>
>Only one answer: terrorists d

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:10:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

on't represent anyone's best interests other
>than their own - not Iraq, not Afghanistan, not Islam. And I'm pretty sure
>they don't have any idea what their interests are, other than any reason
to
>kill. This is the essence of evil. It isn't a political agenda, it's a
>perverse counter-moral agenda that can't be requited or quenched until it
>has complete control.
>
>Blaming the bombings on the US and its' allies is like saying terrorist
h

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:16:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ave
>just as much right to express themselves by killing innocent men, women
and
>children as we do to own an iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own one,
>but bin Laden probably does).
>
>No offense Jef - you are entitled to your opinion - just expressing mine.

Well-said... what pisses me off the most about this sort of thing is that - and this goes for London, the World Trade Center (both times), the bombings in Spain, etc, - is that they're attacking innocent people. This is not the same as colllateral damage in a war zone (which is no less tragic, don't get me wrong), it's completely different - they have a greivance with the policies of our country, or with those of England, or whoever; so they kill a bunch of average guys on their way to work, or women dropping their kids off at daycare. Those people don't make the rules, they live under them. Then they rationalize it by saying that we're all infidels anyway, so we all deserve to die. It's just such a twisted set of beliefs. Somehow, I don't think that's what Mohammed had in mind.

Neill often watch the BBC and there are also Chinese, Mexican and Pakistani news services on the satellite system here.

Deej

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42ce79af\$1@linux...

>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

> >I've heard Americans hate the BBC as much as non Americans hate, well NBC

> >for example,

>

> Interesting... I don't know anybody who hates the BBC; when I

> had Time-Warner cable (now I've switched to satellite), I used

> to enjoy watching BBC America from time to time - and not just

> for the Blackadder reruns :) I liked hearing their news. In

> fact, I know a few people that have that, and like their news

> also. With the exception of FOX News Channel, our network news

> here is so left-leaning that I tend to think that conservatives

> especially like being able to watch the BBC news, even if it's

> just for the reason that it gives you a different viewpoint

> than the nets that are still actively lamenting the fact that

> Kerry didn't get elected president. I actually caught a moment

> a few weeks ago where Matt Lauer was asking a guest on the

> Today Show "Now, if John Kerry had been elected, do you think

> this would have happened?". Now THAT'S some real objective

> journalism! lol NOT!!! The BBC at least seems to slam

> people even-handedly - they're just as willing to hammer Khofi

> Annan for lining his sons pockets in the oil-for-food debacle

> (something I've never seen NBC/CBS/ABC/CNN/MSNBC do even ONCE)

> as they're willing to hammer Bush & Blair for whatever the

> world thinks they've done wrong on any given week.

>

> So, I don't know where the idea came from that we hate the

> BBC... is that true gang? Do the rest of you here in the US

> find that a lot of Americans dislike the BBC?

>

> Neil"Cujo" <chris@nosspamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

>

>My wife is a Brit, she was very upset this morning.

>I had to drive her to work as she would get on the T here in Boston.

>That said,and I am not trying to get any fights started as I respect all

>of your opinions. the was on Iraq seems not to be a retaliation eh? seems

>more like a planned offensive to me. I though were supposed to be hunting

>Bin Laden? Anyone with a support or troops sticker on their car offended

>the Karl Rove "may" have outed a CIA operative?

Well, I don't have a "support our troops" ribbon, but I DO support our troops... they're following orders, not making policy; and yes, if Rove was the one who outed Valerie Plame (I assume that's who you're referring to), then I think he should be tried for treason & pay the price if found guilty.

Neil http://brooklynboy.com/pages/product_organize.htm

Neil wrote:

> I remember awhile back there were a couple of you that were
> talking about studio management software. A friend of mine has a
> voice & piano studio, and she's looking for some software for
> mainly basic accounting purposes (for which purposes, Quicken or
> something of that ilk would obviously work just fine, and also
> don't cost very much), but I thought if there was a package that
> would handle accounting & things like scheduling, etc. in the
> same bundle, that would be even better.
>
> I'm going to cruise around the web to see what's available, but
> if any of you know of any apps that do this sort of thing, and
> are reasonably-priced or perhaps even fall into the
> freeware/donationware/shareware category, please let me know.
> (e-mail is: neil.henderson-AT-sbcglobal.net, or just post the
> info here).
>
> Thanks!
>
> NeilStrewth. Very glad to hear you're OK. Like Neil had no idea we had London
residents. Hope you're not too shaken, and all yours have come through unscathed.

Cheers,
Kim.

Myles Davis <mylescdavis@btconnect.com> wrote:

> I'm sure that what this thread was actually about was whether or not your
> fellow list members in London were OK or not. Which this one is.

>
> We live near Russell and Tavistock Squares, so it was pretty close,
> literally, to home.

>
> Myles
> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

> Interesting... I don't know anybody who hates the BBC;

Well maybe I'm casting a generalization from very little. I know for sure
that at one point at least I gave a BBC link to someone on this group when
asked for a "credible media report" with respect to something, and assumed
the BBC would be fine as an example, being an ally in Afghanistan and Iraq
etc, but was told in no uncertain terms that they were way out there.

Perhaps I've taken one event and cast a stereotype, in which case I withdraw
my statement.

I find it interesting that you consider your media left though, but it's too late in the night to pursue this from here. I'd be interested to hear more on what makes you say that though.

Cheers,
Kim.Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>Keeping a civil tone in this news group is a sign that people can still

>respect each other, despite the narrow viewpoints expressed in some
>media, despite history and our part in it being open to different
>interpretations, and despite the fact that reasonable people can and
>will disagree.

Here here. And if people can continue to use technology, as we all now are, to express views across the globe and come to better understanding, then I think, eventually, things will get better. Of course we'll need this technology to get to people who now can't come close to affording it for the job to be complete, but eventually maybe it will all work out.

>Not that we always manage to keep a civil tone. Even here we have
>trouble, at times, transcending our respective biases, speaking calmly,

>listening accurately and actually learning something.

True, but I think there's hope, and I think the current tone of this thread is pretty good.

>No matter who did what to whom over history, the bombings in London were

>despicable. One horrible event in a long history of man's inhumanity to man.

I think we all agree on that.

>Moving forward, as always it's best to get beyond the spin, discuss
>events rationally

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:19:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, seek all facts and attempt to make better decisions.

>This is our responsibility. We build the future one day at a time.

That's it, communication, understanding, rationality, and repeat. ;o)

>Kudos to everyone on this group who can listen more than talk, think
>more than blame and, upon reflection, help to build a positive future.

I second that.

Cheers to you.

Kim."Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?

>

>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the region
>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN
>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in power.

I

>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.

Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you guys were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case with Afghanistan/Taliban. Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this scenario has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you guys are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number of questionable alliances, IMO.

> It would have been

>illegal to invade Iran or North Korea, despite the fact that they are easily
>as dangerous to the world as Sadaam was because they had not been heavily
>sanctioned by the UN for making war on another sovereign nation and then
>been found by the UN to have been in direct violation of those sanctions.

>One of their many violations of these sanctions was overt aggression against
>those who were seeking to enforce the sanctions by targeting planes with
SAM

>that were enforcing the no-fly zones that the Sadaam agreed to as a
>condition of allowing him to remain in power. These were acts of war.

>Blocking the inspectors from doing their jobs, having them expelled for
long

>periods and then suddenly saying....."oh, you're upset?.....gee,I

>can't imagine why.....c'mon back now and see that we never had any

>WMD's"was too little too late. We had every justification for

>removing Sadaam.

Agreed. Unfortunately the U.N. hadn't specifically authorised it. I personally would have liked them to have done so, but they didn't, and under those circumstances I think the greater evil was GWB going in, not the U.N. not approving it.

>There may be o

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:24:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ther brutal dictators out there, but I don't know of any at
>that time or at this moment who are in direct violation of UN sanctions
>after having invaded another country, ane are multi billionaires who have
>the means to support global terrorism and are harboring terrorist training
>campes and and are shooting at our soldiers.

Was Saddam shooting at your soldiers *before* you went in?

There's much debate about the terrorist thing too. I mean it looks unfortunately like London is harbouring terrorists. Seems you guys were for a few months prior to 9/11 too. I'd be very surprised if there wasn't a terrorist or three in Iraq, but we all know more of the 9/11 culprits came from Saudi Arabia than Iraq, and GWB seems happy to leave their regime as it stands.

>Unfortunately for the Europeans, Iran will soon have them targeted with
>nukes because they are appeasing these guys, just like they did with Hitler.

Much as we all hate WMDs, nobody uses them, because we all know if you touch that button you're wiped out, plain and simple. Touch the button and you're all dead.

Terrorists are the only WMD issue, because they're quite simply crazy off their nuts.

>I wonder if, after the shellacking we've gotten from the Euro's over Iraq,
>if we will be willing to pull France's perfidious ass out of the fire yet
>one more time.

Well let's hope we don't have to find out shall we. I'd like to think you would, as I would, support anyone who values democracy and freedom, and you guys should know they do, 'cause you've got that statue that says so.

Much as you may not agree with their opinion, you must defend their right to have it, as I would for you.

Cheers,
Kim.Couldn't have said it better Dedic. Sorry we didn't get to hang in Co. Springs when I was out.

rod

Dedic Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:

>> well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and
>> bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:27:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> be so upset with us.

>>

>> just a thought....

>>

>> jef

>

>That's a nicely wrapped and media-induced anti-war bandwagon banner if I
>ever saw one.

>

>Just remember this is the same terrorist organization (al Qaeda) that is
>killing Iraqi officials, citizens, Egyptian diplomats (any diplomats
>actually) and trying to derail any semblance of government in Iraq. All
in

>the name off...??? What exactly? Does anyone know why they would bomb
>London to get Britain out of Iraq, and derail the Iraqi government at the
>same time? There is no logic in cutting off one's nose to spite one's face,
>unless it really isn't your face after all...

>

>Only one answer: terrorists don't represent anyone's best interests other
>than their own - not Iraq, not Afghanistan, not Islam. And I'm pretty sure
>they don't have any idea what their interests are, oth

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:37:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

Neil

Gantt Kushner <gizmo@his.com> wrote:

>http://brooklynboy.com/pages/product_organize.htm

>

>Neil wrote:

>

>> I remember awhile back there were a couple of you that were
>> talking about studio management software. A friend of mine has a
>> voice & piano studio, and she's looking for some software for
>> mainly basic accounting purposes (for which purposes, Quicken or
>&

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:48:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; something of that ilk would obviously work just fine, and also
>> don't cost very much), but I thought if there was a package that
>> would handle accounting & things like scheduling, etc. in the
>> same bundle, that would be even better.
>>
>> I'm going to cruise around the web to see what's available, but
>> if any of you know of any apps that do this sort of thing, and
>> are reasonably-priced or perhaps even fall into the
>> freeware/donationware/shareware category, please let me know.
>> (e-mail is: neil.henderson-AT-sbcglobal.net, or just post the
>> info here).
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> Neil
> Completely milled out of one big chunk of aluminum? That's a
whole lotta bauxite! What's the point of that - is it for
better sound isolation? Seems li

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:54:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ke that would add a lot of un-
needed cost to the thing... what do they run, price-wise?

Neil

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
> Have any of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that looks
> like a really slick high end stereo component.
>
> I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled
> aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes move
> heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.
>
> There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is
> completely, absolutely utterly silent.
>
> Of course you can't run paris on it, but I would bet that it would make

a
>decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wire interface.
> The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run cubase and halion on a laptop
>with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.
>
>Chuck"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?
>>
>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the region
>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN
>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in power.
>|
>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
>
>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you guys
>were allies with I

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 13:55:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;. Now THAT'S some real objective journalism! lol NOT!!! The BBC at least seems to slam people even-handedly - they're just as willing to hammer Khofi Annan for lining his sons pockets in the oil-for-food debacle (something I've never seen NBC/CBS/ABC/CNN/MSNBC do even ONCE) as they're willing to hammer Bush & Blair for whatever the world thinks they've done wrong on any given week.

So, I don't know where the idea came from that we hate the BBC... is that true gang? Do the rest of you here in the US find that a lot of Americans dislike the BBC?

NeilMyles Davis <mylescdavis@btconnect.com> wrote:
>I'm sure that what this thread was actually about was whether or not your
>fellow list members in London were OK or not. Which this one is.
>
>We live near Russell and Tavistock Squares, so it was pretty close,>literally,
to home.

Man, I didn't even know anyone on this NG lived in London.
Glad to hear you're OK.

Neil>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?

Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the region and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in power. I don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment. It would have been illegal to invade Iran or North Korea, despite the fact that they are easily as dangerous to the world as Sadaam was because they had not been heavily sanctioned by the UN for making war on another sovereign nation and then been found by the UN to have been in direct violation of those sanctions. One of their many violations of these sanctions was overt aggression against those who were seeking to enforce the sanctions by targeting planes with SAM that were enforcing the no-fly zones that the Sadaam agreed to as a condition of allowing him to remain in power. These were acts of war. Blocking the inspectors from doing their jobs, having them expelled for long periods and then suddenly saying....."oh, you're upset?.....gee,I can't imagine why.....c'mon back now and see that we never had any WMD's"was too little too late. We had every justification for removing Sadaam.

There may be other brutal dictators out there, but I don't know of any at that time or at this moment who are in direct violation of UN sanctions after having invaded another country, are multi billionaires who have the means to support global terrorism and are harboring terrorist training camps and are shooting at our soldiers.

Iran and North Korea qualify on most points, but not the ones that would allow a removal of their heads of state under interantional law. Unfortunately for the Europeans, Iran will soon have them targeted with nukes because they are appeasing these guys, just like they did with Hitler. I wonder if, after the shellacking we've gotten from the Euro's over Iraq, if we will be willing to pull France's perfidious ass out of the fire yet one more time.

Regards,

Deej

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42ce478d@linux...

>
> Dedic,
>
> Thanks for the response.
>
> Just breifly, I don't really want to get too far into this right now, but
> just quickly...
>
> >The US only imports about 4.9% of it's imported oil from Iraq.
>

> That may be true right now. That of course doesn't mean you could increase
> your Iraq quoter if you were able to access their oil cheaply.
>
> >So, the scenario I (and others) see is that the US is looking
> >at an opportunity for much of the world to break the OPEC hold on prices.
>
> And well that may happen.
>
> >I haven't seen anything in any administration that leads me to believe
the
> >US is seeking Iraq's oil
>
> So what are your thoughts specifically on the fact that there are so many
> brutal leaders around the world, and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the
> problem where the oil was? Co-incidence? See, that's a big thing for me.
> I just can't for the life of me work out another reason why they chose to
> free Iraq, and not, well Saudi Arabia would be one... bad example maybe
> because they too have oil, but they're long time U.S. allies and totally
> undemocratic. There's no sign of the U.S. saying "We don't like you
Saudi's
> because you're not a democracy".
>
> There may be nothing specific you can put your finger on, but I think it's
> important to pay attention to the underlying things.
>
> >Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don't live
here.
>
> I think this is a very interesting statement. The reason is because it is
> an exact mirror of what many outside the U.S. would say to yourself...
ie.
> Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who live in the U.S.
>
> One thing is extraordinarily clear, and that is that reports in the U.S.
> suggest a different scenario to reports outside. It is my opinion that it
> is the U.S. sources that are biased, but that doesn't make me correct. As
> I say that please note that most of Australia's media sources are actually
> aligned with the U.S. media sources, but those are the commercial media.
>
> I can't speak for yourself Detric as I don't know your viewing/media
habits,
> but I understand that something like 98% of the reports watched by U.S.
citizens
> is produced in the U.S. Here in Australia we can watch CNN. We get the NBC
> Today show. It's fairly easy to access news from other places, and I try
> to do so. That does give me a little confidence in my opinion of who is
biased.
> My viewing, of course, is also mostly Australian, but more on an 75/25

ratio

- > than a 98/2 ratio, which gives me some first hand experience in knowing how
- > the same event can be reported differently in different countries, and what
- > the differences between reports tend to be. I spent about 2 years watching
- > CNN for many hours a week. It was then that I learned that CNN is garbage,
- > and that our leading news service is too. I went searching for which news
- > services actually reported things in a way which made sense in the context
- > of actually reporting what happened without a spin on it. I believe I now
- > have some idea of which sources do and don't fit that.
- >
- > Of course it is likely that you think you have too, and I can understand
- > how that may be the case.
- >
- > It is my opinion however that there is plenty to be worried about with how
- > the U.S. media reports things.
- >
- > You may have done this already, but to be blunt, until you've done the
- equivilant
- > to what I have done, and spent several hours a week for a couple of years
- > absorbing news from some other source *outside* your country with an open
- > mind, you can't really make a decent judgement. It is obvious that, if you
- > only taste your own media (whatever that media) and then taste a different
- > one, most people find the different taste displeasing, and that's a
- worldwide
- > phenomenon. The same thing, actually, happens with beer.
- >
- > Don't ask somebody who only drinks one beer which beer is best. He will,
- > almost without fail, name one of the top three selling beers in his
- particular
- > neighbourhood of the planet earth, and tell you that it is the best beer

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 14:14:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

raq with the same dude in power, as was the case with
Afghanistan/Taliban.

- >Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this scenario
- >has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you guys
- >are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number of
- >questionable alliances, IMO.

Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the
enemy of the entire free world!!!!

(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to the United States).

Neil>Was Saddam shooting at your soldiers *before* you went in?

Yes, he had targeted our aircraft with our pilots as well as British aircraft with *their* pilots numerous times.

I've got to jump and run right now and don't have time for another lengthy reply at the moment, but.....

I'll be back

;o)

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42ce8a46\$1@linux...

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?

> >

> >Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the region

> >and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN

> >resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in power.

> |

> >don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.

>

> Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you guys

> were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case with Afghanistan/Taliban.

> Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this scenario

> has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you guys

> are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number of

> questionable alliances, IMO.

>

> > It would have been

> >illegal to invade Iran or North Korea, despite the fact that they are easily

> >as dangerous to the world as Sadaam was because they had not been heavily

> >sanctioned by the UN for making war on another sovereign nation and then

> >been found by the UN to have been in direct violation of those sanctions.

> >One of their many violations of these sanctions was overt aggression against

> >those who were seeking to enforce the sanctions by targeting planes with
> SAM
> >that were enforcing the no-fly zones that the Sadaam agreed to as a
> >condition of allowing him to remain in power. These were acts of war.
> >Blocking the inspectors from doing their jobs, having them expelled for
> long
> >periods and then suddenly saying....."oh, you're upset?.....gee,I
> >can't imagine why.....c'mon back now and see that we never had any
> >WMD's"was too little too late. We had every justification
for
> >removing Sadaam.
>
> Agreed. Unfortunately the U.N. hadn't specifically authorised it. I
personally
> would have liked them to have done so, but they didn't, and under those
circumstances
> I think the greater evil was GWB going in, not the U.N. not approving it.
>
> >There may be other brutal dictators out there, but I don't know of any at
> >that time or at this moment who are in direct violation of UN sanctions
> >after having invaded another country, ane are multi billionaires who have
> >the means to support global terrorism and are harbori

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 14:28:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ng terrorist
training
> >camps and and are shooting at our soldiers.
>
> Was Saddam shooting at your soldiers *before* you went in?
>
> There's much debate about the terrorist thing too. I mean it looks
unfortunately
> like London is harbouring terrorists. Seems you guys were for a few months
> prior to 9/11 too. I'd be very surprised if there wasn't a terrorist or
three
> in Iraq, but we all know more of the 9/11 culprits came from Saudi Arabia
> than Iraq, and GWB seems happy to leave their regime as it stands.
>
> >Unfortunately for the Europeans, Iran will soon have them targeted with
> >nukes because they are appeasing these guys, just like they did with
Hitler.
>
> Much as we all hate WMDs, nobody uses them, because we all know if you
touch

> that button you're wiped out, plain and simple. Touch the button and
you're
> all dead.
>
> Terrorists are the only WMD issue, because they're quite simply crazy off
> their nuts.
>
> >I wonder if, after the shellacking we've gotten from the Euro's over
Iraq,
> >if we will be willing to pull France's perfidious ass out of the fire yet
> >one more time.
>
> Well let's hope we don't have to find out shall we. I'd like to think you
> would, as I would, support anyone who values democracy and freedom, and
you
> guys should know they do, 'cause you've got that statue that says so.
>
> Much as you may not agree with their opinion, you must defend their right
> to have it, as I would for you.
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.Glad to hear your OK.
When will this all end?
Someone has to stop,I wonder who will be the first.

respect
Nappy

Myles Davis <mylescdavis@btconnect.com> wrote:
>I'm sure that what this thread was actually about was whether or not your
>fellow list members in London were OK or not. Which this one is.
>
>We live near Russell and Tavistock Squares, so it was pretty close,
>literally, to home.
>
>Myles
>"Why do I always start off planning to be brief, and then write ten
pages.."
cuz your brother is out of town?????? this

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 14:49:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that something like 98% of the reports watched by U.S. citizens
>is produced in the U.S. Here in Australia we can watch CNN. We get the NBC

>Today show. It's fairly easy to access news from other places, and I try
>to do so. That does give me a little confidence in my opinion of who is biased.
>My viewing, of course, is also mostly Australian, but more on an 75/25 ratio
>than a 98/2 ratio, which gives me some first hand experience in knowing how
>the same event can be reported differently in different countries, and what
>the differences between reports tend to be. I spent about 2 years watching
>CNN for many hours a week. It was then that I learned that CNN is garbage,
>and that our leading news service is too. I went searching for which news
>services actually reported things in a way which made sense in the context
>of actually reporting what happened without a spin on it. I beleive I now
>have some idea of which sources do and don't fit that.
>
>Of course it is likely that you think you have too, and I can understand
>how that may be the case.
>
>It is my opinion however that there is plenty to be worried about with how
>the U.S. media reports things.
>
>You may have done this already, but to be blunt, until you've done the equivilant
>to what I have done, and spent several hours a week for a couple of years
>absorbing news from some other source *outside* your country with an open
>mind

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 14:51:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, you can't really make a decent judgement. It is obvious that, if you
>only taste your own media (whatever that media) and then taste a different
>one, most people find the different taste displeasing, and that's a worldwide
>phenomenon. The same thing, actually, happens with beer.
>
>Don't ask somebody who only drinks one beer which beer is best. He will,
>almost without fail, name one of the top three selling beers in his particular
>neighbourhood of the planet earth, and tell you that it is the best beer
>on the planet without reservation.
>
>If you want to find the best beer, ask someone who drinks a lot of different
>beers. They can then judge, without bias, which beer really does compare
>well.
>
>If you want to find the best news service...
>
>I may be underestimating your media experience based on the figures I have
>heard. My experince is that many on this group are better educated in such
>things than, perhaps, the average from their culture.
>

>It seems a little unrealistic though for you to ask me to be careful of media
>sources outside the U.S. I'm a little surprised you didn't, or at least appeared
>not to, realise that.
>
>I guess my reply to that is simply that I see plenty of U.S. news direct,
>and plenty of news from other sources internationally, and have an opinion
>that sits somewhere in between. I think that's the best way to be.
>
>What concerns me is that I get the feeling that you don't do the same (though
>I could be wrong), and without a large sample of international sources I'm
>unsure how you can be certain that your sources are always correct.
>
>Why do I always start off planning to be breif, and then write ten pages...
> ;o)
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.my guess is...whoever is not involved.

On 9 Jul 2005 01:20:31 +1000, "Nappy" <mgrant01@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Glad to hear your OK.
>When will this all end?
>Someone has to stop,I wonder who will be the first.
>
>respect
>Nappy
>
>
>Myles Davis <mylescdavis@btconnect.com> wrote:
>>I'm sure that what this thread was actually about was whether or not your
>>fellow list members in London were OK or not. Which this one is.
>>
>>We live near Russell and Tavistock Squares, so it was pretty close,
>>literally, to home.
>>
>>Myles
>>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

Sorry mate... you been hoodwinked.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42ce7ca0@linux...

> >and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?
>
> Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the region
> and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN
> resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in power.

> I
> don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment. It would have been
> illegal to invade Iran or North Korea, despite the fact that they are
> easily
> as dangerous to the world as Sadaam was because they had not been heavily
> sanctioned by the UN for making war on another sovereign nation and then
> been found by the UN to have been in direct violation of those sanctions.
> One of their many violations of these sanctions was overt aggression
> against
> those who were seeking to enforce the sanctions by targeting planes with
> SAM
> that were enforcing the no-fly zones that the Sadaam agreed to as a
> condition of allowing him to remain in power. These were acts of war.
> Blocking the inspectors from doing their jobs, having them expelled for
> long
> periods and then suddenly saying....."oh, you're upset?.....gee,I
> can't imagine why.....c'mon back now and see that we never had any
> WMD's"was too little too late. We had every justification for
> removing Sadaam.
>
> There may be other brutal dictators out there, but I don't know of any at
> that time or at this moment who are in direct violation of UN sanctions
> after having invaded another country, ane are multi billionaires who have
> the means to support global terrorism and are harboring terrorist
> training
> camps and and are shooting at our soldiers.
>
> Iran and North Korea qualify on most points, but not the ones that would
> allow a removal of their heads of state under interantional law.
> Unfortunately for the

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 15:20:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Europeans, Iran will soon have them targeted with
> nukes because they are appeasing these guys, just like they did with
> Hitler.
> I wonder if, after the shellacking we've gotten from the Euro's over Iraq,
> if we will be willing to pull France's perfidious ass out of the fire yet
> one more time.
>
> Regards,
>
> Deej
>
>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 15:58:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

4;linux..." target="_blank">42ce478d@linux...

>>

>> Dedic,

>>

>> Thanks for the response.

>>

>> Just breifly, I don't really want to get too far into this right now, but
>> just quickly...

>>

>> >The US only imports about 4.9% of it's imported oil from Iraq.

>>

>> That may be true right now. That of course doesn't mean you could
>> increase

>> your Iraq quoter if you were able to access their oil cheaply.

>>

>> >So, the scenario I (and others) see is that the US is looking
>> >at an opportunity for much of the world to break the OPEC hold on
>> >prices.

>>

>> And well that may happen.

>>

>> >I haven't seen anything in any administration that leads me to believe
> the

>> >US is seeking Iraq's oil

>>

>> So what are your thoughts specifically on the fact that there are so many
>> brutal leaders around the world, and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the
>> problem where the oil was? Co-incidence? See, that's a big thing for me.
>> I just can't for the life of me work out another reason why they chose to
>> free Iraq, and not, well Saudi Arabia would be one... bad example maybe
>> because they too have oil, but they're long time U.S. allies and totally
>> undemocratic. There's no sign of the U.S. saying "We don't like you
> Saudi's
>> because you're not a democracy".

>>

>> There may be nothing specific you can put your finger on, but I think
>> it's

>> important to pay attention to the underlying things.

>>

>> >Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don't live
> here.

>>
>> I think this is a very interesting statement. The reason is because it is
>> an exact mirror of what many outside the U.S. would say to yourself...
> ie.
>> Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who live in the
>> U.S.
>>
>> One thing is extraordinarily clear, and that is that reports in the U.S.
>> suggest a different scenario to reports outside. It is my opinion that it
>> is the U.S. sources that are biased, but that doesn't make me correct. As
>> I say that please note that most of Australia's media sources are
>> actually
>> aligned with the U.S. media sources, but those are the commercial media.
>>
>> I can't speak for yourself Detric as I don't know your viewing/media
> habits,
>> but I understand that something like 98% of the reports watched by U.S.
> citizens
>> is produced in the U.S. Here in Australia we can watch CNN. We get the
>> NBC
>> Today show. It's fairly easy to access news from other places, and I try
>> to do so. That does give me a little confidence in my opinion of who is
> biased.
>> My viewing, of course, is also mostly Australian, but more on an 75/25
> ratio
>> than a 98/2 ratio, which gives me some first hand experience in knowing
> how
>> the same event can be reported differently in different countries, and
> what
>> the differences between reports tend to be. I spent about 2 years
>> watching
>> CNN for many hours a week. It was then that I learned that CNN is
>> garbage,
>> and that our leading news service is too. I went searching for which news
>> services actually reported things in a way which made sense in the
>> context
>> of actually reporting what happened without a spin on it. I believe I
>> now
>> have some idea of which sources do and don't fit that.
>>
>> Of course it is likely that you think you have too, and I can understand
>> how that may be the case.
>>
>> It is my opinion however that there is plenty to be worried about with
>> how
>> the U.S. media reports things.
>>
>> You may have done this already, but to be blunt, until you've done the

> equivilant
>> to what I have done, and spent several hours a week for a couple of years
>> absorbing news from some other source *outside* your country with an open
>> mind, you can't really make a decent judgement. It is obvious that, if
>> you
>> only taste your own media (whatever that media) and then taste a
>> different
>> one, most people find the different taste displeasing, and that's a
> worldwide
>> phenomenon. The same thing, actually, happens with beer.
>>
>> Don't ask somebody who only drinks one beer which beer is best. He will,
>> almost without fail, name one of the top three selling beers in his
> particular
>> neighbourhood of the planet earth, and tell you that it is the best beer
>> on the planet without reservation.
>>
>> If you want to find the best beer, ask someone who drinks a lot of
> different
>> beers. They can then judge, without bias, which beer really does compare
>> well.
>>
>> If you want to find the best news service...
>>
>> I may be underestimating your media experience based on the figures I
>> have
>> heard. My experince is that many on this group are better educated in
>> such
>> things than, perhaps, the average from their culture.
>>
>> It seems a little unrealistic though for you to ask me to be careful of
> media
>> sources outside the U.S. I'm a little surprised you didn't, or at least
> appeared
>> not to, realise that.
>>
>> I guess my reply to that is simply that I see plenty of U.S. news direct,
>> and plenty of news from other sources inte

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 16:00:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nationally, and have an
>> opinion
>> that sits somewhere in between. I think that's the best way to be.
>>

>> What concerns me is that I get the feeling that you don't do the same
> (though
>> I could be wrong), and without a large sample of international sources
>> I'm
>> unsure how you can be certain that your sources are always correct.
>>
>> Why do I always start off planning to be brief, and then write ten
> pages...
>> ;o)
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Kim.
>
>i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison
gas then complain the that they may use/use/or have used it.
eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the ass.
and that imo i

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 16:00:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s what the world needs to learn.

On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?
>>>
>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the region
>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN
>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in power.
>>I
>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
>>
>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you guys
>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case with
>Afghanistan/Taliban.
>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this scenario
>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you guys
>>are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number of
>>questionable alliances, IMO.
>
>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the

>enemy of the entire free world!!!!

>

>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm

>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an

>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to

>the United States).

>

>Neili don't know, if you listen to people/media stations that lean to the direction other than the one being criticized, then the possibility of the bbc being linked to an opinion not shared by those opposing it, then a certain amount of dissatisfaction is expressed although later denied by both sides.

On 8 Jul 2005 23:48:16 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>>Interesting... I don't know anybody who hates the BBC;

>

>Well maybe I'm casting a generalization from very little. I know for sure
>that at one point at least I gave a BBC link to someone on this group when
>asked for a "credible media report" with respect to something, and assumed
>the BBC would be fine as an example, being an ally in Afghanistan and Iraq
>etc, but was told in no uncertain terms that they were way out there.

>

>Perhaps I've taken one event and cast a stereotype, in which case I withdraw
>my statement.

>

>I find it interesting that you consider your media left though, but it's
>too late in the night to pursue this from here. I'd be interested to hear
>more on what makes you say that though.

>

>Cheers,
>Kim.BINGO!

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ir8tc19g6qma0u1hm96i3fgkmf4f9lavas@4ax.com...

> i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison
> gas then complain that they may use/use/or have used it.

> eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the ass.

> and that imo is what the world needs to learn.

>

> On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>>

>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?
>>>>
>>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the
>>>>region
>>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the UN
>>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in
>>>>power.
>>>I
>>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
>>>
>>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you
>>>guys
>>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case with
>>Afghanistan/Taliban.
>>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this
>>>scenario
>>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you
>>>guys
>>>are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number of
>>>questionable alliances, IMO.
>>
>>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the
>>enemy of the entire free world!!!!
>>
>>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm
>>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an
>>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to
>>the United States).
>>
>>Neil
>

unfortunately one sides considers it an unfortunate consequences of war and the other side considers it a necessary consequences of war; in either case the dead are still dead.

On 8 Jul 2005 23:19:32 +1000, "Neil" <OIUIOU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>>Dedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and
>>> bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't
>>> be so upset with us.
>>>
>>> just a thought....

>>>
>>> jef
>>
>>That's a nicely wrapped and media-induced anti-war bandwagon banner if I
>>ever saw one.
>>
>>Just remember this is the same terrorist organization (al Qaeda) that is
>>killing Iraqi officials, citizens, Egyptian diplomats (any diplomats
>>actually) and trying to derail any semblance of government in Iraq. All
>in
>>the name off...??? What exactly? Does anyone know why they would bomb
>>London to get Britain out of Iraq, and derail the Iraqi government at the
>>same time? There is no logic in cutting off one's nose to spite one's face,
>>unless it really isn't your face after all...
>>
>>Only one answer: terrorists don't represent anyone's best interests other
>>than their own - not Iraq, not Afghanistan, not Islam. And I'm pretty sure
>>they don't have any idea what their interests are, other than any reason
>to
>>kill. This is the essence of evil. It isn't a political agenda, it's a
>>perverse counter-moral agenda that can't be requited or quenched until it
>>has complete control.
>>
>>Blaming the bombings on the US and its' allies is like saying terrorist
>have
>>just as much right to express themselves by killing innocent men, women
>and
>>children as we do to own an iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own one,
>>but bin Laden probably does).
>>
>>No offense Jef - you are entitled to your opinion - just expressing mine.
>
>
>Well-said... what pisses me off the most about this sort of
>thing is that - and this goes for London, the World Trade Center
>(both times), the bombings in Spain, etc, - is that they're
>attacking innocent people. This is not the same as collateral
>damage in a war zone (which is no less tragic, don't get me
>wrong), it's completely different - they have a grievance with
>the policies of our country, or with those of England, or
>whoever; so they kill a bunch of average guys on their way to
>work, or women dropping their kids off at daycare. Those people
>don't make the rules, they live under them. Then they
>rationalize it by saying that we're all infidels anyway, so we
>all deserve to die. It's just such a twisted set of beliefs.
>Somehow, I don't think that's what Mohammed had in mind.
>
>NeilDear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,

Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an attack due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we went in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions. As for poison gas, this was a party that everyone was invited to in the 70's and 80's and which was subsequently banned. Sadaam is the only guy around who saw fit to use this stuff. Doesn't really recommend him as Mr., custodian, now does it? However, like I said before, doesn't matter about the WMD. Does matter about him refusing to abide by the terms and conditions of U

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 16:05:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

N sanctions which provided *serious consequences* for his removal if said sanctions weren't honored. Serious consequences have been administered and he's gone.

Now I'm gonna go sit on a bridge and pick my banjo,
;o)

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:42cea68b@linux...
> BINGO!
>
> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ir8tc19g6qma0u1hm96i3fgkmf4f9lavas@4ax.com...
> > i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison
> > gas then complain that they may use/use/or have used it.
> > eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the ass.
> > and that imo is what the world needs to learn.
> >
> > On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Kim" <hiddeensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?
> >>>>
> >>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the
> >>>>region
> >>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the
UN
> >>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in

> &

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 16:10:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>power.
> >>>I
> >>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
> >>>
> >>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you
> >>>guys
> >>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case with
> >>Afghanistan/Taliban.
> >>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this
> >>>scenario
> >>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you
> >>>guys
> >>>are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number
of
> >>>questionable alliances, IMO.
> >>
> >>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the
> >>enemy of the entire free world!!!!
> >>
> >>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm
> >>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an
> >>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to
> >>the United States).
> >>
> >>Neil
> >
>
>
>Found it here:

<http://www.microsoft.com/windowsxp/mediacenter/eval>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 16:14:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uation/pr oducts.msp

Company URL is a dead link.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42ce91b1\$1@linux...

>
> Completely milled out of one big chunk of aluminum? That's a
> whole lotta bauxite! What's the point of that - is it for
> better sound isolation? Seems like that would add a lot of un-
> needed cost to the thing... what do they run, price-wise?

>
> Neil

>
>
>
>
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

> >
> >Have anny of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that
looks
> >like a really slick high end stereo component.
> >
> >I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled
> >aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes
move
> >heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.
> >
> >There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is
> >completely, absolutely utterly silent.
> >
> >Of course you can't run paris on it, but I would bet that it would make
> a
> >decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wire
interface.
> > The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run cubase and halion on a
laptop
> >with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.

> >
> >Chuck
>Check 'em out at:

<http://www.hushtechnologies.net/>

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Have anny of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that looks
>like a really slick high end stereo component.
>
>I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled
>aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes move
>heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.

>
 >There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is
 >completely, absolutely utterly silent.
 >
 >Of course you can't run paris on it, but I would bet that it would make
 a
 >decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wir

File Attachments

1) [Bingo050628j.jpg](#), downloaded 373 times



Scoring: Tick the square each time the right phrase is used, 1 point for each tick mark
 25 Bonus points for 5 or more tick marks
 Any BINGO is worth 50 points
 Extra credit: 1 bonus point for each time you hear the phrase "moving forward"

This game was created for my friends at DU by cyberpj to use on 06/28/05
 Photo credit: D.Hippauf, Lehman, PA - Buzzflash Reader Contrib

2) [pix-rummy-saddam.jpg](#), downloaded 357 times



Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 16:15:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e interface.

> The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run cubase and halion on a laptop
>with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.

>

>ChuckUnky 55,

twas a general statement not directed and anyone in particular. kinda like me talking to myself in a crowded room...which i do a lot much to sleeping with fists chagrin.

"Now I'm gonna go sit on a bridge and pick my banjo," wifey and i just bought deliverance the other day...damn good movie. the guy with his arm on backwards reminds me of me when i swim.

;o)

On Fri, 8 Jul 2005 11:49:53 -0600, "Mr Simplicity"
<animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,

>

>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an attack
>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we went
>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions. As for poison
>gas, this wqas a party that everyone was invited toin the 70's and 80's and
>which was subsequently banned. Sadaam is the only guy around who saw fit to
>use this stuff. Doesn't really recommend him as Mr., custodian,now does it?
>However, like I said before, doesn't matter about the WMD. Does matter about

>him refusing to abide by the terms and conditions of UN sanctions which
>provided *serious consequences* for his removal if said sanctions weren't
>honored. Serious consequences have been administered and he's gone.

>

>Now I'm gonna go sit on a bridge and pick my banjo,

>

>;o)

>

>

>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>news:42cea68b@linux...

>> BINGO!

>>

>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>> news:ir8tc19g6qma0u1hm96i3fgkmf4f9lavas@4ax.com...

>> > i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison

>> > gas then complain the that they may use/use/or have used it.

>> > eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the ass.

>> > and that imo is what the world needs to learn.

>> >

>> > On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>> >

>> >>

>> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >>>

>> >>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 17:49:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

was?

>> >>>>

>> >>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the

>> >>>>region

>> >>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by the

>UN

>> >>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in

>> >>>>power.

>> >>>I

>> >>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.

>> >>>

>> >>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it, you

>> >>>guys

>> >>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case with

>> >>Afghanistan/Taliban.

>> >>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this
>> >>>scenario
>> >>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you
>> >>>guys
>> >>>are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number
>of
>> >>>questionable alliances, IMO.
>> >>
>> >>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the
>> >>enemy of the entire free world!!!!
>> >>
>> >>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm
>> >>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an
>> >>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to
>> >>the United States).
>> >>
>> >>Neil
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>waddaya tryin' to do here...kill the mood...geeze...o yeah, hi chuck.

On 8 Jul 2005 22:59:26 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Have anny of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that looks
>like a really slick high end stereo component.
>
>I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled
>aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes move
>heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.
>
>There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is
>completely, absolutely utterly silent.
>
>Of course you can't run paris on it, but I would bet that it would make a
>decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wire interface.
> The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run cubase and halion on a laptop
>with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.
>
>ChuckDear Daddy Dead Dane,

Wheres Osama?

Just to put things in perspective...

Osama killed 3000 US civilians.

US has killed 100,000 Iraqi civilians.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42cebcb2@linux...

> Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,

>

> Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
> attack

> due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
> went

> in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions. As for
> poison

> gas, this was a party that everyone was invited to in the 70's and 80's
> and

> which was subsequently banned. Sadaam is the only guy around who saw fit
> to

> use this stuff. Doesn't really recommend him as Mr., custodian, now does
> it?

> However, like I said before, doesn't matter about the WMD. Doe

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 19:05:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a number

> of

>> >>questionable alliances, IMO.

>> >>

>> >>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the

>> >>enemy of the entire free world!!!!

>> >>

>> >>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm

>> >>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an

>> >>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to

>> >>the United States).

>> >>

>> >>Neil

>> >

>>

>>

>>

>

>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:42cecf6a\$1@linux...

> Dear Daddy Dead Dane,

>

- > Wheres Osama?
- >
- > Just to put things in perspective...
- >
- > Osama killed 3000 US civilians.
- >
- > US has killed 100,000 Iraqi civilians.

PS. the 100,000 civilian deaths is a widely publicized estimate.

Confirmed deaths based on hospital records and such is 30,000.

So, the US has killed 10x as many Iraqi civilians as Osama did on 911, but for some unknown reason, most people dont really care or consider them humans."Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42cebcb2@linux...

- > Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
- >
- > Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
- > attack
- > due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
- > went
- > in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.

BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER. (Nevermind that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)

General admits to secret air war

Jun 26, 2005

Michael Smith
Times Online (UK)

THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British officers that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the middle of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.

Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391 "carefully selected targets" before the war officially started.

The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to start

the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.

If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted illegally.

Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to put pressure on the regime".

Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17, 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic minorities.

A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying out the bombing.

But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped in southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a part in the bombing as American aircraft.

Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US at the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>Sorry friends, I couldn't hold me:-(
As I

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 19:09:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

because GWB jr. saying it's not good for American economy at all, so therefore he will not sign at all. I think most of you American didn't know at all that it was one of the biggest American oil firms (I didn't remember the name right now) that get him on these thoughts. So, as I can understand with my old brain, the US government have big problems yet with their credibility in most part of the world, with their economical behaviors against other nations and the earth. So for me it's really understandable how the hate are growing more and more in some parts of the world, as we now have seen in London too....
.....Where will it be the next time, maybe Copenhagen, maybe Rome, maybe....

.....America, isn't it time to take away the dollars from Bush's eyes and let him see the light in the darkness of a real world?....

erlilo

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> skrev i en meddelelse
news:42cebc2@linux...

> Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,

>

> Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
attack

> due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
went

> in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions. As for
poison

> gas, this was a party that everyone was invited to in the 70's and 80's
and

> which was subsequently banned. Sadaam is the only guy around who saw fit
to

> use this stuff. Doesn't really recommend him as Mr., custodian, now does
it?

> However, like I said before, doesn't matter about the WMD. Does matter
about

> him refusing to abide by the terms and conditions of UN sanctions which

> provided *serious consequences* for his removal if said sanctions weren't

> honored. Serious consequences have been administered and he's gone.

>

> Now I'm gonna go sit on a bridge and pick my banjo,

>

> ;o)

>

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

> news:42cea68b@linux...

>> BINGO!

>>

>> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>> news:ir8tc19g6qma0u1hm96i3fgkmf4f9lavas@4ax.com...

>>> i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison

>>> gas then complain that they may use/use/or have used it.

>>> eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the ass.

>>> and that imo is what the world needs to learn.

>>>

>>> On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>>

> > >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil was?
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized the
> > >>>>region
> > >>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by
the
> UN
> > >>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in
> > >>>>power.
> > >>>>I
> > >>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it,
you
> > >>>>guys
> > >>>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case
with
> > >>>>Afghanistan/Taliban.
> > >>>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this
> > >>>>scenario
> > >>>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how you
> > >>>>guys
> > >>>>are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you ha

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 19:21:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ve had a
number
> of
> > >>>questionable alliances, IMO.
> > >>>
> > >>>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the
> > >>>enemy of the entire free world!!!!
> > >>>
> > >>>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm
> > >>>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an
> > >>>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to
> > >>>the United States).
> > >>>
> > >>>Neil
> > >>>
> >

> >
> >
>
>I'm sure this is 100% true and credible and that's why the left wing media

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 19:38:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
has been jumping all over this since June 26th.

Come to think of it, that's all I have been hearing about so I'm sure it's true. I'll bet not one of these 391 "carefully
> selected targets" was an anti aircraft battery illegally placed in the no fly zone. They were surely all on top of civillian hospitals.....no wait.....that's where Sadaam's troops were garrisoned.....among the civilian population. Hmmmmm.....perhaps if they hadn't used them for shields, there wouldn't have been the civilian casualties you mention. This was Sadaam's stated strategy from the beginning.....and it worked. He got a lot of his own people killed so folks like you could spout this kind of idiocy.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42ced6d1\$1@linux...

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42cebc2@linux...
> > Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
> >
> > Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
> > attack
> > due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
> > went
> > in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>
> BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER. (Nevermind
> that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)

>
> *****

>
> General admits to secret air war

>
> Jun 26, 2005

> Michael Smith
> Times Online (UK)
>
> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq
> war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British
officers
> that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the
middle
> of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>
> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
> Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied
> aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391
"carefully
> selected targets" before the war officially started.
> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the
allied
> victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to
start
> the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>
> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly
> zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W
> Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted
illegally.
>
> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times
that
> showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 19:41:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

was described in
> leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to
put
> pressure on the regime".
>
> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
> 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
> no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic
minorities.
>
> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
> meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
> foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir

> Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying
> out the bombing.
>
> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped
in
> southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a
part
> in the bombing as American aircraft.
>
> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US
at
> the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.
>
> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
>
>Deej, I know you're a smart man, and as more and more people open their
eyes, I believe you will too.

Bush went to war for one primary reason... because he made up his mind to do
so. The rest is just programming, which you so eloquently have repeated
verbatim.

<http://www.ericblumrich.com/right.html>

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42ceedf7\$1@linux...
> I'm sure this is 100% true and credible and that's why the left wing media
> has been jumping all over this since June 26th.
>
> Come to think of it, that's all I have been hearing about so I'm sure it's
> true. I'll bet not one of these 391 "carefully
>> selected targets" was an anti aircraft battery illegally placed in the
>> no
> fly zone. They were surely all on top of civilian
> hospitals.....no
> wait.....that's where Sadaam's troops were garrisoned.....among the
> civilian population. Hmmmmm.....perhaps if they hadn't used them for
> shields, there wouldn't have been the civilian casualties you mention.
> This
> was Sadaam's stated strategy from the beginning.....and it worked. He
> got a lot of his own people killed so folks like you could spout this kind
> of idiocy.
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42ced6d1\$1@linux...
>>

>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42cebcb2@linux...
>> > Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>> >
>> > Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
>> > attack
>> > due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
>> > went
>> > in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>
>> BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER.
>> (Nevermind
>> that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>>
>> *****
>>
>> General admits to secret air war
>>
>> Jun 26, 2005
>>
>> Michael Smith
>> Times Online (UK)
>>
>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the
>> Iraq
>> war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British
> officers
>> that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the
> middle
>> of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>>
>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>> Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003
>> allied
>> aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391
> "carefully
>> selected targets" before the war officially started.
>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the
> allied
>> victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to
> start
>> the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>>
>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly
>> zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W
>> Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted
> illegally.

>>
>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times
> that
>> showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in
>> leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to
> put
>> pressure on the regime".
>>
>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
>> 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
>> no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic
> minorities.
>>
>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
>> meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
>> foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral
>> Sir
>> Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying
>> out the bombing.
>>
>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 21:20:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of bombs dropped
> in
>> southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a
> part
>> in the bombing as American aircraft.
>>
>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US
> at
>> the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.
>>
>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
>>
>>
>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42ceedf7\$1@linux...
>> selected targets" was an anti aircraft battery illegally placed in the
>> no
> fly zone. They were surely all on top of civilian
> hospitals.....no

I dont see any anti-aircraft battery in this video. Do you?

Erling, I actually think you're correct here.

"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote in message news:42ced6fc@linux...

> Sorry friends, I couldn't hold me:-)

> As I see it, UN have allways had problems to do any sanctions because of the

> veto rights that the biggest echnomical nations have.

The UN security council is where the veto lies, but it's a powerful force and it is, as you are saying here, used for the self interest of thd nation wielding it.

Why aren't America

> generally talking about these FACTS when talking about how problematic it is

> with UN. So for me, it's not UN's fault at all, it's much more these big

> nations that nearly allways are making their own rights if their echnomical

> structure are loosing money to other parts. So if there's any statistic over

> which of these countries that have used the vetoright most up against the

> years, I think we'll see US and the not existing Sovjet at the big top.

I think you need to consider China as potentially the biggest future player in the security council.

It's

> all about echnomy, or the "fuckin" money that we allways want more of;-)

> So I think we here are seeing most of the problems and not generally with

> UN, as it's a great trying to do something with the big problems in the

> world. But with nations like US, there isn't any chance at all to do

> something, if there isn't any money to make on the problems at the end!

Agreed. Even with all the billions of our tax dollars and private dointions we we give away in foriegn aid, we still have our own interests in mind ultimately, as does France, Russia, China and the other members of the UN. Thing is, I don't see them wasting anything close to what we wste in the name of humanitarianism and they aren't hated nearly as much as we are so perhaps we should shut off the faucet.

> Have a look at the problems the rest of the world are having with the Kyoto

> protocol, just because GWB jr. saying it's not good for American echnomi

at

> all, so therefore he will not sign at all. I think most of you American
> didn't know at all that it was one of the biggest American oilfirms(I
didn't
> remember the name right now) that get him on these thoughts.

Well, if the other emergintg industrial nations like China and India were held to an equivalent standard, we might be a bit more inclined to look at this seriously. One thing you might be forgetting here is that, like it or not, America became the behemoth that it is by virtue of having to stop tow world wars and then keep the sovitet Union and china from overrunning the rest of the planet. I'm sorry, but in a large way, the rest of the world that hates us, actually created us by making the same stupid, shortsighted, pacifistic decisions about appeasing aggressors that the current Euro states are making right now.

> So, as I can understand with my old brain, the US gouvernment have big
> problems yet with their credibility in most part of the world, with their
> echnomical behavings against other nations and the earth.

You are correct. I think it's time to start getting ourselves more uninvolved with the rest of the world and start taking the money that we are pouring down the humanitarian rathole and put our

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 21:25:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

domestic industries back
on a solid footing so that we can quit sending our jobs to China, India and Indonesia. I alwso imagine³ we could take the money that we are squndering on those who hate our guts and use it to develop new energy technology to that we can stop ruining the environment. We'll leave it to the EU to deal with the emerging industrial nations who want to burn as much sulphurous coal anddenude the remaining forests of the world. I think it's high time we got started. We could start by assigning our seat at the UN security council to Iran. Then the world could look to their riches and magnaminity when there is a catastrophe somewhere instead of carping at us because we're so evil.

> So for me it's really understandable how the hate are growing more and more
> in some parts of the world, as we now have seen in London too....
>Where will it be the next time, maybe Cobenhagen, maybe Rome, maybe....

I hope not. A lot of the money I hopeto save with my new plan will go toward

making sure our borders are controlled, illegals are documented and no more illegals enter. That might make things a bit safer around here. You guys carry on with the *one big happy world thing* without us. We've tried it. It doesn't work.

>
>America, isn't it time to take away the dollars from Bush's eyes and let
> him see the light in the darkness of a real world?....
>
> erlilo

Like I said.....I'm all for it.....and as usual, I'm sure France will still be there when they need us.

Regards,

Deej

>
>
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> skrev i en meddelelse
> news:42cebcb2@linux...
> > Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
> >
> > Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
> attack
> > due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
> went
> > in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions. As for
> poison
> > gas, this was a party that everyone was invited to in the 70's and 80's
> and
> > which was subsequently banned. Sadaam is the only guy around who saw fit
> to
> > use this stuff. Doesn't really recommend him as Mr., custodian, now does
> it?
> > However, like I said before, doesn't matter about the WMD. Does matter
> about
> > him refusing to abide by the terms and conditions of UN sanctions which
> > provided *serious consequences* for his removal if said sanctions
> weren't
> > honored. Serious consequences have been administered and he's gone.
> >
> > Now I'm gonna go sit on a bridge and pick my banjo,
> >
> > ;o)
> >

> >
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:42cea68b@linux...
> > > BINGO!
> > >
> > > "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ir8tc19g6qma0u1hm96i3fgkmf4f9lavas@4ax.com...
> > > > i'm gonna hate myself for this but...you can't give somebody poison
> > > > gas then complain the that they may use/use/or have used it.
> > > > eventually the "lesser of two evils" will always bite you in the
ass.
> > > > and that imo is what the world needs to learn.
> > > >
> > > > On 9 Jul 2005 00:49:58 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > >>>>and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the problem where the oil
was?
> > > >>>>
> > > >>>>Because the *problem* was Sadaam, who had already destabilized
the
> > > >>>>region
> > > >>>>and invaded one of our allies, Kuwait and had refused to abide by
> the
> > UN
> > > >>>>resolutions that were the conditions that allowed him to remain in
> > > >>>>power.
> > > >>>>I
> > > >>>>don't see that scenario anywhere else at the moment.
> > > >>>>
> > > >>>>Well perhaps not exactly the same circumstances, but lets face it,
> you
> > > >>>>guys
> > > >>>>were allies with Iraq with the same dude in power, as was the case
> with
> > > >>>>Afghanistan/Taliban.
> > > >>>>Not that I should be complaining, either to you, or GWB, that this
> > > >>>>scenario
> > > >>>>has changed. I guess I just don't look over history and think how
you
> > >

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 21:31:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; >>>guys

> > > >>>are the crusaders for peace always. Historically you have had a

> number

> > of

> > > >>>questionable alliances, IMO.

> > > >>

> > > >>Hey, you guys were once allies with Stalin, who then became the

> > > >>enemy of the entire free world!!!!

> > > >>

> > > >>(OK, I know we were allies with him against Germany too, but I'm

> > > &g

File Attachments

1) [fallujah_bombing.wmv](#), downloaded 163 times

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 21:48:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an

> > > >>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to

> > > >>the United States).

> > > >>

> > > >>Neil

> > > >

> > >

> > >

> > >

> >

> >

>

>Yeh.....I've seen the *Awwwwww dude* video before. There are others I could show you. Of course, those who put this up don't consider the fact that there may have been credible intelligence that these were combatants.....but I'm sure it was probably just a bunch of children heading to a birthday party.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:42cef0f1@linux...

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message

> news:42ceedf7\$1@linux...

> >> selected targets" weas an anti aircraft battery illegally placed in the

> >> no

> > fly zone. They were surely all on top of civilian
> > hospitals.....no
>
> I dont see any anti-aircraft battery in this video. Do you?
>
>
>
>You might be right. Something tells me that militants probably wouldn't
stroll down the middle of the street like that but I dont know.

Obviously you're willing to sacrifice anyone if Bush says its OK... fuck
that.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42cef8cb@linux...

> Yeh.....I've seen the *Awww dude* video before. There are others I could
> show you. Of course, those who put this up don't consider the fact that
> there may have been credible intelligence that these were
> combatants.....but I'm sure it was probably just a bunch of children
> heading to a birthday party.

>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42cef0f1@linux...

>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42ceedf7\$1@linux...

>> >> selected targets" was an anti aircraft battery illegally placed in
>> >> the
>> >> no

>> > fly zone. They were surely all on top of civilian
>> > hospitals.....no

>>
>> I dont see any anti-aircraft battery in this video. Do you?

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>I'm
>just trying to illustrate the point that this is not such an
>uncommon occurrence, and certainly not one that's exclusive to
>the United States.

I'm not trying to make out that the U.S. is the only country that does this.
In fact I doubt there is a country that *doesn't* ally with evil when it
suits.

My point is simply that freeing people from a brutal dictator just doesn't fit the pattern. Historically, brutal dictators are usually pretty much allowed to do their thing (by all countries, not just the U.S.) unless they annoy people by starting to invade other countries etc. Hence when someone says "we're doing this to rid the world of a brutal dictator" I go "Hmm, that doesn't sound right"....

Cheers,
Kim. And it's FREE, too! (try the preset called "Ice Plate"):

<http://www.dasample.com/stats/download.php?id=21>

NeilHi Mr. Simplicity,

Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil companies. And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed pipelines is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about destabilizing the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for George's friends, screwing everyone else in the process.

Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same way that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for Israeli/US

Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to their corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts, they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social safety-net the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with high gas and oil prices.

The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early 1900s economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is to

job.

it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobody's wealth is worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy. Why?

cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends, the

US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and government would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.

times.

My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness
- American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.

All the best,
Mike Audet

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>Kim,

>

>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however, agree
>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all (my
>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war feel
>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in
the
>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet.

I

>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so they
>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
war
>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in doing
>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
in
>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one
of
>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was
>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have half
>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.

>

>Regards,
>
>Deej
>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
saw
>> any
>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>
>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
went
>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>garbage).
>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
them
>> more oil power.
>>
>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
not

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 22:06:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>obviouly
>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
if
>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>would
>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
as
>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>waited
>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>*didn't
>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in

>charge,
>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>restructured.
>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
>> enough c

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 22:14:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ountries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>for
>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>countries
>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
was
>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>way
>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
war
>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>made
>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>ended
>> up behind it or not.
>>
>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>
>> This London thing is screwed.
>>
>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>
>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Kim.
>
>Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.

Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against

Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing th

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Fri, 08 Jul 2005 23:16:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ese extremists seem to understand - or if they don't understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city. One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK, fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time. Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long time.

Neil

Neil

"Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:

>
>Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>
>Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil companies.
> And, leavin

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Mike Audet](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 00:06:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>
>Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same way
>that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for Israeli/US

>
>Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government
>in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to their
>corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts,
>they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social safety-net
>the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with high
>gas and oil prices.
>
>The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early 1900s
>economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is to

>job.
>

>it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth is
>worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy. Why?

>cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends, the
>US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and government
>would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
>

heading

>times.

>
>My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness
> - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.

>
>All the best,
>Mike Audet

>
>
>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>>Kim,

>>
>>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however, agree
>>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all (my
>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war feel
>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily

>>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in
>the
>>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet.
>I
>>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so
they
>>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the while bellowing
>>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
>war
>>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in doing
>>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
>in
>>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
>>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one
>of
>>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
>>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was
>>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have
half
>>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
>>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
>>
>>Regards,
>>
>>Deej
>>
>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
> saw
>>> any
>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>
>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
> went
>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>> garbage).
>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
> them
>>> more oil power.
>>>
>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,

>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>not
>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>>obviouly
>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>if
>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>would
>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>as
>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>waited
>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>*didn't
>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>charge,
>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>restructured.
>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>>for
>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>countries
>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
>was
>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>>way
>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
>war
>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>made
>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>>ended
>>> up behind it or not.
>>>
>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>
>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 00:26:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>
>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Kim.
>>
>>
>well dont that just say it all

yes bush is bankrupting the country

no we can't go and nuke countries

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...

>
> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
>
> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
> time.
>
> Neil
>
>
>
> Neil
>
> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:

>>
>>Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>>
>>Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil
>>companies.
>> And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed pipelines
>>is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about destabilizing
>>the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for
>>George's
>>friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>>
>>Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same
> way
>>that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for
>>Israeli/US
>>aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his agenda
>>AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our so-called
>>friends
>>- if we're going to make good decisions.
>>
>>Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government
>>in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to
>>their
>>corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts,
>>they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social safety-net
>>the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with high
>>gas and oil prices.
>>
>>The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early
>>1900s
>>economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is to
>>saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply can't
>>afford
>>social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt the US
>>government
>>to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing a great
>>job.
>>
>>There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem to
>>consider.
>> One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through
>> taxation,
>>it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth is
>>worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy. Why?
>> Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of every
>> dollar
>>they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend every red
>>cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends, the

>>US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and government
>>would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
>>
>>As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the US is
> heading
>>in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's no
>>success
>>for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how heartbroken
>>and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always believed
>>in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very worrisome
>>times.
>>
>>My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness
>> - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>>
>>All the best,
>>Mike Audet
>>
>>
>>
>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>Kim,
>>>
>>>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however,
>>>agree
>>>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all (my
>>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war
>>>feel
>>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>>>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in
>>the
>>>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet.
>>I
>>>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so
> they
>>>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
>>>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
>>war
>>>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>>>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in
>>>doing
>>>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
>>in
>>>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
>>>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one
>>of
>>>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>>>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about

>>>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was
>>>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have
> half
>>>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
>>>infrastructure
>>>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>>> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>
>>>Deej
>>>
>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>>saw
>>>> any
>>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>>
>>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>>went
>>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>>>garbage).
>>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
>>>> Saddam
>>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>>>> noticed
>>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>>them
>>>> more oil power.
>>>>
>>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>>>> politically,
>>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>>not
>>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>>>obviouly
>>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>>>> tyranny"
>>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>>if
>>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
>>>> them
>>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>>would
>>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that

>>as
>>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>>waited
>>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 01:07:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> eventually
>>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>>*didn't
>>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>>charge,
>>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>>restructured.
>>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>>>> before
>>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>>>for
>>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>>countries
>>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
>>was
>>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>>>way
>>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
>>war
>>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>>made
>>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>>>ended
>>>> up behind it or not.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>>
>>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>
>>>

>>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
>4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
>sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
>hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
>case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.

How do you think dictatorships come about? I thought it was when some mean-ass dude comes along and hustles, lies, bribes, and does whatever is required to get power and take control over a free society.

Not that Bush is likely to be able to become dictator. ;o)

Point is that it's not like once you're a free society you're out of the woods. Throughout history societies are constantly moving backwards and forwards from relative freedom to tyranny. Even looking back on the English monarchy you see some Kings who were good to the people, and others who made life miserable.

You seem to be suggesting that in a free society, because that supposedly makes people happy, that nobody will do anything nasty or greedy to mess it up. I can assure you that's not the case.

Cheers,

Kim."Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>time.

LOL!! You're not serious?!?

See, that's what this whole debate has been about. Whether you can get peace through violence...

There's a song... oh, what's the guy's name... the from the disposable hero's of hypocrisy, anyhow, the line from it is "You can bomb the world to peices, but you can bomb the world to peace".

You would find that your strategy wouldn't work I think. :o)

Cheers,

Kim. <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>

Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but seriously.....
you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive with their own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these days

about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how the Euro's whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the chips are down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and watch the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!those meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all our fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick up a few million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying of starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his violations of UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies off their festering wounds and running to the crapper with disentery.....and just maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say guys?

The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...

>

> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.

>

> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
> time.

>

> Neil

>

>

>

> Neil

>

> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:

> >
> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> >
> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil companies.
> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed pipelines
> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about destabilizing
> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for George's
> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> >
> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same
> >way
> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for Israeli/US
> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his agenda
> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our so-called friends
> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> >
> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government
> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to their
> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts,
> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social safety-net
> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with high
> >gas and oil prices.
> >
> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early 1900s
> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is to
> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply can't afford
> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt the US government
> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing a great
> >job.
> >
> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem to consider.
> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through taxation,
> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth is
> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy. Why?
> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of every dollar
> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend every red

> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends,
the
> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and government
> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
> >
> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the US is
> heading
> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's no
success
> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how heartbroken
> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always
believed
> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very worrisome
> >times.
> >
> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness
> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
> >
> >All the best,
> >Mike Audet
> >
> >
> >
> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>Kim,
> >>
> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however,
agree
> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all
(my
> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war
feel
> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in
> >the
> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over
yet.
> >I
> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so
> they
> >>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
> >war
> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in
doing
> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
> >in

> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
> >>but I think eventually Sadaam wou

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 01:13:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Id have succeeded in shooting down one
> >of
> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was
> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have
> half
> >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
> >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
> >>spoke. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
> >>
> >>Regards,
> >>
> >>Deej
> >>
> >>"Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
> >>>
> >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 01:17:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; >saw
> >>> any
> >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> >>>
> >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
> >went
> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
> >>>garbage).

> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
> >them
> >>> more oil power.
> >>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 01:27:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
> >not
> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
> >>obviouly
> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
> >if
> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
> >>would
> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
> >as
> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
> >>waited
> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
> >>*didn't
> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
> >>charge,
> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
> >>restructured.
> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
> >>for
> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of

> >>countries
> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
> >was
> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
The
> >>way
> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
> >war
> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
> >>made
> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
actually
> >>ended
> >>> up behind it or not.
> >>>
> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> >>>
> >>> This London thing is screwed.
> >>>
> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> >>>
> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
> >>>
> >>> Cheers,
> >>> Kim.
> >>
> >>
> >
>Hi Neil,

I appreciate your point of view - and very much enjoy these discussion.
I hope that everyone knows that I'm not trying to insult anyone. As a Canadian,
I relish any chance to interact with Americans and get a better understanding
of their points of view.

I do believe that Bush is one evil sonofabitch. There was an article in the
Toronto Star a few years back. Someone studied George Bush's stammering.
Basically, they found that he stammers and misspeaks whenever he talks about
helping people, or social justice, or doing good in the world. When he talks
about killing people, or blowing things up, or punishing people, he never
stammers. The conclusion was that he is so disinterested in helping people
or making the world a better place that he can't even keep his attention
on it long enough to complete a sentence. I'm being completely serious about
this.

The trouble with nuking cities is that it will only escalate the violence.
It wouldn't be long until an American city fell to the same fate. Some
nuclear scientist who sympathized with the plight of an arab city would give

a bomb to an extremist, and the rest would be history. Violence begets violence.

Sometimes violence can't be avoided. But, right now, we have a small group of people that are causing a lot of fear and hurt with a little money and a small number of crazy "true believers". I think America should be spending its money on border guards, not cruise missiles.

All the best,
Mike

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
>4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
>sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
>hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
>case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.

>

>Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
>starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
>that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
>who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
>Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
>all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
>these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
>understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
>capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
>position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
>against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
>One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
>fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
>Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>time.

>

>Neil

>

>

>

>Neil

>

>"Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:

>>

>>Hi Mr. Simplicity,

>>

>>Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil companies.
>> And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed pipelines
>>is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about destabilizing
>>the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for George's

>>friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>>
>>Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same
>way
>>that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for Israeli/US

friends

>>
>>Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government
>>in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to their
>>corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts,
>>they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social safety-net
>>the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with high
>>gas and oil prices.
>>
>>The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early
1900s
>>economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is to

government

>>job.
>>

>>it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth
is
>>worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy. Why?

>>cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends,
the
>>US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and government
>>would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
>>

>heading

success

>>times.
>>
>>My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness

>> - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>>
>>All the best,
>>Mike Audet
>>
>>
>>
>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>Kim,
>>>
>>>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however, agree
>>>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all
(my
>>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war
feel
>>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>>>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or
in
>>the
>>>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet.
>>I
>>>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so
>they
>>>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
>>>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
>>war
>>>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>>>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in
doing
>>>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
>>in
>>>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
>>>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one
>>of
>>>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>>>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
>>>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power
was
>>>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have
>half
>>>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
>>>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>>> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>
>>>Deej
>>>

>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>>saw
>>>> any
>>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>>
>>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>>went
>>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>>>garbage).
>>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>>them
>>>> more oil power.
>>>>
>>>>&

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Mike Audet](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 01:27:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
>>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>>not
>>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
was
>>>obviouly
>>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>>if
>>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
them
>>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>>would
>>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>>as
>>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>>waited
>>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
>>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>>*didn't
>>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>>charge,
>>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was

>>>restructured.
>>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
>>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>>>for
>>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>>countries
>>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
it
>>was
>>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
The
>>>way
>>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
on
>>war
>>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>>made
>>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>>>ended
>>>> up behind it or not.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>>
>>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>
>>>
>>
>Hi Mr. Simplicity,

There is certainly a lot of hypocracy out there. The question is whether war in Iraq made things better or worse. We're never going to have a perfect world, so we have to focus on making it better - not perfect.

I wish Canada and the rest of the world would get behind the US and help with security in Iraq. I opposed the war and was so proud that our prime minister kept us out of it, but it's time to pitch in and help our neighbours to the south and the Iraqis themselves.

But, it was a mistake to think that you could just send in the army and then have an election and Iraq would be all wonderful like it is in the US. The Iraqi society is made up of enough violent religious extremists who hate

each other that without a very strong central government clamping down on them, there is going to be chaos. And there is. It's just not as simple as George would like us to believe.

So, what looks like hipocracy from the outside might have really been people who understood the dificulty involved in sorting out the problems properly deciding that it was more than they could take on.

All the best,

Mike

All the best,

Mike

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>

>

>Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but seriously.....

>you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive with their
>own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these days
>about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how the Euro's
>whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the chips are
>down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and watch
>the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!those
>meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all our
>fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick up a
few

>million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying of
>starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his violations
of

>UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies off their
>festering wounds and running to the crapper with disentery.....and just
>maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say guys?

>

>The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.

>

>

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...

>>

>> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
>> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
>> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
>> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
>> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.

>>

>> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really

>> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
>> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
>> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
>> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
>> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
>> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
>> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
>> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
>> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
>> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
>> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
>> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
>> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>> time.

>>
>> Neil
>>
>>
>>
>> Neil

>> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:

>> >
>> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>> >
>> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil
>> >companies.
>> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed pipelines
>> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about destabilizing
>> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for
>> >George's
>> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>> >
>> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same
>> way
>> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for
>> >Israeli/US
>> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his agenda
>> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our so-called
>> >friends
>> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
>> >
>> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government
>> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to
>> >their
>> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts,
>> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social

>safety-net
>> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with high
>> >gas and oil prices.
>> >
>> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early >1900s
>> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is to
>> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply can't >afford
>> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt the US >government
>> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing a great >> >job.
>> >
>> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem to >consider.
>> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through >taxation,
>> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth is
>> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy. Why?
>> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of every >dollar
>> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend every red
>> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends, >the
>> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and government >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
>> >
>> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the US is
>> heading
>> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's no >success
>> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how heartbroken >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always >believed
>> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very worrisome >> >times.
>> >
>> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness
>> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>> >
>> >All the best,

>> >Mike Audet
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>Kim,
>> >>
>> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however,
>agree
>> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all
>(my
>> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war
>feel
>> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or
in
>> >the
>> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over
>yet.
>> >I
>> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over
so
>> they
>> >>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
>> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore,
the
>> >war
>> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in
>doing
>> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
>> >in
>> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't
>know,
>> >>but I

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Mike Audet](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 01:42:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down
one
>> >of
>> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
>> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power
>was

>> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have
>> half
>> >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
>infrastructure
>> >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as
we
>> >> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
>> >>
>> >>Regards,
>> >>
>> >>Deej
>> >>
>> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>> >>>
>> >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth
who
>> >saw
>> >>> any
>> >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>> >>>
>> >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>> >went
>> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
>clearly
>> >>garbage).
>> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
>Saddam
>> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>noticed
>> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>> >them
>> >>> more oil power.
>> >>>
>> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>politically,
>> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
and
>> >not
>> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
>was
>> >>obviouly
>> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>tyranny"
>> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
care
>> >if

>> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
>them
>> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>> >>would
>> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>> >as
>> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
had
>> >>waited
>> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>eventually
>> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>> >>*didn't
>> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>> >>charge,
>> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>> >>restructured.
>> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>before
>> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>procrastinate
>> >>for
>> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag
of
>> >>countries
>> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
it
>> >was
>> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
>The
>> >>way
>> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
on
>> >war
>> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would
have
>> >>made
>> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>actually
>> >>ended
>> >>> up behind it or not.
>> >>>
>> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>> >>>
>> >>> This London thing is screwed.
>> >>>
>> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>> >>>

>> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>> >>>
>> >>> Cheers,
>> >>> Kim.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>
>Hey Rod - I wish I could have been there - new baby altered my availability
that weekend) - drop me an email if you are back in the area.

Regards,
Dedric

On 7/8/05 8:28 AM, in article 42ce8d7b\$1@linux, "Rod Lincoln"
<rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
> Couldn't have said it better Dedric. Sorry we didn't get to hang in Co.
> Springs
> when I was out.
> rod
> Dedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> well, if the US/allies would stop killing their women and children and
>>> bombing their country into a god-forsaken moonscape maybe they wouldn't
>>> be so upset with us.
>>>
>>> just a thought....
>>>
>>> jef
>>
>> That's a nicely wrapped and media-induced anti-war bandwagon banner if I
>> ever saw one.
>>
>> Just remember this is the same terrorist organization (al Quaeda) that is
>> killing Iraqi officials, citizens, Egyptian diplomats (any diplomats
>> actually) and trying to derail any semblance of government in Iraq. All
> in
>> the name off...??? What exactly? Does anyone know why they would bomb
>> London to get Britain out of Iraq, and derail the Iraqi government at the
>> same time? There is no logic in cutting off one's nose to spite one's face,
>> unless it really isn't your face after all...
>>
>> Only one answer: terrorists don't represent anyone's best interests other
>> than their own - not Iraq, not Afghanistan, not Islam. And I'm pretty sure
>> they don't have any idea what their interests are, other than any reason
> to

>> kill. This is the essence of evil. It isn't a political agenda, it's a
>> perverse counter-moral agenda that can't be required or quenched until it
>> has complete control.
>>
>> Blaming the bombings on the US and its' allies is like saying terrorist
> have
>> just as much right to express themselves by killing innocent men, women
> and
>> children as we do to own an iPod with our favorite tunes (I don't own one,
>> but bin Laden probably does).
>>
>> No offense Jef - you are entitled to your opinion - just expressing mine.
>>
>>
>>
>> On 7/7/05 8:50 AM, in article 42cd40e8@linux, "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight">
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Don Nafe wrote:
>>>
>>>> Just hear about it...check your news
>>>>
>>>> don
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>>I'm sure it is cast, then cut to tolerances.

Dubya

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42ce91b1\$1@linux...
>
> Completely milled out of one big chunk of aluminum? That's a
> whole lotta bauxite! What's the point of that - is it for
> better sound isolation? Seems like that would add a lot of un-
> needed cost to the thing... what do they run, price-wise?
>
> Neil
>
>
>
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>>
>>Have anny of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that
>>looks

>>like a really slick high end stereo component.
>>
>>I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled
>>aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes move
>>heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.
>>
>>There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is
>>completely, absolutely utterly silent.
>>
>>Of course you can't run paris on it, but I would bet that it would make
> a
>>decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wire
>>interface.
>> The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run cubase and halion on a
>> laptop
>>with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.
>>
>>Chuck
>"The beatings will continue until morale improves."

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

Kim wrote:

> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>
>>Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>>had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>>time.
>
>
> LOL!! You're not serious?!?
>
> See, that's what this whole debate has been about. Whether you can get peace
> through violence...
>
> There's a song... oh, what's the guy's name... the from the disposable
> hero's of hypocrisy, anyhow, the line from it is "You can bomb the world
> to peices, but you can bomb the world to peace".
>
> You would find that your strategy wouldn't work I think. :o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly after
his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.

Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions to say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.

Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the US, so they can get away with it - they are politically correct by birthright - the US isn't.

On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42cebc2@linux...
>> Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>
>> Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
>> attack
>> due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
>> went
>> in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>
> BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER. (Nevermind
> that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>
> *****
>
> General admits to secret air war
>
> Jun 26, 2005
>
> Michael Smith
> Times Online (UK)
>
> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq
> war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British officers
> that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the middle
> of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>
> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
> Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied
> aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391 "carefully
> selected targets" before the war officially started.
> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied

> victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to start
> the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>
> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly
> zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W
> Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted illegally.
>
> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that
> showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in
> leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to put
> pressure on the regime".
>
> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
> 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
> no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic minorities.
>
> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
> meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
> foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir
> Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying
> out the bombing.
>
> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped in
> southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a part
> in the bombing as American aircraft.
>
> Details o

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 02:19:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US at
> the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.
>
> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>>Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>>had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>>time.
>
>LOL!! You're not serious?!?

No, I'm not, but it made a lot of people think dinnit? Truly,

if we did that, I think it would only take about three cities' worth before the Muslims said "ENOUGH!"... give us a break!

And, following that line of thought, if there seems to be no end in sight to this situation we now find ourselves in, three cities' worth of destruction would equal less dead than many more years of terrorist bombings & allied occupation... just like the end of WWII in the Pacific in the manner we chose, resulted in less loss of lives for both Japan & the US had we invaded the Japanese home islands. I really don't want to see this, though - I would hope that some other solution can be arrived at, but honestly if I were President, that's what I'd be pushing for even if I really in my heart didn't want to do it... see Reagan/Soviets/Star Wars/B1 Bombers/etc... it works if they know you really mean it.

>See, that's what this whole debate has been about. Whether you can get peace >through violence...

You can - if you demonstrate enough of it all at once... check out nearly every victorious campaign in history since the dawn of man for a reference.

>There's a song... oh, what's the guy's name... the from the disposable >hero's of hypocrisy, anyhow, the line from it is "You can bomb the world >to peices, but you can bomb the world to peace".

>

>You would find that your strategy wouldn't work I think. :o)

T'would... unfortunately, perhaps, but it would.

Neil"Mike Audet" <mike@mike....com> wrote:

>I do believe that Bush is one evil sonofabitch. There was an article in the

>Toronto Star a few years back. Someone studied George Bush's stammering.

> Basically, they found that he stammers and misspeaks whenever he talks about

>helping poeple, or social justice, or doing good in the world. When he talks

>about killing people, or blowing things up, or punishing people, he never

>stammers. The conclusion was that he is so disinterested in helping people

>or making the world a better place that he can't even keep his attention

>on it long enough to complete a sentence. I'm being comple

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 03:07:17 GMT

that he stammers and misspeaks whenever he talks
> about
>>helping poeple, or social justice, or doing good in the world. When he
> talks
>>about killing people, or blowing things up, or punishing people, he never
>>stammers. The conclusion was that he is so disinterested in helping
>>people
>>or making the world a better place that he can't even keep his attention
>>on it long enough to complete a sentence. I'm being completely serious
> about this.
>
> He stammers all the time... I don't buy this - it's very
> subjective, but I'll try & notice the next time he speaks about
> something.
>
>
>>The trouble with nuking cities is that it will only escalate the violence.
>
> Nope, one terrorist bombing = one Islamic city nuked. Two
> bombings = two cities nuked. How long do you think it would
> take before the entire Islamic world turned over every
> terrorist? Not long. Not all of them believe in being
> martyrs... many of them just want to live thier lives just like
> we do and some of those people are harboring terrorists just
> because there's no retribution.. once the retribution starts,
> we'd see how many of them want to harbor those bastards any
> longer. Fuck the morality issue when it's an issue of
> survival... at that point there really is no right & wrong, you
> know? Is it wrong for the wolf to kill the deer in order to
> survive? No, the wolf can't farm crops, or go to the store to
> buy canned meat... killing the deer in order to survive isn't
> right or wrong, it just "is". The forebears of modern man & the
> Neanderthals lived in some of the same regions simultaneously
> for a LONG time... was it wrong for Cro-Magnon man to
> outcompete the Neanderthals so that the Neanderthals became
> extinct? No, it just was about survival. When one group
> threatens another to the point where one of those groups' way
> of life is on the verge of ending, then it's all about who has
> the resolve to win, or the means to win & the WILL to employ
> those means. Neanderthals. Rome. American Indians. Tsarist
> Russia, and then Soviet Russia... Just some examples of
> societies & ways of life that have come & gone through the
> ages... you wanna be included in that group?
>
> I'm serious, guys - those of you who think this is lightweight,
> pissy bullshit that's all about oil, or even something that's
> strictly political or about foregin policy are not seeing the

> big picture here. Winds of change DO indeed blow, and this is a
> big one... how long did the last Jihad last (you know, the one
> that started in 1095)?

If you're actually lobbying for an all out war vs the Muslim world, it might be a viable survival tactic.

The main problem is that we probably wouldn't be the only ones with nukes, so once we opened pandoras box, we would probably get it dropped right back on us."Dedric Terry" <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote in message news:BEF49B85.2CF9%dedric@keyofd.net...

> Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly
> after
> his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.

OK. The article I posted was regarding a pre-Shock-and-Awe Shock-and-Awe.

> Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions to
> say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
> conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.

Yes, I followed the effect of the UN sanctions on Iraq over a long period.

> Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those
> sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the US,
> so
> they can get away with it - they are politically correct by birthright -
> the
> US isn't.

Well they're in the UN too right? I used to be a big fan of the UN, but the whole organization was profiting off the oil for food.

If they're not trustworthy on that issu

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 03:42:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e, why should I trust them about the sanctions? And why should I trust Powell when he lies out his ass at the UN? And why should I trust Bush when Powell is his ambassador and BS's his credibility and the countries credibility down the toilet?

> On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>

>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42cebcb2@linux...
>>> Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>>
>>> Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
>>> attack
>>> due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
>>> went
>>> in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>
>> BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER.
>> (Nevermind
>> that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>>
>> *****
>>
>> General admits to secret air war
>>
>> Jun 26, 2005
>>
>>
>> Michael Smith
>> Times Online (UK)
>>
>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq
>> war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British
>> officers
>> that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the
>> middle
>> of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>>
>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>> Lieute

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:00:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Russia... Just some examples of societies & ways of life that have come & gone through the ages... you wanna be included in that group?

I'm serious, guys - those of you who think this is lightweight, pissy bullshit that's all about oil, or even something that's strictly political or about foreign policy are not seeing the big picture here. Winds of change DO indeed blow, and this is a

big one... how long did the last Jihad last (you know, the one that started in 1095)?

Neil"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf4c57\$1@linux...

>

> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike....com> wrote:

>>I do believe that Bush is one evil sonofabitch. There was an article in

> the

>>Toronto Star a few years back. Someone studied George Bush's stammering.

>> Basically, they found

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:02:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003

>> allied

>> aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391

>> "carefully

>> selected targets" before the war officially started.

>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied

>> victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to

>> start

>> the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.

>>

>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly

>> zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W

>> Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted

>> illegally.

>>

>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that

>> showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in

>> leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to

>> put

>> pressure on the regime".

>>

>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,

>> 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern

>> no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic

>> minorities.

>>

>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a

>> meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the

>> foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral

>> Sir

>> Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying

>> out the bombing.

>>
>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped in
>> southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a
>> part
>> in the bombing as American aircraft.
>>
>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US at
>> the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.
>>
>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
>>
>>
>Hell Cron,

The reason this planet is going to hell in a handbasket is because there are too many people now competing for too few resources. That's why I'm in favor of the fuel air bomb instead of the nuke. That 10,000 year half life is a bitch and I'm too much of a tree hugger to want to kill any more of them. We hardly have enough as it is now.

;o(

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:11:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

message
news:42cf4e6e@linux...

>
> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message [news:42cf4c57\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:42cf4c57$1@linux...)
>>
>> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike....com> wrote:
>>> I do believe that Bush is one evil sonofabitch. There was an article in
>> the
>>> Toronto Star a few years back. Someone studied George Bush's
stammering.
>>> Basically, they found that he stammers and misspeaks whenever he talks
>> about
>>> helping poeple, or social justice, or doing good in the world. When he
>> talks
>>> about killing people, or blowing things up, or punishing people, he
never
>>> stammers. The conclusion was that he is so disinterested in helping
>>> people

> >>or making the world a better place that he can't even keep his attention
> >>on it long enough to complete a sentence. I'm being completely serious
> > about this.

> >

> > He stammers all the time... I don't buy this - it's very
> > subjective, but I'll try & notice the next time he speaks about
> > something.

> >

> >

> >>The trouble with nuking cities is that it will only escalate the
violence.

> >

> > Nope, one terrorist bombing = one Islamic city nuked. Two
> > bombings = two cities nuked. How long do you think it would
> > take before the entire Islamic world turned over every
> > terrorist? Not long. Not all of them believe in being
> > martyrs... many of them just want to live thier lives just like
> > we do and some of those people are harboring terrorists just
> > because there's no retribution.. once the retribution starts,
> > we'd see how many of them want to harbor those bastards any
> > longer. Fuck the morality issue when it's an issue of
> > survival... at that point there really is no right & wrong, you
> > know? Is it wrong for the wolf to kill the deer in order to
> > survive? No, the wolf can't farm crops, or go to the store to
> > buy canned meat... killing the deer in order to survive isn't
> > right or wrong, it just "is". The forebears of modern man & the
> > Neanderthals lived in some of the same regions simultaneously
> > for a LONG time... was it wrong for Cro-Magnon man to
> > outcompete the Neanderthals so that the Neanderthals became
> > extinct? No, it just was about survival. When one group
> > threatens another to the point where one of those groups' way
> > of life is on the verge of ending, then it's all about who has
> > the resolve to win, or the means to win & the WILL to employ
> > those means. Neanderthals. Rome. American Indians. Tsarist
> > Russia, and then Soviet Russia... Just some examples of
> > societies & ways of life that have come & gone through the
> > ages... you wanna be included in that group?

> >

> > I'm serious, guys - those of you who think this is lightweight,
> > pissy bullshit that's all about oil, or even something that's
> > strictly political or about foregin policy are not seeing the
> > big picture here. Winds of change DO indeed blow, and this is a
> >

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:18:22 GMT

big one... how long did the last Jihad last (you know, the one
> > that started in 1095)?
>
> If you're actually lobbying for an all out war vs the Muslim world, it
might
> be a viable survival tactic.
>
> The main problem is that we probably wouldn't be the only ones with nukes,
> so once we opened pandoras box, we would probably get it dropped right
back
> on us.
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42cf5171@linux...
> Hell Cron,
>
> The reason this planet is going to hell in a handbasket is because there
> are
> too many people now competing for too few resources. That's why I'm in
> favor
> of the fuel air bomb instead of the nuke. That 10,000 year half life is a
> bitch and I'm too much of a tree hugger to want to kill any more of them.
> We
> hardly have enough as it is now.

I wish it were that simple. That line of thinking leads to letting all the
Africans just die. That line of thinking leads to thinning out even the US
population.

Energy resources can change. Food resources can change. Most of the
resource issues can be understood through the study of Economics, real
economics, not the hijacked fuzzy math version. But ultimately, we're a
society, not an economy. If everyone can just agree to share the land, etc.
theres no reason there can't be peaceful coexistence (UNLESS someone goes
and does something stupid like bombing someone.) Or maybe I'm just a tree
hugger too... I dont know. I know God always takes care of me.

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42cf4e6e@linux...
>>
>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf4c57\$1 @linux...
>> >
>> > "Mike Audet" <mike@mike....com> wrote:
>> >> I do believe that Bush is one evil sonofabitch. There was an article in
>> > the
>> >> Toronto Star a few years back. Someone studied George Bush's

> stammering.
>> >> Basically, they found that he stammers and misspeaks whenever he talks
>> > about
>> >>helping poeple, or social justice, or doing good in the world. When he
>> > talks
>> >>about killing people, or blowing things up, or punishing people, he
> never
>> >>stammers. The conclusion was that he is so disinterested in helping
>> >>people
>> >>or making the world a better place that he can't even keep his
>> >>attention
>> >>on it long enough to complete a sentence. I'm being completely serious
>> > about this.
>> >
>> > He stammers all the time... I don't buy this - it's very
>> > subjective, but I'll try & notice the next time he speaks about
>> > something.
>> >
>> >
>> >>The trouble with nuking cities is that it will only escalate the
> violence.
>> >
>> > Nope, one terrorist bombing = one Islamic city nuked. Two
>> > bombings = two cities nuked. How long do you think it would
>> > take before the entire Islamic world turned over every
>> > terrorist? Not long. Not all of them believe in being
>> > martyrs... many of them just want to live thier lives just like
>> > we do and some of those people are harboring terrorists just
>> > because there's no retribution.. once the retribution starts,
>> > we'd see how many of them want to harbor those bastards any
>> > longer. Fuck the morality issue when it's an issue of
>> > survival... at that point there really is no right & wrong, you
>> > know? Is it wrong for the wolf to kill the deer in order to
>> > survive? No, the wolf can't farm crops, or go to the store to
>> > buy canned meat... killing the deer in order to survive isn't
>> > right or wrong, it just "is". The forebears of modern man & the
>> > Neanderthals lived in some of the same regions simultaneously
>> > for a LONG time... was it wrong for Cro-Magnon man to
>> > outcompete the Neanderthals so that the Neanderthals became
>> > extinct? No, it just was about survival. When one group
>> > threatens another to the point where one of those groups' way
>> > of life is on the verge of ending, then it's all about who has
>> > the resolve to win, or the means to win & the WILL to employ
>> > those means. Neanderthals. Rome. American Indians. Tsarist
>> > Russia, and then Soviet Russia... Just some examples of
>> > societies & ways of life that have come & gone through the
>> > ages... you wanna be included in that group?
>> >

>> > I'm serious, guys - those of you who think this is lightweight,
>> > pissy bullshit that's all about oil, or even something that's
>> > strictly p

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:24:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

olitical or about foreign policy are not seeing the
>> > big picture here. Winds of change DO indeed blow, and this is a
>> > big one... how long did the last Jihad last (you know, the one
>> > that started in 1095)?
>>
>> If you're actually lobbying for an all out war vs the Muslim world, it
> might
>> be a viable survival tactic.
>>
>> The main problem is that we probably wouldn't be the only ones with
>> nukes,
>> so once we opened pandoras box, we would probably get it dropped right
> back
>> on us.
>>
>>
>
>> That line of thinking leads to thinning out even the US
population.

Hey, I'm equal opportunity all the way amigo. I didn't say there were too many people other than Americans. There are plenty of dirtbags around here.....and as for me.....I'm sometimes weary enough of this world to feel like I wouldn't mind moving over to make room for someone else who's not.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42cf536e@linux...
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42cf5171@linux...
> > Hell Cron,
> >
> > The reason this planet is going to hell in a handbasket is because there
> > are
> > too many people now competing for too few resources. That's why I'm in

> > favor
> > of the fuel air bomb instead of the nuke. That 10,000 year half life is
> a
> > bitch and I'm too much of a tree hugger to want to kill any more of
them.
> > We
> > hardly have enough as it is now.
>
> I wish it were that simple. That line of thinking leads to letting all
the
> Africans just die. That line of thinking leads to thinning out even the
US
> population.
>
> Energy resources can change. Food resources can change. Most of the
> resource issues can be understood through the study of Economics, real
> economics, not the hijacked fuzzy math version. But ultimately, we're a
> society, not an economy. If everyone can just agree to share the land,
etc.
> theres no reason there can't be peaceful coexistence (UNLESS someone goes
> and does something stupid like bombing someone.) Or maybe I'm just a
tree
> hugger too... I dont know. I know God always takes care of me.
>
>
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:42cf4e6e@linux...
> >>
> >> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf4c57\$1@linux...
> >> >
> >> > "Mike Audet" <mike@mike....com> wrote:
> >> >>I do believe that Bush is one evil sonofabitch. There was an article
in
> >> > the
> >> >>Toronto Star a few years back. Someone studied George Bush's
> > stammering.
> >> >> Basically, they found that he stammers and misspeaks whenever he
talks
> >> > about
> >> >>helping poeple, or social justice, or doing good in the world. When
he
> >> > talks
> >> >>about killing people, or blowing things up, or punishing people, he
> > never
> >> >>stammers. The conclusion was that he is so disinterested in helping
> >> >>people
> >> >>or making the world a better place that he can't even keep his
> >> >>attention

> >> >> on it long enough to complete a sentence. I'm being completely serious
> >> > about this.
> >> >
> >> > He stammers all the time... I don't buy this - it's very
> >> > subjective, but I'll try & notice the next time he speaks

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:32:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

about
> >> > something.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> The trouble with nuking cities is that it will only escalate the
> > violence.
> >> >
> >> > Nope, one terrorist bombing = one Islamic city nuked. Two
> >> > bombings = two cities nuked. How long do you think it would
> >> > take before the entire Islamic world turned over every
> >> > terrorist? Not long. Not all of them believe in being
> >> > martyrs... many of them just want to live thier lives just like
> >> > we do and some of those people are harboring terrorists just
> >> > because there's no retribution.. once the retribution starts,
> >> > we'd see how many of them want to harbor those bastards any
> >> > longer. Fuck the morality issue when it's an issue of
> >> > survival... at that point there really is no right & wrong, you
> >> > know? Is it wrong for the wolf to kill the deer in order to
> >> > survive? No, the wolf can't farm crops, or go to the store to
> >> > buy canned meat... killing the deer in order to survive isn't
> >> > right or wrong, it just "is". The forebears of modern man & the
> >> > Neanderthals lived in some of the same regions simultaneously
> >> > for a LONG time... was it wrong for Cro-Magnon man to
> >> > outcompete the Neanderthals so that the Neanderthals became
> >> > extinct? No, it just was about survival. When one group
> >> > threatens another to the point where one of those groups' way
> >> > of life is on the verge of ending, then it's all about who has
> >> > the resolve to win, or the means to win & the WILL to employ
> >> > those means. Neanderthals. Rome. American Indians. Tsarist
> >> > Russia, and then Soviet Russia... Just some examples of
> >> > societies & ways of life that have come & gone through the
> >> > ages... you wanna be included in that group?
> >> >
> >> > I'm serious, guys - those of you who think this is lightweight,
> >> > pissy bullshit that's all about oil, or even something that's
> >> > strictly political or about foreign policy are not seeing the

> >> > big picture here. Winds of change DO indeed blow, and this is a
> >> > big one... how long did the last Jihad last (you know, the one
> >> > that started in 1095)?
> >>
> >> If you're actually lobbying for an all out war vs the Muslim world, it
> > might
> >> be a viable survival tactic.
> >>
> >> The main problem is that we probably wouldn't be the only ones with
> >> nukes,
> >> so once we opened pandoras box, we would probably get it dropped right
> > back
> >> on us.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

>On 7/8/05 10:18 PM, in article 42cf5020\$1@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>
> "Dedric Terry" <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BEF49B85.2CF9%dedric@keyofd.net...
>> Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly
>> after
>> his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
>
> OK. The article I posted was regarding a pre-Shock-and-Awe Shock-and-Awe.
>
I got the impression from Clinton's interview on it that there was something
his administration wasn't (couldn't perhaps) telling us about Iraq and why
they started air strikes. To some degree he was blamed for using it to
divert attention from the Monica scandal, but no one really knows I guess.
I really thought that might have been a motivation, but not so much after
hearing him talk about it.

Yes, that was while Toby Keith was working on his prequel to Shock'N Y'all:
Not Quite Shock'n Y'all (Yet).

>> Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions to
>> say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
>> conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.
>
> Yes, I followed the effect of the UN sanctions on Iraq over a long period.
>
The effect was of course worse for Iraqis than Saddam and the military -
didn't really bother him, even if it cut into his profit margin a bit. Just

goes to show you can't negotiate with dictators and terrorists.

>> Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those
>> sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the US,
>> so
>> they can get away with it - they are politically correct by birthright -
>> the
>> US isn't.

>
> Well they're in the UN too right? I used to be a big fan of the UN, but
> the whole organization was profiting off the oil for food.

>
They are; and people/nations were benefiting unethically. I agree, it
wasn't right, and probably one reason why the sanctions never worked - they
can't work if major players in the enforcing agency are playing both ends.
Left to the UN, several countries and people would still be benefiting from
starving the Iraqi people - the paradox of the oil for food program.

> If they're not trustworthy on that issue, why should

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 04:55:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I trust them about the
> sanctions? And why should I trust Powell when he lies out his ass at the
> UN? And why should I trust Bush when Powell is his ambassador and BS's his
> credibility and the countries credibility down the toilet?

Can't tell you how or whether to trust the UN. I don't.
>Kim - just an FYI, I didn't get any of my information from news media, but
research through statistics and information from other sources and non-media
government agencies - i.e. the ones that research and push paper rather than
agendas. Just like in any country, there are people here that take most of
what they hear as fact, and in truth, most of what news organizations
publish contains facts, but the key is not extrapolating, or taking on their
extrapolations. Just taking the facts (e.g. bombs hit London yesterday
killing 50 or so, wounding 700 or so), and using even simple common sense
avoids most misinterpretation. The US doesn't tolerate lying or fraudulent
journalists - just ask the NY Times, CBS and the Boston Post. That also
doesn't mean we have a full staff of saints, or crooks in the news
business...in any country. Just people mostly.

Just because Iraq has oil doesn't mean we want it. Some sources say the
earth has 15 years of oil left (excluding anticipated, but unconfirmed oil
sources). Speculation is what it is though.

Also remember the world outside the US (of which I and family members have been to several parts, and talked with people all over), has it's own localized view of other countries, including the US. No one understands a country they don't live in like a native (and just like every other country we have plenty of people that take one view just because someone told them it was true). The only source you have for information on who we really are in the US is movies and the news media - only 1% of that is even close. Knowing a country's people by being one gives us a perspective and understanding of reasoning that goes into how we approach things - including understanding why we make poor decisions sometimes (as all people do).

Case in point: for us here in the US, Australia is where Crocodile Dundee came from, and where the deadliest snakes, insects and ocean live dwell - making for a very scary place, especially if you go on a walk-about alone in search of Kiwi and Koalas. ;-) (a little lightening of the mood).

As far as other brutal leaderships - perhaps you are referring to N. Korea, parts of Africa, and/or perhaps Iran. N. Korea is a tinderbox that's been on the US radar for years. We aren't ignoring it - it just isn't in the world news media because we our actions (or in-action) isn't pissing some news agency or protest group off. We (as well as other countries) are watching them and trying to keep a calm balance to avert nuclear disaster has been done before so the news media isn't really interested. Yes, there is untold brutality going on there. Stopping a dictator who is working on building nukes is much easier than stopping one who has them pointed at his neighborhood. (Saddam was intent on building nukes, per his head nuclear scientist, but didn't have all the materials yet - apparently thanks in part to UN and US inspections interestingly enough).

As far as Iran - again a tinderbox. Taking down a dictatorship in a country positioned for direct military access from a country's ally bases is one thing, but Iran is another. Though it may be controversial, invading Iraq makes it easier to defend against Iranian threats (no, not invasion). But how that plays out in reality remains to be seen. I think there was significant concern that Iran would invade and conquer Iraq, making it twice the hotbed of terrorism it is now, and a very oil-rich one too. Bush gets blamed for acting on his own agenda, but even if ineptly, perhaps we have played a dangerous chess game with an eventually, retrospectively wise, albeit costly, move. Just a thought - no way to prove or argue such speculation.

Africa - the civil wars in Sudan, etc. would be Vietnam X 100 - "jungle" warfare with warlords and factions with no centralization is a certain bloodbath. The numbers of people killed in these countries is staggering. Again, is the US the only one responsible? This has come before the UN, but what does the UN really do about situations like this - it is a diplomatic council and it has, and exercises little power other than verbal/written warnings and slaps on the wrist. I guess the UN would be quite beneficial

to many an elementary school system.

I think the crux of the decision with Iraq is/was that the Middle east has more potential to inflict damage on the world, either through oil control or support for terrorism (yes, part of which is actually in Africa and other countries). No one can predict the future, but I truly believe that without direct intervention, WWII would, or will start there. This time, there won't be much room to argue either way.

Thanks for the engaging and even tempered conversation. It is nice to be able to converse with neighbors around the globe and learn from each other.

Regards,
Dedric

On 7/8/05 3:29 AM, in article 42ce478d@linux, "Kim"
<hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> Dedric,
>
> Thanks for the response.
>
> Just briefly, I don't really want to get too far into this right now, but
> just quickly...
>
>> The US only imports about 4.9% of it's imported oil from Iraq.
>
> That may be true right now. That of course doesn't mean you could increase
> your Iraq quoter if you were able to access their oil cheaply.
>
>> So, the scenario I (and others) see is that the US is looking
>> at an opportunity for much of the world to break the OPEC hold on prices.
>
> And well that may happen.
>
>> I haven't seen anything in any administration that leads me to believe the
>> US is seeking Iraq's oil
>
> So what are your thoughts specifically on the fact that there are so many
> brutal leaders around the world, and yet the U.S. only chose to "fix" the
> problem where the oil was? Co-incidence? See, that's a big thing for me.
> I just can't for the life of me work out another reason why they chose to
> free Iraq, and not, well Saudi Arabia would be one... bad example maybe
> because they too have oil, but they're long time U.S. allies and totally
> undemocratic. There's no sign of the U.S. saying "We don't like you Saudi's
> because you're not a democracy".
>

> There may be nothing specific you can put your finger on, but I think it's
> important to pay attention to the underlying things.
>
>> Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who don'

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 05:05:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t live here.

>
> I think this is a very interesting statement. The reason is because it is
> an exact mirror of what many outside the U.S. would say to yourself... ie.
> Be careful what you hear/read in the news from people who live in the U.S.
>
> One thing is extraordinarily clear, and that is that reports in the U.S.
> suggest a different scenario to reports outside. It is my opinion that it
> is the U.S. sources that are biased, but that doesn't make me correct. As
> I say that please note that most of Australia's media sources are actually
> aligned with the U.S. media sources, but those are the commercial media.
>
> I can't speak for yourself Dedric as I don't know your viewing/media habits,
> but I understand that something like 98% of the reports watched by U.S.
> citizens
> is produced in the U.S. Here in Australia we can watch CNN. We get the NBC
> Today show. It's fairly easy to access news from other places, and I try
> to do so. That does give me a little confidence in my opinion of who is
> biased.
> My viewing, of course, is also mostly Australian, but more on an 75/25 ratio
> than a 98/2 ratio, which gives me some first hand experience in knowing how
> the same event can be reported differently in different countries, and what
> the differences between reports tend to be. I spent about 2 years watching
> CNN for many hours a week. It was then that I learned that CNN is garbage,
> and that our leading news service is too. I went searching for which news
> services actually reported things in a way which made sense in the context
> of actually reporting what happened without a spin on it. I believe I now
> have some idea of which sources do and don't fit that.
>
> Of course it is likely that you think you have too, and I can understand
> how that may be the case.
>
> It is my opinion however that there is plenty to be worried about with how
> the U.S. media reports things.
>
> You may have done this already, but to be blunt, until you've done the
> equivalent
> to what I have done, and spent several hours a week for a couple of years

> absorbing news from some other source *outside* your country with an open
> mind, you can't really make a decent judgement. It is obvious that, if you
> only taste your own media (whatever that media) and then taste a different
> one, most people find the different taste displeasing, and that's a worldwide
> phenomenon. The same thing, actually, happens with beer.
>
> Don't ask somebody who only drinks one beer which beer is best. He will,
> almost without fail, name one of the top three selling beers in his particular
> neighbourhood of the planet earth, and tell you that it is the best beer
> on the planet without reservation.
>
> If you want to find the best beer, ask someone who drinks a lot of different
> beers. They can then judge, without bias, whic

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 05:29:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

h beer really does compare
> well.
>
> If you want to find the best news service...
>
> I may be underestimating your media experience based on the figures I have
> heard. My experince is that many on this group are better educated in such
> things than, perhaps, the average from their culture.
>
> It seems a little unrealistic though for you to ask me to be careful of media
> sources outside the U.S. I'm a little surprised you didn't, or at least
> appeared
> not to, realise that.
>

> I guess my reply to that is simply that I see plenty of U.S. news direct,
> and plenty of news from other sources internationally, and have an opinion
> that sits somewhere in between. I think that's the best way to be.
>
> What concerns me is that I get the feeling that you don't do the same (though
> I could be wrong), and without a large sample of international sources I'm
> unsure how you can be certain that your sources are always correct.
>
> Why do I always start off planning to be breif, and then write ten pages...
> ;o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.> waddaya tryin' to do here...kill the mood...geeze

I think you could probably put an RME madi card and a multihead video card in one of these and make a pretty decent DAW out of it.....and then use it to club a liberal over the head.

arrrrgggghhhhh!!!!!! sorry!!!!
;o)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1mjtc19685953ttamge67doc6gei01t575@4ax.com...
> waddaya tryin' to do here...kill the mood...geeze...o yeah, hi chuck.
>
> On 8 Jul 2005 22:59:26 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Have anny of you guys seen the hush media pc? It's a 1U high pc that
looks
> >like a really slick high end stereo component.
> >
> >I'm bringing it up because the case is made from a single block of milled
> >aluminum with cooling fins carved into the sides. Passive heat pipes
move
> >heat from the internal components and transfer it to the fins.
> >
> >There are no active cooling components whatsoever, and as a result it is
> >completely, absolutely utterly silent.
> >
> >Of course you can't run paris on it, but I would bet that it would make a
> >decent sample player/vst host if combined with a decent fire-wire
interface.
> > The CPU specs are on the low side, but I run cubase and halion on a
laptop
> >with lower specs so I bet it would be cool for lots of stuff.
> >
> >Chuck
> >Its f'n SICK.... sick I tell you... sick!!!!Hi all,

The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the IF-442 it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v p-p flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.

Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try again with the MEC, this time.

I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the other digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.

If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is using

such device with success? What model would you suggest?

Maaany thanksDedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:

>No one understands a
>country they don't live in like a native

This is kind of true, but really, it comes back to the beer point I made earlier. Nobody understands their local beer like the locals, but honestly, if you want to know beer you have to taste 1,000 of them. Otherwise you don't have any perspective upon which to base your opinion. Without outside influence and experience you'll tend to just assume the way you're living is right and normal. If you grow up where abuse is the norm, you will likely be that way too, and not understand how things could be different. Until you have experienced many cultures you don't truly have any perspective upon which to look at your own culture. Even though you know it, you know nothing else, hence you have no perspective upon it. This worries me a little about the states, that the U.S. generally stays fairly self contained and isolated...

I think not as much on this group as generally, but that is the pattern. If you look up figures on things like where people holiday, and as I said before, what media people watch, you'll find that the U.S. tends to keep to itself. I think generally people on this group are fairly broadminded compared to their average countryman, possibly in part because discussions like this have been going for quite a while here. I would certainly admit I've learned a lot about the perspectives of many in the process, and I'm sure I'm not the only one.

>Case in point: for us here in the US, Australia is where Crocodile Dundee
>came from, and where the deadliest snakes, insects and ocean live dwell
-
>making for a very scary place, especially if you go on a walk-about alone
in
>search of Kiwi and Koalas. ;-) (a little lightening of the mood).

I can assure you that the biggest threat to me right now is that my housemate might get drunk and smash a bottle. ;o)

>As far as other brutal leaderships - perhaps you are referring to

I'm not referring to anyone really... just that there's a long list, and indeed some of the brutal leaderships are listed as allies by, well, lots of people, as always. Turkey for one example, but I'm not really trying to make specific examples, just to say that I don't buy the good guy thing. If I knew someone who constantly tripped up old ladies, and then one day saw that person helping an old lady cross the street, well I wouldn't buy the theory that this person was doing it because he was a good guy. I'd be asking questions like "Is this old lady rich?" and things like that. Now I'm not blaming the U.S. here for being any worse than anyone else in respect

to this. We live in a world where every country seems to trip up little old ladies when it suits, and help them across the road when it suits to do that. Point is when I see this pattern I don't buy any good guy stuff. Yes, it was the right thing to do. No, I don't believe it was done *because* it was the right thing to do, because that pattern just doesn't fit.

>Again, is the US the only one responsible?

No, and as above I'm not trying to blame the U.S. I'm merely pointing out that in a world where everyone with no exceptions trips up some old ladies and helps others cross the road, I just don't buy it when someone asks me to give them a good guy hat because of one example where they did good.

>Thanks for the engaging and even tempered conversation. It is nice to be
>able to converse with neighbors around the globe and learn from each other.

I too am enjoying these discussions, and thank you also. Here's hoping that, with experience at these discussions, we can all come to a better understanding.

I tend to think if everyone around the world had a little corner like this full of international friends with whom to exchange views, the world would become a better place. It's a shame more islamic countries don't have internet and speak English. While I'm sure discussions would start of fairly chaotic, I think the world would have a better chance of reahing peace through such avenues than, for example, through Neil's bomb plan. ;o)

I think so anyhow.

Cheers,
Kim."Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>.....and then use
>it to club a liberal over the head.
>
>arrrrgggghhhhh!!!!!! sorry!!!!
>;o)

OY! I resemble that remark! ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>"The beatings will continue until morale improves."

Hehe. Yyyyyyyeees. ;o)

Cheers,
Kim."Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>and as for me.....I'm sometimes weary enough of this world to

>feel like I wouldn't mind moving over to make room for someone else who's
>not.

OY! Not so fast amigo!

Who's gonna make me jealous with ridiculous setups, and make me laugh with
ridiculous pets, or less invited critters...

I mean what's the point in me hosting this box here if there's no DeeJ for
everyone to come and read about!!?!? ;o)

Don't let that coffee coloured dishwashing liquid get you down dude. ;o)

Cheers,

Kim.Kim, I can stand with you on everything you're saying here but I couldn't
write it myself, since I don't write english more than I do right here on
this site. I would have used a week or two to formulate me like you are
doing in a couple of minutes here. If it had been in Norwegian, maybe I
could follow you up:-)

Erling

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:42cf8458\$1@linux...

>

> Dedic Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:

> >No one understands a

> >country they don't live in like a native

>

> This is kind of true, but really, it comes back to the beer point I made
> earlier. Nobody understands their local beer like the locals, but
honestly,

> if you want to know beer you have to taste 1,000 of them. Otherwise you
don't

> have any perspective upon which to base your opinion. Without outside
influence

> and experience you'll tend to just assume the way you're living is right
> and normal. If you grow up where abuse is the norm, you will likely be
that

> way too, and not understand how things could be different. Until you have
> experienced many cultures you don't truly have any perspective upon which
> to look at your own culture. Even though you know it, you know nothing
else,

> hence you have no perspective upon it. This worries me a little about the
> states, that the U.S. generally stays fairly self contained and
isolated...

> I think not as much on this group as generally, but that is t

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 08:01:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

old ladies
> and helps others cross the road, I just don't buy it when someone asks me
> to give them a good guy hat because of one example where they did good.
>
> >Thanks for the engaging and even tempered conversation. It is nice to be
> >able to converse with neighbors around the globe and learn from each
other.
>
> I too am enjoying these discussions, and thank you also. Here's hoping
that,
> with experience at these discussions, we can all come to a better
understanding.
>
> I tend to think if everyone around the world had a little corner like this
> full of international friends with whom to exchange views, the world would
> become a better place. It's a shame more islamic countries don't have
internet
> and speak English. While I'm sure discussions would start of fairly
chaotic,
> I think the world would have a better chance of reahing peace through such
> avenues than, for example, through Neil's bomb plan. ;o)
>
> I think so anyhow.
>
> Cheers,
> Kim."andrea perini" <timeforeaction@interfree.it> wrote:
>Hi all,
>
>The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the IF-442
>it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v p-p
>flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.
> Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try again
>with the MEC, this time.
>I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm
>wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the other
>digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.
>If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is using
>such device with success? What model would you suggest?
>
>Maaany thanks
>
>
Andrea,
JL Cooper makes a little smpte to mtc converter box that works
very well for this.

The DA88 with sync card is the "master clock" sending SMPTE out to the Cooper box and MTC is sent to your PC's MIDI interface *IN* as PARIS is set to receive MTC (13.) and transport set to "lock".

Note that enabling MTC for your Computers MIDI interface in the midi devices menu (13.) and enabling "lock" on PARIS transport (4.) are the only PARIS settings needed for PARIS to lock.

Hope that helps.

Regards,

Andy Pow can you be less specific? url, description, hint?

justcron wrote:

> Its f'n SICK.... sick I tell you... sick!!!!

>

><http://earth.google.com/>

Don

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:42cfc964@linux...

> can you be less specific? url, description, hint?

>

> justcron wrote:

>> Its f'n SICK.... sick I tell you... sick!!!! I use an old Opcode 2port SE. Hooks to the printer port. Works great.

rod

"andrea perini" <timeforeaction@interfree.it> wrote:

>Hi all,

>

>The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the IF-442

>it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v p-p

>flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.

> Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try again

>with the MEC, this time.

>I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm

>wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the other

>digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.

>If that's the truth, it takes a "SmpTE to MTC converter": anyone is using

>such device with success? What model would you suggest?

>

>Maaany thanks

>

>

>Dedric Terry wrote:

> Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly after

> his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
>
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 09:41:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

effective policy
decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a digression.

Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think
it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I
ha

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 09:47:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership, except
that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic
groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the cold
war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then
there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local
countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things
happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.

You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the
following administration. Mistakes can and are propogated across
multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current
administration took advantage of

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 11:25:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the no-fly policy to try to provoke a
war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it. If
not, so be it. Either way, the allegation should be looked at.

We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall
short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should
ignore mistakes from others in the world.

It doesn't make our policies better to pretend it's all about "hating" this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.

> Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those
> sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the US, so
> they can get away with it - they are politically correct by birthright - the
> US isn't.

If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about due to actions from people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults in others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away. Recognizing the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that information is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>
> On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>
>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>news:42cebc2@linux...
>>
>>>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>>
>>>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
>>>attack
>>>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
>>>went
>>>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>
>>BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER. (Nevermind
>>that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>>
>>*****
>>
>> General admits to secret air war
>>
>> Jun 26, 2005
>>
>>

>> Michael Smith
>> Times Online (UK)
>>
>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq
>>war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British officers
>>that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the middle
>>of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>>
>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>>Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied
>>aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391 "carefully
>>selected targets" before the war officially started.
>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied
>>victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to start
>>the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>>
>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly
>>zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W
>>Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted illegally.
>>
>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that
>>showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in
>>leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to put
>>pressure on the regime".
>>
>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
>>2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
>>no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic minorities.
>>
>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
>>meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
>>foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir
>>Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying
>>out the bombing.
>>
>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped in
>>southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a part
>>in the bombing as American aircraft.
>>
>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US at
>>the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.
>>
>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/articl>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 15:06:55 GMT

morality issue when it's an issue of
> survival... at that point there really is no right & wrong, you
> know? Is it wrong for the wolf to kill the deer in order to
> survive? No, the wolf can't farm crops, or go to the store to
> buy canned meat... killing the deer in order to survive isn't
> right or wrong, it just "is". The forebears of modern man & the
> Neanderthals lived in some of the same regions simultaneously
> for a LONG time... was it wrong for Cro-Magnon man to
> outcompete the Neanderthals so that the Neanderthals became
> extinct? No, it just was about survival. When one group
> threatens another to the point where one of those groups' way
> of life is on the verge of ending, then it's all about who has
> the resolve to win, or the means to win & the WILL to employ
> those means. Neanderthals. Rome. American Indians. Tsarist
> Russia, and then Soviet Russia... Just some examples of
> societies & ways of life that have come & gone through the
> ages... you wanna be included in that group?
>
> I'm serious, guys - those of you who think this is lightweight,
> pissy bullshit that's all about oil, or even something that's
> strictly political or about foreign policy are not seeing the
> big picture here. Winds of change DO indeed blow, and this is a
> big one... how long did the last Jihad last (you know, the one
> that started in 1095)?
>
> Neil***BUMP***

Wanted to make sure everyone got to see this link - what with
the rapidity of the way things are moving on "the main
thread" :)

"Neil" <OIUIOU@OUIIO.com> wrote:

>
>
>And it's FREE, too! (try the preset called "Ice Plate"):
>
><http://www.dasample.com/stats/download.php?id=21>
>
>
>Neill'm a bit confused as to what you are trying too do. The
DA-88 outputs 8 channels of T-DIF which is very similar to
AES. The IF442 only has a single 2 channel SPDIF input.
The two are not really compatible as far as a direct digital
transfer is concerned. Then if you want to "lock" the Paris
transport to the DA-88 to do multiple passes, you will need
a sync card (SY88) in the DA-88 to send MTC to the MIDI

interface on the Paris computer (you will need a MIDI interface on your Paris Computer for this). Then you can simply lock the Paris transport to the DA-88 as Andy described in the other post.

Give us a little more detail on what it is you are trying to do exactly.

David.

andrea perini wrote:

> Hi all,

>

> The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the IF-442
> it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v p-p
> flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.

> Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try again
> with the MEC, this time.

> I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm
> wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the other
> digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.

> If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is using
> such device with success? What model would you suggest?

>

> Maaany thanks

>

>

>I know that there is a TDIF to ADAT lightpipe convertor that Tascam makes.
You could use that with the ADAT card to digitally transfer tracks. I don't
think it will do sync, though. So, you'd still need the MTC card.

Good luck!

Mike

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_nospam_@shaw.ca> wrote:

>I'm a bit confused as to what you are trying too do. The
>DA-88 outputs 8 channels of T-DIF which is very similar to
>AES. The IF442 only has a single 2 channel SPDIF input.
>The two are not really compatible as far as a direct digital
>transfer is concerned. Then if you want to "lock" the Paris
>transport to the DA-88 to do multiple passes, you will need
>a sync card (SY88) in the DA-88 to send MTC to the MIDI
>interface on the Paris computer (you will need a MIDI
>interface on your Paris Computer for this). Then you can
>simply lock the Paris transport to the DA-88 as Andy
>described in the other post.

>
>Give us a little more detail on what it is you are trying to
>do exactly.
>
>David.
>
>andrea perini wrote:
>> Hi all,
>>
>> The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the
IF-442
>> it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v
p-p
>> flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.
>> Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try
again
>> with the MEC, this time.
>> I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm
>> wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the other
>> digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.
>> If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is using
>> such device with success? What model would you suggest?
>>
>> Maaany thanks
>>
>>
>>Not to worry. I've got a family here that keeps me quite happy to stick
around.....though I doubt I'll ever be half the man that my dogs think
I am.

;o)

"Kim" <hiddenounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cf9d1d\$1@linux...

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >and as for me.....I'm sometimes weary enough of this world to
> >feel like I wouldn't mind moving over to make room for someone else who's
> >not.
>
> OY! Not so fast amigo!
>
> Who's gonna make me jealous with ridiculous setups, and make me laugh with
> ridiculous pets, or less invited critters...
>
> I mean what's the point in me hosting this box here if there's no Deej for
> everyone to come and read about!!?!? ;o)

>
> Don't let that coffee coloured dishwashing liquid get you down dude. ;o)
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.No

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 15:25:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t nearly as sick as this.

<http://www.karmafarms.com/twisty.htm>

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42cf6aa1\$1@linux...

> Its f'n SICK.... sick I tell you... sick!!!!

>
>The Tascam would need a 9 pin ADAT sync input port and would have to be able
to slave to ADAT sync from the Paris system for this to work.

"Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote in message
news:42cff1bc\$1@linux...

>
> I know that there is a TDIF to ADAT lightpipe convertor that Tascam makes.
> You could use that with the ADAT card to digitally transfer tracks. I
don't
> think it will do sync, though. So, you'd still need the MTC card.

>
> Good luck!
> Mike

>
>
>
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_nospam_@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >I'm a bit confused as to what you are trying too do. The
> >DA-88 outputs 8 channels of T-DIF which is very similar to
> >AES. The IF442 only has a single 2 channel SPDIF input.
> >The two are not really compatible as far as a direct digital
> >transfer is concerned. Then if you want to "lock" the Paris
> >transport to the DA-88 to do multiple passes, you will need
> >a sync card (SY88) in the DA-88 to send MTC to the MIDI
> >interface on the Paris computer (you will need a MIDI
> >interface on your Paris Computer for this). Then you can
> >simply lock the Paris transport to the DA-88 as Andy
> >described in the other post.
> >

> > Give us a little more detail on what it is you are trying to
> > do exactly.
> >
> > David.
> >
> > andrea perini wrote:
> >> Hi all,
> >>
> >> The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the
> > IF-442
> >> it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v
> > p-p
> >> flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.
> >> Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try
> > again
> >> with the MEC, this time.
> >> I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm
> >> wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the
> > other
> >> digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.
> >> If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is
> > using
> >> such device with success? What model would you suggest?
> >>
> >> Maaany thanks
> >>
> >>
> >>
> "Neil" <IOUOI@OI.com> wrote:
>
> ***BUMP***
>
> > Wanted to make sure everyone got to see this link - what with
> > the rapidity of the way things are moving on "the main
> > thread" :)
>
>
> "Neil" <OIUIOU@OUIIO.com> wrote:
>>

Neil,

useful as a special effects reverb. A little metallic for my tastes, but
a nice addition as a free effect. If you want to check out a good sounding
algorithmic reverb listen to:

<http://tinyurl.com/8s7v2>

is my favorite algorithmic-based VST reverb plugin followed by the Eventide.

The R66 adds modulation, (missing from convolution units) at a good cost/quality ratio.

GeneAslo good:

[http://www.artsacoustic.com/Does PARIS support MIDI clock? Is MTC a better sync source?](http://www.artsacoustic.com/Does_PARIS_support_MIDI_clock?)

respect

NappyMidi clock is basically a midi note sent eve

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 15:48:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the other
>> digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.

>> If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is
using

>> such device with success? What model would you suggest?

>>

>> Maaany thanks

>>

>>

>>"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>"Neil" <IOUOI@OI.com> wrote:

>>

>> ***BUMP***

>>

>>Wanted to make sure everyone got to see this link - what with

>>the rapidity of the way things are moving on "the main

>>thread" :)

>>

>>

>>"Neil" <OIUIOU@OUIIO.com> wrote:

>>>

>

>

>Neil,

>useful as a special effects reverb. A little metal

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 20:36:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>times.

>>

>>My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this senselessness
>> - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.

>>

>>All the best,

>>Mike Audet

>>

>>

>>

>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>Kim,

>>>

>>>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however, agree
>>>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all (my
>>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war feel
>>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>>>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in
>>the

>>>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet.

>>I

>>>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so
>they

>>>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
>>>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
>>war

>>>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>>>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in doing
>>>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability
>>in

>>>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
>>>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one
>>of

>>>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>>>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
>>>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was
>>>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have
>half

>>>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
>>>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>>> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.

>>>

>>>Regards,

>>>

>>>Deej
>>>
>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>>saw
>>>> any
>>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>>
>>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>>went
>>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>>>garbage).
>>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>>them
>>>> more oil power.
>>>>
>>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
>>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>>not
>>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>>>obviouly
>>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>>if
>>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
>>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>>would
>>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>>as
>>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>>waited
>>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
>>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>>*didn't
>>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>>charge,
>>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>>restructured.
>>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 20:43:52 GMT

felt that it was only a matter of time before
>>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>>>for
>>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>>countries
>>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
>>was
>>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>>>way
>>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
>>war
>>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>>made
>>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>>>ended
>>>> up behind it or not.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>>
>>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>
>>>
>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>Kim,
>
>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however, agree
>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all (my
>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war feel
>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria or in
>the
>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over yet.
>
>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over so they
>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while bellowing
>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore, the
>war
>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest partisan
>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and in doing
>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to stability

in

>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't know,
>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down one
of

>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have done
>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn about
>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power was
>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would have half
>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and infrastructure
>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as we
>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.

>

>Regards,

>

>Deej

In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were satellite photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft moving the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior to this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq. This was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of course the story was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this on the web.

It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple Saddam and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason we went in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the UN weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in the white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.

Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives, isn't it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find some WMD, although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so shells and rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not account for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they had plenty of time and they had help by other countries.

>

>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
saw

>> any

>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions

>>

>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they went
>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly >garbage).
>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give them
>> more oil power.
>>
>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and not
>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>obviouly
>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care if
>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>would
>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that as
>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>waited
>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>*didn't
>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>charge,
>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>restructured.
>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>for
>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>countries
>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it was
>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>way
>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on war
>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>made
>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>ended
>> up behind it or not.

>>
>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>
>> This London thing is screwed.
>>
>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>
>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Kim.
>
>> who for a moment will be ecstatic over their victory, til they realize
> there is no one left to share it with.

But at least they would have the satisfaction of knowing that they are riteously and justifiably soon-to-be-extinct.

;o)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b6d0d1529i37648uh92mp51j1ddd64j517@4ax.com...
> it all stems from the hubris of nations that think they can create the
> ideal world. which could happen if you kill all but the last person
> who for a moment will be ecstatic over their victory, til they realize
> there is no one left to share it with.
>
> On 9 Jul 2005 18:01:28 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Dedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>No one understands a
>>>country they don't live in like a native
>>
>>This is kind of true, but really, it comes back to the beer point I made
>>earlier. Nobody understands their local beer like the locals, but
honestly,
>>if you want to know beer you have to taste 1,000 of them. Otherwise you
don't
>>have any perspective upon which to base your opinion. Without outside
influence
>>and experience you'll tend to just assume the way you're living is right
>>and normal. If you grow up where abuse is the norm, you will likely be
that
>>way too, and not understand how things could be different. Until you have
>>experienced many cultures you don't truly have any perspective upon which
>>to look at your own culture. Even though you know it, you know nothing

else,
> >hence you have no perspective upon it. This worries me a little about the
> >states, that the U.S. generally stays fairly self contained and
isolated...
> > I think not as much on this group as generally, but that is the
pattern.
> >If you look up figures on things like where people holiday, and as I said
> >before, what media people watch, you'll find that the U.S. tends to keep
> >to itself. I think generally people on this group are fairly broadminded
> >compared to their average countryman, possibly in part because
discussions
> >like this have been going for quite a while here. I would certainly admit
> >I've learned a lot about the perspectives of many in the process, and I'm
> >sure I'm not the only one.
> >
> >>Case in point: for us here in the US, Australia is where Crocodile
Dundee
> >>came from, and where the deadliest snakes, insects and ocean life dwell
> >-
> >>making for a very scary place, especially if you go on a walk-about
alone
> >in
> >>search of Kiwi and Koalas. ;-) (a little lightening of the mood).
> >
> >I can assure you that the b

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [JD](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 20:45:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

iggest threat to me right now is that my
housemate
> >might get drunk and smash a bottle. ;o)
> >
> >
> >>As far as other brutal leaderships - perhaps you are referring to
> >
> >I'm not referring to anyone really... just that there's a long list, and
> >indeed some of the brutal leaderships are listed as allies by, well, lots
> >of people, as always. Turkey for one example, but I'm not really trying
to
> >make specific examples, just to say that I don't buy the good guy thing.
> >If I knew someone who constantly tripped up old ladies, and then one day
> >saw that person helping an old lady cross the street, well I wouldn't buy
> >the theory that this person was doing it because he was a good guy. I'd
be
> >asking questions like "Is this old lady rich?" and things like that. Now

> >I'm not blaming the U.S. here for being any worse than anyone else in respect
> >to this. We live in a world where every country seems to trip up little old
> >ladies when it suits, and help them across the road when it suits to do that.
> >Point is when I see this pattern I don't buy any good guy stuff. Yes, it
> >was the right thing to do. No, I don't beleive it was done *because* it was
> >the right thing to do, because that pattern just doesn't fit.
> >
> >>Again, is the US the only one responsible?
> >
> >No, and as above I'm not trying to blame the U.S. I'm merely pointing out
> >that in a world where everyone with no exceptions trips up some old ladies
> >and helps others cross the road, I just don't buy it when someone asks me
> >to give them a good guy hat because of one example where they did good.
> >
> >>Thanks for the engaging and even tempered conversation. It is nice to be
> >>able to converse with neighbors around the globe and learn from each other.
> >
> >I too am enjoying these discussions, and thank you also. Here's hoping that,
> >with experience at these discussions, we can all come to a better understanding.
> >
> >I tend to think if everyone around the world had a little corner like this
> >full of international friends with whom to exchange views, the world would
> >become a better place. It's a shame more islamic countries don't have internet
> >and speak English. While I'm sure discussions would start of fairly chaotic,
> >I think the world would have a better chance of reahing peace through such
> >avenues than, for example, through Neil's bomb plan. ;o)
> >
> >I think so anyhow.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Kim.
>bastard!!!!there is that...good point. ;o)

On Sat, 9 Jul 2005 15:07:26 -0600, "Mr Simplicity"

<animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>> who for a moment will be ecstatic over their victory, til they realize
>> there is no one left to share it with.

>

>But at least they would have the satisfaction of knowing that they are
>riteously and justifiably soon-to-be-extinct.

>

>;o)

>

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:b6d0d1529i37648uh92mp51j1ddd64j517@4ax.com...

>> it all stems from the hubris of nations that think they can create the
>> ideal world. which could happen if you kill all but the last person
>> who for a moment will be ecstatic over their victory, til they realize
>> there is no one left to share it with.

>>

>> On 9 Jul 2005 18:01:28 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> >

>> >Dedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:

>> >>No one understands a

>> >>country they don't live in like a native

>> >

>> >This is kind of true, but really, it comes back to the beer point I made
>> >earlier. Nobody understands their local beer like the locals, but
>honestly,

>> >if you want to know beer you have to taste 1,000 of them. Otherwise you
>don't

>> >have any perspective upon which to base your opinion. Without outside
>influence

>> >and experience you'll tend to just assume the way you're living is right
>> >and normal. If you grow up where abuse is the norm, you will likely be
>that

>> >way too, and not understand how things could be different. Until you have
>> >experienced many cultures you don't truly have any perspective upon which
>> >to look at your own culture. Even though you know it, you know nothing
>else,

>> >hence you have no perspective upon it. This worries me a little about the
>> >states, that the U.S. generally stays fairly self contained and
>isolated...

>> > I think not as much on this group as generally, but that is the
>pattern.

>> >If you look up figures on things like where people holiday, and as I said
>> >before, what media people watch, you'll find that the U.S. tends to keep
>> >to itself. I think generally people on this group are fairly broadminded
>> >compared to their average countryman, possibly in part because

>discussions
>> >like this have been going for quite a while here. I would certainly admit
>> >I've learned a lot about the perspectives of many in the process, and I'm
>> >sure I'm not the only one.
>> >
>> >>Case in point: for us here in the US, Australia is where Crocodile
>Dundee
>> >>came from, and where the deadliest snakes, insects and ocean live dwell
>> >-
>> >>making for a very scary place, especially if you go on a walk-about
>alone
>> >in
>> >>search of Kiwi and Koalas. ;-) (a little lightening of the mood).
>> >
>> >I can assure you that t

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 21:07:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

he biggest threat to me right now is that my
>housemate
>> >might get drunk and smash a bottle. ;o)
>> >
>> >
>> >>As far as other brutal leaderships - perhaps you are referring to
>> >
>> >I'm not referring to anyone really... just that there's a long list, and
>> >indeed some of the brutal leaderships are listed as allies by, well, lots
>> >of people, as always. Turkey for one example, but I'm not really trying
>to
>> >make specific examples, just to say that I don't buy the good guy thing.
>> >If I knew someone who constantly tripped up old ladies, and then one day
>> >saw that person helping an old lady cross the street, well I wouldn't buy
>> >the theory that this person was doing it because he was a good guy. I'd
>be
>> >asking questions like "Is this old lady rich?" and things like that. Now
>> >I'm not blaming the U.S. here for being any worse than anyone else in
>respect
>> >to this. We live in a world where every country seems to trip up little
>old
>> >ladies when it suits, and help them across the road when it suits to do
>that.
>> >Point is when I see this pattern I don't buy any good guy stuff. Yes, it
>> >was the right thing to do. No, I don't believe it was done *because* it
>was
>> >the right thing to do, because that pattern just doesn't fit.

>> >
>> >>Again, is the US the only one responsible?
>> >
>> >No, and as above I'm not trying to blame the U.S. I'm merely pointing out
>> >that in a world where everyone with no exceptions trips up some old
>ladies
>> >and helps others cross the road, I just don't buy it when someone asks me
>> >to give them a good guy hat because of one example where they did good.
>> >
>> >>Thanks for the engaging and even tempered conversation. It is nice to
>be
>> >>able to converse with neighbors around the globe and learn from each
>other.
>> >
>> >I too am enjoying these discussions, and thank you also. Here's hoping
>that,
>> >with experience at these discussions, we can all come to a better
>understanding.
>> >
>> >I tend to think if everyone around the world had a little corner like
>this
>> >full of international friends with whom to exchange views, the world
>would
>> >become a better place. It's a shame more islamic countries don't have
>internet
>> >and speak English. While I'm sure discussions would start of fairly
>chaotic,
>> >I think the world would have a better chance of reahing peace through
>such
>> >avenues than, for example, through Neil's bomb plan. ;o)
>> >
>> >I think so anyhow.
>> >
>> >Cheers,
>> >Kim.
>>
>Do you have a source for this theory?
Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
fell on 9/11.
-Wes

>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were satellite
>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft moving
>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior
>to
>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq. This
>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the story

>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back
>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this on
>the web.
>
>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple Saddam
>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason we
went
>in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the UN
>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in the
>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.
>
>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives, isn't
>it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find some
WMD,
>although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so shells
and
>rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not account
>for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they had plenty
>of time and they had help by other countries.
>
>
>>
>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>saw
>>> any
>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>
>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>went
>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>>garbage).
>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>them
>>> more oil power.
>>>
>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>not
>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>>obviouly

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 21:19:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>if
>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>would
>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>as
>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>waited
>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that eventually
>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>*didn't
>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>charge,
>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>restructured.
>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>>for
>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>countries
>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
>was
>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>>way
>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
>war
>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>made
>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>>ended
>>> up behind it or not.
>>>
>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>
>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>
>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>
>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Kim.
>>

>>

>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>bastard!!!

Why, thank you... that's the nicest thing anyone's called me all day!

:)Hey guys,

Jumped back in to see how you all are doing, and it's the same dang conversation we had last year...

heh heh

Here's what we know.

Rewarding terror brings more terror. (thanks Spain!)

Ghandi was wrong. Sometimes war brings peace.

And the beatings will continue until the terrorism stops...

It's easy to be an intellectual when someone else is doing the dying..

(Don's corollary:

It's easy to be an equipment snob with someone else's money...)

DC

BTW, what did the G8 just give the Palestinians? 50 billion??

Who says bombing innocent civilians doesn't pay?"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>Jumped back in to see how you all are doing, and it's the same dang

>conversation we had last year...

Had wondered where you'd been. :o)

Honestly, it's been pretty quiet politically on the group until these attacks.

Cheers,

Kim.Hi andrea

I have transferred DAT to Paris digitally many times, and whilst I do have a SMPTE -MIDI convertor, (Pro Tools SMPTE Slave Driver), it is not needed for

that process.

The digital clock of the dat is enough.

--

Martin Harrington

www.lendaneer-sound.com

"andrea perini" <timeforeaction@interfree.it> wrote in message
news:42d0297e@linux...

> First of all, I wanna thank you all: I've been away this NG for much time

> but I'm happy to find back this warm, friendly, lively environment...

>

> Rod, I'm veery sorry, the DAT was actually a Tascam DA 30 !! Anyway, my

> goal is to check if the 442 modified by an authorized (former) Ensoniq

> laboratory works or not, 'cause, as I wrote, the first attempt without a

> Smpte to MTC converter turned solely to crashes and noises...

> I've called right now a couple of pros here in my region : one said there

> would be no need of any converter because the sample rate signal passing

> through the spdif would be enough to record digital data; the other said

> that is very likely that the manual is right, because he said without the

> converter the square waves of the master and the slave wouldn't likely be

> in

> phase, turning to conflicts...

>

> I think #2 is right, and I'll look for the JL Cooper suggested by the

> friend

> Andy Pow

>

> Thank You

>

>

>

> Dave(EK Sound) <audioguy_nospam_@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> 42cff025@linux...

>> I'm a bit confused as to what you are trying too do. The

>> DA-88 outputs 8 channels of T-DIF which is very similar to

>> AES. The IF442 only has a single 2 channel SPDIF input.

>> The two are not really compatible as far as a direct digital

>> transfer is concerned. Then if you want to "lock" the Paris

>> transport to the DA-88 to do multiple passes, you will need

>> a sync card (SY88) in the DA-88 to send MTC to the MIDI

>> interface on the Paris computer (you will need a MIDI

>> interface on your Paris Computer for this). Then you can

>> simply lock the Paris transport to the DA-88 as Andy

>> described in the other post.

>>

>> Give us a little more detail on what it is you are trying to

>> do exactly.

>>

>> David.
>>
>> andrea perini wrote:
>> > Hi all,
>> >
>> > The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the
> IF-442
>> > it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5 v
> p-p
>> > flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.
>> > Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try
> again
>> > with the MEC, this time.
>> > I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if I'm
>>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Wes](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 21:21:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; > wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the
>> > other
>> > digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.
>> > If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is
> using
>> > such device with success? What model would you suggest?
>> >
>> > Maaany thanks
>> >
>> >
>> >
>
>Been working my butt off doing installs and writing and recording.

It's hard to avoid politics when something like this happens innit?

DC

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:
>>Jumped back in to see how you all are doing, and it's the same dang
>>conversation we had last year...
>
>Had wondered where you'd been. :o)
>

>Honestly, it's been pretty quiet politically on the group until these attacks.

>

>Cheers,

>Kim."DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>It's hard to avoid politics when something like this happens innit?

For sure, but 85% of the thread has stayed nice and civil, and most people actually seem to be genuinely listening to each other which is good. :o)

So you still using Paris?

Cheers,

Kim.You bet I am. But I am really starting to hear the D-A convertors.

I want one of these:

<http://www.benchmarkmedia.com/digital/dac1/index.html>

DC

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>So you still using Paris?

>

>Cheers,

>Kim."DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>I want one of these:

>

><http://www.benchmarkmedia.com/digital/dac1/index.html>

AHA!! Well, yes, don't we all. ;o) A D/A is high on my list too. I've got some cash coming in soon which is going to something musical, and I've been tossing up between a DAC-1 and a Digitech GNX-4 guitar effects unit and looper/recorder for live use. At the moment the scales are balanced toward the GNX-4, partly because Sakis told me that D/A's have some new technology on the horizon which will mean a drop in price and give more channels per dollar...

...but there's still a little voice in the back of my head going "Buy a DAC-1! Buy a DAC-1!". ;o) ...oh, no, sorry, just realised, it's not in my head at all, it's just DeeJ. ;o)

Cheers,

Kim.Hi all.

I have and old Paris sesion, but actually don't have the hardware. It's possible to transfer those session to somother software?

Thanks

Ricardo You must have one or you will die.

Hi Don.....welcome back

;o)

Deej

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d06ee3\$1@linux...

>
> You bet I am. But I am really starting to hear the D-A convertors.

>
> I want one of these:

>
> <http://www.benchmarkmedia.com/digital/dac1/index.html>

>
>
> DC

>
>
>
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> > So you still using Paris?

> >
> > Cheers,
> > Kim.

> There is a software converter call PafWav. It should convert your Paris audio files to .wav files. thing is, if your .paf's aren't linear from 00:00:00 to the end, you will have to reposition the audio files on the timeline manually.

Deej

"Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote in message news:42d09090\$1@linux...

>
> Hi all.
> I have and old Paris sesion, but actually don't have the hardware. It's possible
> to transfer those session to somother software?

>
> Thanks

>
> Ricardo "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> You must have one or you will die.

See? Hear that?!? Told you it wasn't in my head! ;o)

Cheers,
Kim.He, he, Don, you couldn't hold up;-) Good to see you back.

erlilo

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 09 Jul 2005 23:26:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

conversation we had last year...

>
> heh heh
>
>
> Here's what we know.
>
> Rewarding terror brings more terror. (thanks Spain!)
>
> Ghandi was wrong. Sometimes war brings peace.
>
> And the beatings will continue until the terrorism stops...
>
>
> It's easy to be an intellectual when someone else is doing the dying..
>
>
> (Don's corollary:
>
> It's easy to be an equipment snob with someone else's money...)
>
>
> DC
>
> BTW, what did the G8 just give the Palestinians? 50 billion??
>
> Who says bombing innocent civilia

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:26:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ns doesn't pay?

>

>

>It seems that we all have been brainwashed by DeeJ here! ;o)

Cheers

erlilo

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:42d0ae59\$1@linux...

>

> &

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:36:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uldering of that responsibility, and sometimes not enough, or not enough
of the right things, or the right way.

I don't know if we've made all the right decisions - war isn't a decision
that makes sense at the time in light of the loss and devastation it brings
- it only disrupts one course to put another in motion. The best we can do
before hand is speculate whether the current course is destined for tragedy
or not. After the fact, only time will tell what the new course will bring,
but we never know if left as-is what would have happened. So, we have no
definitive answer - esp. when put on a human scale. We didn't have a chart
that told us what number of Jews had to die before Hitler needed to be
sto

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:39:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pped. We didn't have a chart for Iraq either, and made the assumption
there were direct threat dangers there - the true answer will never be known
(WMD could be in Syria, or not at all; Saddam was working on building nukes,
but whether that was destined to become a dire threat if left unchecked,
with or without sanctions, we'll never know). What cost has to be paid
before there is justification for

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:42:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

force? No one really has the answer to that - it's an impossible question to answer from a personal perspective, and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come by, and quite unpopular when it is there.

Well, that's about enough philosophizing for me.

Hey - sorry I haven't made it out your way yet - I'm in Co. Springs now. If you have a free afternoon after the first of August, drop me a line. Ever hike any 14'ers? I'm thinking of doing

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 00:47:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

one before the summer ends.

Regards,
Dedric

On 7/9/05 9:06 AM, in article 42cfd9a1@linux, "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> Dedric Terry wrote:

>

>> Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly after
>> his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.

>>

>> Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions to
>> say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
>> conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.

>

> Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I
> think people here are interested in smart and effective policy
> decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a dig

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 04:28:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

haven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership, except
> that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic
> groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the cold

> war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then
> there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local
> countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things
> happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.
>
> You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the
> following administration. Mistakes can and are propagated across
> multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current
> administration took advantage of the no-fly policy to try to provoke a
> war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it. If
> not, so be it. Either way, the alleg

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 05:12:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ay with it - they are politically correct by birthright - the
>> US isn't.
>
> If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country
> perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about due
> to actions fr

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 06:08:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

om people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults in
> others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away. Recognizing
> the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that information
> is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie K
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
>>
>> On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:42cebcb2@linux...
>>>

>>>> Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>>>
>>>> Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
&

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 06:11:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>> attack
>>>> due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
>>>> went
>>>> in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>>
>>> BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER. (Nevermind
>>> that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>>>
>>> *****
>>>
>>> General admits to secret air war
>>>
>>> Jun 26, 2005
>>>
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 06:24:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sident George W
>>> Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted illegally.
>>>
>>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that
>>> showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 07:20:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>
>>> Michael Smith
>>> Times Online (UK)
>>>
>>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq

>>> war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British officers
>>> that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the middle
>>> of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>>>
>>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>>> Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied
>>> aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391 "carefully
>>> selected targets" before the war officially started.
>>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied
>>> victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to start
>>> the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>>>
>>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly
>>> zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave Pre

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 07:45:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

in
>>> leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to put
>>> pressure on the regime".
>>>
>>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
>>> 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
>>> no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic minorities.
>>>
>>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
>>> meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
>>> foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir
>>> Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying
>>> out the bombing.
>>>
>>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped in
>>> southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a part
>>> in the bombing as American aircraft.
>>>
>>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US at
>>> the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.
>>>
>>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Dedric,

Thought this bit below here was well written, and very true. There's much

arguing about many things, but the truth is very much that you can't know, at the time of the choice, what will happen as a consequence of either option, in advance. You have to guess, and can, of course, be wrong.

One thing is for sure, and that is that Saddam wasn't likely to suddenly become a nice guy and implement democracy and abolish torture etc himself, so you're weighing up two bad options.

Cheers,
Kim.

Dedric Terry <dedric@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I don't know if we've made all the right decisions - war isn't a decision
>that makes sense at the time in light of the loss and devastation it brings
>- it only disrupts one course to put another in motion. The best we can
do
>before hand is speculate whether the current course is destined for tragedy
>or not. After the fact, only time will tell what the new course will bring,
>but we never know if left as-is what would have happened. So, we have no
>definitive answer - esp. when put on a human scale. We didn't have a chart
>that told us what number of Jews had to die before Hitler needed to be
>stopped. We didn't have a chart for Iraq either, and made the assumption
>there were direct threat dangers there - the true answer will never be known
>(WMD could be in Syria, or not at all; Saddam was working on building nukes,
>but whether that was destined to become a dire threat if left unchecked,
>with or without sanctions, we'll never know). What cost has to be paid
>before there is justification for force? No one really has the answer to
>that - it's an impossible question to answer from a personal perspective,
>and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come by,
>and quite unpopular when it is there. Hi. That's what i'm doing.
I was looking for another solution but there's no one.

Thanks

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>There is a software converter call PafWav. It should convert your Paris
>audio files to .wav files. thing is, if your .paf's aren't linear from
>00:00:00 to the end, you will have to reposition the audio files on the
>timeline manually.

>
>Deej
>
>"Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote in message news:42d09090\$1@linux...
>>
>> Hi all.
>> I have and old Paris sesion, but actually don't have the hardware. It's
>possible

>> to transfer those session to somother software?

>>

>> Thanks

>>

>> Ricardo

>

>Thanks, Martin

yesterday I send a text "on the fly" to this guy tellin' him to stay home and wait for me to buy the JL C., but at this point we can give anyway a try...

Martin Harrington <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message 42d06c63\$1@linux...

> Hi andrea

> I have transferred DAT to Paris digitally many times, and whilst I do have a

> SMPTE -MIDI convertor, (Pro Tools SMPTE Slave Driver), it is not needed for

> that process.

> The digital clock of the dat is enough.

> --

> Martin Harrington

> www.lendaneer-sound.com

>

> "andrea perini" <timeforeaction@interfree.it> wrote in message

> news:42d0297e@linux...

>> First of all, I wanna thank you all: I've been away this NG for much time

>> but I'm happy to find back this warm, friendly, lively environment...

>>

>> Rod, I'm veery sorry, the DAT was actually a Tascam DA 30 !! Anyway, my

>> goal is to check if the 442 modified by an authorized (former) Ensoniq

>> laboratory works or not, 'cause, as I wrote, the first attempt without a

>> Smppte to MTC converter turned solely to crashes and noises...

>> I've called right now a couple of pros here in my region : one said there

>> would be no need of any converter because the sample rate signal passing

>> through the spdif would be enough to record digital data; the other said

>> that is very likely that the manual is right, because he said without

the

>> converter the square waves of the master and the slave wouldn't likely be

>> in

>> phase, turning to conflicts...

>>

>> I think #2 is right, and I'll look for the JL Cooper suggested by the

> > friend
> > Andy Pow
> >
> > Thank You
> >
> >
> >
> > Dave(EK Sound) <audioguy_nospam_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> > 42cff025@linux...
> >> I'm a bit confused as to what you are trying too do. The
> >> DA-88 outputs 8 channels of T-DIF which is very similar to
> >> AES. The IF442 only has a single 2 channel SPDIF input.
> >> The two are not really compatible as far as a direct digital
> >> transfer is concerned. Then if you want to "lock" the Paris
> >> transport to the DA-88 to do multiple passes, you will need
> >> a sync card (SY88) in the DA-88 to send MTC to the MIDI
> >> interface on the Paris computer (you will need a MIDI
> >> interface on your Paris Computer for this). Then you can
> >> simply lock the Paris transport to the DA-88 as Andy
> >> described in the other post.
> >>
> >> Give us a little more detail on what it is you are trying to
> >> do exactly.
> >>
> >> David.
> >>
> >> andrea perini wrote:
> >>> Hi all,
> >>>
> >>> The only time I tried to record digitally from a TASCAM DA88 into the
> >> IF-442
> >>> it's been a fiasco. I've even modified the 442 in order to get a 2.5
> >> v
> >> p-p
> >>> flow instead of the original 0.5 v p-p.
> >>> Today I'm waiting a friend with his Tascam here, and we're gonna try
> >> again
> >>> with the MEC, this time.
> >>> I've read the Paris' manual more carefully, and (please tell me if
> >> I'm
> >>> wrong) it seems that Paris can be properly ran in sync ONLY if the
> >>> other
> >>> digital device is able to send Midi Time Code.
> >>> If that's the truth, it takes a "Smpte to MTC converter": anyone is
> >> using
> >>> such device with success? What model would you suggest?
> >>>
> >>> Maaany thanks

> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> >
>

>Hey Mr.S

Where can one find said PafWav converter...did a quick search and garbage came up

Is this a batch converter?

Don

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42d0a549@linux...

> There is a software converter call PafWav. It should convert your Paris
> audio files to .wav files. thing is, if your .paf's aren't linear from
> 00:00:00 to the end, you will have to reposition the audio files on the
> timeline manually.

>
> Deej

>
> "Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote in message
> news:42d09090\$1 @linux...

>>
>> Hi all.
>> I have an old Paris session, but actually don't have the hardware. It's
> possible
>> to transfer those sessions to some other software?

>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> Ricardo

>
>If you're running XP:
<http://radio.hydrorecords.com/index.php?act=Attach&type=post&id=1580>

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d135fb@linux...

> Hey Mr.S
>
> Where can one find said PafWav converter...did a quick search and garbage
> came up
>
> Is this a batch converter?
>

> Don
>
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42d0a549@linux...
>> There is a software converter call PafWav. It should convert your Paris
>> audio files to.wav files. thing is, if your .paf's aren't linear from
>> 00:00:00 to the end, you will have to reposition the audio files on the

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 09:02:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>> timeline manually.
>>
>> Deej
>>
>> "Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote in message
>> news:42d09090\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hi all.
>>> I have and old Paris sesion, but actually don't have the hardware. It's
>> possible
>>> to transfer those session to somother software?
>>>
>>> Thanks
>>>
>>> Ricardo
>>
>>
>
>Much appreciated

Thank you

don

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d13971@linux...
> If you're running XP:
> <http://radio.hydrorecords.com/index.php?act=Attach&type=post&id=1580>
>
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d135fb@linux...
>> Hey Mr.S
>>

>> Where can one find said PafWav converter...did a quick search and garbage
>> came up
>>
>> Is this a batch converter?
>>
>> Don
>>
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42d0a549@linux...
>>> There is a software converter call PafWav. It should con

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Nappy](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 16:18:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly
after
> >>>his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
> >>>
> >>>Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions
to
> >>>say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
> >>>conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.
> >>
> >>Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I
> >>think people here are interested in smart and effective policy
> >>decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a digression.
> >>
> >>Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think
> >>it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I
> >>haven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership, except
> >>that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic
> >>groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the col

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 17:08:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER. (Nevermind
>>>>that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>>>>
>>>>*****
>>>>
>>>> General admits to secret air war

>>>>

>>>> Jun 26, 2005

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Michael Smith

>>>> Times Online (UK)

>>>>

>>>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq
>>>>war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British officers
>>>>that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the middle
>>>>of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.

>>>>

>>>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>>>>Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied
>>>>aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391 "carefully
>>>>selected targets" before the war officially started.

>>>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied
>>>>victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to start
>>>>the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.

>>>>

>>>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly
>>>>zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W
>>>>Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted illegally.

>>>>

>>>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that
>>>>showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in
>>>>leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to put
>>>>pressure on the regime".

>>>>

>>>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
>>>>2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
>>>>no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic minorities.

>>>>

>>>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
>>>>meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
>>>>foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir
>>>>Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was carrying
>>>>out the bombing.

>>>>

>>>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped in
>>>>southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a part
>>>>in the bombing as American aircraft.

>>>>

>>>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US at
>>>>the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a mistake.

>>>>

>>>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>What;s Up Mr DC?

Good to see you here.

respect

Nappy

PS Thanks for the Organ Music

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>

>Hey guys,

>

>Jumped back in to see how you all are doing, and it's the same dang

>conversation we had last year...

>

>heh heh

>

>

>Here's what we know.

>

>Rewarding terror brings more terror. (thanks Spain!)

>

>Ghandi was wrong. Sometimes war brings peace.

>

>And the beatings will continue until the terrorism stops...

>

>

>It's easy to be an intellectual when someone else is doing the dying..

>

>

>(Don's corollary:

>

>It's easy to be an equipment snob with someone else's money...)

>

>

>DC

>

>BTW, what did the G8 just give the Palestinians? 50 billion??

>

>Who says bombing innocent civilians doesn't pay?

>

>

>Jamie,

There is also the argument to be made that those who ignore the lessons of history are doomed to repeat them. As I have said in other posts to this thread, I believe that our position in the world as the last standing superpower is a reaction to our having been repeatedly called on to put a stop to events that were, for the most part, caused by the European proclivities for nationalistic aggression, the policy of appeasement of that aggression and the inevitable escalation of that aggression caused by said appeasement. We see it happening today. The Brits learned from this and though many of their citizens seem to have forgotten these lessons, many more have not, including those who run the government. I had this awful feeling when we had to go into Bosnia while the EU sat on their hands and did nothing while a genocide was in full swing, that, like it or not, we were going to need to hang on to and pay the taxes for this big military industrial complex, because we were going to have to keep cleaning up other people's messes. Having such a potent and military force also tends toward a proclivity to use it to stop something before it starts, as the Europeans should have done with Hitler, but didn't do. They were both politically reluctant to be seen as *the aggressors* and preempt him, and also psychologically unwilling to fight. This led to the slaughter of millions. Though the Italian government, the Danish government and other former eastern bloc governments have contributed a lot to our efforts to confront terrorism in Iraq and elsewhere, I think if we could see some sort of indication of *lessons learned* on the part of the continental western Euro nations like France, Spain and to a lesser extent Germany, we would feel a bit more comfortable with trusting that history didn't repeat itself. The Euro citizenry is, to a greater or lesser degree sticking their heads in the sand, as happened prior to WWII. We, and the Brits, have not forgotten. I am not including Canadians in this because as upset as I know they are, and as divided as they are about these issues and their feelings towards us, they have, like us, been dragged repeatedly into the never ending Euroquagmire, have suffered as we have and I have to respect their feelings, whatever they may be.

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d147b4@linux...

>

> Hey Dedic, thanks for the thoughtful response.

>

> Most importantly, hiking a 14er would be great, let's keep in touch on

> that. Also, if you're going to be heading to Denver give me a shout. If

> I'm heading for the Springs I'll let you know.

>

> You're right about not knowing everything. A lot of arguments made here

> hinge on speculation. War is often sold as the price for freedom, but

> the sellers are not always correct or even sincere. As responsible

> voters we must be able to see through smoke screens, rationalizations

> and lies, but that's often hard except through hindsight.

>
> Past experience teaches us to carefully examine the many motivations for
> war, not all of which are in the same lofty category as "the price of
> freedom." There are other ways to help ensure freedom that are less
> destructive and have less damaging consequences and those, too, must be
> considered. Violence breeds violence and that consequence must be taken
> into account as we try to find the wisest, most effective course of
> action toward the better world we all want. Complacency is not an option.

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>
>
> Dedic Terry wrote:

>
> > Hey Jamie,
> >
> > That was just a generalized response to the reactionary approach the
> > previous poster had taken. The point was, I really think (and did
before
> > the war talk started) that there is more to the story than has, or
probably
> > ever will be publicized - we'll never know for sure either way.
> >
> > The poster had come across sounding like Iraqis were doing just fine
before
> > we went in but are a mess now because of us and no one else - and the
poster
> > doesn't like Bush (to each their own of course). I'm sure the hundreds
of
> > thousands of Iraqis that were tortured or killed under Saddam's regime
might
> > disagree about their apparent well-being at the time. Certainly the
> > country's infrastructure and economy were better off before the war.
War is
> > devastating no matter how you look at it.
> >
> > Both sides of the circumstances sadden me - tyrannical rule is more than
> > anyone should have to endure, and certainly would be more than most
> > Americans could bear in our desire to have no one guide, much less
restrict
> > our personal decisions; and if war is the only way to be free (as our
> > predecessors found in the 1700's), freedom comes at a high price. As a
> > country we've paid such a price many times - Rev. War, Civil War, WWI,
WWII,
> > Korea, Veitnam, etc. It never gets easy. It is never glorious. My
hope

> > now is that the outcome for the Iraqi people is freedom to make their own
> > decisions about who governs them and how - free from tyranny, and free from
> > anarchist insurgents. I'm just not sure many people think there is any cost
> > worth paying anymore. After all, \$2.39 a gallon or which movie to go see is
> > about the highest cost most people have to weigh out here in the US (by
> > comparison to many other countries with far greater struggles in their
> > paths). With such a gift of opportunity for life here comes a great
> > responsibility, one that I think we are losing perspective on as a country
> > (as much of the world is also). Sometimes we will take on too much
> > shouldering of that responsibility, and sometimes not enough, or not enough
> > of the right things, or the right way.
> >
> > I don't know if we've made all the right decisions - war isn't a decision
> > that makes sense at the time in light of the loss and devastation it brings
> > - it only disrupts one course to put another in motion. The best we can do
> > before hand is speculate whether the current course is destined for tragedy
> > or not. After the fact, only time will tell what the new course will bring,
> > but we never know if left as-is what would have happened. So, we have no
> > definitive answer - esp. when put on a human scale. We didn't have a chart
> > that told us what number of Jews had to die before Hitler needed to be
> > stopped. We didn't have a chart for Iraq either, and made the assumption
> > there were direct threat dangers there - the true answer will never be known
> > (WMD could be in Syria, or not at all; Saddam was working on building nukes,
> > but whether that was destined to become a dire threat if left unchecked,
> > with or without sanctions, we'll never know). What cost has to be paid
> > before there is justification for force? No one really has the answer to
> > that - it's an impossible question to answer from a personal perspective,
> > and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come by,
> > and quite unpopular when it is there.

> >
> > Well, that's about enough philosophizing for me.
> >
> > Hey - sorry I haven't made it out your way yet - I'm in Co. Springs now.
If
> > you have a free afternoon after the first of August, drop me a line.
Ever
> > hike any 14'ers? I'm thinking of doing one before the summer ends.
> >
> > Regards,
> > Dedic
> >
> >
> > On 7/9/05 9:06 AM, in article 42cfd9a1@linux, "Jamie K"
> > <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Dedic Terry wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Clinton

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 17:51:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d
> >>war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then
> >>there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local
> >>countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things
> >>happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.
> >>
> >>You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the
> >>following administration. Mistakes can and are propogated across
> >>multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current
> >>administration took advantage of the no-fly policy to try to provoke a
> >>war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it. If
> >>not, so be it. Either way, the allegation should be looked at.
> >>
> >>We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall
> >>short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should
> >>ignore mistakes from others in the world.
> >>
> >>It doesn't make our policies better to pretend it's all about "hating"
> >>this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies
> >>that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >>>Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those
> >>>sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the
US, so
> >>>they can get away with it - they are politically correct by
birthright - the
> >>>US isn't.
> >>
> >>If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country
> >>perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about due
> >>to actions from people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults in
> >>others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away. Recognizing
> >>the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that information
> >>is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.
> >>
> >>Cheers,
> >>-Jamie K
> >><http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >>>On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
> >>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:42cebcb2@linux...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
> >>>>>attack
> >>>>>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before
we
> >>>>>went
> >>>>>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
> >>>>
> >>>>>BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER.
(Nevermind
> >>>>>that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
> >>>>
> >>>> *****
> >>>>
> >>>> General admits to secret air war
> >>>>

> >>>> Jun 26, 2005
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Michael Smith
> >>>> Times Online (UK)
> >>>>
> >>>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the Iraq war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British officers that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the middle of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
> >>>>
> >>>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003 allied aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391 "carefully selected targets" before the war officially started.
> >>>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the allied victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to start the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
> >>>>
> >>>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George W Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted illegally.
> >>>>
> >>>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times that showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity to put pressure on the regime".
> >>>>
> >>>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17, 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic minorities.
> >>>>
> >>>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral Sir

joists started to sag from the heat the bolts holding the members to the outside wall together failed. also the center stairwell structure was not designed to withstand the forces applied. there was a whole thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltrated leftie lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.

On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Do you have a source for this theory?
>Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
>fell on 9/11.
>-Wes
>
>
>>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were satellite
>>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft moving
>>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior
>to
>>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq. This
>>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the story
>>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back
>>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this on
>>the web.
>>
>>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple Saddam
>>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason we
>went
>>in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the UN
>>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in the
>>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.
>>
>>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives, isn't
>>it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find some
>WMD,
>>although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so shells
>and
>>rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not account
>>for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they had plenty
>>of time and they had help by other countries.
>>
>>
>>
>>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>>saw

>>>> any
>>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>>
>>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>>went
>>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was clearly
>>>garbage).
>>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted Saddam
>>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they noticed
>>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>>them
>>>> more oil power.
>>>>
>>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, politically,
>>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>>not
>>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It was
>>>obviously
>>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from tyranny"
>>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>>if
>>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell them
>>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>>would
>>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>>as
>>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>>waited
>>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressure on the U.N. that eventually
>>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>>*didn't
>>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>>charge,
>>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>>restructured.
>>>> That's my belief anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time before
>>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only procrastinate
>>>for
>>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>>countries
>>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
>>was
>>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it. The
>>>way
>>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
>>war
>>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>>made

>>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N. actually
>>>ended
>>>> up behind it or not.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>>
>>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>
>>>
>>Rick my man... he's talkin about WTC7... on Nova the owner of the lease
says they demolished it.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8mq2d15681h8s7e6cq3284corc7912thdn@4ax.com...
> it's design was responsible for it's structural failure. as the
> joists started to sag from the heat the bolts holding the members to
> the outside wall together failed. also the center stairwell structure
> was not designed to withstand the forces applied. there was a whole
> thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltrated leftie
> lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.

>
> On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:

>
>>
>>Do you have a source for this theory?
>>Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
>>fell on 9/11.
>>-Wes

>>
>>
>>>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were
>>>satellite
>>>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft
>>>moving
>>>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior
>>to
>>>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq. This
>>>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of course the story
>>>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back
>>>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this on
>>>the web.

>>>
>>>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple Saddam
>>>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason we
>>went
>>>in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the UN
>>>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in the
>>>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.
>>>
>>>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives,
>>>isn't

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 18:42:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

bout it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
>>>>> clearly
>>>>>garbage).
>>>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
>>>>> Saddam
>>>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>>>>> noticed
>>>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>>>them
>>>>> more oil power.
>>>>>
>>>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>>>>> politically,
>>>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda, and
>>>not
>>>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
>>>>> was
>>>>>obviouly
>>>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>>>>> tyranny"
>>>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to care
>>>>if
>>>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
>>>>> them
>>>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>>>would
>>>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>>>>as
>>>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S. had
>>>>waited
>>>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>>>>> eventually

>>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>>>*didn't
>>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>>>charge,
>>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>>>restructured.
>>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>>>> before
>>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>>>> procrastinate
>>>>for
>>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>>>>countries
>>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure it
>>>>was
>>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
>>>> The
>>>>way
>>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent on
>>>>war
>>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would have
>>>>made
>>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>>>> actually
>>>>ended
>>>> up behind it or not.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> This London thing is screwed.
>>>>
>>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>>>>
>>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Kim.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>hey, i just got called spam by a fiends e-mail...sure makes me wish i
had some bullets for my gun about now...;o)

On 10 Jul 2005 08:52:54 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOI@OI.com> wrote:

>
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>bastard!!!

>
>Why, thank you... that's the nicest thing anyone's called me
>all day!
>
>:)Sounds like it may be heat related or power supply related.

Deej

"Goran" <goran.stojiljkovic@os.htnet.hr> wrote in message
news:42d16a28\$1@linux...

>
> 2mec,3 card system on WinMe...asus Cusl2c mobo,512mb ram,p3 733...system
is
> unstable..after fiew minutes of working ewerithing freeze with some
crackle
> noise...after that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci slots
everithing
> wofks fine but only few minutes,after that..everything again..I decde to
> buy a new mobo,ram and cpu..please sugestions..I need sugestions right now
> beacause i MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with my
system...sory
> for my English!
> MOBO?
> RAM?
> CPU?that commie infiltrated leftie
lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.

Damn right.....and by God, we're gonna have to keep a close eye on
those prarie home companions. (First time I ever heard the term *prarie home
companion* the thought of a lonely housewives in a sod dwelling doing
unspeakable things with a grouse came to mind.....but that's was back
in the days when I was rollin' my own)

;oP

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8mq2d15681h8s7e6cq3284corc7912thdn@4ax.com...
> it's design was responsible for it's structural failure. as the
> joists started to sag from the heat the bolts holding the members to
> the outside wall together failed. also the center stairwell structure
> was not designed to withstand the forces applied. there was a whole
> thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltr

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 18:44:08 GMT

ated leftie

> lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.

>

> On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:

>

> >

> >Do you have a source for this theory?

> >Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7

> >fell on 9/11.

> >-Wes

> >

> >

> >>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were satellite

> >>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft moving

> >>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior

> >to

> >>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq.

This

> >>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the story

> >>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back

> >>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this

on

> >>the web.

> >>

> >>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple Saddam

> >>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason we

> >went

> >>in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the

UN

> >>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in the

> >>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.

> >>

> >>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives, isn't

> >>it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find some

> >WMD,

> >>although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so shells

> >and

> >>rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not account

> >>for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they had

plenty

> >>of time and they had help by other countries.

> >>

> >>

> >>>
> >>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42cde2d0\$1 @linux...
> >>>>
> >>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
> >>saw
> >>>> any
> >>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> >>>>
> >>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
> >>went
> >>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
clearly
> >>>>garbage).
> >>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
Saddam
> >>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
noticed
> >>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
> >>them
> >>>> more oil power.
> >>>>
> >>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
politically,
> >>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
and
> >>not
> >>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
was
> >>>obviouly
> >>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
tyranny"
> >>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
care
> >>if
> >>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
them
> >>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I
almost
> >>>would
> >>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
> >>as
> >>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
had
> >>>waited
> >>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
eventually

> >>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
> >>>*didn't
> >>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
> >>>charge,
> >>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
> >>>restructured.
> >>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
before
> >>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
procrastinate
> >>>for
> >>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
> >>>countries
> >>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
it
> >>was
> >>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
The
> >>>way
> >>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
on
> >>war
> >>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would
have
> >>>made
> >>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
actually
> >>>ended
> >>>> up behind it or not.
> >>>>
> >>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> >>>>
> >>>> This London thing is screwed.
> >>>>
> >>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> >>>>
> >>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> Kim.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>Sounds like it may be heat related or power supply related.
>
>Deej

I vote for a new power supply.

-steve

>
>"Goran" <goran.stojiljkovic@os.htnet.hr> wrote in message
>news:42d16a28\$1@linux...
>>
>> 2mec,3 card system on WinMe...asus Cusl2c mobo,512mb ram,p3 733...system
>is
>> unstable..after fiew minutes of working ewerithing freeze with some
>crackle
>> noise...after that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci slots
>everithing
>> wofks fine

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 18:58:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

and the forces applied. there was a whole
>> thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltrated leftie
>> lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.
>>
>> On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Do you have a source for this theory?
>>>Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
>>>fell on 9/11.
>>>-Wes
>>>
>>>>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were

>>>>satellite
>>>>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft
>>>>moving
>>>>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior
>>>>to
>>>>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq.
This
>>>>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the story
>>>>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back
>>>>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this
on

>>>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>>>>them
>>>>> more oil power.
>>>>>
>>>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>>>>> politically,
>>>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
and
>>>>not
>>>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It

>>>>> was
>>>>>obviouly
>>>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from

>>>>> tyranny"
>>>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
care
>>>>if
>>>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell

>>>>> them
>>>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>>>>>would
>>>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>>>>as
>>>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
had
>>>>>waited
>>>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>>>>> eventually
>>>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>>>>>*didn't
>>>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>>>>charge,
>>>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>>>>restructured.
>>>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time

>>>>> before
>>>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>>>>> procrastinate
>>>>>for
>>>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag
of
>>>>>countries
>>>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
it

>> unstable..after fiew minutes of working ewerithing freeze with =
some
>crackle
>> noise...after that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci slots
>everithing
>> wofks fine but only few minutes,after that..everything again..I =
decde
to
>> buy a new mobo,ram and cpu..please sugestions..I need sugestions =
right
now
>> beacause i MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with my
>system...sory
>> for my English!
>> MOBO?
>> RAM?
>> CPU?
>
>

-----=_NextPart_000_0015_01C5856A.93B6B760

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =

charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>Goran,</DIV>

<DIV>I vote for checking connections =
first. I=20

think 7/7 is</DIV>

<DIV>a Paris hardware issue not being =
seen. I have=20

corrected</DIV>

<DIV>7/7 a few times by sorting out which is =
the master=20

card</DIV>

<DIV>and/or changing/swapping out SCSI =
cables to and=20

from</DIV>

<DIV>the eds cards and Mecs. Also =
check=20

internal multipin</DIV>
<DIV>connectors for proper links between=20
cards.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Don't buy anything until these things =
have been=20
thoroughly</DIV>
<DIV>checked.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>Good luck!</DIV>
<DIV>Tom</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
<DIV>"steve the artguy" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:artguy@svnantichokespittle.net">artguy@svnantichokespittle=
..net>=20
wrote in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:42d17361\$1 @linux">news:42d17361\$1 @linux...</DIV>
"Mr =

Simplicity" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:animix_spamless_@animas.net">animix_spamless_@animas.net</=
A>>=20
wrote:
>Sounds like it may be heat related or power supply=20
related.
>
>Deej

I vote for a new power=20
supply.

-steve

>
> "Goran" <<A=20
=
href=3D"mailto:goran.stojiljkovic@os.htnet.hr">goran.stojiljkovic@os.htne=
t.hr>=20
wrote in =
message
>news:42d16a28\$1 @linux...
>>
>>=20
2mec,3 card system on WinMe...asus Cusl2c mobo,512mb ram,p3=20
733...system
>is
>> unstable..after fiew minutes of =
working=20
ewerithing freeze with some
>crackle
>> =
noise...after=20
that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci=20
slots
>everithing
>> wofks fine but only few =
minutes,after=20
that..everything again..I decde
to
>> buy a new mobo,ram =
and=20
cpu..please sugestions..I need sugestions right
now
>> =
beacause i=20
MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with=20

my
>system...sory
>> for my English!
>>=20
MOBO?
>> RAM?
>>=20
CPU?
>
>
</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML >

-----=_NextPart_000_0015_01C5856A.93B6B760--I think a bigger powersupply (400-550w) with a better cooling system will make the day for you. As Deej is saying, it can be heat or/and power supply related.

erlilo

"Goran" <goran.stojiljkovic@os.htnet.hr> skrev i en meddelelse
news:42d16a28\$1 @linux...

>
> 2mec,3 card system on WinMe...asus Cusl2c mobo,512mb ram,p3 733...system
> is
> unstable..after fiew minutes of working ewerithing freeze with some
> crackle
> noise...after that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci slots
> everithing
> wofks fine but only few minutes,after that..everything again..I decde to
> buy a new mobo,ram and cpu..please sugestions..I need sugestions right now
> beacause i MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with my
> system...sory
> for my English!
> MOBO?
> RAM?
> CPU?On 7/10/05 11:08 AM, in article 42d147b4@linux, "Jamie K"
<Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
> Hey Dedic, thanks for the thoughtful response.
>
> Most importantly, hiking a 14er would be great, let's keep in touch on
> that. Also, if you're going to be heading to Denver give me a shout. If
> I'm heading for the Springs I'll let you know.

Absolutely - sounds great. I'll send you an email with my cell phone number.

Regards,
Dedic

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> http://www.JamieKruz.comHi Jamie,

Ouch! Hey trust me on this, I wasn't calling *you* a

pampered intellectual or anything...

Howahhya?

You and I will always dispute the "violence breeds more violence" line. I have been in too many personal situations that give the lie to this canard, and history contradicts it on so many occasions, that I cannot let the assertion of this belief go unchallenged since the acceptance of it threatens my freedom as well as yours.

In the case of Islamofascism there are two possible responses:

Appeasement and slavery

Some option involving, well, violence. Hopefully as little as possible.

Those who will not rise up and resist murdering psychos who would kill innocent civilians riding the subway will soon lose their freedom unless others pay the price to secure it for them.

I'm on the side of freedom. As imperfect as it is.

DC

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 21:57:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d4eXp7fH1+f3EQACAgECBAQDBAUGBwcGBTUBAAIRAyExEgRBUWFxIhMFMoGR
FKGxQiPBUtHwMyRi4XKCKkNTFWNzNPEIBhaisoMHJjXC0kSTVKMXZEVVnRI
4vKzhMPTdePzRpSkhbSVxNTk9KW1xdXI9VZmdoaWprbG1ub2JzdHV2d3h5en
t8f/2gAMAwEAAhEDEQA/APLoShEIHdbv1M+r9XXevVYt+uJS12TlsguqrLW
+iHMh36xdZVR/IUxjWqHJb0jPPT39SdS+vCaB6eQ9j212u3in0se7Z6NtrHb
3bPU+hVb/o1ThfQHx8aiz6qdVw/Sa6qrAuLKgB6bTXWX4/pY/wDN1Nxn1Veh
6f0Ni8B5A1/1KYNbSx4BHYx+C6LpF3QmdMrrz7b2XWgbvSpxLC0MtsfScflz
L6cjG3P3/afTb+mr/nP0a51wMfBdd0TqXUcfoHRT1fGw2QfTxrKnvsl9tw1
dX0rO3ett/Q/rNn/AIJ6abLRTRZmdFx67K8S66bH12MyLMeg5FZp3ua3Gvqz
WOxmWvd+n2fzmxYAMD2DXu+P+pXRZ+VmtvsryM/FsurljHU7CHN3NfW6rl6
bT6b2u9mx/56wRAaANB4J0l8XVfO9sf3lSr2SZEyE55T1sBe0cTp96kEdRSF
Flc7gflwfuS+1O+znH2tjdO6PdHEfuqb63N/IA9xz+99FClvyUco/rKI6g/X
5k9H/9DzYRw

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 22:29:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

u9/xT1tOX1W385INDAfJ1j3u/89NXCeMfwXcf4qb2t6n1DGmD
bjMsHn6dm3/0erOX5PsWjd9La2qwPpvE03tdVaP5DxtcvA+o9LyemZt/Ts1u
3lxHmqwQe3829m7a707q9ltTvz6170P9Qsv6x/Vbpf1lqZ9sc7GzaWllGdWA
XQZ21ZLHD9Yx22H1dnss/wBDdV6tqhhlROqSHw11IPBjXTuuo6Sbx0vFrpPQ
nktOxuUyt+YSXP8Abburdd6u7+jM3fzXoemugp/xR2fbAMnqtJ6eXNbvprlv
fJAfUK7HPqoe5p9tm/l/0norF+2C3qdtWDkdHx7HZVrMTFdgPdkNLrbG41Lb
sfpt/wCkb+j+z+lk/ov0SwwUSRwq1cnqT8x+RYctmA7JhzrzLW2+pr6vrGyx
l/2rf/O+p+n9ZY8gd1rdTtGbf8Aa8jCuym7xkbqLW2G0Ai31fVxaLPtLrd3
vs/w384syQWAh3lHPKfh14vooooHknGhDhEggj5KUa8wO69N6D0LoPS/qM7q
/Vem1dRyMukZFwvLGuFDnNqazDvt2/ZbK8e716/S2X5OR+i9X1Ps6dKXAgav
nhe+mX1OdW9oIDmEtIB9ljdzfzXMfteqss3x7Y4mO39Vbv1g6S3pXWMzprLD
fXU4Gm4mS+q1jbsaxz2ta17/AErNtj2ez1WWLE9MxMax8+EMpHHjn0P7DxJi
DrHq/wD/0fOQAdNNFufUvqA6d9ZcC0n9He/7NbH7t8Ma539S30nrnR96mCRq
wlrXqCDBBHuaQVbIRiRW6waP0HEJ+yPdD6k3q3R8PqTYnJqa94GsPHsuZ/Yu
a9qvKoveWF/Wq/rn1DGyL8+jphYLcLJxqLchDfsv6tTjupzsDbX6j/Wya8P
7VXk1/0v9JbTZh9Hf1a7peIPt3U8anJ3F7xbiDFY2x9m+1zTZ9oZjsbZ6l++
j9CuwzupV4HVG33V3inHqybbMiusvrazZh3ve9+5jf0X2d2+v/rf+EXm1nTf
qO+/lcc67ED8mz0KvRO0UfTqfdkV3u3Mqus3b7vU/8AA0ZHiPQEAf8Ae3/h
cKEfUas59l1O7Pv3A1Vl1FNoe4/oKtr2ua707nvb6djG7/0n6Nc41ltZdRcD
VbS4tfW/2uaQdr2vY/3Nc13tcu06NgfULD6jjZz+pvvfi2V210n0K2I7HtDP
UsLsf2ab/pMZ/pfz10D/AKz/AFdF1rfrH0EZvVm22sybvsvul+dlldG22+6u
x9VeM2munc1/6P8Aw9/86kJjGRlkDpqaZMeHJlJjihLJIDiqETOXD3qDwX1b
+rOd9Y+oNxMSRQ0g5eSBuZTWfpOcR7XX2Na5tFH+Ff8AyPevSPrc+luPd0pm
Hl/ZMPFxxS/FubXVtsu+zOqyWfa8d1tbG4leNVuZZsf9ps9n6O1aPSfrDbkd
Kpf0vodtOG51wpbj2YdLA1l1t21vyWem93p/pttX896np/6RZPWndU6hkZG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C5wrF2c15IZ+sZ1IMO3bdr9o/R7f8Jv/AJpn876asD6vnlsuuyvq6bL7L73O
sv6tZW4g2PcyW1l/t9Ms2fyPelnxHJEAEDW258N5yHK5pZJxM7gYDhriEjKM
v0v7rq/Ucf8AYthafn5X/t1lKn9eerX9G6dl5FR2W5dFGLjukyLTbpmP3en/w
eM++9lv+nroXP/8AOjD

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 22:32:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

6A6/o1nTcPz8LJyGEY/VMiqoB91I9bKwx1bnbK7ms
9R9bLLfp2fpFifXrqJzundDyGVW0U5Nd+SK7cizKIc57Mfb9pyXOstro3/8
F6+xTJFOMYcUSImql0Pk08sxLJOQ04pGVebyuMQC5vbQj5aIxYNwPhP47f8A
o+1VqP55nnLfvCuRr8IzIAyEdv2eph6v/9PzcD5dYwMHI6jnY+BjD9NI2sp
rJkgF5273bd3sq/nHoPpW/6Nw+Xddv8A4seku+15fWLMQMVv2bGkf4a4bsh7
HT7fSxP0f/oWrUyYxtaH0PHooxaKsTFG3Gxq200NOpDKxsZP8p30n/y0PPzD
isxv0fqDKy6MR2sbW3u2O4O/Zt27f8AhEVpVLqriRjMaY9HM6dcRDSHCzMG
HsdvB+g79Mx9f6T1GV/meoqwXMeu9MxurVYGHkVNsZdk2tJLdzmbL0c2yv/
AIRt1VL2+7+crrXP4v1Jw82zKqyM7Ma11IYcPved32yijNzDZvc71PVya979
385s/S/zar/41Oq3Y2H0vAxbDXfba/KJrcW2NDG/Zafo+5rbHXZTfpmLlqf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bqsl+K1I2O2x9bK3yRIY1mP6n2iq70q6Xeva1/6Kv1tn2r9Erddko7Yc0tcA
5rgWua4AtLT7XNe13tex38pRmyCAa7Hsl5XruDg5tePZ1jqhuox8oY7rbKcM
it7m2n7M/wCzYnrVWOvGP69L3/o/U9a6v/Crg+v9K6NRfPReoV5mG6lgNllj
WvFrrHOtrFL21X+m3b9P0vz/AOcXo/1pwqqemsdi47HgZIB+z3eo7F32esz1
rW1PruY71HV+71/5/wBGpcbXm5mPUXNyMDBbU1tlbKelts09X0gz7VIYj932
Szd6GQ/Is+02s/pD8j9Km4BOA/WEZNTv+7/g8Cj4PI3UBtrw2xkFxA2uaQdd
OHLs/q/9W8vJwMPJszsJEqqLqr6GkguFGQ/lbVTa0s9Nvr2+q3b6rKsmr7R/
O7FWy+rZQdkWjOxrrCL2nd0fHFthp2hrL/UxfZXbHv8AdZ9m/wAKvSbfqXi
bcXpNj6sbe5z67

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 22:48:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

HC1rHvLXXPHrfpnVv2++r1v5z+b9P1FKZA7CvL/wBCVTI/
WPPXT8e/J6vXIWYXWn9RbjY+X+lvfa99Ffp4DcfF9P0fXpd6TLqq/WZSsz0/V
/SJrfq70jCY2zpm5pzui5eVddVdk41d1lf2I02uxqrf0FH61e9mlxnp1+t6X
pLR6zRlfs7PGf0yvrFNz/tfb6NjWttaxIDLr8bO3vpbV9n/na7ctmy2yuyr0
VkZv1uxL8rGFIVFFmBk4Abi5FWbYz7UcNILhi4X856dILK/T9X9Jv8AYgZ6
gGW+IX+7/wB6pw/8auKMHrWP1Jjdw6jtTtlx3G/G21Psc33Nr9TEsxPbWuM/
aGv81z/L8PPavRPrzi09e+qN2a04zbOn24+QGUZDci9jLt2HZTmtbRR9js1p
9Wqu3J/TVf8AB+/zDXj/AFiFIMsxHhB9Pkihu//V856NcKOr4NrzDK8ml7jO
kNe3/vq9XptLSWntofkvHJ05XpXQ+rM6jg1ZQdutADMpvdtrR7i7j+f2+uz/
ALb/AMFYkVPU0Wyr1TwVh493HktGi/jVIKbWfhV9QxXY1pbscWna9gsZLTPu
res8/VbHdJc7GcSXOO7GB1e30bDG78+oem/+QtWt4IIEdtEIOMz6oYLbG2Gv
DL2bdjvswa4Fo21OFgG/9E36H/TV/wCtPU83p3Qc7qOBUbsihoc1oG4tY57W

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>whats your take on the Pope? Out to lunch because he's against the Iraq
>
>>war?
>>
>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d1a5a2@linux...
><http://www.coloriffic.com/>

Send them an email and ask about custom guards.

DC

"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>
>Let me know if you want to
>>try one, and I will give you the company who did it.
>>
>>DC
> I would love to know the company.Makes me want to get a good strat'esque axe just so it would
complement the
pickguard. Right now, all I've got around here in the electric guitar
department is an old Fretlight FG200. Hardly worthy.

;o)

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d1d142\$1@linux...
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >That's beautiful!
> >
> >;o)
>
> Thanks!
>
> I got tired of the typical guitar stuff. You know, pearloid, and
> vintage stuff for the old guys and spikes and skulls for the
> kids. yecccchhhh.
>
> So I tried something new, and I like the guitar much better now.
>
> DCHey Deej,

Every now and then, hit your return key twice. Makes it easier to read. :^)

You're absolutely correct that we need to know history and not repeat
our mistakes. If you're going to go back to Hitler, go back a little

farther and investigate why Germany was ripe for a despot to take control. Could WWII have been prevented with wiser post WWI policies? Could be.

Stopping something bad before it starts doesn't automatically mean going in with guns blazing. It also means being very aware of what how past policies contributed to bad situations and taking that into account when formulating new policies.

The world is growing up. At some point in history, if war becomes really necessary it's a de facto admission of failure to anticipate wisely. Are we there yet?

Cheers,
-Jamie
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

PS. Canadians are cool. We have one in our comedy group. And a Brit. If you're ever in the hood you should come see a show:

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 00:56:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
<http://www.acecomedy.com> - <http://www.acecomedy.com/orchestra>

Mr Simplicity wrote:

> Jamie,
>
> There is also the argument to be made that those who ignore the lessons of
> history are doomed to repeat them. As I have said in other posts to this
> thread, I believe that our position in the world as the last standing
> superpower is a reaction to our having been repeatedly called on to put a stop to
> events that were, for the most part, caused by the European proclivities for
> nationalistic aggression, the policy of appeasement of that aggression and
> the inevitable escalation of that aggression caused by said appeasement. We
> see it happening today. The Brits learned from this and though many of their
> citizens seem to have forgotten these lessons, many more have not,
> including those who run the government. I had this awful feeling when we had
> to go into Bosnia while the EU sat on their hands and did nothing while a
> genocide was in full swing, that, like it or not, we were going to need to
> hang on to and pay the taxes for this big military industrial complex,
> because we were going to have to keep cleaning up other people's messes.
> Having such a potent and military force also tends toward a proclivity to use

> it to stop something before it starts, as the Europeans should have done
> with Hitler, but didn't do. They were both politically reluctant to be seen
> as *the aggressors* and preempt him, an also psychologically unwilling to
> fight. This led to the slaughter of millions. Though the Italian government,
> the Danish government and other former eastern bloc governemnts have
> contributed a lot to our efforts to confront terrorism in Iraq and
> elsewhere, I think if we could see some sort of indication of *lessons
> learned* on the part of the continental western Euro nations like France,
> Spain and to a lesser extent Germany, we would feel a bit more comfortable
> with trusting that history didn't repeat itself. The Euro citizenry is, to a
> greater or lesser degree sticking their heads in the sand, as happened prior
> to WWII. We, and the Brits, have not forgotten. I am not including Canadians
> in this because as upset as I know they are, and as divided as they are
> about these issues and their feelings towards us, they have, like us, been
> dragged repeatedly into the never ending Euroquagmire, have suffered as we
> have and I have to repect their feelings, whatever they may be.

>

> Deej

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d147b4@linux...

>

>>Hey Dedic, thanks for the thoughtful response.

>>

>>Most importantly, hiking a 14er would be great, let's keep in touch on
>>that. Also, if you're going to be heading to Denver give me a shout. If
>>I'm heading for the Springs I'll let you know.

>>

>>You're right about not knowing everything. A lot of arguments made here
>>hinge o

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 01:41:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> probably

>

>>>ever will be publicized - we'll never know for sure either way.

>>>

>>>The poster had come across sounding like Iraqis were doing just fine

>

> before

>

>>>we went in but are a mess now because of us and no one else - and the

>

> poster

>
>>>doesn't like Bush (to each their own of course). I'm sure the hundreds
>
> of
>
>>>thousands of Iraqis that were tortured or killed under Saddam's regime
>
> might
>
>>>disagree about their apparent well-being at the time. Certainly the
>>>country's infrastructure and economy were better off before the war.

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 02:14:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

uch a price many times - Rev. War, Civil War, WWI,
>
> WWII,
>
>>>Korea, Veitnam, etc. It never gets easy. It is never glorious. My
>
> hope
>
>>>now is that the outcome for the Iraqi people is freedom to make their
>
> own
>
>>>decisions about who governs them and how - free from tyranny, and free
>
> from
>
>>>anarchist insurgents. I'm just not sure many people think there is any
>
> cost
>
>>>worth paying anymore. After all, \$2.39 a gallon or which movie to go
>
> see is
>
>>>about the highest cost most people have to weigh out here in the US (by
>>>comparison to many other countries with far greater struggles in their
>>>pathes). With such a gift of opportunity for life here comes a great
>>>responsibility, one that I think we are losing perspective on as a
>
> country
>

>>>(as much of the world is also). Sometimes we will take on too much
>>>shouldering of that responsibility, and sometimes not enough, o

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 04:40:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ether that was destined to become a dire threat if left unchecked,
>>>with or without sanctions, we'll never know). What cost has to be paid
>>>before there is justification for force? No one really has the answer
>
> to
>
>>>that - it's an impossible question to answer from a personal
>
> perspective,
>
>>>and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come
>
> by,
>
>>>and quite unpopular when it is there.
>>>
>>>Well, that's about enough philosophizing for me.
>>>
>>>Hey - sorry I haven't made it out your way yet - I'm in Co. Springs now.
>
> If
>
>>>you have a free afternoon after the first of August, drop me a line.
>
> Ever
>
>>>hike any 14'ers? I'm thinking of doing one before the summer ends.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>Dedric
>>>
>>>
>>>On 7/9/05 9:06 AM, in article 42cfd9a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dedric Terry wrote:
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly
>
> after
>
>>>>>his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
>>>>>
>>>>>Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions
>
> to
>
>>>>>say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
>>>>>conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I
>>>>>think people here are interested in smart and effective policy
>>>>>decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a digression.
>>>>>
>>>>>Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think
>>>>>it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I
>>>>>haven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership, except
>>>>>that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic
>>>>>groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the cold
>>>>>war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then
>>>>>there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local
>>>>>countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things
>>>>>happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.
>>>>>
>>>>>You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the
>>>>>following administration. Mistakes can and are propagated across
>>>>>multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current
>>>>>administration took advantage of the no-fly policy to try to provoke a
>>>>>war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it. If
>>>>>not, so be it. Either way, the allegation should be looked at.
>>>>>
>>>>>We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall
>>>>>short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should
>>>>>ignore mistakes from others in the world.
>>>>>
>>>>>It doesn't make our policies better to pretend it's all about "hating"
>>>>>this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies
>>>>>that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those
>>>>>>sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the

>
> US, so
>
>>>>they can get away with it - they are politically correct by
>
> birthright - the
>
>>>>US isn't.
>>>>
>>>>If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country
>>>>perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about due
>>>>to actions from people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults in
>>>>others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away. Recognizing
>>>>the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that information
>>>>is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>-Jamie K
>>>><http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
>>>>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:42cebc2@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
>>>>>>>attack
>>>>>>>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before
>
> we
>
>>>>>>>went
>>>>>>>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER.
>
> (Nevermind

>
>>>>>that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)
>>>>>
>>>>>*****
>>>>>
>>>>> General admits to secret air war
>>>>>
>>>>> Jun 26, 2005
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Michael Smith
>>>>> Times Online (UK)
>>>>>
>>>>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the
>
> Iraq
>
>>>>>war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British
>
> officers
>
>>>>>that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the
>
> middle
>
>>>>>of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>>>>>
>>>>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>>>>>Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003
>
> allied
>
>>>>>aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391
>
> "carefully
>
>>>>>selected targets" before the war officially started.
>>>>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the
>
> allied
>
>>>>>victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have to
>
> start
>
>>>>>the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>>>>>
>>>>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the no-fly

>>>>>zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President George
>
> W
>
>>>>>Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted
>
> illegally.
>
>>>>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times
>
> that
>
>>>>>showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described in
>>>>>leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of activity
>
> to put
>
>>>>>pressure on the regime".
>>>>>
>>>>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July 17,
>>>>>2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the southern
>>>>>no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic
>
> minorities.
>
>>>>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing a
>>>>>meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw, the
>>>>>foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and Admiral
>
> Sir
>
>>>>>Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was
>
> carrying
>
>>>>>out the bombing.
>>>>>
>>>>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped
>
> in
>
>>>>>southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large a
>
> part
>
>>>>>in the bombing as American aircraft.
>>>>>
>>>>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US

>
> at
>
>>>>>the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a
>
> mistake.
>
>>>>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>
>sweeeeet!

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DC wrote:

> Hey all,
>
> Been doing some different projects recently.
>
> Here's a pickguard I designed.
>
> I love Mondrian (obviously...)
>
> DC
>
>
> -----
>"when I was rollin' my own"...drunks??? ;o)

On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 12:58:42 -0600, "Mr Simplicity"
<animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>that commie infiltrated leftie
>lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.
>
>Damn right.....and by God, we're gonna have to keep a close eye on
>those prarie home companions. (First time I ever heard the term *prarie home
>companion* the thought of a lonely housewives in a sod dwelling doing
>unspeakable things with a grouse came to mind.....but that's was back
>in the days when I was rollin' my own)
>

>;oP
>
>
>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:8mq2d15681h8s7e6cq3284corc7912thdn@4ax.com...
>> it's design was responsible for it's structural failure. as the
>> joists started to sag from the heat the bolts holding the members to
>> the outside wall together failed. also the center stairwell structure
>> was not designed to withstand the forces applied. there was a whole
>> thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltrated leftie
>> lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.
>>
>> On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Do you have a source for this theory?
>> >Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
>> >fell on 9/11.
>> >-Wes
>> >
>> >
>> >>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were
>> >satellite
>> >>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft
>> >moving
>> >>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time. Prior
>> >to
>> >>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq.
>> >This
>> >>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the story
>> >>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting back
>> >>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this
>> >on
>> >>the web.
>> >>
>> >>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple Saddam
>> >>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason we
>> >went
>> >>in. We should have immediatly gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the
>> >UN
>> >>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in
>> >the
>> >>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.
>> >>
>> >>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives,
>> >isn't
>> >>it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find some
>> >WMD,

>> >>although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so shells
>> >and
>> >>rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not account
>> >>for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they had
>plenty
>> >>of time and they had help by other countries.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>> >>>>
>> >>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>> >>>> saw
>> >>>> any
>> >>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>> >>>>
>> >>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>> >>went
>> >>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
>clearly
>> >>>>garbage).
>> >>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
>Saddam
>> >>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>noticed
>> >>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>> >>them
>> >>>> more oil power.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>politically,
>> >>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
>and
>> >>not
>> >>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
>was
>> >>>>obviouly
>> >>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>tyranny"
>> >>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
>care
>> >>if
>> >>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
>them
>> >>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I
>*almost*

>> >>>would
>> >>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>> >>as
>> >>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
>had
>> >>>>waited
>> >>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>eventually
>> >>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>> >>>*didn't
>> >>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>> >>>>charge,
>> >>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>> >>>>restructured.
>> >>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>before
>> >>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>procrastinate
>> >>>>for
>> >>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag of
>> >>>>countries
>> >>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
>it
>> >>was
>> >>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
>The
>> >>>>way
>> >>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
>on
>> >>war
>> >>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would
>have
>> >>>>made
>> >>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>actually
>> >>>>ended
>> >>>> up behind it or not.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> This London thing is screwed.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>> >>>>
>> >>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Cheers,
>> >>>> Kim.

>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>>

>In article <42d16520@linux>, "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> Maybe when the ocean temperatures get warm enough to keep producing
> hurricanes year around, we'll *get it*.

Yep. But then Mr. Churchill put it best:

"Men occasionally stumble over the truth, but most of them pick themselves up and hurry off as if nothing happened."

bcoops silly me, i thought this was a basketball thing.

On 11 Jul 2005 09:01:42 +1000, "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>if nothing else works,hows you b n c cable?

On 11 Jul 2005 04:34:16 +1000, "Goran"

<

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 08:21:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with my system...sory

>for my English!

>MOBO?

>RAM?

>CPU?Rick are you www (writing while wasted) again...? :)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:pua4d1t2utr7aq961gh75cvf7f3lee6ulm@4ax.com...

> "when I was rollin' my own"...drunks??? ;o)

>

> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 12:58:42 -0600, "Mr Simplicity"

> <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>

>>that commie infiltrated leftie

>>lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.

>>

>>Damn right.....and by God, we're gonna have to keep a close eye on

>>those prarie home companions. (First time I ever heard the term *prarie
>>home
>>companion* the thought of a lonely housewives in a sod dwelling doing
>>unspeakable things with a grouse came to mind.....but that's was
>>back
>>in the days when I was rollin' my own)
>>
>>;oP
>>
>>
>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:8mq2d15681h8s7e6cq3284corc7912thdn@4ax.com...
>>> it's design was responsible for it's structural failure. as the
>>> joists started to sag from the heat the bolts holding the members to
>>> the outside wall together failed. also the center stairwell structure
>>> was not designed to withstand the forces applied. there was a whole
>>> thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltrated leftie
>>> lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.
>>>
>>> On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Do you have a source for this theory?
>>> >Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
>>> >fell on 9/11.
>>> >-Wes
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there were
>>satellite
>>> >>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft
>>moving
>>> >>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time.
>>> >>Prior
>>> >to
>>> >>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over Iraq.
>>This
>>> >>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the
>>> >>story
>>> >>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting
>>> >>back
>>> >>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on this
>>on
>>> >>the web.
>>> >>
>>> >>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple
>>> >>Saddam
>>> >>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only reason

>>> >>we
>>> >went
>>> >>in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked the
>>UN
>>> >>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer in
>>the
>>> >>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.
>>> >>
>>> >>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of explosives,
>>isn't
>>> >>it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find
>>> >>some
>>> >WMD,
>>> >>although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so
>>> >>shells
>>> >and
>>> >>rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not
>>> >>account
>>> >>for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they had
>>plenty
>>> >>of time and they had help by other countries.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth
>>> >>>> >who
>>> >>saw
>>> >>>> any
>>> >>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way
>>> >>>> they
>>> >>went
>>> >>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
>>clearly
>>> >>>>garbage).
>>> >>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
>>Saddam
>>> >>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>>noticed
>>> >>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would
>>> >>>> give
>>> >>them
>>> >>>> more oil power.

>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>>politically,
>>> >>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
>>and
>>> >>not
>>> >>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
>>was
>>> >>>>obviouly
>>> >>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>>tyranny"
>>> >>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
>>care
>>> >>if
>>> >>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
>>them
>>> >>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I
>>*almost*
>>> >>>>would
>>> >>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached
>>> >>>> that
>>> >>as
>>> >>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
>>had
>>> >>>>waited
>>> >>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>>eventually
>>> >>>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
>>> >>>> actually
>>> >>>>*didn't
>>> >>>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>>> >>>>charge,
>>> >>>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>>> >>>>restructured.
>>> >>>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>>before
>>> >>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>>procrastinate
>>> >>>>for
>>> >>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag
>>> &

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 08:32:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

me time passes, and then the

7/7 occurs. Something must be changing between start up and the error. Either heat is making a connection go bad, or heat is making a connection's resistance go up just enough so an older power supply is unable to crank out the juice needed to get through.

Or sumptin like that. But cleaning the contacts could fix this sort of thing, too... certainly wouldn't hurt for him to try your suggestions...

-steve

"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>

>Goran,

>I vote for checking connections first. I think 7/7 is

>a Paris hardware issue not being seen. I have corrected

>7/7 a few times by sorting out which is the master card

>and/or changing/swapping out SCSI cables to and from

>the eds cards and Mecs. Also check internal multipin

>connectors for proper links between cards.

>

>Don't buy anything until these things have been thoroughly

>checked.

>

>Good luck!

>Tom

>

> "steve the artguy" <artguy@svnartichokespittle.net> wrote in message =

>news:42d17361\$1@linux...

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Sounds like it may be heat related or power supply related.

> >

> >Deej

>

> I vote for a new power supply.

>

> -steve

>

>

> >

> >"Goran" <goran.stojiljkovic@os.htnet.hr> wrote in message

> >news:42d16a28\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> 2mec,3 card system on WinMe...asus Cusl2c mobo,512mb ram,p3 =

>733...system

> >is

> >> unstable..after fiew minutes of working ewerithing freeze with =
>some
> >crackle
> >> noise...after that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci slots
> >everithing
> >> wofks fine but only few minutes,after that..everything again..I =
>decde
> to
> >> buy a new mobo,ram and cpu..please sugestions..I need sugestions =
>right
> now
> >> beacause i MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with my
> >system...sory
> >> for my English!
> >> MOBO?
> >> RAM?
> >> CPU?
> >
> >
>
>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV>Goran,</DIV>
><DIV>I vote for checking connections =
>first. I=20
>think 7/7 is</DIV>
><DIV>a Paris hardware issue not being =
>seen. I have=20
>corrected</DIV>
><DIV>7/7 a few times by sorting out which is
>=
>the master=20
>card</DIV>
><DIV>and/or changing/swapping out SCSI =
>cables to and=20
>from</DIV>
><DIV>the eds cards and Mecs. Also =
>check=20
>internal multipin</DIV>
><DIV>connectors for proper links between=20
>cards.</DIV>

><DIV> </DIV>
><DIV>Don't buy anything until these things =
>have been=20
>thoroughly</DIV>
><DIV>checked.</DIV>
><DIV> </DIV>
><DIV>Good luck!</DIV>
><DIV>Tom</DIV>
><DIV> </DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"steve the artguy" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:artguy@svnartichokespittle.net">artguy@svnartichokespittle=
>.net>=20
> wrote in message <A=20
> =
>href=3D"news:42d17361\$1@linux">news:42d17361\$1@linux...</DIV>
"Mr
=
>
> Simplicity" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:animix_spamless_@animas.net">animix_spamless_@animas.net</=
>A>>=20
> wrote:
>Sounds like it may be heat related or power supply=20
> related.
>
>Deej

I vote for a new power=20
> supply.

-steve

>
>"Goran" <<A=20
> =
>href=3D"mailto:goran.stojiljkovic@os.htnet.hr">goran.stojiljkovic@os.htne=
>t.hr>=20
> wrote in =
>message
>news:42d16a28\$1@linux...
>>
>>=20
> 2mec,3 card system on WinMe...asus Cusl2c mobo,512mb ram,p3=20
> 733...system
>is
>> unstable..after fiew minutes of =
>working=20
> ewerithing freeze with some
>crackle
>> =
>noise...after=20
> that error 7/7 . when I replace cards in pci=20
> slots
>everithing
>> wofks fine but only few =
>minutes,after=20
> that..everything again..I decde
to
>> buy a new mobo,ram =
>and=20
> cpu..please sugestions..I need sugestions right
now
>> =
>beacause i=20
> MUST buy that things tomorow..I have lot to work with=20
> my
>system...sory
>> for my English!
>>=20
> MOBO?
>> RAM?
>>=20

>CPU?
>
>
</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>

>

>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>It also means being very aware of what how past
>policies contributed to bad situations and taking that into account when

>formulating new policies.

Indeed, and it's hard to find anybody who's doing well at this at this point,
from my view anyhow.

Cheers,

Kim.This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0021_01C58629.3D435090

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I'm not so much in political discussions anymore, just hope to serve =
some respect for the background of different cultures that we have =
around the world. You see, I must take care of my blood pressure and =
heart the last year, so I must really cool down when overupheating the =
political kind of stuff are showing up.

But as I can see without heating too much up, the American people are =
also a product of the world, first of all coming from really bad times =
between rich and poor in European systems some hundred years ago, =
followed up from both south and east later on, trying to build up a new =
identity in a great "empty" land....

....As when Moses was talking to his people and taking them out from the =
slavery in Egypt and was leading them to the land with "milk and honey", =
as he had heard so much about....

....So there's nothing new at all under the sun for some thousand of =
years with people around the whole world that will survive in freedom =
when they have really bad times, so, if people like these have heard =
about a land with "milk and honey", they will try nearly everything to =
find it....

....As it's allready are written in the first book of Moses, second =
chapter, verse 16 and 17 about God, how afraid he was about all the =
"milk, honey and forbidden fruits" he owned in the protected garden of =
Eden....=20

....As Moses was telling, God was getting Kerubean warriors with the =
flaming swords to protect the way east of Eden, that was going to the =
unknown land of Nod, wher

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Kim](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 09:00:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;</DIV>
<DIV>But as I can see without heating =
too much=20
up, the American people are also a product of the world, first of =
all=20
coming from really bad times between rich and poor in European =
systems some=20
hundred years ago, followed up from both south and east later on, trying =
to=20
build up a new identity

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 13:00:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;in a great "empty" =
land...</DIV>
<DIV>...As when Moses was talking =
to his=20
people and taking them out from the slavery in Egypt and =
was leading them=20
to the land with "milk and honey", as he had heard so much=20
about...</DIV>
<DIV>...So there's nothing new at all under =
the=20
sun for some thousand of years with people around the whole=20
world that will survive in freedom when they have really bad =
times,=20
so, if people like these have heard about a land with =
"milk and=20
honey", they will try nearly everything to find it...</DIV>
<DIV>...As it's already are =
written in the=20
first book of Moses, second chapter, verse 16 and 17 about God, how =
afraid he=20
was about all the "milk, honey and forbidden fruits" he owned in =
the=20
protected garden of Eden.... </DIV>
<DIV>...As Moses was =
telling, God was=20
getting Kerubean warriors with the flaming swords to protect =
the way=20
east of Eden, that was going to the unknown land of Nod, where Cain was =

banished=20
to after breaking the laws in Eden when killing his brother=20
Abel....</DIV>
<DIV>John Steinbeck have written a modern =
novel about=20
this classical problem, I believe it was in the early fifties =
he wrote=20
it. But most of us knows this Steinbeck story just from the film of the =
same=20
name with James Dean from 1955, I think. Steinbeck have dated the =
filmstory to=20
1917, right before USA went into the first world war. =
</DIV>
<DIV>This worldwar started in 1914, so where =
was the=20
Americans in the first 3 years of that war? Yes, they were making big =
money on=20
that war. Some had good influence political to the =
American=20
gouvernment and drowned when the british passengershhip "Lusitania" =
was=20
attacked by a german submarine.) More reading here about the =
political=20
note USA sent to Germany after this attack: <A=20
href=3D"http://www.lib.byu.edu/~rdh/wwi/1915/lusitania1.html"><FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>http://www.lib.byu.edu/~rdh/wwi/1915/lusitania1.html<=
FONT=20
face=3DArial size=3D2> .</DIV>
<DIV>Where was the Americans when the second =
worldwar=20
started in Europe in early 1938, when German troops invated Austria? It =
went=20
nearly 4 years before USA was directly involved when Japan attacked =
Pearl=20
Harbour in december '41. More historical facts =
here: <A=20
href=3D"http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/mod/1941PEARL.html"><FONT =
face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/mod/1941PEARL.html</DI=
V>
<DIV>So yes, they had 4 =
years with big=20
money on a war they wasn't involved in. </DIV>
<DIV>As I'm seeing it, USA's intentions in =
both of these=20
wars was not to be involved in them at all, just making as much money as =

possible on them.</DIV>

<DIV>So I can really see the modern = human=20

story to Cain and Abel in Elia Kazan's film, "East of = Eden", an=20

American story that happened right before USA really were = involved in=20

the the first world war. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>So, for me, USA's behavings = in modern=20

techical times, are just classical examples already talked about in = the=20

first book of Moses, showed up plenty of historical times before the = viking Leif=20

Ericsson discovered America, long before Columbus got the glory for = doing=20

that. So historical "facts" can be so much after which = country we're living=20

in with leaders that wish to have big glories to show the = people;-)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>...Granddad Bush was doing money as = Hitlers banker=20

in USA in most of the second worldwar and official lost his=20 glory....</DIV>

<DIV>...PresidentPa Bush is a great = moneymaker in the=20

weapon industri that's selling all over the world with a = glory...</DIV>

<DIV>...and now President Bush have great=20 industrifriends that's milking all over the world for = more "milk=20

and honeyprofit", trying to make a great glory by himself.... =

</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>...If people like these just = could milk=20

in their own country, it would really be brilliant for the rest of the = world,=20

but no, that's really a problem, there isn't much more to milk there in = these=20

days, so it's outside America they now must milk if they want to grow=20 bigger...</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>...but as said between the lines, these = kind of=20

people are popping up all over the world, it's just that America are =
trying to=20
go in front of them and rule them all...</DIV>
<DIV>...maybe it's a new form for slavery to =
make more=20
money?....</DIV>
<DIV>...but what do I know and can do =
in this=20
dangerous world?...</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>erlilo</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>"DC" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:dcicchetti@urs2.net"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>dcicchetti@urs2.net> =
skrev i en=20
meddelelse <FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>news:42d1a5a2@linux<FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>...</DIV>>
> =
Hey! Good to=20
hear from you too.
>
> You know, it's admirable to discuss =
all this=20
political stuff, especially
>
> with good manners and all, =
but=20
eventually you get to point of the
> absolutely incompatible basic =

assumptions that inform our views:
>
> Pacifism vs. =
military=20
power
> theism vs. atheism
> left vs. right
> history =
being=20
understandable vs. history being a series of hidden
> =
conspiracies
>=20
modernism vs postmodernism etc etc
>
> When we =
reach=20
the point where we realize that our assumptions
> are simply =
different, we=20
need to let go if it, IM-ever-so-HO
>
> Take me and =
Jamie. I=20
think his views on much of this stuff are
> completely wrong, but =
I really=20
like the guy, and would hang out
> with him and make =
music.
>=20

> The PARIS community is too small to get divided up into sides =

>=20
because of issues none of us can change. I cannot remember =

gt;
></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0021_01C58629.3D435090--Hi,
If you know someone close by who has Paris 3, perhaps they could render each of the tracks for you so all of them would have a 00:00 start point.

"Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote:

>
>Hi. That's what i'm doing.
>I was looking for another solution but there's no one.
>
>Thanks
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>There is a software converter call PafWav. It should convert your Paris
>>audio files to.wav files. thing is, if your .paf's aren't linear from
>>00:00:00 to the end, you will have to reposition the audio files on the
>>timeline manually.
>
>
>>
>>Deej
>>
>>"Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote in message news:42d09090\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hi all.
>>> I have and old Paris sesion, but actually don't have the hardware. It's
>>>possible
>>> to transfer those session to somother software?
>>>
>>> Thanks
>>>
>>> Ricardo
>>
>>
>I agree with your points,except for this one:

>The world is growing up.

Believing that the world is *growing up* would imply that lessons were learned from past mistakes. This recurring tendency of appeasement toward totalitarian aggression would seem to indicate otherwise.

As for comedy, I love it. the first CD I ever engineered and co-produced was for a Brit, Owen Edgerton.

<http://www.mrsinus.com/bios.html>

The CD is titled Big,thick wooden Board. We started with a mono feed out of a casio keyboard and produced around it until it became a fairly respectable CD (at least for a wannabee engineer).

l;o)

I love comedy.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d1e9c2@linux...

>

> Hey Deej,

>

> Every now and then, hit your return key twice. Makes it easier to read.

:^)

>

> You're absolutely correct that we need to know history and not repeat
> our mistakes. If you're going to go back to Hitler, go back a little
> farther and investigate why Germany was ripe for a despot to take
> control. Could WWII have been prevented with wiser post WWI policies?
> Could be.

>

> Stopping something bad before it starts doesn't automatically mean going
> in with guns blazing. It also means being very aware of what how past
> policies contributed to bad situations and taking that into account when
> formulating new policies.

>

> The world is growing up. At some point in history, if war becomes really
> necessary it's a de facto admission of failure to anticipate wisely. Are
> we there yet?

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>

> PS. Canadians are cool. We have one in our comedy group. And a Brit. If
> you're ever in the hood you should come see a show:

> <http://www.acecomedy.com> - <http://www.acecomedy.com/orchestra>

>

>

> Mr Simplicity wrote:

>

>> Jamie,

>>

>> There is als thte argument to be made that those who ignore the lessons
of

> > history are doomed to repeat them. As I have said in other posts to this
> > thread, I believe that our position in the world as the last standing
> > superpower is a reaction to our having been repeated called on put a
stop to
> > events that were, for the most part, caused by the European proclivities
for
> > nationalistic aggression, the policy of appeasement of that aggression
and
> > the inevitable escalation of that aggression caused by said appeasement.
We
> > see it happening today. The Brits learned from this and though many of
their
> > citizens seem to have forgotten these lessons, many more have not,
> > including those who run the government. I had this awful feeling when we
had
> > to go into Bosnia while the EU sat on their hands and did nothing while
a
> > genocide was in full swing, that, like it or not, we were going to need
to
> > hang on to and pay the taxes for this big military industrial complex,
> > because we were going to have to keep cleaning up other people's messes.
> > Having such a potent and military force also tends toward a proclivity to
use
> > it to stop something before it starts, as the Europeans should have done
> > with Hitler, but didn't do. They were both politically reluctant to be
seen
> > as *the aggressors* and preempt him, and also psychologically unwilling
to
> > fight. This led to the slaughter of millions. Though the Italian
government,
> > the Danish government and other former eastern bloc governments have
> > contributed a lot to our efforts to confront terrorism in Iraq and
> > elsewhere, I think if we could see some sort of indication of *lessons
> > learned* on the part of the continental western Euro nations like
France,
> > Spain and to a lesser extent Germany, we would feel a bit more
comfortable
> > with trusting that history didn't repeat itself. The Euro citizenry is,
to a
> > greater or lesser degree sticking their heads in the sand, as happened
prior
> > to WWII. We, and the Brits, have not forgotten. I am not including
Canadians
> > in this because as upset as I know they are, and as divided as they are
> > about these issues and their feelings towards us, they have, like us,
been
> > dragged repeatedly into the never ending Euroquagmire, have suffered as
we

> > have and I have to respect their feelings, whatever they may be.
> >
> > Deej
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d147b4@linux...
> >
> >> Hey Detric, thanks for the thoughtful response.
> >>
> >> Most importantly, hiking a 14er would be great, let's keep in touch on
> >> that. Also, if you're going to be heading to Denver give me a shout. If
> >> I'm heading for the Springs I'll let you know.
> >>
> >> You're right about not knowing everything. A lot of arguments made here
> >> hinge on speculation. War is often sold as the price for freedom, but
> >> the sellers are not always correct or even sincere. As responsible
> >> voters we must be able to see through smoke screens, rationalizations
> >> and lies, but that's often hard except through hindsight.
> >>
> >> Past experience teaches us to carefully examine the many motivations for
> >> war, not all of which are in the same lofty category as "the price of
> >> freedom." There are other ways to help ensure freedom that are less
> >> destructive and have less damaging consequences and those, too, must be
> >> considered. Violence breeds violence and that consequence must be taken
> >> into account as we try to find the wisest, most effective course of
> >> action toward the better world we all want. Complacency is not an
option.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> Detric Terry wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> Hey Jamie,
> >>>
> >>> That was just a generalized response to the reactionary approach the
> >>> previous poster had taken. The point was, I really think (and did
> >>
> > before
> >
> >>> the war talk started) that there is more to the story than has, or
> >>
> > probably
> >
> >>> ever will be publicized - we'll never know for sure either way.

> >>>
> >>>The poster had come across sounding like Iraqis were doing just fine
> >
> > before
> >
> >>>we went in but are a mess now because of us and no one else - and the
> >
> > poster
> >
> >>>doesn't like Bush (to each their own of course). I'm sure the hundreds
> >
> > of
> >
> >>>thousands of Iraqis that were tortured or killed under Saddam's regime
> >
> > might
> >
> >>>disagree about their apparent well-being at the time. Certainly the
> >>>country's infrastructure and economy were better off before the war.
> >
> > War is
> >
> >>>devastating no matter how you look at it.

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 14:43:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >>>comparison to many other countries with far greater struggles in their
> >>>paths). With such a gift of opportunity for life here comes a great
> >>>responsibility, one that I think we are losing perspective on as a
> >
> > country
> >
> >>>(as much of the world is also). Sometimes we will take on too much
> >>>shouldering of that responsibility, and sometimes not enough, or not
> >
> > enough
> >
> >>>of the right things, or the right way.
> >>>
> >>>I don't know if we've made all the right decisions - war isn't a
> >
> > decision
> >
> >>>that makes sense at the time in light of the loss and devastation it
> >

> > brings
> >
> >>>- it only disrupts one course to put another in motion. The best we
can
> >
> > do
> >
> >>>before hand is speculate whether the current course is destined for
> >
> > tragedy
> >
> >>>or not. After the fact, only time will tell what the new course will
> >
> > bring,
> >
> >>>but we never know if left as-is what would have happened. So, we have
> >
> > no
> >
> >>>definitive answer - esp. when put on a human scale. We didn't have a
> >
> > chart
> >
> >>>that told us what number of Jews had to die before Hitler needed to be
> >>>stopped. We didn't have a chart for Iraq either, and made the
> >
> > assumption
> >
> >>>there were direct threat dangers there - the true answer will never be
> >
> > known
> >
> >>>(WMD could be in Syria, or not at all; Saddam was working on building
> >
> > nukes,
> >
> >>>but whether that was destined to become a dire threat if left
unchecked,
> >>>with or without sanctions, we'll never know). What cost has to be paid
> >>>before there is justification for force? No one really has the answer
> >
> > to
> >
> >>>that - it's an impossible question to answer from a personal
> >
> > perspective,
> >
> >>>and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come

> >
> > by,
> >
> >>>and quite unpopular when it is there.
> >>>
> >>>Well, that's about enough philosophizing for me.
> >>>
> >>>Hey - sorry I haven't made it out your way yet - I'm in Co. Springs
now.
> >
> > If
> >
> >>>you have a free afternoon after the first of August, drop me a line.
> >
> > Ever
> >
> >>>hike any 14'ers? I'm thinking of doing one before the summer ends.
> >>>
> >>>Regards,
> >>>Dedric
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>On 7/9/05 9:06 AM, in article 42cfd9a1@linux, "Jamie K"
> >>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dedric Terry wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it
publicly
> >
> > after
> >
> >>>>>his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN
sanctions
> >
> > to
> >
> >>>>>say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
> >>>>>conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I
> >>>>>think people here are interested in smart and effective policy

> >>>>decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a digression.
> >>>>
> >>>>Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think
> >>>>it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I
> >>>>haven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership,
except
> >>>>that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic
> >>>>groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the cold
> >>>>war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then
> >>>>there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local
> >>>>countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things
> >>>>happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.
> >>>>
> >>>>You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the
> >>>>following administration. Mistakes can and are propogated across
> >>>>multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current
> >>>>administration took advantage of the no-fly policy to try to provoke a
> >>>>war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it.
If
> >>>>not, so be it. Either way, the allegation should be looked at.
> >>>>
> >>>>We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall
> >>>>short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should
> >>>>ignore mistakes from others in the world.
> >>>>
> >>>>It doesn't make our policies better to pretend it's all about "hating"
> >>>>this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies
> >>>>that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy
those
> >>>>>sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the
> >
> > US, so
> >
> >>>>>they can get away with it - they are politically correct by
> >
> > birthright - the
> >
> >>>>>US isn't.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country
> >>>>>perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about due
due
> >>>>>to actions from people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults

in

> >>>>others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away.

Recognizing

> >>>>the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that information

> >>>>is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.

> >>>>

> >>>>Cheers,

> >>>>-Jamie K

> >>>><http://www.JamieKruz.com>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>>On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"

> >>>>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> >>>>>

> >>>>>

> >>>>>

> >>>>>

> >>>>>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message

> >>>>>>news:42cebcb2@linux...

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>

> >>>>>>>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending

an

> >>>>>>>attack

> >>>>>>>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before

> >

> > we

> >

> >>>>>>>went

> >>>>>>>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER.

> >

> > (Nevermind

> >

> >>>>>>>that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>*****

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> General admits to secret air war

> >>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> Jun 26, 2005

> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Michael Smith
> >>>>> Times Online (UK)
> >>>>>
> >>>>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the
> >
> > Iraq
> >
> >>>>>war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British
> >
> > officers
> >
> >>>>>that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the
> >
> > middle
> >
> >>>>>of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
> >>>>>Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003
> >
> > allied
> >
> >>>>>aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391
> >
> > "carefully
> >
> >>>>>selected targets" before the war officially started.
> >>>>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the
> >
> > allied
> >
> >>>>>victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have
to
> >
> > start
> >
> >>>>>the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the
no-fly
> >>>>>zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President
George
> >
> > W
> >
> >>>>>Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted

> >
> > illegally.
> >
> >>>>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times
> >
> > that
> >
> >>>>> showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described
in
> >>>>> leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of
activity
> >
> > to put
> >
> >>>>> pressure on the regime".
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July
17,
> >>>>> 2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the
southern
> >>>>> no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic
> >
> > minorities.
> >
> >>>>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing
a
> >>>>> meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw,
the
> >>>>> foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and
Admiral
> >
> > Sir
> >
> >>>>> Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was
> >
> > carrying
> >
> >>>>> out the bombing.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped
> >
> > in
> >
> >>>>> southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large
a
> >
> > part
> >

> >>>>>in the bombing as American aircraft.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US
> >
> > at
> >
> >>>>>the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a
> >
> > mistake.
> >
> >>>>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >
> >Cool product. In reviewing some of their standard offerings, it looks like
someone discovered graphic fractals for the first time and became entranced.

MEB

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>
><http://www.coloriffic.com/>
>
>Send them an email and ask about custom guards.

>
>DC

>
>
>
>
>"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:

>>
>>Let me know if you want to
>>>try one, and I will give you the company who did it.

>>>
>>>DC

>> I would love to know the company.
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=_linux42d292b8
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

OK, I'm playing around with a mono rhythm track and I decide to copy it to
another track....

So now I have 2 copies of the SAME track, right? Both panned to center.

I flip phase on one. EQ changes (comb filtering?) it sounds like the tracks pull more to the center of the image (which they should be anyway)but I would think the two would cancel each other out if I flip phase on one.

What's up here? Possibly both tracks aren't starting exactly on zero of the timeline and are offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same exact level?

Am I just being a phase ingnoramous? ;0)

Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!

Mike, The UnPhaseable

---=_linux42d292b8

Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="ad.jpg"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="ad.jpg"

/9j/4AAQSkZJRgABAQEAlGcWAAD//gAmRmlsZSB3cmI0dGVuIGJ5IEFkb2Jl
IFBob3Rvc2hvcKggNC4w/9sAQwAIBgYHBgUIBwcHCQkICgwUDQwLCwwZEhMP
FB0aHx4dGhwclCQuJyAiLCMchCg3KSwwMTQ0NB8nOT04MjwuMzQy/9sAQwEJ
CQkMCwwYDQ0YMiEcITlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjly
MjlyMjlyMjlyMjlyMjly/8AAEQgBLADEAwEiAAIRAQMRAf/EABwAAAIC
AwEBAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAUGAwQAAQIHCP/EAEcQAAIBAwMCBAQDBgMEBwkBAAEC
AwAEEQUSIQYxE0FRYRQicYEHMpEVI0JSocEWM9EkYrGyQ3KCkqLh8BclJzZE
U2Sj8cL/xAAaAQADAQEBAQAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABAQMAAUG/8QALBEAAgICAgED
AwMEAwwAAAAAAAAAECEQMhEjFBBCJRBRNhfDjXyYoGRobHB4f/aAAwDAQACEQMR
AD8A9J6Gjt/8G2klsu2GcPNGD3VCxIH2otEmE5A+uDSDNdZ6f+A8U1tNJDMt
nGiyRnDDc4H24Necpq92DKlb6+jlXcWluX4I8+9ctas9H0/ppZ7p9H0TgyxX
QAqvprtLpNnJKxd5I2YnuSVGaue9Ic5xjjB9a6CDP0rYyQRmtjgVjHDICeK
2lx5cZ5re3y866xjHH/nWMR7RjFYY+OfvUo5HbFYRxQMJCJRk7fetMgJPHI7
VKw49aiySfT2oms1tQDcFxxQRGXnH3rfDD2raKkXjnwgo2cV0EXHI4HapCBn
v9a18vrWMRkdgBW9hJqTjOAPvW+Mc81jFdkyMEkDzAqQKw8z37GpML6YrRHp
3rGlyOTWh271lcUr9baxc6No8c9new2sxxztcZMigcqueN1FRvoDdDGQCMZ5
rRT5cc4rze76w1tdaCQMNwkgSDTpiPnuUdcs+7yl/Sm/pK+u9S0R571iZ/Hk
XBQrswfy898dsj0oyx8diqQV5XgbcVldOhZs1IKU4nnOu/L+CGAmP3Ftke5k
WvOZowrOY0XhWDHPK55r0rqMY/Bhwc4WG15HpvXNeXPfWguLgpcQuJsYAJLZ
A8qd7gj1vp04RU+R9HaQD+xEf8A48f/ACirxBxVTTVH7Ksxz/kIDn/qirgp
DyW9s4ANdAeY79q0Dz37V0OTmggG1B862a1gjPNbAPbyomNVh7dq0R81bbgY
oGOGHbnj1rx236s1WTqVF+OInRjEGM4xtLYAr1fVpvhdlvLgtjw4XIPvjv
BFTwLG3veQ/xJG4n+XBpcjaWj1/pmKE1NyV/B9AkcYPbPIW14Hn9aQvxC1e9
08aVLYXkkIIvydh4bgEZOBC651PpnUUEU994IzKiFYgf3eH4GR601rRDH6Ce
SCnySu+/wevEnGSv3rZweRyRxXmGia1rlr1sdO1W7MwOVkTOVBxkEVUudd6i
124v7uwujBa2WTsQ4wv9zWckBfTpuVclVd+NnrYyPLH0rYPGTxIOo9XanddG
WV1FdPBdfENFK6cbsDvVuz1zqWK3/bV+rDTIbNiqEj52IAUnz5NbkJL6fIUb
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/Ejj6YL+KF27fwsx/wD11x0kSenOo2A7x/61LLGLj8VLuHPLMy/+ChWnarDo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6jbbviLDxo1H+Zbtkn/smtxMFSAWyPLtXEnbiqratZxukc8ywysm/bJ8pA96
7kvrRTsNxGrZwQWHpmhxYEIP4qZFhp3r4j/8tDOplkHQOGpzu+Ve/GNue1EP
xPlimsrDwpEkxl+dpz/CKC9RarZXHSej2cNwHmgAaQAflwuMGpZH7q/B9B6N
SeLFXSbluo4fC6N6ZkEjsJIW+UngfJ5Va6xnWDqTR3fcUht43OO55HA/Sueq
4nh6P6YhYYdliGGe37sVY6tCxdU6HO7KlvBjySeBhh3oVopgcbjy2vcQaVeJ
qv4jR3dsJ4opWOCRhgNtXbRGH4szL4jZ+b5/P8o8qK2vUsk/XR021itWsgf8
1EGQMc80BnvDbfijPNGYmJYqGd9qjK9yaOvPyTcPslJca9hJ1ArQfiPYCWcy

ECMmRI5xg+lc9SvJedcaQGLAMlwHxtJG5uazV98P4haa9xN4zKEZ2Azng8Ct
9X3Ej9a6TMy/D4Wlguey7zzQmtthxWnBf0s1+lq28V5pccDFtiyKxJyTynn5
0U17T7a1li6h1GZopoiqxwE53gdgBQ78RoYlBjRVgC+EfFYEc5y0Z71Fqci6
x+lsFpfOVtYNqKrHAHy7v68UW1bExxLHDdUnf8ABW0K9in6n1a7SJKjnglK
pt5UYqHQRvJei9auGC/u8YG3n9at6XNF/irW5ICjRiCUKQeGGAOKr6l5XoPW
12k7nXnyFLHx/c65N23HX7R2/DoD/CER4H7x+e2eaPy36SSLFCjSEkZKrl9
JnRe9em7XfzC0jjYG7nNPuEijxhVC+nHFPHo8P1es0n+SmYLpmYtdqOeBszg
VlcSXkQkYBZHwe6DirKYhTLmmDFgg92/41eB4FUdJbdp4Y+bNj9au9+1ZE32
bJ/SuCTx3zUJth4ksgllHiLtl8Q4X3A8qovpt0HQ2+q3Mag/Mr7ZN/3YcUQA
L00MNwpSaOORT3DAGh40C0ile1MtswlOI3IU+2O3NTTWuoNLGYdQwitmRGiB
LD0GOwrrfqQkjbWzlfzt4rA59himphs5K31tJIQVUy8AqpYK4Pnz27VxFrd
r8ouhJZy47TjAH/a7VFbzapcbLgLZPFztME5lYdueCD9q7nt9SulfDeG0ZTz
hmJx7ciil8mq/JfeO3ukKsplYc5AbNDpNBtkLC2xGGYEow3qfsahfSJQVZL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jnTbsc8cKf70rkb3E3w11gH9oNwTnES8iuzFMYgvxL785LbFz+mKiXUVkVjJ
BNCqruLSDAA+teedT/jBYaXcy2WI2jX8ycGXfilPsRya0YUQB/zK7NHFeSSE
DawEaYU+ucY+1dQ2rKoN1cNcsCCcyhVUj0UV84ax17r+ro0c148SHnZbOY1+
nHegj6pfGLwjdT7c5yZmzVvta7NZ9UXpvHXZa7lXjLzHnafQL61Tt1a1CxpG
JLqTPizSnlseZ+3lXzZZ9Q6ppi4sL+6iYtubbO+CfXFHdO/EzqLTm8Vr6S5O
7/ll5Rh7k8/pSvCwo92udLkezmbnDSt2Gz5EHsv965k1q0srVY/FE06oF2gg
c/8AW7UidP8A4nW/UrSWOqtb6VPs+Ri+YpD6ZPY0QGk3N1cRfDtDcRuPInXa
VH3Bpa+QpphiwvG1bU1iuLozAAsyINsYHp7mmYLBbQkAJFEPLGAPt50tJph6
fjSxfFuHYqCBhU45OPOiEWnxNItd3Ms7txGzHAX7du9K0uzFj9pSXjTJp6
l/hsAXkyqj7efY1U1S8TR7KW8uZjLMw2ou3Cg+QAq1HPBBc3bONpaVY1VV5f
A9PueaTOqNR/amoLDED8PAeWIOwPnx/SpzFwwww5SoEOxeXZKymaeTtk4bP
JxXoNtdQ2BWGZfj+RFXHmMfrSPotkt/1BEXkdVhTap5yN3enn/3ZDK9qjR+M
oAOck89smkxKo2/Jf1Td8F0gn4pkaPGFR+PmHJqPxbd5mtNqloecEHg+x861
KWF3AgQBdpxWjcRRI2BGDwcDLD60y7ORlJeWQSuvwjMAcBllABHrg1lQJe3E

yh7eNWj8iXAzWU1obiySzbURCfAjtmj3PtLs3J3Gs8DVZwvxdpprkHKkMfIH
txQW/XUJOir1un75nuzCSkYO5gPRfMHFJg1i80/Q9JutOv7u8ik1JD8LK3zR
sF+aJieeTzg1aEWyMpb0FLv8Mby6vZ7lru3SWSeaRACWCh1wByO+aibofX7S
/sJmNtdxW3h4IIO6NVQqQ

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 17:36:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ne.
>>
>> What's up here? Possibly both tracks aren't starting exactly on zero of
the
>> timeline and are offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same exact level?
>>
>> Am I just being a phase ingnoramous? ;0)
>>
>> Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!
>>
>> Mike, The UnPhaseable
>>
>>
>>
>> -----
>>It's true.

I didn't like any of their stock stuff.

Just out of the blue I asked them to do a custom for me and they
said sure!

DC

no skulls, boobies, knives, guns, crosses, pentagrams, or corporate
logos on my guitar, mate!

"Michael Broyles" <mbroyles@mindspring.com> wrote:

>
>
>Cool product. In reviewing some of their standard offerings, it looks like
>someone discovered graphic fractals for the first time and became entranced.

>
>MEB

>
>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>>
>><http://www.coloriffic.com/>
>>
>>Send them an email and ask about custom guards.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>
>>"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flangian@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>>>
>>>Let me know if you want to
>>>>try one, and I will give you the company who did it.
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>> I would love to know the company.
>>
>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>our mistakes. If you're going to go back to Hitler, go back a little
>farther and investigate why Germany was ripe for a despot to take
>control. Could WWII have been prevented with wiser post WWI policies?
>Could be.

Absolutely. The british and french went way overboard with
reparations in the Treaty of Versailles. I am not sure what the
US could have done about that.

>Stopping something bad before it starts doesn't automatically mean going
>in with guns blazing. It also means being very aware of what how past
>policies contributed to bad situations and taking that into account when
>formulating new policies.

Assuming that past situations never required violence to solve...
You assume they must not, because all violence is failure.

This, of course, is ideology, not necessarily fact.

It's like my friend the Aikido teacher.

Aikido is based on the belief of loving
protection for all people, even your attacker. It is not designed to
injure the attacker, just convince him that attacking you isn't going to
work.

Now, I ask this guy, what do you do when you simply must injure the guy to stop the fight? Well, you do not need to do that often, but if you do, then you injure them as little as possible. Do you kill them if you must, I asked? Only to save an innocent life, and only if all else has failed.

Now, Aikido is the most powerful defense system I know of, so these situations will be rare, but it was refreshing to see that they operate in the real world, not the ideological one.

So, that is my solution to international relations:

Aikido first resort, karate second, and gun-fu if you must...

>The world is growing up. At some point in history, if war becomes really

>necessary it's a de facto admission of failure to anticipate wisely. Are

>we there yet?

It's only de facto if the world has, in fact, grown up. I can tell you that the islamic middle east is 1000 years behind Ghandi on this subject.

take care

DCI did a search but couldn't find a topic on this.

I was wondering if anyone is using Paris with any other AD converters such as Apogee or Brand X?

Is it possible and if so could someone be so kind as to share their experiences and setups.

Thank You!

Paul O'Connor
P. M. Pr

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 17:56:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>

```
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
></HEAD>
><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Lock 'em up Danno.</FONT></DIV>
><DIV> </DIV>
><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> <DIV>"Mike Claytor" <<A=20
> href=3D"mailto:claytor@nospam.com">claytor@nospam.com</A>> wrote in =
>message=20
> <A =
>href=3D"news:42d2aea7$1@linux">news:42d2aea7$1@linux</A>...</DIV><BR>No,
=
>no=20
> lock tool. Just drug a .wav file into the track.<BR><BR><BR>John =
><<A=20
> href=3D"mailto:no@no.com">no@no.com</A>> wrote:<BR>>Did you use =
>the Lock=20
> tool to during the copy?<BR>><BR>>Mike Claytor =
>wrote:<BR>>> OK,=20
> I'm playing around with a mono rythmn track and I decide to copy=20
> it<BR>to<BR>>> another track....<BR>>> <BR>>> So now =
>I have=20
> 2 copies of the SAME track, right? Both panned to center.<BR>>>=20
> <BR>>> I flip phase on one. EQ changes (comb filtering?) it =
>sounds like=20
> the tracks<BR>>> pull more to the center of the image (which =
>they should=20
> be anyway)but<BR>I would<BR>>> think the two would cancel each =
>other out=20
> if I flip phase on one.<BR>>> <BR>
```

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 19:21:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>>>actually
>>>> >>>ended
>>>> >>>> up behind it or not.
>>>> >>>>
>>>> >>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>>>> >>>>


```

>> I would
>> >> think the two would cancel each other out if I flip phase on one.
>> >>=20
>> >> What's up here? Possibly both tracks aren't starting exactly on =
>>zero of
>> the
>> >> timeline and are offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same =
>>exact level?
>> >>=20
>> >> Am I just being a phase ingnoramous? ;0)
>> >>=20
>> >> Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!
>> >>=20
>> >> Mike, The UnPhaseable
>> >>=20
>> >>=20
>> >>=20
>> >> =
>> -----
>> >>=20
>>
>>
>><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
>><HTML><HEAD>
>><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html"; =
>>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
>><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>
>><STYLE></STYLE>
>></HEAD>
>><BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
>><DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Lock 'em up Danno.</FONT></DIV>
>><DIV> </DIV>
>><BLOCKQUOTE=20
>>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>> <DIV>"Mike Claytor" <<A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:claytor@nospam.com">claytor@nospam.com</A>> wrote in =
>>message=20
>> <A =
>>href=3D"news:42d2aea7$1@linux">news:42d2aea7$1@linux</A>...</DIV><BR>No,
>=
>>no=20
>> lock tool. Just drug a .wav file into the track.<BR><BR><BR>John =
>><<A=20
>> href=3D"mailto:no@no.com">no@no.com</A>> wrote:<BR>>Did you use =
>>the Lock=20
>> tool to during the copy?<BR>><BR>>Mike Claytor =
>>wrote:<BR>>> OK,=20

```

>> I'm playing around with a mono rhythm track and I decide to copy=20
>> it
to
>> another track....
>>
>> So now =
>>I have=20
>> 2 copies of the SAME track, right? Both panned to center.
>>=20
>>
>> I flip phase on one. EQ changes (comb filtering?) it =
>>sounds like=20
>> the tracks
>> pull more to the center of the image (which =
>>they should=20
>> be anyway)but
I would
>> think the two would cancel each =
>>other out=20
>> if I flip phase on one.
>>
>> What's up here? =
>>Possibly both=20
>> tracks aren't starting exactly on zero of
the
>> timeline =
>>and are=20
>> offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same exact level?
>> =
>>
>>
>> Am I just being a phase ignorant? =
>>;0)
>>=20
>>
>> Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!
>>=20
>>
>> Mike, The UnPhaseable
>>
>> =
>>
>>=20
>>
>>=20
>> =
>> ----- <=
>>BR>>>=20
>>
</BLOCKQUOTE></BODY></HTML>
>>
>>
>i look over my shoulder to see if the traffic was clear and got into a
head on accident...again; it happens every time...ya'd think i'd
learn.

On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 03:21:22 -0500, Brian Carter
<bricrter@skedaddlemindspring.com> wrote:

>In article <42d16520@linux>, "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>
>> Maybe when the ocean temperatures get warm enough to keep producing
>> hurricanes year around, we'll *get it*.
>
>
> Yep. But then Mr. Churchill put it best:
>
>
> "Men occasionally stumble over the truth, but most of them pick themselves up and hurry off
>as if nothing happened."
>

>
>bcCool.

Yeah, this is something I've never tried. The .wav file wasn't created in Paris. Wasn't a segment. I just dragged it to the empty track and started playing with it.

Just for my own edification, what's the dif between a locked file and unlocked file? I would intuitively think that you can make changes to the unlocked but not the locked, but that doesn't seem to be the case here.

Sorry, bad sinus day, I'm a little thick between the ears!

Mike

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincon@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Locked will cancel...drag in the segment...not the file itself...makes a difference

>"Mike Claytor" <claytor@nospam.com> wrote:

>>
>>So the locked audio files should cancel, correct?

>>
>>
>>

>>"Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

>>>
>>>

>>>Lock 'em up Danno.

>>>
>>> "Mike Claytor" <claytor@nospam.com> wrote in message =
>>>news:42d2aea7\$1@linux...

>>>
>>> No, no lock tool. Just drug a .wav file into the track.

>>>
>>>

>>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>> >Did you use the Lock tool to during the copy?

>>> >

>>> >Mike Claytor wrote:

>>> >> OK, I'm playing around with a mono rythmn track and I decide to =
>>>copy it

>>> to

>>> >> another track....

>>> >>=20

>>> >> So now I have 2 copies of the SAME track, right? Both panned to =

>>>center.
>>> >>=20
>>> >> I flip phase on one. EQ changes (comb filtering?) it sounds like
=
>>>the tracks
>>> >> pull more to the center of the image (which they should be =
>>>anyway)but
>>> I would
>>> >> think the two would cancel each other out if I flip phase on one.
>>> >>=20
>>> >> What's up here? Possibly both tracks aren't starting exactly on =
>>>zero of
>>> the
>>> >> timeline and are offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same =
>>>exact level?
>>> >>=20
>>> >> Am I just being a phase ingnoramous? ;0)
>>> >>=20
>>> >> Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!
>>> >>=20
>>> >> Mike, The UnPhaseable
>>> >>=20
>>> >>=20
>>> >>=20
>>> >>=20
>>>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 22:25:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d1e9c2@linux...
>
>>Hey Deej,
>>
>>Every now and then, hit your return key twice. Makes it easier to read.
>
> :^)
>
>>You're absolutely correct that we need to know history and not repeat
>>our mistakes. If you're going to go back to Hitler, go back a little
>>farther and investigate why Germany was ripe for a despot to take
>>control. Could WWII have been prevented with wiser post WWI policies?
>>Could be.

>>
>>Stopping something bad before it starts doesn't automatically mean going
>>in with guns blazing. It also means being very aware of what how past
>>policies contributed to bad situations and taking that into account when
>>formulating new policies.

>>
>>The world is growing up. At some point in history, if war becomes really
>>necessary it's a de facto admission of failure to anticipate wisely. Are
>>we there yet?

>>
>>Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>>
>>PS. Canadians are cool. We have one in our comedy group. And a Brit. If
>>you're ever in the hood you should come see a show:
>><http://www.acecomedy.com> - <http://www.acecomedy.com/orchestra>

>>
>>
>>Mr Simplicity wrote:

>>
>>
>>>Jamie,
>>>
>>>There is als thte argument to be made that those who ignore the lessons
>
> of
>
>>>history are doomed to repeat them. As I have said in other posts to this
>>>thread, I believe that our position in the world as the last standing
>>>superpower is a reaction to our having been repeated called on put a
>
> stop to
>
>>>events that were, for themost part, caused by the European proclivities
>
> for
>
>>>nationalistic aggression, the policy of appeasement of that aggression
>
> and
>
>>>the inevitable escalation of that aggression caused by said appeasement.
>
> We
>
>>>see it happening today. The Brits learned from this and though many of
>

> their
>
>>>citizens seem to have forgotten these lessons, many more have not,
>>>including those who run the government. I had this awful feeling when we
>
> had
>
>>>to go into Bosnia while the EU sat on their hands and did nothing while
>
> a
>
>>>genocide was in full swing, that, like it or not, we were going to need
>
> to
>
>>>hang on to and pay the taxes for this big military industrial complex,
>>>because we were going to have to keep cleaning up other people's messes.
>>>Having such a potentand military force also tends toward a proclivity to
>
> use
>
>>>it to stop something before it starts, as the Europeans should have done
>>>with Hitler, but didn't do. They were both politically reluctant to be
>
> seen
>
>>>as *the aggressors* and preempt him, an also psychologically unwilling
>
> to
>
>>>fight. This led to the slaughter of millions. Though the Italian
>
> government,
>
>>>the Danish government and other former eastern bloc governemnts have
>>>contributed a lot to our efforts to confront terrorism in Iraq and
>>>elsewhere, I think if we could see some sort of indication of *lessons
>>>learned* on the part of the continental western Euro nations like
>
> France,
>
>>>Spain and to a lesser extent Germany,we would feel a bit more
>
> comfortable
>
>>>with trusting that history didn't repeat itself. The Euro citizenry is,
>
> to a

>
>>>greater or lesser degree sticking their heads in the sand, as happened
>
> prior
>
>>>to WWII. We, and the Brits, have not forgotten. I am not including
>
> Canadians
>
>>>in this because as upset as I know they are, and as divided as they are
>>>about these issues and their feelings towards us, they have, like us,
>
> been
>
>>>dragged repeatedly into the never ending Euroquagmire, have suffered as
>
> we
>
>>>have and I have to respect their feelings, whatever they may be.
>>>
>>>Deej
>>>
>>>
>>>"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d147b4@linux...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hey Dedic, thanks for the thoughtful response.
>>>>
>>>>Most importantly, hiking a 14er would be great, let's keep in touch on
>>>>that. Also, if you're going to be heading to Denver give me a shout. If
>>>>I'm heading for the Springs I'll let you know.
>>>>
>>>>You're right about not knowing everything. A lot of arguments made here
>>>>hinge on speculation. War is often sold as the price for freedom, but
>>>>the sellers are not always correct or even sincere. As responsible
>>>>voters we must be able to see through smoke screens, rationalizations
>>>>and lies, but that's often hard except through hindsight.
>>>>
>>>>Past experience teaches us to carefully examine the many motivations for
>>>>war, not all of which are in the same lofty category as "the price of
>>>>freedom." There are other ways to help ensure freedom that are less
>>>>destructive and have less damaging consequences and those, too, must be
>>>>considered. Violence breeds violence and that consequence must be taken
>>>>into account as we try to find the wisest, most effective course of
>>>>action toward the better world we all want. Complacency is not an
>
> option.
>

>>>>Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Dedric Terry wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Hey Jamie,
>>>>>
>>>>>That was just a generalized response to the reactionary approach the
>>>>>previous poster had taken. The point was, I really think (and did
>>>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 23:04:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

opportunity
> for western breat-beating and the repetition of Marxist boilerplate.
>
> As a matter of fact, I consider it unethical to throw this stuff up in
> this context.
>
> The issue at hand is terrorism.
>
> So, do we act evolved (as Jamie suggests) in the face of 10th
> century ghouls who would kill your family to get on the evening
> news, and repeat the pacifist lines about war always being a failure,
> and reward terrorism by trying to negotiate with it? Or do both
> parties have to be evolved for any hope of succeeding?
>
> It's really quite simple, they want to continue to run murderous
> islamic theocracies in our age, and they fully intend to negotiate
> via the bomb and the gun until all demands are met.
>
>
> If I was running the world, I would drill, drill, drill for oil, right in
> front
> of these yuppie scum on the coasts who are now preventing it
> (with the Bush's help btw) then I would utterly outlaw all imports
> from any islamic theocracy or dictatorship. I would also start a huge
> government-funded project to develop oil-alternatives.
>
> And I would threaten, and carry out, massive retaliation for any acts
> of terrorism while divesting the west of all interest in the bloody

> cesspool of crazy people that we call the middle east.

>

>

> take care of yourself!

>

> DC

>

>Mr Simplicity wrote:

> I agree with your points,except for this one:

>

>

>>The world is growing up.

>

>

> Believing that the world is *growing up* would imply that lessons were
> learned from past mistakes. This recurring tendency of appeasement toward
> totalitarian aggression would seem to indicate otherwise.

This forum is one example of one process of maturing in world relations. We've heard from folks in Australia, Norway, Canada and the USA at least, and a variety of views from this group have been expressed from the comforts of our own locales, all within hours of the bombing in England. Such speedy worldwide communication between individuals who share things in common other than nationality is an important step.

We have a long way to go to include everyone, and we have a long way to go to remove the opportunities for abuse of power around the world. As is often quoted the price of freedom is eternal vigilance, and that includes being vigilant about our own governments.

Recasting Saddam as Hitler and Blair or Bush as Churchill doesn't accurately depict the recent events in Iraq. Whether such events have been close enough to make broad pronouncements about appeasement is debatable. Saddam had already been driven out of Kuwait and was pinned down with air patrols and weapons inspections that apparently worked. Even though there were significant problems, that's not exactly "appeasement" in the Hitlerian sense.

> As for comedy, I love it. the first CD I ever engineered and co-produced was
> for a Brit, Owen Edgerton.

>

> <http://www.mrsinus.com/bios.html>

Neato!

> The CD is titled Big,thick wooden Board. We started with a mono feed out of
> a casio keyboard and produced around it until it became a fairly respectable
> CD (at least for a wannabee engineer).

>
> !;o)
>
>
> I love comedy.

I think you'd really dig A.C.E. I have a blast doing music for them. If
you're into long form improv you might enjoy our improv troupe "N2O."

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 23:15:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
> >>>> >>In the 48 hours that bush gave Saddam before the invasion, there
were
> >>>satellite
> >>>> >>photos showing Russian special forces trucks and transport aircraft
> >>>moving
> >>>> >>the stuff to Syria from the top suspected WMD site at that time.
> >>>> >>Prior
> >>>> >to
> >>>> >>this time, trucks were moving stuff to this site from all over
Iraq.
> >>>This
> >>>> >>was on the national news, they showed the photos, but of corse the
> >>>> >>story
> >>>> >>was squashed, never to be heard again. The WMD is probably sitting
> >>>> >>back
> >>>> >>in Russia now, or in Syria. Maybe somebody can find some info on
this
> >>>on
> >>>> >>the web.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>It was clearly stated by president Bush, that he wanted to topple
> >>>> >>Saddam
> >>>> >>and his regime before Iraq was invaded. WMD was not the only
reason
> >>>> >>we

> >>>> >went
> >>>> >>in. We should have immediately gone in in 1997 when Saddam kicked
the
> >>>>UN
> >>>> >>weapons inspectors out, but somebody was too busy copping a hummer
in
> >>>>the
> >>>> >>white house and giving our pulse weapons technology to the Chinese.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>Use your common sense, if they can hide tons and tons of
explosives,
> >>>>isn't
> >>>> >>it possible that they could still be hiding some WMD? WE did find
> >>>> >>some
> >>>> >WMD,
> >>>> >>although not a significant amount. it was about a hundred or so
> >>>> >>shells
> >>>> >and
> >>>> >>rockets, enough to kill thousands. They could not and would not
> >>>> >>account
> >>>> >>for tons of WMD. Anyway I think they were moved out of Iraq, they
had
> >>>>plenty
> >>>> >>of time and they had help by other countries.
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>>
> >>>> >>>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>> >>>> >>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on
earth
> >>>> >>>> >who
> >>>> >>>> >>saw
> >>>> >>>> >>>> any
> >>>> >>>> >>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>> >>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way
> >>>> >>>> >>>> they
> >>>> >>>> >>went
> >>>> >>>> >>>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
> >>>> >>>> >>>> clearly
> >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>> garbage).
> >>>> >>>> >>>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co
wanted
> >>>>Saddam
> >>>> >>>> >>>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because

they

> >>>noticed

> >>>> >>>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would

> >>>> >>>> give

> >>>> >>them

> >>>> >>>> more oil power.

> >>>> >>>>

> >>>> >>>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,

> >>>politically,

> >>>> >>>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,

> >>>>and

> >>>> >>not

> >>>> >>>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public.

It

> >>>was

> >>>> >>>>obviouly

> >>>> >>>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from

> >>>tyranny"

> >>>> >>>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to

> >>>care

> >>>> >>if

> >>>> >>>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell

> >>>them

> >>>> >>>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I

> >>>*almost*

> >>>> >>>>would

> >>>> >>>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached

> >>>> >>>> that

> >>>> >>as

> >>>> >>>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.

> >>>had

> >>>> >>>>waited

> >>>> >>>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that

> >>>eventually

> >>>> >>>> the U.N. would

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 23:56:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

>>>before

>>>
>>>
>>>>the war talk started) that there is more to the story than has, or
>>>
>>>probably
>>>
>>>
>>>>ever will be publicized - we'll never know for sure either way.
>>>>
>>>>The poster had come across sounding like Iraqis were doing just fine
>>>
>>>before
>>>
>>>
>>>>we went in but are a mess now because of us and no one else - and the
>>>
>>>poster
>>>
>>>
>>>>doesn't like Bush (to each their own of course). I'm sure the hundreds
>>>
>>>of
>>>
>>>
>>>>thousands of Iraqis that were tortured or killed under Saddam's regime
>>>
>>>might
>>>
>>>
>>>>disagree about their apparent well-being at the time. Certainly the
>>>>country's infrastructure and economy were better off before the war.
>>>
>>>War is
>>>
>>>
>>>>devastating no matter how you look at it.
>>>>
>>>>Both sides of the circumstances sadden me - tyrannical rule is more
>
> than
>
>>>>anyone should have to endure, and certainly would be more than most
>>>>Americans could bear in our desire to have no one guide, much less
>>>
>>>restrict
>>>
>>>
>>>>our personal decisions; and if war is the only way to be free (as our

>>>>predecessors found in the 1700's), freedom comes at a high price. As a
>>>>country we've paid such a price many times - Rev. War, Civil War, WWI,
>>>
>>>WWII,
>>>
>>>
>>>>Korea, Veitnam, etc. It never gets easy. It is never glorious. My
>>>
>>>hope
>>>
>>>
>>>>now is that the outcome for the Iraqi people is freedom to make their
>>>
>>>own
>>>
>>>
>>>>decisions about who governs them and how - free from tyranny, and free
>>>
>>>from
>>>
>>>
>>>>anarchist insurgents. I'm just not sure many people think there is any
>>>
>>>cost
>>>
>>>
>>>>worth paying anymore. After all, \$2.39 a gallon or which movie to go
>>>
>>>see is
>>>
>>>
>>>>about the highest cost most people have to weigh out here in the US (by
>>>>comparison to many other countries with far greater struggles in their
>>>>pathes). With such a gift of opportunity for life here comes a great
>>>>responsibility, one that I think we are losing perspective on as a
>>>
>>>country
>>>
>>>
>>>>(as much of the world is also). Sometimes we will take on too much
>>>>shouldering of that responsibility, and sometimes not enough, or not
>>>
>>>enough
>>>
>>>
>>>>of the right things, or the right way.
>>>>
>>>>I don't know if we've made all the right decisions - war isn't a

>>>
>>>decision
>>>
>>>
>>>>that makes sense at the time in light of the loss and devastation it
>>>
>>>brings
>>>
>>>
>>>>- it only disrupts one course to put another in motion. The best we
>
> can
>
>>>do
>>>
>>>
>>>>before hand is speculate whether the current course is destined for
>>>
>>>tragedy
>>>
>>>
>>>>or not. After the fact, only time will tell what the new course will
>>>
>>>bring,
>>>
>>>
>>>>but we never know if left as-is what would have happened. So, we have
>>>
>>>no
>>>
>>>
>>>>definitive answer - esp. when put on a human scale. We didn't have a
>>>
>>>chart
>>>
>>>
>>>>that told us what number of Jews had to die before Hitler needed to be
>>>>stopped. We didn't have a chart for Iraq either, and made the
>>>
>>>assumption
>>>
>>>
>>>>there were direct threat dangers there - the true answer will never be
>>>
>>>known
>>>
>>>
>>>>(WMD could be in Syria, or not at all; Saddam was working on building

>>>
>>>nukes,
>>>
>>>
>>>>but whether that was destined to become a dire threat if left
>
> unchecked,
>
>>>>with or without sanctions, we'll never know). What cost has to be paid
>>>>before there is justification for force? No one really has the answer
>>>
>>>to
>>>
>>>
>>>>that - it's an impossible question to answer from a personal
>>>
>>>perspective,
>>>
>>>
>>>>and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come
>>>
>>>by,
>>>
>>>
>>>>and quite unpopular when it is there.
>>>>
>>>>Well, that's about enough philosophizing for me.
>>>>
>>>>Hey - sorry I haven't made it out your way yet - I'm in Co. Springs
>
> now.
>
>>>If
>>>
>>>
>>>>you have a free afternoon after the first of August, drop me a line.
>>>
>>>Ever
>>>
>>>
>>>>hike any 14'ers? I'm thinking of doing one before the summer ends.
>>>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>On 7/9/05 9:06 AM, in article 42cfd9a1@linux, "Jamie K"
>>>><Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dedric Terry wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it
>
> publicly
>
>>>after
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN
>
> sanctions
>
>>>to
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>say that they destroyed the country? Interesting how Bush-haters
>>>>>>conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I
>>>>>>think people here are interested in smart and effective policy
>>>>>>decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a digression.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think
>>>>>>it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I
>>>>>>haven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership,
>
> except
>
>>>>>>that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic
>>>>>>groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the cold
>>>>>>war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then
>>>>>>there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local
>>>>>>countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things
>>>>>>happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the
>>>>>>following administration. Mistakes can and are propagated across
>>>>>>multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current

>>>>>administration took advantage of the no-fly policy to try to provoke a
>>>>>war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it.
>
> If
>
>>>>>not, so be it. Either way, the allegation should be looked at.
>>>>>
>>>>>We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall
>>>>>short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should
>>>>>ignore mistakes from others in the world.
>>>>>
>>>>>It doesn't make our policies better to pretend it's all about "hating"
>>>>>this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies
>>>>>that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy
>
> those
>
>>>>>>sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the
>>>
>>>US, so
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>they can get away with it - they are politically correct by
>>>
>>>birthright - the
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>US isn't.
>>>>>
>>>>>>If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country
>>>>>>perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about
>
> due
>
>>>>>>to actions from people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults
>
> in
>
>>>>>>others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away.
>
> Recognizing
>

>>>>>the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that
>
> information
>
>>>>>is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.
>>>>>
>>>>>Cheers,
>>>>>-Jamie K
>>>>><http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
>>>>>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:42cebc2@linux...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending
>
> an
>
>>>>>>>>attack
>>>>>>>>>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before
>>>
>>>we
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>went
>>>>>>>>>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>BTW, the UN sanctions is the flimsiest excuse for a war EVER.
>>>
>>>(Nevermind
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>that those very UN sanctions destroyed the country anyway)

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *****
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> General admits to secret air war
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Jun 26, 2005
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Michael Smith
>>>>>>> Times Online (UK)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> THE American general who commanded allied air forces during the
>>>
>>> Iraq
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> war appears to have admitted in a briefing to American and British
>>>
>>> officers
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> that coalition aircraft waged a secret air war against Iraq from the
>>>
>>> middle
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> of 2002, nine months before the invasion began.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Addressing a briefing on lessons learnt from the Iraq war
>>>>>>> Lieutenant-General Michael Moseley said that in 2002 and early 2003
>>>
>>> allied
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> aircraft flew 21,736 sorties, dropping more than 600 bombs on 391
>>>
>>> "carefully
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> selected targets" before the war officially started.
>>>>>>> The nine months of allied raids "laid the foundations" for the
>>>
>>> allied
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> victory, Moseley said. They ensured that allied forces did not have
>
> to

>
>>>start
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>the war with a protracted bombardment of Iraqi positions.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If those raids exceeded the need to maintain security in the
>
> no-fly
>
>>>>>>>zones of southern and northern Iraq, they would leave President
>
> George
>
>>>W
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Bush and Tony Blair vulnerable to allegations that they had acted
>>>
>>>illegally.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> Moseley's remarks have emerged after reports in The Sunday Times
>>>
>>>that
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>showed an increase in allied bombing in southern Iraq was described
>
> in
>
>>>>>>>leaked minutes of a meeting of the war cabinet as "spikes of
>
> activity
>
>>>to put
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>pressure on the regime".
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Moseley told the briefing at Nellis airbase in Nebraska on July
>
> 17,
>
>>>>>>>2003, that the raids took place under cover of patrols of the
>
> southern
>

>>>>>>>no-fly zone; their purpose was ostensibly to protect the ethnic
>>>
>>>minorities.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> A leaked memo previously disclosed by The Sunday Times, detailing
>
> a
>
>>>>>>>meeting chaired by the prime minister and attended by Jack Straw,
>
> the
>
>>>>>>>foreign secretary, Geoff Hoon, the then defence secretary, and
>
> Admiral
>
>>>Sir
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Michael Boyce, chief of defence staff, indicated that the US was
>>>
>>>carrying
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>out the bombing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> But Moseley's remarks, and figures for the amount of bombs dropped
>>>
>>>in
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>southern Iraq during 2002, indicate that the RAF was taking as large
>
> a
>
>>>part
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>in the bombing as American aircraft.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Details of the Moseley briefing come amid rising concern in the US
>>>
>>>at
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>the war. A new poll shows 60% of Americans now believe it was a
>>>

>>>mistake.

>>>

>>>

>>>>>>> <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-1669640,00.html>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>

>

>Thanks Don, it would be fun to jam sometime.

Actually, I don't think we're all that far apart on a lot of issues.

There's just a couple of perspective things where you're looking at a different end of the elephant.

Cheers,

-Jamie K

<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DC wrote:

> Hey! Good to hear from you too.

>

> You know, it's admirable to discuss all this political stuff, especially

>

> with good manners and all, but eventually you get to point of the
> absolutely incompatible basic assumptions that inform our views:

>

> Pacifism vs. military power

> theism vs. atheism

> left vs. right

> history being understandable vs. history being a series of hidden

> conspiracies

> modernism vs postmodernism etc etc

>

> When we reach the point where we realize that our assumptions

> are simply different, we need to let go if it, IM-ever-so-HO

>

> Take me and Jamie. I think his views on much of this stuff are

> completely wrong, but I really like the guy, and would hang out

> with him and make music.

>

> The PARIS community is too small to get divided up into sides

> because of issues none of us can change. I cannot remember

> one person ever changing sides because of these arguments.

>

> So, I would like to see us not cross the line and get hard feelings
> because the other guy won't give in. They're not gonna. sorry.
>
> At the end of the day, I am here for PARIS, and I will check in as
> long as it is worthwhile to do so.
>
> DC
>
>
>
>
>
> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>
>>He, he, Don, you couldn't hold up;-) Good to see you back.
>>
>>erlilo
>>
>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d05d0d\$1@linux...
>>
>>>Hey guys,
>>>
>
>
>>>Jumped back in to see how you all are doing, and it's the same dang
>>>conversation we had last year...
>>>
>>>heh heh
>>>
>>>
>>>Here's what we know.
>>>
>>>Rewarding terror brings more terror. (thanks Spain!)
>>>
>>>Ghandi was wrong. Sometimes war brings peace.
>>>
>>>And the beatings will continue until the terrorism stops...
>>>
>>>
>>>It's easy to be an intellectual when someone else is doing the dying..
>>>
>>>
>>>(Don's corollary:
>>>
>>>It's easy to be an equipment snob with someone else's money...)
>>>
>>>
>>>DC

>>>

>>>BTW, what did the G8 just give the Palestinians? 50 billion??

>>>

>>>Who says bombing innocent civilians doesn't pay?

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>He, he Don, I knew most of your answers on forehand and I generally

>over-simplicated my writings after my own thoughts since there have been

>som many coming here on this side for some years, talking like America was

>in war from the beginning in both worldwars we had the last century.

I just don't understand what is important about who was in that war first. After all, it was a european war at first wasn't it?

It seems as if we are either criticized for selling the arms to defend europe (greed) or criticized for not joining in early enough (moral cowardice)

Now invert things, and ask yourself, if america did not sell the arms to free europe and russia could we not be criticized for moral cowardice as well? If we jumped right in as soon as Hitler committed his first act of war, couldn't we then be accused of being bloodthirsty imperialists looking to rule europe?

In reality, this is all about socialists trying to bury america, and you are using these terrorists to do it.

I hate to say it, but it is true. EVERY single time some horrible act is committed against the west, off we go again with the litany of america's so-called sins. Not just on this list, but in so many others and in the media.

Erling. It's bull. All of it. Bull.

If you want to discuss our many mistakes, you may do so, but not in the context of the dead bodies still being pulled out of the stench of the tube tunnels. Have some decency and wait a bit would you?

Unless of course, you believe that we are to blame for every act

of every crazy evil bastard with a bomb. Unless of course, you believe that all these things are simple revenge for the Dulles brothers and the Bay of Pigs.

And if you believe those things, you are wrong, and if you do not reconsider them, you will become part of the evil in the world.

Have you no heart for those innocent people? We STILL have to discuss WWI, WWII, and some loudmouth fish-head tourist you met, and God knows what else??

Let's see, next time some innocent people are killed by an islamofascist bomber, what can we bring up??

Fill in the blanks _____

hee hee hee Let's all gang up on the americans...

Erling, you should be ashamed of yourself.

>Yes, I know very well about the Marshall-help and all the other helping

>hands Europe have got up against the years, but most of you Americans had

>plenty of family in Europe and blood is allways thicker than water, as far

>as I remember.

You think THAT was the motivation?

We kill each other in the Civil War. Family against family, to maintain the Union, yet we only really entered WWII to save some distant cousins we don't even know?

You must be feeling terribly guilty for being so unfair to us to dream up such nonsense.

>The

>first time American country was directly involved in a warzone was 11sept,

>as far as I can remember,

Except for the little problem at Pear Harbor huh?

>Someone wants allways to be a leader of a lands people, some of them can be

>really good for the people and some can be really bad. Bush is in my eyes

>showing up with mostly a bad moral for the people but is trying his best to

>show up with a a glorie with all his behavings for his friends in the big

>industries.

You're wrong. He is far from perfect, but this charge will not stand the slightest scrutiny.

Again, we have to defend *Bush* in the context of this attack in London? The most charitable in the history of the world, the one that liberated the most people, still we must defend ourselves to YOU when the ghouls attack?

I am not going to trot out the history of Norway's shortcomings, in repsonse.

>So, in a few words and again generally, I was just trying to say that we're

>all from the same human race here on this planet, with the same kind of

>stories with problems for both rich and poor all over the world and have

>done and is doing the same faults generations after generations, in the

>trying to find material richdom in something;-)

>

>As far as I have seen, my behavings for the day have allways show up in the

>way for tomorrow.

Actually, sometimes you give massive blood, kindness and treasure and get highly educated, spiritually bankrupt ingratitude in return.

Consider this: What if the Israelis and the Palestinians really WERE morally equivalent? Given Israel's military might, what would they have done to the Palestinians by now? What would the Palestinians do if they had Israel's military might? What would the

islamofascists do to Norway if it refused to insitute sharia law, and the islamofascists held nuclear weapons?

If the United States was really about Oil and Greed and Imperialism how would we really act, given what we could do?

If we dropped a nuke on Mecca in response to the next bombing, and another one on Syria if there was another one, would they stop?

But of course, we won't do that. We're much too decent for it.

So we sacrifice innocent lives, and actually waste our time and concern trying to dialog with those who hate us, and we still respond to people like you (who raise WWII of all things) when some secretaries and musicans get blown to purple mush by some islamofascist asshole on their way to work.

You amaze me in how little of yourself you can apparently see.

Come to America and learn the truth.

DCOn 11 Jul 2005 09:01:42 +1000, "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>
>Hey all,
>
>Been doing some different projects recently.
>
>Here's a pickguard I designed.
>
>I love Mondrian (obviously...)
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 00:02:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>DC

Very cool. Also has a very Frank Lloyd Wright flavor to it, a' la the Coonley Playhouse windows, but without the circles.

pabput.....the grouse.....down

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hfh5d1hr69po6vti955r3hoip653tg2p7k@4ax.com...
> lets see that would make me transparent, obvious, etc...yup that's me
> alright.
>
> On Mon, 11 Jul 2005 04:32:10 -0400, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
> >Rick are you www (writing while wasted) again...? :)
> >
> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:pua4d1t2utr7aq961gh75cvf7f3lee6ulm@4ax.com...
> >> "when I was rollin' my own"...drunks??? ;o)
> >>
> >> On Sun, 10 Jul 2005 12:58:42 -0600, "Mr Simplicity"
> >> <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>>that commie infiltrated leftie
> >>>lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.
> >>>
> >>>Damn right.....and by God, we're gonna have to keep a close eye on
> >>>those prarie home companions. (First time I ever heard the term *prarie
> >>>home
> >>>companion* the thought of a lonely housewives in a sod dwelling doing
> >>>unspeakable things with a grouse came to mind.....but that's was
> >>>back
> >>>in the days when I was rollin' my own)
> >>>
> >>>;oP
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:8mq2d15681h8s7e6cq3284corc7912thdn@4ax.com...
> >>>> it's design was responsible for it's structural failure. as the
> >>>> joists started to sag from the heat the bolts holding the members to
> >>>> the outside wall together failed. also the center stairwell
structure
> >>>> was not designed to withstand the forces applied. there was a whole
> >>>> thing on this about 2 years ago on...that commie infiltrated leftie
> >>>> lying scab sucking pbs...nova program.
> >>>>
> >>>> On 10 Jul 2005 07:21:38 +1000, "Wes" <wexus6@aol.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> >
> >>>>> >Do you have a source for this theory?
> >>>>> >Do you also have an explanation for why world trade center 7
> >>>>> >fell on 9/11.
> >>>>> >-Wes

> >>>> >
> >>>> >

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 03:51:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of
time
> >>>before
> >>>> >>>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
> >>>procrastinate
> >>>> >>>for
> >>>> >>>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole
swag
> >>>> >>>> of
> >>>> >>>countries
> >>>> >>>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more".
Sure
> >>>it
> >>>> >>was
> >>>> >>>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth
it.
> >>>The
> >>>> >>>way
> >>>> >>>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell
bent
> >>>>on
> >>>> >>war
> >>>> >>>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer
would
> >>>>have
> >>>> >>>made
> >>>> >>>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
> >>>>actually
> >>>> >>>ended
> >>>> >>>> up behind it or not.
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>> This London thing is screwed.
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH
DOH!!
> >>>> >>>>

> >>>> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> >>>> Kim.
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>>>
> >>>> >>
> >>>> >>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >
>Paul Braun <cygnus_nospam@ctgonline.org> wrote:

>Very cool. Also has a very Frank Lloyd Wright flavor to it, a' la the
>Coonley Playhouse windows, but without the circles.
>
>pab

I love Wright. We went to Fallingwater a few years back.

<http://www.paconserve.org/fw-twilight-04.htm>

Stood in the living room. I kind of walked around while the guide was giving her speech. Then she said: "ok, any questions?"

I popped up with:

WE'LL TAKE IT. ARE THE LOAN PAPERS READY? WHAT'S THE RATE??

She wouldn't even listen to my offer!!

heh

DC

Holy cow, what a gorgeous house. I use a Mytek AD 24/96 with Paris. It has a bit more top end air, but when the Paris converters are slaved to the Mytek clock, I put the Paris converters a bit ahead sonically. I think that given the choice of an 8 channel tracking scenario using Mytek vs Paris, I'd probably pick the Paris converters for most things. I pretty much just use the Mytek for Vox tracks and acoustic guitar with SDC's if I'm going with a tube preamp or tube mic as the characteristics of the Mytek complement the sound of tube pre's/mics pretty well. The Paris converters, when slaved to the 9ps Mytek clock sound just awesome.....very sonically balanced and phat.

Deej

"Paul O'Connor" <pmproductions007@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42d2b448@linux...

> I did a search but couldn't find a topic on this.

>

> I was wondering if anyone is using Paris with any other AD converters such as Apogee or Brand X?

> Is it possible and if so could someone be so kind as to share their experiences and setups.

>

> Thank You!

>

> Paul O'Connor

> P. M. Productions

> Breckenridge, TX

>

>>Just drug a .wav file into the track.

That's what happens when you drug .wav files. They line up about like 0.20 candidate at a sobriety checkpoint.

;o)

"Mike Claytor" <claytor@nospam.com> wrote in message news:42d2aea7\$1@linux...

>

> No, no lock tool. Just drug a .wav file into the track.

>

>

> John <no@no.com> wrote:

> >Did you use the Lock tool to during the copy?

> >

> >Mike Claytor wrote:

> >> OK, I'm playing around with a mono rythmn track and I decide to copy it

> > to

> >> another track....

> >>

> >> So now I have 2 copies of the SAME track, right? Both panned to center.

> >>

> >> I flip phase on one. EQ changes (comb filtering?) it sounds like the tracks

> >> pull more to the center of the image (which they should be anyway)but

> > I would

> >> think the two would cancel each other out if I flip phase on one.

> >>

> >> What's up here? Possibly both tracks aren't starting exactly on zero of

> > the

> >> timeline and are offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same exact level?

> >>
> >> Am I just being a phase ingnoramous? ;0)
> >>
> >> Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!!
> >>
> >> Mike, The UnPhaseable
> >>
> >>
> >>
>

>> -----

> >>
>Hello again Don,
I can see and understand that you don't have understand what I have written at all. You have just seen words that you don't like at all, as an patriotic American and have gone directly and deeply into your shooting grave, firing up at once at all those bastards that's saying "ugly" things about America;-)
I know your American feelings really well here and I too are proud of all the good things America have done up against the years. But what about the ugly international things that there have been more and more of since the second worldwar ended, things that different American presidents allways tried to hold top secret and let organizations like CIA do the ugly jobs? Countries like Chile as a little excample. Can't most of American like you see it at all, that the sum of things like these up against the years now are popping up and are the first things to blame when terrorists now are attacking civilians in different places around the western world?

So, summa sumarum, and with fewer words: With good and ugly things done up against the years, Americans are for me just showing up as a real "normal" human race as all others in the world. But as an American like you, it seems to be really difficult to understand when you just want to be remembered as the good guys that allways have done just the god things, with or without a gun in the hands.

By the way, it seems that you have problems with your American story or is it just that you have allways thinked of Hawaii as American? Hawaii wasn't American at all in the fourties, as far as I can remember. Wasn't it first in '59 it became American?

So, let me again say it in much fewer words, so I hope it can be more understandable what I have tried to say all the way: We're all sitting in the same boat in the world and that boat isn't an American boat at all. It's a boat with all kind of different people from the whole world, trying to survive in different ways, not only the American way.

I would really see it as an honor to come to America, if it wasn't for my

economical situation (but I don't need any form for Marshall help in my well living here;-)), but learning the truth, I don't really think I could the American way:-) But I have been plenty of times in different American towns in the early sixties and loved it. By the way, I was travelling much around the whole world in those days, seeing much and thinking a lot over things, like sitting in a bar in Corpus Christi, Texas in early sixtyone and drinking American Cola in my poor youth (I drink it today too, but not as much, too much sugar that's not healthy for anyone.:-)). I couldn't see any negro at all in the bar and found out that my 5 years older brothers words where true. My clean white brother have been plenty of times in America and was being so brown under the sun that he allways was stopped when trying to visiting bars in the south states. There he was just a negro.

So, I'm not ashamed at all over saying things like what I'm saying here. Maybe I'm too old to be ashamed or isn't been brainwashed enough for any other living system than the Scaninavian.

By the way, I saw a world statistic some months ago about the best countries to live in in the world. As far as I remember I couldn't see USA on that list all. Or maybe I was just blinded when I saw three Scandinavian countries as heroes on top of that list? Yes, it was really good to be Norwegian after looking on that list too:-)

Take care

erlilo

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d2fd80\$1 @linux...

>

> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>>He, he Don, I knew most of your answers on forehand and I generally
>>over-simpificated my writings after my own thoughts since there have been

>

>>som many coming here on this side for some years, talking like America was

>

>>in war from the beginning in both worldwars we had the last century.

>

>

> I just don't understand what is important about who was in that war
> first. After all, it was a european war at first wasn't it?

>

> It seems as if we are either criticized for selling the arms to defend
> europe (greed) or criticized for not joining in early enough (moral
> cowardice)

>

> Now invert things, and ask yourself, if america did not sell the arms
> to free europe and russia could we not be criticized for moral

> cowardice as well? If we jumped right in as soon as Hitler
> committed his first act of war, couldn't we then be accused of being
> bloodthirsty imperialists looking to rule europe?
>
> In reality, this is all about socialists trying to bury america, and you
>
> are using these terrorists to do it.
>
> I hate to say it, but it is true. EVERY single time some horrible
> act is committed against the west, off we go again with the litany
> of america's so-called sins. Not just on this list, but in so many others
> and in the media.
>
> Erling. It's bull. All of it. Bull.
>
> If you want to discuss our many mistakes, you may do so, but not in
> the context of the dead bodies still being pulled out of the
> stench of the tube tunnels. Have some decency and wait a bit
> would you?
>
> Unless of course, you believe that we are to blame for every act
> of every crazy evil bastard with a bomb. Unless of course, you
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 11:16:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mecca in response to the next bombing,
> and another one on Syria if there was another one, would they
> stop?
>
> But of course, we won't do that. We're much too decent for it.
>
> So we sacrifice innocent lives, and actually waste our time and
> concern trying to dialog with those who hate us, and we still
> respond to people like you (who raise WWII of all things) when some
> secretaries and musicans get blown to purple mush by some islamofascist
> asshole
> on their way to work.
>
> You amaze me in how little of yourself you can apparently see.
>
> Come to America and learn the truth.
>
> DC
> I do this with vocals a lot in freeform. I'll copy one object to

another track with the lock tool ON. Then I know they line up. Then I'll put verb on both and delay on one. Even better would be to double the vocal but this works quickly for filling out a vocal.

With the lock tool on you know they are lined up.

John

Mr Simplicity wrote:

>>Just drug a .wav file into the track.

>

>

> That's what happens when you drug .wav files. They line up about like 0.20

> candidate at a sobriety checkpoint.

>

> ;o)

>

> "Mike Claytor" <claytor@nospam.com> wrote in message

> news:42d2aea7\$1@linux...

>

>>No, no lock tool. Just drug a .wav file into the track.

>>

>>

>>John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>>Did you use the Lock tool to during the copy?

>>>

>>>Mike Claytor wrote:

>>>

>>>>OK, I'm playing around with a mono rythmn track and I decide to copy it

>>

>>to

>>

>>>>another track....

>>>>

>>>>So now I have 2 copies of the SAME track, right? Both panned to center.

>>>>

>>>>I flip phase on one. EQ changes (comb filtering?) it sounds like the

>

> tracks

>

>>>>pull more to the center of the image (which they should be anyway)but

>>

>>I would

>>

>>>>think the two would cancel each other out if I flip phase on one.

>>>>

>>>>What's up here? Possibly both tracks aren't starting exactly on zero of

>>
>>the
>>
>>>timeline and are offset by a hair? Channels not quite the same exact
>
> level?
>
>>>>Am I just being a phase ingnoramous? ;0)
>>>>
>>>>Anyway, gotta get back to my magazine!!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>Mike, The UnPhaseable
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>> -----
>>>
>
>Hello,

subject says it. I'm afraid to test it as I think there was possibly damage to the EDS card or the C16 when using the wrong cable type.

How would I know which cable is which, is UTP = TwistedPair? How do I recognize a "normal" RJ 45 network cable?

Thanks a lot,

Martin"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>
>Paul Braun <cygnus_nospam@ctgonline.org> wrote:
>
>>Very cool. Also has a very Frank Lloyd Wright flavor to it, a' la the
>>Coonley Playhouse windows, but without the circles.
>>
>>pab
>
>
>I love Wright. We went to Fallingwater a few years back.
>
><http://www.paconserve.org/fw-twilight-04.htm>

That place IS cool... I've been there once - was blown away.

Neil"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>put.....the grouse.....down...

....Damien.

:)...never mind...started thinking, found out by myself...

Martin

----- Original Message -----

---deleted for good reason...:-)So, your using the word clock that resides in the Mytek 8channel A/D unit???

or a freestanding mytek word clock?

Rod

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>I use a Mytek AD 24/96 with Paris. It has a bit more top end air, but when
>the Paris converters are slaved to the Mytek clock, I put the Paris
>converters a bit ahead sonically. I think that given the choice of an 8
>channel tracking scenario using Mytek vs Paris, I'd probably pick the Paris
>converters for most things. I pretty much just use the Mytek for Vox tracks
>and acoustic guitar with SDC's if I'm going with a tube preamp or tube mic
as

>the characteristics of the Mytek complement the sound of tube pre's/mics
>pretty well. The Paris converters, when slaved to the 9ps Mytek clock sound
>just awesome.....very sonically balanced and phat.

>

>Deej

>

>"Paul O'Connor" <pmproductions007@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:42d2b448@linux...

>> I did a search but couldn't find a topic on this.

>>

>> I was wondering if anyone is using Paris with any other AD converters
such

>> as Apogee or Brand X?

>> Is it possible and if so could someone be so kind as to share their
>> experiences and setups.

>>

>> Thank You!

>>

>> Paul O'Connor

>> P. M. Productions

>> Breckenridge, TX

>>

>>

>

>Mine is a Stereo96 ADC.

<http://www.mytekdigital.com/stereo96.htm>

I'm taking the WC output from the unit and feeding it into a Lucid GenX6 which is set to distribute an external clock signal rather than generate it's own signal and I'm distributing the Mytec clock to x MECs, 2 x RME HDSP 9652's and a Lexicon reverb unit.

I was using the Lucid clock before I got the Mytek. The Mytek Stereo 96 and a Lucid CKL6 distro module would cost less than an Apogee big Ben. The Mytek clock is rated at 9 picoseconds which is about the best I've run across, plus you get a couple of excellent A/D converters to boot.

;o)

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:42d3c656\$1@linux...

>

> So, your using the word clock that resides in the Mytek 8channel A/D unit???

> or a freestanding mytek word clock?

> Rod

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >I use a Mytek AD 24/96 with Paris. It has a bit more top end air, but when

> >the Paris converters are slaved to the Mytek clock, I put the Paris

> >converters a bit ahead sonically. I think that given the choice of an 8

> >channel tracking scenario using Mytek vs Paris, I'd probably pick the Paris

> >converters for most things. I pretty much just use the Mytek for Vox tracks

> >and acoustic guitar with SDC's if I'm going with a tube preamp or tube mic

> as

> >the characteristics of the Mytek complement the sound of tube pre's/mics

> >pretty well. The Paris converters, when slaved to the 9ps Mytec clock sound

> >just awesome.....very sonically balanced and phat.

> >

> >Deej

> >

> >"Paul O'Connor" <pmproductions007@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> >news:42d2b448@linux...

> >> I did a search but couldn't find a topic on this.

> >>

> >> I was wondering if anyone is using Paris with any other AD converters

> such

> >> as Apogee or Brand X?

> >> Is it possible and if so could someone be so kind as to share their

> >> experiences and setups.
> >>
> >> Thank You!
> >>
> >> Paul O'Connor
> >> P. M. Productions
> >> Breckenridge, TX
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d1a8d6\$1@linux...
>
> Hey all,
>
> Been doing some different projects recently.
>
> Here's a pickguard I designed.
>
> I love Mondrian (obviously...)
>
> DC

Im thinking "Partridge Family"

dbl've been having problems clocking a few digital devices together via a Big Ben. I was still having an occasional pop when transferring over ADAT. I've been living with it for a few months. Well I finally cracked the manual on the Big Ben. I then noticed that the front panel was showing under termination on everything I had connected (2 MEC, an RME digiface, an Eclipse, a TC m2000). So I spent 30 bucks on some t-splitters and 75ohm 1% terminators. The big ben is now reporting correct termination, and I have no problems.

I had assumed that the devices themselves could terminate, but it's definitely not the case. I am terminating my RME cards with BNC terminator caps on the outputs because I am feeding the common clock source to each one individually rather than daisy-chaining them with a terminator on

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 12:45:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

d/HN1NWoVfoXhmF2VraTYsh
53qLGvLPM9wBoYRo72m2IBPym9dV/ZMb034jurMx/u/Q8ufjEra6LxTjiriR
bQ6TajQ8V+5EVis87XL/AHdtPbHn4v8Avpr1ONDH6IFUYZUf/iNZN+jHFbEO

AgY7F/lnSFql2DKv9ip6sdDRTXjVPozV1Zp0qPrsR2xwIDLPIbN6/KoNrJbx
VzC+w5W

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 17:50:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

cV
yNn8SryNZXKDanmksE4jt/TsmiYbLMbD1D6ESI+E/wCBaFlk3HGH41stXlpz
VkyqvlDg19aNFV0aDtad6D+1u3TpilH+ri5o714EQ/h+k5WjZT+GCHEI8MK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sYrCL2G3v31xui02zb+v5iaix2PRjLAqE1FT5iyvChU2Ti5djsydb0eBvy

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 21:31:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

" target="_blank">1@linux...
>> >>>
>> >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth
who
>> >saw
>> >>> any
>> >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>> >>>
>> >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>> >went
>> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
>> >clearly
>> >>>garbage).
>> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted

>Saddam
>> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>noticed
>> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would give
>> >them
>> >>> more oil power.
>> >>>
>> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>politically,
>> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
and
>> >not
>> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
>was
>> >>>obviouly
>> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>tyranny"
>> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
care
>> >if
>> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
>them
>> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I *almost*
>> >>would
>> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached that
>> >as
>> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
had
>> >>waited
>> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>eventually
>> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co actually
>> >>*didn't
>> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
>> >>charge,
>> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
>> >>restructured.
>> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>before
>> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>procrastinate
>> >>for
>> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag
of
>> >>countries
>> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
it
>> >was

>> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
>The
>> >>way
>> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
on
>> >war
>> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would
have
>> >>made
>> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>actually
>> >>ended
>> >>> up behind it or not.
>> >>>
>> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>> >>>
>> >>> This London thing is screwed.
>> >>>
>> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>> >>>
>> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>> >>>
>> >>> Cheers,
>> >>> Kim.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>No, I'm not, but it made a lot of people think dinnit? Truly,
>if we did that, I think it would only take about three cities'
>worth before the Islams said "ENOUGH!"... give us a break!

israel has been trying this method for quite a while,
just on a smaller scale. did it reduce terror over there? you tell me.

OTOH, there was this *one* time when they tried the deescalation
method for a change. did it reduce terror? you bet it did.

too bad that some extremist religious fanatic asshole
shot the man that was brave enough to try this. theyve been
back to business as usual ever since (and its not working
like always)"Brian Porick" <brianporick@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42d42e13\$1@linux...
> After seeing your post, I downloaded this yesterday. Today, my closest

> co-worker was showing off how cool Google Maps is to me, and I said "You
> ain't seen nothing yet, pal." Next thing I know, he's giving himself a
> personal tour of Mount Everest, and then pretty much the rest of the
> department is downloading this thing. So, congratulations, sir. You have
> single-handedly destroyed all productivity in the Wheaton College Media
> Resources department this afternoon.
>
> I'm proud of you, man.

glad to hear it... you guys needed something to do today anyway.

Good work. It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn from the mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d436c1\$1@linux...

>
> doug,
>
>
> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> youve come a long way, really.
>
>
> regards,
> derek
>
>
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> >
> > Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but seriously.....
> > you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive with
> their
> > own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these days
> > about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how the
> Euro's
> > whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the chips
> are
> > down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and watch
> > the Serbs do a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
>those
> > meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all our
> > fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick up a
> few
> > million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying of
> > starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his violations

> of
> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies off
their
> >festering wounds and running to the crapper with dysentery.....and
just
> >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say guys?
> >
> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
> >
> >
> >
> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> >>
> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
> >> time.
> >>
> >> Neil
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Neil
> >>
> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> >> >
> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil
> >> companies.

> >> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed pipelines
> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about destabilizing
> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for
> >> >George's
> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> >> >
> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the same
> >> >way
> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for
> >> >Israeli/US
> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his agenda
> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our so-called
> >> >friends
> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> >> >
> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US government
> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible to
> >> >their
> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military contracts,
> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social
> >> >safety-net
> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with
> >> >high
> >> >gas and oil prices.
> >> >
> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early
> >> >1900s
> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology) is
> >> >to
> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply can't
> >> >afford
> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt the US
> >> >government
> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing a great
> >> >job.
> >> >
> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem to
> >> >consider.
> >> >One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through
> >> >taxation,
> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth

> is
> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy.
> Why?
> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of every
> >dollar
> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend every
> red
> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends,
> >the
> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
government
> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
> >> >
> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the US
> is
> >> heading
> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's no
> >success
> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how
heartbroken
> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always
> >believed
> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very
worrisome
> >> >times.
> >> >
> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
senselessness
> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
> >

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 21:38:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >
> >> >All the best,
> >> >Mike Audet
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >>Kim,
> >> >>
> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however,
> >agree
> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at all

> >(my
> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the war
> >feel
> >> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 23:19:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

monster who was a proven menace to
stability
> >> >in
> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't
> >know,
> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down
> one
> >> >of
> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have
done
> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn
about
> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power
> >was
> >> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would
have
> >> half
> >> >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
> >infrastructure
> >> >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone as
> we
> >> >>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
> >> >>
> >> >>Regards,
> >> >>
> >> >>Deej
> >> >>
> >> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >>> >>and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth
> who
> >> >saw
> >> >>> any
> >> >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> >> >>>

> >> >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
> >> >went
> >> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
> >clearly
> >> >>garbage).
> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
> >Saddam
> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
> >noticed
> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would
give
> >> >them
> >> >>> more oil power.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
> >politically,
> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
> and
> >> >not
> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public. It
> >was
> >> >>obviouly
> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
> >tyranny"
> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem to
> care
> >> >if
> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to tell
> >them
> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I
almost
> >> >>would
> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached
that
> >> >as
> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
> had
> >> >>waited
> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
> >eventually
> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
actually
> >> >>*didn't
> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be in
> >> >>charge,
> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country was
> >> >>restructured.

> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
> >before
> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
> >procrastinate
> >> >>for
> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag
> of
> >> >>countries
> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more". Sure
> it
> >> >was
> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth it.
> >The
> >> >>way
> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
> on
> >> >war
> >> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would
> have
> >> >>made
> >> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
> >actually
> >> >>ended
> >> >>> up behind it or not.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> This London thing is screwed.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> >> >>>
> >> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Cheers,
> >> >>> Kim.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >> >>> you know how i meant it.

whatever.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn from

the
>mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
>
>
>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
>>
>> doug,
>>
>>
>> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
>> youve come a long way, really.
>>
>>
>> regards,
>> derek
>>
>>
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
>> >
>> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but seriously.....
>> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive with
>their
>> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these days
>> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how the
>Euro's
>> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the chips
>are
>> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and watch
>> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
>.....those
>> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all our
>> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick up
a
>> few
>> >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying of
>> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his violations
>> of
>> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies off
>their
>> >festering wounds and running to the crapper with disentery.....and
>just
>> >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say guys?
>> >
>> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
>> >
>> >

>> >
>> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
>> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
>> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
>> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
>> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
>> >>
>> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
>> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
>> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
>> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
>> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
>> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
>> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
>> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
>> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
>> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
>> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
>> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
>> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
>> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>> >> time.
>> >>
>> >> Neil
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Neil
>> >>
>> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>> >> >
>> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil
>> >> >companies.
>> >> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed
>> >> >pipelines
>> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
>> >> >destabilizing
>> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for
>> >> >George's
>> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>> >> >
>> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the
>> >> >same

>> >> way
>> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for
>> >Israeli/US
>> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his
>agenda
>> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our so-called
>> >friends
>> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
>> >> >
>> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US
>government
>> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible
to
>> >their
>> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military
>contracts,
>> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social
>> >safety-net
>> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly with
>> high
>> >> >gas and oil prices.
>> >> >
>> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the early
>> >1900s
>> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology)
is
>> to
>> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply can't
>> >afford
>> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt the
US
>> >government
>> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing
a
>great
>> >> >job.
>> >> >
>> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem
to
>> >consider.
>> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through
>> >taxation,
>> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies wealth
>> is
>> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy.
>> Why?
>> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of every
>> >dollar

>> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend every
>> red
>> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich friends,
>> >the
>> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
>government
>> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
>> >> >
>> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the
US
>> is
>> >> heading
>> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's
no
>> >success
>> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how
>heartbroken
>> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always
>> >believed
>> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very
>worrisome
>> >> >times.
>> >> >
>> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
>senselessness
>> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>> >> >
>> >> >All the best,
>> >> >Mike Audet
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >>Kim,
>> >> >>
>> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not, however,
>> >agree
>> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at
all
>> >(my
>> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the
war
>> >feel
>> >> >>due to there having been

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 23:32:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>believed
> >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very
> >worrisome
> >> >> >times.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
> >senselessness
> >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >All the best,
> >> >> >Mike Audet
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> >>Kim,
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not,
however,
> >> >agree
> >> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good at
> all
> >> >(my
> >> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to the
> war
> >> >feel
> >> >> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have
easily
> >> >> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria
> or
> >> in
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is
over
> >> >yet.
> >> >> >I
> >> >> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be
over
> >> so
> >> >> they
> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the while
> >bellowing
> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
therefore,
> >> the
> >> >> >war

> > > >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest
> >partisan
> > > >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and
> in
> > >doing
> > > >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to
> >stability
> > > >in
> > > >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I
don't
> > >know,
> > > >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting
down
> > one
> > > >of
> > > >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have
> >done
> > > >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn
> >about
> > > >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in
power
> > >was
> > > >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would
> >have
> > > >half
> > > >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
> > >infrastructure
> > > >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone
> as
> > we
> > > >>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
> > > >>
> > > >>Regards,
> > > >>
> > > >>Deej
> > > >>
> > > >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
> > > >>>
> > > >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on
earth
> > >who
> > > > >saw
> > > >>> any
> > > >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> > > >>>
> > > >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way

> >they
> >> >> >went
> >> >> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
> >> >clearly
> >> >> >>garbage).
> >> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co
wanted
> >> >Saddam
> >> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because
they
> >> >noticed
> >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would
> >give
> >> >> >them
> >> >> >>> more oil power.
> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
> >> >politically,
> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own
agenda,
> >> and
> >> >> >not
> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public.
> It
> >> >was
> >> >> >>obviouly
> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq
from
> >> >tyranny"
> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem
> to
> >> care
> >> >> >if
> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to
> tell
> >> >them
> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I
> >*almost*
> >> >> >>would
> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached
> >that
> >> >> >as
> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the
U.S.
> >> had
> >> >> >>waited
> >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
> >> >eventually

> > > >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
> > actually
> > > >>*didn't
> > > >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be
> in
> > > >>charge,
> > > >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country
> was
> > > >>restructured.
> > > >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of
time
> > >before
> > > >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
> > >procrastinate
> > > >>for
> > > >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole
swag
> > >of
> > > >>countries
> > > >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more".
> Sure
> > >it
> > > >was
> > > >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth
> it.
> > >The
> > > >>way
> > > >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell
> bent
> > >on
> > > >war
> > > >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer
would
> > >have
> > > >>made
> > > >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
> > >actually
> > > >>ended
> > > >>> up behind it or not.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> This London thing is screwed.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> > > >>>
> > > >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH
DOH!!

> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>> Cheers,
> >> >> >>> Kim.

> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fineprint,
> but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
> reading the stereotype BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
> thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize you.

maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or something.
cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?

shakes head in disbelief

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.

>
> Regards,

>
> DJ

>
> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45332\$1@linux...

>>
>> you know how i meant it.

>>
>> whatever.

>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>> > It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn from
>> the

>> > mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.

>> >
>> >

>> > "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d436c1\$1@linux...

>> >>

>> >> doug,

>> >>
>> >>
>> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
>> >> youve come a long way, really.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> regards,
>> >> derek
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
>> >> >
>> >> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
>seriously.....
>> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive
with
>> >their
>> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these
>days
>> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how
the
>> >Euro's
>> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the chips
>> >are
>> >> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and
>watch
>> >> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
>> >.....those
>> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all
>our
>> >> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick
up
>> a
>> >> few
>> >> >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying
of
>> >> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
>violations
>> >> of
>> >> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies
off
>> >their
>> >> >festering wounds and running to the crapper with disentery.....and
>> >just
>> >> >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say
>guys?

>> >> >
>> >> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
>> >> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
>> >> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
>> >> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
>> >> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
>> >> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
>> >> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
>> >> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
>> >> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
>> >> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
>> >> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
>> >> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
>> >> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
>> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
>> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
>> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
>> >> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
>> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>> >> >> time.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Neil
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Neil
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US
oil
>> >> >> >companies.
>> >> >> > > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed
>> >> >> > >pipelines
>> >> >> > >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
>> >> >> > >destabilizing
>> >> >> > >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions

for
>> >> >George's
>> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US
the
>> >same
>> >> >> way
>> >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback
for
>> >> >Israeli/US
>> >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his
>> >agenda
>> >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our
>so-called
>> >> >friends
>> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US
>> >government
>> >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible
>> to
>> >> >their
>> >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military
>> >contracts,
>> >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social
>> >> > >safety-net
>> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly
>with
>> >> high
>> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the
>early
>> >> >1900s
>> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology)
>> is
>> >> to
>> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply
>can't
>> >> >afford
>> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt
the
>> US
>> >> >government
>> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing
>> a
>> >great

>> >> >> >job.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem
>> to
>> >> >consider.
>> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through
>> >> >taxation,
>> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies
>wealth
>> >&g

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2005 23:33:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no WMD's found, the delay could have easily
>> >> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria
or
>> in
>> >> >the
>> >> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is over
>> >yet.
>> >> >I
>> >> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be over
>> so
>> >> they
>> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the while
>bellowing
>> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found, therefore,
>> the
>> >> >war
>> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest
>partisan
>> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions and
in
>> >doing
>> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to
>stability
>> >> >in
>> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I don't
>> >know,
>> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting down
>> one
>> >> >of
>> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have
>done
>> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn

>about
>> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in power
>> >was
>> >> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would
>have
>> >> half
>> >> >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
>> >infrastructure
>> >> >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone
>as
>> we
>> >> >>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Regards,
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Deej
>> >> >>
>> >> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth
>> >who
>> >> >saw
>> >> >>> any
>> >> >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way
>they
>> >> >went
>> >> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which was
>> >clearly
>> >> >>>garbage).
>> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co wanted
>> >Saddam
>> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because they
>> >noticed
>> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would
>give
>> >> >them
>> >> >>> more oil power.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>> >politically,
>> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own agenda,
>> >and
>> >> >not
>> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public.

It
>> >was
>> >> >>obviouly
>> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq from
>> >tyranny"
>> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem
to
>> care
>> >> >if
>> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have to
tell
>> >them
>> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that I
>*almost*
>> >> >>would
>> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached
>that
>> >> >as
>> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the U.S.
>> had
>> >> >>waited
>> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>> >eventually
>> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
>actually
>> >> >>*didn't
>> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could be
in
>> >> >>charge,
>> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country
was
>> >> >>restructured.
>> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of time
>> >before
>> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>> >procrastinate
>> >> >>for
>> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole swag
>> of
>> >> >>countries
>> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more".
Sure
>> it
>> >> >was
>> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth
it.
>> >The
>> >> >>way

>> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell bent
>> on
>> >> >war
>> >> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer would
>> have
>> >> >>made
>> >> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>> >actually
>> >> >>ended
>> >> >>> up behind it or not.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> This London thing is screwed.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH DOH!!
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Cheers,
>> >> >>> Kim.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.

Regards,

DJ

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45332\$1@linux...
>
> you know how i meant it.
>
> whatever.
>
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn from
> the
> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> >

> >
> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> doug,
> >>
> >>
> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> >> youve come a long way, really.
> >>
> >>
> >> regards,
> >> derek
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> >> >
> >> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
seriously.....
> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive with
> >their
> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these
days
> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how the
> >Euro's
> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the chips
> >are
> >> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and
watch
> >> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
> >.....those
> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all
our
> >> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick up
> >a
> >> few
> >> >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying of
> >> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
violations
> >> of
> >> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies off
> >their
> >> >festering wounds and running to the crapper with disentery.....and
> >just
> >> >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say
guys?
> >> >

> >> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1 @linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> >> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> >> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> >> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> >> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> >> >>
> >> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> >> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> >> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> >> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> >> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> >> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> >> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> >> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> >> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> >> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
> >> >> time.
> >> >>
> >> >> Neil
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Neil
> >> >>
> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US oil
> >> >> >companies.
> >> >> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed
> >> >> >pipelines
> >> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
> >> >> >destabilizing
> >> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions for
> >> >> >George's
> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.

> >> >> >
> >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US the
> >same
> >> >> way
> >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback for
> >> >> >Israeli/US
> >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or his
> >agenda
> >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our
so-called
> >> >friends
> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US
> >government
> >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as possible
> to
> >> >their
> >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military
> >contracts,
> >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social
> >> >> >safety-net
> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly
with
> >> high
> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the
early
> >> >1900s
> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative ideology)
> is
> >> to
> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply
can't
> >> >afford
> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt the
> US
> >> >government
> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's doing
> a
> >great
> >> >> >job.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't seem
> to
> >> >consider.
> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth through

> >> >taxation,
> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies
wealth
> >> is
> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy.
> >> Why?
> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of
every
> >> >dollar
> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend
every
> >> red
> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich
friends,
> >> >the
> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
> >government
> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the
> US
> >> is
> >> >> heading
> >> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's
> no
> >> >success
> >> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how
> >heartbroken
> >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always
> >>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 00:18:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; is
>> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the economy.
>> >> Why?
>> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of
>every
>> >> >dollar
>> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend
>every
>> >> red
>> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich
>friends,
>> >> >the

>> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
>> >government
>> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of America.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the
>> US
>> >> is
>> >> >> heading
>> >> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda. There's
>> no
>> >> >success
>> >> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how
>> >heartbroken
>> >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always
>> >> >believed
>> >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very
>> >worrisome
>> >> >> >times.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
>> >senselessness
>> >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >All the best,
>> >> >> >Mike Audet
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >>Kim,
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not,
>> >however,
>> >> >agree
>> >> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good
>> at
>> all
>> >> >(my
>> >> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to
>> the
>> war
>> >> >feel
>> >> >> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have
>> >easily
>> >> >> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in Syria
>> or
>> >> in
>> >> >> >the

>> >> >> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is
>over
>> >> >yet.
>> >> >> >I
>> >> >> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be
>over
>> >> so
>> >> >> they
>> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the while
>> >bellowing
>> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
>therefore,
>> >> the
>> >> >> >war
>> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest
>> >partisan
>> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions
and
>> in
>> >> >doing
>> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to
>> >stability
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I
>don't
>> >> >know,
>> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting
>down
>> >> one
>> >> >> >of
>> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would have
>> >done
>> >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a damn
>> >about
>> >> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in
>power
>> >> >was
>> >> >> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we would
>> >have
>> >> >> half
>> >> >> >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
>> >> >infrastructure
>> >> >> >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a bone
>> as
>> >> we
>> >> >> >>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>Regards,

>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>Deej
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on
>earth
>> >> who
>> >> >> >saw
>> >> >> >>> any
>> >> >> >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the
way
>> >they
>> >> >> >went
>> >> >> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which
was
>> >> >clearly
>> >> >> >>>garbage).
>> >> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co
>wanted
>> >> >Saddam
>> >> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because
>they
>> >> >noticed
>> >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq would
>> >give
>> >> >> >them
>> >> >> >>> more oil power.
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
>> >> >politically,
>> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own
>agenda,
>> >> and
>> >> >> >not
>> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the public.
>> It
>> >> >was
>> >> >> >>>obviouly
>> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq
>from
>> >> >tyranny"
>> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't seem
>> to

>> >> care
>> >> >> >if
>> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have
to
>> tell
>> >> >them
>> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that
I
>> >*almost*
>> >> >> >>would
>> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have stomached
>> >that
>> >> >> >as
>> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the
>U.S.
>> >> had
>> >> >> >>waited
>> >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N. that
>> >> >eventually
>> >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
>> >actually
>> >> >> >>*didn't
>> >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could
be
>> in
>> >> >> >>charge,
>> >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the country
>> was
>> >> >> >>restructured.
>> >> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of
>time
>> >> >before
>> >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>> >> >procrastinate
>> >> >> >>for
>> >> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole
>swag
>> >> of
>> >> >> >>countries
>> >> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little more".
>> Sure
>> >> it
>> >> >> >was
>> >> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been worth
>> it.
>> >> >The
>> >> >> >>way
>> >> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just hell

>> bent
>> >> on
>> >> >> >war
>> >> >> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer
>would
>> >> have
>> >> >> >>made
>> >> >> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the U.N.
>> >> >actually
>> >> >> >>ended
>> >> >> >>> up behind it or not.
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> This London thing is screwed.
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH
>DOH!!
>> >> >> >>>
>> >> >> >>> Cheers,
>> >> >> >>> Kim.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>

>Please, please Don, I am not doing any defence of any terrorism or terrorists at all, can't you really understand these facts? And I'm not trying to score any cheap points at all on the London bombing or all the sivilians that have lost their lives because of stupid statemen affairs up against the years. I have just tried to show you an opinion, from outside America, how the roots to people like these can grow up and hate so much that they are doing these kind of things.
By the way, saw they have arrested someone up in NorthEngland after the bombs in London earlier today. Hope it's some of the right persons they have arrested and will find them all.
Michael Moore? you mean that famous American filmmaker that don't like the American President's behavings and is saying it high and clearly? Sorry to say, I havn't heard him speaking or seeing any of his films. Have just read about him, that he have won big American and international prizes for works

like "Fahrenheit 9/11". It must have reasons that he have won these high prizes and I think it can't be for any lies he have told in these films.

So, yes I did it again Don, but not for the reasons you are throwing at me here. You see, I don't need any Michael Moore or any President or American lifestyle to think for me at all.

Nonsense, accusations, and lies? Have you documentations to stand besides your speaking here or is it just believings? Sitting nearly three years in different Scandinavian libraries, doing research for a book about second worldwar and my father, gave me plenty of documentations about the last couple of hundred years of wars to stand behind what I'm trying to say here about war and terror.

Sorry to hear that you don't know at all what Norway stands for in humanity and political thinking. If it wasn't for me, maybe you didn't had any knowings about Norway at all? So, I think it's time for you to find out real documentations and facts about that nation too before throwing out talk about racism and intolerance that really can be used as a mirror to why the world have all these kind of problems.

Take care

erlilo

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d402ed\$1@linux...

>

> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>>Hello again Don,

>>I can see and understand that you don't have understand what I have

>>written

>

>>at all. You have just seen words that you don't like at all, as an

>>patriotic

>

>>American and have gone directly and deeply into your shooting grave,

>>firing

>

>>up at once at all those bastards that's saying "ugly" things about

>>America;-)

>

> Erling, that simply isn't the case. What I was unhappy about was

> you using dead bombing victims as another opportunity to trash a

> country to do not understand, and everything you know about

> seems to come from Michael Moore. I certainly understood you.

>

> So, you did it again!

>
> Even more nonsense, accusations, and lies. This is your solution
> to Islamic terrorism... Maybe when they blow up your friends and
> family we can all talk about Norweigan racism and intolerance...
>
> Swell.
>
> Bye Erling.
>
>
> DC
>Derek,

I'm going to sleep before I reply to this.

DJ

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45df1@linux...

>
> maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,
> but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
> reading the stereotype BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
> thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize you.
>
> maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or something.
> cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?

>
> *shakes head in disbelief*

>
>
>
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.

> >
> >Regards,

> >
> >DJ

> >
> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45332\$1@linux...

> >>
> >> you know how i meant it.
> >>
> >> whatever.

> >>
> >>

> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn
from
> >> the
> >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> doug,
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> >> >> youve come a long way, really.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> regards,
> >> >> derek
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
> > >> >seriously.....
> >> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive
> > >> >with
> >> >> >their
> >> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these
> >> >> >days
> >> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >Euro's
> >> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the
chips
> >> >> >are
> >> >> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and
> >> >> >watch
> >> >> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
> >> >> >.....those
> >> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all
> >> >> >our
> >> >> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick
> >> >> >up
> >> >> >a
> >> >> >few

> >> >> >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying
> of
> >> >> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
> >violations
> >> >> of
> >> >> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies
> off
> >> >their
> >> >> >festering wounds and running to the crapper with
disentery.....and
> >> >just
> >> >> >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say
> >guys?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> >> >> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> >> >> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> >> >> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> >> >> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> >> >> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> >> >> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> >> >> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> >> >> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> >> >> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> >> >> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if th

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 00:20:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ey don't
> >> >> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> >> >> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> >> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> >> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
> >> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> >> >> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> >> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> >> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long

> > > > time.
> > > >
> > > > Neil
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Neil
> > > >
> > > > "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> > > > >
> > > > >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US
> > > > >oil
> > > > >companies.
> > > > > >And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed
> > > > > >pipelines
> > > > > >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
> > > > > >destabilizing
> > > > > >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions
> > > > > >for
> > > > > >George's
> > > > > >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US
> > > > > >the
> > > > > >same
> > > > > >way
> > > > > > >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback
> > > > > > >for
> > > > > > >Israeli/US
> > > > > > >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or
> > > > > > >his
> > > > > > >agenda
> > > > > > > >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our
> > > > > > > >so-called
> > > > > > > >friends
> > > > > > > > >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US
> > > > > > > > >government
> > > > > > > > > >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as
> > > > > > > > > >possible
> > > > > > > > > >to
> > > > > > > > > > >their
> > > > > > > > > > > >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military
> > > > > > > > > > > > >contracts,
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social

> >> >> >safety-net
> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly
> >with
> >> >> high
> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 00:39:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the
> >early
> >> >> >1900s
> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
ideology)
> >> is
> >> >> to
> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply
> >can't
> >> >> >afford
> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt
> the
> >> US
> >> >> >government
> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's
doing
> >> a
> >> >great
> >> >> >job.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't
seem
> >> to
> >> >> >consider.
> >> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth
through
> >> >> >taxation,
> >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies
> >wealth
> >> >> is
> >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the
economy.
> >> >> Why?
> >> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of
> >every

> >> >> >dollar
> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend
> >every
> >> >> red
> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich
> >friends,
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
> >> >government
> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of
America.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that
the
> >> US
> >> >> is
> >> >> >> heading
> >> >> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda.
There's
> >> no
> >> >> >success
> >> >> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how
> >> >heartbroken
> >> >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've
always
> >> >> >believed
> >> >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very
> >> >worrisome
> >> >> >> >times.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
> >> >senselessness
> >> >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >All the best,
> >> >> >> >Mike Audet
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >>Kim,
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not,
> >however,
> >> >> >agree
> >> >> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good
> >at
> >> all

> >> >> >(my
> >> >> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to
> the
> >> war
> >> >> >feel
> >> >> >> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have
> >easily
> >> >> >> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in
Syria
> >> or
> >> >> in
> >> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is
> >over
> >> >> >yet.
> >> >> >> >I
> >> >> >> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be
> >over
> >> >> so
> >> >> >> they
> >> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the while
> >> >bellowing
> >> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
> >therefore,
> >> >> the
> >> >> >> >war
> >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest
> >> >partisan
> >> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions
> and
> >> in
> >> >> >doing
> >> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to
> >> >stability
> >> >> >> >in
> >> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I
> >don't
> >> >> >know,
> >> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting
> >down
> >> >> one
> >> >> >> >of
> >> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would
have
> >> >done
> >> >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a
damn
> >> >about

> > > > >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in
> >power
> > > >was
> > > > >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we
would
> > >have
> > > > half
> > > > >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
> > > > >infrastructure
> > > > >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a
bone
> > >as
> > > >we
> > > > >>speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't
subtle.
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Regards,
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Deej
> > > > >>
> > > > >>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > >>news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > >>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on
> >earth
> > > >who
> > > > >saw
> > > > >> any
> > > > >>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the
> >way
> > > >they
> > > > >went
> > > > >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which
> >was
> > > > >clearly
> > > > >>>garbage).
> > > > >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co
> > > > >>> wanted
> > > > >>> Saddam
> > > > >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because
> > > > >>> they
> > > > >>> noticed
> > > > >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq
would
> > > > >>> give

> >> >> >> >them
> >> >> >> >>> more oil power.
> >> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,
> >> >> >politically,
> >> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own
> >agenda,
> >> >> and
> >> >> >not
> >> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the
public.
> >> It
> >> >> >was
> >> >> >>>obviouly
> >> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq
> >from
> >> >> >tyranny"
> >> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't
seem
> >> to
> >> >> care
> >> >> >> >if
> >> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have
> to
> >> tell
> >> >> >them
> >> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that
> I
> >> > *almost*
> >> >> >> >>>would
> >> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have
stomached
> >> >that
> >> >> >> >as
> >> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the
> >U.S.
> >> >> had
> >> >> >> >>>waited
> >> >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N.
that
> >> >> >eventually
> >> >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
> >> >actually
> >> >> >> >>>*didn't
> >> >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could
> be
> >> in
> >> >> >> >>>charge,

> > > > >>> and that would give them more power politically as the
country
> > was
> > > > >>restructured.
> > > > >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of
> >time
> > > >before
> > > > >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
> > > >procrastinate
> > > > >>for
> > > > >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A whole
> >swag
> > > > of
> > > > >>countries
> > > > >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little
more".
> > Sure
> > > > it
> > > > >was
> > > > >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been
worth
> > > > it.
> > > > >The
> > > > >>way
> > > > >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just
hell
> > bent
> > > > on
> > > > >war
> > > > >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer
> >would
> > > > have
> > > > >>made
> > > > >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the
U.N.
> > > > >actually
> > > > >>ended
> > > > >>> up behind it or not.
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> This London thing is screwed.
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH
> >DOH!!
> > > > >>>

> >> >> >> >>> Cheers,
> >> >> >> >>> Kim.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Erling,

I appreciate you struggling with english to communicate with me, and I am not mad at you, nor was I accusing Norway of anything.

This is simple. I consider it unethical to use the death of innocent people as an opportunity to trash my country. You come visit, I will solidly refute every euro-socialist cliched view you hold of this country, and send you home a better man. You need to stop getting all your info from euro sources...

And that is as far as I am going to participate in the act of attacking and defending anyone's country. yours or mine.

Be well

DC

"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>Please, please Don, I am not doing any defence of any terrorism or >terrorists at all, can't you really understand these facts? And I'm not

>trying to score any cheap points at all on the London bombing or all the

>sivilians that have lost their lives because of stupid statemen affairs
up

>against the years. I have just tried to show you an opinion, from outside

>America, how the roots to people like these can grow up and hate so much

>t

hat they are doing these kind of things.

>By the way, saw they have arrested someone up in NorthEngland after the

>bombs in London earlier today. Hope it's some of the right persons they have

>arrested and will find them all.

>Michael Moore? you mean that famous American filmmaker that don't like the

>American President's behavings and is saying it high and clearly? Sorry to

>say, I havn't heard him speaking or seeing any of his films. Have just read

>about him, that he have won big American and international prizes for works

>like "Fahrenheit 9/11". It must have reasons that he have won these high

>prizes and I think it can't be for any lies he have told in these films.

>

>So, yes I did it again Don, but not for the reasons you are throwing at me

>here. You see, I don't need any Michael Moore or any President or American

>lifestylist to think for me at all.

>

>Nonsense, accusations, and lies? Have you documentations to stand besides

>your speaking here or is it just believings? Sitting nearly three years in

>different Scandinavian libraries, doing research for a book about second

>worldwar and my father, gave me plenty of documentations about the last

>couple of hundred years of wars to stand behind what I'm trying to say here

>about war and terror.

>

>Sorry to hear that you don't know at all what Norway stands for in humanity

>and political thinking. If it wasn't for me, maybe you didn't had any

>knowings about Norway at all? So, I think it's time for you to find out real

>documentations and facts about that nation too before throwing out talk

>about racism and intolerance that really can be used as a mirror to why the

>world have all these kind of problems.
>
>Take care
>
>erlilo
>
>
>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d402ed\$1 @linux...
>>
>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>Hello again Don,
>>>I can see and understand that you don't have understand what I have
>>>written
>>
>>>at all. You have just seen words that you don't like at all, as an
>>>patriotic
>>
>>>American and have gone directly and deeply into your shooting grave,
>>>firing
>>
>>>up at once at all those bastards that's saying "ugly" things about
>>>America;-)
>>
>> Erling, that simply isn't the case. What I was unhappy about was
>> you using dead bombing victims as another opportunity to trash a
>> country to do not understand, and everything you know about
>> seems to come from Michael Moore. I certainly understood you.
>>
>> So, you did it again!
>>
>> Even more nonsense, accusations, and lies. This is your solution
>> to Islamic terrorism... Maybe when they blow up your friends and
>> family we can all talk about Norweigan racism and intolerance...
>>
>> Swell.
>>
>> Bye Erling.
>>
>>
>> DC
>>
>
>Cool! What do the switches do?

Gantt

DC wrote:

> Hey all,
>
> Been doing some different projects recently.
>
> Here's a pickguard I designed.
>
> I love Mondrian (obviously...)
>
> DC
>
> -----
> Name: DSC04291b.jpg
> DSC04291b.jpg Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
> Encoding: base64So, does your DAC-1 get it's clock from the Mytek?

Gantt

Mr Simplicity wrote:

> Mine is a Stereo96 ADC.
> <http://www.mytekdigital.com/stereo96.htm>
> I'm taking the WC output from the unit and feeding
> it into a Lucid GenX6 which is set to distribute an external clock signal
> rather than generate it's own signal and I'm distributing the Mytek clock
> to x MECs, 2 x RME HDSP 9652's and a Lexicon reverb unit.
>
> I was using the Lucid clock before I got the Mytek. The Mytek Stereo 96 and
> a Lucid CKL6 distro module would cost less than an Apogee big Ben. The Mytek
> clock is rated at 9 picoseconds which is about the best I've run across,
> plus you get a couple of excellent A/D converters to boot.
>
> ;o)
>
> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:42d3c656\$1@linux...
>>
>> So, your using the word clock that resides in the Mytek 8channel A/D
> unit???
>> or a freestanding mytek word clock?
>> Rod
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >I use a Mytek AD 24/96 with Paris. It has a bit more top end air, but
> when
>> >the Paris converters are slaved to the Mytek clock, I put the Paris
>> >converters a bit ahead sonically. I think that given the choice of an 8
>> >channel tracking scenario using Mytek vs Paris, I'd probably pick the
> Paris
>> >converters for most things. I pretty much just use the Mytek for Vox

> tracks
> > >andacoustic guitar with SDC's if I'm going with a tube preamp or tube mic
> > as
> > >the characteristics of the Mytek complement the sound of tube pre's/mics
> > >pretty well. The Paris converters, when slaved to the 9ps Mytec clock
> sound
> > >just awesome.....very sonically balanced and phat.
> > >
> > >Deej
> > >
> > >"Paul O'Connor" <pmproductions007@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >news:42d2b448@linux...
> > >> I did a search but couldn't find a topic on this.
> > >>
> > >> I was wondering if anyone is using Paris with any other AD converters
> > such
> > >> as Apogee or Brand X?
> > >> Is it possible and if so could someone be so kind as to share their
> > >> experiences and setups.
> > >>
> > >> Thank You!
> > >>
> > >> Paul O'Connor
> > >> P. M. Productions
> > >> Breckenridge, TX
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > I hate 5-way switches, so I use a couple of little switches to
add the neck or bridge in any position. That way you can get
neck and bridge with a 3-way or any other combination. The switch
down near the edge of the body is a blower switch for going around
the vol pot. (I kept banging into the volume knob and turning it
down while playing) I don't like tone controls on guitars either,
so they are gone.

DC

Gantt Kushner <gizmo@his.com> wrote:

>Cool! What do the switches do?

>

>Gantt

>

>DC wrote:

>

>> Hey all,
>>
>> Been doing some different projects recently.
>>
>> Here's a pickguard I designed.
>>
>> I love Mondrian (obviously...)
>>
>> DC
>>
>> -----
>> Name: DSC04291b.jpg
>> DSC04291b.jpg Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
>> Encoding: base64
>The DAC-1 receiv

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 01:02:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

es it's clock signal from a Paris AES module prototype that I have here. I have also used it with the Paris S/PDIF output. When Paris is clocked to the Mytek, the clock signal that is imbedded in the digital output is the same as the Mytek clock. The DAC-1 takes this signal and upsamples it to 96kHz and then converts it to an analog signal.

;o)

"Gantt Kushner" <gizmo@his.com> wrote in message news:42D48018.C64F645@his.com...

> So, does your DAC-1 get it's clock from the Mytek?

>

> Gantt

>

> Mr Simplicity wrote:

>

>> Mine is a Stereo96 ADC.

>> <http://www.mytekdigital.com/stereo96.htm>

>> I'm taking the WC output from the unit and feeding

>> it into a Lucid GenX6 which is set to distribute an external clock signal

>> rather than generate it's own signal and I'm distributing the Mytec clock

>> to x MECs, 2 x RME HDSP 9652's and a Lexicon reverb unit.

>>

>> I was using the Lucid clock before I got the Mytek. The Mytek Stereo 96 and

> > a Lucid CKL6 distro module would cost less than an Apogee big Ben. The Mytek
> > clock is rated at 9 picoseconds which is about the best I've run across,
> > plus you get a couple of excellent A/D converters to boot.
> >
> > ;o)
> >
> > "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:42d3c656\$1@linux...
> > >
> > > So, your using the word clock that resides in the Mytek 8channel A/D
> > > unit???
> > > or a freestanding mytek word clock?
> > > Rod
> > > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > I use a Mytek AD 24/96 with Paris. It has a bit more top end air, but
> > > > when
> > > > the Paris converters are slaved to the Mytek clock, I put the Paris
> > > > converters a bit ahead sonically. I think that given the choice of an
> > > > 8
> > > > channel tracking scenario using Mytek vs Paris, I'd probably pick the
> > > > Paris
> > > > converters for most things. I pretty much just use the Mytek for Vox
> > > > tracks
> > > > and acoustic guitar with SDC's if I'm going with a tube preamp or tube
> > > > mic
> > > > as
> > > > the characteristics of the Mytek complement the sound of tube
> > > > pre's/mics
> > > > pretty well. The Paris converters, when slaved to the 9ps Mytec clock
> > > > sound
> > > > just awesome.....very sonically balanced and phat.
> > > >
> > > > Deej
> > > >
> > > > "Paul O'Connor" <pmproductions007@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:42d2b448@linux...
> > > > I did a search but couldn't find a topic on this.
> > > >
> > > > I was wondering if anyone is using Paris with any other AD
> > > > converters
> > > > such
> > > > as Apogee or Brand X?
> > > > Is it possible and if so could someone be so kind as to share their
> > > > experiences and setups.
> > > >
> > > > Thank You!
> > > >

Many of the principal actors in the American revolution, among them George Washington, wanted to throw off British rule because they felt their ability to conduct commerce freely was being disrupted. When 60 Boston residents hurled the tea into Boston Harbor in 1773, it was an attack specifically upon the economic power and supremacy of a corporation called the British East India Tea Company, which had been undercutting the profits of colonial merchants thanks to the passage of the Tea Acts.

After the revolution, and for a hundred years, the American people bore a deep distrust of the corporation, and corporations were regulated severely. Corporate charters were created by individual states, and those states had the power to revoke that charter if the corporation was deemed to be acting against the public good or had deviated from its charter. Corporations were not allowed to own other corporations, nor were they allowed to participate in the political process.

Very slowly over that 100 years, however, the power of the corporation began to grow. In the 1818 Supreme Court case "Dartmouth College v. Woodward," Daniel Webster, advocating for Dartmouth, argued passionately for the power of corporations in regards to property rights. The Court sided with Webster and corporate rights, stating: "The opinion of the Court, after mature deliberation, is that this corporate charter is a contract, the obligation of which cannot be impaired without violating the Constitution of the United States. This opinion appears to us to be equally supported by reason, and by the former decisions of this Court."

A good deal of hell was raised after this decision, with many citizens and state legislatures standing upon the right of a state to repeal or amend a corporate charter. Seven years later, however, another Supreme Court case buttressed the power of the corporation with their decision in "Society for the Preservation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts v. Town of Pawlet." The Society was seeking to protect its colonial-era property grants in Vermont, while Vermont was seeking to revoke those grants. The Court decided in favor of the Society, and explicitly extended the same protections to corporation-owned property as are enjoyed by property-owning natural persons.

Corporations in America began to become truly powerful with the rise of the railroads. Railroads were the lifeblood of the growing nation, carrying both agriculture and industry from one side of the country to the other. This was a highly profitable enterprise, and railroad corporations began to exert heavy influence on both state and federal leaders. Corporate attorneys boldly asserted the precedents set in the Dartmouth and Society Supreme Court decisions, demanding that corporations deserved to have at least some of the rights of natural persons. Meanwhile, attorneys loyal to the railroads began to rise through the ranks of the Judiciary, finally finding seats on the highest bench.

This process came to a final head in 1886, when the Supreme Court heard

the case "Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad." Arguments over the rights of corporations as persons had been raging for decades, and Chief Justice Waite pounded home the nail: "The court does not wish to hear argument on the question whether the provision in the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws, applies to these corporations. We are all of the opinion that it does."

"We are all of the opinion that it does."

The pertinent section of the Fourteenth Amendment reads, "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

Before the Santa Clara decision, this amendment applied only to living, breathing people. After Santa Clara, it applied also to massively wealthy corporations, groups of people authorized to act as individuals, but beyond the kinds of legal liabilities natural persons are subject to. The Santa Clara decision, and subsequent decisions affirming it, created the formidable distinction between the citizen and the super-citizen.

Both have purchasing power, both can give money to whomever or whatever they please, but the difference lies in the extent to which this can be done. A natural person can buy a house and give money to a politician. A wealthy corporation, on the other hand, can buy a thousand houses and give money to a thousand politicians. In other words, a corporation which enjoys the same rights as a natural person has a thousand times the power and influence of a natural person over the economics and politics of the country. That is a super-citizen.

Because these super-citizens can exert so much power, their rights have been dramatically extended over the years. In the 1950s, for example, corporations paid some 40% of the taxes in

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:29:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sis for reform after reform, for the
> strengthening of the rights of minorities, women, and basically anyone who
> would be made subservient to anyone else. The struggle took a long time,
> and continues today with much remaining to do before that equality is

truly

> achieved, but the strength of those words as written has been proven time
> and again to be more than a match for anyone who would stand on the neck
> of a fellow citizen.

>

> That's what the billboard reads, anyway. That's the propaganda, the
myth,

> the way we rock ourselves to sleep at night. The truth is significantly
different,

> however, and is at the root of just about everything that has gone wrong
> with this great democratic experiment.

>

> We are not all created equal, in fact. This inequality is not based on
> race, or sex, or religion, but upon the slow development of a body of laws
> that have created and empowered a breed of super-citizens which rule over
> every aspect of our lives, almost completely beyond the reach of justice.
> These super-citizens exist today under the familiar name "corporation."

>

> But wait, a corporation is basically a company, right? A corporation
> is a non-living entity, a group of people endeavoring to make money in a
> business enterprise or non-profit organization, right? Wrong. A
corporation

> is indeed a non-living entity, a group of people looking to make money.

But

> thanks to a Supreme Court decision, corporations are also actual living
entities

> in every legal sense of the word, with all rights and privileges of
citizenship

> - and several more besides - intact.

>

> A Short History of Corporations

>

> The word "corporation" comes from the Latin "corpus," or "body." The
> Oxford English Dictionary defines "corporation" as "a group of people
authorized

> to act as an individual." The history of corporations in America is
intertwined

> with the story of the revolution that birthed this nation. British
corporations

> in colonial America were rebelled against vigorously as representatives of
> the Crown, which they were.

>

> Many of the principal actors in the American revolution, among them
George

> Washington, wanted to throw off British rule because they felt their
ability

> to conduct commerce freely was being disrupted. When 60 Boston residents
> hurled the tea into Boston Harbor in 1773, it was an attack specifically

- > upon the economic power and supremacy of a corporation called the British
- > East India Tea Company, which had been undercutting the profits of colonial
- > merchants thanks to the passage of the Tea Acts.
- >
- > After the revolution, and for a hundred years, the American people bore
- > a deep distrust of the corporation, and corporations were regulated severely.
- > Corporate charters were created by individual states, and those states had
- > the power to revoke that charter if the corporation was deemed to be acting
- > against the public good or had deviated from its charter. Corporations were
- > not allowed to own other corporations, nor were they allowed to participate
- > in the political process.
- >
- > Very slowly over that 100 years, however, the power of the corporation
- > began to grow. In the 1818 Supreme Court case "Dartmouth College v. Woodward,"
- > Daniel Webster, advocating for Dartmouth, argued passionately for the power
- > of corporations in regards to property rights. The Court sided with Webster
- > and corporate rights, stating: "The opinion of the Court, after mature deliberation,
- > is that this corporate charter is a contract, the obligation of which cannot
- > be impaired without violating the Constitution of the United States. This
- > opinion appears to us to be equally supported by reason, and by the former
- > decisions of this Court."
- >
- > A good deal of hell was raised after this decision, with many citizens
- > and state legislatures standing upon the right of a state to repeal or amend
- > a corporate charter. Seven years later, however, another Supreme Court case
- > buttressed the power of the corporation with their decision in "Society for
- > the Preservation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts v. Town of Pawlet." The Society
- > was seeking to protect its colonial-era property grants in Vermont, while
- > Vermont was seeking to revoke those grants. The Court decided in favor of
- > the Society, and explicitly extended the same protections to corporation-owned
- > property as are enjoyed by property-owning natural persons.
- >

> Corporations in America began to become truly powerful with the rise
> of the railroads. Railroads were the lifeblood of the growing nation,
carrying
> both agriculture and industry from one side of the country to the other.
> This was a highly profitable enterprise, and railroad corporations began
> to exert heavy influence on both state and federal leaders. Corporate
attorneys
> boldly asserted the precedents set in the Dartmouth and Society Supreme
Court
> decisions, demanding that corporations deserved to have at least some of
> the rights of natural persons. Meanwhile, attorneys loyal to the railroads
> began to rise through the ranks of the Judiciary, finally finding seats on
> the highest bench.
>
> This process came to a final head in 1886, when the Supreme Court
heard
> the case "Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad." Arguments over
> the rights of corporations as persons had been raging for decades, and
Chief
> Justice Waite pounded home the nail: "The court does not wish to hear
argument
> on the question whether the provision in the Fourteenth Amendment to the
> Constitution, which forbids a State to deny to any person within its
jurisdiction
> the equal protection of the laws, applies to these corporations. We are
all
> of the opinion that it does."
>
> "We are all of the opinion that it does."
>
> The pertinent section of the Fourteenth Amendment reads, "All persons
> born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction
> thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they
> reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the
privileges
> or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State
deprive
> any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor
> deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the
laws."
>
>
> Before the Santa Clara decision, this amendment applied only to
living,
> breathing people. After Santa Clara, it applied also to massively wealthy
> corporations, groups of people authorized to act as individuals, but
beyond
> the kinds of legal liabilities natural persons are subject to. The Santa

> Clara decision, and subsequent decisions affirming it, created the formidable
> distinction between the citizen and the super-citizen.
>
> Both have purchasing power, both can give money to whomever or whatever
> they please, but the difference lies in the extent to which this can be done.
> A natural person can buy a house and give money to a politician. A wealthy
> corporation, on the other hand, can buy a thousand houses and give money
> to a thousand politicians. In other words, a corporation which enjoys the
> same rights as a natural person has a thousand times the power and influence
> of a natural person over the economics and politics of the country. That
> is a super-citizen.
>
> Because these super-citizens can exert so much power, their rights have
> been dramatically extended over the years. In the 1950s, for example, corporations
> paid some 40% of the taxes in this country. They flexed their muscles and
> exerted their influence, and by 1980 were paying only 26% of the taxes in
> this country. The Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981 slashed that payment
> to 8%.
>
> The economic boon enjoyed by these super-citizens is augmented by the
> fact that regular citizens' tax dollars are used by the government to purchase
> goods and services from corporations involved in the production of weapons,
> petroleum, timber and agricultural products. Corporate perks like jets, elaborate
> headquarters, public relations firms, and e

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 17:01:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />
>>>>>Hello again Don,
>>>>>I can see and understand that you don't have understand what I have
>>>>>written
>>>>
>>>>>at all. You have just seen words that you don't like at all, as an
>>>>>patriotic
>>>>
>>>>>American and have gone directly and deeply into your shooting grave,

>>>>firing

>>>>

>>>>up at once at all those bastards that's saying "ugly" things about
>>>>America;-)

>>>>

>>>> Erling, that simply isn't the case. What I was unhappy about was
>>>> you using dead bombing victims as another opportunity to trash a
>>>> country to do not understand, and everything you know about
>>>> seems to come from Michael Moore. I certainly understood you.

>>>>

>>>> So, you did it again!

>>>>

>>>> Even more nonsense, accusations, and lies. This is your solution
>>>> to Islamic terrorism... Maybe when they blow up your friends and
>>>> family we can all talk about Norweigan racism and intolerance...

>>>>

>>>> Swell.

>>>>

>>>> Bye Erling.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> DC

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>I was at a dysfunctional family gathering the other day when my uncle Bob
(Who I hate)started punching me in the face. I stood there and took it cause
he's my uncle after all. Just then my neighbour appeared and started to
punch my uncle in the face, well I kick him square in the nuts, why you ask?
Because he isn't family, and only family get to punch family in the face
without retribution.

Make sense???

I didn't expect it to.

Thats what goes on in Iraq. Uncle Saddam was punching everyone in the face,
the world didn't like it and stepped in only to get a black eye for their
efforts.

I am from Canada and if GWB wanted to invade someone for oil he would only
have to drive across the border and take it from us. Oh crap now I'm
scared...no worries though I'll break out a few beers and donuts and we'll
have a party eh.

Peace

D

"Neil" <IUIOU@IUOIU.com> wrote in message news:42ce7f5a\$1@linux...

>

> "Cujo" <chris@nospamapplemanstudio.com> wrote:

> >
> >My wife is a Brit, she was very upset this morning.
> >I had to drive her to work as she would get on the T here in Boston.
> >That said,and I am not trying to get any fights started as I respect all
> >of your opinions. the was on Iraq seems not to be a retaliation eh? seems
> >more like a planned offensive to me. I though were supposed to be hunting
> >Bin Laden? Anyone with a support or troops sticker on their car offended
> >the Karl Rove "may" have outed a CIA operative?
>
> Well, I don't have a "support our troops" ribbon, but I DO
> support our troops... they're following orders, not making
> policy; and yes, if Rove was the one who outed Valerie Plame
> (I assume that's who you're referring to), then I think he
> should be tried for treason & pay the price if found guilty.
>
> Neill can pretty much agree with that (besides the racist bullshit).

I just dont get where Bush invading Iraq to start WWIII fits into that framework.

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d548d1\$1@linux...

> First, you speak LOUDLY, against the head-choppers and suicide
> bombers and their evil leaders. Turn the tide of world opinion
> towards universal condemnation of these acts instead of white-man
> guilt and narcissistic breast-beating and finger pointing at Bush and
> Blair. Then support those who ensure your tolerant, peaceful,
> intellectual lives will still be here to live in 10 years.

>
> And THEN, when the rest of Islam rises up against the
> head-choppers and lunatics and demands democracy and decent
> treatment of women. THEN, if you want to talk about Kyoto, and
> Native Americans, and Social Justice, and "negroes" (hey, did you
> say NEGROES? man, there ain't been no negroes around for 50
> years...) <grin> THEN I will sit down at the table with you and
> talk about what fools Johnson and Nixon were, and how
> anti-communism made us do stupid stuff, and yadda yadda, but
> do not bring these things up in the context of those poor dead
> people in London!

>
> Have a great day

>
> DC

>
>
>
>
> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>>Don, I knew it well that you're not mad at me but feelings for right or
>

>>wrong can often do some stupid behavings all over the world.
>>If we don't get sourches from all sides, not only one side, we will grow
> up
>>without wisdom at all in our minds. The documentations I for the most
>>have
>
>>found was not only "made in Europe", there were plenty of American
>>documents
>
>>too. I wanted to find out something from different sides, trying to find
>
>>some understandings. You see, I had to find out why my father had to be
>
>>killed in the second worldwar because of different leaders stupidity and
> I
>>must say I found out a whole lot, as you may have seen with my struggling
>
>>writings here up against the year:-).

>>
>>Take care, Don
>>
>>erlilo
>>
>>
>>
>>There are
>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d4682b\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Erling,
>>>
>>> I appreciate you struggling with english to communicate with me,
>>> and I am not mad at you, nor was I accusing Norway of
>>> anything.
>>>
>>> This is simple. I consider it unethical to use the death of innocent
>>> people as an opportunity to trash my country. You come visit,
>>> I will solidly refute every euro-socialist cliched view you hold of this
>>> country, and send you home a better man. You need to stop
>>> getting all your info from euro sources...
>>>
>>> And that is as far as I am going to participate in the act of attacking
>>> and defending anyone's country. yours or mine.
>>>
>>> Be well
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>>Please, please Don, I am not doing any defence of any terrorism or
>>>>terrorists at all, can't you really understand these facts? And I'm not
>>>
>>>>trying to score any cheap points at all on the London bombing or all the
>>>
>>>>ivilians that have lost their lifes because of stupid statemen affairs
>>> up
>>>>against the years. I have just tried to show you an opinion, from
>>>>outside
>>>
>>>>America, how the roots to people like these can grow up and hate so much
>>>
>>>>t
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> hat they are doing these kind of things.
>>>>By the way, saw they have arrested someone up in NorthEngland after the
>>>
>>>>bombs in London earlier today. Hope it's some of the right persons they
>>> have
>>>>arrested and will find them all.
>>>>Michael Moore? you mean that famous American filmmaker that don't like
> the
>>>
>>>>American President's behavings and is saying it high and clearly? Sorry
>>> to
>>>>say, I havn't heard him speaking or seeing any of his films. Have just
>
>>>>read
>>>
>>>>about him, that he have won big American and international prizes for
>
>>>>works
>>>
>>>>like "Fahrenheit 9/11". It must have reasons that he have won these high
>>>
>>>>prizes and I think it can't be for any lies he have told in these films.
>>>>
>>>>So, yes I did it again Don, but not for the reasons you are throwing at
>>> me
>>>>here. You see, I don't need any Michael Moore or any President or
>>>>American
>>>
>>>>lifestylist to think for me at all.
>>>>

>>>>Nonsense, accusations, and lies? Have you documentations to stand
>>>>besides
>>>
>>>>your speaking here or is it just believings? Sitting nearly three years
>>> in
>>>>different Scandinavian libraries, doing research for a book about second
>>>
>>>>worldwar and my father, gave me plenty of documentations about the last
>>>
>>>>couple of hundred years of wars to stand behind what I'm trying to say
>
>>>>here
>>>
>>>>about war and terror.
>>>>
>>>>Sorry to hear that you don't know at all what Norway stands for in
>>>>humanity
>>>
>>>>and political thinking. If it wasn't for me, maybe you didn't had any
>>>>knowings about Norway at all? So, I think it's time for you to find out
>>> real
>>>>documentations and facts about that nation too before throwing out talk
>>>
>>>>about racism and intolerance that really can be used as a mirror to why
>>> the
>>>>world have all these kind of problems.
>>>>
>>>>Take care
>>>>
>>>>erlilo
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>news:42d402ed\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>> "erlilo" <

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [HeavyD](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 17:07:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

line.no" target="_blank">erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>>>>Hello again Don,
>>>>>>I can see and understand that you don't have understand what I have
>>>>>>written
>>>>>
>>>>>>at all. You have just seen words that you don't like at all, as an

This link is also descriptive of how this place has evolved and what the place was like the last time I was there.

<http://community-2.webtv.net/ivanjay/IVANJSNAVELYHOMEPORT/>

more to come.....

Regards,

Doug

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45df1@linux...

>

> maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,
> but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
> reading the stereotype BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
> thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize you.

>

> maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or something.
> cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?

>

> *shakes head in disbelief*

>

>

>

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.

> >

> >Regards,

> >

> >DJ

> >

> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45332\$1@linux...

> >>

> >> you know how i meant it.

> >>
> >> whatever.
> >>
> >>
> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn
from
> >> the
> >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> doug,
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> >> >> youve come a long way, really.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> regards,
> >> >> derek
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
> >> >> >seriously.....
> >> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive
> >> >> >with
> >> >> >their
> >> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland these
> >> >> >days
> >> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >Euro's
> >> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the
chips
> >> >> >are
> >> >> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses and
> >> >> >watch
> >> >> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
> >> >> >.....those
> >> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled all
> >> >> >our

> > > >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and pick
> up
> > a
> > > few
> > > >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are dying
> of
> > > >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
> >violations
> > > of
> > > >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the flies
> off
> > >their
> > > >festering wounds and running to the crapper with
disentery.....and
> > >just
> > > >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say
> >guys?
> > > >
> > > >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1 @linux...
> > > >
> > > > > Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> > > > > 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> > > > > sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> > > > > hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> > > > > case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> > > > > starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> > > > > that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> > > > > who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> > > > > Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> > > > > all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> > > > > these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> > > > > understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> > > > > capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> > > > > position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> > > > > against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic city.
> > > > > One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> > > > > fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> > > > > Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> > > > > had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
> > > > > time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Neil

> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Neil
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US
> >> >> >> >oil
> >> >> >> >companies.
> >> >> >> > >And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed
> >> >> >> >pipelines
> >> >> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
> >> >> >> >destabilizing
> >> >> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make billions
> >> >> >> >for
> >> >> >> >George's
> >> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US
> >> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >same
> >> >> >> >way
> >> >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback
> >> >> >> >for
> >> >> >> >Israeli/US
> >> >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or
> >> >> >> >his
> >> >> >> >agenda
> >> >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our
> >> >> >> >so-called
> >> >> >> >friends
> >> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US
> >> >> >> >government
> >> >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as
> >> >> >> >possible
> >> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >their
> >> >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military
> >> >> >> >contracts,
> >> >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little social
> >> >> >> >safety-net
> >> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people directly
> >> >> >> >with

> >> >> high
> >> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to the
> >early
> >> >> >1900s
> >> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
ideology)
> >> is
> >> >> to
> >> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply
> >can't
> >> >> >afford
> >> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to bankrupt
> the
> >> US
> >> >> >government
> >> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's
doing
> >> a
> >> >great
> >> >> >> >job.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't
seem
> >> to
> >> >> >consider.
> >> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth
through
> >> >> >taxation,
> >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and nobodies
> >wealth
> >> >> is
> >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the
economy.
> >> >> Why?
> >> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100% of
> >every
> >> >> >dollar
> >> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend
> >every
> >> >> red
> >> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich
> >friends,
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
> >> >government
> >> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of

America.

> > > > >

> > > > >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that the

> > US

> > > is

> > > > heading

> > > > >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda.

There's

> > no

> > > >success

> > > > >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how

> > >heartbroken

> > > > >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've always

> > > >believed

> > > > >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very

> > >worrisome

> > > > >times.

> > > > >

> > > > >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this

> > > > >senselessness

> > > > > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.

> > > > >

> > > > >All the best,

> > > > >Mike Audet

> > > > >

> > > > >

> > > > >

> > > > >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> > > > >>Kim,

> > > > >>

> > > > >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not,

> > > > >>however,

> > > > >>agree

> > > > >>>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no good

> > > > >>>at

> > > > >>>all

> > > > >>>(my

> > > > >>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to

> > > > >>>the

> > > > >>>war

> > > > >>>feel

> > > > >>>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have

> > > > >>>>easily

> > > > >>>>>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in

Syria

> > > > >>>>>or

> >> >> in
> >> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story is
> >over
> >> >> >yet.
> >> >> >> >I
> >> >> >> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to be
> >over
> >> >> so
> >> >> >> they
> >> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the while
> >> >bellowing
> >> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
> >therefore,
> >> >> the
> >> >> >> >war
> >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute lowest
> >> >partisan
> >> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN resolutions
> and
> >> in
> >> >> >doing
> >> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace to
> >> >stability
> >> >> >> >in
> >> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I
> >don't
> >> >> >know,
> >> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in shooting
> >down
> >> >> one
> >> >> >> >of
> >> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would
have
> >> >done
> >> >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a
damn
> >> >about
> >> >> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam in
> >power
> >> >> >was
> >> >> >> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we
would
> >> >have
> >> >> >> half
> >> >> >> >>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
> >> >> >infrastructure
> >> >> >> >>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a

bone

> > as

> > > we

> > > > > speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't subtle.

> > > > >

> > > > > Regards,

> > > > >

> > > > > Deej

> > > > >

> > > > > "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> > > > > news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...

> > > > >

> > > > > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> > > > > > and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on

> > earth

> > > who

> > > > saw

> > > > > any

> > > > > > merit in enforcing UN resolutions

> > > > >

> > > > > > That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the

> > way

> > > they

> > > > went

> > > > > > about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which

> > was

> > > > clearly

> > > > > > garbage).

> > > > > > The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co

> > > wanted

> > > > Saddam

> > > > > > out not really so much because he was a bad man, but because

> > > they

> > > > noticed

> > > > > > Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq

> > would

> > > give

> > > > them

> > > > > > more oil power.

> > > > >

> > > > > > It really frustrates me that as I look around those events,

> > > > > > politically,

> > > > > > every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own

> > > agenda,

> > > > and

> > > > > not

> > > > > > for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the

public.
> >> It
> >> >> >was
> >> >> >> >obviouly
> >> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free Iraq
> >from
> >> >> >tyranny"
> >> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't
seem
> >> to
> >> >> care
> >> >> >> >if
> >> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have
> to
> >> tell
> >> >> >them
> >> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that
> I
> >> >*almost*
> >> >> >> >>would
> >> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have
stomached
> >> >that
> >> >> >> >as
> >> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if the
> >U.S.
> >> >> had
> >> >> >> >>waited
> >> >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N.
that
> >> >> >eventually
> >> >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co
> >> >actually
> >> >> >> >>*didn't
> >> >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they could
> be
> >> in
> >> >> >> >>charge,
> >> >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the
country
> >> was
> >> >> >> >>restructured.
> >> >> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter of
> >time
> >> >> >before
> >> >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
> >> >> >procrastinate
> >> >> >> >>for

> >> >
> >>
> >
> >

>Well, he doesn't have a DAC-1 yet and I don't see him around here so I'm gettin' concerned.

;o)

"Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d536f3\$1@linux...

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >You do know what's gonna' happen if you don't get a
> >DAC-1.....right?
>
> He will die?That's been obvious Cron.. and I didn't see any racist @#\$\$@ in his post.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:42d54e68\$1@linux...

>I can pretty much agree with that (besides the racist bullshit).
>
> I just dont get where Bush invading Iraq to start WWII fits into that
> framework.
>
> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d548d1\$1@linux...
>> First, you speak LOUDLY, against the head-choppers and suicide
>> bombers and their evil leaders. Turn the tide of world opinion
>> towards universal condemnation of these acts instead of white-man
>> guilt and narcissistic breast-beating and finger pointing at Bush and
>> Blair. Then support those who ensure your tolerant, peaceful,
>> intellectual lives will still be here to live in 10 years.
>>
>> And THEN, when the rest of Islam rises up against the
>> head-choppers and lunatics and demands democracy and decent
>> treatment of women. THEN, if you want to talk about Kyoto, and
>> Native Americans, and Social Justice, and "negroes" (hey, did you
>> say NEGROES? man, there ain't been no negroes around for 50
>> years...) <grin> THEN I will sit down at the table with you and
>> talk about what fools Johnson and Nixon were, and how
>> anti-communism made us do stupid stuff, and yadda yadda, but
>> do not bring these things up in the context of those poor dead
>> people in London!
>>
>> Have a great day
>>
>> DC
>>

>>
>>
>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>> Don, I knew it well that you're not mad at me but feelings for right or
>>
>>> wrong can often do some stupid behavings all over the world.
>>> If we don't get souches from all sides, not only one side, we will grow
>> up
>>> without wisdom at all in our minds. The documentations I for the most
>>> have
>>
>>> found was not only "made in Europe", there were plenty of American
>>> documents
>>
>>> too. I wanted to find out something from different sides, trying to find
>>
>>> some understandings. You see, I had to find out why my father had to be
>>
>>> killed in the second worldwar because of different leaders stupidity and
>> I
>>> must say I found out a whole lot, as you may have seen with my struggling
>>
>>> writings here up against the year:-).

>>>
>>> Take care, Don
>>>
>>> erlilo
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> There are
>>> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d4682b\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Erling,
>>>>
>>>> I appreciate you struggling with english to communicate with me,
>>>> and I am not mad at you, nor was I accusing Norway of
>>>> anything.
>>>>
>>>> This is simple. I consider it unethical to use the death of innocent
>>>> people as an opportunity to trash my country. You come visit,
>>>> I will solidly refute every euro-socialist cliched view you hold of
>>>> this
>>>> country, and send you home a better man. You need to stop
>>>> getting all your info from euro sources...
>>>>
>>>> And that is as far as I am going to participate in the act of attacking
>>>> and defending anyone's country. yours or mine.

>>>>
>>>> Be well
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>>>Please, please Don, I am not doing any defence of any terrorism or
>>>>>terrorists at all, can't you really understand these facts? And I'm not
>>>>
>>>>>trying to score any cheap points at all on the London bombing or all
>>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>sivilians that have lost their lives because of stupid statemen affairs
>>>> up
>>>>>against the years. I have just tried to show you an opinion, from
>>>>>outside
>>>>
>>>>>America, how the roots to people like these can grow up and hate so
>>>>>much
>>>>
>>>>>t
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> hat they are doing these kind of things.
>>>>>By the way, saw they have arrested someone up in NorthEngland after the
>>>>
>>>>>bombs in London earlier today. Hope it's some of the right persons they
>>>> have
>>>>>arrested and will find them all.
>>>>>Michael Moore? you mean that famous American filmmaker that don't like
>> the
>>>>
>>>>>American President's behavings and is saying it high and clearly? Sorry
>>>> to
>>>>>say, I havn't heard him speaking or seeing any of his films. Have just
>>
>>>>>read
>>>>
>>>>>about him, that he have won big American and international prizes for
>>
>>>>>works
>>>>
>>>>>like "Fahrenheit 9/11". It must have reasons that he have won these
>>>>>high
>>>>

>>>>prizes and I think it can't be for any lies he have told in these
>>>>films.
>>>>
>>>>So, yes I did it again Don, but not for the reasons you are throwing at
>>>> me
>>>>here. You see, I don't need any Michael Moore or any President or
>>>>American
>>>>
>>>>lifestylist to think for me at all.
>>>>
>>>>Nonsense, accusations, and lies? Have you documentations to stand
>>>>besides
>>>>
>>>>your speaking here or is it just believings? Sitting nearly three years
>>>> in
>>>>different Scandinavian libraries, doing research for a book about
>>>>second
>>>>
>>>>worldwar and my father, gave me plenty of documentations about the last
>>>>
>>>>couple of hundred years of wars to stand behind what I'm trying to say
>>
>>>>here
>>>>
>>>>about war and terror.
>>>>
>>>>Sorry to hear that you don't know at all what Norway stands for in
>>>>humanity
>>>>
>>>>and political thinking. If it wasn't for me, maybe you didn't had any
>>>>knowings about Norway at all? So, I think it's time for you to find out
>>>> real
>>>>documentations and facts about that nation too before throwing out talk
>>>>
>>>>about racism and intolerance that really can be used as a mirror to why
>>>> the
>>>>world have all these kind of problems.
>>>>
>>>>Take care
>>>>
>>>>erlilo
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>>news:42d402ed\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>>>"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>>>>>Hello again Don,

>>>>>>I can see and understand that you don't have understand what I have
>>>>>>written
>>>>>>
>>>>>>at all. You have just seen words that you don't like at all, as an
>>>>>>patriotic
>>>>>>
>>>>>>American and h

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 17:24:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

's ugly to me
too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though they are not
racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they are very
real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my life as part
of one of these evolving stereotypes.

I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born in 1950.
The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US which was full
of hypocrisy and racial prejudice. I remember some very ugly things very
vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect of this
made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was something
wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing. I also came
to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of these
experiences and the hypocrisy I saw.

My grandmother had a big hammond organ in her parlor and also a baby grand
piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up on the
benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because I could
listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the keys
(though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived in south
Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus Christi, Texas)
and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father. He was a
mechanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work designing
large installations for the agricultural interests which were sponsored by
both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which was basically
rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more ugliness
there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was so obviously
wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse it, but I
couldn't accept this. I became very rebellious and a what is called here, a
problem child

By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band that was
fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly large
regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening shows for
touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam Vets who

were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and also opiates and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war counterculture and our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type entertainment industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would make them \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked on amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.

By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and had been accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to do what they could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career (which was less a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus I was so totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative spark that I had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway. I didn't want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out on my countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered to be *cool*, at least in Texas.

There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed over there. This country was being torn apart by dissention and I became more and more involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging philosophy of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/politial anarchism.

While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They were the rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business with in Mexico. They were going to universtity in the states and were, like me, angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships. I started going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would travel to places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were mostly untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians, who were not really considered to be human by anyone, including these *enlightened* young Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were traditionalists and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends of my Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met quite a few of them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age and would travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we shared a lot in common with our political philosophies which were becoming increasing leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of priviledge were the ones who most despised the systems that allowed them those priviledges.

In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away from Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California scene. My uncle was a business partner with a big television star from Texas, had a big house and invited me to live with them while I established myself. During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the film and music industry and their kids.

Some of these kids are now known as part of the *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken members of the leftist political scene in this country.

I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug culture that was going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the rest of the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in non-judgmentalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The decadence was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it wasn't cool to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew some people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the ranch where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their leader, was in jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of my cousins had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson who had murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people you can imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their lifestyle might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.

I continued to participate as an activist in all of the *causes du jour* I supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the moving women) and celebrated when birth control pills became available and abortions became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the various power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro American citizens and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war protests and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.

Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major degree in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics. This liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of career path but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew my way around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.

The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to Mexico. I didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place during my travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a deserted place with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I have met there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same kind of *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem, Katmandhu, Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious destinations. In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by a Zapotec Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two of which were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this small village back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good part of my life in this place and lived with this family during those times from 1973 until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to be and now even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the story about this place.

I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it. You may have been there or know people who have. There is more to this story but I have work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have the time.

This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me a question that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later if you are interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was my home and is still my second home-

I know a lot of the people in these pictures. I watched them grow up and taught a few of them how to swim and catch fish. the beautiful young woman, Claudia is the niece of my friend Ramon. The two people, John and Maria were guests at my home in Austin after one of my trips to Zipolite and we watched the events unfold at Tienamen Square on television. They were having some bad drug problems even then. They are both kind, intelligent victims of the social experiment that is happening there.

<http://www.gre>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [gmmccurdy](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 18:23:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

o come from Michael Moore. I certainly understood you.

>>>>>

>>>>> So, you did it again!

>>>>>

>>>>> Even more nonsense, accusations, and lies. This is your solution

>>>>> to Islamic terrorism... Maybe when they blow up your friends and

>>>>> family we can all talk about Norweigan racism and intolerance...

>>>>>

>>>>> Swell.

>>>>>

>>>>> Bye Erling.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> DC

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>yeah, he's mistaking it for a mac...oops i've done it now.

On 13 Jul 2005 22:33:33 +1000, "Kim" <hidde@sounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>To make that clearer, Windows can definately run at LEAST 8 cards. I have
>never heard of a Windows "same card limit" other than simple bandwidth and
>interrupt limitations.
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.get real pal... take a number.

"Mark McCurdy" <gmmccurdy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:42d55c1b\$1@linux...

> That's been obvious Cron.. and I didn't see any racist @\$#@ in his post.

>
>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d54e68\$1@linux...

>>I can pretty much agree with that (besides the racist bullshit).

>>

>> I just dont get where Bush invading Iraq to start WWII fits into that
>> framework.

>>

>> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d548d1\$1@linux...

>>> First, you speak LOUDLY, against the head-choppers and suicide
>>> bombers and their evil leaders. Turn the tide of world opinion
>>> towards universal condemnation of these acts instead of white-man
>>> guilt and narcissistic breast-beating and finger pointing at Bush and
>>> Blair. Then support those who ensure your tolerant, peaceful,
>>> intellectual lives will still be here to live in 10 years.

>>>

>>> And THEN, when the rest of Islam rises up against the
>>> head-choppers and lunatics and demands democracy and decent
>>> treatment of women. THEN, if you want to talk about Kyoto, and
>>> Native Americans, and Social Justice, and "negroes" (hey, did you
>>> say NEGROES? man, there ain't been no negroes around for 50
>>> years...) <grin> THEN I will sit down at the table with you and
>>> talk about what fools Johnson and Nixon were, and how
>>> anti-communism made us do stupid stuff, and yadda yadda, but
>>> do not bring these things up in the context of those poor dead
>>> people in London!

>>>

>>> Have a great day

>>>

>>> DC

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>>Don, I knew it well that you're not mad at me but feelings for right or
>>>
>>>>wrong can often do some stupid behavings all over the world.
>>>>If we don't get souches from all sides, not only one side, we will grow
>>> up
>>>>without wisdom at all in our minds. The documentations I for the most
>>>>have
>>>
>>>>found was not only "made in Europe", there were plenty of American
>>>>documents
>>>
>>>>too. I wanted to find out something from different sides, trying to find
>>>
>>>>some understandings. You see, I had to find out why my father had to be
>>>
>>>>killed in the second worldwar because of different leaders stupidness
>>>>and
>>> I
>>>>must say I found out a whole lot, as you may have seen with my
>>>>struggling
>>>
>>>>writings here up against the year:-).

>>>>
>>>>Take care, Don
>>>>
>>>>erlilo
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>There are
>>>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse
>>>>news:42d4682b\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> Erling,
>>>>>
>>>>> I appreciate you struggling with english to communicate with me,
>>>>> and I am not mad at you, nor was I accusing Norway of
>>>>> anything.
>>>>>
>>>>> This is simple. I consider it unethical to use the death of innocent
>>>>> people as an opportunity to trash my country. You come visit,
>>>>> I will solidly refute every euro-socialist cliched view you hold of
>>>>> this
>>>>> country, and send you home a better man. You need to stop
>>>>> getting all your info from euro sources...
>>>>>
>>>>> And that is as far as I am going to participate in the act of

>>>> attacking
>>>> and defending anyone's country. yours or mine.
>>>>
>>>> Be well
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:
>>>>>Please, please Don, I am not doing any defence of any terrorism or
>>>>>terrorists at all, can't you really understand these facts? And I'm
>>>>>not
>>>>
>>>>>trying to score any cheap points at all on the London bombing or all
>>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>sivilians that have lost their lives because of stupid statemen
>>>>>affairs
>>>> up
>>>>>against the years. I have just tried to show you an opinion, from
>>>>>outside
>>>>
>>>>>America, how the roots to people like these can grow up and hate so
>>>>>much
>>>>
>>>>>t
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> hat they are doing these kind of things.
>>>>>By the way, saw they have arrested someone up in NorthEngland after
>>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>bombs in London earlier today. Hope it's some of the right persons
>>>>>they
>>>> have
>>>>>arrested and will find them all.
>>>>>Michael Moore? you mean that famous American filmmaker that don't like
>>> the
>>>>
>>>>>American President's behavings and is saying it high and clearly?
>>>>>Sorry
>>>> to
>>>>>say, I havn't heard him speaking or seeing any of his films. Have just
>>>
>>>>>read
>>>>

>>>>>about him, that he have won big American and international prizes for
>>>
>>>>>works
>>>>>
>>>>>like "Fahrenheit 9/11". It must have reasons that he have won these
>>>>>high
>>>>>
>>>>>prizes and I think it can't be for any lies he have told in these
>>>>>films.
>>>>>
>>>>>So, yes I did it again Don, but not for the reasons you are throwing
>>>>>at
>>>>> me
>>>>>here. You see, I don't need any Michael Moore or any President or
>>>>>American
>>>>>
>>>>>lifestylist to think for me at all.
>>>>>
>>>>>Nonsense, accusations, and lies? Have you documentations to stand
>>>>>besides
>>>>>
>>>>>your speaking here or is it just believings? Sitting nearly three
>>>>>years
>>>>> in
>>>>>different Scandinavian libraries, doing research for a book about
>>>>>second
>>>>>
>>>>>worldwar and my father, gave me plenty of documentations about the
>>>>>last
>>>>>
>>>>>couple of hundred years of wars to stand behind what I'm trying to say
>>>
>>>>>here
>>>>>
>>>>>about war and terror.
>>>>>
>>>>>Sorry to hear that you don't know at all what Norway stands for in
>>>>>humanity
>>>>>
>>>>>and political thinking. If it wasn't for me, maybe you didn't had any
>>>>>knowings about Norway at all? So, I think it's time for you to find
>>>>>out
>>>>> real
>>>>>documentations and facts about that nation too before throwing out
>>>>>talk
>>>>>
>>>>>abo

suck.....go figure.

;o)

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d529af\$1@linux...

> Its an interesting concept though.

>

> What used to be considered usury is now considered standard operating
> procedure. Corporate technicality helps the owners avoid responsibility,
> when that wasn't always the case.

>

> "jp" <no@mail.please> wrote in message news:42d526c2@linux...

> > Without these corporations, what would you watch? what would you record
> > music on? How would you communicate?

> >

> > Corporations are nothing without the mindless masses that feed them. If
> > you don't like it, sell all your crap and live in a cave.

> >

>

>Is that your position deej? I'm starting to lose track.

I always thought labor unions had their place... but like a small
government, prone to corruption of course.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d55d8d\$1@linux...

>and corporations are the rason'd'etre for labor unions which
> also

> suck.....go figure.

>

> ;o)

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d529af\$1@linux...

>> Its an interesting concept though.

>>

>> What used to be considered usury is now considered standard operating
>> procedure. Corporate technicality helps the owners avoid responsibility,
>> when that wasn't always the case.

>>

>> "jp" <no@mail.please> wrote in message news:42d526c2@linux...

>> > Without these corporations, what would you watch? what would you record
>> > music on? How would you communicate?

>> >

>> > Corporations are nothing without the mindless masses that feed them. If
>> > you don't like it, sell all your crap and live in a cave.

>> >

>>

>>

>

>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>I can pretty much agree with that (besides the racist bullshit).

I guess that one went over your head. I was kidding Erling about his use of the archaic term "negro". I don't expect a Norwegian to be up on the proper use of "African American" or "Black American" but I can still tease him about it!

Imagine what he could do to me if I was trying to use his language!

We americans often take it for granted when people from other countries speak or write english to communicate with us, since so few of us speak another language. I appreciate their effort, and make a point of teasing them about it when they stumble.

No racism. sorry to disappoint you.

>I just dont get where Bush invading Iraq to start WWII fits into that >framework.

But you're a believer in crypto-conspiracy land. What could I possibly say to you that you would agree with if it didn't include your conspiracies?

DC

>

>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d548d1\$1@linux...

>> First, you speak LOUDLY, against the head-choppers and suicide
>> bombers and their evil leaders. Turn the tide of world opinion
>> towards universal condemnation of these acts instead of white-man
>> guilt and narcissistic breast-beating and finger pointing at Bush and
>> Blair. Then support those who ensure your tolerant, peaceful,
>> intellectual lives will still be here to live in 10 years.

>>

>> And THEN, when the rest of Islam rises up against the
>> head-choppers and lunatics and demands democracy and decent
>> treatment of women. THEN, if you want to talk about Kyoto, and
>> Native Americans, and Social Justice, and "negroes" (hey, did you
>> say NEGROES? man, there ain't been no negroes around for 50
>> years...) <grin> THEN I will sit down at the table with you and
>> talk about what fools Johnson and Nixon were, and how
>> anti-communism made us do stupid stuff, and yadda yadda, but

>> do not bring these things up in the context of those poor dead
>> people in London!
>>
>> Have a great day
>>
>> DC
>>
>>
>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d562d5\$1 @linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>I can pretty much agree with that (besides the racist bullshit).
>
> I guess that one went over your head. I was kidding Erling about
> his use of the archaic term "negro". I don't expect a Norwegian
> to be up on the proper use of "African American" or
> "Black American" but I can still tease him about it!

you're not enlightened enough to joke about that topic, Don..."justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>you're not enlightened enough to joke about that topic, Don...

Listen up, you dopehead squirt. I will joke about any damn thing I
want to.

DCIm not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few
questions....

<http://politicalcompass.org>

I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the
social scale and to the left on the economic scalehaha...

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d563ef\$1 @linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>>you're not enlightened enough to joke about that topic, Don...
>
> Listen up, you dopehead squirt. I will joke about any damn thing I
> want to.
>
> DC
>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>haha...

See, there you go. Glad you enjoyed it. No one calls me racist and

gets away with it. You have no idea...

DC

>
>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d563ef\$1@linux...
>>
>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>
>>>you're not enlightened enough to joke about that topic, Don...
>>
>> Listen up, you dopehead squirt. I will joke about any damn thing I
>> want to.
>>
>> DC
>>
>
>haha...

lay off the sauce... all of you...

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d56698\$1@linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>haha...
>
> See, there you go. Glad you enjoyed it. No one calls me racist and
> gets away with it. You have no idea...
>
> DC
>
>>
>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d563ef\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>>you're not enlightened enough to joke about that topic, Don...
>>>
>>> Listen up, you dopehead squirt. I will joke about any damn thing I
>>> want to.
>>>
>>> DC
>>>
>>
>>
>I must have some "issues" I placed just left on the economic and just north
of the line towards Authoritarian left. I'm so confused.
"justcron" <

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 18:52:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; >
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:42d529af\$1@linux...
> >> Its an interesting concept though.
> >>
> >> What used to be considered usury is now considered standard operating
> >> procedure. Corporate technicality helps the owners avoid
responsibility,
> >> when that wasn't always the case.
> >>
> >> "jp" <no@mail.please> wrote in message news:42d526c2@linux...
> >> > Without these corporations, what would you watch? what would you
record
> >> > music on? How would you communicate?
> >> >
> >> > Corporations are nothing without the mindless masses that feed them.
If
> >> > you don't like it, sell all your crap and live in a cave.
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>>The corporate system should be examined and kept free from abuse.

In many cases, our corporations have to be subsidized in order to remain competitive with international corporations that are subsidized. Airbus vs Boeing is a prime example.

Deej

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d545dd@linux...
>
> There are many forms of welfare. No-bid contracts to a government
> official's former employer, for example.
>
> The corporate system should be examined and kept free from abuse.
>
> To follow the digression, any problems in the social welfare system
> should also be fixed. Last time that happened it was Clinton, FWIW.
>
> Problems with one certainly do not excuse problems with the other.
> Welfare moms (whether justified or not) do not inoculate corporations
> from proper oversight.

>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie K
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> Neil wrote:
> > "Jimmy" <jj@campnowhere.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>These super-

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 18:54:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

citizens exist today under the familiar name "corporation."
> >
> >
> > No they don't... they exist under the familiar name of: "welfare
> > recipients". While I do believe that we should be compassionate
> > towards & lend a hand to people that cannot work (physical or
> > mental disability, for example), our social support system has
> > been open to abuse for so long that entire families make
> > lifelong careers out of milking the system for all it's worth.
> > Everybody knows of these kinds of instances... THESE are the
> > super-citizens, never having to work, shirking all
> > responsibility, getting free medical & dental care when plenty
> > of working famili

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 18:56:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

es cannot afford insurance if their company
> > doesn't provide it for them, having an entire political party
> > back them up at every turn because they know that's where they
> > get plenty of votes from.
> >
> > Now THAT's power.
> >
> > Neil

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:04:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

libertarian Right

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d565a7\$1@linux...
> Im not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few
> questions....
>
> <http://politicalcompass.org>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:08:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>
> I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the
> social scale and to the left on the economic scale
>
>

well that obviously didn't work. So here's my attempt at drawing the graph manually

authoritarian
oo
oo
oo

oo
oo
LeftooooooooooooooooooooCenterooooooooooooooooooooRight
oo
ooooGhandiooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo
ooooooooooooooooooooMEoooooooooooooooooooooooooooo
oo

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:09:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

oo
Libertarian

"T.Rossi" <t.rossi@NOSPAMearthlink.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:42d5729e@linux...

> Here's where I placed. Hope the graph shows up.

>

> economic left/right: -1.63

> social libertarian/authoritarian: -4.82

>

> authoritarian

> Left

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

libertarian Right

>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d565a7\$1@linux...
>> Im not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few
>> questions....
>>
>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 16:41:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n universities and I met quite a few
of

> them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age and would
> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we shared a lot
> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming increasing
> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of priviledge were the
ones

> who most despised the systems that allowed them those priviledges.

>
> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away from
> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California scene. My
> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from Texas, had a
> big house and invited me to live with them while I established myself.
> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the film
and

> music industry and their kids.

> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
> members of the leftist political scene in this country.

> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug culture that
was

> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the rest of
> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The decadence
> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it wasn't
cool

> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew some
> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the ranch
> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their leader, was in
> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of my
cousins

> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson who had
> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people you can
> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood

> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their lifestyle
> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.
>
> I continued to participate as an activist in all of the *causes du jour* I
> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the moving women)
> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and abortions
> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the various
> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro American
> citizens
> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war protests
> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.
>
> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major
degree
> in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics. This
> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of career
path
> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew my way
> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.
>
> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to Mexico. I
> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place during my
> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a deserted
place
> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I have met
> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same kind of
> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem, Katmandhu,
> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
destinations.
> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by a
Zapotec
> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two of
which
> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this small
village
> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good part of
my
> life in this place and lived with this family during those times from 1973
> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to be and
now
> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the story
> about this place.
> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it. You may
have
> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story but I have
> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have the
time.

> > thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize you.
> >
> > maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or something.
> > cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?
> >
> > *shakes head in disbelief*
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
> > >
> > >Regards,
> > >
> > >DJ
> > >
> > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:42d45332\$1@linux...
> > >>
> > >> you know how i meant it.
> > >>
> > >> whatever.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn
> > >> from
> > >> the
> > >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
> > news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> > >> >>
> > >> >> doug,
> > >> >>
> > >> >>
> > >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> > >> >> youve come a long way, really.
> > >> >>
> > >> >>
> > >> >> regards,
> > >> >> derek
> > >> >>
> > >> >>
> > >> >>

> > > > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
> > > > > seriously.....
> > > > > you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive
> > > > > with
> > > > > their
> > > > > own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland
> > > > > these
> > > > > days
> > > > > about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about how
> > > > > the
> > > > > Euro's
> > > > > whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when the
> > > > > chips
> > > > > are
> > > > > down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses
> > > > > and
> > > > > watch
> > > > > the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
> > > > >those
> > > > > meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled
> > > > > all
> > > > > our
> > > > > fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and
> > > > > pick
> > > > > up
> > > > > a
> > > > > few
> > > > > million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are
> > > > > dying
> > > > > of
> > > > > starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
> > > > > violations
> > > > > of
> > > > > UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the
> > > > > flies
> > > > > off
> > > > > their
> > > > > festering wounds and running to the crapper with
> > > > > disentery.....and
> > > > > just
> > > > > maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya say
> > > > > guys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
> > > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
> > > > >
> > > > > Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd &
> > > > > 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
> > > > > sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find it
> > > > > hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> > > > > case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> > > > > starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
> > > > > that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
> > > > > who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> > > > > Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> > > > > all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> > > > > these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> > > > > understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
> > > > > capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> > > > > position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> > > > > against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic
city.
> > > > > One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy? OK,
> > > > > fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
> > > > > Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> > > > > had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
> > > > > time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Neil
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Neil
> > > > >
> > > > > "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big US
> > oil
> > > > > companies.
> > > > > > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed
> > > > > pipelines
> > > > > > is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
> > > > > destabilizing
> > > > > > the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make
billions
> > for

> > >> >> >George's
> > >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the US
> > the
> > >> >same
> > >> >> >way
> > >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as payback
> > for
> > >> >> >Israeli/US
> > >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him or
> > his
> > >> >agenda
> > >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and our
> > >so-called
> > >> >> >friends
> > >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the US
> > >> >government
> > >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as
> > possible
> > >> >> >to
> > >> >> >their
> > >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and military
> > >> >> >contracts,
> > >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little
social
> > >> >> >safety-net
> > >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people
directly
> > >with
> > >> >> >high
> > >> >> >gas and oil prices.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back to
the
> > >early
> > >> >> >1900s
> > >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
> > ideology)
> > >> >> >is
> > >> >> >to
> > >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply
> > >can't
> > >> >> >afford
> > >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to
bankrupt

> > the
> > > US
> > > > >government
> > > > > >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's
> doing
> > > a
> > > >great
> > > > > >job.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't
> seem
> > > to
> > > > >consider.
> > > > > > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth
> through
> > > > >taxation,
> > > > > >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and
> nobodies
> > >wealth
> > > > is
> > > > > >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the
> economy.
> > > > Why?
> > > > > > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100%
> of
> > >every
> > > > >dollar
> > > > > >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend
> > >every
> > > > red
> > > > > >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich
> > >friends,
> > > > >the
> > > > > >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save and
> > > >government
> > > > > >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of
> America.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that
> the
> > > US
> > > > is
> > > > > heading
> > > > > >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda.
> There's
> > > no
> > > > >success
> > > > > >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how

> > >> >heartbroken
> > >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've
> always
> > >> >> >believed
> > >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are very
> > >> >worrisome
> > >> >> >times.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
> > >> >senselessness
> > >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >All the best,
> > >> >> >Mike Audet
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >> >> >>Kim,
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not,
> > >however,
> > >> >> >agree
> > >> >> >>>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no
good
> > at
> > >> all
> > >> >> >(my
> > >> >> >>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed to
> > the
> > >> war
> > >> >> >feel
> > >> >> >>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could have
> > >easily
> > >> >> >>>provided the time for disposing of them across the border in
> Syria
> > >> or
> > >> >> in
> > >> >> >> >the
> > >> >> >>>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story
is
> > >over
> > >> >> >yet.
> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >>>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it to
be
> > >over
> > >> >> so

> > >> >> >> they
> > >> >> >>>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the
while
> > >> >bellowing
> > >> >> >>>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
> > >therefore,
> > >> > the
> > >> >> >war
> > >> >> >>>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute
lowest
> > >> >partisan
> > >> >> >>>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
resolutions
> > and
> > >> in
> > >> >> >doing
> > >> >> >>>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace
to
> > >> >stability
> > >> >> >in
> > >> >> >>>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened? I
> > >don't
> > >> >know,
> > >> >> >>>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in
shooting
> > >down
> > >> >> one
> > >> >> >>>of
> > >> >> >>>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would
> have
> > >> >done
> > >> >> >>>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give a
> damn
> > >> >about
> > >> >> >>>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam
in
> > >power
> > >> >> >was
> > >> >> >>>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee we
> would
> > >> >have
> > >> >> >>> half
> > >> >> >>>a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines and
> > >> >> >infrastructure
> > >> >> >>>from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as a
> bone
> > >> >as
> > >> >> we

> > >> It
> > >> >> >was
> > >> >> >>obviouly
> > >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free
Iraq
> > >from
> > >> >> >tyranny"
> > >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't
> seem
> > >> to
> > >> >> care
> > >> >> >> >if
> > >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have
> > to
> > >> tell
> > >> >> >them
> > >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that
> > I
> > >> >*almost*
> > >> >> >>>would
> > >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have
> stomached
> > >> >that
> > >> >> >as
> > >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think if
the
> > >U.S.
> > >> >> had
> > >> >> >>>waited
> > >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the U.N.
> that
> > >> >> >eventually
> > >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB & co

> > >> >actually
> > >> >> >>>*didn't
> > >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they
could
> > be
> > >> in
> > >> >> >>>charge,
> > >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the
> country
> > >> was
> > >> >> >>>restructured.
> > >> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter
of
> > >time

> > >> >> >before
> > >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
> > >> >> >procrastinate
> > >> >> >>for
> > >> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A
whole
> > >swag
> > >> >> of
> > >> >> >>>countries
> > >> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little
> more".
> > >> Sure
> > >> >> it
> > >> >> >>was
> > >> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been
> worth
> > >> it.
> > >> >> >The
> > >> >> >>way
> > >> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just
> hell
> > >> bent
> > >> >> on
> > >> >> >>war
> > >> >> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little longer
> > >>would
> > >> >> have
> > >> >> >>>made
> > >> >> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether the
> U.N.
> > >> >> >actually
> > >> >> >>>ended
> > >> >> >>> up behind it or not.
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>> This London thing is screwed.
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group. DOH
> > >>DOH!!
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>> Cheers,
> > >> >> >>> Kim.
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >>>

> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >
> > >> >>
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d69931@linux...

sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to current
events:

> We have been attacked.

not by Iraq

Its interesting that you say both this:

> Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years

and this:

> I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it, there is
> no
> international law. These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated bunglings and
inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a dowbt. Theie is
no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed *by the
UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was encouraged in
his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions in the
first place. This organization no longer has the authority to call itself a
law making body. It is an anachronistic joke.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d69b22\$1@linux...

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42d69931@linux...

>
> sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to current
> events:

>
> > We have been attacked.

>
> not by Iraq
>
> Its interesting that you say both this:
>
> > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
>
> and this:
>
> > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it, there
is
> > no
> > international law.
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d69dd7@linux...
> These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated bunglings and
> inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a doubt. There is
> no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed *by the
> UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was encouraged
> in
> his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions in the
> first place. This organization no longer has the authority to call itself
> a
> law making body. It is an anachronistic joke.

I understand that point, but why do you then use 'Saddam was in violation of
UN sanctions' as your primary justification for war?

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d69b22\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42d69931@linux...
>>
>> sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to current
>> events:
>>
>> > We have been attacked.
>>
>> not by Iraq
>>
>> Its interesting that you say both this:
>>
>> > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
>>
>> and this:
>>

>> > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it, there
> is
>> > no
>> > international law.
>>
>>
>
>Because the war, that he started, was not over.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d6a1ee\$1@linux...

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42d69dd7@linux...
> > These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated bunglings
and
> > inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a doubt. There
is
> > no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed *by
the
> > UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was
encouraged
> > in
> > his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions in
the
> > first place. This organization no longer has the authority to call
itself
> > a
> > law making body. It is an anachronistic joke.
>
> I understand that point, but why do you then use 'Saddam was in violation
of
> UN sanctions' as your primary justification for war?

>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>> news:42d69b22\$1@linux...

>>>
>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:42d69931@linux...
>>>
>>> sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to
current
>>> events:
>>>
>>> > We have been attacked.
>>>
>>> not by Iraq

> >>
> >> Its interesting that you say both this:
> >>
> >> > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
> >>
> >> and this:
> >>
> >> > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it,
there
> > is
> >> > no
> >> > international law.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d6a29f\$1@linux...
> Because the war, that he started, was not over.

You're referring to the war that we nursed along since 1991?

Then in March 03, he's all of a sudden an imminent threat?

Come on Deej.

>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d6a1ee\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42d69dd7@linux...
>> > These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated bunglings
> and
>> > inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a dowbt. Theie
> is
>> > no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed *by
> the
>> > UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was
> encouraged
>> > in
>> > his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions in
> the
>> > first place. This organization no longer has the authority to call
> itself
>> > a
>> > law making body. It is an anachronistic joke.

>>
>> I understand that point, but why do you then use 'Saddam was in violation
> of
>> UN sanctions' as your primary justification for war?
>>
>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>> > news:42d69b22\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:42d69931@linux...
>> >>
>> >> sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to
> current
>> >> events:
>> >>
>> >> > We have been attacked.
>> >>
>> >> not by Iraq
>> >>
>> >> Its interesting that you say both this:
>> >>
>> >> > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
>> >>
>> >> and this:
>> >>
>> >> > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it,
> there
>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:01:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s
foreign policy. This still does not absolve those who purported to believe
in the UN to use it to line their own pockets at the expense of the people
of Iraq.

Seems like we've had this argument ad nauseum.....

I've got things to do.

Later.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d6a4fc\$1@linux...
>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42d6a29f\$1@linux...
> > Because the war, that he started, was not over.
>
> You're referring to the war that we nursed along since 1991?
>
> Then in March 03, he's all of a sudden an imminent threat?
>
> Come on Deej.
>
> >
> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > news:42d6a1ee\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> news:

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:04:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> is
>> >> > no
>> >> > international law.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>Only at the behest of the UN. The fact that it continued for that long is a true testament to the worthlessness of the UN and the worthlessness of the Clinton administration so I'm not saying we bear no responsibility for the situation. Clinton's ingorance of the gathering threats that his inactions were creating were certainly the thing that encouraged the belief that the war was not over.....but these beliefs were as flawed as was Clinton'

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:22:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;> >> > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
> >> >>
> >> >> and this:

> >> >>
> >> >> > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it,
> > there
> >> > is
> >> >> > no
> >> >> > international law.

> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

> >
>

>Kim - congrats on the pay raise!! If you can find a beer named spotted cow from New Glarus brewery get it - it's great. But then I'm from WI (US) were all we all we do is drink beer and tip cows so this beer is a huge hit here....

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>Well, he doesn't have a DAC-1 yet and I don't see him around here so I'm
>>gettin' concerned.

>
>Hehe, well you'll be relieved to hear that I already had a DAC-1 savings
>plan, and that a portion of this rise will be going in to further boost
that
>plan. Come this time next year I think it's a cert. :o)

>
>Cheers,
>Kim.>the thing that encouraged the belief that the
war was not over

sorry, this should say *the belief that the war *was* over.

Anyway.....gotta' go.....bye.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d6a9c5\$1@linux...

> Only at the behest of the UN. The fact that it continued for that long is
a
> true testament to the worthlessness of the UN and the worthlessness of the
> Clinton administration so I'm not saying we bear no responsibility for the
> situation. Clinton's ingorance of the gathering threats that his inactions
> were creating were certainly the thing that encouraged the belief that the
> war was not over.....but these beliefs were as flawed as was Clinton's

> foreign policy. This still does not absolve those who purported to believe
> in the UN to use it to line their own pockets at the expense of the people
> of Iraq.
>
> Seems like we've had this argument ad nauseam

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:28:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

." target="_blank">42d69dd7@linux...
> >> > These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated
bunglings
> > and
> >> > inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a doubt.
Theie
> > is
> >> > no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed
*by
> > the
> >> > UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was
> > encouraged
> >> > in
> >> > his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions in
> > the
> >> > first place. This organization no longer has the authority to call
> > itself
> >> > a
> >> > law making body. It is an anachronistic joke.
> >>
> >> I understand that point, but why do you then use 'Saddam was in
violation
> > of
> >> UN sanctions' as your primary justification for war?
> >>
> >> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> >> > news:42d69b22\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:42d69931@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to
> > current
> >> >> events:
> >> >>
> >> >> > We have been attacked.
> >> >>

> >> >> not by Iraq
> >> >>
> >> >> Its interesting that you say both this:
> >> >>
> &g

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:46:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

eum.....
>
> I've got things to do.
>
> Later.
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d6a4fc\$1@linux...
> >
> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> > news:42d6a29f\$1@linux...
> > > Because the war, that he started, was not over.
> >
> > You're referring to the war that we nursed along since 1991?
> >
> > Then in March 03, he's all of a sudden an imminent threat?
> >
> > Come on Deej.
> >
> > >
> > > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > > news:42d6a1ee\$1@linux...
> > >>
> > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:42d69dd7@linux...
> > >> > These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated
> > >> bunglings
> > >> and
> > >> inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a dowbt.
> > >> Theie
> > >> is
> > >> > no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed
> > >> *by
> > >> the
> > >> > UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was
> > >> encouraged
> > >> > in

> > > > his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions
in
> > > the
> > > > first place. This organization no longer has the authority to call
> > > itself
> > > > a
> > > > law making body. It is an anachronistic joke.
> > > >
> > > I understand that point, but why do you then use 'Saddam was in
> violation
> > > of
> > > UN sanctions' as your primary justification for war?
> > > >
> > > > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> > > > news:42d69b22\$1@linux...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:42d69931@linux...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:52:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

responding to
> > > current
> > > > events:
> > > > >
> > > > > We have been attacked.
> > > > >
> > > > > not by Iraq
> > > > >
> > > > > Its interesting that you say both this:
> > > > >
> > > > > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
> > > > >
> > > > > and this:
> > > > >
> > > > > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it,
> > > there
> > > > is
> > > > > no
> > > > > international law.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > >> >
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

> Oh well... thanks for answering the questions anyway. Im not trying to argue... just trying to follow the logic.

Unfortunately my conclusion hasn't moved at all... that Bush successfully capitalized on people's horror to 911, along with some fluffed up 'intelligence', along with media nationalism to convince a major part of the country that Saddam was about to nuke us.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42d6a9c5\$1@linux...

> Only at the behest of the UN. The fact that it continued for that long is
> a
> true testament to the worthlessness of the UN and the worthlessness of the
> Clinton administration so I'm not saying we bear no responsibility for the
> situation. Clinton's ingorance of the gathering threats that his inactions
> were creating were certainly the thing that encouraged the belief that the
> war was not over.....but these beliefs were as flawed as was Clinton's
> foriegn policy. This still does not absolve those who purported to believe
> in the UN to use it to line their own pockets at the expense of the people
> of Iraq.

>
> Seems like we've had this argument ad nauseum.....

>
> I've got things to do.

>
> Later.

>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d6a4fc\$1@linux...

>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> news:42d6a29f\$1@linux...

>> > Because the war, that he started, was not over.

>>
>> You're referring to the war that we nursed along since 1991?

>>
>> Then in March 03, he's all of a sudden an imminent threat?

>>
>> Come on Deej.

>>
>> >
>> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>> > news:42d6a1ee\$1@linux...
>> >>
>> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:42d69dd7@linux...
>> >> > These are not contradictory at all. If anything the repeated
> bunglings
>> > and
>> >> > inaction by the UN have proven this beyond the shadow of a dowbt.
> Theie
>> > is
>> >> > no international law. If there was, Sadaam would have been deposed
> *by
>> > the
>> >> > UN* the minute he violated the sanctions. Unfortunately he was
>> > encouraged
>> >> > in
>> >> > his violations by the very organization that imposed the sanctions
>> >> > in
>> > the
>> >> > first place. This organization no lon

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 17:56:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f="mailto:42d69931@linux..." target="_blank">42d69931@linux...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> sorry to dissect your story like this, but I'm just responding to
>> > current
>> >> >> events:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > We have been attacked.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> not by Iraq
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Its interesting that you say both this:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
>> >> >>
>> >> >> and this:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it,
>> > there
>> >> > is

>> >> >> > no
>> >> >> > international law.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>Maybe he's sync'ing Cakewalk to Paris so he can use the Paris summing bus.....

;o)

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:42d69147\$1@linux...

>
> greetings sir.
>
>
> Its kinda sad that edmund has to mess around with CW instead of his baby
> PARIS...
>
>
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:42d68b23\$1@linux...
> >
> > I don't understand what is sad about that. PARIS was developed in code
> > warrior.
> > The MAC versions of the plug-ins were developed with code warrior.
> >
> > "zornwil" <wilson.zorn@takeout_asterick_theobvious.com> wrote:
> >>That's just sad.
> >>
> >>"Michael Yanoska" <yanoska@cox.net> wrote in message
> >>news:42595563\$1@linux...
> >>>
> >>> Apparently this is what has Edmund's interest lately:
> >>>
> >>> <http://www.codecomments.com/archive241-2004-6-222597.html>
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>maybe he was on the back of SSC' bike and just doesn't remember us...

On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 12:03:35 -0600, "Mr Simplicity"
<animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>Maybe he's sync'ing Cakewalk to Paris so he can use the Paris summing
>bus.....
>
>;o)
>
>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>news:42d69147\$1@linux...
>>
>> greetings sir.
>>
>>
>> Its kinda sad that edmund has to mess around with CW instead of his baby
>> PARIS...
>>
>>
>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:42d68b23\$1@linux...
>> >
>> > I don't understand what is sad about that. PARIS was developed in code
>> > warrior.
>> > The MAC versions of the plug-ins were developed with code warrior.
>> >
>> > "zornwil" <wilson.zorn@takeout_asterick_theobvious.com> wrote:
>> >>That's just sad.
>> >>
>> >>"Michael Yanoska" <yanoska@cox.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:42595563\$1@linux...
>> >>>
>> >>> Apparently this is what has Edmund's interest lately:
>> >>>
>> >>> <http://www.codecomments.com/archive241-2004-6-222597.html>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>>
>wheres the part with the long visits to the countries you seem
to know enough about to be sure that your stereotypes are
"real"? you are really trying to tell ME (i LIVE here...) that your
stereotypes are "the truth" because of the bunch
stereotype-ish people that conveniently lived somewhere
near you in the last 20 years? you know, i happen to know
some americans that live here too. so let me explai

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 18:14:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n to you
what america is and how america works, because i do know.

unbelievable. even funny in a way.

that non-judgmentalism you talk about, yeah i know, it currently
is peoples favourite enemy de la saison. ooooh non-judgmentalism!!! bad bad
bad!!! its the antichrist! booooh!
throws tomato

i gotta tell you, reading about how "well founded" your
ideas of europe were (reminds me of last time where you
marked "stefan schroeder" or some such as member of the
"evil" (TM) club, while not even knowing his actual name), i
think a little dose of humility and non-judgmentalism wouldnt be all that
bad.

i wont go into the iraq thing any more than say that there is more to the
whole story than
"violating UN sanctions" (which other countries like israel
or the US do just as much, not that it matters anymore).
fuck that dumb dicussion about peoples motivations -
usually a country operates driven by a mixture of greed,
egoism, a little dose of humanism and morality (preferably when it
happens to be in sync with other interests) and power.
every country, every institution is like that, its
only the mixture that varies a bit - discussions about
that lead nowhere (at best they lead to kindergarden stereotypes
such as the impressively well researched ones you had to offer -
greedy selffish europe or, equally stupid, the oh so evil USA, all that BS
thats only
there because some people like to have everything in easy categories)

its only different this time because mr.bush felt like
trying a new concept, throwing away the idea of "be like
you want your neighbour to be" and a set or rules and coming
up with the - rather explosive - idea of preemptive strikes.

in the end, we all want the same thing. so coming up with
this new concept is fair enough. but it naturally leads to
the basic argument about deescalation versus brute force.
the whole "ah theyre just selfish cowards" blabla is

just a nice excuse so that one doesn't have to face this discussion, cause as we all well know, those countries that supposedly are so selfish as to not join the saint that is the USA, for some reason did join and support them in the past. heck, they might even support them in other causes (my favourite example being long forgotten afghanistan, where my country i.e. is still helping out on every corner, despite the fact that were led by mr. selfish evil stefan schroeder, the half socialist bastard), which somehow is quite illogical, dont you think?

anyway, that discussion leads nowhere, its just a nebula bomb thrown by supporters of the preemptive strike idea that fear the actual comparison of concepts.

just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil (who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so funny ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the different concepts of brute force versus deescalation. brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a conflict ending concept. deescalation had about 5 years (you know, until that religious dumbass shot rabin). which concept came closer to actual peace? you tell me.

im much more interested in that basic discussion of concepts than in dealing stereotype bullshit like the "selfish socialist europe" or the equally stupid speculation about whether mr. bush's motivation is a selfish one or a selfless act (i would guess its a typical case of a mixture).

im too old for that BS. and you should be too. honestly.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>Well, I've got little time here so I'm going to try to finish this in a
>briefer post as possible.

>

>To sum up the previous post.....after 1976, when electricity and decent
>roads were brought into this area, it became an increasingly international
>community of people and a big experiment in socialism. The same problems
>that inevitably doom socialism to failure, were very evident and it was

a

>real eye opener to those among us who thought we could transcend human
>nature for the *good of the group*. Also the permissiveness and

>non-judgmentalism that was part of this experiment (we call it political
>correctness now) caused a lot of problems. One really funny thing happened
>early on. The Indians who were all part of the big extended family that
ws
>running this place were all laughing like crazy one night at a get together
>they were having. I could understand their dialect and they had known me
for
>years. wht they were laughing about was the stereotypes they were noticing.
>
>The French tourists distrusted the Germans. The Italians and the French
>seemed to be in some sort of competition all the time with each other. The
>Quebecois Canadians pretty much hated the French and vice versa. The Germans
>and the Americans got along pretty well, though no one really wanted to
>admit liking the Americans much when Americans weren't around. The Americans
>seemed to have very strange relationships with the Europeans and other
>Americans. Some of the Americans wanted to be liked by the Europeans, lots
>of the Americans wanted everyone to think they were Californians. The
>Scandin

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 19:34:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e
know
> >how long it takes the UN do anything. In the meantime.....millions of
people
> >can die. Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years and
> was
> >in a position to do us a lot of harm. I don't buy the idea that he was
some
> >non-threat. Saying that we should have waited longer after we had waited
> for
> >10 years while the French, Russians and the UN bureaucrats had supported
> >Sadaam against the same resolutions they had approved gives me little
faith
> >in the UN doing anything right or in a timely manner and given this
> >experience.
> >
> >I think the European people should be supporting us and they are very
> >divided about this. My opinions are mixed about them as well so
yes.....I
> >have changed. I'm tryly sorry that you now see me as some kind of evil
> >person, but I'll get over it.
> >
> >Regards,
> >

> >Doug
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >news:42d54f92@linux...
> >> Ok Derek,
> >>
> >> >maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,
> >> but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you. reading the
> >> stereotype BS you spread<
> >>
> >> I'm not spouting stereotypes for the sake of trying to make someone
> *bad*
> >> and someone else *good*. I am relating the truth I have seen based on
> real
> >> world experience. If it's ugly to you, then that's good. It's ugly to
> me
> >> too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though they
> are
> >not
> >> racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they are
> very
> >> real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my life as
> part
> >> of one of these evolving stereotypes.
> >>
> >> I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born in
> 1950.
> >> The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US which was
> >full
> >> of hypocracy and racial prejudice. I remember some very ugly things
> very
> >> vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect of
> this
> >> made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was
> something
> >> wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing. I also
> >came
> >> to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of these
> >> experiences and the hypocracy I saw.
> >>
> >> My grandmother had a big hammond organ in her parlor and also a baby
> grand
> >> piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up on the
> >> benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because I

could

> >> listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the keys
> >> (though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived in
south

> >> Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus Christi,
Texas)

> >> and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father. He
> was

> >a

> >> mechanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work designing
> >> large installations for the agricultural interests which were sponsored
> by

> >> both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which was
> >basically

> >> rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more
ugliness

> >> there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was so
> >obviously

> >> wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse it, but
> I

> >> couldn't accept this. I became very rebellious and a what is called
here,

> a

> >> *problem child*

> >>

> >> By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band that was
> >> fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly large
> >> regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening shows
> for

> >> touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam Vets
> >who

> >> were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and also
opiates

> >> and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war counterculture
> and

> >> our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type entertainment
> >> industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would make
> them

> >> \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked on
> >> amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.

> >>

> >> By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and had
been

> >> accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to do what
> >they

> >> could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career (which was
> >less

> >> a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus I was

> so
> >> totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative spark
that
> >I
> >> had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway. I
> >didn't
> >> want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out on my
> >> countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered to be
> >> *cool*, at least in Texas.
> >>
> >> There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed over
there.
> >> This country was being torn apart by dissention and I became more and
> more
> >> involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging
> >philosophy
> >> of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/political
anarchism.
> >>
> >> While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They were
the
> >> rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business with
> in
> >> Mexico. They were going to university in the states and were, like me,
> >> angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships. I
started
> >> going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would travel
> to
> >> places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were mostly
> >> untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians, who
were
> >not
> >> really considered to be human by anyone, including these *enlightened*
> >young
> >> Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were
> >traditionalists
> >> and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends of
> my
> >> Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met quite a
> few
> >of
> >> them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age and
would
> >> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we shared a
> lot
> >> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming
increasing
> >> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of privilege were the

> >ones
> >> who most despised the systems that allowed them those privileges.
> >>
> >> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away from
> >> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California scene. My
> >> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from Texas, had
> a
> >> big house and invited me to live with them while I established myself.
> >> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the film
> >and
> >> music industry and their kids.
> >> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
> >> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
> >> members of the leftist political scene in this country.
> >> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug culture
that
> >was
> >> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the rest
> of
> >> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
> >> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The
decadence
> >> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it wasn't
> >cool
> >> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew some
> >> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the
ranch
> >> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their leader, was
> in
> >> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of my
> >cousins
> >> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson who
> had
> >> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people you
> can
> >> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood
> >> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their
> >lifestyle
> >> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.
> >>
> >> I continued to participate as as activist in all of the *causes du
jour*
> I
> >> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the moving
women)
> >> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and abortions
> >> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the
various

> >> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro American
> >> citizens
> >> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war
protests
> >> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.
> >>
> >> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major
> >degree
> >> in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics.
This
> >> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of career
> >path
> >> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew my
way
> >> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.
> >>
> >> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to Mexico.
> I
> >> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place during
> my
> >> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a deserted
> >place
> >> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I have
> met
> >> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same kind
> of
> >> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem, Katmandhu,
> >> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
> >destinations.
> >> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by a
> >Zapotec
> >> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two of
> >which
> >> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this small
> >village
> >> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good part
> of
> >my
> >> life in this place and lived with this family during those times from
> 1973
> >> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to be and
> >now
> >> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the story
> >> about this place.
> >> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it. You may
> >have
> >> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story but I
> have

> >> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have the
> >time.
> >> This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this
> >> conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me a
question
> >> that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later if you
> are
> >> interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was my home
> and
> >is
> >> still my second home-
> >>
> >> I know a lot of the people in these pictures. I watched them grow up
and
> >> taught a few of them how to swim and catch fish. the beautiful young
> >woman,
> >> Claudia is the
> >> niece of my friend Ramon. The two people, John and Maria were guests at
> my
> >> home in Austin after one of my trips to Zipolite and we watched the
events
> >> unfold at Tienamen Square on television. They were having some bad drug
> >> problems even then. They are both kind, intelligent victims of the
social
> >> experiment that is
> >> happening there.
> >>
> >> [http://www.greatvavavoom.com/stories/storyReader\\$56](http://www.greatvavavoom.com/stories/storyReader$56)
> >>
> >> This link is also descriptive of how this place has evolved and what
> the
> >> place was like the last time I was there.
> >>
> >> <http://community-2.webtv.net/ivanjay/IVANJSNAVELYHOMEPORT/>
> >>
> >> more to come.....
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >>
> >> Doug
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d45df1@linux...
> >> >
> >> > maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fineprint,
> >> > but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
> >> > reading the stereotype BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
> >> > thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize you.
> >> >
> >> > maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or
> >> something.
> >> > cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?
> >> >
> >> > *shakes head in disbelief*
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
> >> > >
> >> > >Regards,
> >> > >
> >> > >DJ
> >> > >
> >> > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >> news:42d45332\$1@linux...
> >> > >>
> >> > >> you know how i meant it.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> whatever.
> >> > >>
> >> > >>
> >> > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> > >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would
learn
> >> from
> >> > >> the
> >> > >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >> news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> doug,

> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> >> > >> >> youve come a long way, really.
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> regards,
> >> > >> >> derek
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> >> > >> >> >
> >> > >> >> > > Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
> >> > >> >> > > seriously.....
> >> > >> >> > > you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real
proactive
> >> > >> >> > with
> >> > >> >> > their
> >> > >> >> > own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland
> >> > >> >> > these
> >> > >> >> > days
> >> > >> >> > about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about
> >> > >> >> > how
> >> > >> >> > the
> >> > >> >> > Euro's
> >> > >> >> > whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when
> >> > >> >> > the
> >> > >> >> > chips
> >> > >> >> > are
> >> > >> >> > down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective
asses
> >> > >> >> > and
> >> > >> >> > watch
> >> > >> >> > the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
> >> > >> >> >those
> >> > >> >> > meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and
spoiled
> >> > >> >> > all
> >> > >> >> > our
> >> > >> >> > fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and
> >> > >> >> > pick
> >> > >> >> > up
> >> > >> >> > a
> >> > >> >> > few
> >> > >> >> > million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are

> >dying
> >> > of
> >> >> >> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
> >> > >violations
> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the
> >flies
> >> > off
> >> >> >their
> >> >> >> >festering wounds and running to the crapper with
> >> disentery.....and
> >> >> >just
> >> >> >> >maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya
> say
> >> > >guys?
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message
news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd
> >&
> >> >> >> >> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most
evil
> >> >> >> >> >> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find
> >it
> >> >> >> >> >> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
> >> >> >> >> >> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
> >> >> >> >> >> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into
Iraq...
> >> >> >> >> >> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the
Iraqi's
> >> >> >> >> >> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
> >> >> >> >> >> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
> >> >> >> >> >> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> >> >> >> >> >> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit
their
> >> >> >> >> >> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
> >> >> >> >> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> >> >> >> >> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic
> >city.
> >> >> >> >> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy?

> OK,
> > > > > > fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this
time.
> > > > > > Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
> > > > > > had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good
long
> > > > > > time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Neil
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Neil
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big
> US
> > > oil
> > > > > > >companies.
> > > > > > > > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in
bombed
> > > > > > >pipelines
> > > > > > > >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
> > > > > > > >destabilizing
> > > > > > > >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make
> >billions
> > > for
> > > > > > >George's
> > > > > > > >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt the
> US
> > > the
> > > > > > >same
> > > > > > > >way
> > > > > > > > >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as
payback
> > > for
> > > > > > > >Israeli/US
> > > > > > > > >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending him
> or
> > > his
> > > > > > > >agenda
> > > > > > > > >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and
our

> >> > >so-called
> >> >> >> >friends
> >> >> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt the
> > US
> >> >> >> >government
> >> >> >> >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money as
> >> possible
> >> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> >their
> >> >> >> >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and
military
> >> >> >> >contracts,
> >> >> >> >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little
> >social
> >> >> >> >> > >safety-net
> >> >> >> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people
> >directly
> >> >> >> >with
> >> >> >> >> >high
> >> >> >> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back
> >to
> >the
> >> >> >> >early
> >> >> >> >> >> >1900s
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
> >> ideology)
> >> >> >> >> >> >is
> >> >> >> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public
simply
> >> >> >> >> >> >can't
> >> >> >> >> >> >afford
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to
> >bankrupt
> >> >> >> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >> >> >US
> >> >> >> >> >> >government
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's
> >> doing
> >> >> >> >> >> >a
> >> >> >> >> >> >great
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >job.
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives

don't
> >> seem
> >>> >> to
> >>> >>> >> >consider.
> >>> >>>> >>> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth
> >> through
> >>> >>>> >taxation,
> >>> >>>> >>> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and
> >nobodies
> >>> >wealth
> >>> >>>> is
> >>> >>>> >>> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the
> >> economy.
> >>> >>>> Why?
> >>> >>>> >>> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend
100%
> >of
> >>> >every
> >>> >>>> >dollar
> >>> >>>> >>> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally
spend
> >>> >every
> >>> >>>> red
> >>> >>>> >>> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his
rich
> >>> >friends,
> >>> >>>> >the
> >>> >>>> >>> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save
> >and
> >>> >>> >government
> >>> >>>> >>> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of
> >> America.
> >>> >>>> >>> >
> >>> >>>> >>> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction
that
> >> the
> >>> >>> US
> >>> >>>> is
> >>> >>>> >>> heading
> >>> >>>> >>> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda.
> >> There's
> >>> >>> no
> >>> >>>> >success
> >>> >>>> >>> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you
how
> >>> >>> >heartbroken
> >>> >>>> >>> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've
> >> always

> to
> >be
> >> > >over
> >> > >> >> so
> >> > >> >> >> they
> >> > >> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the
> >while
> >> > >> >bellowing
> >> > >> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
> >> > >therefore,
> >> > >> >> the
> >> > >> >> >> >war
> >> > >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute
> >lowest
> >> > >> >partisan
> >> > >> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
> >resolutions
> >> > and
> >> > >> in
> >> > >> >> >doing
> >> > >> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven
menace
> >to
> >> > >> >stability
> >> > >> >> >> >in
> >> > >> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't
happened?
> I
> >> > >don't
> >> > >> >> >know,
> >> > >> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in
> >shooting
> >> > >down
> >> > >> >> one
> >> > >> >> >> >of
> >> > >> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we
would
> >> have
> >> > >> >done
> >> > >> >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give
> a
> >> damn
> >> > >> >about
> >> > >> >> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping
Sadaam
> >in
> >> > >power
> >> > >> >> >was

> >> > >> >> >> all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee
> > we
> >> would
> >> > >> > have
> >> > >> >> >> half
> >> > >> >> >> >> a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines
> > and
> >> > >> >> > infrastructure
> >> > >> >> >> >> from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as
> > a
> >> bone
> >> > >> as
> >> > >> >> we
> >> > >> >> >> >> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't
> >> subtle.
> >> > >> >> >> >>
> >> > >> >> >> >> Regards,
> >> > >> >> >> >>
> >> > >> >> >> >> DeeJ
> >> > >> >> >> >>
> >> > >> >> >> >> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
> >> > >> >> >> >> >>
> >> > >> >> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> >> and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones
> > on
> >> > > earth
> >> > >> >> who
> >> > >> >> >> saw
> >> > >> >> >> >> any
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> merit in enforcing UN resolutions
> >> > >> >> >> >> >>
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate*
> > the
> >> > way
> >> > >> >> they
> >> > >> >> >> >> went
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing
(which
> >> > was
> >> > >> >> >> clearly
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> garbage).
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and
> > co
> >> > > wanted
> >> > >> >> >> Saddam
> >> > >> >> >> >> >> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but
> > because

> >> > >they
> >> >> >> >noticed
> >> >> >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing"
Iraq
> >> would
> >> >> >give
> >> >> >> >> >them
> >> >> >> >> >>> more oil power.
> >> >> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those
events,
> >> >> >> >politically,
> >> >> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their
> own
> >> > >agenda,
> >> >> >> >> and
> >> >> >> >> >not
> >> >> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the
> >> public.
> >> >> >> It
> >> >> >> >was
> >> >> >> >> >>>obviouly
> >> >> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free
> >Iraq
> >> > >from
> >> >> >> >tyranny"
> >> >> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public
don't
> >> seem
> >> >> >> to
> >> >> >> >> care
> >> >> >> >> >if
> >> >> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you
> have
> >> > to
> >> >> >> tell
> >> >> >> >> >them
> >> >> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about
> that
> >> > I
> >> >> > *almost*
> >> >> >> >> >>> would
> >> >> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have
> >> stomached
> >> >> >> >that
> >> >> >> >> >as
> >> >> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think
> if

> >the
> >> > >U.S.
> >> > >> had
> >> > >> >> waited
> >> > >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressure on the
U.N.
> >> that
> >> > >> >> eventually
> >> > >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB
> & co
> >
> >> > >> >> actually
> >> > >> >> >> *didn't
> >> > >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they
> >could
> >> > be
> >> > >> in
> >> > >> >> >>charge,
> >> > >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the
> >> country
> >> > >> was
> >> > >> >> >>restructured.
> >> > >> >> >>> That's my belief anyhow. I felt that it was only a
matter
> >of
> >> > >time
> >> > >> >> before
> >> > >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could
only
> >> > >> >> procrastinate
> >> > >> >> >>for
> >> > >> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A
> >whole
> >> > >swag
> >> > >> >> of
> >> > >> >> >>countries
> >> > >> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little
> >> more".
> >> > >> Sure
> >> > >> >> it
> >> > >> >> >>was
> >> > >> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have
been
> >> worth
> >> > >> it.
> >> > >> >> >>The
> >> > >> >> >>way
> >> > >> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was

you missed it, because it should give you a nice impression about how your ranting about the europe you believe to know so much about comes across to someone who actually does know a thing or two about europe because he happens to live there.

the sad part being, in your case it wasnt irony.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>First of all, now I am really astounded at you. You say you know so much
>about America??????? You are also getting your information from a very small
>sample. I know you lived here for a while and have visited here often, but
>you don't live here *now* and you are obviously choosing very carefully
what

>you want to believe. If you want to consider your sources to be any more
>valid than mine, then first consider where your sources are coming from
and

>make sure you consider them all. I will try to do the same.....but

I

>assure you that if you ignore cultural differences which, whether you like
>it or not, are the reasons that stereotypes are created, they you do truly
>have your head buried in the sand.

>

>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6bf8a\$1@linux...

>>

>> wheres the part with the long visits to the countries you seem
>> to know enough about to be sure that your stereotypes are
>> "real"? you are really trying to tell ME (i LIVE here...) that your
>> stereotypes are "the truth" because of the bunch

>> stereotype-ish people that conveniently lived somewhere
>> near you in the last 20 years? you know, i happen to know
>> some americans that live here too. so let me explain to you
>> what america is and how america works, because i do know.

>>

>>

>> unbelievable. even funny in a way.

>>

>>

>> that non-judgmentalism you talk about, yeah i know, it currently
>> is peoples favourite enemy de la saison. ooooh non-judgmentalism!!! bad
>bad

>> bad!!! its the antichrist! booooh!

>> *throws tomato*

>>

>> i gotta tell you, reading about how "well founded" your
>> ideas of europe were (reminds me of last time where you

>> marked "stefan schroeder" or some such as member of the
>> "evil" (TM) club, while not even knowing his actual name), i
>> think a little dose of humility and non-judgmentalism wouldnt be all that
>> bad.

>>
>> i wont go into the iraq thing any more than say that there is more to
the

>> whole story than

>> "violating UN sanctions" (which other countries like israel
>> or the US do just as much, not that it matters anymore).
>> fuck that dumb dicussion about peoples motivations -
>> usually a country operates driven by a mixture of greed,
>> egoism, a little dose of humanism and morality (preferably when it
>> happens to be in sync with other interests) and power.
>> every country, every institution is like that, its
>> only the mixture that varies a bit - discussions about
>> that lead nowhere (at best they lead to kindergarden stereotypes
>> such as the impressively well researched ones you had to offer -
>> greedy selfish europe or, equally stupid, the oh so evil USA, all that
BS

>> thats only

>> there because some people like to have everything in easy categories)

>>

>>

>> its only different this time because mr.bush felt like
>> trying a new concept, throwing away the idea of "be like
>> you want your neighbour to be" and a set or rules and coming
>> up with the - rather explosive - idea of preemptive strikes.

>>

>> in the end, we all want the same thing. so coming up with
>> this new concept is fair enough. but it naturally leads to
>> the basic argument about deescalation versus brute force.
>> the whole "ah theyre just selfish cowards" blabla is
>> just a nice excuse so that one doesnt have to face this
>> discussion, cause as we all well know, those countries
>> that supposedly are so selfish as to not join the saint
>> that is the USA, for some reason did join and support them
>> in the past. heck, they might even support them in other causes
>> (my favourite example being long forgotten afghanistan, where
>> my country i.e. is still helping out on every corner, despite
>> the fact that were led by mr. selfish evil stefan schroeder, the
>> half socialist bastard),
>> which somehow is quite illogical, dont you think?

>>

>> anyway, that discussion leads nowhere, its just a nebula bomb
>> thrown by supporters of the preemptive strike idea that
>> fear the actual comparison of concepts.

>>

>> just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil
>> (who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city
>> for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so funny
>> ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the
>> different concepts of brute force versus deescalation.
>> brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a
>> conflict ending concept. deescalation had about 5 years
>> (you know, until that religious dumbass shot rabin).
>> which concept came closer to actual peace? you tell me.
>>
>> im much more interested in that basic discussion of concepts
>> than in dealing stereotype bullshit like the "selfish
>> socialist europe" or the equally stupid speculation about
>> whether mr. bush's motivation is a selfish one or a selfless act
>> (i would guess its a typical case of a mixture).
>>
>> im too old for that BS. and you should be too. honestly.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >Well, I've got little time here so I'm going to try to finish this in
a
>> >brief a post as possible.
>> >
>> >To sum up the previous post.....after 1976, when electricity and decent
>> >roads were brought into this area, it became an increasingly
>international
>> >community of people and a big experiment in socialism. The same problems
>> >that inevitably doom socialism to failure, were very evident and it was
>> a
>> >real eye opener to those among us who thought we could transcend human
>> >nature for the *good of the group*. Also the permissiveness and
>> >non-judgmentalism that was part of this experiment (we call it political
>> >correctness now) caused a lot of problems. One really funny thing
>happened
>> >early on. The Indians who were all part of the big extended family that
>> ws
>> >running this place were all laughing like crazy one night at a get
>together
>> >they were having. I could understand their dialect and they had known
me
>> for
>> >years. wht they were laughing about was the stereotypes they were
>noticing.
>> >

>> >The French tourists distrusted the Germans. The Italians and the French
>> >seemed to be in some sort of competition all the time with each other.
>The
>> >Quebecois Canadians pretty much hated the French and vice versa. The
>Germans
>> >and the Americans got along pretty well, though no one really wanted
to
>> >admit liking the Americans much when Americans weren't around. The
>Americans
>> >seemed to have very strange relationships with the Europeans and other
>> >Americans. Some of the Americans wanted to be liked by the Europeans,
>lots
>> >of the Americans wanted everyone to think they were Californians. The
>> >Scandinavians were a bit aloof from the rest of the Europeans and
>Americans.
>> >The Texans weren't really considered to be Americans by the Europeans
or
>> the
>> >Mexicans and got along better with the Mexicans than with anyone else
>there.
>> >There were lots of other things like this that they were noticing. The
>> >really telling thing about it all was that every nationality eventually
>> >ended up at their own posada (sort of like an outdoor hotel on the
>beach).
>> >There was the German, French, Spanish, Swiss, American.....etc. posada.
>> >Just like all of these people who were willing to pay lip service to
the
>> >idea of one big happy worle, were totally unable to practice this and
>their
>> >preconceptions and stereotypes where easy to recognize by a group of
>people
>> >who were totally unfamiliar with world history and politics.....so
>> >they saw the stereotypic BS that you ascribe to me. Once I heard this,
I
>> >started paying attention to it and there is a lot more ugly s

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 19:39:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
me
>> too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though they are
>not
>> racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they are very
>> real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my life as
part

>> of one of these evolving stereotypes.
>>
>> I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born in 1950.
>> The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US which was
>full
>> of hypocrisy and racial prejudice. I remember some very ugly things very
>> vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect of
this
>> made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was something
>> wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing. I also
>came
>> to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of these
>> experiences and the hypocrisy I saw.
>>
>> My grandmother had a big hammond organ in her parlor and also a baby grand
>> piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up on the
>> benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because I could
>> listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the keys
>> (though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived in south
>> Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus Christi, Texas)
>> and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father. He
was
>a
>> merchanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work designing
>> large installations for the agricultural interests which were sponsored
by
>> both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which was
>basically
>> rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more ugliness
>> there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was so
>obviously
>> wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse it, but
I
>> couldn't accept this. I became very rebellous and a what is called here,
a
>> *problem child*
>>
>> By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band that was
>> fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly large
>> regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening shows
for
>> touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam Vets
>who
>> were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and also opiates
>> and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war counterculture
and
>> our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type entertainment
>> industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would make

them

>> \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked on
>> amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.

>>

>> By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and had been
>> accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to do what
>they

>> could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career (which was
>less

>> a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus I was
so

>> totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative spark that
>I

>> had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway. I
>didn't

>> want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out on my
>> countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered to be
>> *cool*, at least in Texas.

>>

>> There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed over there.
>> This country was being torn apart by dissent and I became more and
more

>> involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging
>philosophy

>> of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/political anarchism.
>>

>> While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They were the
>> rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business with
in

>> Mexico. They were going to university in the states and were, like me,
>> angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships. I started
>> going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would travel
to

>> places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were mostly
>> untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians, who were
>not

>> really considered to be human by anyone, including these *enlightened*
>young

>> Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were
>traditionalists

>> and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends of
my

>> Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met quite a
few

>of

>> them and quite a few of their European friends who were my age and would
>> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we shared a
lot

>> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming increasing
>> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of privilege were the
>ones
>> who most despised the systems that allowed them those privileges.
>>
>> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away from
>> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California scene. My
>> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from Texas, had
a
>> big house and invited me to live with them while I established myself.
>> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the film
>and
>> music industry and their kids.
>> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
>> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
>> members of the leftist political scene in this country.
>> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug culture that
>was
>> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the rest
of
>> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
>> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The decadence
>> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it wasn't
>cool
>> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew some
>> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the ranch
>> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their leader, was
in
>> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of my
>cousins
>> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson who
had
>> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people you
can
>> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood
>> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their
>lifestyle
>> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.
>>
>> I continued to participate as as activist in all of the *causes du jour*
I
>> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the moving women)
>> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and abortions
>> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the various
>> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro American
>> citizens
>> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war protests
>> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.

>>
>> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major
>degree
>> in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics. This
>> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of career
>path
>> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew my way
>> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.
>>
>> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to Mexico.
I
>> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place during
my
>> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a deserted
>place
>> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I have
met
>> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same kind
of
>> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem, Katmandhu,
>> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
>destinations.
>> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by a
>Zapotec
>> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two of
>which
>> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this small
>village
>> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good part
of
>my
>> life in this place and lived with this family during those times from
1973
>> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to be and
>now
>> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the story
>> about this place.
>> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it. You may
>have
>> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story but I
have
>> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have the
>time.
>> This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this
>> conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me a question
>> that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later if you
are
>> interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was my home

>> >
>> > maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or
>something.
>> > cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?
>> >
>> > *shakes head in disbelief*
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
>> > >
>> > >Regards,
>> > >
>> > >DJ
>> > >
>> > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> > >news:42d45332\$1@linux...
>> > >>
>> > >> you know how i meant it.
>> > >>
>> > >> whatever.
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would learn
>> > >> from
>> > >> the
>> > >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >
>> > >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> > >> news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> doug,
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
>> > >> >> youve come a long way, really.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> regards,
>> > >> >> derek
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>> > >> >> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
>> > >> >> >
>> > >> >> > Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
>> > > seriously.....
>> > >> >> > you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real proactive
>> > with
>> > >> >> > their
>> > >> >> > own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland
> these
>> > > days
>> > >> >> > about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about
> how
>> > the
>> > >> >> > Euro's
>> > >> >> > whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when
> the
>> chips
>> > >> >> > are
>> > >> >> > down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective asses
> and
>> > > watch
>> > >> >> > the Serbs did a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
>> > >> >> >those
>> > >> >> > meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and spoiled
> all
>> > > our
>> > >> >> > fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam and
> pick
>> > up
>> > >> >> > a
>> > >> >> > few
>> > >> >> > million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who are
> dying
>> > of
>> > >> >> > starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
>> > > violations
>> > >> >> > of
>> > >> >> > UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting the
> flies
>> > off
>> > >> >> > their
>> > >> >> > festering wounds and running to the crapper with
> disentery.....and
>> > >> >> > just
>> > >> >> > maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room. Whaddaya
> say
>> > > guys?
>> > >> >> >

>> > >> >> >The hypocrisy is just unbelievable.
>> > >> >> >
>> > >> >> >
>> > >> >> >
>> > >> >> >"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42cf19b3\$1@linux...
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially your 3rd
&
>> > >> >> >> 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the most evil
>> > >> >> >> sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I find
it
>> > >> >> >> hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be the
>> > >> >> >> case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was really
>> > >> >> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into Iraq...
>> > >> >> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the Iraqi's
>> > >> >> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda against
>> > >> >> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to being
>> > >> >> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only thing
>> > >> >> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
>> > >> >> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit their
>> > >> >> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a good
>> > >> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
>> > >> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an Islamic
>city.
>> > >> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another embassy?
OK,
>> > >> >> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this time.
>> > >> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before we
>> > >> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good long
>> > >> >> >> time.
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> Neil
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> Neil
>> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US - big
US
>> > oil
>> > >> >> >> >companies.
>> > >> >> >> > >And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in bombed

>> > >> >> >1900s
>> > >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
>> ideology)
>> > >> is
>> > >> >> to
>> > >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public simply
>> > >can't
>> > >> >> >afford
>> > >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to
>bankrupt
>> > the
>> > >> US
>> > >> >> >government
>> > >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and he's
>> doing
>> > >> a
>> > >> >great
>> > >> >> >> >job.
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives don't
>> seem
>> > >> to
>> > >> >> >consider.
>> > >> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth
>> through
>> > >> >> >taxation,
>> > >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and
>nobodies
>> > >wealth
>> > >> >> is
>> > >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks the
>> economy.
>> > >> >> Why?
>> > >> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend 100%
>of
>> > >every
>> > >> >> >dollar
>> > >> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally spend
>> > >every
>> > >> >> red
>> > >> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his rich
>> > >friends,
>> > >> >> >the
>> > >> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save
>and
>> > >> >> >government
>> > >> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting of
>> America.

>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction that
>> the
>> > >> US
>> > >> >> is
>> > >> >> >> heading
>> > >> >> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda.
>> There's
>> > >> no
>> > >> >> >success
>> > >> >> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you how
>> > >> >heartbroken
>> > >> >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've
>> always
>> > >> >> >believed
>> > >> >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are
very
>> > >> >worrisome
>> > >> >> >> >times.
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by this
>> > >> >senselessness
>> > >> >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >All the best,
>> > >> >> >> >Mike Audet
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >> >> >> >>Kim,
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do not,
>> > >however,
>> > >> >> >agree
>> > >> >> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done no
>good
>> > at
>> > >> all
>> > >> >> >(my
>> > >> >> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed
to
>> > the
>> > >> war
>> > >> >> >feel
>> > >> >> >> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could
have
>> > >easily

>> > >> >> >> >> provided the time for disposing of them across the border
in
>> Syria
>> > >> or
>> > >> >> in
>> > >> >> >> > the
>> > >> >> >> >> desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this story
> is
>> > > over
>> > >> >> > yet.
>> > >> >> >> >> I
>> > >> >> >> >> do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want it
to
> be
>> > > over
>> > >> >> so
>> > >> >> >> they
>> > >> >> >> >> can point fingers and trumpet their righteousness, all the
> while
>> > >> > bellowing
>> > >> >> >> >> that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
>> > > therefore,
>> > >> >> the
>> > >> >> >> > war
>> > >> >> >> >> was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute
> lowest
>> > >> > partisan
>> > >> >> >> >> level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
> resolutions
>> > and
>> > >> in
>> > >> >> > doing
>> > >> >> >> >> so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven menace
> to
>> > >> > stability
>> > >> >> >> >> in
>> > >> >> >> >> the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't happened?
I
>> > > don't
>> > >> >> > know,
>> > >> >> >> >> but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in
> shooting
>> > > down
>> > >> >> one
>> > >> >> >> >> of
>> > >> >> >> >> our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we would
>> have
>> > >> > done

>> > >> >> >> >> something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't give
a
>> damn
>> > >> > about
>> > >> >> >> >> anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping Sadaam
> in
>> > > power
>> > >> >> > was
>> > >> >> >> >> all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I guarantee
we
>> would
>> > >> > have
>> > >> >> >> half
>> > >> >> >> >> a million men over there right now guarding the pipelines
and
>> > >> >> > infrastructure
>> > >> >> >> >> from border to border and we'd be sucking that teat dry as
a
>> bone
>> > >> as
>> > >> >> we
>> > >> >> >> >> speak. As you have so astutely noticed, Americans aren't
>> subtle.
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> Regards,
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> Deej
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > >> >> >> >> >> news:42cde2d0\$1@linux...
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones
on
>> > > earth
>> > >> >> who
>> > >> >> >> >> saw
>> > >> >> >> >> >> any
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate*
> the
>> > way
>> > >> > they
>> > >> >> >> >> went
>> > >> >> >> >> >> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing (which
>> > was
>> > >> >> > clearly

>> > >> >> >> >> >>garbage).

>> > >> >> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB and co

>> > >wanted

>> > >> >> >Saddam

>> > >> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but >because

>> > >they

>> > >> >> >noticed

>> > >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing" Iraq >> would

>> > >> >give

>> > >> >> >> >them

>> > >> >> >>> more oil power.

>> > >> >> >>>

>> > >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those events, >> > >> >> >politically,

>> > >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their own

>> > >agenda,

>> > >> >> and

>> > >> >> >> >not

>> > >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of the >> public.

>> > >> It

>> > >> >> >was

>> > >> >> >>>obviouly

>> > >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free >Iraq

>> > >from

>> > >> >> >tyranny"

>> > >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public don't >> seem

>> > >> to

>> > >> >> care

>> > >> >> >> >if

>> > >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you have

>> > to

>> > >> tell

>> > >> >> >them

>> > >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about that

>> > I

>> > >> >*almost*

>> > >> >> >>>would

>> > >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have >> stomached

>> > >> >that
>> > >> >> >> >as
>> > >> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think
if
>the
>> > >U.S.
>> > >> >> had
>> > >> >> >> >>waited
>> > >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressure on the U.N.
>> that
>> > >> >> >eventually
>> > >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB
& co
>
>> > >> >actually
>> > >> >> >> >> *didn't
>> > >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they
>could
>> > be
>> > >> in
>> > >> >> >> >>charge,
>> > >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the
>> country
>> > >> was
>> > >> >> >> >>restructured.
>> > >> >> >>> That's my belief anyhow. I felt that it was only a matter
>of
>> > >time
>> > >> >> >before
>> > >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could only
>> > >> >> >procrastinate
>> > >> >> >> >>for
>> > >> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching. A
>whole
>> > >swag
>> > >> >> of
>> > >> >> >> >>countries
>> > >> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little
>> more".
>> > >> Sure
>> > >> >> it
>> > >> >> >> >>was
>> > >> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have been
>> worth
>> > >> it.
>> > >> >> >The
>> > >> >> >> >>way
>> > >> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was just

make sure you consider them all. I will try to do the same.....but I assure you that if you ignore cultural differences which, whether you like it or not, are the reasons that stereotypes are created, they you do truly have your head buried in the sand.

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6bf8a\$1 @linux...

>
> wheres the part with the long visits to the countries you seem
> to know enough about to be sure that your stereotypes are
> "real"? you are really trying to tell ME (i LIVE here...) that your
> stereotypes are "the truth" because of the bunch
> stereotype-ish people that conveniently lived somewhere
> near you in the last 20 years? you know, i happen to know
> some americans that live here too. so let me explain to you
> what america is and how america works, because i do know.
>
>
> unbelievable. even funny in a way.
>
>
> that non-judgmentalism you talk about, yeah i know, it currently
> is peoples favourite enemy de la saison. ooooh non-judgmentalism!!! bad
bad
> bad!!! its the antichrist! booooh!
> *throws tomato*
>
> i gotta tell you, reading about how "well founded" your
> ideas of europe were (reminds me of last time where you
> marked "stefan schroeder" or some such as member of the
> "evil" (TM) club, while not even knowing his actual name), i
> think a little dose of humility and non-judgmentalism wouldnt be all that
> bad.
>
> i wont go into the iraq thing any more than say that there is more to the
> whole story than
> "violating UN sanctions" (which other countries like israel
> or the US do just as much, not that it matters anymore).
> fuck that dumb dicussion about peoples motivations -
> usually a country operates driven by a mixture of greed,
> egoism, a little dose of humanism and morality (preferably when it
> happens to be in sync with other interests) and power.
> every country, every institution is like that, its
> only the mixture that varies a bit - discussions about
> that lead nowhere (at best they lead to kindergarden stereotypes
> such as the impressively well researched ones you had to offer -
> greedy selifish europe or, equally stupid, the oh so evil USA, all that BS
> thats only
> there because some people like to have everything in easy categories)

>
>
> its only different this time because mr.bush felt like
> trying a new concept, throwing away the idea of "be like
> you want your neighbour to be" and a set or rules and coming
> up with the - rather explosive - idea of preemptive strikes.
>
> in the end, we all want the same thing. so coming up with
> this new concept is fair enough. but it naturally leads to
> the basic argument about deescalation versus brute force.
> the whole "ah theyre just selfish cowards" blabla is
> just a nice excuse so that one doesnt have to face this
> discussion, cause as we all well know, those countries
> that supposedly are so selfish as to not join the saint
> that is the USA, for some reason did join and support them
> in the past. heck, they might even support them in other causes
> (my favourite example being long forgotten afghanistan, where
> my country i.e. is still helping out on every corner, despite
> the fact that were led by mr. selfish evil stefan schroeder, the
> half socialist bastard),
> which somehow is quite illogical, dont you think?
>
> anyway, that discussion leads nowhere, its just a nebula bomb
> thrown by supporters of the preemptive strike idea that
> fear the actual comparison of concepts.
>
> just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil
> (who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city
> for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so funny
> ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the
> different concepts of brute force versus deescalation.
> brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a
> conflict ending concept. deescalation had about 5 years
> (you know, until that religious dumbass shot rabin).
> which concept came closer to actual peace? you tell me.
>
> im much more interested in that basic discussion of concepts
> than in dealing stereotype bullshit like the "selfish
> socialist europe" or the equally stupid speculation about
> whether mr. bush's motivation is a selfish one or a selfless act
> (i would guess its a typical case of a mixture).
>
> im too old for that BS. and you should be too. honestly.
>
>
>
>
>
>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >Well, I've got little time here so I'm going to try to finish this in a
> >brief a post as possible.
> >
> >To sum up the previous post.....after 1976, when electricity and decent
> >roads were brought into this area, it became an increasingly
international
> >community of people and a big experiment in socialism. The same problems
> >that inevitably doom socialism to failure, were very evident and it was
> a
> >real eye opener to those among us who thought we could transcend human
> >nature for the *good of the group*. Also the permissiveness and
> >non-judgmentalism that was part of this experiment (we call it political
> >correctness now) caused a lot of problems. One really funny thing
happened
> >early on. The Indians who were all part of the big extended family that
> ws
> >running this place were all laughing like crazy one night at a get
together
> >they were having. I could understand their dialect and they had known me
> for
> >years. wht they were laughing about was the stereotypes they were
noticing.
> >
> >The French tourists distrusted the Germans. The Italians and the French
> >seemed to be in some sort of competition all the time with each other.
The
> >Quebecois Canadians pretty much hated the French and vice versa. The
Germans
> >and the Americans got along pretty well, though no one really wanted to
> >admit liking the Americans much when Americans weren't around. The
Americans
> >seemed to have very strange relationships with the Europeans and other
> >Americans. Some of the Americans wanted to be liked by the Europeans,
lots
> >of the Americans wanted everyone to think they were Californians. The
> >Scandinavians were a bit aloof from the rest of the Europeans and
Americans.
> >The Texans weren't really considered to be Americans by the Europeans or
> the
> >Mexicans and got along better with the Mexicans than with anyone else
there.
> >There were lots of other things like this that they were noticing. The
> >really telling thing about it all was that every nationality eventually
> >ended up at their own posada (sort of like an outdoor hotel on the
beach).
> >There was the German, French, Spanish, Swiss, American.....etc. posada.
> >Just like all of these people who were willing to pay lip service to the

> >idea of one big happy worle, were totally unable to practice this and their
> >preconceptions and stereotypes where easy to recognize by a group of people
> >who were totally unfamiliar with world history and politics.....so
> >they saw the stereotypic BS that you ascribe to me. Once I heard this, I
> >started paying attention to it and there is a lot more ugly stuff I could
> >say about it, but it would do no good and despite your anger at me, I am
> >basing my opinions on real experience, not theories of how things ought
> to
> >be.
> >
> >During the 70's and 80's this was a good jumping off place for travelling
> >further down into central and south america. It was pretty awful down there
> >because there was a lot of killing going on, both over politics and drugs
> >(which were pretty much the same). The Hollywood movies like Salvador only
> >show one side of this *freedom fighter* picture. It was awful, but I saw
> >some stuff down there that was done by Cuban agents and their bloodthirsty
> >guerilla allies that was as bad as anything you can possibly imagine in
> your
> >worst nightmares. None of this kind of stuff is good, but I can assure you
> >that Americans weren't the only ones associated with death squads. It was
> >just reported that way in the press.
> >
> >As far as my comments which apparently have convinced you that I am
> >unrecoginzable, perhaps I am. People change. We have been attacked. W

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 20:15:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Americans much when Americans weren't around. The
> >Americans
> >> >seemed to have very strange rellionships with the Europeans and other
> >> >Americans. Some of the Americans wanted to be liked by the Europeans,
> >lots
> >> >of the Americans wanted everyone to think they were Californians. The
> >> >Scandinavians were a bit aloof from the rest of the Europeans and
> >Americans.
> >> >The Texans weren't really considered to be Americans by the Europeans
> or
> >> the

> >> >Mexicans and got along better with the Mexicans than with anyone else
> >there.
> >> >There were lots of other things like this that they were noticing. The
> >> >really telling thing about it all was that every nationality
eventually
> >> >ended up at their own posada (sort of like an outdoor hotel on the
> >beach).
> >> >There was the German, French, Spanish, Swiss, American.....etc.
posada.
> >> >Just like all of these people who were willing to pay lip service to
> the
> >> >idea of one big happy worle, were totally unable to practice this and
> >their
> >> >preconceptions and stereotypes where easy to recognize by a group of
> >people
> >> >who were totally unfamiliar with world history and
politics.....so
> >> >they saw the stereotypic BS that you ascribe to me. Once I heard this,
> I
> >> >started paying attention to it and there is a lot more ugly stuff I
could
> >> >say about it, but it would do no good and despite your anger at me, I
> am
> >> >basing my opinions on real experience, not theories of how things
ought
> >> to
> >> >be.
> >> >
> >> >During the 70's and 80's this was a good jumping off place for
travelling
> >> >further down into central and south america. It was pretty awful down
> >there
> >> >because there was a lot of killing going on, both over politics and
> >drugs
> >> >(which were pretty much the same). The Hollywood movies like Salvador
> >only
> >> >show one side of this *freedom fighter* picture. It was awful, but I
> saw
> >> >some stuff down there that was done by Cuban agents and their
> >bloodthirsty
> >> >guerilla allies that was as bad as anything you can possibly imagine
> in
> >> your
> >> >worst nightmares. None of this kind of stuff is good, but I can assure
> >you
> >> >that Americans weren't the only ones associated with death squads. It
> was
> >> >just reported that way in the press.

> >> >
> >> >As far as my comments which apparently have convinced you that I am
> >> >unrecoginzable, perhaps I am. People change. We have been attacked. We
> >> >know
> >> >how long it takes the UN do anything. In the meantime.....millions of
> >> >people
> >> >can die. Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
and
> >> >was
> >> >in a position to do us a lot of harm. I don't buy the idea that he was
> >> >some
> >> >non-threat. Saying that we should have waited longer after we had
waited
> >> >for
> >> >10 years while the French, Russians and the UN bureaucrats had
supported
> >> >Sadaam against the same resolutions they had approved gives me little
> >> >faith
> >> >in the UN doing anything right or in a timely manner and given this
> >> >experience.
> >> >
> >> >I think the European people should be supporting us and they are very
> >> >divided about this. My opinions are mixed about them as well so
> >> >yes.....I
> >> >have changed. I'm tryly sorry that you now see me as some kind of evil
> >> >person, but I'll get over it.
> >> >
> >> >Regards,
> >> >
> >> >Doug
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:42d54f92@linux...
> >> >> Ok Derek,
> >> >>
> >> >> >maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fineprint,
> >> >> >but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you. reading
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >stereotype BS you spread<
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >I'm not spouting stereotypes for the sake of trying to make someone
> >> >> >*"bad*"
> >> >> >and someone else *good*. I am relating the truth I have seen based
> >> >> >on

> >> real
> >> >> world experience. If it's ugly to you, then that's good. It's ugly
> > to
> >> me
> >> >> too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though they
> > are
> >> >not
> >> >> racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they are
> > very
> >> >> real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my life
> > as
> >> part
> >> >> of one of these evolving stereotypes.
> >> >>
> >> >> I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born in
> > 1950.
> >> >> The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US which
> > was
> >> >full
> >> >> of hypocrisy and racial prejudice. I remember some very ugly things
> > very
> >> >> vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect
> > of
> >> this
> >> >> made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was
> > something
> >> >> wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing. I
> > also
> >> >came
> >> >> to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of these
> >> >> experiences and the hypocrisy I saw.
> >> >>
> >> >> My grandmother had a big Hammond organ in her parlor and also a baby
> > grand
> >> >> piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up on
> > the
> >> >> benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because I
> > could
> >> >> listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the
> > keys
> >> >> (though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived in
> > south
> >> >> Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus Christi,
> > Texas)
> >> >> and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father.
> > He
> >> was
> >> >a

> >> >> merchanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work
designing
> >> >> large installations for the agricultural interests which were
sponsored
> >> by
> >> >> both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which was
> >> >basically
> >> >> rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more
> >ugliness
> >> >> there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was so
> >> >obviously
> >> >> wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse it,
> but
> >> I
> >> >> couldn't accept this. I became very rebellous and a what is called
> >here,
> >> a
> >> >> *problem child*
> >> >>
> >> >> By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band that
> was
> >> >> fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly large
> >> >> regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening
shows
> >> for
> >> >> touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam
> Vets
> >> >who
> >> >> were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and also
> >opiates
> >> >> and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war
counterculture
> >> and
> >> >> our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type
entertainment
> >> >> industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would
make
> >> them
> >> >> \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked on
> >> >> amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.
> >> >>
> >> >> By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and had
> >been
> >> >> accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to do
what
> >> >they
> >> >> could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career (which
> was

> >> >less
> >> >> a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus I was
> >> so
> >> >> totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative spark
> >that
> >> >I
> >> >> had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway.
> I
> >> >didn't
> >> >> want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out on my
> >> >> countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered to
> be
> >> >> *cool*, at least in Texas.
> >> >>
> >> >> There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed over
> >there.
> >> >> This country was being torn apart by dissention and I became more
> and
> >> more
> >> >> involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging
> >> >philosophy
> >> >> of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/political
> >anarchism.
> >> >>
> >> >> While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They were
> >the
> >> >> rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business
> with
> >> in
> >> >> Mexico. They were going to universtity in the states and were, like
> me,
> >> >> angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships. I
> >started
> >> >> going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would
> travel
> >> to
> >> >> places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were
> mostly
> >> >> untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians, who
> >were
> >> >not
> >> >> really considered to be human by anyone, including these
> *enlightened*
> >> >young
> >> >> Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were
> >> >traditionalists
> >> >> and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends

> of
> >> my
> >> >> Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met quite
> a
> >> few
> >> >of
> >> >> them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age and
> >would
> >> >> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we shared
> a
> >> lot
> >> >> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming
> >increasing
> >> >> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of priviledge were
> the
> >> >ones
> >> >> who most despised the systems that allowed them those priviledges.
> >> >>
> >> >> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away
from
> >> >> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California scene.
> My
> >> >> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from Texas,
> had
> >> a
> >> >> big house and invited me to live with them while I established
myself.
> >> >> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the
> film
> >> >and
> >> >> music industry and their kids.
> >> >> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
> >> >> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
> >> >> members of the leftist political scene in this country.
> >> >> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug culture
> >that
> >> >was
> >> >> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the
> rest
> >> of
> >> >> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
> >> >> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The
> >decadence
> >> >> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it
wasn't
> >> >cool
> >> >> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew
some

> >> >> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the
> >ranch
> >> >> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their leader,
> was
> >> in
> >> >> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of my
> >> >cousins
> >> >> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson
who
> >> had
> >> >> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people
you
> >> can
> >> >> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood
> >> >> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their
> >> >lifestyle
> >> >> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.
> >> >>
> >> >> I continued to participate as as activist in all of the *causes du
> >jour*
> >> I
> >> >> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the moving
> >women)
> >> >> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and
abortions
> >> >> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the
> >various
> >> >> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro
American
> >> >> citizens
> >> >> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war
> >protests
> >> >> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.
> >> >>
> >> >> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major
> >> >degree
> >> >> in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics.
> >This
> >> >> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of
career
> >> >path
> >> >> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew my
> >way
> >> >> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.
> >> >>
> >> >> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to
Mexico.
> >> I

> >> >> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place during
> >> my
> >> >> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a deserted
> >> >place
> >> >> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I have
> >> met
> >> >> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same kind
> >> of
> >> >> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem, Katmandhu,
> >> >> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
> >> >destinations.
> >> >> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by
> >> a
> >> >Zapotec
> >> >> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two
> >> of
> >> >which
> >> >> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this small
> >> >village
> >> >> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good part
> >> of
> >> >my
> >> >> life in this place and lived with this family during those times from
> >> 1973
> >> >> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to be
> >> and
> >> >now
> >> >> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the story
> >> >> about this place.
> >> >> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it. You
> >> may
> >> >have
> >> >> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story but
> >> I
> >> have
> >> >> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have
> >> the
> >> >time.
> >> >> This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this
> >> >> conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me a

> >question
> >> >> that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later if
you
> >> are
> >> >> interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was my
home
> >> and
> >> >is
> >> >> still my second home-
> >> >>
> >> >> I know a lot of the people in these pictures. I watched them grow up
> >and
> >> >> taught a few of them how to swim and catch fish. the beautiful young
> >> >woman,
> >> >> Claudia is the
> >> >> niece of my friend Ramon. The two people, John and Maria were guests
> at
> >> my
> >> >> home in Austin after one of my trips to Zipolite and we watched the
> >events
> >> >> unfold at Tienamen Square on television. They were having some bad
> drug
> >> >> problems even then. They are both kind, intelligent victims of the
> >social
> >> >> experiment that is
> >> >> happening there.
> >> >>
> >> >> [http://www.greatvavavoom.com/stories/storyReader\\$56](http://www.greatvavavoom.com/stories/storyReader$56)
> >> >>
> >> >> This link is also descriptive of how this place has evolved and
what
> >> the
> >> >> place was like the last time I was there.
> >> >>
> >> >> <http://community-2.webtv.net/ivanjay/IVANJSNAVELYHOMEPORT/>
> >> >>
> >> >> more to come.....
> >> >>
> >> >> Regards,
> >> >>
> >> >> Doug
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>

> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:42d45df1@linux...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fineprint,
> >> >> > but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
> >> >> > reading the stereotype BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
> >> >> > thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize
> you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or
> >> >something.
> >> >> > cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > *shakes head in disbelief*
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >Regards,
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >DJ
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:42d45332\$1@linux...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> you know how i meant it.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> whatever.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would
> >learn
> >> >> from
> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message

> > > news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > doug,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> > > > > > youve come a long way, really.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > regards,
> > > > > > derek
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
> > > > > > > > > seriously.....
> > > > > > > > > > you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real
> > > > > > > > > > proactive
> > > > > > > > > > with
> > > > > > > > > > their
> > > > > > > > > > own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in
Holland
> > > > > > > > > > these
> > > > > > > > > > days
> > > > > > > > > > > about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny
about
> > > > > > > > > > how
> > > > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > > Euro's
> > > > > > > > > > > > whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then
when
> > > > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > > chips
> > > > > > > > > > > > are
> > > > > > > > > > > > > down.....bingo!!!! let's sit around on our collective
> > > > > > > > > > > > asses
> > > > > > > > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > > > > watch
> > > > > > > > > > > > > the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh
shit!!!!
> > > > > > > > > > > > >those
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and
> > > > > > > > > > > > > spoiled
> > > > > > > > > > > > > all

really

> > > > > > > > starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into
> >Iraq...
> > > > > > > > that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the
> >Iraqi's
> > > > > > > > who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda
against
> > > > > > > > Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to
being
> > > > > > > > all about retribution, since massive force is the only
thing
> > > > > > > > these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> > > > > > > > understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers & limit

> >their

> > > > > > > > capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a
> >good
> > > > > > > > position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> > > > > > > > against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an
Islamic
> > >city.
> > > > > > > > One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another
embassy?
> > >OK,
> > > > > > > > fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb this
> >time.
> > > > > > > > Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before
> >we
> > > > > > > > had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good
> >long
> > > > > > > > time.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Neil
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Neil
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US -
> >big
> > >US
> > > >oil
> > > > > > > > >companies.
> > > > > > > > > >And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in

> >bombed
> >> >> >> >pipelines
> >> >> >> >> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was
about
> >> >> >> >destabilizing
> >> >> >> >> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make
> >> >billions
> >> >> > for
> >> >> >> >> >George's
> >> >> >> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt
> the
> >> US
> >> >> > the
> >> >> >> >same
> >> >> >> >> >> > way
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as
> >payback
> >> >> > for
> >> >> >> >> >Israeli/US
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending
> him
> >> or
> >> >> his
> >> >> >> >agenda
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and
> >our
> >> >> >> >so-called
> >> >> >> >> >friends
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt
> the
> >> US
> >> >> >> >government
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money
> as
> >> >> >> >possible
> >> >> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> >> >their
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and
> >military
> >> >> >> >> >contracts,
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little
> >> >social
> >> >> >> >> >> > >safety-net
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people

> >> >directly
> >> >> > >with
> >> >> > >> >> high
> >> >> > >> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
> >> >> > >> >> >> >
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US
back
> >> to
> >> >the
> >> >> > >early
> >> >> > >> >> >> >1900s
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
> >> >> ideology)
> >> >> > >> is
> >> >> > >> >> to
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public
> >simply
> >> >> > >can't
> >> >> > >> >> >afford
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to
> >> >bankrupt
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > >> US
> >> >> > >> >> >government
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and
> he's
> >> >> doing
> >> >> > >> a
> >> >> > >> >> >great
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >job.
> >> >> > >> >> >> >
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives
> >don't
> >> >> seem
> >> >> > >> to
> >> >> > >> >> >> >consider.
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of
wealth
> >> >> through
> >> >> > >> >> >> >taxation,
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and
> >> >nobodies
> >> >> > >wealth
> >> >> > >> >> >> is
> >> >> > >> >> >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks
> the
> >> >> economy.
> >> >> > >> >> >> Why?

> > > > > > > > > > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend
> >100%
> > >of
> > > > >every
> > > > > > >dollar
> > > > > > > >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally
> >spend
> > > > >every
> > > > > > >red
> > > > > > > >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his
> >rich
> > > > >friends,
> > > > > > >the
> > > > > > > >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people
save
> > and
> > > > >government
> > > > > > > >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting
> of
> > > > America.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction
> >that
> > > the
> > > > > US
> > > > > > is
> > > > > > > heading
> > > > > > > >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same
agenda.
> > > > There's
> > > > > no
> > > > > > > success
> > > > > > > >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you
> >how
> > > > > >heartbroken
> > > > > > > >and disappointed I was when George won the election.
I've
> > > > always
> > > > > > >believed
> > > > > > > >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these
are
> > > very
> > > > > >worrisome
> > > > > > > >times.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by
> >this
> > > > > > > >senselessness

> > > > > > > > > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >All the best,
> > > > > > > > > >Mike Audet
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >>Kim,
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do
> >not,
> > > > >however,
> > > > > >agree
> > > > > > > > > >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done
> no
> > >good
> > > >at
> > > > >all
> > > > > >>(my
> > > > > > > > > >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those
opposed
> > >to
> > > >the
> > > > >war
> > > > > >>feel
> > > > > > > > > >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay
could
> > >have
> > > > >easily
> > > > > > > > > >>provided the time for disposing of them across the
border
> > >in
> > > >Syria
> > > > >or
> > > > > >in
> > > > > > >>the
> > > > > > > > > >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this
> > >story
> > >is
> > > > >over
> > > > > >>yet.
> > > > > > > > > >>I
> > > > > > > > > >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want
> > >it
> > >to
> > >>be

> >> >> > >over
> >> >> > >> >> so
> >> >> > >> >> >> they
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all
the
> >> >while
> >> >> > >> >bellowing
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any
found,
> >> >> > >therefore,
> >> >> > >> >> the
> >> >> > >> >> >> >war
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's
absolute
> >> >lowest
> >> >> > >> >partisan
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
> >> >resolutions
> >> >> > and
> >> >> > >> in
> >> >> > >> >> >doing
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven
> >menace
> >> >to
> >> >> > >> >stability
> >> >> > >> >> >> >in
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't
> >happened?
> >> I
> >> >> > >don't
> >> >> > >> >> >know,
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in
> >> >shooting
> >> >> > >down
> >> >> > >> >> one
> >> >> > >> >> >> >of
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we
> >would
> >> >> have
> >> >> > >> >done
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't
> >give
> >> a
> >> >> damn
> >> >> > >> >about
> >> >> > >> >> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping
> >Sadaam
> >> >in

> >> >> > >> tell
> >> >> >> >> >> >them
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied
about
> >> that
> >> >> > I
> >> >> >> >*almost*
> >> >> >> >> >> >>>would
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't
have
> >> >> stomached
> >> >> >> >that
> >> >> >> >> >> >as
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I
think
> >> if
> >> >the
> >> >> > >U.S.
> >> >> >> >> had
> >> >> >> >> >> >>>waited
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the
> >U.N.
> >> >> that
> >> >> >> >> >eventually
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think
GWB
> >> & co
> >> >
> >> >> >> >actually
> >> >> >> >> >> >>>*didn't
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone
they
> >> >could
> >> >> > be
> >> >> >> in
> >> >> >> >> >> >>>charge,
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as
the
> >> >> country
> >> >> >> was
> >> >> >> >> >> >>>restructured.
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a
> >matter
> >> >of
> >> >> > >time
> >> >> >> >> >> >>>before
> >> >> >> >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could
> >only

> professionals, hippies, soldiers, tradesmen and were there for long periods
> of time, returning to this place again and again, year after year for almost
> 20 years and I saw the same thing happen, again and again, year after year
> for almost 20 years, so I'm sure there is no reason to assume there's any
> relationship between what I experienced and what is reality.
>
>
> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6c7de\$1@linux...
>>
>> doug, the "let me explain america to you" part was, of course, pure
> irony.
>> but im somehow glad that
>> you missed it, because it should give you a nice impression
>> about how your ranting about
>> the europe you believe to know so much about comes
>> across to someone who actually does know a thing or two
>> about europe because he happens to live there.
>>
>> the sad part being, in your case it wasnt irony.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >First of all, now I am really astounded at you. You say you know so
> much
>> >about America??????? You are also getting your information from a very
> small
>> >sample. I know you lived here for a while and have visited here often,
> but
>> >you don't live here *now* and you are obviously choosing very carefully
>> what
>> >you want to believe. If you want to consider your sources to be any
> more
>> >valid than mine, then first consider where your sources are coming from
>> and
>> >make sure you consider them all. I will try to do the same.....but
>> I
>> >assure you that if you ignore cultural differences which, whether you
> like
>> >it or not, are the reasons that stereotypes are created, they you do
> truly
>> >have your head buried in the sand.
>> >
>> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:42d6bf8a\$1@linux...
>> >>

> > >> wheres the part with the long visits to the countries you seem
> > >> to know enough about to be sure that your stereotypes are
> > >> "real"? you are really trying to tell ME (i LIVE here...) that your
> > >> stereotypes are "the truth" because of the bunch
> > >> stereotype-ish people that conveniently lived somewhere
> > >> near you in the last 20 years? you know, i happen to know
> > >> some americans that live here too. so let me explain to you
> > >> what america is and how america works, because i do know.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> unbelievable. even funny in a way.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> that non-judgmentalism you talk about, yeah i know, it currently
> > >> is peoples favourite enemy de la saison. ooooh non-judgmentalism!!!
bad
> > >bad
> > >> bad!!! its the antichrist! booooh!
> > >> *throws tomato*
> > >>
> > >> i gotta tell you, reading about how "well founded" your
> > >> ideas of europe were (reminds me of last time where you
> > >> marked "stefan schroeder" or some such as member of the
> > >> "evil" (TM) club, while not even knowing his actual name), i
> > >> think a little dose of humility and non-judgmentalism wouldnt be all
> that
> > >> bad.
> > >>
> > >> i wont go into the iraq thing any more than say that there is more to
> > the
> > >> whole story than
> > >> "violating UN sanctions" (which other countries like israel
> > >> or the US do just as much, not that it matters anymore).
> > >> fuck that dumb dicussion about peoples motivations -
> > >> usually a country operates driven by a mixture of greed,
> > >> egoism, a little dose of humanism and morality (preferably when it
> > >> happens to be in sync with other interests) and power.
> > >> every country, every institution is like that, its
> > >> only the mixture that varies a bit - discussions about
> > >> that lead nowhere (at best they lead to kindergarden stereotypes
> > >> such as the impressively well researched ones you had to offer -
> > >> greedy selifish europe or, equally stupid, the oh so evil USA, all
that
> > BS
> > >> thats only
> > >> there because some people like to have everything in easy categories)
> > >>
> > >>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 20:15:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

tuff I could

>> >say about it, but it would do no good and despite your anger at me, I
am
>> >basing my opinions on real experience, not theories of how things ought
>> to
>> >be.
>> >
>> >During the 70's and 80's this was a good jumping off place for travelling
>> >further down into central and south america. It was pretty awful down
>there
>> >because there was a lot of killing going on, both over politics and
>drugs
>> >(which were pretty much the same). The Hollywood movies like Salvador
>only
>> >show one side of this *freedom fighter* picture. It was awful, but I
saw
>> >some stuff down there that was done by Cuban agents and their
>bloodthirsty
>> >guerilla allies that was as bad as anything you can possibly imagine
in
>> your
>> >worst nightmares. None of this kind of stuff is good, but I can assure
>you
>> >that Americans weren't the only ones associated with death squads. It
was
>> >just reported that way in the press.
>> >
>> >As far as my comments which apparently have convinced you that I am
>> >unrecognizable, perhaps I am. People change. We have been attacked. We
>know
>> >how long it takes the UN do anything. In the meantime.....millions of
>people
>> >can die. Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years and
>> was
>> >in a position to do us a lot of harm. I don't buy the idea that he was
>some
>> >non-threat. Saying that we should have waited longer after we had waited
>> for
>> >10 years while the French, Russians and the UN bureaucrats had supported
>> >Sadaam against the same resolutions they had approved gives me little
>faith
>> >in the UN doing anything right or in a timely manner and given this
>> >experience.
>> >
>> >I think the European people should be supporting us and they are very

>> >divided about this. My opinions are mixed about them as well so
>yes.....I
>> >have changed. I'm tryly sorry that you now see me as some kind of evil
>> >person, but I'll get over it.
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >
>> >Doug
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >news:42d54f92@linux...
>> >> Ok Derek,
>> >>
>> >> >maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,
>> >> but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you. reading
>> >> the
>> >> stereotype BS you spread<
>> >>
>> >> I'm not spouting stereotypes for the sake of trying to make someone
>> >> >*bad*
>> >> and someone else *good*. I am relating the truth I have seen based
>> >> on
>> >> real
>> >> world experience. If it's ugly to you, then that's good. It's ugly
>> >> to
>> >> me
>> >> too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though they
>> >> >are
>> >> >not
>> >> >racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they are
>> >> >very
>> >> >real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my life
>> >> >as
>> >> >part
>> >> >of one of these evolving stereotypes.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born in
>> >> >> >1950.
>> >> >> The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US which
>> >> >> was
>> >> >> >full
>> >> >> of hypocrcy and racial predjudice. I remember some very ugly things
>> >> >> >very
>> >> >> >vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect

of
>> this
>> >> made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was
>something
>> >> wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing. I
also
>> >came
>> >> to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of these
>> >> experiences and the hypocrisy I saw.
>> >>
>> >> My grandmother had a big hammond organ in her parlor and also a baby
>grand
>> >> piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up on the
>> >> benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because I
>could
>> >> listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the keys
>> >> (though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived in
>south
>> >> Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus Christi,
>Texas)
>> >> and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father.
He
>> was
>> >a
>> >> mechanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work designing
>> >> large installations for the agricultural interests which were sponsored
>> by
>> >> both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which was
>> >basically
>> >> rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more
>ugliness
>> >> there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was so
>> >obviously
>> >> wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse it,
but
>> I
>> >> couldn't accept this. I became very rebellous and a what is called
>here,
>> a
>> >> *problem child*
>> >>
>> >> By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band that
was
>> >> fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly large
>> >> regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening shows
>> for
>> >> touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam
Vets

>> >who
>> >> were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and also
>opiates
>> >> and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war counterculture
>> and
>> >> our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type entertainment
>> >> industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would make
>> them
>> >> \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked on
>> >> amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.
>> >>
>> >> By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and had
>been
>> >> accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to do what
>> >they
>> >> could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career (which
was
>> >less
>> >> a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus I was
>> so
>> >> totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative spark
>that
>> >I
>> >> had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway.
I
>> >didn't
>> >> want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out on my
>> >> countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered to
be
>> >> *cool*, at least in Texas.
>> >>
>> >> There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed over
>there.
>> >> This country was being torn apart by dissention and I became more and
>> more
>> >> involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging
>> >philosophy
>> >> of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/politial
>anarchism.
>> >>
>> >> While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They were
>the
>> >> rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business
with
>> in
>> >> Mexico. They were going to universtity in the states and were, like
me,
>> >> angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships. I

>started
>> >> going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would travel
>> to
>> >> places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were mostly
>> >> untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians, who
>were
>> >not
>> >> really considered to be human by anyone, including these *enlightened*
>> >young
>> >> Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were
>> >traditionalists
>> >> and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends
>of
>> my
>> >> Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met quite
>a
>> few
>> >of
>> >> them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age and
>would
>> >> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we shared
>a
>> lot
>> >> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming
>increasing
>> >> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of priviledge were
>the
>> >ones
>> >> who most despised the systems that allowed them those priviledges.
>> >>
>> >> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away from
>> >> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California scene.
>My
>> >> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from Texas,
>had
>> a
>> >> big house and invited me to live with them while I established myself.
>> >> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the
>film
>> >and
>> >> music industry and their kids.
>> >> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
>> >> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
>> >> members of the leftist political scene in this country.
>> >> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug culture
>that
>> >was
>> >> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the

rest

>> of

>> >> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
>> >> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The

>decadence

>> >> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it wasn't

>> >cool

>> >> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew some

>> >> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the

>ranch

>> >> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their leader,

was

>> in

>> >> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of my

>> >cousins

>> >> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson who

>> had

>> >> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people you

>> can

>> >> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood

>> >> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their

>> >lifestyle

>> >> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.

>> >>

>> >> I continued to participate as as activist in all of the *causes du

>jour*

>> I

>> >> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the moving

>>women)

>> >> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and abortions

>> >> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the

>various

>> >> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro American

>> >> citizens

>> >> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war

>protests

>> >> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.

>> >>

>> >> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major

>> >degree

>> >> in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics.

>This

>> >> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of career

>> >path

>> >> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew my

>way

>> >> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.

>> >>

>> >> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to Mexico.
>> I
>> >> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place during
>> my
>> >> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a deserted
>> >place
>> >> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I have
>> met
>> >> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same kind
>> of
>> >> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem, Katmandhu,
>> >> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
>> >destinations.
>> >> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by
>> a
>> >Zapotec
>> >> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two
>> of
>> >which
>> >> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this small
>> >village
>> >> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good part
>> of
>> >my
>> >> life in this place and lived with this family during those times from
>> 1973
>> >> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to be
>> and
>> >now
>> >> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the story
>> >> about this place.
>> >> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it. You
>> may
>> >have
>> >> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story but
>> I
>> have
>> >> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have
>> the
>> >time.
>> >> This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this
>> >> conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me a
>> >question
>> >> that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later if you
>> are
>> >> interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was my home
>> and
>> >is

>> >> > but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
>> >> > reading the stereotyped BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
>> >> > thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize
you.
>> >> >
>> >> > maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass or
>> >something.
>> >> > cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?
>> >> >
>> >> > *shakes head in disbelief*
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
>> >> > >
>> >> > >Regards,
>> >> > >
>> >> > >DJ
>> >> > >
>> >> > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> >news:42d45332\$1@linux...
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> you know how i meant it.
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> whatever.
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> > >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe would
>learn
>> >> from
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
>> >> > >> >
>> >> > >> >
>> >> > >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >> doug,
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
>> >> > >> >> youve come a long way, really.
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >> regards,

>> >> > >> >> derek
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >>
>> >> > >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> > >> >>
>> http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/
>> >> > >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
>> >> > >> >> >seriously.....
>> >> > >> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real
>> >> > >> >> >proactive
>> >> > >> >> >with
>> >> > >> >> >their
>> >> > >> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in Holland
>> >> > >> >> >these
>> >> > >> >> >days
>> >> > >> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny about
>> >> > >> >> >how
>> >> > >> >> >the
>> >> > >> >> >Euro's
>> >> > >> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then when
>> >> > >> >> >the
>> >> > >> >> >chips
>> >> > >> >> >are
>> >> > >> >> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective
>> >> > >> >> >asses
>> >> > >> >> >and
>> >> > >> >> >watch
>> >> > >> >> >the Serbs did a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh shit!!!!
>> >> > >> >> >.....those
>> >> > >> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and
>> >> > >> >> >spoiled
>> >> > >> >> >all
>> >> > >> >> >our
>> >> > >> >> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam
>> >> > >> >> >and
>> >> > >> >> >pick
>> >> > >> >> >up
>> >> > >> >> >a
>> >> > >> >> >few
>> >> > >> >> >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who
>> >> > >> >> >are
>> >> > >> >> >dying
>> >> > >> >> >of
>> >> > >> >> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to his
>> >> > >> >> >violations
>> >> > >> >> >of

>time.
>> >> >> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long before
we
>> >> >> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a good
>long
>> >> >> >> >> time.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Neil
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Neil
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the US -
big
>> US
>> >> > oil
>> >> >> >> >> >companies.
>> >> >> >> >> >> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it in
>bombed
>> >> >> >> >> >pipelines
>> >> >> >> >> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was about
>> >> >> >> >> >> >destabilizing
>> >> >> >> >> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make
>> >billions
>> >> > for
>> >> >> >> >> >> >George's
>> >> >> >> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to bankrupt
the
>> US
>> >> > the
>> >> >> >> >> >> >same
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >way
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan as
>payback
>> >> > for
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Israeli/US
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending
him
>> or
>> >> his
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >agenda

>> >> > >> >> >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly - and
>our
>> >> > >so-called
>> >> > >> >> >friends
>> >> > >> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >Just like Osama, Bush and his friends want to bankrupt
the
>> US
>> >> > >> >government
>> >> > >> >> >> >in several ways, too: they want to give as much money
as
>> >> possible
>> >> > >> to
>> >> > >> >> >their
>> >> > >> >> >> >corporate friends through reconstruction projects and
>military
>> >> > >> >contracts,
>> >> > >> >> >> >they want to dismantle, as much as possible, what little
>> >social
>> >> > >> >> > >safety-net
>> >> > >> >> >> >the US has, and they want to bleed the American people
>> >directly
>> >> > >with
>> >> > >> >> >> high
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >gas and oil prices.
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >The best way to end social spending and bring the US back
>> to
>> >the
>> >> > >early
>> >> > >> >> >> >1900s
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the conservative
>> >> ideology)
>> >> > >> >> is
>> >> > >> >> to
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the public
>simply
>> >> > >can't
>> >> > >> >> >afford
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to
>> >bankrupt
>> >> > the
>> >> > >> US
>> >> > >> >> >government
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and
he's
>> >> doing

>> >> > >> a
>> >> > >> >great
>> >> > >> >> >> >job.
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives
>don't
>> >> seem
>> >> > >> to
>> >> > >> >> >consider.
>> >> > >> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of wealth
>> >> through
>> >> > >> >> >taxation,
>> >> > >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and
>> >nobodies
>> >> > >wealth
>> >> > >> >> is
>> >> > >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks
the
>> >> economy.
>> >> > >> >> Why?
>> >> > >> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't spend
>100%
>> >of
>> >> > >every
>> >> > >> >> >dollar
>> >> > >> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments generally
>spend
>> >> > >every
>> >> > >> >> red
>> >> > >> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for his
>rich
>> >> > >friends,
>> >> > >> >> >the
>> >> > >> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people save
>> and
>> >> > >> >> >government
>> >> > >> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting
of
>> >> America.
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the direction
>that
>> >> the
>> >> > >> US
>> >> > >> >> is
>> >> > >> >> >> heading
>> >> > >> >> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same agenda.
>> >> There's

>> >> > >> no
>> >> > >> >> >success
>> >> > >> >> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell you
>how
>> >> > >> >heartbroken
>> >> > >> >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election. I've
>> >> always
>> >> > >> >> >believed
>> >> > >> >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these are
>> very
>> >> > >> >worrisome
>> >> > >> >> >> >times.
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by
this
>> >> > >> >senselessness
>> >> > >> >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone else.
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >All the best,
>> >> > >> >> >> >Mike Audet
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>Kim,
>> >> > >> >> >> >>
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I do
>not,
>> >> > >however,
>> >> > >> >> >> >agree
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have done
no
>> >good
>> >> > at
>> >> > >> all
>> >> > >> >> >> >(my
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those opposed
>> to
>> >> > the
>> >> > >> war
>> >> > >> >> >> >feel
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >> >>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay could
>> have
>> >> > >easily
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >> >>provided the time for disposing of them across the border
>> in
>> >> Syria
>> >> > >> or

>> >> > >> >> in
>> >> > >> >> >> >the
>> >> > >> >> >> >>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think this
>story
>> >is
>> >> > >over
>> >> > >> >> >yet.
>> >> > >> >> >> >I
>> >> > >> >> >> >>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want
it
>> to
>> >be
>> >> > >over
>> >> > >> >> so
>> >> > >> >> >> they
>> >> > >> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all the
>> >while
>> >> > >> >bellowing
>> >> > >> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any found,
>> >> > >therefore,
>> >> > >> >> the
>> >> > >> >> >> >war
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's absolute
>> >lowest
>> >> > >> >partisan
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
>> >resolutions
>> >> > and
>> >> > >> in
>> >> > >> >> >doing
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven
>menace
>> >to
>> >> > >> >stability
>> >> > >> >> >> >in
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't
>happened?
>> I
>> >> > >don't
>> >> > >> >> >know,
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in
>> >shooting
>> >> > >down
>> >> > >> >> >> one
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >of
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and we
>would
>> >> have

>> >> > >> >they
>> >> > >> >> >> >went
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror thing
>(which
>> >> > was
>> >> > >> >> >clearly
>> >> > >> >> >> >>garbage).
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that GWB
and
>> co
>> >> > >wanted
>> >> > >> >> >Saddam
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man, but
>> >because
>> >> > >they
>> >> > >> >> >noticed
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured "freeing"
>Iraq
>> >> would
>> >> > >> >give
>> >> > >> >> >> >them
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> more oil power.
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those
>events,
>> >> > >> >> >politically,
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for their
>> own
>> >> > >agenda,
>> >> > >> >> >> and
>> >> > >> >> >> >not
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much of
the
>> >> public.
>> >> > >> >> >> It
>> >> > >> >> >> >was
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>obviouly
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think "free
>> >Iraq
>> >> > >from
>> >> > >> >> >tyranny"
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public
>don't
>> >> seem
>> >> > >> >> >> to
>> >> > >> >> >> care
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >if
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer, so you

>> have
>> >> > to
>> >> > >> tell
>> >> > >> >> >them
>> >> > >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied about
>> that
>> >> > I
>> >> > >> >*almost*
>> >> > >> >> >>>would
>> >> > >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't have
>> >> stomached
>> >> > >> >that
>> >> > >> >> >> >as
>> >> > >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil. I think
>> if
>> >the
>> >> > >U.S.
>> >> > >> >> had
>> >> > >> >> >>>waited
>> >> > >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue on the
>U.N.
>> >> that
>> >> > >> >> >eventually
>> >> > >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think GWB
>> & co
>> >
>> >> > >> >actually
>> >> > >> >> >>>*didn't
>> >> > >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone they
>> >could
>> >> > be
>> >> > >> in
>> >> > >> >> >>>charge,
>> >> > >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically as the
>> >> country
>> >> > >> was
>> >> > >> >> >>>restructured.
>> >> > >> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a
>matter
>> >of
>> >> > >time
>> >> > >> >> >before
>> >> > >> >> >>> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They could
>only
>> >> > >> >> >procrastinate
>> >> > >> >> >>>for
>> >> > >> >> >>> so long. It was big news and the public was watching.
A

>> >whole
>> >> > >swag
>> >> > >> >> of
>> >> > >> >> >> >>countries
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a little
>> >> more".
>> >> > >> Sure
>> >> > >> >> it
>> >> > >> >> >> >was
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would have
>been
>> >> worth
>> >> > >> it.
>> >> > >> >> >> >The
>> >> > >> >> >> >>way
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB was
>just
>> >> hell
>> >> > >> bent
>> >> > >> >> on
>> >> > >> >> >> >war
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a little
>longer
>> >> > >would
>> >> > >> >> have
>> >> > >> >> >> >>made
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO, whether
>the
>> >> U.N.
>> >> > >> >> >> >actually
>> >> > >> >> >> >> >>ended
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> up behind it or not.
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> Anyway, the whole thing is screwed.
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> This London thing is screwed.
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> And I forgot my lunch this morning. DOH!
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> And now we've got a political thread on the main group.
>> DOH
>> >> > >DOH!!
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> Cheers,
>> >> > >> >> >> >>> Kim.
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> >> > >> >> >> >>>

> >you want to believe. If you want to consider your sources to be any more
> >valid than mine, then first consider where your sources are coming from
> and
> >make sure you consider them all. I will try to do the same.....but
> I
> >assure you that if you ignore cultural differences which, whether you
like
> >it or not, are the reasons that stereotypes are created, they you do
truly
> >have your head buried in the sand.
> >
> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6bf8a\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> wheres the part with the long visits to the countries you seem
> >> to know enough about to be sure that your stereotypes are
> >> "real"? you are really trying to tell ME (i LIVE here...) that your
> >> stereotypes are "the truth" because of the bunch
> >> stereotype-ish people that conveniently lived somewhere
> >> near you in the last 20 years? you know, i happen to know
> >> some americans that live here too. so let me explain to you
> >> what america is and how america works, because i do know.
> >>
> >>
> >> unbelievable. even funny in a way.
> >>
> >>
> >> that non-judgmentalism you talk about, yeah i know, it currently
> >> is peoples favourite enemy de la saison. ooooh non-judgmentalism!!! bad
> >bad
> >> bad!!! its the antichrist! booooh!
> >> *throws tomato*
> >>
> >> i gotta tell you, reading about how "well founded" your
> >> ideas of europe were (reminds me of last time where you
> >> marked "stefan schroeder" or some such as member of the
> >> "evil" (TM) club, while not even knowing his actual name), i
> >> think a little dose of humility and non-judgmentalism wouldnt be all
that
> >> bad.
> >>
> >> i wont go into the iraq thing any more than say that there is more to
> the
> >> whole story than
> >> "violating UN sanctions" (which other countries like israel
> >> or the US do just as much, not that it matters anymore).
> >> fuck that dumb dicussion about peoples motivations -
> >> usually a country operates driven by a mixture of greed,
> >> egoism, a little dose of humanism and morality (preferably when it

> >> happens to be in sync with other interests) and power.
> >> every country, every institution is like that, its
> >> only the mixture that varies a bit - discussions about
> >> that lead nowhere (at best they lead to kindergarden stereotypes
> >> such as the impressively well researched ones you had to offer -
> >> greedy selfish europe or, equally stupid, the oh so evil USA, all that
> BS
> >> thats only
> >> there because some people like to have everything in easy categories)
> >>
> >>
> >> its only different this time because mr.bush felt like
> >> trying a new concept, throwing away the idea of "be like
> >> you want your neighbour to be" and a set or rules and coming
> >> up with the - rather explosive - idea of preemptive strikes.
> >>
> >> in the end, we all want the same thing. so coming up with
> >> this new concept is fair enough. but it naturally leads to
> >> the basic argument about deescalation versus brute force.
> >> the whole "ah theyre just selfish cowards" blabla is
> >> just a nice excuse so that one doesnt have to face this
> >> discussion, cause as we all well know, those countries
> >> that supposedly are so selfish as to not join the saint
> >> that is the USA, for some reason did join and support them
> >> in the past. heck, they might even support them in other causes
> >> (my favourite example being long forgotten afghanistan, where
> >> my country i.e. is still helping out on every corner, despite
> >> the fact that were led by mr. selfish evil stefan schroeder, the
> >> half socialist bastard),
> >> which somehow is quite illogical, dont you think?
> >>
> >> anyway, that discussion leads nowhere, its just a nebula bomb
> >> thrown by supporters of the preemptive strike idea that
> >> fear the actual comparison of concepts.
> >>
> >> just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil
> >> (who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city
> >> for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so
funny
> >> ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the
> >> different concepts of brute force versus deescalation.
> >> brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a
> >> conflict ending concept. deescalation had about 5 years
> >> (you know, until that religious dumbass shot rabin).
> >> which concept came closer to actual peace? you tell me.
> >>
> >> im much more interested in that basic discussion of concepts
> >> than in dealing stereotype bullshit like the "selfish

> >> socialist europe" or the equally stupid speculation about
> >> whether mr. bush's motivation is a selfish one or a selfless act
> >> (i would guess its a typical case of a mixture).
> >>
> >> im too old for that BS. and you should be too. honestly.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >Well, I've got little time here so I'm going to try to finish this in
> >> a
> >> >brief a post as possible.
> >> >
> >> >To sum up the previous post.....after 1976, when electricity and
> >> decent
> >> >roads were brought into this area, it became an increasingly
> >> international
> >> >community of people and a big experiment in socialism. The same
> >> problems
> >> >that inevitably doom socialism to failure, were very evident and it
> >> was
> >> a
> >> >real eye opener to those among us who thought we could transcend
> >> human
> >> >nature for the *good of the group*. Also the permissiveness and
> >> >non-judgmentalism that was part of this experiment (we call it
> >> political
> >> >correctness now) caused a lot of problems. One really funny thing
> >> >happened
> >> >early on. The Indians who were all part of the big extended family
> >> that
> >> ws
> >> >running this place were all laughing like crazy one night at a get
> >> together
> >> >they were having. I could understand their dialect and they had known
> >> me
> >> for
> >> >years. wht they were laughing about was the stereotypes they were
> >> noticing.
> >> >
> >> >The French tourists distrusted the Germans. The Italians and the
> >> French
> >> >seemed to be in some sort of competition all the time with each other.
> >> The
> >> >Quebecois Canadians pretty much hated the French and vice versa. The
> >> Germans

> >> >and the Americans got along pretty well, though no one really wanted
> to
> >> >admit liking the

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 20:28:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >> >Well, I've got little time here so I'm going to try to finish this
> in
> > a
> > >> >brief a post as possible.
> > >> >
> > >> >To sum up the previous post.....after 1976, when electricity and
> decent
> > >> >roads were brought into this area, it became an increasingly
> > >international
> > >> >community of people and a big experiment in socialism. The same
> problems
> > >> >that inevitably doom socialism to failure, were very evident and it
> was
> > >> a
> > >> >real eye opener to those among us who thought we could transcend
> human
> > >> >nature for the *good of the group*. Also the permissiveness and
> > >> >non-judgmentalism that was part of this experiment (we call it
> political
> > >> >correctness now) caused a lot of problems. One really funny thing
> > >happened
> > >> >early on. The Indians who were all part of the big extended family
> that
> > >> ws
> > >> >running this place were all laughing like crazy one night at a get
> > >together
> > >> >they were having. I could understand their dialect and they had
known
> > me
> > >> for
> > >> >years. wht they were laughing about was the stereotypes they were
> > >noticing.
> > >> >
> > >> >The French tourists distrusted the Germans. The Italians and the
> French
> > >> >seemed to be in some sort of competition all the time with each
other.
> > >The

> > >> >Quebecois Canadians pretty much hated the French and vice versa. The
> > >Germans
> > >> >and the Americans got along pretty well, though no one really wanted
> > to
> > >> >admit liking the Americans much when Americans weren't around. The
> > >Americans
> > >> >seemed to have very strange relationships with the Europeans and
other
> > >> >Americans. Some of the Americans wanted to be liked by the
Europeans,
> > >lots
> > >> >of the Americans wanted everyone to think they were Californians.
The
> > >> >Scandinavians were a bit aloof from the rest of the Europeans and
> > >Americans.
> > >> >The Texans weren't really considered to be Americans by the
Europeans
> > or
> > >> the
> > >> >Mexicans and got along better with the Mexicans than with anyone
else
> > >there.
> > >> >There were lots of other things like this that they were noticing.
The
> > >> >really telling thing about it all was that every nationality
> eventually
> > >> >ended up at their own posada (sort of like an outdoor hotel on the
> > >beach).
> > >> >There was the German, French, Spanish, Swiss, American.....etc.
> posada.
> > >> >Just like all of these people who were willing to pay lip service to
> > the
> > >> >idea of one big happy worle, were totally unable to practice this
and
> > >their
> > >> >preconceptions and stereotypes where easy to recognize by a group of
> > >people
> > >> >who were totally unfamiliar with world history and
> politics.....so
> > >> >they saw the stereotypic BS that you ascribe to me. Once I heard
this,
> > I
> > >> >started paying attention to it and there is a lot more ugly stuff I
> could
> > >> >say about it, but it would do no good and despite your anger at me,
I
> > am
> > >> >basing my opinions on real experience, not theories of how things

> ought
> > >> to
> > >> >be.
> > >> >
> > >> >During the 70's and 80's this was a good jumping off place for
> travelling
> > >> >further down into central and south america. It was pretty awful
down
> > >>there
> > >> >because there was a lot of killing going on, both over politics and
> > >>drugs
> > >> >(which were pretty much the same). The Hollywood movies like
Salvador
> > >>only
> > >> >show one side of this *freedom fighter* picture. It was awful, but I
> > saw
> > >> >some stuff down there that was done by Cuban agents and their
> > >>bloodthirsty
> > >> >guerilla allies that was as bad as anything you can possibly imagine
> > in
> > >> your
> > >> >worst nightmares. None of this kind of stuff is good, but I can
assure
> > >>you
> > >> >that Americans weren't the only ones associated with death squads.
It
> > was
> > >> >just reported that way in the press.
> > >> >
> > >> >As far as my comments which apparently have convinced you that I am
> > >> >unrecoginzable, perhaps I am. People change. We have been attacked.
We
> > >>know
> > >> >how long it takes the UN do anything. In the meantime.....millions
of
> > >>people
> > >> >can die. Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
> and
> > >> was
> > >> >in a position to do us a lot of harm. I don't buy the idea that he
was
> > >>some
> > >> >non-threat. Saying that we should have waited longer after we had
> waited
> > >> for
> > >> >10 years while the French, Russians and the UN bureaucrats had
> supported
> > >> >Sadaam against the same resolutions they had approved gives me

little

> > >faith

> > >> >in the UN doing anything right or in a timely manner and given this

> > >> >experience.

> > >> >

> > >> >I think the European people should be supporting us and they are very

> > >> >divided about this. My opinions are mixed about them as well so

> > >> >yes.....I

> > >> >have changed. I'm tryly sorry that you now see me as some kind of evil

> > >> >person, but I'll get over it.

> > >> >

> > >> >Regards,

> > >> >

> > >> >Doug

> > >> >

> > >> >

> > >> >

> > >> >

> > >> >

> > >> >

> > >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message

> > >> >news:42d54f92@linux...

> > >> >> Ok Derek,

> > >> >>

> > >> >> >maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,

> > >> >> >but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.

reading

> > the

> > >> >> stereotype BS you spread<

> > >> >>

> > >> >> I'm not spouting stereotypes for the sake of trying to make someone

> > >> >> >*bad* and someone else *good*. I am relating the truth I have seen based

> > >> >> >on

> > >> >> >real

> > >> >> >world experience. If it's ugly to you, then that's good. It's ugly

> > >> >> >to

> > >> >> >me

> > >> >> >too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though

they

> > >> >> >are

> > >> >> >not

> > >> >> >racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they are

> > >> >> >very

> > >> >> >real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my life

> > as
> > >> part
> > >> >> of one of these evolving stereotypes.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born
in
> > >1950.
> > >> >> The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US
which
> > was
> > >> >full
> > >> >> of hypocrisy and racial prejudice. I remember some very ugly
things
> > >very
> > >> >> vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect
> > of
> > >> this
> > >> >> made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was
> > >something
> > >> >> wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing. I
> > also
> > >> >came
> > >> >> to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of
these
> > >> >> experiences and the hypocrisy I saw.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> My grandmother had a big hammond organ in her parlor and also a
baby
> > >grand
> > >> >> piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up on
> > the
> > >> >> benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because I
> > >could
> > >> >> listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the
> > keys
> > >> >> (though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived
in
> > >south
> > >> >> Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus
Christi,
> > >Texas)
> > >> >> and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father.
> > He
> > >> was
> > >> >a
> > >> >> mechanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work
> > designing
> > >> >> large installations for the agricultural interests which were

> sponsored
> > > by
> > > > both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which was
> > > > basically
> > > > rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more
> > > ugliness
> > > > there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was so
> > > > obviously
> > > > wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse it,
> > but
> > > I
> > > > couldn't accept this. I became very rebellious and a what is called
> > > here,
> > > a
> > > > *problem child*
> > > >
> > > > By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band
that
> > was
> > > > fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly
large
> > > > regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening
> shows
> > > for
> > > > touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam
> > Vets
> > > > who
> > > > were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and also
> > > opiates
> > > > and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war
> counterculture
> > > and
> > > > our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type
> entertainment
> > > > industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would
> make
> > > them
> > > > \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked on
> > > > amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.
> > > >
> > > > By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and
had
> > > been
> > > > accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to do
> what
> > > > they
> > > > could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career
(which

> > was
> > >> >less
> > >> >> a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus I
> was
> > >> so
> > >> >> totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative
spark
> > >that
> > >> >I
> > >> >> had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway.
> > I
> > >> >didn't
> > >> >> want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out on
my
> > >> >> countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered
to
> > be
> > >> >> *cool*, at least in Texas.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed
over
> > >there.
> > >> >> This country was being torn apart by dissention and I became more
> and
> > >> more
> > >> >> involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging
> > >> >philosophy
> > >> >> of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/politicial
> > >anarchism.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They
were
> > >the
> > >> >> rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business
> > with
> > >> >> in
> > >> >> Mexico. They were going to universtity in the states and were,
like
> > me,
> > >> >> angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships. I
> > >started
> > >> >> going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would
> travel
> > >> >> to
> > >> >> places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were
> mostly
> > >> >> untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians,
who

> > >were
> > >> >not
> > >> >> really considered to be human by anyone, including these
> *enlightened*
> > >> >young
> > >> >> Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were
> > >> >traditionalists
> > >> >> and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends
> > of
> > >> my
> > >> >> Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met
quite
> > a
> > >> few
> > >> >of
> > >> >> them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age and
> > >would
> > >> >> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we
shared
> > a
> > >> lot
> > >> >> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming
> > >increasing
> > >> >> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of priviledge were
> > the
> > >> >ones
> > >> >> who most despised the systems that allowed them those priviledges.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away
> > from
> > >> >> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California
scene.
> > My
> > >> >> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from
Texas,
> > had
> > >> a
> > >> >> big house and invited me to live with them while I established
> > myself.
> > >> >> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in the
> > film
> > >> >and
> > >> >> music industry and their kids.
> > >> >> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
> > >> >> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
> > >> >> members of the leftist political scene in this country.
> > >> >> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug
culture

> > >that
> > >> >was
> > >> >> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with the
> > rest
> > >> of
> > >> >> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
> > >> >> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The
> > >decadence
> > >> >> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but it
> wasn't
> > >> >cool
> > >> >> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew
> some
> > >> >> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to the
> > >ranh
> > >> >> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their
leader,
> > was
> > >> in
> > >> >> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One of
my
> > >> >cousins
> > >> >> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson
> who
> > >> had
> > >> >> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people
> you
> > >> can
> > >> >> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood
> > >> >> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their
> > >> >lifestyle
> > >> >> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> I continued to participate as as activist in all of the *causes du
> > >jour*
> > >> I
> > >> >> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the
moving
> > >women)
> > >> >> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and
> abortions
> > >> >> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as the
> > >various
> > >> >> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro
> American
> > >> >> citizens
> > >> >> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war
> > >protests

> > >> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.
> > >>
> > >> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a major
> > >> degree
> > >> in political science with minor studies in psychology and economics.
> > >This
> > >> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of
> career
> > >> path
> > >> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew
> my
> > >way
> > >> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.
> > >>
> > >> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to
> Mexico.
> > >> I
> > >> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place
> during
> > >> my
> > >> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a
> deserted
> > >> place
> > >> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who I
> have
> > >> met
> > >> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same
> kind
> > >> of
> > >> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem,
> Katmandhu,
> > >> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
> > >> destinations.
> > >> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned by
> > a
> > >> Zapotec
> > >> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family, two
> > of
> > >> which
> > >> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this
> small
> > >> village
> > >> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good
> part
> > >> of
> > >> my

> > >> >> life in this place and lived with this family during those times
> from
> > >> 1973
> > >> >> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used to
be
> > and
> > >> >now
> > >> >> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of the
> story
> > >> >> about this place.
> > >> >> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it.
You
> > may
> > >> >have
> > >> >> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story
but
> > I
> > >> have
> > >> >> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I have
> > the
> > >> >time.
> > >> >> This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this
> > >> >> conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me a
> > >> >> question
> > >> >> that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later if
> you
> > >> are
> > >> >> interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was my
> home
> > >> and
> > >> >is
> > >> >> still my second home-
> > >> >>
> > >> >> I know a lot of the people in these pictures. I watched them grow
up
> > >> >>and
> > >> >> taught a few of them how to swim and catch fish. the beautiful
young
> > >> >> >woman,
> > >> >> Claudia is the
> > >> >> niece of my friend Ramon. The two people, John and Maria were
guests
> > at
> > >> my
> > >> >> home in Austin after one of my trips to Zipolite and we watched
the
> > >> >> >events
> > >> >> unfold at Tienamen Square on television. They were having some bad

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Regards,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >DJ
> > > > > >
> > > > > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >news:42d45332\$1@linux...
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> you know how i meant it.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> whatever.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe
would
> > >learn
> > >> from
> > >> > > the
> > >> > > >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
> > >> > > >>
> > >> > > >>
> > >> > > >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
> > >> > news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
> > >> > > >>>
> > >> > > >>> doug,
> > >> > > >>>
> > >> > > >>>
> > >> > > >>> > your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
> > >> > > >>> > youve come a long way, really.
> > >> > > >>>>
> > >> > > >>>>
> > >> > > >>>> regards,
> > >> > > >>>> derek
> > >> > > >>>>
> > >> > > >>>>
> > >> > > >>>>
> > >> > > >>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > >> > > >>>>
> > >> > > >>>> > <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
> > >> > > >>>> >
> > >> > > >>>> > >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
> > >> > > >>>> > >seriously.....

> > >> >> > >> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real
> > >proactive
> > >> >> > with
> > >> >> > >> >their
> > >> >> >> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in
> Holland
> > >> >these
> > >> >> > >days
> > >> >> >> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny
> about
> > >> how
> > >> >> > the
> > >> >> >> >Euro's
> > >> >> >> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then
> when
> > >> the
> > >> >> chips
> > >> >> >> >are
> > >> >> >> >> >down.....bingo!!!!!! let's sit around on our collective
> > >asses
> > >> >and
> > >> >> > >watch
> > >> >> >> >> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh
> shit!!!!
> > >> >> >> >.....those
> > >> >> >> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and
> > >spoiled
> > >> >all
> > >> >> > >our
> > >> >> >> >> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam
> > and
> > >> >pick
> > >> >> > up
> > >> >> >> > a
> > >> >> >> >> > few
> > >> >> >> >> >million barrells of oil while we're there. Those kids who
> > are
> > >> >dying
> > >> >> > of
> > >> >> >> >> >starvation and disease due to our turning a blind eye to
> his
> > >> >> > >violations
> > >> >> >> >> > of
> > >> >> >> >> >UN sanctions won't care. Hell, they're too busy swatting
> the
> > >> >flies
> > >> >> > off
> > >> >> >> >> >their

> > > > > > > > festering wounds and running to the crapper with
> > > > > > > > disentery.....and
> > > > > > > > just
> > > > > > > > maybe while we're there we get lucky in a rape room.
> Whaddaya
> > > > > > > > say
> > > > > > > > guys?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > The hypocracy is just unbelievable.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message
> > > news:42cf19b3\$1 @linux...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Your whole line of thought, if correct - especially
your
> > 3rd
> > > &
> > > > > > > > > 4th paragraphs - would mean that Bush is one of the
most
> > > evil
> > > > > > > > > > sonsofbeeatches ever to walk the face of the earth. I
> find
> > > it
> > > > > > > > > > hard to believe that in ANY free society, that could be
> > the
> > > > > > > > > > case. Dictatorships, yeah, free societies, nah.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was
> really
> > > > > > > > > > starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into
> > > Iraq...
> > > > > > > > > > that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by the
> > > Iraqis
> > > > > > > > > > who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda
> against
> > > > > > > > > > Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to
> being
> > > > > > > > > > all about retribution, since massive force is the only
> thing
> > > > > > > > > > these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
> > > > > > > > > > understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers &
limit
>
> > > their
> > > > > > > > > > capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that a
> > good

> > >> >> > >> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that occurs
> > >> >> > >> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an
> Islamic
> > >> >city.
> > >> >> > >> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another
> embassy?
> > >> OK,
> > >> >> > >> >> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb
this
> > >time.
> > >> >> > >> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long
before
> > we
> > >> >> > >> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a
good
> > >long
> > >> >> > >> >> >> time.
> > >> >> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> > >> >> >> Neil
> > >> >> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> > >> >> >> Neil
> > >> >> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> > >> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the
US -
> > big
> > >> US
> > >> >> > oil
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >companies.
> > >> >> > >> >> >> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning it
in
> > >bombed
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >pipelines
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was
> about
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >destabilizing
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and make
> > >> >billions
> > >> >> > for
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >George's
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
> > >> >> > >> >> >> >

> > >> >the
> > >> >> > >early
> > >> >> >> >> >1900s
> > >> >> >> >> >> >economically (which seems to be part of the
conservative
> > >> >> ideology)
> > >> >> >> is
> > >> >> >> >> to
> > >> >> >> >> >> >saddle the government with so much debt that the
public
> > > > > > simply
> > >> >> > >can't
> > >> >> >> >> >afford
> > >> >> >> >> >> >social programs anymore. He's intentionally trying to
> > >> > > >> >bankrupt
> > >> >> > the
> > >> >> >> >> US
> > >> >> >> >> >government
> > >> >> >> >> >> >to further entrench the power of his rich friends, and
> > he's
> > >> >> doing
> > >> >> >> >> a
> > >> >> >> >> >great
> > >> >> >> >> >> >job.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives
> > >> >> >> >> >> >don't
> > >> >> >> >> >> >seem
> > >> >> >> >> >> >to
> > >> >> >> >> >> >consider.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of
> > >> >> >> >> >> >wealth
> > >> >> >> >> >> >through
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >taxation,
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses and
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >nobodies
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >wealth
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >is
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >the
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >economy.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Why?
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't
spend
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >100%
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >of
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >every
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > >dollar

> > > > > > > > > they earn - they save some of it. Governments
generally
> > > spend
> > > > > every
> > > > > red
> > > > > > > > cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for
his
> > > rich
> > > > > friends,
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people
> save
> > > and
> > > > > government
> > > > > > > > > would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting
> of
> > > > America.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the
direction
> > > that
> > > > the
> > > > > US
> > > > > > is
> > > > > > > heading
> > > > > > > > in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same
> agenda.
> > > > There's
> > > > > no
> > > > > > success
> > > > > > > > for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell
you
> > > how
> > > > > heartbroken
> > > > > > > > and disappointed I was when George won the election.
> I've
> > > > always
> > > > > > believed
> > > > > > > > in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these
> are
> > > very
> > > > > > worrisome
> > > > > > > > times.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected by
> > this
> > > > > > senselessness
> > > > > > > > > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone

> else.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >All the best,
> > > > > > > > > >Mike Audet
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > >Kim,
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion. I
do
> > >not,
> > > > > > > > > > >however,
> > > > > > > > > > >agree
> > > > > > > > > > >with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have
done
> > no
> > > >good
> > > > >at
> > > > > >all
> > > > > > > > > > >(my
> > > > > > > > > > >opinion of course) and despite the glee that those
> opposed
> > > to
> > > > >the
> > > > > >war
> > > > > > > > > > >feel
> > > > > > > > > > > >due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay
> could
> > > have
> > > > > >easily
> > > > > > > > > > > >provided the time for disposing of them across the
> border
> > > in
> > > > > >Syria
> > > > > > > > > > >or
> > > > > > > > > > >in
> > > > > > > > > > > >the
> > > > > > > > > > > >desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think
this
> > >story
> > > >is
> > > > > >over
> > > > > > > > > > >yet.
> > > > > > > > > > > >I
> > > > > > > > > > > >do think that those who dislike Bush desperately want
> > it

> > >> to
> > >> >be
> > >> >> > >over
> > >> >> >> >> so
> > >> >> >> >> >> they
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness, all
> the
> > >> >while
> > >> >> >> >bellowing
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any
> found,
> > >> >> > >therefore,
> > >> >> >> >> the
> > >> >> >> >> >> >war
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's
> absolute
> > >> >lowest
> > >> >> >> >partisan
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
> > >> >resolutions
> > >> >> > and
> > >> >> >> >> in
> > >> >> >> >> >> >doing
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven
> > >menace
> > >> >to
> > >> >> >> >stability
> > >> >> >> >> >> >in
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't
> > >happened?
> > >> I
> > >> >> > >don't
> > >> >> >> >> >> >know,
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded in
> > >> >shooting
> > >> >> > >down
> > >> >> >> >> >> one
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >of
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and
we
> > >would
> > >> >> have
> > >> >> >> >> >> >done
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't
> > >give
> > >> a
> > >> >> damn
> > >> >> >> >> >> >about

> > >> >> >> >they
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >went
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> about it... pretending it was an anti-terror
thing
> > >(which
> > >> >> > was
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >clearly
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>>garbage).
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> The issue I have too is that to me it seems that
GWB
> > and
> > >> co
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> wanted
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> Saddam
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man,
but
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> because
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> they
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> noticed
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured
"freeing"
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> Iraq
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> would
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> give
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> them
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> more oil power.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> events,
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> politically,
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for
> their
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> own
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> agenda,
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> and
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> not
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much
of
> > the
> > >> >> >> >> >> >>> public.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> It
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> was
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> obviously
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think
> "free
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> Iraq
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> from
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>> tyranny"

> the
> > >> >> country
> > >> >> >> was
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>restructured.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only a
> > >matter
> > >> >of
> > >> >> >> >time
> > >> >> >> >> >before
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> enough countries voted for it in the U.N. They
could
> > >only
> > >> >> >> >> >> >procrastinate
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>for
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> so long. It was big news and the public was
watching.
> > A
> > >> >whole
> > >> >> >> >swag
> > >> >> >> >> >> of
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>countries
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> weren't saying "no" but were saying "wait just a
> little
> > >> >> more".
> > >> >> >> Sure
> > >> >> >> it
> > >> >> >> >> >> >was
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> getting tiresome, but I think holding out would
have
> > >been
> > >> >> worth
> > >> >> >> it.
> > >> >> >> >> >> >The
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>way
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> it was handled it came across too much as if GWB
was
> > >just
> > >> >> hell
> > >> >> >> bent
> > >> >> >> >> on
> > >> >> >> >> >> >war
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> for oil, which I think is true. Holding out a
little
> > >longer
> > >> >> >> >would
> > >> >> >> >> >> have
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>made
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> a big difference to the opinions of many IMO,

9oql59Hn0AXftFH2isupqCk7F2rFUqKCucu0VXooDnLFFV6KA5yxRVeigOcs
VB548/yqSkj/AOPuX6UGZZoqvRQBYoqvRQac5YoqvRQZliiq9FAFiiolKSm1
YCxVeioqpRuBN9opKioqnG4k7ktU56nqvVJWBq5IT1RmrVnt6oz29bKpboLk
b2Md/vU2pbi3/emo/lrbmFynTwVYqhBcYq9b15hZPRRUNAE1FQ1NQBYoqvVi
gCWioqloAKKKKACiioZv9fBQBNUJ/wCP2f8A641NWdb3ETaxPF5oM0MEPmxD
t/rf8/hQNGjRRRQXyBRRVigOQR0VYqvQS1YKKKKKCQooooKasFFFFNOxIVDP1
qaoq0i7gFV6XyKSe3qylG5BcVRnqeeqM/nUGjdijcf601HU7+dupP31BRpwV
et6w7Hxro9x/y+eRW5Bq+mz/AOqvlf8Av9WLhOO8WYXg9pIno/fVdh8rHGKK
zbsXyFL7NNS+RVyrFTzhyFHYPKlq9RVJ3DkIP33tSVYoqg5AqvViigpKxXpL
j78P/XSrNRyfej+tAuQkrnrGKOHxhrUh4zb2n6GYf1roaxov+Rk1T/r0t/5z
UFmzRRRQAUUUAFFRXFxAuZJBGPUms6fxLpsA5vlaai5bllyUd2XqsVkJQeJ
NNnHF5Ea00cSrlJMj86bi47olzjL4XckoooqSgooooAKKKKAK9LPU9V6AKfk
VXnt6v1Xn8nFBsncxnt/mpPs4pbvUYbWYx+d0qH+2Yf+e1BPOeQQXFTwXFYc
F/V6C/xX2LVz4Zux0cFxBNb/ufOmqf7RN/wAsZpqw4Lj7PU/2/wD5Y1IKC6FQ
masF/Nb/AOpmm/7/AFTf2tqX/P5N/wB/qpeR/wBNqJ/tlv8A6qslGnLZFKcl
1L39valb/wDL5N/3+rUg8Z6jAlf9LHPXz6xLib/AJ40fZ/3H+phpujCW8UT
CpNbSZ3tn8QYXGJoiPpWrB4y024hyZvJ+teW/vrej7R71zSwdKR1wzCtBWep
6vD4q0mcfLexfjV+DULW6H7qWOX6EV4I9oo+0VILL0/zG6zOfWJ6N498YT+E
/D5vNN0ifxFelcQWVscGY/8AXUjArlbfWPEGvh1spbSGcxC9jhaGbnF3MOfw
Arijtc8TWekWXk3d5DYedXleh/Euz0HxPBD9s1Gex8meDz4P3/wDy+z1KwFvt
nbTxrqK6gz6Pvtd8c2viGw043nh2I3cU8uTZTZ/dY/6b10Phu11LSdQvJNc1
Cwnlm8qGEWcPlfnk18q6H8Yf+EI8QWOvahZ6sJ7L7bZf9cYJv9R/7Rr06Dx5
pt/5E3neR53/ACwn/wBfSWD5vtL5f8OVPgtg7KDPoP7bD/z2j/Os698U6dYx
483zs9oea8pgv/tEHnUT/wDPaKrh8b3II4Z5jJfDE9En+IWnCLMUU0/sBXO
3vjPUB45hIEEPxLfvvaof31dUMHSh0v6nDVx9aas392hqT381x/rpvPqC4u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sgasT6tb6D/rtX8nylf+W9xWH9o+HtvB5MM2neRDXOeOLibV54IYYf3Hk/6i
vK9c+EviS4/49P8AR/8AQ54P+2/nwVvyVE27zdz3cKnGlorn0Z4cv/h75E8Pn
WfkV0c/ibwTbz+d9ss/3NfL3gf4LeKv+Kjhm/1/iX+1lf33/LHyJ66rwd8B
/FWgQWP9ozfbvJhggm/FTTed5ME9c7hF/aO9za2Re0P4p/v77zpoYLH+0/8A
2v8AuK9NsdX+3wfuZoZ6+SZ9XhuNL1yzh/0Gey1Of7Z/3/ng8ivRvhz8ePB9
hpf2PV9es7Cf/nh53+pr0OdrY8Sth5S96MT37+0P+uNQfb6+GPEf7e95Ya1r

kOkab9v0r9/BZ3H/AH//AH9ZPxU/bT1Lxd4Y8OWfhfzrCeDyL3U7/wD57Tw/
8sKTrOO7MY4SpJ2se/8A7TX7R3/CpbKx03SLyH+3Jv380H7mfyYK858Ofll/
aPDF9ea7ND9ugh/c+R/y2r4n8R+JdS8aeldV1jV737ft7N5809V77V5oLLy
Yh+4/wCeFQq9RO6Z69PCU4xtNXZ9NfFv4/6Dq3kXlp5099NDBP5E83/kCuV0
r9oC8t4NDh0OaaC+8799bzz/ALjyP+eFfPY1GW4t/OH/ACw9avG4FvBkZ8/3
rT61U7nXHCQirl+oNW/a01LSPE9ibS8/4IUOmT/6PBD5/nXteWX37SvxOt/C
U2m/8JJN5E03n+fB/r+/wDXG2EENwP+eFQGwmuTmY4+IE8VUnuZrAqO0V9x
1PwV+LepeBfE/wBs867nsZ4ZrLyJv8AntBxc2/i2HxN4e/4RWXUrZQoP9fN
YT/8tp68k0OCawn/AHM0lgrVv7iH/ThD/r6zWK5Fa5usuUm5S3NzxH8S/GGv
waVZ/wBvXnkaZD5Fn++/5YVwN9BeW8E83n/v4Zv3NQHV/s/Tr6Gq8GrfaJ8g
5rGUnN3ZsqdOKUUrI6PwN8YvFXgqa+h07WLzSTe/66e3rnNjnmPt32zWlOl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fi3xpfaDN50Hkzf6H/02r4R8G+PNY8B/bv7NvPlg1OHYJrf/AJ7Vhw38xngm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028ngnh/ffuamNeELQk9TGfQzPP/AHH49aybjUDB1OcV2Fj8NPEk5/c6PNb2
/pPW4Pg9q9/ZD7ZZQwT/APPfzq5p4qjDeSKcktjyz7Q3tU1t/qhXo1j8DtSz
P513Z1et/gdd23+u1KH/AL81zyzLDRV+YalzHmP9nL6H/P40f2cvof8AP416
4Pg8o+9qM2f+uNL/AMKfj/6CMv8A35rm/tWj/OVc84srjIzDU8+oGDqfwFQ2
Fv8AZhABD59Xp/DOpTzweVZzf9+a6+dLc2crFeCwmvx+5lqHVdlvLH995P8A
34ro/wDhC9e8jyYtNm9B8NPFVxZeTLD5GYf+e1YfWFDeSM3K5ytjpF7PD+6
s6NE0n+0J5/N/wCWM9dvB8JdeuB+9lhg/wC21blj8Hbzz/O/tKH6eTXPPHxt
bnSM4yucBY3ENvP5NdHBr0VgfJ/Suj/4UdD58/nax/5Bq9B8B9HP+u1K8nrr
q42jJWcn8h+3mtmcBPr1ncfvovNogvoZx/qs16bY/BbQbD/n8uP+21asHwt8
Kwf8w3/wImrneZUUkm2yXWnLdnkh17SLed/Uw1RM9nfw/wCphgr3D/hXfhsf
6nQdO/781eg8Jabb/wCp0fTv/AOSvmVKDv733r9Lk+0b3Mr9i3ybf9orw5++
h/1N7/6Inr9Jp7ia3ngghhr47/Z5slbf4t6HNDZwwf6//j3h/wCmFfaUFxv
cw+MwKHzpWM6tT2jvYvaV/x+Vq/vvPn/AOeFZWlf8fXoLia4vf9T+4rUD8f
/wBqjwzrFxx+0R4/+yaPdzwf2zP8A6iGvMR8O/Elx/wAwG8t/pX3P8av+SqeK

v+v2euA/dV4U88q05OMYLQtzb20PmXSfhp4w/suCH+x5v+/1Xh8JfGE8Hk/Y
4YP+21fSeP8ApjUE9x/zyrk/t6tUd1FEJtbM8l0r4HeJLeDzvOs4KnuPgPrG
oQf6XqUMH/XCGvcPP/cf6mjz5qxnnGJb5la4Hi0P7OGf9dr03/bCGr1j+zjp
EB/fXmoz163+9o+0Vn/a+LI9sSVjzmd9n/w3bz/vftn/AH+rVsPgt4Pt/wDm
G+f/ANtq7/7R+/qC3uP+uNc6zHFT3mwSscrY/CzwrB/6rQbT/vzU8HhnR7D/
AFVnaQf9sa3J7iG3qjPcQ+fWTxNaW8mMD/o/7nyaPtH1qDPn/wDLaa7R9o/
5Y/9t6x55dwCe44qjNcQ1PN5Oaoz2EI/13kwf9tqTk5blNuWyF/tCH3qv/a8
NvUE/k7v3Pk/jUH2mHHleTUle0a2D+1v+mH6Uf2t/wBMP0o/de9H7r3q+Y1v
Luatvbw58nyTV6C38j/lj+4qjDcTYqatVUb3MlysXvtFLPcfaJ6gg8nFWP8A
trWXMVye1v3ouLjMFUf/ACBU+lbf/ltsbuZk8FxCkNrK+0fv/wDltPV2nlCx
9o/f1PBcVBBVisecAqfyKofaPs8/76ag3ENv/wAtqyA9G+B//JVPDn/Xb/2h
X2VXxP8ABa/h/wCFq+HP+vyvtOvtcmIag/UiRqaV5P22r0Hk/baytK/4/avf
8t69+ZZ8TfHG3/4ur4q/6/K85x/02rsfj/f/AGf4xeKof+m3/tCvMoL/AO0f
8sZq/M66arTT7jIK5uW9vDb0fZ6yvPmqCeCYf8tv3FYkcxufalb/ltsUE9/D
b/uf31ZUFvz5M1T/AGD9/SbS3GncvQX+f+WNQfb/ALRBRBb/AGeoLj/U1MZc
wO8t2T/aP39V/tFQweTiitYuxKVyefVprieoJ7i8uP3P+o9qP31JL0quYpKx
SzeW8E9QTzzer+5mq9PVH7RN/wAsaXOTyke5vAeOf+2NQ3H2z/ntDU0/+v8A
/t1QXFx+4pqpboNq5SnsJs/8fIVvsX/TaWrH/bAUn

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 20:56:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

2WX/njDW3O1sCg3sURZ
xx8LPxR9mX/nvV/yoU4zRth9az9qbchuW+oc/uqPt8/oayftEOPJqx9v+z1p
y+ZMnzGrBPMJ/wB9QbjE/wDqZp6yvt/H7mGiDV5vP/c1KjJ9Cbpbs1ep/wBT
/qanguM1iQX+f+e30pPtH2fyP9dcVXI3sRzG5PP+/wD9dUEF/g/66qP/AB8T
/wCpqf8AfZ8nyazsuo07l6x86cf66ar32iG3/wBbN+/rK/fe++/cVoleG3/
AOW37+k3YonxDbwf/bqPtGZp/wDUwVBj7RP/AK79xU/2j7R/yxqecpRudjJ
Lj7P8VfDn77/AJfIK+54a+A/hz/yUjwr+5/5icH/AKPr78r7DJHejJeZllva
V/x+1q/8t6w7Dj+jrcr3WrFJ3PhH9ozzv+F0el/+u0P/AKlgrzn/AJYf88K7
/wDaFt4bD4w+l/8AthP/AOQK858/3/WvzfEq1efqaz6E89v/AMtqqgc3ENxB
/qag8/3/AFrnMwt7f7Qf3tFxb/vv3Pk1B/aE9LOJqTu9kVGNyafzh/qpqT98
f+m9OM81vB53k+fQbiacVMVYrkEgt5vl/cww0T295VJE1vN/rvxpPt8PkeT5
1UHLurIPs81QT2F5b/67yavfb/8Apt59Up7jH/LGqTS3Q+SD2E+wf9Noqo/2
fCB++mqeeeY/9MKyrjzj/wAtql2jshc66lnFvDbz/wDyRNUe9/Dbifyag/5b
fvaSC3m8j/U1sVGUpfCH9r/6iGofPm8iicfZ5oIZanNh9og86KaGlyrqjRn
J2SKH2mb3/Oj7TN7/nWg+gTFv9d+dJ/wj83/AD2o5Y9jb6rV7Fe36mp6xL4/
8sfJzV6xt8wmt5QajzMxISIFXJ/+Xep7e3/6bUQf6QPJqbylaw9r5GltvPDb
n/XfhST/AHqW3t4bcVP9nqOcRB/aH2cVP+/nm9KPtEP/AFwqCfX/ALOP9dRz
PojSnT5r7yLy4P7moJ7C8/5/Ps9Qf8ACT/v4Jq1ftENxB/03pcz6o0qUOVX
uEGkfaIP301TwQfZ/lqibiHyP9T+/wD+WNH779x++/5Y1IFt7owfL9k9G+C1
vCfip4chm/1HnV9wfaK/Mu+8W6l4Ksp9e0O88jVbKHz7KqJ/bw+M1vcf8fmk
T/TTK+0yWbdB37jUHUV47H6k6Vcf6bBUHiPxb/ZE/wBjtPjN1Wb9/wCRPN5F
fmLpP/BQ34q2E2ZodCn54/0ObmqHiv8Aa7+IXjz/AJCOsWcEH/ThZ17U58iv
a5qqTe57v+0Lcfb/Alq6reQ/8tobL/ltsBMK8sg1D/ljXn+h/GGa/n87UZv
Pn/1H+kVq6H8RjvPnm0+az8//pvDXxVfC1KladSWibb+9tnb7CD3kd/BbzXH

+p86pz4Z1LyPO+xzXEFZWheNNSgg/cw2nkf9Mla1T8TNeuLLyYbyH/0RXOsP
Qim+cShBbv8AAgentry3H/HnNB/2xqj/a03+u86r0/wARNet/+WNnPPD/AM95
p6J/inqXkT+d/ZsH/XCtI4SgvtstDrL8P8Aghb3F3j/AFM3/fmoJ7i8t4IP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9zN/qa7o4WpHc0+rr+Zq6tq81v/qa4+fxJ/r/ADYaNc1b7ROZqw9QH2i3M1en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3hh5NX5PvO/nv/CFhB+5vlbj/rws/P8A/R1QW/jXTfP/AOJdDqN/5H/LDzvJ
/wDRNcdP/Ztgf+POaef/AKbzVBB4ul0j/j0s4f8AvzWtOLd7qx30cK7XcbHc
X3i7WP8AU6doNpb/APbGsO+sPFV//wAfeseRBN/yw86sMeNdSulJ4f8AUQf9
dq5vVfHupf6n7Z5Hnf8APCunkIM6/YRXxaHSar4Zhgn86bUoYJ4f+eENQf2R
ptvB5003/f8AmrnB4ts7eCeGWCb/AKbVzljq93q99ffuf9R+45rqVCVnLoip
SpUnZncX2v6PbwfubybP/Pvbw1ITeJpiP3Wmzf6n/l+mrlZ768txP++hrnLH
XtSv9Un868mngghh8mtKWGjJOXRHPuXUKclB7no41J04a+tLU/wDPLyelH9qN
/wBBaz/7815Lqvh4v76WdZ4QHOaqf8ACF3X/PeGt/Zx/m/Ar2/kdZqt/eWF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/wDTCGCCuHFhZ2H+u1KGef8A54QQ1P8A2v4bt5/Jhhmn/6eKqKqt2jBl2hQ
drpG5qvxEhuP9To/n+T/AM/15NPVGw8a68f+PSGGD/rws6w4Nfh1eef+zobS
Dyf9dRrni6awgg8m8m/1P/HvWkY1JOx0fVpc3Nc1Rq/ja/h/5CUx/wCu83k1
lf2DMJv+JjrFn/1386uOuPE01xP+6hm/7b0lxq+pX/77zoYIP+nibya640pM
09murO5n8M6Pbwf66a//AH1E9/oMB/1Nn/28V5fXH2eb99eQ/8ATbyKo39t
Dcf8ekN3/wB/q1+qzW4vc6K56bP4ts/P/cww/bvO/wCuFUdV8azGc+T5M9eZ
ar51hpc5ii/f/wCo8+upggs4D/qa61grpMyVaMG1axqz+Jpj/wA8Z/8ApvBD
VLVfGn2CD9953kedU8Bs7iDyfc37n/nvXOeKrf7PZQw+T/y+Q10xwsE7vUx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77/lvVKfx5rEE/nf6iCH/thTVGtLeYpYW3xNncT+GdYEHnXdnD/13uL2qluL
PT/+YIDP/wBclfPrgP8AhNYbixgmmhuJ/8AX/v5p6ytV8TfalP+JdNDcf8A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6PND+48i3onEOIP+WFddGjCKTUUC1arNppyeheg0GG3mg/fUeHllbe9n8mH/
AMg11Wh/DTxlf9dD9ggm/f8A2i/mhsoP/I9QaVYaPoN7ff2jqXn+T+4h+wQ+
d9tn/wDjNVVcE076BT55dDKGgzfv5opv3FT/APCNZggm86Gf/p3ruNJ16z/f
/wDFH/2tP/z3nvPJgqf7Rr1/P+5vLPSYP9f5FjD/AK6uT21GO2p2+zry8jzn
xHpE1hpnnfY/lffufPrc+FXhrR7jRL6bV9e0jSYIZp4P9Im/f8A/bCjxxYa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ANlvLDyLub9xDDURpUqbu9TRSSkrJfMnn1fA/wBdNPWXPf8AP7qHFacHhnP+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q9Bp8NxBWNbOIQ21sc0oXd4pfmcPB4as7GGeb99PWrY/Y54IjPof39dVP4Zh
8jzpqSDSLMH/AO014elzaVb4Vb5hL2sVbRX8zDuJ5oD+5pf7P/tCHybuCa4g
rcFhD5/+p8ioJz/02mgrzfrs1u7HLlgsPs3+p/1FT/2BZkfvqmt/wDSB/r6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3VOFOSikcksRJ3kUjcSif/4/Vefzrj/WzVf/AHNtMO1lbG8n/wCWPkf9d61l
Xo0l6tL8Dzpzbd3qZcAhgn/5a4ro9KsfPsvscJ/5befVa38NfaJv315N5/8A
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89vs9EGnw2/76H9//wBfFTfYJvJ8msnCK6Gihf4kQ/ubgeT501V7E/YP3MNQ
i3/feTFzU/2CYGCb/X/9O9a2T3QU/evoT3M0zSk/bOv/AExqLzJv+fv/AMg1
LbSahZRCK1uAkl6DyKk+36x/z9D/AL8Ucs+xXOeTjxnDbjyrSz/cf9dqhg16
8nngmzBB53+oNXbHQftA60Xun2ekQ+cJv3/AJ3avtU6Ue7/ABK9rGTSOdv
OuNTvuf+W3eoPs/2b9zD0rVvtQ/02fEH2icTdqgmtrycfuYRzXoTxLpq7ZyO
KW5BBbzQHzulTwh7Ob7990gq7B4TmuP+Py8hro7fwXptsMfvp64nmNKnLmlq
U4NqyMO4ulfO/wBcaom3+3z/ALqEGu4g8Nabbj/U/wCprV+wQ2HMP7+CvJq5
knHRWLqKULzSOOSfDM1we8Fatj4Lhtz++m/cTV1VvbwiD/ngKg+38eTXlzxt
eatzWOfmT96ctzKg8NWdvP5P6Vqf2Rplv+NX4DN9i+2f6/8AfVP/AGfNPZfu
of8AyDXNLml8UmEKbelOLuUllPjyc1f+zw+1QXFh9g8/wD13n1PcaveeTB9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owXH2eb97DN5FUbi/h8//ph/z3rf2SeyMIVKjjo9zU8+8o8+8rKt7zzlGal+
00ctXuRzy7v7zkoLGaf/AFs3kVNBoUPk/wDPfzvStr7DFViwscT/APXGu6eL
nNW5jPRbsowaDkz/AOh+RBU8GkZ/57QVvAZnqb7AP+m3/f6uJ1ZSOuSovWnq
jJOg/Z/+nir4sP8Arjb1q29hZ3H7maaaCr39g6bYD/pvWClJ9R08JKouaOiO
b/s4ek1H9kf6j9zW5BcQ3F75P2yaof8AhEvtE/nfbJrj/njVXS3Jng5y+DUo
/wBg/Z/31T2+nwwH/UzefW5P4ZmsB/x+ef8A88f31UbgQ3E/nTXk09Yu/SQQ
pRoO+z80E+gzagP+eFGleGbywMHned/3+qeDUPs5/cwTQf8Abap5zDb+R515
NP8Auf3Nv51VztbHRCdGT5k9V3ZPfX8NzZf/AG6sqwg/c/66GD9zRbiYf8to
flqf7fNcQfY/+WH/ADwo529zRVHNqc35FG/uZ7j/AFM32eiw1C88+CGWbz/+
21XrfQP33+u/cQw/89qvWPhiG/8APh/tKaD/AJ41Mqii7MznGpPZr5BfeTcQ
edafY7es7TfDupX11DbJ5lxfzOscCMSIndicBVA5JJIAArYn8Mw2/n+TeTTz
/wDLH/pvVzTrKbSr+K5t7u5gulXEKcsVwVdGByGBHIIPINYVa9o+7v0Imud8
00RWHw81XUhcX9mXU02I5+3mO1dvsmN2fNwPkxsb72Pun0NLH4YvbXSdOtr
bQrt1FzHZXKwORdOGCIYuPnIYgYXPJAR7Kul/DukeJZJmRbTTviHqqRulo3
UajYtpuwZCjMRNzcZLfl5Lkk4yRx1jYWuiePtAivUVYvAHhOCe7s7uVlrJNT
cHbG0rkRJNl8kbiXJyyA5JUkfl1HjGrWTI7C3u8yvfx3XfX9VWtB9FdXs3Zb
uhCPT+v+GPms+BtauNc/sAaRfwax/wBA/Y/2j7u//V43fd+bp056VW0rwlca
7fxWNINcajey58u2tYmlkAJOFUEnABP0Br6n8Gae+p/E34V+KXjgkvp7O50
jVJba8jvcXNvbSbHmul2ZXlIiKtgnCFTqcceQfswW0P/AAvPw1J5cizj7Ty0

uQP9FI7V60OI5zWuJrKCbo0ud2ejknVi1/hvT0fVO+qsQ6UbpJf1ocFqHhLU
NB1W20a+0vUYtSutvKWdxayRzS7mKrtQjLZYEDA5llq3qPgrxLo8FtDc+E9Z
shczLbQG5sJY/NlbO2NcqNzHBwo5OK9a+GFrlvw4+HQ1CPF2vj2FtOFxJlxa
bU83ySefL83G4L8u/rzVC+uNNk/aJt00zSdTlPFGK/a72fUkMMv+mfMFiEK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tB50MM09ZwmcaXB8x/11U7e7mNtjzDj0qHCL6HppYejG7hd927m9BPDPB/ro
YKnngs7j9zFN/wCQaq2FIBKRvjVv3Peq+tzPYwQRQMYo/Og+Vayh77sYQnGr
FR5USQaPCP8ApvP/ANN5q1b4WdvD5PnWdvXIA1G5mPIPM7R/3Sa7LStOtlH2
oQr9o/56d63nRUXZ6kuUKSbt6XSdes7f/kHwc1xP/1xq8L+aCy86ab7BVSy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ZzVX+1ta/su40z7Zcf2b532n7H5reT5u3b5mzON23jddjOOK1b6FM/dFUPJTY

PuiumWGpxXMopa30Vte/qOWHaV+Ysax408Yav9m/tTxZr032aZbmDN/LJ5Uq
52yLljtYZOGHlzXOahq+pRxapE2q3zRatlsuoR3Fy+28dWLq0wz+8YlgWzg
knrWjff8f1c3PAkv3IDfWpjhaFKPuU0kuyXe/wCevqcdS0Fe34mxcFUDUj4h
/tiLVdS/tz/oJ/a5PtP3Nn+tzuz+78vXpx0pNH8b+JLfV7nVbHW9R0u9ut3n3
1tdyRzzbmDNvcEM2WAJyeSM1H/ZVp/z7p+VVbgZvp60WHoTXLyK1klt0Xp5
bGjrVJbs1r7xP4nuvEVt4lm8R6vLrFtGYYNQkvpTcRld2VWQtuUfO/AOPmb1
NU/E2v3niPUo7vW9WvtY1Flxck+oXLzuqAkhQzkkDLMcdMk+tRQf6n/thVOb
rTp4ehCSnCCTSsrJaLsvLyNEuxXuLeHzT++qP7PD/wA9qbP/AKw1HXp8kex0
KcbfCj//2Q==

---=_linux42d6d181--or, in short: "i know europe - cause i know some europeans
that live here in the US".

that pretty much stands nicely by itself.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong, but
>these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe*its obvious that i dont reach your
conscious mind.

your argueing against things i havent even said. youre argueing
against what you believe is my picture of the US, even though
i explicitly stated the *exact opposite* (that i find both
the selfish socialist europe and the evil america clichée
ridiculous, its down there in my post, maybe you should
actually go forward and try to READ it).

youre in full auto-argueing-mode, serving some standard
"antiliberal" phrases that dont have anything to do with what
i said, on the contrary, its the discussion i again explicitly
mentioned as a useless discussion that leads nowhere and
will always be based on speculation on all sides.

i am NOT

the standard discussion target that wants to debate whether
george bush is evil or whatever - its again all down there in
the post. im not interested in that. i didnt even write
a single word about that in my initial post, you brought
the assumption up that i might be "one of those" (TM)
who thinks that george bush and with him the entire USA
is eeeeeeeevil. im not.

i made my point about what i think
about your euro stereotype BS and how justified it is or not
(thats some "non-non-judgmentalism" right there for you).
i noted to you that there is a difference between i.e. a handful

of italians living in the US and the country italia with its citicens, that one cant judge one by the other. you can acknowledge that or not, your choice.

if you want an iraq-related discussion (again something you brought up), i inform you that the only discussion i am interested in is the basic discussion and comparison of concepts - i even went as far as to say that the concept of preemptive strikes is "fair enough", for the sake of a meaningful discussion, even though i personally believe that deescalation is the better way to go, an opinion i dont base on some doctrince but on what i see in israel, which not only serves as a good and current example for the different concepts but conveniently even has the same enemy your currently fighting for.

when youre ready for that kind of discussion, im all ears. but spare me the standard BS. its not helping, and you know it.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>.....and furthermore, I think I am getting the impression from your
>posts that you believe that I thought all of these Europeans wer bad people
>somehow. Tjhat is not the case. I am as disappointed in the Europeans as you
>obviously are at the US. We can disagree. If you think that somehow I am
>going to go along with dropping a nuke somewhere then you are mistaken.
My
>post to Neil was meant as a rather grim joke, but these are grim times.
What
>would you have us do if we have credible evidence that Tehran has their
>finger on the button that will send a nuke to Berlin? Do you want us to wait
>while the UN argues about it and Berlin burns to the ground? I assure you
>that this is a possible scenario.....maybe not Berlin, but somewhere in the
>neighborhood.....and kissing the asses of this *non--stereotypical
>humaniod being with no cultural bias whatsoever which is not driving his
>non-ideology* will not do much to discourage him fromnuclear blackmail once
>he has the capability.
>
>This is a very big difference that I'm seeing between us.
>
>Best,

>
>Doug
>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>news:42d6cb58@linux...
>> Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong, but
>> these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe* who were
>> professionals, hippies, soldiers, tradesmen and were there for long
>periods
>> of time, returning to this place again and again, year after year for
>almost
>> 20 years and I saw the same thing happen, again and again, year after
>year
>> for almost 20 years, so I'm sure there is no reason to assume there's
>any
>> relationship between what I experienced and what is reality.
>>
>>
>> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6c7de\$1@linux...
>> >
>> > doug, the "let me explain america to you" part was, of course, pure
>> irony.
>> > but im somehow glad that
>> > you missed it, because it should give you a nice impression
>> > about how your ranting about
>> > the europe you believe to know so much about comes
>> > across to someone who actually does know a thing or two
>> > about europe because he happens to live there.
>> >
>> > the sad part being, in your case it wasnt irony.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >First of all, now I am really astounded at you. You say you know so
>> >much
>> > >about America??????? You are also getting your information from a very
>> >small
>> > >sample. I know you lived here for a while and have visited here often,
>> >but
>> > >you don't live here *now* and you are obviously choosing very carefully
>> > what
>> > >you want to believe. If you want to consider your sources to be any
>> >more
>> > >valid than mine, then first consider where your sources are coming
>> >from
>> > and
>> > >make sure you consider them all. I will try to do the same.....but

>> > I
>> > > assure you that if you ignore cultural differences which, whether you
>> like
>> > > it or not, are the reasons that stereotypes are created, they you do
>> truly
>> > > have your head buried in the sand.
>> > >
>> > > "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>news:42d6bf8a\$1@linux...
>> > >>
>> > >> wheres the part with the long visits to the countries you seem
>> > >> to know enough about to be sure that your stereotypes are
>> > >> "real"? you are really trying to tell ME (i LIVE here...) that your
>> > >> stereotypes are "the truth" because of the bunch
>> > >> stereotype-ish people that conveniently lived somewhere
>> > >> near you in the last 20 years? you know, i happen to know
>> > >> some americans that live here too. so let me explain to you
>> > >> what america is and how america works, because i do know.
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >> unbelievable. even funny in a way.
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >> that non-judgmentalism you talk about, yeah i know, it currently
>> > >> is peoples favourite enemy de la saison. ooooh non-judgmentalism!!!
>bad
>> > >bad
>> > >> bad!!! its the antichrist! booooh!
>> > >> *throws tomato*
>> > >>
>> > >> i gotta tell you, reading about how "well founded" your
>> > >> ideas of europe were (reminds me of last time where you
>> > >> marked "stefan schroeder" or some such as member of the
>> > >> "evil" (TM) club, while not even knowing his actual name), i
>> > >> think a little dose of humility and non-judgmentalism wouldnt be
all
>> that
>> > >> bad.
>> > >>
>> > >> i wont go into the iraq thing any more than say that there is more
to
>> > the
>> > >> whole story than
>> > >> "violating UN sanctions" (which other countries like israel
>> > >> or the US do just as much, not that it matters anymore).
>> > >> fuck that dumb dicussion about peoples motivations -
>> > >> usually a country operates driven by a mixture of greed,
>> > >> egoism, a little dose of humanism and morality (preferably when it

>> > >> happens to be in sync with other interests) and power.
>> > >> every country, every institution is like that, its
>> > >> only the mixture that varies a bit - discussions about
>> > >> that lead nowhere (at best they lead to kind

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 21:03:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ergarden stereotypes

>> > >> such as the impressively well researched ones you had to offer -
>> > >> greedy selfish europe or, equally stupid, the oh so evil USA, all
>that
>> > BS
>> > >> thats only
>> > >> there because some people like to have everything in easy categories)
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >> its only d

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 21:29:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ifferent this time because mr.bush felt like

>> > >> trying a new concept, throwing away the idea of "be like
>> > >> you want your neighbour to be" and a set or rules and coming
>> > >> up with the - rather explosive - idea of preemptive strikes.
>> > >>
>> > >> in the end, we all want the same thing. so coming up with
>> > >> this new concept is fair enough. but it naturally leads to
>> > >> the basic argument about deescalation versus brute force.
>> > >> the whole "ah theyre just selfish cowards" blabla is
>> > >> just a nice excuse so that one doesnt have to face this
>> > >> discussion, cause as we all well know, those countries
>> > >> that supposedly are so selfish as to not join the saint
>> > >> that is the USA, for some reason did join and support them
>> > >> in the past. heck, they might even support them in other causes
>> > >> (my favourite example being long forgotten afghanistan, where
>> > >> my country i.e. is still helping out on every corner, despite
>> > >> the fact that were led by mr. selfish evil stefan schroeder, the
>> > >> half socialist bastard),
>> > >> which somehow is quite illogical, dont you think?
>> > >>
>> > >> anyway, that discussion leads nowhere, its just a nebula bomb

>> > >> thrown by supporters of the preemptive strike idea that
>> > >> fear the actual comparison of concepts.
>> > >>
>> > >> just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil
>> > >> (who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city
>> > >> for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so
>> funny
>> > >> ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the
>> > >> different concepts of brute force versus deescalation.
>> > >> brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a
>> > >> conflict ending concept. deescalation had about 5 years
>> > >> (you know, until that religious dumbass shot rabin).
>> > >> which concept came closer to actual peace? you tell me.
>> > >>
>> > >> im much more interested in that basic discussion of concepts
>> > >> than in dealing stereotype bullshit like the "selfish
>> > >> socialist europe" or the equally stupid speculation about
>> > >> whether mr. bush's motivation is a selfish one or a selfless act
>> > >> (i would guess its a typical case of a mixture).
>> > >>
>> > >> im too old for that BS. and you should be too. honestly.
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >>
>> > >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >> >Well, I've got little time here so I'm going to try to finish this
>> > >> >in
>> > >> a
>> > >> >brief a post as possible.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >To sum up the previous post.....after 1976, when electricity and
>> > >> >decent
>> > >> >roads were brought into this area, it became an increasingly
>> > >> >international
>> > >> >community of people and a big experiment in socialism. The same
>> > >> >problems
>> > >> >that inevitably doom socialism to failure, were very evident and
>> > >> >it
>> > >> >was
>> > >> >a
>> > >> >real eye opener to those among us who thought we could transcend
>> > >> >human
>> > >> >nature for the *good of the group*. Also the permissiveness and
>> > >> >non-judgmentalism that was part of this experiment (we call it
>> > >> >political
>> > >> >correctness now) caused a lot of problems. One really funny thing

>> > >happened
>> > >> >early on. The Indians who were all part of the big extended family
>> that
>> > >> ws
>> > >> >running this place were all laughing like crazy one night at a get
>> > >together
>> > >> >they were having. I could understand their dialect and they had
>known
>> > me
>> > >> for
>> > >> >years. wht they were laughing about was the stereotypes they were
>> > >noticing.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >The French tourists distrusted the Germans. The Italians and the
>> French
>> > >> >seemed to be in some sort of competition all the time with each
>other.
>> > >The
>> > >> >Quebecois Canadians pretty much hated the French and vice versa.
>The
>> > >Germans
>> > >> >and the Americans got along pretty well, though no one really wanted
>> > to
>> > >> >admit liking the Americans much when Americans weren't around. The
>> > >Americans
>> > >> >seemed to have very strange relationships with the Europeans and
>other
>> > >> >Americans. Some of the Americans wanted to be liked by the
>Europeans,
>> > >lots
>> > >> >of the Americans wanted everyone to think they were Californians.
>The
>> > >> >Scandinavians were a bit aloof from the rest of the Europeans and
>> > >Americans.
>> > >> >The Texans weren't really considered to be Americans by the
>Europeans
>> > or
>> > >> the
>> > >> >Mexicans and got along better with the Mexicans than with anyone
>else
>> > >there.
>> > >> >There were lots of other things like this that they were noticing.
>The
>> > >> >really telling thing about it all was that every nationality
>> eventually
>> > >> >ended up at their own posada (sort of like an outdoor hotel on the
>> > >beach).
>> > >> >There was the German, French, Spanish, Swiss, American.....etc.

>> posada.
>> > >> >Just like all of these people who were willing to pay lip service
>> > the
>> > >> >idea of one big happy worle, were totally unable to practice this
>> > >> >and
>> > >> >their
>> > >> >preconceptions and stereotypes where easy to recognize by a group
>> > >> >people
>> > >> >who were totally unfamiliar with world history and
>> > >> >politics.....so
>> > >> >they saw the stereotypic BS that you ascribe to me. Once I heard
>> > >> >this,
>> > >> >I
>> > >> >started paying attention to it and there is a lot more ugly stuff
>> > >> >I
>> > >> >could
>> > >> >say about it, but it would do no good and despite your anger at
>> > >> >me,
>> > >> >I
>> > >> >am
>> > >> >basing my opinions on real experience, not theories of how things
>> > >> >ought
>> > >> >to
>> > >> >be.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >During the 70's and 80's this was a good jumping off place for
>> > >> >travelling
>> > >> >further down into central and south america. It was pretty awful
>> > >> >down
>> > >> >there
>> > >> >because there was a lot of killing going on, both over politics
>> > >> >and
>> > >> >drugs
>> > >> >(which were pretty much the same). The Hollywood movies like
>> > >> >Salvador
>> > >> >only
>> > >> >show one side of this *freedom fighter* picture. It was awful, but
>> > >> >I
>> > >> >saw
>> > >> >some stuff down there that was done by Cuban agents and their
>> > >> >bloodthirsty
>> > >> >guerilla allies that was as bad as anything you can possibly imagine
>> > >> >in
>> > >> >your
>> > >> >worst nightmares. None of this kind of stuff is good, but I can
>> > >> >assure

>> > >you
>> > >> >that Americans weren't the only ones associated with death squads.
>It
>> > was
>> > >> >just reported that way in the press.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >As far as my comments which apparently have convinced you that I
am
>> > >> >unrecoginzable, perhaps I am. People change. We have been attacked.
>We
>> > >know
>> > >> >how long it takes the UN do anything. In the meantime.....millions
>of
>> > >people
>> > >> >can die. Sadaam had been in violation of the UN sanctions for years
>> and
>> > >> was
>> > >> >in a position to do us a lot of harm. I don't buy the idea that
he
>was
>> > >some
>> > >> >non-threat. Saying that we should have waited longer after we had
>> waited
>> > >> for
>> > >> >10 years while the French, Russians and the UN bureaucrats had
>> supported
>> > >> >Sadaam against the same resolutions they had approved gives me
>little
>> > >faith
>> > >> >in the UN doing anything right or in a timely manner and given this
>> > >> >experience.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >I think the European people should be supporting us and they are
>very
>> > >> >divided about this. My opinions are mixed about them as well so
>> > >yes.....I
>> > >> >have changed. I'm tryly sorry that you now see me as some kind of
>evil
>> > >> >person, but I'll get over it.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >Regards,
>> > >> >
>> > >> >Doug
>> > >> >
>> > >> >
>> > >> >
>> > >> >
>> > >> >
>> > >> >

>> >>> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>> >>> >news:42d54f92@linux...
>> >>>> Ok Derek,
>> >>>>
>> >>>> >maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,
>> >>>> but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
>reading
>> > the
>> >>>> stereotype BS you spread<
>> >>>>
>> >>>> I'm not spouting stereotypes for the sake of trying to make
>someone
>> > >*bad*
>> >>>> and someone else *good*. I am relating the truth I have seen based
>> > on
>> >>>> real
>> >>>> world experience. If it's ugly to you, then that's good. It's
>ugly
>> > to
>> >>>> me
>> >>>> too. When I look at what is happening I see stereotypes, though
>they
>> > >are
>> >>>> >not
>> >>>> racial, they are cultural, they are constantly evolving and they
>are
>> > >very
>> >>>> real. From around 1965 through 1995 I spent a good part of my
>life
>> > as
>> >>>> part
>> >>>> of one of these evolving stereotypes.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> I am old compared to most of the folks here, I think. I was born
>in
>> > >1950.
>> >>>> The town where I was born was a small town in the southern US
>which
>> > was
>> >>>> >full
>> >>>> of hypocrisy and racial prejudice. I remember some very ugly
>things
>> > >very
>> >>>> vividly. Without going into a lot of detail about this, the effect
>> > of
>> >>>> this
>> >>>> made me really angry and very willing to believe that there was
>> > >something

>> > >> >> wrong with what my parents generation was saying, but not doing.
I
>> > also
>> > >> >came
>> > >> >> to distrust organized religion at a very early age because of
>these
>> > >> >> experiences and the hypocrisy I saw.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> My grandmother had a big hammond organ in her parlor and also
a
>baby
>> > >grand
>> > >> >> piano. From the time I was about 5 years old, I would climb up
on
>> the
>> > >> >> benches and play the keys. I was told I had a *good ear* because
I
>> > >could
>> > >> >> listen to the radio songs and figure out how to play them on the
>> keys
>> > >> >> (though I couldn't reach the pedals ;o). During the 50's, I lived
>in
>> > >south
>> > >> >> Texas (part of the time in Erling's favorite place, Corpus
>Christi,
>> > >Texas)
>> > >> >> and travelled across the border into Mexico often with my father.
>> > He
>> > >> was
>> > >> >a
>> > >> >> merchanical design engineer and a pilot and did a lot of work
>> designing
>> > >> >> large installations for the agricultural interests which were
>> sponsored
>> > >> >> by
>> > >> >> both rich Mexican landowners and the Mexican government (which
was
>> > >> >basically
>> > >> >> rich Mexican and other international landowners) I saw lots more
>> > >ugliness
>> > >> >> there as far as exploitation of people by other people. It was
so
>> > >> >obviously
>> > >> >> wrong and when I would ask my father about it, he would excuse
it,
>> > but
>> > >> I
>> > >> >> couldn't accept this. I became very rebellous and a what is called

>> > > here,
>> > >> a
>> > >> >> *problem child*
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> By 1965, this pissed off 15 year old kid was playing in a band
> that
>> > was
>> > >> >> fortunate (or unfortunate) enough to be recognized in a fairly
> large
>> > >> >> regional market. By the time I was 17 years old we were opening
>> shows
>> > >> >> for
>> > >> >> touring acts. Our roadies were a bunch of disillusioned ex VietNam
>> > Vets
>> > >> > who
>> > >> >> were majorly into drugs (especially asian varieies of pot and
> also
>> > > opiates
>> > >> >> and LSD/hallucenogens) and the emerging drug/anti war
>> counterculture
>> > >> >> and
>> > >> >> our management were a bunch of old school hollywood type
>> entertainment
>> > >> >> industry scumbags who would exploit their own mother if it would
>> make
>> > >> >> them
>> > >> >> \$\$\$\$. They had no problem keeping a bunch of young kids tweaked
> on
>> > >> >> amphetamines to make sure they were *up for the gig*.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> By 1968, I had somehow managed to graduate from high school and
> had
>> > > been
>> > >> >> accepted to a number of universities. My folks were willing to
> do
>> > what
>> > >> > they
>> > >> >> could to help and I knew I couldn't sustain the music career
> (which
>> > was
>> > >> > less
>> > >> >> a career and more like a party) and continue my education, plus
> I
>> > was
>> > >> >> so
>> > >> >> totally burned out from the *lifestyle* that whatever creative
> spark
>> > > that

>> > >> >I
>> > >> >> had tried to cultivate during this time was in the crapper anyway.
>> > I
>> > >> >didn't
>> > >> >> want to be a musician any more. I was not, however, burned out
>> on
>> >my
>> > >> >> countercultural beliefs. I was *into it* before it was considered
>> >to
>> > be
>> > >> >> *cool*, at least in Texas.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> There was this war going on and lots of my friends were headed
>> >over
>> > >there.
>> > >> >> This country was being torn apart by dissention and I became more
>> > and
>> > >> more
>> > >> >> involved in the anti-war movement and the increasingly emerging
>> > >> >> philosophy
>> > >> >> of non-judgmentalism and defiance of the rule of law/politial
>> > >> >> anarchism.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> While I was in school, I ran into a few old acquaintances. They
>> >were
>> > >the
>> > >> >> rich children of some of the people my dad had been doing business
>> > with
>> > >> in
>> > >> >> Mexico. They were going to universtity in the states and were,
>> >like
>> > me,
>> > >> >> angry, into the counterculture and we renewed our friendships.
>> I
>> > >started
>> > >> >> going down to Mexico with them on school holidays and we would
>> > travel
>> > >> to
>> > >> >> places which were pretty unbelievable. Like paradises which were
>> > mostly
>> > >> >> untouched by human beings (except of course, the local Indians,
>> >who
>> > >were
>> > >> >> not
>> > >> >> really considered to be human by anyone, including these
>> > *enlightened*
>> > >> >> young
>> > >> >> Mexican revolutionaries-the Indians weren't cool. They were

>> > >> >traditionalists
>> > >> >> and not into drugs, socialism and free love). A lot of the friends
>> > of
>> > >> my
>> > >> >> Mexican friends were going to European universities and I met
>quite
>> > a
>> > >> few
>> > >> >of
>> > >> >> them and quite a few of their european friends who were my age
and
>> > >would
>> > >> >> travel with them on holiday. We became well acquainted and we
>shared
>> > a
>> > >> lot
>> > >> >> in common with our political philosophies which were becoming
>> > >increasing
>> > >> >> leftist-leaning. It is ironic that the children of priviledge
were
>> > the
>> > >> >ones
>> > >> >> who most despised the systems that allowed them those priviledges.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> In early 1970 I moved to Los Angeles because I wanted to get away
>> from
>> > >> >> Texas, go to school out there and be a part of the California
>scene.
>> > My
>> > >> >> uncle was a business partner with a big television star from
>Texas,
>> > had
>> > >> a
>> > >> >> big house and invited me to live with them while I established
>> myself.
>> > >> >> During the time I was there, I met quite a few of the folks in
the
>> > film
>> > >> >and
>> > >> >> music industry and their kids.
>> > >> >> Some of these kids are now known as part of the
>> > >> >> *Hollywood elite* and are very active and outspoken
>> > >> >> members of the leftist political scene in this country.
>> > >> >> I also started getting a sense of discomfort with the drug
>culture
>> > >that
>> > >> >was
>> > >> >> going on, but was still cool because it was so integrated with

the
>> > rest
>> > >> of
>> > >> >> the anarchistic counter culture. This is where my beliefs in
>> > >> >> non-judgementalism were beginning to be severely challenged. The
>> > >decadence
>> > >> >> was so unbelievable that it was obviously hurting people, but
it
>> wasn't
>> > >> >cool
>> > >> >> to talk about it. A bunch of the kids I was hanging out with knew
>> some
>> > >> >> people that called themselves *The Family*. I even went out to
the
>> > >ranch
>> > >> >> where some of were still living while Charles Manson, their
>leader,
>> > was
>> > >> in
>> > >> >> jail on a multiple murder charges and I partied with them. One
of
>my
>> > >> >cousins
>> > >> >> had dated one of them, a guy from McKinney Texas named Tex Watson
>> who
>> > >> had
>> > >> >> murdered people. There were some of the wierdest, scariest people
>> you
>> > >> can
>> > >> >> imagine but even despite stuff like this, no one in the Hollywood
>> > >> >> *counterculture* was willing to believe that some aspects of their
>> > >> >lifestyle
>> > >> >> might not be so great. Non-judgmentalism was soooooo *uncool*.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> I continued to participate as as activist in all of the *causes
du
>> > >jour*
>> > >> I
>> > >> >> supported gay rights and the *women's movement* (I loved the
>moving
>> > >women)
>> > >> >> and celebrated when birth control pills became available and
>> abortions
>> > >> >> became legal. I was active in the democratic party, watched as
the
>> > >various
>> > >> >> power brokers emerged in that party to *take care of* the Afro
>> American

>> > >> >> citizens
>> > >> >> and Mexican American farm workers. I had been gassed in anti-war
>> > >protests
>> > >> >> and had my feet firmly planted in the *hate America* movement.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> Anyway, by 1973 I had graduated from my college studies with a
>major
>> > >> >degree
>> > >> >> in political science with minor studies in psychology and
>economics.
>> > >This
>> > >> >> liberal arts education didn't really qualify me for any kind of
>> career
>> > >> >path
>> > >> >> but I was young, invincible, resourceful and I figured if I knew
>my
>> > >way
>> > >> >> around these things, I could pretty much make my way in the world.
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> The first thing I did was to take some time and go back down to
>> Mexico.
>> > >> I
>> > >> >> didn't want to be part of America anymore and I had seen a place
>> during
>> > >> my
>> > >> >> travels down there where I thought I might be happy. It was a
>> deserted
>> > >> >place
>> > >> >> with a *feeling* about it. I can't describe it, but others who
I
>> have
>> > >> met
>> > >> >> there who are more travelled than I am tell me they feel the same
>> kind
>> > >> of
>> > >> >> *energy* there that they have felt in places like Jerusalem,
>> Katmandhu,
>> > >> >> Mecca and other locations which are considered to be religious
>> > >> >destinations.
>> > >> >> In 1973, it was just a deserted beach with a little store owned
by
>> > a
>> > >> >Zapotec
>> > >> >> Indian named Ramon Aguilar Villalobos and this extended family,
two
>> > of
>> > >> >which
>> > >> >> were his in-laws, Manuel and Maria who basically founded this

>small
>> > >> >village
>> > >> >> back in the early 20th century and were very old. I spent a good
>> part
>> > >> of
>> > >> >my
>> > >> >> life in this place and lived with this family during those times
>> from
>> > >> 1973
>> > >> >> until 1990. Unfortunately, this place is nothing like it used
to
>be
>> > and
>> > >> >now
>> > >> >> even has websites dedicated to it, but I guess that's part of
the
>> story
>> > >> >> about this place.
>> > >> >> I am going to post a link to this place so that you can see it.
>You
>> > may
>> > >> >have
>> > >> >> been there or know people who have. There is more to this story
>but
>> > I
>> > >> have
>> > >> >> work to do today so I will stop now and continue later when I
have
>> > the
>> > >> >time.
>> > >> >> This story actually does lead somewhere that is relevant to this
>> > >> >> conversation. Sorry it has been so long but you have asked me
a
>> > >question
>> > >> >> that is valid, but not easily answered, so I'll continue later
if
>> you
>> > >> are
>> > >> >> interested. Though I haven't been there for a while, this was
my
>> home
>> > >> and
>> > >> >is
>> > >> >> still my second home-
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> I know a lot of the people in these pictures. I watched them grow
>up
>> > >and

>> news:42d45df1@linux...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > maybe im missing the irony or some linguistic fingerprint,
>> >> >> > but as far as im concerned, doug, no i dont understand you.
>> >> >> > reading the stereotype BS you spread, im frankly shocked,
>> >> >> > thats pretty much all there is to it. i definetly dont recognize
>> > you.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > maybe its because im a european hypocrite sitting on my ass
or
>> >> >> >something.
>> >> >> > cause thats the way we are, we "euros", right?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > *shakes head in disbelief*
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >> > >Yes I do. Unfortunately, we apparently understand each other.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >Regards,
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >DJ
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> > >news:42d45332\$1@linux...
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> you know how i meant it.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> whatever.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >It makes me sad too Derek. If the governments of Europe
>would
>> > >learn
>> > >> >from
>> > >> >> >the
>> > >> >> >> >mistakes of the past, it would make me less sad.
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> >> >"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> > >> >> >> >news:42d436c1\$1@linux...
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >doug,
>> > >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >>

>> >>> >> >> >> >> your fucked up picture of europe truly makes me sad.
>> >>> >> >> >> >> youve come a long way, really.
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> >> regards,
>> >>> >> >> >> >> derek
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> >> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> <http://www.rotten.com/library/history/war/wmd/fuel-air-explosion/>
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >Maybe this??? Lots less radioactivity.....but
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >seriously.....
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >you're right that Muslims are going to need to get real
>> >> >proactive
>> >>> >> >> >> > with
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >their
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >own. There's some pretty Hitlerian dialog going on in
>> Holland
>> >>> >these
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >days
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >about how to clean up the *Islamic problem*. It's funny
>> about
>> >>> how
>> >>> >> >> >> >> > the
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >Euro's
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >whine and bitch about how unfair and awful war is, then
>> when
>> >>> the
>> >>> >> chips
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >are
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >down.....bingo!!!! let's sit around on our collective
>> > >asses
>> >>> >and
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >watch
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >the Serbs dio a little ethnic housekeeping.....oh
>> shit!!!!
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >.....those
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >meddling Americans came along and saved the Muslims and
>> > >spoiled
>> >>> >all
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >our
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >fun.....but hell, let's go visit our buddy Sadaam
>> > and
>> >>> >pick
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >> > up

>> > >> >> > >> >> >> Ya know, as a side thought, for awhile there, I was
>> really
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> starting to feel like we made a mistake by going into
>> > >Iraq...
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> that we were hornswaggled, as they say - conned by
the
>> > >Iraqi's
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> who are NOW in power, and who had their own agenda
>> against
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> Saddam. Now, with these latest bombings, I'm back to
>> being
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> all about retribution, since massive force is the only
>> thing
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> these extremists seem to understand - or if they don't
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> understand it, at least we'll lower their numbers &
>limit
>>
>> > >their
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> capabilities by that method. So I'm now thinking that
a
>> > good
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> position would be: for every terrorist attack that
>occurs
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> against us or one of our allies, we should nuke an
>> Islamic
>> > >> >city.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> One bomb - done. Next? Oh you want to bomb another
>> embassy?
>> > >> OK,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> fine, there goes another Islamic city... bigger bomb
>this
>> > >time.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> Trust me, that kind of shit wouldn't last too long
>before
>> > we
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> had peace on earth & goodwill towards all men for a
>good
>> > >long
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> time.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> Neil
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> Neil
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> "Mike Audet" <mike@mike.....com> wrote:
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >

>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >Hi Mr. Simplicity,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >Unfortunately, Iraq is very much about oil for the
>US -
>> > big
>> > >> US
>> > >> >> > oil
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >companies.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> > And, leaving Iraqi oil in the ground, or burning
it
>in
>> > >bombed
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >pipelines
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >is exactly what they want to do. This whole war was
>> about
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >destabilizing
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >the Middle East so that oil prices would rise and
make
>> > >> >billions
>> > >> >> > for
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >George's
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >friends, screwing everyone else in the process.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >Osama has publicly said that his mission is to
>bankrupt
>> > the
>> > >> US
>> > >> >> > the
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >same
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >way
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >that he feels he bankrupted the USSR in Afghanistan
as
>> > >payback
>> > >> >> > for
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >Israeli/US
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >aggression in Lebanon in the 1980s. I'm not defending
>> > him
>> > >> or
>> > >> >> his
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >agenda
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >AT ALL, but we need to look at our enemies clearly
-
>and
>> > >our
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >so-called
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >friends
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >- if we're going to make good decisions.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >

>> > he's
>> > >> >> doing
>> > >> >> > >> a
>> > >> >> > >> >great
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >job.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >There are two things that most so-called conservatives
>> > >don't
>> > >> >> seem
>> > >> >> > >> to
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >consider.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> > One, if you don't redistribute a certain amount of
>> wealth
>> > >> >> through
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >taxation,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >it gets so concentrated that the economy collapses
and
>> > >> >> >nobodies
>> > >> >> > >wealth
>> > >> >> > >> >> is
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >worth anything anymore. Second, cutting taxes shrinks
>> > the
>> > >> >> economy.
>> > >> >> > >> >> Why?
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> > Because individuals, especially rich ones, don't
>spend
>> > >100%
>> > >> >> >of
>> > >> >> > >every
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >dollar
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >they earn - they save some of it. Governments
>generally
>> > >spend
>> > >> >> > >every
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> red
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >cent they bring in. So, when George cuts taxes for
>his
>> > >rich
>> > >> >> > >friends,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >the
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >US economy actually shrinks by the amount that people
>> save
>> > >> and
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >government
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >would have spent, further contributing the bankrupting
>> > of
>> > >> >> America.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >> >

>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >As a Canadian, I'm extremely worried about the
>direction
>> > >that
>> > >> >> the
>> > >> >> >> US
>> > >> >> >> >> is
>> > >> >> >> >> heading
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >in. Bush and the terrorists seem to have the same
>> agenda.
>> > >> >> There's
>> > >> >> >> no
>> > >> >> >> >> >success
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >for Canada if the US falls apart. I can't even tell
>you
>> > >how
>> > >> >> >> >heartbroken
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >and disappointed I was when George won the election.
>> I've
>> > >> >> always
>> > >> >> >> >> >believed
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >in and admired Americans - and I still do - but these
>> are
>> > >> very
>> > >> >> >> >worrisome
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >times.
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >My heart goes out to everyone who has been affected
by
>> > this
>> > >> >> >> >senselessness
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> > - American, British, Canadian, Iraqi, and everyone
>> else.
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >All the best,
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Mike Audet
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>Kim,
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>I hear your arguments and I respect your opinion.
I
>do
>> > >not,
>> > >> >> >> >however,
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >agree
>> > >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>with your opinion. Waiting any longer would have

>done
>> > no
>> >> >good
>> >>> >> > at
>> >>> >> >> all
>> >>> >> >>> >> >(my
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>opinion of course) and despite the glee that those
>> opposed
>> >>> to
>> >>> >> > the
>> >>> >> >> war
>> >>> >> >>> >> >feel
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>due to there having been no WMD's found, the delay
>> could
>> >>> have
>> >>> >> >easily
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>provided the time for disposing of them across the
>> border
>> >>> in
>> >>> >> Syria
>> >>> >> >> or
>> >>> >> >>> >> >> in
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >> >the
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>desolation of the Iraqi hinterland. I don't think
>this
>> > >story
>> >>> >is
>> >>> >> >over
>> >>> >> >>> >> >yet.
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >> >I
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>do think that those who dislike Bush desperately
want
>> > it
>> >>> to
>> >>> >be
>> >>> >> >over
>> >>> >> >>> >> >> so
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >> they
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>can point fingers and trumpet their riteousness,
all
>> the
>> >>> >while
>> >>> >> >>> >> >bellowing
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >>>that the war was about WMD's and there weren't any
>> found,
>> >>> >> >therefore,
>> >>> >> >>> >> >> the
>> >>> >> >>> >> >>> >> >war

>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>was unjustified. This is political spin at it's
>> absolute
>> > >> >lowest
>> > >> >> > >> >partisan
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>level. This war was about justifiably enforcing UN
>> > >> >resolutions
>> > >> >> > and
>> > >> >> > >> in
>> > >> >> > >> >> >doing
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>so, removing a bloodthirsty monster who was a proven
>> > >menace
>> > >> >to
>> > >> >> > >> >stability
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >in
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>the region. Would it have happened if 9-11 hadn't
>> > >happened?
>> > >> I
>> > >> >> > >don't
>> > >> >> > >> >> >know,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>but I think eventually Sadaam would have succeeded
in
>> > >> >shooting
>> > >> >> > >down
>> > >> >> > >> >> one
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >of
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>our aircraft that was enforcing the no-fly zone and
>we
>> > >would
>> > >> >> have
>> > >> >> > >> >> >done
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>something. Obviously the EU, Russia and the UN didn't
>> > >give
>> > >> >> a
>> > >> >> damn
>> > >> >> > >> >> >about
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>anything but oil. It's blatantly obvious that keeping
>> > >Sadaam
>> > >> >> in
>> > >> >> > >power
>> > >> >> > >> >> >was
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>all about oil. If this was about oil to us, I
>> guarantee
>> > >> >> we
>> > >> >> >> would
>> > >> >> > >> >> >have
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> half
>> > >> >> > >> >> >>a million men over there right now guarding the
>> pipelines

>> > >> co
>> > >> >> > >wanted
>> > >> >> > >> >> >Saddam
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> out not really so much because he was a bad man,
>but
>> > >> >because
>> > >> >> > >they
>> > >> >> > >> >> >noticed
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> Saddam was dealing with others, and figured
>"freeing"
>> > >Iraq
>> > >> >> would
>> > >> >> > >> >> >give
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >them
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> more oil power.
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>>
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> It really frustrates me that as I look around those
>> > >events,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >politically,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> every country pretty much seemed to be in it for
>> their
>> > >> own
>> > >> >> > >agenda,
>> > >> >> > >> >> >and
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >not
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> for peace. Indeed the same could be said for much
>of
>> > the
>> > >> >> public.
>> > >> >> > >> It
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >was
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>>obviouly
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> sold as anti-terror because GWB & co didn't think
>> "free
>> > >> >Iraq
>> > >> >> > >from
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >tyranny"
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> would sell because, simply put, a lot of the public
>> > >don't
>> > >> >> seem
>> > >> >> > >> to
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> care
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >if
>> > >> >> > >> >> >> >>> others in some other country miles away suffer,
so
>> you
>> > >> have
>> > >> >> > to

>> >>> >> >> tell
>> >>> >> >> >> >them
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> that Iraq pose a threat. See, if GWB hadn't lied
>> about
>> >>> that
>> >>> >> > I
>> >>> >> >> >*almost*
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>>would
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> have been on his side... though I still couldn't
>> have
>> >>> >> stomached
>> >>> >> >> >that
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >as
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> he was, to my mind, clearly in it for the oil.
I
>> think
>> >>> if
>> >>> >the
>> >>> >> >U.S.
>> >>> >> >> >> had
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>>waited
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> a little longer, and put a little more pressue
on
>the
>> >U.N.
>> >>> >> that
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >eventually
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> the U.N. would have gotten more behind it. I think
>> GWB
>> >>> & co
>> >>> >
>> >>> >> >> >actually
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >> >*didn't
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> want* the U.N. behind them, because by going alone
>> they
>> >>> >could
>> >>> >> > be
>> >>> >> >> in
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>>charge,
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> and that would give them more power politically
as
>> the
>> >>> >> >> country
>> >>> >> >> >> was
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>>restructured.
>> >>> >> >> >> >> >>> That's my beleif anyhow. I felt that it was only
a
>> >> >matter

> ****parked**** ****right**** ****here...**

thanks for the insightful input on the israel topic and the thoughts on force vs deescalation politics.
no, wait...

btw, that discussion is (or, since everybody avoids it, "would") even more interesting because each side also believes it was them who solved the cold war conflict (since you brought it up).

was it ronald reagan? was it gorbachov? or was it maybe the politics of ongoing dialogue from willy brandt in the 70s?
was it a little of everything? or was it all obsolete and the only reason the cold war ended was because the communism as a whole failed?

it could be an interesting discussion. at least more interesting than theorizing about whether "the euros got more cocky" or something. but to each his own.

but in case im wrong and your would find it interesting too, heres again the first statement i made about israel and force vs deescalation, inspired by your "nuke islam cities" suggestions.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>No, I'm not, but it made a lot of people think dinnit? Truly,
>if we did that, I think it would only take about three cities'
>worth before the Islams said "ENOUGH!"... give us a break!

israel has been trying this method for quite a while, just on a smaller scale. did it reduce terror over there? you tell me.

OTOH, there was this *one* time when they tried the deescalation method for a change. did it reduce terror? you bet it did.

too bad that some extremist religious fanatic asshole shot the man that was brave enough to try this. theyve been back to business as usual ever since (and its not working like always)Go to <http://tinyurl.com/73scq>

He's in pretty rough shape, and going through chemo.

Heartbreaking. One of the good guys, and one of the true geniuses in the industry.

pab

"Enjoy every sandwich." -- Warren Zevon

"Here at Microsoft, Quality is job, oh, I dunno, maybe 7 or 8?" "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6d181\$1@linux...

>

> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>>just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil

>>(who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city

>>for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so funny

>>ha ha) -

>

> Grow up... it wasn't a joke. If we fight these groups in a

> piecemeal fashion, then it'll go on forever. Same as if we had

> invaded Japan to try & end the war vs. what we ended up doing.

Well China agrees with you:

<http://news.ft.com/cms/s/28cfe55a-f4a7-11d9-9dd1-00000e2511c8.html>"derek"

<derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>btw, that discussion is (or, since everybody avoids it, "would")

>even more interesting because each side also believes it was

>them who solved the cold war conflict (since

>you brought it up).

>

>was it ronald reagan? was it gorbachov? or was it maybe the politics

>of ongoing dialogue from willy brandt in the 70s?

>was it a little of everything? or was it all obsolete and the

>only reason the cold war ended was because the communism as a whole failed?

Personally I think it was a combination of all those things.

My point about the Soviet tanks was that - regardless of how the end of the Cold War came about - it was American forces sitting a few yards away from the East German tower in that picture which prevented said tanks from rolling through there on any given Sunday afternoon. Ever since you guys don't need us anymore, it's been pretty much: "Fuck the Americans".

I think even you would admit that the place in that photo looks a helluva lot better now than it did then, doesn't it?

You're welcome.

I'll talk with you about Israel some other time.

Neil"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>btw, that discussion is (or, since everybody avoids it, "would")

>even more interesting because each side also believes it was

>them who solved the cold war conflict (since

>you brought it up).

>

>was it ronald reagan? was it gorbachov? or was it maybe the politics

>of ongoing dialogue from willy brandt in the 70s?

>was it a little of everything? or was it all obsolete and the

>only reason the cold war ended was because the communism as a whole failed?

Personally I think it was a combination of all those things.

My point about the Soviet tanks was that - regardless of how the end of the Cold War came about - it was American forces sitting a few yards away from the East German tower in that picture which prevented said tanks from rolling through there on any given Sunday afternoon. Ever since you guys don't need us anymore, it's been pretty much: "Fuck the Americans".

I think even you would admit that the place in that photo looks a helluva lot better now than it did then, doesn't it?

You're welcome.

I'll talk with you about Israel some other time.

Neilwow thats an amazing website too

"Paul Braun" <cygnus_diespamdie@ctgonline.org> wrote in message news:42d6dc8e.30226974@218.214.23.222...

> Go to <http://tinyurl.com/73scq>

>

> He's in pretty rough shape, and going through chemo.

>

> Heartbreaking. One of the good guys, and one of the true geniuses in

> the industry.

>

> pab

>

> "Enjoy every sandwich." -- Warren Zevon

>

> "Here at Microsoft, Quality is job, oh, I dunno, maybe 7 or 8?" "justcron"

<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>Well China agrees with you:

> <http://news.ft.com/cms/s/28cfe55a-f4a7-11d9-9dd1-00000e2511c8.html>

Well, of COURSE! What do you expect? You think someone's going to build some really cool blow-up-the-world sort of thingie & NOT want to use it?

I think the thing that can best illustrate what happens when we mess around with weapons we can't control is this portion of a script from "South Park":

begin paste

Butters: Enough! Uh I grow weary of your foolishness. Professor Chaos cannot be stopped!

Stan: Oh yeah?! Kenny! Use your ninja star!

Kenny: (Yeah! Take this, Professor Chaos!) [shows off an eight-pointed shuriken] (Feel my wrath!) [unleashes the shuriken, and it strikes Butters in the eye. Butters' helmet flies off, revealing his mohawk and the shuriken embedded in the bloody left eye. The music abruptly stops and the boys are shown in regular form. Butters looks at the boys and bawls]

Cartman: Oh, fuck dude!

Stan: [he and Kyle rush over to Butters] It's Butters. Oh my God! [the boys gather round Butters] Oh, dude! It's stuck in his eye!

Kyle: What the hell did you do that for, Kenny?!

Kenny: (I just threw it like Stan asked me.)

Butters: It hurts! It hurts! [continues bawling]

Kyle: Oh man! We are in serious trouble!

Stan: [tries to soothe Butters] Ssh sshhhh. It's okay, Butters. Calm down. It's not that bad, really.

Butters: [trying to catch his breath] But I... But I... But I can't see nothin'. I gotta go to the hospital!!! [Stan looks around nervously, with gritted teeth]

Stan: Okay okay, calm down, Butters! It'll be okay! [Butters composes himself and sobs softly, looking around from time to time for any sign of help]

Cartman: Guys, meeting over here for a second? [the boys move off and huddle] All right you guys, we need to stay calm and just do the right thing. We have to kill Butters and bury him in Kyle's backyard.

Stan: Dude, shut up!

Kyle: I agree with Cartman!

Stan: What??

Kyle: You don't understand what my mom will do to me if she finds out I was playing with weapons!

[a closeup of the shuriken lodged in Butters' left eye, moments later. Some pliers clamp on to it and the camera pulls back. Stan is holding the pliers and he tugs gently at the shuriken. Butters sits on a tree stump]

Stan: Just stay still, Butters.

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 21:44:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Butters: [Stan tugs again] Hwa. [Stan tugs harder] Hwa! [Stan tugs even harder]
Hwaaaaa!

Kyle: Stop dude! You're gonna scramble his brain!

Cartman: Go ahead and scramble it, then he won't remember it was us.

Butters: You guys can't fix my eyeball! You have to take me to the hospital!
[whimpers]

Kyle: If we take him to the hospital, they're gonna find out what happened.

Stan: God-damnit!

Kyle: [moves off] God? Please, if you get me out of this, I swear, I will never play with weapons ever again.

Cartman: [approaches] Don't be so quick to throw off your ninja responsibility, Kyle. [to the huddle] Now, come on guys, sure, it's easy to be a ninja when everything's going your way, but it's times like these, when the chips are down, that a ninja shows his true character.

Butters: Whoa, I'm getting woozy.

Cartman: Shut up, Butters. [to the other boys] Now, there's a way out of this. We just have to use our... ninja reasoning. [puts his fingertipss to his temples. Kenny touches his right temple, Stan his chin]

Stan: We... need a doctor... But we can't go to the hospital. Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait what about the veterinarian?

Cartman: Dr. Shafley?

Stan: He's really old and and goin blind.

Cartman: So if we make Butters up to look like a dog,...

end paste

:D"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6e653\$1@linux...

>

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>

>>Well China agrees with you:

>> <http://news.ft.com/cms/s/28cfe55a-f4a7-11d9-9dd1-00000e2511c8.html>

>

>

> Well, of COURSE! What do you expect? You think someone's going
> to build some really cool blow-up-the-world sort of thingie &
> NOT want to use it?

>

> I think the thing that can best illustrate what happens when we
> mess around with weapons we can't control is this portion of a
> script from "South Park":

Im not about to read that crap... enjoy.We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the Knowledge to

create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells int

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 21:46:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Il teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so funny
>ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the
>different concepts of brute force versus deescalation.
>brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a
>conflict ending concept.

Derek, I have to call you on this statement. You call nuking cities and Israel both examples of brute force. This is irrational, and I am surprised that you said it.

Given what they could do, Israel has shown amazing restraint in dealing with terrorism. They could have turned the palestinians into green glass by now, and instead have given them 95% of everything that they asked for in the negotiations under Clinton.

The reason Clinton failed at making peace was not brutality, nor warlikeness, it was the palestinian and arab's clear intent to wipe out israel and kill every single israeli.

If the palestinians want peace, they could have it at any t

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 21:53:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ime, but
they do not, despite the fact that palestinianians in Israel have more rights, more income, more personal dignity than they do in any other country in the middle east. It is hate, pure and simple.

If that hate and brutality was equal on the Israeli and US side, you would have seen Damascus, Tehran, and Baghdad turned into radioactive holes in the ground by now, and a clear threat of more should any more terrorist acts be committed. As Neil says, this is not a joke, and remains an option should we be placed under serious, sustained attack. I pray to God that it does not come to that, but we will not live under a Mullah. Will you?

How in hell do you de-escalate when dealing with 10th century lunatics who will kill children to get on the news? Well you don't, and even the best and brightest have failed at it.

You don't negotiate. You kill them. then you kill those other ones, and them, and those guys too, and you do it, as carefully as possible, until the survivors want peace.

If you say this doesn't work, you are not being honest. If you try to negotiate with terrorists you are a fool. If you try to understand their acts, you tilt on the edge of moral bankruptcy.

Peace starts with the desire for peace on

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 21:54:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

both sides.

DC"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>Personally I think it was a combination of all those things.

interesting. too bad you dont follow up on this.

> Ever since you guys don't need us anymore,
>it's been pretty much: "Fuck the Americans".

a convenient fantasy, but of course completely wrong. germany has followed US politics almost 100% until the second iraq war. as we speak, germany is among the biggest supporters of your forces in afghanistan. first iraq war, serbien, bosnien, albanien (dont know the english term for these nations), you name it, germany was there, usually sending lots of its ridiculous, mostly defensive military forces, but also being the biggest payer of all supporters to make up for that.

this support, as well as public opinion on the US as a whole, has been more or less constant all these years. the shift (both in politics as well as in public opinion) is directly connected to the second iraq war. you can search and find a lot of polls about that on www.spiegel.de (unfortunately in german, but i guess you could

also google for english versions of such polls in the unlikely ca

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 22:25:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

reaction to
911 wasn't debated at all - that was pretty exceptional, cause normally that's the way it goes in pacifistic cuddle-germany (TM).

to ignore a logical connection with the second Iraq war but draw a connection 16 years into the past is pretty artificial. of course neither I nor all numbers and public opinion polls in the world will change your opinion about this. but since you guys dig non-judgmentalism so much, I will make it a point to publicly call it "more bullshit" here for you. in my humble I-happen-to-live-in-the-place-you-talk-about-opinion of course.

>You're welcome.

see, that's the interesting discussion about "who or what political concept ended the cold war" I talked about. could have been nice. of course, by saying "you're welcome", you're taking the credit, thus I assume your opinion on this is that it was YOU, Neil aka the United States of America, that ended the cold war. you said something different in the beginning of your post, but whatever.

>I'll talk with you about Israel some other time.

funny how I saw that one coming...

have a nice day. No.....that's not what I said and you're obviously not getting this at all. Let's return to the original disagreement about stereotypes. Like it or not, they exist. they are the detrius of our respective cultural heritage. Whether this is considered to be good or bad is not even an issue. It just is reality. I'll guarantee you that the French have cultural differences and that based on those differences, certain assumptions can be made. Are you denying this?

I think they are pretty damned handy as I would certainly like to know a bit about the cultural background of person if he or she is Jewish or Muslim and I'm planning a dinner party with suckling pig as the main course.

As for your apparent unwillingness to accept what I have seen with my own eyes after it was pointed out to me by a bunch of people who had absolutely no preconceptions about the cultural proclivities of these individuals who happened to be Europeans and Americans all I can say is believe what you want.

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6d324@linux...

>

> or, in short: "i know europe - cause i know some europeans
> that live here in the US".

>

> that pretty much stands nicely by itself.

>

>

>

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong, but

> >these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe*"derek"

<derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>Personally I think it was a combination of all those things.

>

>

>interesting. too bad you dont follow up on this.

I will, don't have time to get into long posts right now - just little snippets here & there as I do other stuff - but I agree with you that it was not just one of those factors, but all of them combined to one degree or another.

>see, thats the interesting discussion about "who or what

>political concept ended the cold war" i talked about.

>could have been nice. of course, by saying "youre welcome",

>youre taking the credit, thus i assume your opinion on this

>is that it was YOU, neil aka the united states of america,
>that ended the cold war.

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 22:27:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>you said something different in the beginning of your post,
>but whatever.

I (on behalf of all the American forces who were over there at the time) am simply taking credit for PREVENTING ALL THOSE GODDAMN T-72's from coming across before the Cold War ended... as I said... and as you chose to ignore.

>>I'll talk with you about Israel some other time.
>
>
>funny how i saw that one coming...

Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask how you may help me?" "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6e6

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 22:40:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

everything that they asked for in the negotiations under Clinton.
>
>The reason Clinton failed at making peace was not brutality, nor
>warlikeness, it was the palestinian and arab's clear intent to wipe
>out israel and kill every single israeli.
>
>If the palestinians want peace, they could have it at any time, but
>they do not, despite the fact that palestinians in Israel have more
>rights, more income, more personal dignity than they do in any
>other country in the middle east. It is hate, pure and simple.
>

>If that hate and brutality was equal on the Israeli and US side,
>you would have seen Damascus, Tehran, and Baghdad turned into
>radioactive holes in the ground by now, and a clear threat of more
>should any more terrorist acts be committed. As Neil says, this
>is not a joke, and remains an option should we be placed under
>serious, sustained attack. I pray to God that it does not come to
>that, but we will not live under a Mullah. Will you?

>

>How in hell do you de-escalate when dealing with 10th century
>lunatics who will kill children to get on the news? Well you don't,
>and even the best and brightest have failed at it.

>

>You don't negotiate. You kill them. then you kill those other ones,
>and them, and those guys too, and you do it, as carefully as
>possible, until the survivors want peace.

>

>If you say this doesn't work, you are not being honest. If you try to
>negotiate with terrorists you are a fool. If you try to understand
>their acts, you tilt on the edge of moral bankruptcy.

>

>Peace starts with the desire for peace on both sides.

>

>DC

>This

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 22:49:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>

>>>Well China agrees with you:

>>> <http://news.ft.com/cms/s/28cfe55a-f4a7-11d9-9dd1-00000e2511c8.html>

>>

>>

>> Well, of COURSE! What do you expect? You think someone's going
>> to build some really cool blow-up-the-world sort of thingie &
>> NOT want to use it?

>>

>> I think the thing that can best illustrate what happens when we
>> mess around with weapons we can't control is this portion of a
>> script from "South Park":

>

>Im not about to read that crap... enjoy.

Crap? For a downtrodden-defending, blunt-smoking, ethnic-
diversity-supporting liberal like yourself, that's kind of an
elitist comment, don't you think?"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message

news:42d6f064\$1@linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>
>>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6e653\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Well China agrees with you:
>>>> http://news.ft.com/cms/s/28cfe55a-f4a7-11d9-9dd1-00000e2511c8.html
>>>
>>>
>>> Well, of COURSE! What do you expect? You think someone's going
>>> to build some really cool blow-up-the-world sort of thingie &
>>> NOT want to use it?
>>>
>>> I think the thing that can best illustrate what happens when we
>>> mess around with weapons we can't control is this portion of a
>>> script from "South Park":
>>
>>Im not about to read that crap... enjoy.
>
> Crap? For a downtrodden-defending, blunt-smoking, ethnic-
> diversity-supporting liberal like yourself, that's kind of an
> elitist comment, don't you think?

Wow, nice string of names dude.... thats how you conduct discourse?

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 22:54:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
Asshole.your statement completely ignores my very point, the
early nineties, mr. rabin, the one point in history
where they were as close to solving the conflict as they were
never before and after. arafat actually had power because he could
show his people results, so he could actually effectively
prevent terror, and hear hear, terror was on a all time low.

but thats not worth mentioning with even one single word huh?

your basically ignoring my very point. q.e.d.

oh, and about the option to just nuke the palestinans to hell,

well im sure the jewish seddlers would have been very amused, and of course isreal wouldnt mind poisoning their own country by dropping a couple of nukes in the direct neighbourhood of their sacred city and land.

thats about as realistic as milwaukee reserving the right to nuke chicago in case of ongoing disagreement. very good. im sure chicago is very thankful that they didnt do it to this day.

oh, and about the "will you live under a mullah", well, of course not. youre missing the point. we all have the same goals. we only disagree about what is the best path to these goals. its a shame that there seems to be NO way at all to have a basic discussion about the possible different paths to this goal and it all automatically leads to the auto-anti-liberal-blah routine. here i am, writing about how i do NOT think that george bush is the devil, how i do believe we all have the same goals, how i am only interested in a general force vs deescalation discussion again and again, starting with the example of mr. rabin, the only man known to me that ever managed to *reduce terror* in israel by a significant amount. i find that really interesting. and until now, not ONE SINGLE WORD about that in all responses. NOT ONE.

instead i get some "you hate americans so much" type of antiliberal standard blah blah. its ridiculous, really.

but then, i can take that as an answer just as well.

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>

>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>

>>just note how many people have responded to my reply to neil

>>(who was so proud of his very original "nuke a moslem city

>>for every terror attack and itll teach them" joke, ha ha oh its so funny

>>ha ha) - israel is a prime example where you can compare the

>>different concepts of brute force versus deescalation.
>>brute force had about 40 years to prove itself as a
>>conflict ending concept.
>
>
>Derek, I have to call you on this statement. You call nuking cities
>and Israel both examples of brute force. This is irrational, and I
>am surprised that you said it.
>
>Given what they could do, Israel has shown amazing restraint in
>dealing with terrorism. They could have turned the palestinians
>into green glass by now, and instead have given them 95% of
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:06:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is a multi-part message in MIME format.
-----030201060209090509080105
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

justcron wrote:

>Im not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few
>questions....
>
><http://politicalcompass.org>
>
>I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the
>social scale and to the left on the economic scale
>
>
>
>
Well, a day late..... looks like I'm Nelson Mandella.....
Mike

-----030201060209090509080105
Content-Type: image/gif;
name="cross.gif"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: inline;
filename="cross.gif"

ROIgODIhFQAVAOcAAAAAAAEBAQICAgMDAwQEBAUFBQYGBgcHBwgICakJCQoK

CgsLCwwMDA0N
DQ4ODg8PDxAQEBERERISEhMTEExQUFBUVFRYWFhcXFxgYGBkZGRoaGhsbGxwc
HB0dHR4eHh8f
HyAgICEhISiiliMjlyQkJCUIJSYmJicnJygoKCKpKSoqKisrKywsLC0tLS4u Li8vLzAwMDEx
MTlyMjMzMzQ0NDU1NTY2Njc3Nzg4ODk5OT06Ojs7Ozw8PD09PT4+Pj8/P0BA
QEFBQUJCQKND
Q0REREVFRUZGRkdHR0hISEIJSUpKSkLS0xMTE1NTU5OTk9PT1BQUFFRUVJS
UINTU1RUVFVV
VVZWVldXV1hYWFIZWVpaWltbW1xcXF1dXV5eXI9fX2BgYGFhYWJiYmNjY2Rk
ZGVIZWZmZmdn
Z2hoaGlpaWpqamtra2xsbG1tbW5ubm9vb3BwcHFxcXJycnNzc3R0dHV1dXZ2 dnd3d3h4eHI5
eXp6ent7e3x8fH19fX5+fn9/f4CAglGBgYKCgoODg4SEhIWFhYaGhoeHh4il ilmJiYqKiouL
i4yMjl2NjY6Ojo+Pj5CQkJGRkZKSkpOTk5SUIJWVIZaWlpeXI5iYmJmZmZqa mpubm5ycnJ2d
nZ6en+fn6CgoKGhoaKioqOjo6SkpKWlpaampqenp6ioqKmpqaqqqqurq6ys rK2tra6urq+v
r7CwsLGxsbKysrOzs7S0tLW1tba2tre3t7i4uLm5ubq6uru7u7y8vL29vb6+ vr+/v8DAwMHB

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:08:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
wcLCwsPDw8TEExMXFxcbGxsfHx8jlyMnJycrKysvLy8zMzM3Nzc7Ozs/Pz9DQ 0NHR0dLS0tPT
09TU1NXV1dbW1tfX19jY2NnZ2dra2tvb29zc3N3d3d7e3t/f3+Dg4OHh4eLi 4uPj4+Tk5OXI
5ebm5ufn5+jo6Onp6erq6uvr6+zs7O3t7e7u7u/v7/Dw8PHx8fLy8vPz8/T0 9PX19fb29vf3
9/j4+Pn5+fr6+vv7+/z8/P39/f7+/v///yH+FUNyZWF0ZWQgd2l0aCBUaGUg R0INUAAsAAAA
ABUAFQAACEQA/wkcSBAAwYMIDxpMyLBgw4f/FkJMKHGiQosUMSKsqDFiR4cN
AYgcSbKkyYcc
NabEuNJiy4kvlcZE+XHgzJA1BcYMCAA7
-----030201060209090509080105--You mean there's a Garden of Eden around here
somewhere?

Don

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d6eab0@linux...

> We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the Knowledge
> to create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells into monkeys. It
> will be no surprise when we get kicked out of our Garden of Eden by God or
> Nature.
>still is... for now.

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d6f467@linux...

> You mean there's a Garden of Eden around here somewhere?

>
> Don
>
> &qu

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:14:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ot;justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d6eab0@linux...
>> We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the Knowledge
>> to create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells into monkeys. It
>> will be no surprise when we get kicked out of our Garden of Eden by God
>> or Nature.
>>
>
>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6f064\$1@linux...
>>
>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6e653\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Well China agrees with you:
>>>>> <http://news.ft.com/cms/s/28cfe55a-f4a7-11d9-9dd1-00000e2511c8.html>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Well, of COURSE

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:16:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

! What do you expect? You think someone's going
>>>> to build some really cool blow-up-the-world sort of thingie &
>>>> NOT want to use it?
>>>>
>>>> I think the thing that can best illustrate what happens when we
>>>> mess around with weapons we can't control is this portion of a
>>>> script from "South Park":
>>>
>>>Im not about to read that crap... enjoy.
>>
>> Crap? For a downtrodden-defending, blunt-smoking, ethnic-
>> diversity-supporting liberal like yourself, that's kind of an
>> elitist comment, don't you think?
>
>Wow, nice string of names dude.... thats how you conduct discourse?

>
>Asshole.

Errmmmm... those weren't cut-downs.

Bostonian.

(though THAT might be considered one LOL)(sigh).....and furthermore, whether you want it to be about

Iraq.....everything is about Iraq.....and was for a long time before we took Sadaam out if the premise of the United Nations being a viable entity has any validity at all. I appreciate that Germany supported the US during the time that Bill Clinton was sitting on his ass allowing us to be dealt under the table by the French, Russians and the UN bureaucrats. Germany did support France when it insisted it would block US efforts to use force to disarm Iraq. The whole ridiculous premise of this was that somehow the inspectors would be effective when for 10 years Iraq had been obstructing them. Villepin actually said, and I quote....."It is important that Europe speaks on this issue with a single voice." "We are mobilized; we believe war can be avoided."while France was buying Iraqi oil under the table. Gerhard Shroeder found the antiwar line to be a convenient reelection tool which tells me a lot. His words of support for America were "Do not expect that Germany will agree to a resolution that legitimizes war" while conveniently forgetting that the war, which Germany legitimized by virtue of it's participation in 1991 had not ended as long as Sadaam was in violation of the UN sanctions.

Regards,

Doug

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42d6ed96@linux...

> No.....that's not what I said and you're obviously not getting this at
> all. Let's return to the original disagreement about stereotypes. Like it
or

> not, they exist. they are the detrius of our respective cultural heritage.
> Whether this is considered to be good or bad is not even an issue. It just
> is reality. I'll guarantee you that the French have cultural differences

> and that based on those differences, certain assumptions can be made. Are
> you denying this?

>

> I think they are pretty damned handy as I would certainly like to know a
bit

> about the cultural background of person if he or she is Jewish or Muslim
and

> I'm planning a dinner party with suckling pig as the main course.

>

> As for your apparent unwillingness to accept what I have seen with my own
> eyes after it was pointed out to me by a bunch of people who had
absolutely

> no preconceptions about the cultural proclivities of these individuals who

> happened to be Europeans and Americans all I can say is believe what you

> want.

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6d324@linux...

> >

> > or, in short: "i know europe - cause i know some europeans
> > that live here in the US".

> >

> > that pretty much stands nicely by itself.

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> > >Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong, but
> > >these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe*

>

>nah, i didnt ignore it.

just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of course

that includes, on behalf of all american

forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for

the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation

politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and

the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank

me too now?

as to the rest, whats there to say when you completely
ignore all

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:24:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good. thanks.

yah... Neil is a regular comedian...This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=_linux42d6f95c
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Send me your email address, and I'll get back with you.

DC

So you like the 'Ooo huh?

Here's a rig for you!

"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>In a whole new world, brotha.... I think I am waiting on the wrong side
of
>Styx some days.. drop me an e, we'll catch up. Anyway, funny you should
>mention the who and be talking about guitars. We strike the same chord on
>that one (hey, I'm a pun-nee guy), I've been getting back into playing live
>guitar again here recently and one of our new additions will be Who Are
You.
>That pick guard is pretty inspiring, I may have to get something custom
and
>cool like that. Unfortunately it won't work on my main axe, it's a modified
>RG570 - no guard. However, something like that in a thinner plastic would
>look totally slammin on my live drum kit.....hmmmm.....
>
>AA

---=_linux42d6f95c
Content-Type: image/jpeg; name="SG & HW.JPG"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="SG & HW.JPG"

/9j/4AAQSkZJRgABAgEASABIAAD/4Rb2RXhpZgAASUkqAAgAAAALAA4BAgAg
AAAkgAAA8BAgAFAAAsgAAABABAqAKAAAAtwAAABIBAwABAAAAAQAAABoB

himself? Evidence?

>instead i get some "you hate americans so much" type
>of antiliberal standard blah blah. its ridiculous, really.

Never said that. I always approach europeans with an open mind, hoping to find a new perspective. I had many german friends in college and took german for a year. I am inclined to listen and like europeans as long as they are willing to understand our side.

You will have your own opportunity to show your more enlightened solutions, I am sure. This is likely to get worse before it gets better.

Here is why appeasement is more likely to end in nuclear war than is the war on terror. Euros in particular, because war has become unthinkable to them, are more likely to wait too long to respond, and then find themselves in such desperate conditions, tactically, that there are no other options but massive destruction. Fight them there, or fight them here. You pick, but it can happen to you too.

So tell me, what part of Rabin's plans would you use today to de-escalate?

DC"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6f7ed\$1@linux...

> "Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
>>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
>>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
>>how you may help me?"
>
>
> hahaha. i didnt ge

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:40:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mZjshzi51jK7CXO+k9/8y6zc/wCm53qK8czHfYH0w+kO0EVvxcXaNu3bs+z9
Qr/PFtjv9I/lu9T9DXi149bKLsrHyMUPwcajJDRsoZQAzbbZI/oPUzbH0+pb
bsta1/8ARq6cf+aprTTvt9dE/VV+F0rc+t/UMvHLHObZX6GQXh0+9thuY61r
/wB6tyCem9ADIPUch8awcV7SP+uNpa/+wnvqLrHOrfS2skNZuvoDoDRW3cG2
ua36P5jrPT/0iH6T5BNmPI/4en/yaJhE7/sQDWzrfV+zG6RmY+V0w2ZZszMf
Fzd9Tt7abhbZT9ixxX69trPs+Xda/wD4iv7Nb+kXSW4+HlfWerrhZmNx8CgY

wxBhWe4ObkP99Vgbk+l6mX/gcSzH9Fn85/Oelz/1Yo/XcXc6t89VwP5t7LIL
KOqWDd6bnbP7av8AUczEP156Zn+uRjN6V632iHiWGvqFu7Zs9Z3s/RbPR9X/
AAfpep+jTCBt00CRE99H/9DY67mWZD+IMdEN6x06IM/4R/8ALd/1C80e9uNi
vuc0vFJLdgMfn+n9JzXLsfrP1p2CaGU0WZWTj342fprU0Uv9euq17fVs33t/
7ar9P99cV1XO6RYy6vCx89jnO3U3X30u0L/U3XYuPhVOre9rf5v7Z/wN82IA
kWiQ1dN+DvbKjHzG25OLZbvprFnnpHx6PtmRl15sMptr/wC07Mf0V/m79/o
oe/C3XNrzqXMps2etZXksa5hA9DJ/QY+XZX67vb9ntrq9H9F+lyf0v2ax0jq
oZ0bl995NuVY84rnlTXWUOoqe2yk1ZX2n2vrtZf6/TPsNrPXptf/NZnWMjG
yc3KcMfJyrL8p132iwAOG4AuqNGP+reva9z/ALb/AMJXV9k+zM9T1XAmo9Cu
klcWSvIHf4/p+aX7v8Afbu6sPyA7Jxw3FDfWtLc0NDnOdV6dn+TvUqsrezY
71m1fT/Rep+kQrcg1U32PfS6ygMccb9YzBZXyKn15NXr49bPQc2//CfpP8J6
fpW1PWfurO8jptwLidu0P/QgEnbj+p6n0m+2z7X9q/4NQDaw1zT069wMAFwd
LDx+iJa3v/h2X/4NHXv+DHQbf7TvfJmWYj6jSGuvLnPitjixjbrttL3Nq/S1
f9uM/wBlrDn3NtbW+lzJufjucfWG22postrs9fGx276936Srd61f+jWZY6sv
Lv2bbS0tAFDPU9MCPpj1jZkbnub6n9l/nP5tHryP1lt12BmODbDYaabHsBNj
XNc7dIV57vVd+j/S/wCEqq/66lZ7qoPWfVauxwZ6dnpWv6lUyq4tD/TsGB1P

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:42:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

07/S9vq+hZYy30v8LsRMvH6m764Yel3qBHVg9MDH9S2u1t9HNf62yPU/m3Mr
9X+c/wC1H/Bqn0Hq2PTfjmnFtox6sg5Vxuvbda532d+ExmO5uPgVMZR9p/WP
U/P9P3q3fn0/8+qM4vHpHBhr/fBe3FvY+v6Hr723WMZ/N+r702W478QChvXg
/wD/0ecy39bbmZNIffmNIC7JvfY/Krqfa57LfS93q1F2z21bfRe/G/wC2/wBH
UtzfrGxgD6sNwcXERi45JO5r3x+g3O9+z/g1sZjfSzcotmG5uaJkEhxvfX2O
71Njfo2+/wD7cVS0vD4Lp9w3ET2O1rma+3lzP3FINhvss6nzdH6idPZk05f7
Sqx5dk44DHfQLWi26WsqdSx36R9X6Rn/FrKvb1ivNyqcJ+DTTXc+qqs49T3
MZVY5lc3Oxbn/mtd9ofb62R/OWWWLqPqKN1NtDfT9blyR6Vdm8H9HU39lba/
oVVtY/fW9zLP9EsPLAdmXkRtdfa3Qlo2utf72N/rVJDtf4oLWbkwqyNuT0/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dHfvs9yqEfrriNsbqWusMzqc1rdrPU/k+7/0X/hbQ2lpc5zS10lx4Gurvonz

bu/4z0fYqjnH9pbCJeX1bXl8EFozQ7bpuc129tu//wBWJH9qK3D/AP/Twsvl
xsvLzcnDsbdi35ley0B7Wu/QNa/+dqx3N9F3q+p7f+N/R+jbbTcS924OMaOL
wRIPH+EO3fu/cs97PYypU+hv/UHAD3sefo6Ehw03f1HN/wBbFdLLG7HFpDXT
6ekH2H0y+pn0/TZZ+ir9Rv8AOU5NFf6fFv8ATkGw8gs6l6PoOIMjpVTzUXCz
KtE+jVeJmWx9qw7rX772sxdvr+x932n0WUUWrFqyAM+npz7cXCruc5uRI7v
SaRZZX6L3UWVVVs9jrG+x/6X/gP0lev0OmmzpdJlpc9z3kOs2OeD6+2amuwc
v1/b+j/S2Xfq6b0qbVlsteBtqcWs9xcdYIP9X6G7+RV6f8ApUeijoyxrMN7
a3WZ2Ay25sPqDGua3Y7FFo9SszqNW30rL7tnqejVk09PyLceyyi2n1pvt6aNk
dYwnVbS59zaHFwebKqW1sofmNyH7qfWY9/o1+n9n9L/DUoVeTa1/gSSYnaZY
D9N4L/5lft8AU/8APiKbjoWvs3NLpLpM/Sc1rXO2bnD/wBSJV4osdkLbqj1
C3GovozcRIW9mXj12Ut9Ulgxf0jn7tvuf7PU9Vn6T+ocjc8gtaSSPE8Et21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Iviqu5Ueh/YqnW3Wi80tdaxtTrG0t9rd+6q+j9J7v8ADt9D/rnprGxhYc2u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Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Don

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:51:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

85@linux..." target="_blank">42d6f585@linux...

> still is... for now.

>

> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d6f467@linux...

>> You mean there's a Garden of Eden around here somewhere?

>>

>> Don

>>

>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

>> news:42d6eab0@linux...

>>> We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the Knowledge

>>> to create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells into monkeys. It

>>> will be no surprise when we get kicked out of our Garden of Eden by God

>>> or Nature.

>>>

>>

>>

>

>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>

>nah, i didnt ignore it.

>just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of course

>that includes, on behalf of all american

>forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for

>the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation

>politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and

>the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank

>me too now?

Yes, in fact, I will... thank you & your countrymen for your hospitality while I was over there. Most of the Germans I met were pretty cool folks - some were total shitheads & hated Americans..

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:53:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I choose not to stereotype the entire population based on those few. Thanks for putting up with our lame attempts to gain at least some semblance of fluency in your language. LOL At least I got good enough at it so that when I was in New York about a year ago & sitting in the hotel bar waiting to meet someone, two ladies were speaking in German at the table next to me, I was able to recognize the particular accent/dialect & I said: "You're from Mainz, aren't you?" and they said: "Wiesbaden" (which for those of you who don't know, but may be reading this, is right across the river from Mainz). And thanks also for the great beer, and the eiswein, and the kick-ass trains that get you nearly anywhere you want to go quite easily, and thanks for the C-class coupe that I drive right now... we don't build cars that are t

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:55:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hat good here.

All I wanted was "thanks for no tanks!", and you're still missing that point... I never said it was America alone that was responsible for ending the cold war. Our presence on your border was 100% responsible for the Soviets staying closer to Halberstadt than Herzberg, and closer to Bad Langensalza than Bad Kissingen before said cold war came to a close... that'

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:59:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

's pretty impressive"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d70073\$1@linux...

woah you type pretty fast...

> Ok, I believe you. I am neither a drunk nor a pothead BTW, and
> lived through the 60's as a teenager, and used no drugs at all.

Thats truly impressive, although I'm curious about the ambuity of the 'no drugs' thing whether that includes alcohol or not. To me alcohol is a much more powerful drug than reefer. I cant even drink anymore because during

the work day I'll just be thinking "I cant wait to get out of work and have a drink". I haven't had a drink since my 20s....

- > That doesn't make me better, it just shows that it can be done.
- > And, there's a lot more of us than Rolling Stone mag would have you
- > believe. My dad hung with Frank Sinatra before the famous
- > Paramount show that launched his career. I was not raised by
- > prudes or victorians. He told me that dope makes you stupid, and
- > I have never seen any evidence to prove otherwise.

Fair enough... especially if you think I'm stupid.

- > There's a whole underground of people who just love the music,
- > not the drugs, not the bling, not the pussy, just the music.
- >
- > You should join us.

But I need ONE crutch... I have reduced my smoking from daily to once a week or so, and am pretty much just addicted to lifting weights.

>>Regarding 'figger[ing] out how the world

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:16:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- actually works', I truly believe
- >
 - >>that Bush and associates are profiting heavily off the war, and I think
 - > it's
 - >>one of the main factors in going to war. While you guys are making
 - >>jokes,
 - >
 - >>I'm actually trying to learn, and the more I learn the more outraged I am.
 - >
 - >
 - >
 - > Ok, here's the short version. Most business people are the salt
 - > of the earth. They work harder, live more ethically, give more, and
 - > do more for others than any 100 rock stars. There are scumbags,
 - > just like in the music biz, but they almost always get caught and pay
 - > for it, as the line of scumbags from Enron and Worldcom who are
 - > stocking up on prison lube shows.

I believe you're definitely right... especially people who are truly successful... 3% of the population type rarity. I dont believe Bush Jr falls in that category, however.

> 2. Bush is who he appears to be, and he believes what he says, and
> does things for the reasons he says he does them. You don't have
> to agree with him, but he is too stubborn and convinced of his
> beliefs to start wars from greed. He believes in freedom, and
> intends to leave Iraq a functioning democracy.

I wish I could believe that... I really do.

> 3. Halliburton and other contractors are risking an enormous amount
> and the lives of their people, to help rebuild Iraq. They should make
> good money at it. if they are cheating we will probably catch them
> at it.

True... it is dangerous as hell. Even the US soldiers resent the
contractors because of the compensation. I'd tell you that Halliburton
'misplaced' NINE BILLION dollars, but you would probably think I'm out to
lunch.

> 4. Conspiracies are damn hard to keep hidden for any long period
> of time. This is why I believe Oswald killed Kennedy, despite the
> fact that I bought the Oliver Stone version many years ago.
> Something would have come out by now if it was a big conspiracy.
> Oswald was a communist sympathizer who, probably with some
> help from cuba, took revenge for the Bay of Pigs. Bill Clinton swore
> to get to the bottom of the Kennedy assassination, and found
> nothing.

That could support or invalidate your premise. I think Oswald killed him
too.

> There are conspiracies, but without evidence, they are just
> silliness and hallucinogenic party chatter.

No, they're either not a conspiracy or a secret. I have no doubt
conspiracies can exist through. Sometimes you only get tidbits like World
Trade Center 7, but unless it hits Fox News, most people won't pay attention,
so it's ALMOST like there's no conspiracy. Cute. Take an account of an observation about behavior
of a bunch of folks
from different countries th

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:23:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t. i can
> only recommend visiting other countries cause its very

> interesting and broadens the horizon. alas, i feel with
> your set-in-stone picture of europe, europe probably wont
> look very attractive to you for now. i suggest japan then.
>
>
>
>
> >As for your apparent unwillingness to accept what I have seen with my own
> >eyes after it was pointed out to me by a bunch of people who had
absolutely
> >no preconceptions about the cultural proclivities of these individuals
who
> >happened to be Europeans and Americans all I can say is believe what you
> >want.
>
>
> a nice long sentence. you want the right to make assumptions
> about countries youve never been to even for 5 minutes.
> heck, screw that, assumptions is too soft a word, you think
> thats enough knowledge so that you can come up with
> cool catchy terms like "those hypocrite euro socialist jerks".
>
> well then, my diagnosis stays the same. you have changed. mr simplicity
indeed.
> if it makes you feel better.I think you guys are right. This computer is way to lame. I need to
build a
new one.

So can you guys give me a list of components that work well with Paris, I
can have it built but I need a list of components.

Like Mother Board... etc

I plan to use a a wave terminal 192x for sound as I have many virtual
instruments.

Spappy"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>Regarding 'figger[ing] out how the world actually works', I truly believe

>that Bush and associates are profiting heavily off the war, and I think
it's
>one of the main factors in going to war.

So If I'm doing well, and most of the pople I know are doing
well... is it because of the war, and therefore we are evil
(even though we have nothing to do with military or oil
contracts)?
And if a few of the people I know aren't doing so well, is that

because of the war too? Which is it? If the war is bankrupting the country apart from Bush cronies, then everyone would have to be doing poorly except for those people... I mean, c'mon now, the war's been going on for long enough to where we ALL should be feeling it if that were the case, right?

I think it's simply that one tends to know more people that are in similar economic situations to what they're in, than they know those that are in different ones. If you yourself aren't doing too well, then yeah it's going to seem to you like the majority of people are not, because you know more people that are in the same situation as yourself. That ain't class warfare, that's what you call "neighbors".

My personal point of view is skewed too - in my case towards people who are in similar situations as mine, so let's look at the facts & stats instead:

*** Retail sales are up compared to last year - in June:

*Wal-Mart (lets start with the biggest retailer, right?) had a 4.6% gain & their Sam's Club division had a 4.0% gain.

*Target was up even higher... +9.0%

*Kohl's came in at +14.4% over the same month last year.

*Federated (as a group) +2.9%

*Nordstroms = +8.1%

*JC Penney was up by 7.4%

*TJX

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:30:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

or wait until

> they solve your problems, because it's never gonna happen. The
> best we can hope for is that they don't throw too many
> obstacles in our way, and at least so far, that hasn't occurred
> yet with this administration.

Gimme a break... I've been publishing news articles since November on all this stuff:

<http://scamusa.com>"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>I received a mix from a local AE a few days ago and I'm totally mind
>boggled.

>

>There are instruments and vocals placed outside the normal stereo
>image...like 12" outside of the speakers.

>

>I was wondering if any of you might know how this was done...he swears no

>esoteric plugins were used in the creation of this effect.

Perhaps a Bedini Audio-Spatial Environment?

Please post it if you are able to do so. I wanna hear!

Neil"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>>right Derek. Everyone misses the point except you...

what a stupid start for an actually very constructive and good post ;-)

seriously, thanks for the post (which i really liked).

im not saying that everyone misses the point. but everyone was avoiding this point like the plague, just until now. thanks for breaking with that tradition.

>The only problem with your theory is the fact that Arafat was one of
>the chief terrorists, utterly corrupt, and a major part of the problem.

absolutely right. arafat was a terrorist. PLO *leader*.

he was that conflicts osama bin laden, if you want.

it makes rabins experiment to reach out for this guy all the more scary and unreal in a way, huh? so youre absolutely right about this, and i completely agree with you.

>Doesn't your point rely on Arafat not being a terrorist

>himself? Evidence?

no, my point doesnt rely on arafat being not a terrorist.

he was a terrorist. my point relies on *snare roll* the selfish nature of man. the whole trick was to give arafat a scenario that was more attractive to him than the current situation. works like a charm, every time.

arafat, at that time the closest thing there was to

"leader of palaestina", did gain (even more) popularity and power through the peace process. as long as he could come to his people and show them negotiation results, they followed him.

rabin was VERY c

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:31:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

That list encompassed a good combination of high-end and discount retailers alike... so it's not just certain sectors that are doing well, either.

>While you guys are making jokes,
>I'm actually trying to learn, and the more I learn the more outraged I am.

Well, then BE outraged! But DO something about it... get out there & make something happen for Justin Cron... nobody's holding you back! Don't rely on the government, or wait until they solve your problems, because it's never gonna happen. The best we can hope for is that they don't throw too many obstacles in our way, and at least so far, that hasn't occurred yet with this administration.

Neil

>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d7090e@linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>Regarding 'figger[ing] out how the world actually works', I truly believe
>
>>that Bush and associates are profiting heavily off the war, and I think
> it's
>>one of the main factors in going to war.
>
> So If I'm doing well, and most of the pople I know are doing
> well... is it because of the war, and therefore we are evil
> (even though we have nothing to do with military or oil
> contracts)?
> And if a few of the people I know aren't doing so well, is that
> because of the war too? Which is it? If the war is bankrupting
> the country apart from Bush cronies, then everyone would have
> to be doing poorly except for those people... I mean, c'mon
> now, the war's been going on for long enough to where we ALL
> should be feeling it if that were the case, right?

wow you really are a fucking comedian today.

> I think it's simply that one tends to know more people that are
> in similar economic situations to what they're in, than they
> know those that are in different ones. If you yourself aren't
> doing too well, then yeah it's going to seem to you like the

- > majority of people are not, because you know more people that
- > are in the same situation as yourself. That ain't class
- > warfare, that's what you call "neighbors".
- >
- > My personal point of view is skewed too - in my case towards
- > people who are in similar situations as mine, so let's look at
- > the facts & stats instead:
- > *** Retail sales are up compared to last year - in June:
- > *Wal-Mart (lets start with the biggest retailer, right?) had a
- > 4.6% gain & their Sam's Club division had a 4.0% gain.
- > *Target was up even higher... +9.0%
- > *Kohl's came in at +14.4% over the same month last year.
- > *Federated (as a group) +2.9%
- > *Nordstroms = +8.1%
- > *JC Penney was up by 7.4%
- > *TJX (TJ Maxx, Marshalls) +3%
- > *Ross stores +6.0%
- > *And General Motors - finally having discovered a way to price
- > their vehicles at a fair value for the product (the 'employee
- > pricing' plan) sold FOURTY-ONE FREAKIN' PERCENT more cars &
- > trucks than in June of last year. Now some may say this just
- > pushed some cars that would have been sold later on in the year
- > into June, but even if that's the case... IF THE ECONOMY IS
- > GOING BANKRUPT, HOW ARE PEOPLE BUYING ALL THESE CARS???

Are you nuts. People are up to their eyeballs in DEBT. The banks have totally taken over! most people have no wealth, although fewer and fewer have more and more. Theres economic reasons for that, and I'm not advocating some communist/socialist bullshit, but at the same time we are a society in addition to being an economy.

- > That list encompassed a good combination of high-end and
- > discount retailers alike... so it's not just certain sectors
- > that are doing well, either.
- >
- >>While you guys are making jokes,
- >>I'm actually trying to learn, and the more I learn the more outraged I am.
- >
- > Well, then BE outraged! But DO something about it... get out
- > there & make something happen for Justin Cron... nobody's
- > holding you back! Don't rely on the goverment,

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:53:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the same

time giving arafat enough to present a negotiation path to his people that seemed promising enough to keep the power, which was also the power to at least mostly keep the terror under control. of course, that was when the terror really was still mostly PLO business.

it looked all very promising. PLO was da shit, hamas was not, and arafat was powerful (and even determined) enough to just chase and kill hamas people. it was the last time arafat could actually claim to have an influence on whether there would be terror or not, at least a very very big influence on how much terror there would be.

i was very impressed by rabin. of course, the timing and surrounding was right, and its very ironic but also absolutely true that only an ex general on the one side and the terrorist leader on the other side had enough credit from their people to go through with this, since the people would only trust guys like these to be acting in their own countrys interest.

enter religious idiot stage right - boom. oh man.

>What was wrong, exactly with the plan proposed by Clinton?
>Ok, so what were the substantive differences between what Rabin >was offering, and what Clinton brokered? Were they not nearly >identical?

the problem was not with clinton. clinton didnt do much more or much less than what george bush (the father) did before. dont overestimate the role of the US here (more on that later). the course of the peace process didnt change with clinton, it of course changed when rabin was gone and benjamin netanyahu followed. and boy did he change course. it had been rougher times after rabin had been killed, and from the two options of quickly going back to deescalation or make some "flex muscle" type gestures, he chose the latter, hardly a surprise, that was what he was voted for.

in terms of monopoly,
what followed with netanyahu was more or less a "go back to start" (locate 0 for the tape machine operators).
it took a loong time, a way to long time, until the peace process even restarted.

only this time arafat didnt have the same power.
his people didnt have the same trust. his position was not nearly the same - where before he was more or less

the ultimate extremist and head of terror, he now was more or less the "diplomat who didnt get us anywhere", and definetly NOT the leading terrorist anymore, PLO? hamas is where the terror came from. so, since arafat always was a selfish asshole, he went back to selfish asshole mode and the following years, the whole sad struggle began with clinton going in and out and in and out and in and out, ultimately ending in arafat denying a peace plan he would have agreed on only years before. it was all some stupid theather play, that was now doomed right from the start. especially considering that arafat was negotiating something he had no influence anymore, the amount of terror. what a joke. was he head of hamas or something? of course he wasnt.

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 00:58:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

so, whose fault was it? was it the religious idiot that shot the man that was close to write history? (and, one cant stress that enough, since this is basically the heart and center of the giant cultural and religious conflict were currently facing, this could have changed history with a lot more being affected than that ridiculously small piece of oh so sacred land down there). was it netanyahu who failed to smell the historical opportunity? was it the people in israel who were stupid enough to vote for that guy, even though they were as close to actual peace as never before? (note that voting for netanyahu was basically a vote for at least a gradual return to the politic concept of showing force and strength - did it pay off? i dont think it did) was it the palaestinian people that didnt take long to go back into full terror mode?

i think theres a little of it all in it (surprise surprise). although my personal number one definetly goes to the religious idiot who shot rabin. boy did that guy change the entire world with that one shot. a perfect, although very sad example of the "butterfly effect".

and about clinton and what i said about not overestimating the influence of america - well, to judge the influence america really has on israel, just look at how they

constantly give the US the finger when the US start to really talk into their politics. that actually has been worse now with george bush junior than it has been with clinton, even though george bush junior, when making and threat, is probably more believeable than clinton is (for better or worse). the US dont reign over israel - theyll do what THEY think is best for them. another sad irony here is that a lot of hatred that comes from the islamic world towards the US is based on the overestimated influence the US supposedly has on israel.

so, thats my take on the whole story. i dont claim i have the perfect solution. i dont say that deescalation is always the best option. i guess, i HOPE were all grown up enough to know that there is no such thing as a standard solution that fits for everything.

but i DO think that this story is

- a proof at how promising deescalation politics actually is. dozens of years with nothing but terror, along comes the only time where someone has the courage to try a deescalation strategy for real, and thats the time where theres the least terror? i say thats not a coincidence.

- a very important example because it is the very heart of the conflict (see above).

- also, while i.e. WWII is a good example that brute force can lead to peace, i personally believe the closer and more logical place to look at is the israel conflict. its not 60 years ago but today, and its the same "enemy" situation, not entire nations and classical struggle over territory with classic military tactics, but a war of cultures, islamic terrorists, no easy targets, no absolute security. its just the more logical comparison. state vs terror. unclear enemys. an incredibly complex situation.

that is why i believe the whole approach is wrong. is there any less terror since this george bush practices this new policy? no theres not. so at least for now, i can only conclude that he failed, at least thats how it looks so far. whats the title of the thread again?

netanyahu, scharon, and yes, since he went back to the politics of force (in his case meaning terror), also

arafat, they failed. theyre back at zero. they were so close.
what i find so incredible is that ultimately, they all know it.
fascinating how blind people can become when theres also
always this goddamn religious aspect to it.

to give you a comparison, i though the attack on serbia,
also a policy of showing force, was the right way to go.
same goes for "iraq part one". im not against this
approach by principle. im a pacifist, but im not a
dogmatic pacifist thats blind on both eyes. yet stil

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:01:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

as it stays within this general discussion
ill find that actually interesting."justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>Thats truly impressive, although I'm curious about the ambuity of the 'no
>drugs' thing whether that includes alcohol or not. To me alcohol is a much
>more powerful drug than reefer.

Took my first drink at the age of 29. Today, I drink about 3 beers
a year. My experience is that there is nothing, and I mean nothing
that screws up the brain worse than regular pot use. Yeah, drunks
are bad too. I've certainly seen that.

I can name names of friends who have been there, and one that
completely recovered. The good news is that you can recover a
lot by getting off it. My buddy used every day for probably 15
years, and yet today, he is pretty sharp. Other friends are walking
zombies with 1/4 of the brains they had at the age of 16, instead
of the middle-aged, wise old lions they would have become.

>> That doesn't make me better, it just shows that it can be done.
>> And, there's a lot more of us than Rolling Stone mag would have you
>> believe. My dad hung with Frank Sinatra before the famous
>> Paramount show that launched his career. I was not raised by
>> prudes or victorians. He told me that dope makes you stupid, and
>> I have never seen any evidence to prove otherwise.
>

>Fair enough... especially if you think I'm stupid.

That's the POINT dammit. I DON'T think you're stupid. I see a strong intelligence at work in all the stuff you think about. You would be Yoda without the dope. Sorry. Just my opinion.

>> There's a whole underground of people who just love the music,
>> not the drugs, not the bling, not the pussy, just the music.

>>

>> You should join us.

>

>But I need ONE crutch... I have reduced my smoking from daily to once a week

>or so, and am pretty much just addicted to lifting weights.

And I eat too much, so I can't judge others.

>True... it is dangerous as hell. Even the US soldiers resent the
>contractors because of the compensation. I'd tell you that Halliburton

>'misplaced' NINE BILLION dollars, but you would probably think I'm out to

>lunch.

I don't have the facts to discuss it. But I do not believe that Bush is padding his buddies pockets on the blood of this war, at least not as an intention.

>No, they're either not a conspiracy or a secret. I have no doubt
>conspiracies can exist through. Sometimes you only get tidbits like World

>Trade Center 7, but unless it hits Fox News, most people wont pay attention,

>so it's ALMOST like theres no conspiracy.

My buddy's brother worked in WTC7 and he can tell you there was none of the nonsense prattled on about in the conspiracy theories because he was there. (The CIA had an office there, so that makes it a hotbed for theories) There was 40,000 gallons of diesel in there for electricity backup in a tank near the top of WTC7; the wreckage from the towers set it off and the resulting firestorm brought down the building. That's the facts, from someone who was there. He's getting me more

documentation, if you are interested.

DC"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>

>Gimme a break... I've been publishing news articles since November on all

>this stuff:

><http://scamusa.com>

Well, that was a bunch of good typing wasted on someone who wouldn't believe the facts if said facts could sing & dance & put on a Broadway musical to better get your attention & illustrate their point.

Yes, Cron, everything's a scam... everything that keeps you down, anyway. Keep looking at the world through those shit-colored glasses of yours... if Alexander Graham Bell, Bill Gates, and Thomas Edison had your attitude, we wouldn't have the landlines, the computers, or the electricity to run them in order for you to ignore the very relevant shit I just typed for your benefit.

Neilyeah, Germany supported "Clinton sitting on his ass". as well as they supported Clinton during the fights in Serbia and Croatia (as I said I unfortunately don't know the English terms), as well as they supported Bush Senior during the first Iraq War and Bush Junior during the Afghanistan War.

Of course you know that, but for the sake of making it look like the only time you got support was when there was nothing to support, you forget all that, just like Neil. How convenient. How cheap. I'll go and continue my discussion with Don, this is just a pile of shit not worth discussing.

Oh, and it's SCHROEDER.

g-e-r-h-a-r-d s-c-h-r-o-e-d-e-r.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>(sigh).....and furthermore, whether you want it to be about

>Iraq.....everything is about Iraq.....and was for a long time before

>we took Sadaam out if the premise of the United Nations being a viable
>entity has any validity at all. I appreciate that Germany supported the
US
>during the time that Bill Clinton was sitting on his ass"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in
message news:42d70b9b\$1@linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>
>>Thats truly impressive, although I'm curious about the ambuity of the 'no
>
>>drugs' thing whether that includes alcohol or not. To me alcohol is a
>>much
>
>>more powerful drug than reefer.
>
>
> Took my first drink at the age of 29. Today, I drink about 3 beers
> a year. My experience is that there is nothing, and I mean nothing
> that screws up the brain worse than regular pot use.

I know what you mean... however you should be aware of the hard drug
epidemic sweeping the nation. Oxycontin is the same as heroin. Crystal
Meth is truly an epidemic. That shit will kill you. Dumb 15 year old kids
are DYING all the time from this crap, but at the same time I hear people
saying reefer is the REAL problem. Its interesting.

>>> That doesn't make me better, it just shows that it can be done.
>>> And, there's a lot more of us than Rolling Stone mag would have you
>>> believe. My dad hung with Frank Sinatra before the famous
>>> Paramount show that launched his career. I was not raised by
>>> prudes or victorians. He told me that dope makes you stupid, and
>>> I have never seen any evidence to prove otherwise.
>>
>>Fair enough... especially if you think I'm stupid.
>
> That's the POINT dammit. I DON'T think you're stupid. I see a
> strong intelligence at work in all the stuff you think about. You
> would be Yoda without the dope. Sorry. Just my opinion.

Actually I'd be in jail for beating the shit out of people without the
reefer.

>>> There's a whole underground of people who just love the music,
>>> not the drugs, not the bling, not the pussy, just the music.
>>>
>>> You should join us.

>>
>>But I need ONE crutch... I have reduced my smoking from daily to once a
> week
>>or so, and am pretty much just addicted to lifting weights.
>
>
> And I eat too much, so I can't judge others.

Pretty much everyone that's quit drugs and booze have universally turned into food addicts. My buddy who quit smoking now eats Krispy Kremes by the DOZEN.

> I don't have the facts to discuss it. But I do not believe that Bush
> is padding his buddies pockets on the blood of this war, at least
> not as an intention.

I strongly disagree with that.

This is worth reading as one example of what I'm referring to:

http://news.yahoo.com/s/nm/20050627/pl_nm/iraq_halliburton_d_c_3

If it's a choice between incompetence and intention, I'd have to go with intention, because I don't believe they're stupid one bit.

>
> My buddy's brother worked in WTC7 and he can tell you there
> was none of the nonsense prattled on about in the conspiracy
> theories because he was there. (The CIA had an office there,
> so that makes it a hotbed for theories) There was 40,000 gallons
> of diesel in there for electricity backup in a tank near the top
> of WTC7; the wreckage from the towers set it off and the
> resulting firestorm brought down the building. That's the facts,
> from someone who was there. He's getting me more
> documentation, if you are interested.

I know you've said that before, however the evidence is totally straight forward. The entire federal government had offices in that building. The building was demolished by controlled demolition. I would be very interested in actual documentation. All the documentation I've seen is

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:04:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
videos from every angle with the building coming down as smooth as silk. Silverstein himself said the building was brought down by controlled demolition. I'll consider hearsay from you from your buddy's brother, but

only in the context of the complete body of evidence. I'm not saying there might not have been a legitimate reason for bringing down the building, but it WAS brought down by someone that wasn't Osama."Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d70cad\$1@linux...

> Well, that was a bunch of good typing wasted on someone who
> wouldn't believe the facts if said facts could sing & dance &
> put on a Broadway musical to better get your attention &
> illustrate their point.

You're way off base.... Not that I really care, but you said something about how I should get off my ass, based on some bizarre assumption. I post that I do try to be active on the issues, and you reply with what?

> Yes, Cron, everything's a scam... everything that keeps you
> down, anyway. Keep looking at the world through those shit-
> colored glasses of yours... if Alexander Graham Bell, Bill
> Gates, and Thomas Edison had your attitude, we wouldn't have the
> landlines, the computers, or the electricity to run them in
> order for you to ignore the very relevant shit I just typed for
> your benefit.

You didn't type shit for my benefit. I didn't make scamusa.com because I wanted to, I made it because I had to.

If you're going to continue with the inane bullshit, I'd suggest you just not waste your time. You haven't added a damn thing to this conversation.

I've partied with Bill Gates, walked the same hallways as Thomas Edison, and I share the same middle name as Alexander Graham Bell. you know, somehow this all feels much better to me than the stuff I've read the past days about the hypocrite Europe (the fact that Europe is dealt with as a whole is already a joke, considering that Europe currently is divided like never before and the European Union faces one of the biggest crises (what's the plural for crisis anyway) in quite some time)

It's also not like this discussion is new. We've had the "patriotic Derek comes to the rescue when people start with the simplified generalizations about the area he lives in" routine before. I kinda wonder why the game repeats itself, but alas, that's the way it is, and it's probably the last time I'll do it anyway (that's what I told myself the last time, it actually really was just the shock about the way Doug talks these days that drew me back here, and I stand by that)

as to the thank you part, well, i did thank you, didnt i?
but i you want it worded as "thanks for the tanks", here
it is, "thanks for the tanks". better?

but in return i ask for the wording "thanks for the incredible
amounts of money as well as your ridiculous military forces,
in that order. we appreciate the help (item 1) and the
gesture (item 2)".

i know its not as catchy as "thanks for the tanks", but i
couldnt think of anything better ;-)

as to the israel part, you more or less crossposted with
my longish reply to don - consider that my statement to the
general discussion about for

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:09:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ce vs deescalation and my take
on israel, palaestina and What We Can Learn From It.
i hope you guys tear it into pieces. that should be interesting.

"Neil" <OIUI@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>>

>>nah, i didnt ignore it.

>>just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of course

>>that includes, on behalf of all american

>>forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for

>>the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation

>>politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and

>>the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank

>>me too now?

>

>Yes, in fact, I will... thank you & your countrymen for your

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:12:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>hospitality while I was over there. Most of the Germans I met
>were pretty cool folks - some were total shitheads & hated
>Americans.. I choose not to stereotype the entire population
>based on those few. Thanks for putting up with our lame
>attempts to gain at least some semblance of fluency in your
>language. LOL At least I got good enough at it so that
>when I was in New York about a year ago & sitting in the hotel
>bar wating to meet someone, two ladies were speaking in German
>at the table next to me, I was able to recognize the particular
>accent/dialect & I said: "You're from Mainz, aren't you?" and
>they said: "Wiesbaden" (which for those of you who don't know,
>but may be reading this, is right across the river from Mainz).
>And thanks also for the great beer, and the eiswein, and the
>kick-ass trains that get you nearly anywhere you want to go
>quite easily, and thanks for the C-class coupe that I drive
>right now... we don't build cars that are that good here.
>
>All I wanted was "thanks for no tanks!", and you're still
>missing that point... I never said it was America alone that
>was responsible

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:21:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

for ending the cold war. Our presence on your
>border was 100% responsible for the Soviets staying closer to
>Halberstadt than Herzberg, and closer to Bad Langensalza than
>Bad Kissingen before said cold war came to a close... that's
>all I was saying.
>
>
>>
>>
>>as to the rest, whats there to say when you completely
>>ignore all of my points...
>>
>>- about germany and the possibility that it might NOT have
>>been a case of complete sucky ungratefulness but a case
>>of strong disagreement about the second iraq war (even more
>>likely since germany wasnt exactly the only nation that
>>didnt agree)? nothing.
>>
>>- the stuff i say that im interested in instead of

>>the useless standard bullshit? israel, rabin anyone? anyone
>>care to loose a word about the only man that ever effectively
>>reduced terror in israel? nada.
>
>I said I'd talk about Israel when I had time... I have posted a new thread
>on that... so have at it.
>
>
>>instead, theres this:
>>
>>
>>"Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
>>>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
>>>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
>>>how you may help me?"
>>
>>
>>hahaha. i didnt get it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good. thanks.
>
>You didn't get it? It was a refence to your statement "i knew
>that was coming" or whatever the exact verbage was.
>
>Neil"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
you forget
>all that, just like neil. how convenient.

ill take neil out of this because he now seems to remember,
for which ill be forever thankful

cue romantic string quartet"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
My buddy who quit smoking now eats Krispy Kremes by the
>DOZEN.

i can SO relate to that *sigh*as well as they supported clinton during the fights in
serbien and kroatien

So you think this is some kind of favor you did us when another fucking
GENOCIDE was happening in your OWN BACK YARD??????????

Dude.....we should have never had to be there in the first place and
this is EXACTLY the SHIT that I've been talking about all along.

Good riddance to this crap.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42d6ed96@linux...

> No.....that's not what I said and you're obviously not getting this at
> all. Let's return to the original disagreement about stereotypes. Like it
> or
> not, they exist. they are the detrius of our respective cultural heritage.
> Whether this is considered to be good or bad is not even an issue. It just
> is reality. I'll guarantee you that the French have cultural differences
> and that b\ased on those differences, certain assumptions can be made. Are
> you denying this?
>
> I think they are pretty damned handy as I would certainly like to know a
> bit
> about the cultural background of person if he or she is Jewish or Muslim
> and
> I'm planning a dinner party with suckling pig as the main course.
>
> As for your apparent unwillingness to accept what I have seen with my own
> eyes after it was pointed out to me by a bunch of people who had
> absolutely
> no preconceptions about the cultural proclivities of these individuals who
> happened to be Euopeans and Americans all I can say is believe what you
> want.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6d324@linux...
> >
> > or, in short: "i know europe - cause i know some europeans
> > that live here in the US".
> >
> >

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:26:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

that pretty much stands nicely by itself.

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> > >Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong, but
> > >these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe*
>
>hehe 5 max for me or my stomach starts dying...

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d71271\$1@linux...

>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
> My buddy who quit smoking now eats Krispy Kremes by the
>>DOZEN.
>
>
>
> i can SO relate to that *sigh*"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d70cad\$1@linux...
>
>> Well, that was a bunch of good typing wasted on someone who
>> wouldn't believe the facts if said facts could sing & dance &
>> put on a Broadway musical

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:27:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>> >
>> >
>> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>> > >Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong,
but
>> > >these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe*
>>
>>
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d71940\$1@linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>
>>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d70cad\$1@linux...
>>
>>> Well, that was a bunch of good typing wasted on someone who
>>> wouldn't believe the facts if said facts could sing & dance &
>>> put on a Broadway musical to better get your attention &
>>> illustrate their point.
>>
>>You're way off base.... Not that I really care, but you said something

>
>>about how I should get off my ass, based on some bizarre assumption. I
> post
>>that I do try to be active on the issues, and you reply with what?
>
> It' wasn't a matter of you getting of your ass, it was a
> question of you feeling like the government is keeping you
> down, fucking you over, preventing you from achieving your
> goals - that seems to be an underlying thread to everything you
> post when this sort of subject matter comes up.

I never said that... I think the current administration is corrupt. I think the military industrial complex is profiting off the war. How do you extend that to me saying the government is keeping me down?

>Being active on
> the issues is NOT the same as taking the attitude of: "Dammit,
> I'm going to get where I want to be, and I'm going to overcome
> every obstacle in order to get there" not everyone gets where
> they want to go, but you sure get a lot further with that
> approach than one of: "Oh woe is me, I'm constantly getting
> fucked over by everyone & everything - how will I ever survive?"; and
> frankly,
> that's the way you come across. If
> that's not really the case, then I apologize for mi

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:30:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I to better get your attention &
>> illustrate their point.
>
>You're way off base.... Not that I really care, but you said something

>about how I should get off my ass, based on some bizarre assumption. I
post
>that I do try to be active on the issues, and you reply with what?

It' wasn't a matter of you getting of your ass, it was a question of you feeling like the government is keeping you down, fucking you over, preventing you from achieving your goals - that seems to be an underlying thread to everything you post when this sort of subject matter comes up. Being active on the issues is NOT the same as taking the attitude of: "Dammit, I'm going to get where I want to be, and I'm going to overcome every obstacle in order to get there" not everyone gets where

they want to go, but you sure get a lot further with that approach than one of: "Oh woe is me, I'm constantly getting fucked over by everyone & everything - how will I ever survive?"; and frankly, that's the way you come across. If that's not really the case, then I apologize for misreading your intent.

>> Yes, Cron, everything's a scam... everything that keeps you
>> down, anyway. Keep looking at the world through those shit-
>> colored glasses of yours... if Alexander Graham Bell, Bill
>> Gates, and Thomas Edison had your attitude, we wouldn't have the
>> landlines, the computers, or the electricity to run them in
>> order for you to ignore the very relevant shit I just typed for
>> your benefit.

>
>You didn't type shit for my benefit. I didn't make scamusa.com because
I
>wanted to, I made it because I had to.

You didn't have to, you felt compelled to... what has it gotten you? Not materially even, but has it been a POSITIVE rewarding experience, or has posting every conspiracy or scam you come across simply served to make you more bitter & jaded? If it's the latter, then I would offer that it is nothing but a negative influence in your life.

>If you're going to continue with the inane bullshit, I'd suggest you just
>not waste your time. You haven't added a damn thing to this conversation.

Well if you think I'm inane, I'll definitely quit now... I was trying to give you some encouragement, not more frustration.

>I've partied with Bill Gates, walked the same hallways as Thomas Edison,
and
>I share the same middle name as Alexander Graham Bell.

And that has gotten you.....?

Neil"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>If you're going to continue with the inane bullshit, I'd suggest you just

>not waste your time. You haven't added a damn thing to this conversation.

Forgot to mention... the completely verifiable statistics that I posted, rebutting your position that the economy is going down the toilet represented which one of the following:

- a.) Inane bullshit? or:
- b.) Me not adding a damn thing to the conversation?

Neilyou single out whatever suits you in the particular sentence, dont you.

yeah were oh so ungrateful bastards. you must know, cause youre the guy that has some europeans in his neighbourhood.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>
>as well as they supported clinton during the fights in
>serbien and kroatien
>
>So you think this is some kind of favor you did us when another fucking
>GENOCIDE was happening in your OWN BACK YARD??????????
>
>Dude.....we should have never had to be there in the first place and
>this is EXACTLY the SHIT that I've been talking about all along.
>
>Good riddance to this crap.
>
>
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>news:42d6ed96@linux...
>> No.....that's not what I said and you're obviously not getting this
at
>> all. Let's return to the original disagreement about stereotypes. Like
it
>or
>> not, they exist. they are the detrius of our respective cultural heritage.
>> Whether this is considered to be good or bad is not even an issue. It
just
>> is reality. I'll guarantee you that the French have cultural differences
>> and that b\ased on those differences, certain assumptions can be made.
Are
>> you denying this?
>>
>> I think they are pretty damned handy as I would certainly like to know
a
>bit
>> about the cultural background of person if he or she is Jewish or Muslim
>and

>> I'm planning a dinner party with suckling pig as the main course.
>>
>> As for your apparent unwillingness to accept what I have seen with my
own
>> eyes after it was pointed out to me by a bunch of people who had
>absolutely
>> no preconceptions about the cultural proclivities of these individuals
who
>> happened to be Euoepans and Americans all I can say is believe what you
&

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:32:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;> want.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6d324@linux...

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:33:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>> >
>> > or, in short: "i know europe - cause i know some europeans
>> > that live here in the US".
>> >
>> > that pretty much stands nicely by itself.
>> >
>> >

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 01:55:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sreading
> your intent.

Misreading my intent is what you're all about.

>>> Yes, Cron, everything's a scam... everything that keeps you
>>> down, anyway. Keep looking at the world through those shit-
>>> colored glasses of yours... if Alexander Graham Bell, Bill
>>> Gates, and Thomas Edison had your attitude, we wouldn't have the
>>> landlines, the computers, or the electricity to run them in
>>> order for you to ignore the very relveant shit I just typed for
>>>

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 02:02:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

your benefit.

>>
>>You didn't type shit for my benefit. I didn't make scamusa.com because
> I
>>wanted to, I made it because I had to.
>
> You didn't have to, you felt compelled to... what has it gotten
> you? Not materially even, but has it been a POSITIVE rewarding
> experience, or has posting every conspiracy or scam you come
> across simply served to make you more bitter & jaded? If it's
> the latter, then I would offer that it is nothing but a
> negative influence in your life.

Honestly I feel like a true Patriot.

>>If you're going to continue with the inane bullshit, I'd suggest you just
>
>>not waste your time. You haven't added a damn thing to this
>>conversation.
>
> Well if you think I'm inane, I'll definitely quit now... I was
> trying to give you some encouragement, not more frustration.

Thank you.

>>I've partied with Bill Gates, walked the same hallways as Thomas Edison,
> and
>>I share the same middle name as Alexander Graham Bell.
>
> And that has gotten you.....?

Excuse me?.....and you haven't been singling out things to suit your particular

predjudices? Sorry, but you have and you made my point for me better than I did so thank you. The point is, and my point all along has been that while the really bad shit is building up, we have all been content to sit around and watch it until people start getting killed.....don't you think it would be better to stop it first and ask questions later? If that would have happened with Sadaam (yeah,.....that guy again) right after the first time he violated the UN sanctions instead of waiting 10 years.....and if it would have happened in Rwanda and if it could happen in North Korea and Iran and Sudan/Darfur I think I could support the UN.

We seem to be pretty pissed off at each other right now and probably we have lost mutual respect or maybe it was a friendship. It is what it is and that's sad.....and I'm sitting here just like you wondering if we are even on the same planet.

I didn't post my experiences to make you mad, but they were very real. I think it's great that folks in Europe don't behave in the way that I experienced and though you, for some reason, don't want to believe me, that doesn't change the fact that it happened and and probably still is.....but I hope not. I am, OTOH, happy to believe you when you say that things aren't like this in Europe. That's good.

I'm tired of being mad Derek. You carry on if you want.

Best,

Deej

"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d71a75\$1@linux...

>
> you single out whatever suits you in the particular sentence,
> dont you.
>
>
> yeah were oh so ungrateful bastards. you must know,
> cause youre the guy that has some europeans in his

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 02:06:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

neighbourhood.

>
>
>

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >
> >as well as they supported clinton during the fights in
> >serbien and kroatien
> >
> >So you think this is some kind of favor you did us when another fucking
> >GENOCIDE was happening in your OWN BACK YARD??????????
> >
> >Dude.....we should have never had to be there in the first place and
> >this is EXA

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 02:07:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CTLY the SHIT that I've been talking about all along.

> >
> >Good riddance to this crap.
> >
> >
> >"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> >news:42d6ed96@linux...
> >> No.....that's not what I said and you're obviously not getting this
> > at
> >> all. Let's return to the original disagreement about stereotypes. Like
> > it
> >or
> >> not, they exist. they are the detrius of our respective cultural
heritage.
> >> Whether this is considered to be good or bad is not even an issue. It
> > just
> >> is reality. I'll guarantee you that the French have cultural
differences
> >> and that b\ased on those differences, certain assumptions can be made.
> > Are
> >> you denying this?
> >>
> >> I think they are pretty damned handy as I would certainly like to know
> > a
> >bit
> >> about the cultural background of person if he or she is Jewish or
Muslim
> >and
> >> I'm planning a dinner party with suckling pig as the main course.
> >>
> >> As for your apparent unwillingness to accept what I have seen with my

> own
> >> eyes after it was pointed out to me by a bunch of people who had
> >absolutely
> >> no preconceptions about the cultural proclivities of these individuals
> who
> >> happened to be Europeans and Americans all I can say is believe what you
> >> want.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote in message news:42d6d324@linux...
> >> >
> >> > or, in short: "i know europe - cause i know some europeans
> >> > that live here in the US".
> >> >
> >> > that pretty much stands nicely by itself.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> > >Well.....you may assume that my impressions of Europe are wrong,
> but
> >> > >these impressions were of Europeans who were *from Europe*
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d71a43\$1@linux...
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>If you're going to continue with the inane bullshit, I'd suggest you just
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 02:17:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

o his people
>and show them negotiation results, they followed him.
>rabin was VERY clever about the way he did this. it was just
>enough, never too much, and he had a very precise feel about
>the tempo in which to go.

Which is like trying to conduct an orchestra without the score, on a piece you do not know. You are bound to be made a fool of sooner or later.

>this must have been to incredibly hard, its unbelievable, i
>really admire than man. he had to keep the fine balance
>about not asking too much from his own people (the seddlers
>and religious extremists in particular), while at the same
>time giving arafat enough to present a negotiation path to
>his people that seemed promising enough to keep the power,
>which was also the power to at least mostly keep the terror
>under control. of course, that was when the terror really
>was still mostly PLO business.
>it looked all very promising. PLO was da shit, hamas was
>not, and arafat was powerful (and even determined) enough
>to just chase and kill hamas people. it was the last
>time arafat could actually claim to have an influence on
>whether there would be terror or not, at least a very very
>big influence on how much terror there would be.

And what could you possibly dislike about the present Israeli leadership? Draggin settlers out by the scruff of the neck, responding to the worst terrorism with measured and rational responses, and showing great restraint. See, this is the problem with europe. In your arrogance, because of living in a land that cannot envision war, you assume all violence is failure, and that there must be a way to negotiate and finess this stuff.

Suppose there isn't? You haven't convinced me that Rabin could have pulled it off.

>the problem was not with clinton. clinton didnt do much
>more or much less than what george bush (the father) did before.

He sure tried. And he felt very strongly about it, and intended it to be one of his legacies.

>dont overestimate the role of the US here (more on that later).

Really, suppose we:

A. stop sending israel any money

or

B. Tell Israel to do any damn thing they want.

Think those choices might have an impact?

Here's how the palestinians could have peace in a week:

Go Ghandi on them. Sit down, inb the streets, renounce violence forever.

Ask the world for justice. They would get their demands in a week or less, and if israel didn't like it, the american people would force it

on them by withholding any more aid.

They don't do this because of who they are. They hate israel, they hate jews, and they intend to kill them all and throw them into the sea. If this were not the case, they would have had peace decades ago. Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the arabs and the palestinians, you hypocritical, arrogant fools?

Why do you not rise up in horror and demand that these acts stop?

Answer this one Derek, if you can.

Instead you speak of "root causes" and criticize Bush. It's wrong, and foolish.

I cannot support the euro position on this, because I NEVER hear any demands for change, or criticism of moslems coming from europe. Just of the jews and america. Until I see otherwise, we must stay the course.

>it of course changed when rabin was gone and benjamin netanyahu
>followed. and boy did he change course. it had been rougher times
>after rabin had been killed, and from the two options
>of quickly going back to deescalation or make some
>"flex muscle" type gestures, he chose the latter, hardly
>a surprise, that was what he was voted for.

See, any response to terrorism besides negotiation is either "muscle flexing" or "cowboys" or "macho". And you cannot see how america might see europe as so arrogant and sefl-absorbed that your views are not important to us?

Until you can see the possibility that there is no other way, besides war, to deal with these people, we cannot see that you have any points worth listening to.

I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if

you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a failure, do not expect us to listen since you care more for your ideology than you apparently care for our lives.

>in terms of monopoly,
>what followed with netanyahu was more or less a "go back
>to start" (locate 0 for the tape machine operators).
>it took a loong time, a way to long time, until the peace
>process even restarted.

"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.

Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about "peace processes". Until you allow for the full range of responses, you are acting as an advocate for them.

Subject: Re: (No subject)

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 02:19:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>>not waste your time. You haven't added a damn thing to this
>>conversation.

>
> Forgot to mention... the completely verifiable statistics that
> I posted, rebutting your position that the economy is going
> down the toilet represented which one of the following:

your statistics prove that people are taking on more DEBT.. did you miss that part?

> a.) Inane bullshit? or:
> b.) Me not adding a damn thing to the conversation?

Both. Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to make a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we might have made progress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned with their own security and survival.

The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are

really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes... liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.

Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I can't help it when we're talking about life and death. If anyone is still heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over the years... I'm sorry.

Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion. Man, I haven't been this addicted to an internet saga since the Mixerman Diaries! Great discussions! Great drama! Hell, even some name calling! Really people, I'm not being sarcastic. Good stuff.

Tony

PS - What's the record posts for an unbroken thread here on our little group? This one has to be close.

On 7/7/05 7:14 AM, in article 42cd1ca4\$1@linux, "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

> Just hear about it...check your news
>
> don
>
>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>
>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:
>>>right Derek. Everyone misses the point except you...
>
>
>what a stupid start for an actually very constructive and good post ;-)

Sorry you didn't like it, but I find that you are not listening either.

>absolutely right. arafat was a terrorist. PLO *leader*.
>he was that conflicts osama bin laden, if you want.
>it makes rabins experiment to reach out for this guy all
>the more scary and unreal in a way, huh? so youre absolutely right
>about this, and i completely agree with you.

So, do you think that Rabin had any more hope of success with these people than did Clinton or anyone else? Could the drop in terrorism be explained in some other way? Do you really think this can be solved by negotiation?

>no, my point doesnt rely on arafat being not a terrorist.
>he was a terrorist. my point relies on *snare roll* the selfish nature
>of man. the whole trick was to give arafat a scenario that was
>more attractive to him than the current situation. works like
>a charm, every time.

No it doesn't, or it would have worked with Hussein, Kim Il Sung, Hitler and the Branch Davidians. You are not allowing for insanity and evil in the world and you are assuming that a rational person's version of a more attractive situation will always be accepted.

I can tell you that this is not the case.

>arafat, at that time the closest thing there was to
>"leader of palaestina", did gain (even more) popularity and power through
>the peace process. as long as he could come t

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 02:40:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And I still hold out hope that there is a peaceful solution. I just know there may not be. Do you?

>only this time arafat didnt have the same power.
>his people didnt have the same trust. his position was
>not nearly the same - where before he was more or less
>the ultimate extremist and head of terror, he now
>was more or less the "diplomat who didnt get us anywhere",
>and definetly NOT the leading terrorist anymore,
>PLO? hamas is where the terror came from.
>so, since arafat always was a selfish asshole,
>he went back to selfish asshole mode and the following
>years, the whole sad struggle began with clinton going in and out and
>in and out and in and out, ultimately ending in arafat
>denying a peace plan he would have agreed on only years before.
>it was all some stupid theather play, that was now
>doomed right from the start.

Subject: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:05:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>

>especially considering that arafat was negotiating something he had
>no influence anymore, the amount of terror. what a joke.
>was he head of hamas or something? of course he wasnt.

So, if you could get a "peace process" going while he was at the peak of his powers, it might have succeeded? What? Until when, the following thursday? His greed and corruption would have eventually toppled him and your peace process.

Do you really believe that hamas and hizbullah would not have formed if Arafat had made a deal with Rabin??

I do not. Sorry.

>i think theres a little of it all in it (surprise surprise). although my
>personal number one definetly goes to the religious idiot who
>shot rabin. boy did that guy change the entire world
>with that one shot. a perfect, although very sad example of the "butterfly
>effect".

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Tony](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:23:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

I think you are overstating the effect of this, and I think Israel, which has a powerful peace movement, would settle for peace in a moment if the terrorism stopped.

>
>and about clinton and what i said about not overestimating
>the influence of america - well, to judge the influence
>america really has on israel, just look at how they
>constantly give the US the finger when the US start
>to really talk into their politics.

And why are they able to do this? Is it not the actions of the palestinians and the arabs? How could Israel give anyone the

finger if the palestinian

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:31:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s renounced violence? An american president who did not force them to accept peace in this scenario would be voted out or even impeached.

You criticize Bush, but he blasts Israel every single time they respond to terrorism as we have. What hypocrisy!

>that actually has been
>worse now with george bush junior than it has been with
>clinton, even though george bush junior, when making and
>threat, is probably more believable than clinton is
>(for better or worse). the US dont reign over israel - theyll
>do what THEY think is best for them. another sad irony
>here is that a lot of hatred that comes from the islamic
>world towards the US is based on the overestimated influence
>the US supposedly has on israel.

Like I said, our lack of influence on Israel is directly related to the righteousness of their cause. The palestinians can have peace any time they want it. They dont't want it.

>so, thats my take on the whole story. i dont claim i have
>the perfect solution. i dont say that deescalation is
>always the best option. i guess, i HOPE were all grown
>up enough to know that there is no such thing as a standard
>solution that fits for everything.
>
>but i DO think that this story is
>
>- a proof at how promising deescalation politics actually is.
>dozens of years with nothing but terror, along comes the
>only time where someone has the courage to try a deescalation
>strategy for real, and thats the time where theres the least
>terror? i say thats not a coincidence.

It's an interesting point, but I cannot see that it would have produced a lasting peace.

>- also, while i.e. WWII is a good example that brute
>force can lead to peace, i personally believe the closer
>and more logical place to look at is the israel conflict.
>its not 60 years ago but today, and its the same "enemy"
>situation, not entire nations and classical struggle over
>territory with classic military tactics, but a war of
>cultures, islamic terrorists, no easy targets, no absolute
>security. its just the more logical comparison. state vs terror.
>unclear enemys. an incredibly complex situation.

So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find, them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at it until we win. I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation is impossible with islamofascists. Read the statement of the murderer of Theo Van Gogh if you want to see the face of the enemy.

>that is why i believe the whole approach is wrong.
>is there any less terror since this george bush practices
>this new policy?

DAMMIT, how can you repeat lies like that? WE were attacked on 911, and 3000 died. Since then, no attacks on the US, and the attacks in europe are much less deadly than was 911.

>no theres not. so at least for now,
>i can only conclude that he failed, at least thats how
>it looks so far. whats the title of the thread again?

And if we stay the course, we can win this. If we cave, it is the end of western civilisation and all future dealings with the islamic world will be under threat of bombings of innocents. (until a new great leader arises in germany perhaps, and promise to throw off the yoke of islamofascism and pute ALL the lights out...)

>netanyahu, scharon, and yes, since he went back to
>the politics of force (in his case meaning terror), also
>arafat, they failed. theyre back at zero. they were so close.
>what i find so incredible is that ultimately, they all know it.
>fascinating how blind people can become when theres also
>always this goddamn religious aspect to it.

And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?

Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between free moral people? Think these things will sell to the islamofascists?

Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of whoever offers them.

Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.

Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an alternative.

DCRandy Newman wrote a satire (tinyurl.com/d83pb) many years ago, the tagline of which, best expresses my current view on the best solution to the unceasing problem of terrorism emanating from middle east command and means.... which is to say "most" terrorism.

I really am there. This is THE one way to ratt out the enemy and simultaneously send the message to any further suiters: "Step right up for your one-way bullet train to Allah, no purchase necessary, see fingerprint on tip of warhead for details."

The thing that put me over the edge was the piece of human waste that blew up the little kids a couple of days ago while one of our guys was handing out candy to them.

Anybody brave enough to say they think the same thing or have we all cowed down to international version of the old Rodney King mantra, "Can't we all just get long." Is there some plausible notion or a reason to believe that eventually, if we just keep doing what we're doing, the extremist followers of Bin ladin will just all of a sudden see it our way and fold up the biz and integrate into peaceful society???

Dubya Mark Wilson

"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6fc20\$1@linux...

>

> In a nutshell...

> I think if there's room & resources enough for both Israelis &

> Palestinians in the region they want to live in, I think they

> should quit fighting & come up with a plan. HOWEVER... this is

> essentially a 3,000 year-old family feud we're looking at over

> there, and my point of view may be a little too simplistic to

> deal with the situation. I am not very familiar with the

> condition of the agricultural situation there, but I understand

> it's not the best in the world - in that case, both sides

> should realize that there may not be enough resources in that
> immediate area to provide for as many people as want to live
> there... that's a problem, because you can't import everything
> food-wise. Israel is our ally, and we should stand by them, but
> I also hold the position that some kind of solution could be
> arrived at if both sides want peace more than war. OK, now
> this is the part where you rebut & tell me what a narrow-minded
> American I am, and how wrong my opinion is because you're
> Eurosuperior to me and have a much better world view because
> you read about cowboys & rodeos in Oklahoma & the drug problems
> in Miami in Der Spiegel & had a US soldier live next door to
> you & he was a dick, and of course, all Americans are exactly
> like he was.

>

> BTW, the Israeli thing is VERY different than the situation we
> face with radical Islam... they do NOT want peace more than
> war, they only want to kill all the "infidels" and sing praise
> & glory to their blood-soaked god Allah, while trying to knock
> the rest of the world back into the 12th century, economically,
> societally, and politically. So, knowing this, and seeing what
> they've done beginning on 9/11 and continuing to this day, I
> think a slightly "different" approach is what's called for.

>

> Neil

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> I know what you mean... however you should be aware of the hard drug
> epidemic sweeping the nation. Oxycontin is the same as heroin.

My mom and my sister, both addicted to it. It's shit.

Crystal

> Meth is truly an epidemic. That shit will kill you. Dumb 15 year old
kids

> are DYING all the time from this crap, but at the same time I hear people

> saying reefer is the REAL problem. Its interesting.

I never compared them I said that reefer makes you dull in the mind.

It does, and you know it.

> Actually I'd be in jail for beating the shit out of people without the
> reefer.

Is that why the Jamaicans are so peaceful? heh

>> My buddy's brother worked in WTC7 and he can tell you there
>> was none of the nonsense prattled on about in the conspiracy
>> theories because he was there. (The CIA had an office there,
>> so that makes it a hotbed for theories) There was 40,000 gallons
>> of diesel in there for electricity backup in a tank near the top
>> of WTC7; the wreckage from the towers set it off and the
>> resulting firestorm brought down the building. That's the facts,
>> from someone who was there. He's getting me more
>> documentation, if you are interested.

>I know you've said that before, however the evidence is totally straight
>forward. The entire federal government had offices in that building. The
>building was demolished by controlled demolition. I would be very
>interested in actual documentation. All the documentation I've seen is
>videos from every angle with the building coming down as smooth as silk.

Well, my friend's brother was there. I will send you what he
gives me.

DC"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d72f42\$1@linux...

>
> Well, my friend's brother was there. I will send you what he
> gives me.

much appreciated"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d72f42\$1@linux...

re: the personal info you shared... :(

>>Meth is truly an epidemic. That shit will kill you. Dumb 15 year old
> kids
>>are DYING all the time from this crap, but at the same time I hear people
>
>>saying reefer is the REAL problem. Its interesting.
>
> I never compared them I said that reefer makes you dull in the mind.
>
> It does, and you know it.

definitely... I hear that mj is the REAL problem from people like the US

drug czar. I saw him give a speech where he says everything comes down to marijuana. "You want to fix the meth problem, you fix the marijuana problem" "You want to fix the heroin problem, you fix the marijuana problem" Thats what I find interesting... I mean if there is anything I actually do know a little about its the black market, and mj world and the hard drug world are separate, so I really dont get the logic, but it seems to be some type of talking point.

>>Actually I'd be in jail for beating the shit out of people without the
>>reefer.

>

> Is that why the Jamaicans are so peaceful? heh

jah mon! u want your hair braided mon?Justin,

I think the problem with these topics is that everyone seems pretty hell bent on changing somebody else's politics in the course of a few news group posts. That isn't ever going to happen. It takes years for each of us to develop our various points of view. The best we can do is try to understand each other and live with the fact that everyone doesn't share the same view. I'm confident that if we all were to meet somewhere, with no discussion of our personal politics, we would get along famously. Don't get me wrong, this kind of discourse is good. It helps us understand each other better, if even just a little. My wish is that we can all have these discussions, and even when they've been heated, at the end still be the great international community of PARIS people. That's it, the ICPP! Well, er...maybe a different acronym would be better. Anyway, I guess what I'm trying to say is that I respect you all, weather we share the same view or not.

Tony

On 7/14/05 10:05 PM, in article 42d7280a\$1@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to make
> a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we might have made
> progress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its
> admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is
> acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned with
> their own security and survival.

>

> The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are
> really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes...
> liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.

>

> Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I
> can't help it when we're talking about life and death. If anyone is still
> heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over the
> years... I'm sorry.
>
> Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion.
>
>> My mom and my sister, both addicted to it. It's shit.

Man, that's too bad Cron.....but you know that. I'm really sorry to hear this.

Deej

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d72f42\$

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:36:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;
>> Crystal
>> >Meth is truly an epidemic. That shit will kill you. Dumb 15 year old
>> kids
>> >are DYING all the time from this crap, but at the same time I hear
>> >people
>>
>> >saying reefer is the REAL problem. Its interesting.
>>
>> I never compared them I said that reefer makes you dull in the mind.
>>
>> It does, and you know it.
>>
>>
>>
>> >Actually I'd be in jail for beating the shit out of people without the
>> >reefer.
>>
>> Is that why the Jamaicans are so peaceful? heh
>>
>>
>> >> My buddy's brother worked in WTC7 and he can tell you there
>> >> was none of the nonsense prattled on about in the conspiracy
>> >> theories because he was there. (The CIA had an office there,
>> >> so that makes it a hotbed for theories) There was 40,000 gallons
>> >> of diesel in there for electricity backup in a tank near the top
>> >> of WTC7; the wreckage from the towers set it off and the

>> >> resulting firestorm brought down the building. That's the facts,
>> >> from someone who was there. He's getting me more
>> >> documentation, if you are interested.
>>
>>
>> >I know you've said that before, however the evidence is totally straight
>>
>> >forward. The entire federal government had offices in that building.
> The
>>
>> >building was demolished by controlled demolition. I would be very
>> >interested in actual documentation. All the documentation I've seen is
>>
>> >videos from every angle with the building coming down as smooth as silk.
>>
>>
>> Well, my firend's brother was there. I will send you what he
>> gives me.
>>
>

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:39:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> DC
>
>It was my mom and sister, not Cron's.

My sister is dead now, and mom is ailing. There is no getting off that stuff once you become really hooked, when you are physically ill as well. They both ended up in wheelchairs. One died.

F**k drugs.

DC

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 03:45:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />

Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

>> My mom and my sister, both addicted to it. It's shit.
>
>Man, that's too bad Cron.....but you know that. I'm really sorry to
>hear this.
>
>DeejOne of my friends is detoxing in jail.. he's fucked up his life so bad he's
gone for like 10 years. Another acquaintance straight up disappeared... A
FOAF's mom found him with a needle in his arm. My next door neighbor
screamed for an hour when she found her son stiff... the coroner truck came
by.

F**k drugs for real. Healthwise and crimewise.

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d73dcb\$1@linux...

>
> It was my mom and sister, not Cron's.
>
> My sister is dead now, and mom is ailing. There is no getting off
> that stuff once you become really hooked, when you are physically
> ill as well. They both ended up in wheelchairs. One died.
>
> F**k drugs.
>
> DC
>
>
> Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> My mom and my siste

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:09:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ot;justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >I know what you mean... however you should be aware of the hard drug
> >> >epidemic sweeping the nation. Oxycontin is the same as heroin.
> >>
> >> My mom and my sister, both addicted to it. It's shit.
> >>
> >>
> >> Crystal
> >> >Meth is truly an epidemic. That shit will kill you. Dumb 15 year
old
> >> kids
> >> >are DYING all the time from this crap, but at the same time I hear

> >> >people
> >>
> >> >saying reefer is the REAL problem. Its interesting.
> >>
> >> I never compared them I said that reefer makes you dull in the mind.
> >>
> >> It does, and you know it.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> >Actually I'd be in jail for beating the shit out of people without the
> >> >reefer.
> >>
> >> Is that why the Jamaicans are so peaceful? heh
> >>
> >>
> >> >> My buddy's brother worked in WTC7 and he can tell you there
> >> >> was none of the nonsense prattled on about in the conspiracy
> >> >> theories because he was there. (The CIA had an office there,
> >> >> so that makes it a hotbed for theories) There was 40,000 gallons
> >> >> of diesel in there for electricity backup in a tank near the top
> >> >> of WTC7; the wreckage from the towers set it off and the
> >> >> resulting firestorm brought down the building. That's the facts,
> >> >> from someone who was there. He's getting me more
> >> >> documentation, if you are interested.
> >>
> >>
> >> >I know you've said that before, however the evidence is totally
straight
> >>
> >> >forward. The entire federal government had offices in that building.
> > The
> >>
> >> >building was demolished by controlled demolition. I would be very
> >> >interested in actual documentation. All the documentation I've seen
is
> >>
> >> >videos from every angle with the building coming down as smooth as
silk.
> >>
> >>
> >> Well, my firend's brother was there. I

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the
Posted by [Tony](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:12:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r, both addicted to it. It's shit.

>>

>>Man, that's too bad Cron.....but you know that. I'm really sorry to

>>hear this.

>>

>>Deej

>

>Sorry Don,

I've lost friends to this too. I agree with you about drugs.

Deej

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d73dcb\$1@linux...

>

> It was my mom and sister, not Cron's.

>

> My sister is dead now, and mom is ailing. There is no getting off
> that stuff once you become really hooked, when you are physically
> ill as well. They both ended up in wheelchairs. One died.

>

> F**k drugs.

>

> DC

>

>

> Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:

> >> My mom and my sister, both addicted to it. It's shit.

> >

> >Man, that's too bad Cron.....but you know that. I'm really sorry to

> >hear this.

> >

> >Deej

>

>Sorry man,

Overwhelmed by the numbers.

;o)

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d73d88@linux...

>

> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42d73aae@linux...

> >> My mom and my sister, both addicted to it. It's shit.

> >

> > Man, that's too bad Cron.....but you know that. I'm really sorry to

> > hear this.
>
> hey man check who you're quoting...
>
> > "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d72f42\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> &qu

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:35:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ng their ass &
making it nearly impossible to do business. If we did that,
everyone would scream "foul!!!", but if you do that, somehow it
gets played off as "a fair playing field".

>and the european union faces one of
>the biggest crisis (whats the plural for crisis anyway)

"crises" - same thing but with an "e" instead of the 2nd "i".

>its also not like this discussion is new. weve had the
>"patriotic derek comes to the rescue when people start
>with the simplified generalizations about the area he lives in"
>routine before.

Hey, you have every right to defend your country's position -
but don't think that means that no one is going to disagree
with you, either. As far as the Eurogeneralizations go, don't
forget, it's you Eurofolks who WANTED it that way by forming an
economic common currency union... when...? AFTER the Americans
weren't needed over there anymore. Sure, there had been t

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:36:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

alks
about that for decades, but no action was taken before because
no one wanted to piss off America. Once the cold war was over &
Fulda looked like that picture I posted instead of acres &
acres of Soviet armor, then no one gave a shit what America
thought... istic, innit? That's partly what I meant earlier

with the "Fuck the Americans" comment". Before it was all about doing good business with us, and supporting us politically as allies... now it's all about hammering our companies that try to do business over there, and politically it's more & more about taking sides with anyone BUT America, because we're no longer as important to you as your Europartners.

Keep in mind, I'm not singling out Germany, OK? I'm talking about Europe in general here. That's the American point of view for the large part... so you can see where we're coming from, yes?

>as to the thank you part, well, i did thank you, didnt i?
>but i you want it worded as "thanks for the tanks", here
>it is, "thanks for the tanks". better?

No, no, no... it was: "Thanks for NO tanks" (meaning no SOVIET tanks) :)

>but in return i ask for the wording "thanks for the incredible
>amounts of money as well as your ridiculous military forces,
>in that order. we appreciate the help (item 1) and the
>gesture (item 2)".

OK, not sure what you mean here... do you mean the incredible amounts of money that your country contributed to the NATO alliance? Not to belittle that - you guys did what you could, and you were our best partner from everything I can tell, but that money didn't go to us, it went to the whole European defense package. You guys contributed a lot, but that makes sense because you stood to lose the most - your country would have been the first to be overrun if we couldn't turn the enemy back, and would have suffered the most potential for damage since that's where all the first-strike fighting would have occurred.

On the other hand, we would have lost the most lives... if I remember correctly, when our Army was at it's peak, we had about 800,000 troops total - over 400,000 of them were in Europe, with most of the ground forces stationed in Germany. You probably don't know this part, but a big deterrent to the Soviets was our Pershing missiles (tactical nukes); when your Grunen Party forced their hand in Parliament & made us take them out of there, that left us with ony conventional forces to repel an attack that certainly would have been preceded by Russian nukes winging their way to our bases (and your soil) there... here's part two coming up:

You probably realize that the advance of the Red Army would have had to have come from two points... one was the Fulda/Bad Hersfeld area (commonly known as the Fulda Gap), and the other was straight down the autobahn the comes out of Berlin, through Potsdam, and straight on towards Wittenburg, then Hannover (I think it's A-24, but check me on that). In both cases, we had rivers in our way, fucking up our maneuvering capabilities... in the first instance, it was the Fulda River; in the 2nd area, it was the Leine... how many bridges over the Leine are there in or near Hannover? Is it still just four? So, we'd have been toast once we got backed up to the river there. I can't remember how many bridges there are around Fulda, but again, we would have been toast if the Russians struck first... very little maneuvering room to defend - if you've never been out to that area, you ought to go & see what I'm talking about. It's more or less an open-air museum now.

It was definitely a first-strike scenario if there were no nukes involved... whoever would have hi

Subject: Re: (No subject)

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:37:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

will send you what he

> >> gives me.

> >>

> >> DC

> >

> >

>

>Sounds like Haas panning -- trick with doubled track, slightly delayed and panned the other way... Was discussed hear a few months back -- you might try a search--

Chas.

On Tue, 14 Jun 2005 20:30:46 -0400, "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>I received a mix from a local AE a few days ago and I'm totally mind >boggled.

>

>There are instruments and vocals placed outside the normal stereo >image...like 12" outside of the speakers.

>

>I was wondering if any of you might know how this was done...he swears no

>esoteric plugins were used in the creation of this effect.
>
>Don
>
>ps. I'll try to get permission to post the song so you can hear what I'm
>talking about...it's pretty impressive
>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d74105@linux...
> Sorry man,
>
> Overwhelmed by the numbers.
>

thought maybe it was the beers... hehe just jokin...

its been real... my brain is about to go into standbyNot that every question can be "perfect" but if the outset of this test is to determine or assist in determining the political locale of an individual or group, "better" questions would be best whereas "imperfect" presumptuous ones, it could be said, are clandestine at worst, indiscriminate at best.

Case in point, when I tested, I found myself feeling a little tweaked that almost every question, for me, was answered with "disagree" when, in fact, changing or adding or subtracting just one word from the question could have and would have yielded an "agree" response to an identical question.

Is it therefore not implausible to think that the formulations of these questions intentionally poses an emotional sub-plot to cause a more liberal respondent to think or feel he is an agreeable person, to continue answering emotionally whereas, a more conservative thinker, by same questions, could reasonably be made to feel out of step and highly disagreeable with his/her repetitive "disagree" responses. An easily predictable downside being; the common desire to "fit in" skews the response data by emotionally manipulating the respondent.

Believe me, it aint the first or last time this has been done.

Then you get to DeeJ's concern where the question takes a position, which position, when the question is answered, is assumed to be an agreed upon position by both questioner and respondent when, in fact, that is not the case at all. How reliable is an answer to such a question and if not reliable, what use can it possible serve? And how reliable are the answers to a series of questions, of which questions it can

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:38:35 GMT

be said, are readily identifiable as being unnecessarily emotionally manipulative to vast majority of respondents while simultaneously being presumptuous on key issues?

I would say NOT very reliable at all in terms of collective responses. My matrix is mostly accurate but only because I don't care if the answer to a series of question is repetitively "no." The answer to any question, when answered truthfully, does not change and, I am not inclined to emotional manipulation in such cases.

I'm with DeeJ on this... flawed test and therefore, almost useless for the collect

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:44:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ion of group data and certainly "slurry" data when it comes to presumed agreement between questioner and respondent on political issues.

Dubya Mark Wilson

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:42d585d3\$1@linux...

> the questions are simplistic while complex. they're trying to score you
> on an axis with imperfect questions."derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>
>you know, somehow this all feels much better to me than
>the stuff ive read the past days about the hippocrite europe

See? You can't take ONE conviction that a person may hold & assume you know all about that person. Yeh, it goes both ways and Americans tend to do the same thing sometimes.

>(the fact that europe is dealt with as a whole is already
>a joke, considering that europe currently is divided like
>never before

Economically you're still pretty strong - the Eurodollar is still hanging tough, and some of the regulations the EU is imposing on U.S.-based corporations are kicki

Subject: Re: (No subject)

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:55:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

east you did then - dunno if that's still a common practice), so that there would be even less troops in place. Coming over at 3am on a Sunday Morning would be nice & quiet & stealthy, don't you think? Or since they didn't have much in the way of night vision capabilities, a Sunday afternoon would have been pleasant.

Further complicating the problem is the fact that you normally get your highest rainf

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:59:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

quot; <OIUUI@OIU.com> wrote:

> >>

> >>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

> >>>

> >>>nah, i didnt ignore it.

> >>>just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of
> course

> >>>that includes, on behalf of all american

> >>>forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for

> >>>the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation

> >>>politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and

> >>>the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank

> >>>me too now?

> >>

> >>Yes, in fact, I will... thank you & your countrymen for your

> >>hospitality while I was over there. Most of the Germans I met

> >>were pretty cool folks - some were total shitheads & hated

> >>Americans.. I choose not to stereotype the entire population

> >>based on those few. Thanks for putting up with our lame

> >>attempts to gain at least some semblance of fluency in your

> >>language. LOL At least I got good enough at it so that

> >>when I was in New York about a year ago & sitting in the hotel

> >>bar wating to meet someone, two ladies were speaking in German

> >>at the table next to me, I was able to recognize the particular

> >>accent/dialect & I said: "You're from Mainz, aren't you?" and

> >>they said: "Wiesbaden" (which for those of you who don't know,

> >>but may be reading this, is right across the river from Mainz).

> >>And thanks also for the great beer, and the eiswein, and the

> >>kick-ass trains that get you nearly anywhere you want to go

> >>quite easily, and thanks for the C-class coupe that I drive
> >>right now... we don't build cars that are that good here.
> >>
> >>All I wanted was "thanks for no tanks!", and you're still
> >>missing that point... I never said it was America alone that
> >>was responsible for ending the cold war. Our presence on your
> >>border was 100% responsible for the Soviets staying closer to
> >>Halberstadt than Herzberg, and closer to Bad Langensalza than
> >>Bad Kissingen before said cold war came to a close... that's
> >>all I was saying.
> >>
> >>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>as to the rest, whats there to say when you completely
> >>>ignore all of my points...
> >>>
> >>>- about germany and the possibility that it might NOT have
> >>>been a case of complete sucky ungratefulness but a case
> >>>of strong disagreement about the second iraq war (even more
> >>>likely since germany wasnt exactly the only nation that
> >>>didnt agree)? nothing.
> >>>
> >>>- the stuff i say that im interested in instead of
> >>>the useless standard bullshit? israel, rabin anyone? anyone
> >>>care to loose a word about the only man that ever effectively
> >>>reduced terror in israel? nada.
> >>
> >>I said I'd talk about Israel when I had time... I have posted a new
thread
> >>on that... so have at it.
> >>
> >>
> >>>instead, theres this:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
> >>>>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
> >>>>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
> >>>>how you may help me?"
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>hahaha. i didnt get it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good.
thanks.
> >>
> >>You didn't get it? It was a refence to your statement "i knew
> >>that was coming" or whatever the exact verbage was.
> >>

> >>Neil
> >
>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d71a43\$1@linux...
>>
>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>If you're going to continue with the inane bullshit, I'd suggest you just
>>
>>>not waste your time. You haven't added a damn thing to this
>>>conversation.
>>
>> Forgot to mention... the completely verifiable statistics that
>> I posted, rebutting your position that the economy is going
>> down the toilet represented which one of the following:
>
> your statistics prove that people are taking on more DEBT.. did you miss

>that part?

No, and I apologize for not responding to it before - that was neglect on my part... OK, the CAR purchases may indeed be mostly debt (I think we can safely assume that while some people pay cash for cars, most people do indeed take out loans for that kind of purchase), but not all of it's debt!
C'mon, man... how many people go into debt to buy something at Target (the retailer with 9% growth over same period last year)?

>> a.) Inane bullshit? or:
>> b.) Me not adding a damn thing to the conversation?
>
>Both.

Now that's just fuckin' stupid... admit it. It was completely relevant and a direct (and fact-checkable) rebuttal to your assertion.

Neill'll agree with this sentiment overall.

Nice post.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to make
>a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we might have made

>progress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its

>admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is
>acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned with

>their own security and survival.
>
>The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are

>really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes...

>liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.
>
>Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I

>can't help it when we're talking about life and death. If anyone is still

>heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over the

>years... I'm sorry.
>
>Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion.
>
>> thought maybe it was the beers... hehe just jokin...

Cron,

Put down the beers and the rec drugs almost 20 years ago.

Personal decision and a good one..... for me.

Sleep well.

Deej

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d741d2\$1@linux...

>
> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:42d74105@linux...
>> Sorry man,
>>
>> Overwhelmed by the numbers.
>>
>
> thought maybe it was the beers... hehe just jokin...
>

> its been real... my brain is about to go into standby
>
>I'll agree with this sentiment overall.

Nice post.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to make

>a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we might have made

>progress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its

>admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is

>acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned with

>their own security and survival.

>

>The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are

>really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes...

>liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.

>

>Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I

>can't help it when we're talking about life and death. If anyone is still

>heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over the

>years... I'm sorry.

>

>Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion.

>

>I'm just bumping this up because of the speed of the other thread; and this guy has a problem that someone here might be able to help him with (see below):

"Spappy" <Spappy122@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I think you guys are right. This computer is way to lame. I need to build a

>new one.

>

>So can you guys give me a list of components that work well with Paris,

I

>can have it built but I need a list of components.

>
>Like Mother Board... etc
>
>I plan to use a a wave terminal 192x for sound as I have many virtual
>instruments.

>
>Spappy

>
>Germaine (NOT "Jackson" lol) to your post:
For the record... I'm all for a peaceful solution; however (and
let's now bring this over to a personal level where more people
may be able to understand it rather than conceptualize it), if
it's apparent that the guy on the opposite side of the ring
from you does not want to take off his gloves, but would rather
beat the shit out of you until you are dead, you have EXACTLY
two choices:

- 1.) get beaten until you are dead.
- 2.) beat the shit out of him until he is.

What would you do?

This is pretty fucking simple to me.

Neil

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:

>Randy Newman wrote a satire (tinyurl.com/d83pb) many years ago, the tagline

>of which, best expresses my current view on the best solution to the
>unceasing problem of terrorism emanating from middle east command and
>means.... which is to say "most" terrorism.

>
>I really am there. This is THE one way to ratt out the enemy and
>simultaneously send the message to any further suiters: "Step right up
for
>your one-way bullet train to Allah, no purchase necessary, see fingerprint
on
>tip of warhead for details."

>
>The thing that put me over the edge was the piece of human waste that blew

>up the little kids a couple of days ago while one of our guys was handing

>out candy to them.

>

>Anybody brave enough to say they think the same thing or have we all cowed
>down to international version of the old Rodney King mantra, "Can't we all
>just get long." Is there some plausible notion or a reason to believe that
>eventually, if we just keep doing what we're doing, the extremist followers
>of Bin ladin will just all of a sudden see it our way and fold up the biz
>and integrate into peaceful society???

>
>Dubya Mark Wilson
>
>"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6fc20\$1@linux...
>>
>> In a nutshell...
>> I think if there's room & resources enough for both Israelis &
>> Palestinians in the region they want to live in, I think they
>> should quit fighting & come up with a plan. HOWEVER... this is
>> essentially a 3,000 year-old family feud we're looking at over
>> there, and my point of view may be a little too simplistic to
>> deal with the situation. I am not very familiar with the
>> condition of the agricultural situation there, but I understand
>> it's not the best in the world - in that case, both sides
>> should realize that there may not be enough resources in that
>> immediate area to provide for as many people as want to live
>> there... that's a problem, because you can't import everything
>> food-wise. Israel is our ally, and we should stand by them, but
>> I also hold the position that some kind of solution could be
>> arrived at if both sides want peace more than war. OK, now
>> this is the part where you rebut & tell me what a narrow-minded
>> American I am, and how wrong my opinion is because you're
>> Eurosuperior to me and have a much better world view because
>> you read about cowboys & rodeos in Oklahoma & the drug problems
>> in Miami in Der Spiegel & had a US soldier live next door to
>>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 05:01:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>>language. LOL At least I got good enough at it so that
>>when I was in New York about a year ago & sitting in the hotel
>>bar waiting to meet someone, two ladies were speaking in German
>>at the table next to me, I was able to recognize the particular

>>accent/dialect & I said: "You're from Mainz, aren't you?" and
>>they said: "Wiesbaden" (which for those of you who don't know,
>>but may be reading this, is right across the river from Mainz).
>>And thanks also for the great beer, and the eiswein, and the
>>kick-ass trains that get you nearly anywhere you want to go
>>quite easily, and thanks for the C-class coupe that I drive
>>right now... we don't build cars that are that good here.
>>
>>All I wanted was "thanks for no tanks!", and you're still
>>missing that point... I never said it was America alone that
>>was responsible for ending the cold war. Our presence on your
>>border was 100% responsible for the Soviets staying closer to
>>Halberstadt than Herzberg, and closer to Bad Langensalza than
>>Bad Kissingen before said cold war came to a close... that's
>>all I was saying.
>>
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>as to the rest, whats there to say when you completely
>>>ignore all of my points...
>>>
>>>- about germany and the possibility that it might NOT have
>>>been a case of complete sucky ungratefulness but a case
>>>of strong disagreement about the second iraq war (even more
>>>likely since germany wasnt exactly the only nation that
>>>didnt agree)? nothing.
>>>
>>>- the stuff i say that im interested in instead of
>>>the useless standard bullshit? israel, rabin anyone? anyone
>>>care to loose a word about the only man that ever effectively
>>>reduced terror in israel? nada.
>>
>>I said I'd talk about Israel when I had time... I have posted a new thread
>>on that... so have at it.
>>
>>
>>>instead, theres this:
>>>
>>>
>>>"Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
>>>>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
>>>>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
>>>>how you may help me?"
>>>
>>>
>>>hahaha. i didnt get it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good. thanks.
>>

>>You didn't get it? It was a refence to your statement "i knew
>>that was coming" or whatever the exact verbage was.

>>

>>Neil

>The father of one of my best friends is an Air Force general. He's retired
now and living in San Antonio. He was in Europe from the 60's through the
80's. He has told me this same thing.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d7431c\$1@linux...

>

> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

> >

> >you know, somehow this all feels much better to me than
> >the stuff ive read the past days about the hippocrite europe

>

> See? You can't take ONE conviction that a person may hold &
> assume you know all about that person. Yeh, it goes both ways
> and Americans tend to do the same thing sometimes.

>

> >(the fact that europe is dealt with as a whole is already
> >a joke, considering that europe currently is divided like
> >never before

>

> Economically you're still pretty strong - the Eurodollar is
> still hanging tough, and some of the regulations the EU is
> imposing on U.S.-based corporations are kicking their ass &
> making it nearly impossible to do business. If we did that,
> everyone would scream "foul!!!", but if you do that, somehow it
> gets played off as "a fair playing field".

>

> >and the european union faces one of
> >the biggest crisis (whats the plural for crisis anyway)

>

> "crises" - same thing but with an "e" instead of the 2nd "i".

>

>

> >its also not like this discussion is new. weve had the
> >"patriotic derek comes to the rescue when people start
> >with the simplified generalizations about the area he lives in"
> >routine before.

>

> Hey, you have every right to defend your country's position -
> but don't think that means that no one is going to disagree
> with you, either. As far as the Eurogeneralizations go, don't
> forget, it's you Eurofolks who WANTED it that way by forming an
> economic common currency union... when...? AFTER the Americans
> weren't needed over there anymore. Sure, there had been talks

> about that for decades, but no action was taken before because
> no one wanted to piss off America. Once the cold war was over &
> Fulda looked like that picture I posted instead of acres &
> acres of Soviet armor, then no one gave a shit what America
> thought... ironic, innit? That's partly what I meant earlier
> with the "Fuck the Americans" comment". Before it was all about
> doing good business with us, and supporting us politically as
> allies... now it's all about hammering our companies that try
> to do business over there, and politically it's more & more
> about taking sides with anyone BUT America, because we're no
> longer as important to you as your Europartners.
> Keep in mind, I'm not singling out Germany, OK? I'm talking
> about Europe in general here. That's the American point of view
> for the large part... so you can see where we're coming from,
> yes?
>
>
> >as to the thank you part, well, i did thank you, didnt i?
> >but i you want it worded as "thanks for the tanks", here
> >it is, "thanks for the tanks". better?
>
> No, no, no... it was: "Thanks for NO tanks" (meaning no SOVIET
> tanks) :)
>
>
> >but in return i ask for the wording "thanks for the incredible
> >amounts of money as well as your ridiculous military forces,
> >in that order. we appreciate the help (item 1) and the
> >gesture (item 2)".
>
> OK, not sure what you mean here... do you mean the incredible
> amounts of money that your country contributed to the NATO
> alliance? Not to belittle that - you guys did what you could,
> and you were our best partner from everything I can tell, but
> that money didn't go to us, it went to the whole European
> defense package. You guys contributed a lot, but that makes
> sense because you stood to lose the most - your country would
> have been the first to be overrun if we couldn't turn the enemy
> back, and would have suffered the most potential for damage
> since that's where all the first-strike fighting would have
> occurred.
>
> On the other hand, we would have lost the most lives... if I
> remember correctly, when our Army was at it's peak, we had
> about 800,000 troops total - over 400,000 of them were in
> Europe, with most of the ground forces stationed in Germany.
> You probably don't know this part, but a big deterrent to the
> Soviets was our Pershing missiles (tactical nukes); when your

> Grunen Party forced their hand in Parliament & made us take
> them out of there, that left us with only conventional forces
> to repel an attack that certainly would have been preceded by
> Russian nukes winging their way to our bases (and your soil)
> there... here's part two coming up:
>
> You probably realize that the advance of the Red Army would have
> had to have come from two points... one was the Fulda/Bad
> Hersfeld area (commonly known as the Fulda Gap), and the other
> was straight down the autobahn the comes out of Berlin, through
> Potsdam, and straight on towards Wittenburg, then Hannover
> (I think it's A-24, but check me on that). In both cases, we
> had rivers in our way, fucking up our maneuvering
> capabilities... in the first instance, it was the Fulda River;
> in the 2nd area, it was the Leine... how many bridges over the
> Leine are there in or near Hannover? Is it still just four? So,
> we'd have been toast once we got backed up to the river there.
> I can't remember how many bridges there are around Fulda, but
> again, we would have been toast if the Russians struck first...
> very little maneuvering room to defend - if you've never been
> out to that area, you ought to go & see what I'm talking about.
> It's more or less an open-air museum now.
>
> It was definitely a first-strike scenario if there were no
> nukes involved... whoever would have hit first would have won.
> Conversely, if we had hit first out of Fulda, the Soviets would
> have been backed up to the Weser River; if we had hit first out
> of Braunschweig, they would have been backed up into the Elbe.
> However, everyone knows we wouldn't strike first, therefore
> probably damn near all of our ground forces would have been
> killed.
>
> You ****DO**** know when they would have done this, don't you? They
> would have done this:
>
> a.) In August, when most of you guys go on vacation - which
> means more of your reserve troops would have been away in
> Spain, Italy, etc. Even some of your active troops would try to
> get away then to be with friends & family, etc.; so mainly
> skeleton staffs would have been left to man their posts in the
> German element.
> b.) On a ****weekend**** in August... since you guys like to take
> off at noon on Fridays (at least you did then - dunno if that's
> still a common practice), so that there would be even less
> troops in place. Coming over at 3am on a Sunday Morning would
> be nice & quiet & stealthy, don't you think? Or since they
> didn't have much in the way of night vision capabilities, a
> Sunday afternoon would have been pleasant.

>
> Further complicating the problem is the fact that you normally
> get your highest rainfall in the June/July time frame there, so
> we'd have been stuck trying to maneuver in well-soaked earth,
> while the Autobahns & bridges on West German side would have
> been crammed with whatever civilians weren't partying in Spain
> trying to get out towards the west, and the Autobahns coming
> from the east would have been stuffed full of Russian tanks &
> BMP's pinning us against the rivers.
>
> Assuming a completely conventional war, we still wouldn't have
> lost the whole war, had one occurred... we had superior air
> power, for example, but that takes time to scramble & mobilize
> & assist in killing thousands of tanks, and then rearm & refuel
> to do more of the same, but we would have lost most of our
> ground forces in Europe, and half of what was West Germany
> would have been overrun before we could have beaten them back,
> that's for sure.
>
> It's a damn good thing that never happened. I dunno how many of
> you reading this actually realize to this extent what the deal
> really was, but that's what would've occurred. A LOT of
> Americans knew this same shit that I just typed, but were ready
> to be there for our allies, and I guess that's what pisses me
> off sometimes when I hear someone that we were ready & willing
> to deal with (& die in) the above scenario in order to defend
> talk shit about us... Derek, I don't mean you specifically, I'm
> just talking in general terms now.
>
> Anyway, I guess I'm done for now... made my points, tired of
> typing, not going to change anyone's mind if they've already
> got it made up anyway. LOL
>
> Neil
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >
> >
> >"Neil&

Subject: Re: (No subject)
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 05:03:13 GMT

Nature.

>

>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote:

>So, do you think that Rabin had any more hope of success with these
>people than did Clinton or anyone else?

yes, absolutely. talk about it all as you will, rabin remains
the ONLY person to ever effectively reduce the terror plague down
there. you obviously missed the part where i stressed
that i do acknowledge that both approaches, both the
deescalation

one and the brute force one can lead to success.

thats what i wrote. nothing about cowboys or some such,
thats only the stuff you projected into my words, because
im a (insert yet another dumbass euro stereotype here).

so, yes, i do acknowledge that both roads can lead to success.
do you? it appears you dont, so whos the dogmatic type here?

>No it doesn't, or it would have worked with Hussein, Kim Il Sung,
>Hitler and

Subject: Re: (No subject)

Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 05:16:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you & he was a dick, and of course, all Americans are exactly
>> like he was.

>>

>> BTW, the Israeli thing is VERY different than the situation we
>> face with radical Islam... they do NOT want peace more than
>> war, they only want to kill all the "infidels" and sing praise
>> & glory to their blood-soaked god Allah, while trying to knock
>> the rest of the world back into the 12th century, economically,
>> societally, and politically. So, knowing this, and seeing what
>> they've done beginning on 9/11 and continuing to this day, I
>> think a slightly "different" approach is what's called for.

>>

>> Neil

>>

>

>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:

>
>Sounds like Haas panning -- trick with doubled track, slightly delayed
>and panned the other way... Was discussed hear a few months back --
>you might try a search--

I've never heard Haas panning alone get stuff that far outside the speakers - I still vote for something external that the guy is holding out on.

Don, definitely let us know if you can psot that clip.

NeilThere... terminated!!!

Dubya Mark Wilson

"jp" <no@mail.please> wrote in message news:42d3f625@linux...
> I've been having problems clocking a few digital devices together via a
> Big
> Ben. I was still having an occasional pop when transferring over ADAT. I've
> been living with it for a few months. Well I finlay cracked the manual on
> the B

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 05:19:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ig Ben. I then noticed that the front panel was showing under
> termination on everything I had connected (2 MEC, an RME digiface, an
> Eclipse, a TC m2000). So I spent 30 bucks on some t-splitters and 75ohm 1%
> terminators. The big ben is now reporting correct termination, and I have
> no problems.

>
> I had assumed that the devices themselves could terminate, but it's
> definitely not the case.

>
>

So true. It happened once - it will happen again. Bigger garden, same problem. In a sense, the heart of the problem these threads are discussing. Political issues are merely a subset of greater human moral dilemmas.

Without a moral compass, a people's political or cultural compass is no more than a Cracker Jack toy.

On 7/14/05 4:43 PM, in article 42d6eab0@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the Knowledge to
> create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells into monkeys. It will
> be no surprise when we get kicked out of our Garden of Eden by God or
>

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 05:25:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the Branch Davidians. You are not allowing for insanity
>and evil in the world and you are assuming that a rational person's
>version of a more attractive situation will always be accepted.

from a palaestinian point of view, israel is the aggressor
that took their land. they dont have the military power,
so they go the terror route. being the frakkingeuropacifistidiotdude that
i am, i despise that
just as much, so id prefer it if you dont switch between
calling me a blind pacifist one moment and then a guy
that doesnt criticize palaestina (and thus somewhat supports the use of terror),
whatever fits the best.

from their point of view, theyre actually doing exactly
the same thing - use brute force. they dont have planes,
so they use suicide bombers. if you were on their side,
its what you would recommend. and if the enemy threatened to
use more power, youd recommend that the enemy gets a
demonstration of your force.

somewhere in there lies a fundamental, unsolvable problem.
throw in a ticking clock (islamic countries getting the bomb
sooner or later, whether you want it or not),
and look again at the one guy that at the very least came
close to peace down there - ooooh it was that deescalation
dude. what a co

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [derek](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 06:33:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

terrorism besides negotiation is either
>"muscle flexing" or "cowboys" or "macho".

muscle flexing is what i called it, getting bored by the
"politics of force" terms i used the entire force.
"cowboys" and "macho" is yet another projection of yours.

> And you cannot
>see how america might see europe as so arrogant and self-absorbed
>that your views are not important to us?

thats a bit besides the point because im sure that
our views are not important to you either way. i do
get an idea about how these stereotypes come up and
especially, how they nicely grow, completely undisturbed
by anything that resembles reality. you know squat about
my country, period. its ridiculous. yet you believe
you can judge my country, where i, who probably knows a
couple hundred times more about YOUR country, make it
a point to repeatedly stress how i do NOT want or feel
entitled to judge or criticize it. its quite absurd, really.

>I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if
>you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a
>failure

you know what, do me a favour here: please come up with
the quote where i said that i always see it as a failure.
either that or maybe you might want to actually stop it
and apologize. its really getting boring to repeat
and
repeat and repeat and repeat it, with no effect.
i have the feeling youre not talking to me but to
some imaginary "The Europe Dude" that you made up for
yourself to argue against.

> do not expect us to listen since you care more for your
>ideology than you apparently care for our lives.

i do care only about the lives. at several points i

pointed out how i believe we all want the same (among other things i mentioned this as reason why i dont belong to the george-bush-is-evil-group). i do find the ideal way to this common goal open for debate. you obviously disagree on this one. its a little weird why you would enter a debate about it anyway then. is it just to spank my ideologic european ass?

>"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words
>that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only
>failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.

its a term rabin, a man that i admire, used himself, so ill leave the name calling to you. one could argue that the times where the terror was at an all time low saved lots of lives, but whatever.

>Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it
>in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks
>to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about
>"peace processes".

heres some more for you so that you can get a picture thats more accurate than what you currently believe to be the typical euro or whatever. i beg you, please READ what i say now:

oh yes, as things are, i would stab a knife in that guys back. its what the current situation asks for.

read it? ok, so i can get a little more into detail:

but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back. what about the next guy? and the one after him? whats the best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply. are there, god forbid, several possible approaches? is it worth a discussion? cause, it would be unfair to the soldiers to make them do this all the time while we dont do anything for it to ever change.

> Until you allow for the full range of responses,
>you are acting as an advocate for them.

theres someone not ready for the "full range of responses"
here, but it aint me.

>So, if you could get a "peace process" going while he was at the
>peak of his powers, it might have succeeded? What? Until when,
>the following thursday?

in the right tempo to draw all religious fanatic idiots
on all sides (repeat: ALL sides. that includes the moslems!
do you hear me!) with them.

>His greed and corruption would have
>eventually toppled him and your peace process.

youre confusing things here, its what actually got him
to participate. and boy did it help him. it turned him
from an internationally sought after outlaw into
a somewhat respected politician, probably making him
richer than he ever dreamt of in the process. you tell
me that guy wanted to go back into tunnel system terrorist
mode? never. man is too selfish and greedy for that.

>Do you really believe that hamas and hizbullah would not have
>formed if Arafat had made a deal with Rabin??

they had already formed. the difference was, arafat had them
under control and as long as he came home with results
from the peace process, he had the people in his back.

>And why are they able to do this? Is it not the actions of the
>palestinians and the arabs?

why yes of course it is (see above).

> An american
> president who did not force them to accept peace in this scenario
> would be voted out or even impeached.

this is not where the conflict lies. the conflict, unfortunately, lies in the religious aspects. i for one am pretty sure that there would have been some sort of agreement down there long ago, if only all this shitty land and these shitty cities werent as "sacred" as they supposedly are. as i said i dont have the ultimate solution. i just dont believe you have it either, and comparing the track record or the different approaches down there, im inclined to believe that the brute force method, which worked other times, doesnt seem to work this time.

and that is an important discussion because it also is a discussion about "how do you fight a fundamentalist that doesnt fear death", about "is there a way to get along with the islam", about "how do you go to war with something as abstract as terror". yes, i do believe this is the most important conflict to be solved and that it could serve as role model for the same conflict were having (or going to have) on a much bigger global scale.

im worried about that. no wait, im sitting on my euro ass waiting for everything to explode first, and then ill think about it, cause thats how we arrogant euro assholes are? right?

....

> You criticize Bush, but he blasts Israel every single time they
> respond to terrorism as we have. What hypocrisy!

yeah, it would be if i would criticize bush for not criticizing israel. of course i nowhere said that. again, youre kindly invited to come up with a quote.

or wait, maybe you misunderstood this one:

> the US dont reign over israel - theyll
>> do what THEY think is best for them.

with "they" i of course mean israel. my very point was

that bush can criticize them all he wants (and he does), but they dont respond much to that, and thats i sad irony that so much of the arabic hatred towards israel comes from the overestimated power the US supposedly has on israel.

>So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding
>places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find,
>them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at
>it until we win.

yes thats your approach. it sometimes worked in the past, sometimes it didnt. just like deescalation. theres no perfect solution that automatically is the best solution at all times.

> I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation
>is impossible with islamofascists.

and i say youre focusing on the wrong thing, this is just not enough. getting rid of islamofascists (or fascists of any kind, for that matter) is one thing. brute force seems the best way for that. trying to avoid that such fascists gain power on a regular basis in the future is, imo, the maybe even more important goal. diplomacy, holding oneself to the high standards we used to at least pretend to have, that kind of stuff seems like the more promising way to go for that (unless you want to tell me that you believe that the very concept of diplomacy is at fault).

the problem is that solution nr.1 is the direct opposite of solution number nr.2. theres room for improvement here. at least thats what i think, when i look at israel, or, to use another example for a change, afghanistan, where my selfish country does the dirty laundry for you without you noticing because its not in the news.

>And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?
>Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between
>free moral people? Think these things will sell to the islamofascists?
>Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of whoever
>offers them.
>Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.

just like institutionalized religion, really. only difference being that it has a much cleaner historical track record. but im not about to even start hoping that there will be a significant change here anytime soon, cause im not religious about that either. this is a change that comes over time, separation of religion and politics... it seemed to get better in the second half of the last century. currently it seems to get worse again. oh well.

>Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they
>have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an
>alternative.

no hatred. a very cynical distance though, yes, definitely. i did say a few words about personal belief on the one hand on huge monotheistic religious institutions on the other hand and whether the latter is any good for this planet. you can go back and read it if youre interested, but i guess for now its enough. and now ill go to bed. all that non-non-judgementalism has made me tired. maybe i secretly am a politically correct euro hypocrite after all, but im doing my best ;-)"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>yes, absolutely. talk about it all as you will, rabin remains
>the ONLY person to ever effectively reduce the terror plague down
>there. you obviously missed the part where i stressed
>that i do acknowledge that both approaches, both the
>deescalation
>one and the brute force one can lead to success.
>thats what i wrote. nothing about cowboys or some such,
>thats only the stuff you projected into my words, because
>im a (insert yet another dumbass euro stereotype here).

I am not sold on Rabin as the peacemaker in the middle east. Maybe for a while at best. BTW, you DID call the current Israel approach "muscle flexing" so who is the cliché here?

No projections involved, I simply have heard all those terms coming from europe and "muscle flexing" is not much different than "macho" or "cowboy".

>so, yes, i do acknowledge that both roads can lead to success.
>do you? it appears you dont, so whos the dogmatic type here?

An I said I hoped there was a peaceful solution.

>from a palaestinian point of view, israel is the aggressor

From a lion's point of view, your kid is food. From a rapists point of view, your wife is a party.

What the hell do I care about the palestinian's point of view? Stop the terrorism and the whole world will open up. Of course, you cannot compare the palestinian's view to that of a lion or a rapist because you are steeped in atheist ideology about cultural relativity so you cannot see when a culture has become degraded by hate and blood-lust.

Palestinians can have peace, or they can have a bullet between the eyes, it is up to them.

>that took their land. they dont have the military power,
>so they go the terror route. being the frakkingeuropacifistidiotdude that
>i am, i despise that
>just as much, so id prefer it if you dont switch between
>calling me a blind pacifist one moment and then a guy
>that doesnt criticize palaestina (and thus somewhat supports the use of terror),
>whatever fits the best.

That was painful for you wasn't it? I should appreciate the effort, but you really should admit how awful their actions are more often, and leave off the excuses. Then we can treat them as adults and hold them to a standard of civilized behavior. Do you have any idea how patronizing, not to say racist, it is to hold a double standard where you understand terrorists, but condemn the only democracy in the middle east?

>from their point of view, theyre actually doing exactly
>the same thing - use brute force. they dont have planes,
>so they use suicide bombers.

They don't have planes because their mullahs told them they don't need scientific progress 1000 years ago, and they use suicide bombers because they are a degraded culture, rotten from within from their religion, and used as cannon fodder by their cynical leaders.

>if you were on their side,
>its what you would recommend. and if the enemy threatened to
>use more power, youd recommend that the enemy gets a
>demonstration of your force.

BULLSHIT! If I was on their side, I would advise them to go Ghandi, renounce all violence, and appeal to the wolrld for justice. And I would be the George Washington of a new Palestine. The problem is that they have no one like that and they would kill them if they did.

>im not saying its the ultimate solution. i find pretending
>to have absolute solutions (a very popular practice here,
>or so it seems) rather silly. im trying to have a civiliced
>discussion about the possible different paths to a solution,

Have I not been civilized to you? Certainly, I strongly disagree sometimes, but I think I have been civil. I would have said the same things to your face.

>if there is one at all. i can ackownledge and talk about
>both approaches, you seem to struggle with that part
>and fall back into europe-is-so-whatever rants, which,
>btw, are no better than george-bush-is-pure-evil bullshit.
>its kindergarden. no thanks.

Nonsense. Where have I done this? I can support any of the very few claims I have made about Europe.

>> you assume all violence is failure
>
>
>i repeatedly said the exact opposite but nevermind. rant on.

No, you espouse selective pacifism which you conveniently misplace in Bosnia, but apply rigidly to Israel.

>i did. its why im interested in this discussion.
>a childish "i know 100% percent im right" attitude
>isnt exactly helping, but at least in parts your
>post gets over the standard stereotype attitude. it seems to
>help that i repeatedly write about the things i dont
>think, even though it doesnt fit into your arrogant euro pacifist drawer:

Derek, you SAID you were a pacifist, well, except for Bosnia. And I never ever said anything about being 100% right. I said we must do what we are doing in the absence of an alternative. You have not sold me on your alternative yet. Sorry.

>- i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
>it often is the most peaceful way to go.

Ok, so you are not a pacifist. How about taking off the moral equivulence between Israel and the Palestinians?

>- i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
>negotiated

Fair enough, so support us in our war on the ghouls and head-choppers. If ever there was a time for war this it it.

IM-ever-so-HO of course.

>- im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
>in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
>im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
>several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
>approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
>track record of deescalation in history)

De-escalation is what Spain did, and all those in London paid for it. This is not the time for de-escalation.

>yes. too bad it wouldnt work because their religious
>fanatic idiots.

But there are millions of muslims (I've known a few myself) who would never join the ghouls. Maybe the ghouls are using a religion as an excuse for what they want to do anyway. Seems to me that Hitler and Stalin, Mao and Pol Pot managed to kill a few people without any religion...

>> Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the
>>arabs and the palestinians, you hypocritical, arrogant fools?

>
>
>oh we never demand a damn thing from the arabs?
>thats funny. usually, especially where i live, its a tradition
>to not ask anything from israel (obvious reason being
>germanys history, were simply in no position to ask *anything*
>from them). maybe you should study the people you want
>to call hypocritical arrogant fools a little more before
>you go ahead with it.

Sorry, I got mad for a minute there. I want to hear loud voices of condemnation of terrorism from euros, and most of what I hear is silence, and blaming the US and Israel, and excuses and understanding for the ghouls. You did it yourself a few paragraphs up.

>>Why do you not rise up in horror and demand that these acts stop?
>>Answer this one Derek, if you can.

>
>
>oh, we do. we do. you just dont notice, cause you only
>notice what fits into the dumb black and white picture you
>have of us.

I know that many people in europe hate the terrorist and speak out against them. I want to hear that first, not excuses.

>on the other hand, i can tell from all the BS here that most
>of you people have not the slightest idea about whats
>going in germany. you talk about us not criticizing
>muslims and not asking anything from those countries,
>yet just as we speak theres an election campaign with
>a debate about how to deal with turkey, and also
>how to deal with potential islamists in our country,
>with our current minister of internal security and
>the guy who wants his post totally going berserk and
>extremely to the right. and if theres a classic
>debate in germany if ive ever seen one, its the debate
>about whether its good that its a principal no-no to
>openly criticize anything thats even remotely related
>to anything jewish. there are extremists that have a problem
>with that (they call it a sick case of non-judgmentalism...sounds
>familiar), i personally think its only adequate and should
>stay so for at least another 50 years.

You know what, you're right. We judge europeans by their bitter, left-wing media, and assume you are all like that. You make a good

point here, and I will try to do better. We are not like hollywood and you are not like the euro media says. Fair enough.

>so i dont really know what to reply to such accusations.
>its so completely unreal, i dont know where to start.

Well you could admit how many euros ARE just like that. I will happily tell you of all the sh*thead americans I know...

>thats a bit besides the point because im sure that
>our views are not important to you either way.

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the politicalthread?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 07:19:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a href="mailto:wmarkwilson@verizon.net" target="_blank">wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:

>>Randy Newman wrote a satire (tinyurl.com/d83pb) many years ago, the
>>tagline

>
>>of which, best expresses my current view on the best solution to the
>>unceasing problem of terrorism emanating from middle east command and
>>means.... which is to say "most" terrorism.

>>
>>I really am there. This is THE one way to ratt out the enemy and
>>simultaneously send the message to any further suiters: "Step right up
> for
>>your one-way bullet train to Allah, no purchase necessary, see fingerprint
> on
>>tip of warhead for details."

>>
>>The thing that put me over the edge was the piece of human waste that blew
>
>>up the little kids a couple of days ago while one of our guys was handing
>
>>out candy to them.

>>
>>Anybody brave enough to say they think the same thing or have we all cowed
>
>>down to international version of the old Rodney King mantra, "Can't we all
>
>>just get long." Is there some plausible notion or a reason to believe
>>that

>
>>eventually, if we just keep doing what we're doing, the extremist
>>followers
>
>>of Bin ladin will just all of a sudden see it our way and fold up the biz
>
>>and integrate into peaceful society???
>>
>>Dubya Mark Wilson
>>
>>"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d6fc20\$1 @linux...
>>>
>>> In a nutshell...
>>> I think if there's room & resources enough for both Israelis &
>>> Palestinians in the region they want to live in, I think they
>>> should quit fighting & come up with a plan. HOWEVER... this is
>>> essentially a 3,000 year-old family feud we're looking at over
>>> there, and my point of view may be a little too simplistic to
>>> deal with the situation. I am not very familiar with the
>>> condition of the agricultural situation there, but I understand
>>> it's not the best in the world - in that case, both sides
>>> should realize that there may not be enough resources in that
>>> immediate area to provide for as many people as want to live
>>> there... that's a problem, because you can't import everything
>>> food-wise. Israel is our ally, and we should stand by them, but
>>> I also hold the position that some kind of solution could be
>>> arrived at if both sides want peace more than war. OK, now
>>> this is the part where you rebut & tell me what a narrow-minded
>>> American I am, and how wrong my opinion is because you're
>>> Eurosuperior to me and have a much better world view because
>>> you read about cowboys & rodeos in Oklahoma & the drug problem

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 08:26:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NOT true. In reality, every single college professor I know wishes they lived in europe, and most liberals in the US and Canada wish they were europeans. In reality european views are often more important to the elite in this country than they should be for our own good. Many educated americans feel that our culture is really dumb and backwards compared to yours. They are full of crap, of course, but european views certainly do count.

We have rejected much of european government positons on Iraq

because of their corruption and collusion with Saddam, and that fruitcake Chirac has caused more anger than anyone since Adolf. We are aware that following much of europe's positions could put our lives in even graver danger. But our cultural and academic elites still worship the european ideal in many ways. Surely you have experienced this among some americans?

>you know squat about
>my country, period. its ridiculous. yet you believe
>you can judge my country, where i, who probably knows a
>couple hundred times more about YOUR country, make it
>a point to repeatedly stress how i do NOT want or feel
>entitled to judge or criticize it. its quite absurd, really.

I may know more than you think. And, my judgements may be more of your government's positions and actions, than of your country itself, of which I have had several good friends.

>i do care only about the lives. at several points i
>pointed out how i believe we all want the same (among
>other things i mentioned this as reason why i dont belong
>to the george-bush-is-evil-group). i do find the
>ideal way to this common goal open for debate.
>you obviously disagree on this one. its a little weird
>why you would enter a debate about it anyway then. is it
>just to spank my ideologic european ass?

No, because you want to de-escalate in the face of the ghouls who threaten my life. I cannot trust you yet. When I feel your strength, when I hear your determination to never, ever give in to the head-choppers, I will trust you more.

>>Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it
>>in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks
>>to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about
>>"peace processes".

>heres some more for you so that you can get a picture
>thats more accurate than what you currently believe to
>be the typical euro or whatever. i beg you, please READ
>what i say now:
>
>oh yes, as things are, i would stab a knife in that guys back.

>its what the current situation asks for.

Good, I'll get the other one.

>read it? ok, so i can get a little more into detail:

>

>but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back.

>what about the next guy?

BOOM, got 'em

and the one after him?

I have lots of ammo.

>whats the

>best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply.

Remove their support governments, kill as many as we can, plant democracies.

neverending?

Kill them all. Nuke 'em until the survivors say "we give up"

Can you do it? Or do we have to save Europe again?

In truth, it iwll not come to that, but only if we are strong.

>are there, god forbid, several possible approaches? is

>it worth a discussion? cause, it would be unfair to

>the soldiers to make them do this all the time while

>we dont do anything for it to ever change.

We discussed for years and years. Same ole go 'round. One worldview (pacifism) versus another (war) versus another (nukes).

I think the best alternative won. Time for discussion is over.

In England now, the soccer hooligans are starting to join together to attack Muslims. Will you understand their perspective like

you do with the palestinians? Will you use the same reasoning?

You know damn well they will attack all the wrong muslims, all

the good decent ones, you just know it. Is the fault intolerance?

Hate? Violence? Or perhaps the stupid English policies that let so

many islamofascists into the country and now cannot kick them

out? Do you blame the hooligans or kick out the mullahs who are

dancing with glee over the bodies in the tunnels?

>and that is an important discussion because it also
>is a discussion about "how do you fight a fundamentalist
>that doesn't fear death",

The same way we fought the kamikazis and moro tribesmen, and others who did not fear death. You take away their base of support and you kill them until they stop.

>and i say youre focusing on the wrong thing, this is just not
>enough. getting rid of islamofascists (or fascists of
>any kind, for that matter) is one thing. brute force seems
>the best way for that. trying to avoid that such fascists
>gain power on a regular basis in the future is, imo, the maybe
>even more important goal. diplomacy, holding oneself to
>the high standards we used to at least pretend to have, that
>kind of stuff seems like the more promising way to go for
>that (unless you want to tell me that you believe that the
>very concept of diplomacy is at fault).

Diplomacy only works with non-crazy people. At least for the long-term.
Kill the ghouls, negotiate with the sane survivors.

>>And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?
>>Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between
>>free moral people? Think these things will sell to the islamofascists?
>>Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of whoever
>>offers them.
>>Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.

>just like institutionalized religion, really. only difference
>being that it has a much cleaner historical track record.
>but im not about to even start hoping that there will be
>a significant change here anytime soon, cause im not
>religious about that either. this is a change that comes
>over time, separation of religion and politics...
>it seemed to get better in the second half of the
>last century. currently it seems to get worse again.
>oh well.

My point is that when confronted with religion that causes evil,
the solution may not be atheism or secularism, but religion that
causes good.

>>Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they
>>have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an
>>alternative.

>no hatred. a very cynical distance though, yes, definetly.
>i did say a few words about personal belief on the one
>hand on huge monotheistic religious institutions on the other hand and whether
>the latter is any good for this planet.
>you can go back and read it if youre interested, but i guess
>for now its enough. and now ill go to bed. all that
>non-non-judgementalism has make me tired. maybe i secretly am a
>politically correct euro hypocrite after all, but im
>doing my best ;-)

Ah, but if there is a God, then, regardless of the failures of human denominations, some things are better than others, and God just may have a preference concerning how we live that lies outside our ideas, and what you think is good for the planet is likely to be wrong, as is so for me as well.

Just a thought.

DCThe "old" ones with PCI ver.2.2 sockets are the ones to go for with Paris, for the most with AMD processors but Intel will do the job well too. Asus and Epox are good names but I think most of them can do the job with nForce2, VIA or SIS chipsets for PCI 2.2 cards. A card like Matrox Millenium G450 or 550 will do the graphical job well but I know cards with ATI or NVidia chips will do it too. 512MB RAM of good quality, 2 IDE harddisks, Maxtor, Seagate or WD, 40/160 MB, a DVD/CD burner and an ATX power supply 400/550w. Most of these things are in regular use here, so it's more to find out what you will use and can get easily. You can find out more on internet.

Most of these firms are using their names on internet as www.epox.com

erlilo

"Spappy" <Spappy122@yahoo.com> skrev i en meddelelse
news:42d70819\$1@linux...

>I think you guys are right. This computer is way to lame. I need to build a
>new one.

>

> So can you guys give me a list of components that work well with Paris, I
> can have it built but I need a list of components.

>

> Like Mother Board... etc

>
> I plan to use a a wave terminal 192x for sound as I have many virtual
> instruments.

>
> Spappy
>hey, i found out i'm probably a drunk and definitely a jackass...so i
guess i'm deeper than i thought.

;o)

On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:12:05 -0500, Tony <tonyx@standingxhampton.com>
wrote:

>Justin,
>
>I think the problem with these topics is that everyone seems pretty hell
>bent on changing somebody else's politics in the course of a few news group
>posts. That isn't ever going to happen. It takes years for each of us to
>develop our various points of view. The best we can do is try to understand
>each other and live with the fact that everyone doesn't share the same view.
>I'm confident that if we all were to meet somewhere, with no discussion of
>our personal politics, we would get along famously. Don't get me wrong, this
>kind of discourse is good. It helps us understand each other better, if even
>just a little. My wish is that we can all have these discussions, and even
>when they've been heated, at the end still be the great international
>community of PARIS people. That's it, the ICPP! Well, er...maybe a different
>acronym would be better. Anyway, I guess what I'm trying to say is that I
>respect you all, weather we share the same view or not.

>
>Tony

>
>
>
>
>
>On 7/14/05 10:05 PM, in article 42d7280a\$1@linux, "justcron"
><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>
>> Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to make
>> a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we might have made
>> progress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its
>> admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is
>> acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned with
>> their own security and survival.

>>
>> The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs are
>> really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2 axes...
>> liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.
>>

>> Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I
>> can't help it when we're talking about life and death. If anyone is still
>> heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over the
>> years... I'm sorry.

>>
>> Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion.

>>
>>W, you're the first person on any political discussion group that I know of
that has flat out dismissed the test as useless...

its all good.

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:42d7428a\$1@linux...

> Not that every question can be "perfect" but if the outset of this test is
> to determine or assist in determining the political locale of an
> individual or group, "better" questions would be best whereas "imperfect"
> presumptuous ones, it could be said, are clandestine at worst,
> indiscriminate at best.

>
> Case in point, when I tested, I found myself feeling a little tweaked that
> almost every question, for me, was answered with "disagree" when, in fact,
> changing or adding or subtracting just one word from the question could
> have and would have yielded an "agree" response to an identical question.

>
> Is it therefore not implausible to think that the formulations of these
> questions intentionally poses an emotional sub-plot to cause a more
> liberal respondent to think or feel he is an agreeable person, to continue
> answering emotionally whereas, a more conservative thinker, by same
> questions, could reasonably be made to feel out of step and highly
> disagreeable with his/her repetitive "disagree" responses. An easily
> predictable downside being; the common desire to "fit in" skews the
> response data by emotionally manipulating the respondent.

>
> Believe me, it aint the first or last time this has been done.

>
> Then you get to DeeJ's concern where the question takes a position, which
> position, when the question is answered, is assumed to be an agreed upon
> position by both questioner and respondent when, in fact, that is not the
> case at all. How reliable is an answer to such a question and if not
> reliable, what use can it possible serve? And how reliable are the
> answers to a series of questions, of which questions it can be said, are
> readily identifiable as being unnecessarily emotionally manipulative to
> vast majority of respondents while simultaneously being presumptuous on
> key issues?

>
> I would say NOT very reliable at all in terms of collective responses. My
> matrix is mostly accurate but only because I don't care if the answer to a

> series of question is repetitively "no." The answer to any question, when
> answered truthfully, does not change and, I am not inclined to emotional
> manipulation in such cases.
>
> I'm with DeeJ on this... flawed test and therefore, almost useless for the
> collection of group data and certainly "slurry" data when it comes to
> presumed agreement between questioner and respondent on political issues.
>
> Dubya Mark Wilson
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d585d3\$1@linux...
>> the questions are simplistic while complex. they're trying to score you
>> on an axis with imperfect questions.
>
>As a rule people only call into radio shows and post to blogs and such
to have THEIR world view reinforced. Not to have in depth discussions
about other peoples views.

John

rick wrote:

> hey, i found out i'm probably a drunk and definitely a jackass...so i
> guess i'm deeper than i thought.
>
> ;o)
>
> On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:12:05 -0500, Tony <tonyx@standingxhampton.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Justin,
>>
>>I think the problem with these topics is that everyone seems pretty hell
>>bent on changing somebody else's politics in the course of a few news group
>>posts. That isn't ever going to happen. It takes years for each of us to
>>develop our various points of view. The best we can do is try to understand
>>each other and live with the fact that everyone doesn't share the same view.
>>I'm confident that if we all were to meet somewhere, with no discussion of
>>our personal politics, we would get along famously. Don't get me wrong, this
>>kind of discourse is good. It helps us understand each other better, if even
>>just a little. My wish is that we can all have these discussions, and even
>>when they've been heated, at the end still be the great international
>>community of PARIS people. That's it, the ICPP! Well, er...maybe a different
>>acronym would be better. Anyway, I guess what I'm trying to say is that I
>>respect you all, weather we share the same view or not.

>>
>>Tony
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>On 7/14/05 10:05 PM, in article 42d7280a\$1@linux, "justcron"
>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to make
>>>a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 09:21:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

deeper than i thought.
>
> ;o)
>
> On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:12:05 -0500, Tony <tonyx@standingxhampton.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Justin,
>>
>>I think the problem with these topics is that everyone seems pretty hell
>>bent on changing somebody else's politics in the course of a few news
>>group
>>posts. That isn't ever going to happen. It takes years for each of us to
>>develop our various points of view. The best we can do is try to
>>understand
>>each other and live with the fact that everyone doesn't share the same
>>view.
>>I'm confident that if we all were to meet somewhere, with no discussion of
>>our personal politics, we would get along famously. Don't get me wrong,
>>this
>>kind of discourse is good. It helps us understand each other better, if
>>even
>>just a little. My wish is that we can all have these discussions, and even
>>when they've been heated, at the end still be the great international
>>community of PARIS people. That's it, the ICPP! Well, er...maybe a
>>different
>>acronym would be better. Anyway, I guess what I'm trying to say is that I
>>respect you all, weather we share the same view or not.
>>

>>Tony

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>On 7/14/05 10:05 PM, in article 42d7280a\$1@linux, "justcron"

>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>

>>> Usually I just feel like I'm banging my head against the wall trying to

>>> make

>>> a point... I still do to a certain extent, but I think we might have

>>> made

>>> progress. I kinda understand more where people are coming from. Its

>>> admirable to think the best about humans and believe the government is

>>> acting in our best interest. I know people are ultimately concerned

>>> with

>>> their own security and survival.

>>>

>>> The politicalcompass thing was helpful to show that political beliefs

>>> are

>>> really a complex combination of issues, at the very least needing 2

>>> axes...

>>> liberal/conservative context is hardly useful to categorize people.

>>>

>>> Some people say you shouldn't catch feelings over a message board, but I

>>> can't help it when we'r

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 11:22:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

s

>>> in Miami in Der Spiegel & had a US soldier live next door to

>>> you & he was a dick, and of course, all Americans are exactly

>>> like he was.

>>>

>>> BTW, the Israeli thing is VERY different than the situation we

>>> face with radical Islam... they do NOT want peace more than

>>> war, they only want to kill all the "infidels" and sing praise

>>> & glory to their blood-soaked god Allah, while trying to knock

>>> the rest of the world back into the 12th century, economically,

>>> societally, and politically. So, knowing this, and seeing what

>>> they've done beginning on 9/11 and continuing to this day, I

>>> think a slightly "different" approach is what's called for.

>>>

>>> Neil

>>>

>>

>>

>>My few is nail the fuckers when you can find them, and make life absolutely miserable for those who are helping them.

But then you're making them mad and breeding millions more of them. The answer to this may be exactly what GWB is wanting to do.....give the people a say in their own lives. Of course, this pisses off the religious zealots who want to keep the population in lockdown, the Sunni's in Iraq who used to be in power in Iraq and now are not and the those who think we are exporting democracy at the point of a gun because they don't like our policies. Funny though, I sure don't see the general population of Afghanistan clamoring for the return of the Taliban. I also don't see a mass uprising in Iraq in favor of returning Sadaam to power.

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d7acb1@linux...

>

> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d7521a\$1@linux...

>>

>> Germaine (NOT "Jackson" lol) to your post:

>> For the record... I'm all for a peaceful solution; however (and
>> let's now bring this over to a personal level where more people
>> may be able to understand it rather than conceptualize it), if
>> it's apparent that the guy on the opposite side of the ring
>> from you does not want to take off his gloves, but would rather
>> beat the shit out of you until you are dead, you have EXACTLY
>> two choices:

>>

>> 1.) get beaten until you are dead.

>> 2.) beat the shit out of him until he is.

>>

>> What would you do?

>>

>> This is pretty fucking simple to me.

>>

>> Neil

>>

>

> I'm in total agreement here Neil the only problem with your scenario is,

> after you've done the first guy....there are 1000 more right behind him

and

> half of the paying audience who came to watch this smack down is booing
you

> cause they think you were a little hard on his sorry ass.

>

> This is a religious war being waged by ignorant extremists who for
whatever

> reason have decided we're the enemy and as we all know once you bring
> religion into the mix common sense and rational behavior

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 11:30:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r go right out the
> window.
>
> My few is nail the fuckers when you can find them, and make life
absolutely
> miserable for those who are helping them.
>
> More shit has been done in the name of God and quite frankly I think she's
a
> tad pissed right about now.
>
>
>
> Don
>
>
>
> >
> > "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:
> >>Randy Newman wrote a satire (tinyurl.com/d83pb) many years ago, the
> >>tagline
> >
> >>of which, best expresses my current view on the best solution to the
> >>unceasing problem of terrorism emanating from middle east command and
> >>means.... which is to say "most" terrorism.
> >>
> >>I really am there. This is THE one way to ratt out the enemy and
> >>simultaneously send the message to any further suiters: "Step right up
> > for
> >>your one-way bullet train to Allah, no purchase necessary, see fineprint
> > on
> >>tip of warhead for details."
> >>
> >>The thing that put me over the edge was the piece of human waste that
blew
>

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 14:15:54 GMT

br />

> approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> track record of deescalation in history)

>

>

>

>> You haven't convinced me that Rabin could
>>have pulled it off.

>

>

> i didnt expect to. heck, i dont know it myself.

>

>

>>Here's how the palestinians could have peace in a week:

>>

>>Go Ghandi on them. Sit down, inb the streets, renounce violence forever.

>>Ask the world for justice. They would get their demands in a week

>>or less, and if israel didn't like it, the american people would force it

>>on them by withholding any more aid.

>

>

> yes. too bad it wouldnt work because their religious
> fanatic idiots. theres a reason why i talked so much
> about the good timing of rabin. you gotta force religious
> idiots into their own good. too bad the timing wasnt quite
> right and a handful of steps at a time was still to
> extreme of a dialog for some extremist idiots. it
> wasnt even an islamic extremist idiot but a jewish one
> who ultimately destroyed it all - but it could very
> well have been one.

>

>

>> Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the
>>arabs and the palestinians, you hypocritical, arrogant fools?

>

>

> oh we never demand a damn thing from the arabs?
> thats funny. usually, especially where i live, its a tradition
> to not ask anything from israel (obvious reason being
> germany's history, were simply in no position to ask *anything*
> from them). maybe you should study the people you want
> to call hypocritical arrogant fools a little more before
> you go ahead with it.

>

> but ive had enough of the stereotype discussion. suit yourself
> and bathe in your stupid cliches if it makes you feel
> any better.

>
>
>>Why do you not rise up in horror and demand that these acts stop?
>>Answer this one Derek, if you can.
>
>
> oh, we do. we do. you just dont notice, cause you only
> notice what fits into the dumb black and white picture you
> have of us.
>
>
>>Instead you speak of "root causes" and criticize Bush. It's wrong, and
>>foolish.
>
>
> i dont know what a "root cause" is. i know i definetly
> didnt come here to criticize bush. i think i said so about
> a dozen times.
>
>
>
>>I cannot support the euro position on this, because I NEVER hear
>>any demands for change, or criticism of moslems coming from
>>europe. Just of the jews and america. Until I see otherwise,
>>we must stay the course.
>
>
> see, one problem is that i can watch almost all US TV stations
> and buy, read and understand almost all US press. so i can
> regularly get an idea about whats going on in the US, and
> germans usually are very interested in that, cause, despite
> the current arguments, germany still looks up to the US.
> its a bit like with an older brother you currently dont talk
> much to, even though most germans probably would have a
> problem in admitting that.
>
>
> on the other hand, i can tell from all the BS here that most
> of you people have not the slightest idea about whats
> going in germany. you talk about us not criticizing
> muslims and not asking anything from those countries,
> yet just as we speak theres an election campaign with
> a debate about how to deal with turkey, and also
> how to deal with potential islamists in our country,
> with our current minister of internal security and
> the guy who wants his post totally going berserk and
> extremely to the right. and if theres a classic
> debate in germany if ive ever seen one, its the debate
> about whether its good that its a principal no-no to

> openly criticize anything thats even remotely related
> to anything jewish. there are extremists that have a problem
> with that (they call it a sick case of non-judgmentalism...sounds
> familiar), i personally think its only adequate and should
> stay so for at least another 50 years.
>
> so i dont really know what to reply to such accusations.
> its so completely unreal, i dont know where to start.
>
>
>>See, any response to terrorism besides negotiation is either
>>"muscle flexing" or "cowboys" or "macho".
>
>
> muscle flexing is what i called it, getting bored by the
> "politics of force" terms i used the entire force.
> "cowboys" and "macho" is yet another projection of yours.
>
>
>> And you cannot
>>see how america might see europe as so arrogant and sefl-absorbed
>>that your views are not important to us?
>
>
> thats a bit besides the point because im sure that
> our views are not important to you either way. i do
> get an idea about how these stereotypes come up and
> especially, how they nicely grow, completely undisturbed
> by anything that resembles reality. you know squat about
> my country, period. its ridiculous. yet you believe
> you can judge my country, where i, who probably knows a
> couple hundred times more about YOUR country, make it
> a point to repeatedly stress how i do NOT want or feel
> entitled to judge or criticize it. its quite absurd, really.
>
>
>>I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if
>>you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a
>>failure
>
>
> you know what, do me a favour here: please come up with
> the quote where i said that i always see it as a failure.
> either that or maybe you might want to actually stop it
> and apologize. its really getting boring to repeat
> and
> repeat and repeat and repeat it, with no effect.
> i have the feeling youre not talking to me but to

> some imaginary "The Europe Dude" that you made up for
> yourself to argue against.
>
>
>
>> do not expect us to listen since you care more for your
>>ideology than you apparently care for our lives.
>
>
> i do care only about the lives. at several points i
> pointed out how i believe we all want the same (among
> other things i mentioned this as reason why i dont belong
> to the george-bush-is-evil-group). i do find the
> ideal way to this common goal open for debate.
> you obviously disagree on this one. its a little weird
> why you would enter a debate about it anyway then. is it
> just to spank my ideologic european ass?
>
>
>>"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words
>>that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only
>>failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.
>
>
> its a term rabin, a man that i admire, used himself,
> so ill leave the name calling to you. one could argue
> that the times where the terror was at an all time low
> saved lots of lives, but whatever.
>
>
>
>>Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it
>>in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks
>>to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about
>>"peace processes".
>
>
> heres some more for you so that you can get a picture
> thats more accurate than what you currently believe to
> be the typical euro or whatever. i beg you, please READ
> what i say now:
>
>
> oh yes, as things are, i would stab a knife in that guys back.
> its what the current situation asks for.
>
>
> read it? ok, so i can get a little more into detail:
>
>
> but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back.

> what about the next guy? and the one after him? whats the
> best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply.
> are there, god forbid, several possible approaches? is
> it worth a discussion? cause, it would be unfair to
> the soldiers to make them do this all the time while
> we dont do anything for it to ever change.
>
>
>
>
>> Until you allow for the full range of responses,
>>you are acting as an advocate for them.
>
>
> theres someone not ready for the "full range of responses"
> here, but it aint me.
>
>
>>So, if you could get a "peace process" going while he was at the
>>peak of his powers, it might have succeeded? What? Until when,
>>the following thursday?
>
>
> in the right tempo to draw all religious fanatic idiots
> on all sides (repeat: ALL sides. that includes the moslems!
> do you hear me!) with them.
>
>
>>His greed and corruption would have
>>eventually toppled him and your peace process.
>
>
> youre confusing things here, its what actually got him
> to participate. and boy did it help him. it turned him
> from an internationally sought after outlaw into
> a somewhat respected politician, probably making him
> richer than he ever dreamt of in the process. you tell
> me that guy wanted to go back into tunnel system terrorist
> mode? never. man is too selfish and greedy for that.
>
>
>>Do you really believe that hamas and hizbullah would not have
>>formed if Arafat had made a deal with Rabin??
>
>
> they had already formed. the difference was, arafat had them
> under control and as long as he came home with results
> from the peace process, he had the people in his back.

>
>
>
>>And why are they able to do this? Is it not the actions of the
>>palestinians and the arabs?
>
>
> why yes of course it is (see above).
>
>
>> An american
>>president who did not force them to accept peace in this scenario
>>would be voted out or even impeached.
>
>
> this is not where the conflict lies. the conflict, unfortunately,
> lies in the religious aspects. i for one am pretty sure
> that there would have been some sort of agreement
> down there long ago, if only all this shitty land and
> these shitty cities werent as "sacred" as they supposedly are.
> as i said i dont have the ultimate solution. i just dont
> believe you have it either, and comparing the track
> record or the different approaches down there, im inclined
> to believe that the brute force method, which worked other
> times, doesnt seem to work this time.
>
> and that is an important discussion because it also
> is a discussion about "how do you fight a fundamentalist
> that doesnt fear death", about "is there a way to
> get along with the islam", about "how do you go to
> war with something as abstract as terror". yes, i do believe
> this is the most important conflict to be solved and that
> it could serve as role model for the same conflict were
> having (or going to have) on a much bigger global scale.
>
> im worried about that. no wait, im sitting on my euro
> ass waiting for everything to explode first, and then
> ill think about it, cause thats how we arrogant euro
> assholes are? right?
>
> ...
>
>
>>You criticize Bush, but he blasts Israel every single time they
>>respond to terrorism as we have. What hypocrisy!
>
>
> yeah, it would be if i would criticize bush for not criticizing

> israel. of course i nowhere said that. again, youre kindly
> invited to come up with a quote.
>
> or wait, maybe you misunderstood this one:
>
>> the US dont reign over israel - theyll
>>>do what THEY think is best for them.
>
>
> with "they" i of course mean israel. my very point was
> that bush can criticize them all he wants (and he does),
> but they dont respond much to that, and thats i sad irony
> that so much of the arabic hatred towards israel comes
> from the overestimated power the US supposedly has on
> israel.
>
>
>>So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding
>>places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find,
>>them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at
>>it until we win.
>
>
> yes thats your approach. it sometimes worked in the
> past, sometimes it didnt. just like deescalation.
> theres no perfect solution that automatically is the
> best solution at all times.
>
>
>> I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation
>>is impossible with islamofascists.
>
>
> and i say youre focusing on the wrong thing, this is just not
> enough. getting rid of islamofascists (or fascists of
> any kind, for that matter) is one thing. brute force seems
> the best way for that. trying to avoid that such fascists
> gain power on a regular basis in the future is, imo, the maybe
> even more important goal. diplomacy, holding oneself to
> the high standards we used to at least pretend to have, that
> kind of stuff seems like the more promising way to go for
> that (unless you want to tell me that you believe that the
> very concept of diplomacy is at fault).
>
> the problem is that solution nr.1 is the direct opposite
> of solution number nr.2. theres room for improvement here.
> at least thats what i think, when i look at israel, or,
> to use another example for a change, afghanistan, where

> my selfish country does the dirty laundry for you without
> you noticing because its not in the news.
>
>
>>And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?
>>Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between
>>free moral people? Think these things will sell to the islamofascists?
>>Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of whoever
>>offers them.
>>Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.
>
>
> just like institutionalized religion, really. only difference
> being that is has a much cleaner historical track record.
> but im not about to even start hoping that there will be
> a significant change here anytime soon, cause im not
> religious about that either. this is a change that comes
> over time, separation of religion and politics...
> it seemed to get better in the second half of the
> last century. currently it seems to get worse again.
> oh well.
>
>
>
>>Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they
>>have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an
>>alternative.
>
>
> no hatred. a very cynical distance though, yes, definetly.
> i did say a few words about personal belief on the one
> hand on huge monotheistic religious institutions on the other hand and
> whether
> the latter is any good for this planet.
> you can go back and read it if youre interested, but i guess
> for now its enough. and now ill go to bed. all that
> non-non-judgementalism has make me tired. maybe i secretly am a
> politically correct euro hypocrite after all, but im
> doing my best ;-)
-----000202090307070204020708
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't know if you cats have seen this but it's pretty humourous so
here ya go....

jef

Last Updated: April 5, 1994

The Book of Creation

Chapter 1

1

In the beginning God created Dates.

2

And the date was Monday, July 4, 4004 BC.

3

And God said, let there be light; and there was light. And when there was Light, God saw the Date, that it was Monday, and he got down to work; for verily, he had a Big Job to do.

4

And God made pottery shards and Silurian mollusks and pre-Cambrian limestone strata; and flints and Jurassic Mastodon tusks and Picanthopus erectus skulls and Cretaceous placentals made he; and those cave paintings at Lasceaux. And that was that, for the first Work Day.

5

And God saw that he had made many wondrous things, but that he had not wherein to put it all. And God said, Let the heavens be divided from the earth; and let us bury all of these Things which we have made in the earth; but not too deep.

6

And God buried all the Things which he had made, and that was that.

7

And the morning and the evening and the overtime were Tuesday.

8

And God said, Let there be water; and let the dry land appear; and that was that.

9

And God called the dry land Real Estate; and the water called he the Sea. And in the land and beneath it put he crude oil, grades one through six; and natural gas put he thereunder, and prehistoric carboniferous forests yielding anthracite and other ligneous matter; and all these called he Resources; and he made them Abundant.

10

And likewise all that was in the sea, even unto two hundred miles from the dry land, called he resources; all that was therein, like manganese nodules, for instance.

11

And the morning unto the evening had been a long day; which he

called Wednesday.

12

And God said, Let the earth bring forth abundantly every moving creature I can think of, with or without backbones, with or without wings or feet, or fins or claws, vestigial limbs and all, right now; and let each one be of a separate species. For lo, I can make whatsoever I like, whensoever I like.

13

And the earth brought forth abundantly all creatures, great and small, with and without backbones, with and without wings and feet and fins and claws, vestigial limbs and all, from bugs to brontosaurus.

14

But God blessed them all, saying, Be fruitful and multiply and Evolve Not.

15

And God looked upon the species he hath made, and saw that the earth was exceedingly crowded, and he said unto them, Let each species compete for what it needed; for Healthy Competition is My Law. And the species competeth amongst themselves, the cattle and the creeping things; and some madeth it and some didn't; and the dogs ate the dinosaurs and God was pleased.

16

And God took the bones from the dinosaurs, and caused them to appear mighty old; and cast he them about the land and the sea. And he took every tiny creature that had not madeth it, and caused them to become fossils; and cast he them about likewise.

17

And just to put matters beyond the valley of the shadow of a doubt God created carbon dating. And this is the origin of species.

18

And in the Evening of the day which was Thursday, God saw that he had put in another good day's work.

19

And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness, which is tall and well-formed and pale of hue: and let us also make monkeys, which resembleth us not in any wise, but are short and ill-formed and hairy. And God added, Let man have dominion over the monkeys and the fowl of the air and every speices, endangered or otherwise.

20

So God created Man in His own image; tall and well-formed and pale of hue created He him, and nothing at all like the monkeys.

21

And God said, Behold I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of the earth. But ye shalt not smoketh it, lest it giveth you ideas.

22

And to every beast of the earth and every fowl of the air I have given also every green herb, and to them it shall be for meat. But they shall be for you. And the Lord God your Host suggesteth that the flesh of cattle goeth well with that of the fin and the claw; thus shall Surf be wedded unto Turf.

23

And God saw everything he had made, and he saw that it was very good; and God said, It just goes to show Me what the private sector can accomplish. With a lot of fool regulations this could have taken billions of years.

24

And the evening of the fifth day, which had been the roughest day yet, God said, Thank me it's Friday. And God made the weekend.

Chapter 2

1

Thus the heavens and the earth were finished, and all in five days, and all less than six thousand years ago; and if thou believest it not, in a sling shalt thou find thy hindermost quarters

2

Likewise God took the dust of the ground, and the slime of the Sea and the scum of the earth and formed Man therefrom; and breathed the breath of life right in his face. And he became Free to Choose.

3

And God made a Marketplace eastward of Eden, in which the man was free to play. And this was the Free Play of the Marketplace.

4

And out of the ground made the LORD God to grow four trees: the Tree of Life, and the Liberty Tree, and the Pursuit of Happiness Tree, and the Tree of the Knowledge of Sex.

5

And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, This is my Law, which is called the Law of Supply and Demand. Investeth thou in the trees of Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness, and thou shalt make for thyself a fortune. For what fruit thou eatest not, that thou mayest sell, and with the seeds thereof expand thy operations.

6

But the fruit of the tree of the Knowledge of Sex, thou mayest no eat; nor mayest thou invest therein, nor profit thereby nor expand its operations; for that is a mighty waste of seed.

7

And the man was exceeding glad. But he asked the LORD God: Who then shall labor in this Marketplace? For I am no management, being tall and well-formed and pale of hue?

8

And the LORD God said unto himself, Verily, this kid hath the

potential which is Executive.

9

And out of the ground the LORD God formed every beast of the field and every fowl of the air, and brought them unto Adam to labor for him. And they labored for peanuts.

10

Then Adam was again exceeding glad. But he spake once more unto the LORD God, saying, Lo, I am free to play in the Marketplace of the LORD, and have cheap labor in plenty; but to whom shall I sell my surplus fruit and realize a fortune thereby?

11

And the LORD God said unto himself, Verily, this is an Live One.

12

And he caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam and he took from him one of his ribs, which was an spare rib.

13

And the spare rib which the LORD God had taken from the man, made he woman. And he brought her unto the man, saying:

14

This is Woman and she shall purchase your fruit; and ye shall realize a fortune thereby. For Man produceth and Woman consumeth, wherefore she shall be called the consumer.

15

And they were both decently clad, the Man and the Woman, from the neck even unto the ankles, so they were not ashamed.

Chapter 3

1

Now the snake in the grass was more permissive than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Why has thou accepted this lowly and submissive role? For art thou not human, even as the man is human?

2

And the woman said unto the snake in the grass, the LORD God hath ordained that I am placed under the man, and must do whatsoever he telleth me to do; for is he not the Man?

3

But the snake in the grass laughed an cunning laugh, and said unto the woman, Is it not right and just that thou shouldst fulfill thy potential? For art thou not comely in thy flesh, even as the man is comely in his flesh?

4

And the woman said, Nay, I know not, for hath not the LORD God clad us decently, from the neck even unto the ankles; and forbidden that we eat of the Tree of the Knowledge of Sex?

5

But the snake in the grass said unto the woman, whispering even into her very ear, saying, Whatsoever feeleth good, do thou it; and believeth thou me, it feeleth good.

6

And when the woman saw the fruit of the Tree of the Knowledge of Sex, that it was firm and plump and juicy, she plucked thereof, and sank her teeth therein, and gave also to her husband, and he likewise sank his teeth therein.

7

And the eyes of both of them were opened, and they saw that they were not naked.

8

And the woman loosened then Adam's uppermost garment, and he likewise loosened hers; and she loosened his nethermost garment, and the man then loosened her nethermost garment; until they wer

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 15:54:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Suppose there isn't?

> >

> >

> > i did. its why im interested in this discussion.

> > a childish "i know 100% percent im right" attitude

> > isnt exactly helping, but at least in parts your

> > post gets over the standard stereotype attitude. it seems to

> > help that i repeatedly write about the things i dont

> > think, even though it doesnt fit into your arrogant euro pacifist
drawer:

> >

> >

> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.

> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.

> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.

> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.

> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.

> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.

> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.

> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.

> >

> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be

> > negotiated

> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be

> > negotiated

> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be

> > negotiated

> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
> > negotiated
> >
> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> > track record of deescalation in history)
> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> > track record of deescalation in history)
> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> > track record of deescalation in history)
> >
> >
> >
> >> You haven't convinced me that Rabin could
> >>have pulled it off.
> >
> >
> > i didnt expect to. heck, i dont know it myself.
> >
> >
> >>Here's how the palestinians could have peace in a week:
> >>
> >>Go Ghandi on them. Sit down, inb the streets, renounce violence
forever.
> >>Ask the world for justice. They would get their demands in a week
> >>or less, and if israel didn't like it, the american people would force
it
> >>on them by withholding any more aid.
> >
> >
> > yes. too bad it wouldnt work because their religious
> > fanatic idiots. theres a reason why i talked so much
> > about the good timing of rabin. you gotta force religious
> > idiots into their own good. too bad the timing wasnt quite
> > right and a handful of steps at a time was still to
> > extreme of a dialog for some extremist idiots. it
> > wasnt even an islamic extremist idiot but a jewish one

> > who ultimately destroyed it all - but it could very
> > well have been one.
> >
> >
> >> Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the
> >>arabs and the palestinians, you hypocritical, arrogant fools?
> >
> >
> > oh we never demand a damn thing from the arabs?
> > thats funny. usually, especially where i live, its a tradition
> > to not ask anything from israel (obvious reason being
> > germany's history, were simply in no position to ask *anything*
> > from them). maybe you should study the people you want
> > to call hypocritical arrogant fools a little more before
> > you go ahead with it.
> >
> > but ive had enough of the stereotype discussion. suit yourself
> > and bathe in your stupid cliches if it makes you feel
> > any better.
> >
> >
> >>Why do you not rise up in horror and demand that these acts stop?
> >>Answer this one Derek, if you can.
> >
> >
> > oh, we do. we do. you just dont notice, cause you only
> > notice what fits into the dumb black and white picture you
> > have of us.
> >
> >
> >>Instead you speak of "root causes" and criticize Bush. It's wrong, and
> >>foolish.
> >
> >
> > i dont know what a "root cause" is. i know i definetly
> > didnt come here to criticize bush. i think i said so about
> > a dozen times.
> >
> >
> >
> >>I cannot support the euro position on this, because I NEVER hear
> >>any demands for change, or criticism of moslems coming from
> >>europe. Just of the jews and america. Until I see otherwise,
> >>we must stay the course.
> >
> >
> > see, one problem is that i can watch almost all US TV stations
> > and buy, read and understand almost all US press. so i can

> > regularly get an idea about whats going on in the US, and
> > germans usually are very interested in that, cause, despite
> > the current arguments, germany still looks up to the US.
> > its a bit like with an older brother you currently dont talk
> > much to, even though most germans probably would have a
> > problem in admitting that.
> >
> > on the other hand, i can tell from all the BS here that most
> > of you people have not the slightest idea about whats
> > going in germany. you talk about us not criticizing
> > muslims and not asking anything from those countries,
> > yet just as we speak theres an election campaign with
> > a debate about how to deal with turkey, and also
> > how to deal with potential islamists in our country,
> > with our current minister of internal security and
> > the guy who wants his post totally going berserk and
> > extremely to the right. and if theres a classic
> > debate in germany if ive ever seen one, its the debate
> > about whether its good that its a principal no-no to
> > openly criticize anything thats even remotely related
> > to anything jewish. there are extremists that have a problem
> > with that (they call it a sick case of non-judgmentalism...sounds
> > familiar), i personally think its only adequate and should
> > stay so for at least another 50 years.
> >
> > so i dont really know what to reply to such accusations.
> > its so completely unreal, i dont know where to start.
> >
> >
> >>See, any response to terrorism besides negotiation is either
> >>"muscle flexing" or "cowboys" or "macho".
> >
> >
> > muscle flexing is what i called it, getting bored by the
> > "politics of force" terms i used the entire force.
> > "cowboys" and "macho" is yet another projection of yours.
> >
> >
> >> And you cannot
> >>see how america might see europe as so arrogant and sefl-absorbed
> >>that your views are not important to us?
> >
> >
> > thats a bit besides the point because im sure that
> > our views are not important to you either way. i do
> > get an idea about how these stereotypes come up and
> > especially, how they nicely grow, completely undisturbed
> > by anything that resembles reality. you know squat about

> > my country, period. its ridiculous. yet you believe
> > you can judge my country, where i, who probably knows a
> > couple hundred times more about YOUR country, make it
> > a point to repeatedly stress how i do NOT want or feel
> > entitled to judge or criticize it. its quite absurd, really.
> >
> >
> >>I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if
> >>you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a
> >>failure
> >
> >
> > you know what, do me a favour here: please come up with
> > the quote where i said that i always see it as a failure.
> > either that or maybe you might want to actually stop it
> > and apologize. its really getting boring to repeat
> > and
> > repeat and repeat and repeat it, with no effect.
> > i have the feeling youre not talking to me but to
> > some imaginary "The Europe Dude" that you made up for
> > yourself to argue against.
> >
> >
> >
> >> do not expect us to listen since you care more for your
> >>ideology than you apparently care for our lives.
> >
> >
> > i do care only about the lives. at several points i
> > pointed out how i believe we all want the same (among
> > other things i mentioned this as reason why i dont belong
> > to the george-bush-is-evil-group). i do find the
> > ideal way to this common goal open for debate.
> > you obviously disagree on this one. its a little weird
> > why you would enter a debate about it anyway then. is it
> > just to spank my ideologic european ass?
> >
> >
> >>"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words
> >>that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only
> >>failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.
> >
> >
> > its a term rabin, a man that i admire, used himself,
> > so ill leave the name calling to you. one could argue
> > that the times where the terror was at an all time low
> > saved lots of lives, but whatever.
> >

> >
> >
> >> Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it
> >> in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks
> >> to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about
> >> "peace processes".
> >
> >
> > heres some more for you so that you can get a picture
> > thats more accurate than what you currently believe to
> > be the typical euro or whatever. i beg you, please READ
> > what i say now:
> >
> > oh yes, as things are, i would stab a knife in that guys back.
> > its what the current situation asks for.
> >
> > read it? ok, so i can get a little more into detail:
> >
> > but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back.
> > what about the next guy? and the one after him? whats the
> > best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply.
> > are there, god forbid, several possible approaches? is
> > it worth a discussion? cause, it would be unfair to
> > the soldiers to make them do this all the time while
> > we dont do anything for it to ever change.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >> Until you allow for the full range of responses,
> >> you are acting as an advocate for them.
> >
> >
> > theres someone not ready for the "full range of responses"
> > here, but it aint me.
> >
> >
> >> So, if you could get a "peace process" going while he was at the
> >> peak of his powers, it might have succeeded? What? Until when,
> >> the following thursday?
> >
> >
> > in the right tempo to draw all religious fanatic idiots
> > on all sides (repeat: ALL sides. that includes the moslems!
> > do you hear me!) with them.
> >
> >
> >> His greed and corruption would have

> >>eventually toppled him and your peace process.
> >
> >
> > youre confusing things here, its what actually got him
> > to participate. and boy did it help him. it turned him
> > from an internationally sought after outlaw into
> > a somewhat respected politician, probably making him
> > richer than he ever dreamt of in the process. you tell
> > me that guy wanted to go back into tunnel system terrorist
> > mode? never. man is too selfish and greedy for that.
> >
> >
> >>Do you really believe that hamas and hizbullah would not have
> >>formed if Arafat had made a deal with Rabin??
> >
> >
> > they had already formed. the difference was, arafat had them
> > under control and as long as he came home with results
> > from the peace process, he had the people in his back.
> >
> >
> >
> >>And why are they able to do this? Is it not the actions of the
> >>palestinians and the arabs?
> >
> >
> > why yes of course it is (see above).
> >
> >
> >> An american
> >>president who did not force them to accept peace in this scenario
> >>would be voted out or even impeached.
> >
> >
> > this is not where the conflict lies. the conflict, unfortunately,
> > lies in the religious aspects. i for one am pretty sure
> > that there would have been some sort of agreement
> > down there long ago, if only all this shitty land and
> > these shitty cities werent as "sacred" as they supposedly are.
> > as i said i dont have the ultimate solution. i just dont
> > believe you have it either, and comparing the track
> > record or the different approaches down there, im inclined
> > to believe that the brute force method, which worked other
> > times, doesnt seem to work this time.
> >
> >
> > and that is an important discussion because it also
> > is a discussion about "how do you fight a fundamentalist
> > that doesnt fear death", about "is there a way to

> > get along with the islam", about "how do you go to
> > war with something as abstract as terror". yes, i do believe
> > this is the most important conflict to be solved and that
> > it could serve as role model for the same conflict were
> > having (or going to have) on a much bigger global scale.
> >
> > im worried about that. no wait, im sitting on my euro
> > ass waiting for everything to explode first, and then
> > ill think about it, cause thats how we arrogant euro
> > assholes are? right?
> >
> > ...
> >
> >
> >>You criticize Bush, but he blasts Israel every single time they
> >>respond to terrorism as we have. What hypocrisy!
> >
> >
> > yeah, it would be if i would criticize bush for not criticizing
> > israel. of course i nowhere said that. again, youre kindly
> > invited to come up with a quote.
> >
> > or wait, maybe you misunderstood this one:
> >
> >> the US dont reign over israel - theyll
> >>>do what THEY think is best for them.
> >
> >
> > with "they" i of course mean israel. my very point was
> > that bush can criticize them all he wants (and he does),
> > but they dont respond much to that, and thats i sad irony
> > that so much of the arabic hatred towards israel comes
> > from the overestimated power the US supposedly has on
> > israel.
> >
> >
> >>So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding
> >>places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find,
> >>them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at
> >>it until we win.
> >
> >
> > yes thats your approach. it sometimes worked in the
> > past, sometimes it didnt. just like deescalation.
> > theres no perfect solution that automatically is the
> > best solution at all times.
> >
> >

> >> I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation
> >>is impossible with islamofascists.
> >
> >
> > and i say youre focusing on the wrong thing, this is just not
> > enough. getting rid of islamofascists (or fascists of
> > any kind, for that matter) is one thing. brute force seems
> > the best way for that. trying to avoid that such fascists
> > gain power on a regular basis in the future is, imo, the maybe
> > even more important goal. diplomacy, holding oneself to
> > the high standards we used to at least pretend to have, that
> > kind of stuff seems like the more promising way to go for
> > that (unless you want to tell me that you believe that the
> > very concept of diplomacy is at fault).
> >
> > the problem is that solution nr.1 is the direct opposite
> > of solution number nr.2. theres room for improvement here.
> > at least thats what i think, when i look at israel, or,
> > to use another example for a change, afghanistan, where
> > my selfish country does the dirty laundry for you without
> > you noticing because its not in the news.
> >
> >
> >>And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?
> >>Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between
> >>free moral people? Think these things will sell to the islamofascists?
> >>Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of
whoever
> >>offers them.
> >>Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.
> >
> >
> > just like institutionalized religion, really. only difference
> > being that is has a much cleaner historical track record.
> > but im not about to even start hoping that there will be
> > a significant change here anytime soon, cause im not
> > religious about that either. this is a change that comes
> > over time, separation of religion and politics...
> > it seemed to get better in the second half of the
> > last century. currently it seems to get worse again.
> > oh well.
> >
> >
> >
> >>Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they
> >>have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an
> >>alternative.
> >

> >
> > no hatred. a very cynical distance though, yes, definitely.
> > i did say a few words about personal belief on the one
> > hand on huge monotheistic religious institutions on the other hand and
> > whether
> > the latter is any good for this planet.
> > you can go back and read it if youre interested, but i guess
> > for now its enough. and now ill go to bed. all that
> > non-non-judgementalism has make me tired. maybe i secretly am a
> > politically correct euro hypocrite after all, but im
> > doing my best ;-)
>
>Thank Aaron for this.

;o)<http://www.izpitera.ru/lj/tetka.swf>

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:42d7f51c@linux...

> Thank Aaron for this.

>

> ;o)

>

>Cron,

Here's a couple of comments from my friend whose brother
worked in the building. Sorry, he asked me to take out the names
and organizations.

The plane was sent into the Pentagon around the same time, to
what, distract people from noticing the bombs going off in the
WTC? So why have the planes fly into them, if the US Govt. was
going to bring them down with bombs? The first WTC bombing was
from bombs, so it wouldn't have been suspicious to have bombs...so
why use the planes?

I recall talking to my brother later that day and he said he wasn't a
bit surprised. Another brother, XXXX, builds electronic safety
equipment & has strong ties to the FDNY, their Rescue squads and
knew several men lost on 9-11. There was a FDNY building collapse
expert who'd investigated the Murrah building collapse in OK working
the #7 site and was the one who warned the chiefs not to send
anyone into #7 because it looked so bad.

Apparently my info regarding a single 40,000 gal tank up high may
have been incorrect, but it is absolutely true that a lot of fuel was
stored up high and the FDNY opposed it to no avail. XXXXX's

organization, the NY branch of the XXX XXXX , no longer leases from the Port Authority. They were disgusted with the PA's handling of the 1993 bombing and were never completely satisfied with their #7 offices. Even though Larry Silverstein is the leaseholder, it is still a PA property, and they want nothing to do with them anymore.

Somewhere in my old files from my old computer I have images from people who were <there> on 9-11; I rec'd these within days of the event. Some were from firefighters, some from private citizens. I seem to recall a photo of #7 pre-collapse where you can see the horrific damage to the facade. I'll see if I can find it.

I want to throttle these conspiracy geeks whose political hatred for good people has foisted such time-wasting energy on the public.

He suggests reading this report to debunk the conspiracy theories about 911.

<http://www.popularmechanics.com/science/defense/1227842.html?page=5&c=y>

BTW, I do not believe that any of these structural engineers would lie and corrupt themselves to protect the gummint. They would be called on it in a heartbeat by all the other ones.

DC"erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>I'm seeing exactly the same pattern in American behaviors in our modern

>times as it was before the first world war. American civilians generally

>don't know what a war is on their own body

We do now. Remember 911? Pearl Harbor? Everyone knows someone who lost someone in either Vietnam, Korea, or WWII.

We do not have a romantic picture of war. That is a myth.

>As far as I can understand in readings and seeing, the human feelings
>haven't changed much since then in north and south, after observe what's

>happening in the last two president votings.

This is simply not the case. I am a northerner who has lived and travelled in the south and I can tell you that no one in the north

cares about the civil war, at least as far as having personal feelings about it, and very few in the south do. We are united. I love the south BTW, Memphis, Nashville, New Orleans. Wonderful places.

>So maybe it's really understandable with their "shoot first, then ask" mentality that's often showing up.

arrgghh! How can you say that? If we had that mentality, what would we have done after the first WTC bombing? What would we have done in the first gulf war?

Why don't you recognize that it was european and UN corruption and collusion with Saddam that prevented their supporting us, not a more patient and less violent nature. Shoot first?? Do you think that is supportable? c'mon Erling. You guys get your view of america from movies, admit it.

>So, as far as I can understand, the only real Americans are the indians.

Who came from Siberia and Mongolia.

Guess the real americans are bears, huh?

DC"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d7f8d6@linux...

>

> Cron,

>

> Here's a couple of comments from my friend whose brother
> worked in the building. Sorry, he asked me to take out the names
> and organizations.

see this is whats so infuriating about taking peoples word as fact, rather than looking at the evidence.

> -----

> The plane was sent into the Pentagon around the same time, to
> what, distract people from noticing the bombs going off in the
> WTC? So why have the planes fly into them, if the US Govt. was
> going to bring them down with bombs? The first WTC bombing was
> from bombs, so it wouldn't have been suspicious to have bombs...so
> why use the planes?

irrelevant

> I recall talking to my brother later that day and he said he wasn't a

- > bit surprised. Another brother, XXXX, builds electronic safety
- > equipment & has strong ties to the FDNY, their Rescue squads and
- > knew several men lost on 9-11. There was a FDNY building collapse
- > expert who'd investigated the Murrah building collapse in OK working
- > the #7 site and was the one who warned the chiefs not to send
- > anyone into #7 because it looked so bad.

interesting

- > Apparently my info regarding a single 40,000 gal tank up high may
- > have been incorrect, but it is absolutely true that a lot of fuel was
- > stored up high and the FDNY opposed it to no avail. XXXXX's
- > organization, the NY branch of the XXX XXXX , no longer leases from the
- > Port
- > Authority. They were disgusted with the PA's handling of the 1993 bombing
- > and were never completely satisfied with their #7 offices. Even though
- > Larry
- > Silverstein is the leaseholder, it is still a PA property, and they want
- > nothing to do with them anymore.

As far as I know there was a tank in the basement. Who stores fuel "up high"?

- > Somewhere in my old files from my old computer I have images from
- > people who were <there> on 9-11; I rec'd these within days of the
- > event. Some were from firefighters, some from private citizens. I
- > seem to recall a photo of #7 pre-collapse where you can see the
- > horrific damage to the facade. I'll see if I can find it.

that would be nice

- > I want to throttle these conspiracy geeks whose political hatred for
- > good people has foisted such time-wasting energy on the public.

haha...

- > He suggests reading this report to debunk the conspiracy theories
- > about 911.
- >
- > <http://www.popularmechanics.com/science/defense/1227842.html?page=5&c=y>

I'm not interested in any other conspiracy theories regarding WTC. All I'm saying is my EYES show me that the building came down, not due to random structural damage, but in an intentional controlled demolition. You want to know one of the reasons why the whitehouse hates Dan Rather so much, here it is:

http://www.911research.com/wtc/evidence/videos/docs/wtc_7_cb_s.mpg

> BTW, I do not believe that any of these structural engineers would
> lie and corrupt themselves to protect the gummint. They would
> be called on it in a heartbeat by all the other ones.

I'm disappointed DC. I have not been enlightened. I already know almost every detail about the official explanations. didn't take it personally, really, life's to short...especially as i near the gumming my oatmeal years.

;o)

On Fri, 15 Jul 2005 07:22:26 -0400, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>heh heh... sorry fo the namecalling rick....

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:fuvd1hkcn0qakq3m4gddnf05dlj7f1io3@4ax.com...

>> hey, i found out i'm probably a drunk and definitely a jackass...so i

>> guess i'm deeper than i thought.

>>

>> ;o)

>>

>> On Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:12:05 -0500, Tony <tonyx@standingxhampton.com>

>> wrote:

>>

>>>Justin,

>>>

>>>I think the problem with these topics is that everyone seems pr

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:12:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

up on the conversation.

I dont think there was pods on the planes, or bombs or remote control or any of that crap. I just think theres some type of coverup and the only smoking gun is WTC7, and the insider trading.

Here's a better video of it:

http://www.911research.com/wtc/evidence/videos/docs/wtc7_col_lapse2.mpg

Who knows, maybe I'm wrong, but I dont really see too much structural damage to the facade.>> What the hell do I care about the palestinian's point of view?

>I'll just remind you of what you said in another thread:

>> Making anyone the devil is stupid because the more dangerous
>> they are, the more you must truly understand them, as opposed
>> to making them a cardboard cutout of evil.

So, when someone breaks into your home to kill you, do you ask about their point of view? Try to understand them? Theorize about their resorting to murder because they have no other options?

Nah, you'd cap 'em wouldn't you?

Now, if they want to sit down and relax, tell you about their hard life and unfair treatment, you may become a friend and help them out, but if they are skulking around you with a gun, they deserve to get toasted.

You re-contextualized my comment, which was about political leaders. It's stupid to make Bush, Clinton, Schroeder, etc into "evil" since the truth is always more complex than that. It is with the palestinians too, but is irrelevant as long as they are bombing innocent people.

DCwhere do you guys find the time and desire to bang out such long posts? i gotta stop now...fingers tired.

On Fri, 15 Jul 2005 10:20:10 -0400, "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

>I don't know if you cats

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:16:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

18

> And in the Evening of the day which was Thursday, God saw that he
> had put in another good day's work.

>19

> And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness,
> which is tall and well-formed and pale of hue: and let us also make
> monkeys, which resembleth us not in any wise, but are short and
> ill-formed and hairy. And God added, Let man have dominion over the
> monkeys and the fowl of the air and every speices, endangered or

> otherwise.

>20

> So God created Man in His own image; tall and well-formed and pale
> of hue created He him, and nothing at all like the monkeys.

>21

> And God said, Behold I have given you every herb bearing seed, which
> is upon the face of the earth. But ye shalt not smoketh it, lest it
> giveth you ideas.

>22

> And to every beast of the earth and every fowl of the air I have
> given also every green herb, and to them it shall be for meat. But
> they shall be for you. And the Lord God your Host suggesteth that
> the flesh of cattle goeth well with that of the fin and the claw;
> thus shall Surf be wedded unto Turf.

>23

> And God saw everything he had made, and he saw that it was very
> good; and God said, It just goes to show Me what the private sector
> can accomplish. With a lot of fool regulations this could have taken
> billions of years.

>24

> And the evening of the fifth day, which had been the roughest day
> yet, God said, Thank me it's Friday. And God made the weekend.

>

>

> Chapter 2

>

>1

> Thus the heavens and the earth were finished, and all in five days,
> and all less than six thousand years ago; and if thou believest it
> not, in a sling shalt thou find thy hindermost quarters

>2

> Likewise God took the dust of the ground, and the slime of the Sea
> and the scum of the earth and formed Man therefrom; and breathed the
> breath of life right in his face. And he became Free to Choose.

>3

> And God made a Marketplace eastward of Eden, in which the man was
> free to play. And this was the Free Play of the Marketplace.

>4

> And out of the ground made the LORD God to grow four trees: the Tree
> of Life, and the Liberty Tree, and the Pursuit of Happiness Tree,
> and the Tree of the Knowledge of Sex.

>5

> And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, This is my Law, which is
> called the Law of Supply and Demand. Investeth thou in the trees of
> Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness, and thou shalt make for
> thyself a fortune. For what fruit thou eatest not, that thou mayest
> sell, and with the seeds thereof expand thy operations.

>6

- > But the fruit of the tree of the Knowledge of Sex, thou mayest no
 - > eat; nor mayest thou invest therein, nor profit thereby nor expand
 - > its operations; for that is a mighty waste of seed.
 - >7
 - > And the man was exceeding glad. But he asked the LORD God: Who then
 - > shall labor in this Marketplace? For I am no management, being tall
 - > and well-formed and pale of hue?
 - >8
 - > And the LORD God said unto himself, Verily, this kid hath the
 - > potential which is Executive.
 - >9
 - > And out of the ground the LORD God formed every beast of the field
 - > and every fowl of the air, and brought them unto Adam to labor for
 - > him. And they labored for peanuts.
 - >10
 - > Then Adam was again exceeding glad. But he spake once more unto the
 - > LORD God, saying, Lo, I am free to play in the Marketplace of the
 - > LORD, and have cheap labor in plenty; but to whom shall I sell my
 - > surplus fruit and realize a fortune thereby?
 - >11
 - > And the LORD God said unto himself, Verily, this
-

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:19:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- Man and the Woman, from the
- > neck even unto the ankles, so they were not ashamed.
 - >
 - >
 - > Chapter 3
 - >
 - >1
 - > Now the snake in the grass was more permissive than any beast of the
 - > field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Why
 - > has thou accepted this lowly and submissive role? For art thou not
 - > human, even as the man is human?
 - >2
 - > And the woman said unto the snake in the grass, the LORD God hath
 - > ordained that I am placed under the man, and must do whatsoever he
 - > telleth me to do; for is he not the Man?
 - >3
 - > But the snake in the grass laughed an cunning laugh, and said unto
 - > the woman, Is it not right and just that thou shouldst fulfill thy
 - > potential? For art thou not comely in thy flesh, even as the man is
 - > comely in his flesh?
 - >4

> And the woman said, Nay, I know not, for hath not the LORD God clad
> us decently, from the neck even unto the ankles; and forbidden that
> we eat of the Tree of the Knowledge of Sex?
>5
&g

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:29:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>organization, the NY branch of the XXX XXXX , no longer leases from the Port
>Authority. They were disgusted with the PA's handling of the 1993 bombing
>and were never completely satisfied with their #7 offices. Even though Larry
>Silverstein is the leaseholder, it is still a PA property, and they want
>nothing to do with them anymore.

>
>Somewhere in my old files from my old computer I have images from
>people who were <there> on 9-11; I rec'd these within days of the
>event. Some were from firefighters, some from private citizens. I
>seem to recall a photo of #7 pre-collapse where you can see the
>horrific damage to the facade. I'll see if I can find it.

>
>I want to throttle these conspiracy geeks whose political hatred for
>good people has foisted such time-wasting energy on the public.

>-----

>
>
>He suggests reading this report to debunk the conspiracy theories
>about 911.

>
> <http://www.popularmechanics.com/science/defense/1227842.html?page=5&c=y>

>
>BTW, I do not believe that any of these structural engineers would
>lie and corrupt themselves to protect the gummint. They would
>be called on it in a heartbeat by all the other ones.

>
>DCI've witnessed building demolitions. That does look familiar, but you're
just seeing one side of the very top floors. It's a pretty big stretch to
think that what this is showing is caused by any particular means of
structural failure. It's obviously a failure. I didn't see this thing and
hadn't heard of Dan Rather postulating this as a theory, but knowing the
Rather agenda, it would not surprise me. I'm surprised CBS didn't have
Michael Moore as co-anchor.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

news:42d7fe1e\$1@linux...

> Anyway, I appreciate you following up on the conversation.

>

> I dont think there was pods on the planes, or bombs or remote control or any

> of that crap. I just think theres some type of coverup and the only

> smoking gun is WTC7, and the insider trading.

>

> Here's a better video of it:

> http://www.911research.com/wtc/evidence/videos/docs/wtc7_col_lapse2.mpg

>

>

> Who knows, maybe I'm wrong, but I dont really see too much structural damage

> to the facade.

>

>heres a decent pic of the facade of WTC7. It looks like about 1% of the face is damaged.

I'm seriously open minded about this though.. If you can find a pic showing serious damage to WTC7, I might change my mind, but so far this is all I can find.

"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message news:42d80363@linux...

> I've witnessed building demolitions. That does look familiar, but you're

> just seeing one side of the very top floors. It's a pretty big stretch to

> think that what this is showing is caused by any particular means of

> structural failure. It's obviously a fialure. I didn't see this thing and

> hadn't heard of Dan Rather postulating this as a theory, but knowing the

> *Rather agenda*, it would not surprise me. I'm surprised CBS didn't have

> Michael Moore as co-anchor.

heh heh... so typical.

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

> news:42d7fe1e\$1@linux...

>> Anyway, I appreciate you following up on the conversation.

>>

>> I dont think there was pods on the planes, or bombs or remote control or any

>> of that crap. I just think theres some type of coverup and the only

>> smoking gun is WTC7, and the insider trading.

>>

>> Here's a better video of it:

>> http://www.911research.com/wtc/evidence/videos/docs/wtc7_col_lapse2.mpg

>>

>>
>> Who knows, maybe I'm wrong, but I dont really see too much structural
> damage
>> to the facade.
>>
>>
>
>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:

Subject: Re: Anyone feel like we might have made some progress with the political thread?

Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 18:52:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>neighborhood, and rule by terror. Iraqis are even rising up and
>killing them now. They are also diehard baathists who want a
>return to the good ole days of rape rooms and plastic shredders.

>The US has done more harm in this region, to the people and their
>country, than Saddam ever could have.

BULLSHIT. I guess you forgot about the entire families, little
kids and all, buried in the 300,000 person mass graves.

I get it, you are a Saddam supporter. Ok, at least we know where
you are coming from now. When you tell egregious lies like the
one above, you lose all credibility.

>The US, in it's quest for
>middle-east domination vis-a-vis their oil supply, has turned a thriving

>people (albeit with nasty leadership) into an empoverished, toxic
>waistland.

This is so stupid and dishonest it isn't even worth rebutting.

It's too easy. Oil? We didn't steal their oil in 91 and we are not
doing so now. Liar.

>And for you who can't see the forest for the trees, let me make the
>fairly-fucking-clear observation that if you have a natural resource
>that someone else wants and they decide to come and get it from you by
>force (the Chinese could do this) You will be labeled "insurgents" and

>you wives and children will suddenly become "enemy combatants".

Well, it seems that "foreigners" and "former baathists" otherwise known as "corrupt" "murdering" "shitheads" who want to keep the old regime in place, might qualify as "insurgents", especially since they prefer the regime of the old murdering, raping, corrupt leader to actual democracy.

Jef, you have very little ethics do you?

>Wake up you collective heads of knuckle. What the hell do you think's
>going on over there? It's people deffending their land, their homes and

>their lives from - Foreign Invaders! Fuck me, how dumb do you have to be

>not to get this not-to-fucking-subtle point? If the shoe were on the
>other foot you would react no differently.

Unfortunately it's all "not so fucking subtle" lies. The folks with the homes, with the families and shops and businesses all had purple fingers a few months ago, and have more character than "you" will ever "dream" of having.

>One of you nazis, don't remember who, said in another thread that they
>thought it was "them" who were doing the bombing, not "us". Just as a
>reminder, the US has been bombing Iraq into a god-forsaken moonscape
>since '89. Over 500,000 children have died since then as a direct
>consequence of US military, oh-so-compassionate, action.

More lies. Those deaths are a result of your friend Saddam using oil-for-food money to build palaces instead of food for his own people. His trial is about to start, why don't you watch it and weep.

>And please knock it off with the old "well they bombed NYC so we're
>retaliating." chestnut. If you take the time to read the statements of
>Bin Laden you will see that he's not a nut at all.

Why am I not surprised that you like him as much as you like Saddam?

>The Arabs and the Jews were living peacefully side-by-side well into the
>20th century. This is fully backed up by historians, check it for
>yourself. It wasn't until the US decided to create a client state in
>'48 that trouble began. Hey, stupid, how would you feel if China
>decided it was going to give Native Americans an official homeland and
>they decided to hack off a piece of New York or California and kick the

This is as inaccurate as it is simple minded.

>See where I'm going with this yet?

Yeah, straight to lefty-lobotomy land. And you are calling others idiots
and psychopaths... Amazing.

I am not going to respond in kind.

BTW, I do not support the use of nuclear arms in this war at this
point. Should your prediction about creating millions of new
terrorists by our actions come true, all bets are off. We will live
in freedom, not under the mullahs.

The funny thing is that in your future, you get killed anyway, for
some obscure offense against the koran, just like Theo Van Gogh
did.

You really ought to apologize to the group for the names you have
called people.

DC.....not partisan at all. This would imply something totally different
to my dislike of Dan Rather and Michael Moore.....because they represent
themselves to be unbiased documentarians and they aren't. Plain and simple.

As much of a whacko as I think he is, if some editorial commentator (which is
what Rather became before leaving in disgrace) came out with a photo op of
Ted Kennedy taking a roadside sobriety test, I'd doubt that too because I'd
have to consider the source before all else.

This is ample reason for me to dismiss the credibility of Rather/CBS. I
will, however, backtrack a bit here and admit that my first assumption was
that this story *originated* with CBS/Rather. If so, then I'll not remove
the plate of crow from the oven. If not, then I'll might put it on the table
and take a bite. Where did this story originate?

Regards,

DJ

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:42d804a6@li

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 22:53:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; > demonstration of your force.

>> >

>> > somewhere in there lies a fundamental, unsolvable problem.

>> > throw in a ticking clock (islamic countries getting the bomb

>> > sooner or later, whether you want it or not),

>> > and look again at the one guy that at the very least came

>> > close to peace down there - ooooh it was that deescalation

>> > dude. what a coincidence.

>> >

>> > im not saying its the ultimate solution. i find pretending

>> > to have absolute solutions (a very popular practice here,

>> > or so it seems) rather silly. im trying to have a civiliced

>> > discussion about the possible different paths to a solution,

>> > if there is one at all. i can acknowledge and talk about

>> > both approaches, you seem to struggle with that part

>> > and fall back into europe-is-so-whatever rants, which,

>> > btw, are no better than george-bush-is-pure-evil bullshit.

>> > its kindergarden. no thanks.

>> >

>> >

>> >

>> >>And what could you possibly dislike about the present Israeli

>> >>leadership? Draggin settlers out by the scruff of the neck,

>> >>responding to the worst terrorism with measured and rational

>> >>responses, and showing great restraint.

>> >

>> >

>> > yeah, as i said, they went back to 0, so i completely

>> > agree with you.

>> >

>> >

>> >

>> >> See, this is the problem

>> >>with europe. In your arrogance, because of living in a land that

>> >>cannot envision war,
>> >
>> >
>> > blah...
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> you assume all violence is failure
>> >
>> >
>> > i repeatedly said the exact opposite but nevermind. rant on.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> and that
>> >>there must be a way to negotiate and finess this stuff.
>> >>Suppose there isn't?
>> >
>> >
>> > i did. its why im interested in this discussion.
>> > a childish "i know 100% percent im right" attitude
>> > isnt exactly helping, but at least in parts your
>> > post gets over the standard stereotype attitude. it seems to
>> > help that i repeatedly write about the things i dont
>> > think, even though it doesnt fit into your arrogant euro pacifist
> drawer:
>> >
>> >
>> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
>> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
>> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
>> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
>> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
>> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
>> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
>> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
>> >
>> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
>> > negotiated
>> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
>> > negotiated
>> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
>> > negotiated
>> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
>> > negotiated
>> >
>> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
>> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.

>> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
>> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
>> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
>> > track record of deescalation in history)
>> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
>> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
>> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
>> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
>> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
>> > track record of deescalation in history)
>> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
>> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
>> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
>> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
>> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
>> > track record of deescalation in history)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> You haven't convinced me that Rabin could
>> >> have pulled it off.
>> >
>> >
>> > i didnt expect to. heck, i dont know it myself.
>> >
>> >
>> >> Here's how the palestinians could have peace in a week:
>> >>
>> >> Go Ghandi on them. Sit down, inb the streets, renounce violence
> forever.
>> >> Ask the world for justice. They would get their demands in a week
>> >> or less, and if israel didn't like it, the american people would force
> it
>> >> on them by withholding any more aid.
>> >
>> >
>> > yes. too bad it wouldnt work because their religious
>> > fanatic idiots. theres a reason why i talked so much
>> > about the good timing of rabin. you gotta force religious
>> > idiots into their own good. too bad the timing wasnt quite
>> > right and a handful of steps at a time was still to
>> > extreme of a dialog for some extremist idiots. it
>> > wasnt even an islamic extremist idiot but a jewish one
>> > who ultimatively destroyed it all - but it could very
>> > well have been one.
>> >
>> >
>> >> Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the

>> >>arabs and the palestinians, you hypocritical, arrogant fools?
>> >
>> >
>> > oh we never demand a damn thing from the arabs?
>> > thats funny. usually, especially where i live, its a tradition
>> > to not ask anything from israel (obvious reason being
>> > germany's history, were simply in no position to ask *anything*
>> > from them). maybe you should study the people you want
>> > to call hypocritical arrogant fools a little more before
>> > you go ahead with it.
>> >
>> > but ive had enough of the stereotype discussion. suit yourself
>> > and bathe in your stupid cliches if it makes you feel
>> > any better.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Why do you not rise up in horror and demand that these acts stop?
>> >>Answer this one Derek, if you can.
>> >
>> >
>> > oh, we do. we do. you just dont notice, cause you only
>> > notice what fits into the dumb black and white picture you
>> > have of us.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Instead you speak of "root causes" and criticize Bush. Its wrong,
>> >>and
>> >>foolish.
>> >
>> >
>> > i dont know what a "root cause" is. i know i definetly
>> > didnt come here to criticize bush. i think i said so about
>> > a dozen times.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>I cannot support the euro position on this, because I NEVER hear
>> >>any demands for change, or criticism of moslems coming from
>> >>europe. Just of the jews and america. Until I see otherwise,
>> >>we must stay the course.
>> >
>> >
>> > see, one problem is that i can watch almost all US TV stations
>> > and buy, read and understand almost all US press. so i can
>> > regularly get an idea about whats going on in the US, and
>> > germans usually are very interested in that, cause, despite
>> > the current arguments, germany still looks up to the US.
>> > its a bit like with an older brother you currently dont talk

>> > much to, even though most germans probably would have a
>> > problem in admitting that.
>> >
>> > on the other hand, i can tell from all the BS here that most
>> > of you people have not the slightest idea about whats
>> > going in germany. you talk about us not criticizing
>> > muslims and not asking anything from those countries,
>> > yet just as we speak theres an election campaign with
>> > a debate about how to deal with turkey, and also
>> > how to deal with potential islamists in our country,
>> > with our current minister of internal security and
>> > the guy who wants his post totally going berserk and
>> > extremely to the right. and if theres a classic
>> > debate in germany if ive ever seen one, its the debate
>> > about whether its good that its a principal no-no to
>> > openly criticize anything thats even remotely related
>> > to anything jewish. there are extremists that have a problem
>> > with that (they call it a sick case of non-judgmentalism...sounds
>> > familiar), i personally think its only adequate and should
>> > stay so for at least another 50 years.
>> >
>> > so i dont really know what to reply to such accusations.
>> > its so completely unreal, i dont know where to start.
>> >
>> >
>> >> See, any response to terrorism besides negotiation is either
>> >> "muscle flexing" or "cowboys" or "macho".
>> >
>> >
>> > muscle flexing is what i called it, getting bored by the
>> > "politics of force" terms i used the entire force.
>> > "cowboys" and "macho" is yet another projection of yours.
>> >
>> >
>> >> And you cannot
>> >> see how america might see europe as so arrogant and sefl-absorbed
>> >> that your views are not important to us?
>> >
>> >
>> > thats a bit besides the point because im sure that
>> > our views are not important to you either way. i do
>> > get an idea about how these stereotypes come up and
>> > especially, how they nicely grow, completely undisturbed
>> > by anything that resembles reality. you know squat about
>> > my country, period. its ridiculous. yet you believe
>> > you can judge my country, where i, who probably knows a
>> > couple hundred times more about YOUR country, make it
>> > a point to repeatedly stress how i do NOT want or feel

>> > entitled to judge or criticize it. its quite absurd, really.
>> >
>> >
>> >>I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if
>> >>you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a
>> >>failure
>> >
>> >
>> > you know what, do me a favour here: please come up with
>> > the quote where i said that i always see it as a failure.
>> > either that or maybe you might want to actually stop it
>> > and apologize. its really getting boring to repeat
>> > and
>> > repeat and repeat and repeat it, with no effect.
>> > i have the feeling youre not talking to me but to
>> > some imaginary "The Europe Dude" that you made up for
>> > yourself to argue against.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> do not expect us to listen since you care more for your
>> >>ideology than you apparently care for our lives.
>> >
>> >
>> > i do care only about the lives. at several points i
>> > pointed out how i believe we all want the same (among
>> > other things i mentioned this as reason why i dont belong
>> > to the george-bush-is-evil-group). i do find the
>> > ideal way to this common goal open for debate.
>> > you obviously disagree on this one. its a little weird
>> > why you would enter a debate about it anyway then. is it
>> > just to spank my ideologic european ass?
>> >
>> >
>> >>"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words
>> >>that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only
>> >>failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.
>> >
>> >
>> > its a term rabin, a man that i admire, used himself,
>> > so ill leave the name calling to you. one could argue
>> > that the times where the terror was at an all time low
>> > saved lots of lives, but whatever.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it
>> >>in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks

>> >>to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about
>> >>"peace processes".
>> >
>> >
>> > heres some more for you so that you can get a picture
>> > thats more accurate than what you currently believe to
>> > be the typical euro or whatever. i beg you, please READ
>> > what i say now:
>> >
>> > oh yes, as things are, i would stab a knife in that guys back.
>> > its what the current situation asks for.
>> >
>> > read it? ok, so i can get a little more into detail:
>> >
>> > but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back.
>> > what about the next guy? and the one after him? whats the
>> > best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply.
>> > are there, god forbid, several possible approaches? is
>> > it worth a discussion? cause, it would be unfair to
>> > the soldiers to make them do this all the time while
>> > we dont do anything for it to ever change.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >> Until you allow for the full range of responses,
>> >>you are acting as an advocate for them.
>> >
>> >
>> > theres someone not ready for the "full range of responses"
>> > here, but it aint me.
>> >
>> >
>> >>So, if you could get a "peace process" going while he was at the
>> >>peak of his powers, it might have succeeded? What? Until when,
>> >>the following thursday?
>> >
>> >
>> > in the right tempo to draw all religious fanatic idiots
>> > on all sides (repeat: ALL sides. that includes the moslems!
>> > do you hear me!) with them.
>> >
>> >
>> >>His greed and corruption would have
>> >>eventually toppled him and your peace process.
>> >
>> >
>> > youre confusing things here, its what actually got him

>> > to participate. and boy did it help him. it turned him
>> > from an internationally sought after outlaw into
>> > a somewhat respected politician, probably making him
>> > richer than he ever dreamt of in the process. you tell
>> > me that guy wanted to go back into tunnel system terrorist
>> > mode? never. man is too selfish and greedy for that.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Do you really believe that hamas and hizbullah would not have
>> >>formed if Arafat had made a deal with Rabin??
>> >
>> >
>> > they had already formed. the difference was, arafat had them
>> > under control and as long as he came home with results
>> > from the peace process, he had the people in his back.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>And why are they able to do this? Is it not the actions of the
>> >>palestinians and the arabs?
>> >
>> >
>> > why yes of course it is (see above).
>> >
>> >
>> >> An american
>> >>president who did not force them to accept peace in this scenario
>> >>would be voted out or even impeached.
>> >
>> >
>> > this is not where the conflict lies. the conflict, unfortunately,
>> > lies in the religious aspects. i for one am pretty sure
>> > that there would have been some sort of agreement
>> > down there long ago, if only all this shitty land and
>> > these shitty cities werent as "sacred" as they supposedly are.
>> > as i said i dont have the ultimate solution. i just dont
>> > believe you have it either, and comparing the track
>> > record or the different approaches down there, im inclined
>> > to believe that the brute force method, which worked other
>> > times, doesnt seem to work this time.
>> >
>> > and that is an important discussion because it also
>> > is a discussion about "how do you fight a fundamentalist
>> > that doesnt fear death", about "is there a way to
>> > get along with the islam", about "how do you go to
>> > war with something as abstract as terror". yes, i do believe
>> > this is the most important conflict to be solved and that
>> > it could serve as role model for the same conflict were

>> > having (or going to have) on a much bigger global scale.
>> >
>> > im worried about that. no wait, im sitting on my euro
>> > ass waiting for everything to explode first, and then
>> > ill think about it, cause thats how we arrogant euro
>> > assholes are? right?
>> >
>> > ...
>> >
>> >
>> >>You criticize Bush, but he blasts Israel every single time they
>> >>respond to terrorism as we have. What hypocrisy!
>> >
>> >
>> > yeah, it would be if i would criticize bush for not criticizing
>> > israel. of course i nowhere said that. again, youre kindly
>> > invited to come up with a quote.
>> >
>> > or wait, maybe you misunderstood this one:
>> >
>> >> the US dont reign over israel - theyll
>> >>>do what THEY think is best for them.
>> >
>> >
>> > with "they" i of course mean israel. my very point was
>> > that bush can criticize them all he wants (and he does),
>> > but they dont respond much to that, and thats i sad irony
>> > that so much of the arabic hatred towards israel comes
>> > from the overestimated power the US supposedly has on
>> > israel.
>> >
>> >
>> >>So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding
>> >>places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find,
>> >>them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at
>> >>it until we win.
>> >
>> >
>> > yes thats your approach. it sometimes worked in the
>> > past, sometimes it didnt. just like deescalation.
>> > theres no perfect solution that automatically is the
>> > best solution at all times.
>> >
>> >
>> >> I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation
>> >>is impossible with islamofascists.
>> >
>> >

>> > and i say youre focusing on the wrong thing, this is just not
>> > enough. getting rid of islamofascists (or fascists of
>> > any kind, for that matter) is one thing. brute force seems
>> > the best way for that. trying to avoid that such fascists
>> > gain power on a regular basis in the future is, imo, the maybe
>> > even more important goal. diplomacy, holding oneself to
>> > the high standards we used to at least pretend to have, that
>> > kind of stuff seems like the more promising way to go for
>> > that (unless you want to tell me that you believe that the
>> > very concept of diplomacy is at fault).

>> >
>> > the problem is that solution nr.1 is the direct opposite
>> > of solution number nr.2. theres room for improvement here.
>> > at least thats what i think, when i look at israel, or,
>> > to use another example for a change, afghanistan, where
>> > my selfish country does the dirty laundry for you without
>> > you noticing because its not in the news.

>> >
>> >
>> >>And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?
>> >>Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between
>> >>free moral people? Think these things will sell to the
>> >>islamofascists?
>> >>Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of
> whoever
>> >>offers them.
>> >>Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.

>> >
>> >
>> > just like institutionalized religion, really. only difference
>> > being that is has a much cleaner historical track record.
>> > but im not about to even start hoping that there will be
>> > a significant change here anytime soon, cause im not
>> > religious about that either. this is a change that comes
>> > over time, separation of religion and politics...
>> > it seemed to get better in the second half of the
>> > last century. currently it seems to get worse again.
>> > oh well.

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they
>> >>have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an
>> >>alternative.

>> >
>> >
>> > no hatred. a very cynical distance though, yes, definetly.
>> > i did say a few words about personal belief on the one

>> > hand on huge monotheistic religious institutions on the other hand and
>> > whether
>> > the latter is any good for this planet.
>> > you can go back and read it if youre interested, but i guess
>> > for now its enough. and now ill go to bed. all that
>> > non-non-judgementalism has make me tired. maybe i secretly am a
>> > politically correct euro hypocrite after all, but im
>> > doing my best ;-)

>>

>>

>

>Pleazzzzzzzzze Don, Jef is heating up in his writings here but again, take away his heating, read his stuff with an OPEN mind, try to find out real documents about his writings, not only what some of you American wish to hear. Go out and study his facts, if it's true or not, before serving that it's all lies. I think you will be really shocked to find out that Jef's writings here is very correct. You see, I can stand behind him with the same observations in documentations. But to fight with windmills can be very frusted, so I think it's really understandable when Jef is heating up here.

erlilo

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d808a6\$1@linux...

>

> First, let me say that, you acting superior while posting a
> pack of lies is bad enough, but, your calling people names is
> unacceptable.

>

>

> "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

>

>>The idea that you should keep killing "them" before they kill you is is

>

>>pretty sociopathic I think.

>

> Thanks for the analysis. Sure it isn't bipolar, or perhaps paranoid
> schizophrenic, or maybe just ADD?

>

> Them is the enemy, not the shopkeepers. The suicide bombers,
> the foreign terrorists, the state sponsors of terrorism. They are
> knowable, and they are killable.

>

>

>>Please remember a few important things about
>>the "war".

>

> Oh, this will be good. I know it will be real informed with "so"

> "many" "ironic" "quotes"....
>
>
>>Let's start with "them". The dehumanizing of others is an important
>>diagnostic point in the evaluation of pathological personality
>>disorders. Underlying this is the idea that one is not harming a
>>"person" but a "thing" or "non-person". It allows the ego to remain
>>intact while performing otherwise unthinkable actions.
>
>
> You obviously do not know any soldiers. Despite understanding
> that the ghouls must be killed, and understanding that they are
> indeed, ghouls, our soldiers pay a terrible price for doing the
> unthinkable, precisely because they are not able to completely
> depersonalize them. Only to have you call them pathological...
>
> Pathetic. And of course, the actions of the ghouls are not
> pathological in Jef-land at all, They are understandable, maybe even
> noble! Maybe they are even like the minutemen!
>
>
>>But who are "them"?. For the most part they are normal, everyday
>>families like yours and mine. Shop keepers, TV repairmen, gas station
>>attendants, secretaries....who only want to live freely, in peace,
>
> BWAA HAHH AHHA HHHHAAAA!
>
> Oh that's good. Do you screenwrite for Michael Moore?
>
> They are foreigners who come into places like Fallujah and kill
> anyone who looks like they may desire freedom, take over the
> neighborhood, and rule by terror. Iraqis are even rising up and
> killing them now. They are also diehard baathists who want a
> return to the good ole days of rape rooms and plastic shredders.
>
>
>>The US has done more harm in this region, to the people and their
>>country, than Saddam ever could have.
>
> BULLSHIT. I guess you forgot about the entire families, little
> kids and all, buried in the 300,000 person mass graves.
>
> I get it, you are a Saddam supporter. Ok, at least we know where
> you are coming from now. When you tell egregious lies like the
> one above, you lose all credibility.
>
>>The US, in it's quest for
>>middle-east domination vis-a-vis their oil supply, has turned a thriving

>
>>people (albeit with nasty leadership) into an impoverished, toxic
>>waistland.
>
> This is so stupid and dishonest it isn't even worth rebutting.
>
> It's too easy. Oil? We didn't steal their oil in 91 and we are not
> doing so now. Liar.
>
>
>>And for you who can't see the forest for the trees, let me make the
>>fairly-fucking-clear observation that if you have a natural resource
>>that someone else wants and they decide to come and get it from you by
>>force (the Chinese could do this) You will be labeled "insurgents" and
>>you wives and children will suddenly become "enemy combatants".
>
>
> Well, it seems that "foreigners" and "former baathists" otherwise
> known as "corrupt" "murdering" "shitheads" who want to keep
> the old regime in place, might qualify as "insurgents", especially
> since they prefer the regime of the old murdering, raping, corrupt
> leader to actual democracy.
>
> Jef, you have very little ethics do you?
>
>
>>Wake up you collective heads of knuckle. What the hell do you think's
>>going on over there? It's people defending their land, their homes and
>
>>their lives from - Foreign Invaders! Fuck me, how dumb do you have to be
>
>>not to get this not-to-fucking-subtle point? If the shoe were on the
>>other foot you would react no differently.
>
>
> Unfortunately it's all "not so fucking subtle" lies. The folks with the
> homes, with the families and shops and businesses all had purple
> fingers a few months ago, and have more character than "you"
> will ever "dream" of having.
>
>
>>One of you nazis, don't remember who, said in another thread that they
>>thought it was "them" who were doing the bombing, not "us". Just as a
>>reminder, the US has been bombing Iraq into a god-forsaken moonscape
>>since '89. Over 500,000 children have died since then as a direct
>>consequence of US military, oh-so-compassionate, action.
>
>

> More lies. Those deaths are a result of your friend Saddam using
> oil-for-food money to build palaces instead of food for his own
> people. His trial is about to start, why don't you watch it and weep.
>
>
>
>>And please knock it off with the old "well they bombed NYC so we're
>>retaliating." chestnut. If you take the time to read the statements of
>>Bin Laden you will see that he's not a nut at all.
>
>
> Why am I not surprised that you like him as much as you like Saddam?
>
>
>
>>The Arabs and the Jews were living peacefully side-by-side well into the
>
>>20th century. This is fully backed up by historians, check it for
>>yourself. It wasn't until the US decided to create a client state in
>>'48 that trouble began. Hey, stupid, how would you feel if China
>>decided it was going to give Native Americans an official homeland and
>>they decided to hack off a piece of New York or California and kick the
>
>
>
> This is as inaccurate as it is simple minded.
>
>
>>See where I'm going with this yet?
>
> Yeah, straight to lefty-lobotomy land. And you are calling others idiots
> and psychopaths... Amazing.
>
> I am not going to respond in kind.
>
>
> BTW, I do not support the use of nuclear arms in this war at this
> point. Should your prediction about creating millions of new
> terrorists by our actions come true, all bets are off. We will live
> in freedom, not under the mullahs.
>
> The funny thing is that in your future, you get killed anyway, for
> some obscure offense against the koran, just like Theo Van Gogh
> did.
>
> You really ought to apologize to the group for the names you have
> called people.
>

> DCAs said about your writing to Jef in "I'm ready to talk nukes": It can be really frustated to fight against windmills;-)

Take care

Erling

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> skrev i en meddelelse news:42d7fc82\$1@linux...

>

> "erlilo" <erlilo@online.no> wrote:

>

>>I'm seeing exactly the same pattern in American behavings in our modern

>

>>times as it was before the first world war. American sivilians generally

>

>>don't know what a war is on their own body

>

>

> We do now. Remember 911? Pearl Harbor? Everyone knows

> someone who lost someone in either Vietnam, Korea, or WWII.

>

> We do not have a romantic picture of war. That is a myth.

>

>

>>As far as I can understand in readings and seeing, the human feelings

>>haven't changed much since then in north and south, after observe what's

>

>>happening in the last two president votings.

>

> This is simply not the case. I am a northerner who has lived and

> travelled in the south and I can tell you that no one in the north

> cares about th

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 23:35:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;One of you nazis, don't remember who, said in another thread that they
>>>thought it was "them" who were doing the bombing, not "us". Just as a
>>>reminder, the US has been bombing Iraq into a god-forsaken moonscape
>>>since '89. Over 500,000 children have died since then as a direct
>>>consequence of US military, oh-so-compassionate, action.

>>

>>

>> More lies. Those deaths are a result of your friend Saddam using

>> oil-for-food money to build palaces instead of food for his own

>> people. His trial is about to start, why don't you watch it and weep.

>>
>>
>>
>>>And please knock it off with the old "well they bombed NYC so we're
>>>retaliating." chestnut. If you take the time to read the statements of
>>>Bin Laden you will see that he's not a nut at all.
>>
>>
>> Why am I not surprised that you like him as much as you like Saddam?
>>
>>
>>
>>>The Arabs and the Jews were living peacefully side-by-side well into the
>>
>>>20th century. This is fully backed up by historians, check it for
>>>yourself. It wasn't until the US decided to create a client state in
>>>'48 that trouble began. Hey, stoopid, how would you feel if China
>>>decided it was going to give Native Americans an official homeland and
>>>they decided to hack off a piece of New York or California and kick the
>>
>>
>>
>> This is as inaccurate as it is simple minded.
>>
>>
>>>See where I'm going with this yet?
>>
>> Yeah, straight to lefty-lobotomy land. And you are calling others idiots
>> and psychopaths... Amazing.
>>
>> I am not going to respond in kind.
>>
>>
>> BTW, I do not support the use of nuclear arms in this war at this
>> point. Should your prediction about creating millions of new
>> terrorists by our actions come true, all bets are off. We will live
>> in freedom, not under the mullahs.
>>
>> The funny thing is that in your future, you get killed anyway, for
>> some obscure offense against the koran, just like Theo Van Gogh
>> did.
>>
>> You really ought to apologize to the group for the names

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [DC](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 23:46:47 GMT

ne.no> wrote in message news:42d7c519@linux...

> >> Derek, I appreciate your writings here. It saying much about where the
> >> problems are in my thoughts too.

> >>

> >> I think we Europeans knows that "being big" allways have made problems
> > when

> >> trying to be bigger than

> >> big:-) Big with weapons in hands are often showing up these weapons as
> > their

> >> only "brain" when conflicts are coming.

> >>

> >> Our worlds problems, that started the first worldwar, was already
coming

> > in

> >> 1864, when Bismarck made the big, German nation under the hegemony of
> >> Preussen. It was the oldnordic traditions with the God Wotan (or Odin
in

> >> Norwegian) that then again was showing up in the people. It's showing

> >> well

> >> in "To an unknown God" from 1864, from the pen of Nietzsche, one of
the

> >> greatest German philosopher and writers in those times. The feeling of
> > being

> >> big was setting the standard of human thinking hundred of years back in
> > time

> >> in those days, maybe thousands. So the next 50 years was showing up
with

> >> more and more problems, first of all in the southern region of Europe,
in

> >> Austria and Hungary, where their minorities was suppressed (as the
german

> >> minority in Austria, after the first worldwars ending, where Hitler was
> > born

> >> and grown up, knowing the suppression on his own body). So the fatal
shot

> > in

> >> Sarajevo from a Serbian, that killed the Habsburger prince 28. June
1914,

> >> was just the drop that started it all in "old" modern times.

> >> I'm seeing exactly the same pattern in American behavings in our modern
> >> times as it was before the first world war. American sivilians

generally

> >> don't know what a war is on their own body without their own civil war

> > that

> >> was overupheating from the south with South Carolina in front, when

> > Abraham

> >> Lincoln was being President in 1860. The American nation was splitted

in

> > two

> >> in those days with 11 states in the southern part and the rest in the
> >> northern part, as far as I can remember in my readings about America.

But

> >> I

> >> think most of the Americans are knowing these badly story very well.

> >> As far as I can understand in readings and seeing, the human feelings

> >> haven't changed much since then in north and south, after observe
what's

> >> happening in the last two president votings.

> >> As Dejj wrote about the observations to the ind

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 00:27:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >> >

> >> >

> >> > so, yes, i do acknowledge that both roads can lead to success.

> >> > do you? it appears you dont, so whos the dogmatic type here?

> >> >

> >> >

> >> >>No it doesn't, or it would have worked with Hussein, Kim Il Sung,

> >> >>Hitler and the Branch Davidians. You are not allowing for insanity

> >> >>and evil in the world and you are assuming that a rational person's

> >> >>version of a more attractive situation will always be accepted.

> >> >

> >> >

> >> > from a palaestinian point of view, israel is the aggressor

> >> > that took their land. they dont have the military power,

> >> > so they go the terror route. being the frakkingeuropacifistidiotdude

> > that

> >> > i am, i despise that

> >> > just as much, so id prefer it if you dont switch between

> >> > calling me a blind pacifist one moment and then a guy

> >> > that doesnt criticize palaestina (and thus somewhat supports the use
of

> >> > terror),

> >> > whatever fits the best.

> >> >

> >> > from their point of view, theyre actually doing exactly

> >> > the same thing - use brute force. they dont have planes,

> >> > so they use suicide bombers. if you were on their side,

> >> > its what you would recommend. and if the enemy threatened to

> >> > use more power, youd recommend that the enemy gets a

> > > demonstration of your force.
> > >
> > > somewhere in there lies a fundamental, unsolvable problem.
> > > throw in a ticking clock (islamic countries getting the bomb
> > > sooner or later, whether you want it or not),
> > > and look again at the one guy that at the very least came
> > > close to peace down there - ooooh it was that deescalation
> > > dude. what a coincidence.
> > >
> > > im not saying its the ultimate solution. i find pretending
> > > to have absolute solutions (a very popular practice here,
> > > or so it seems) rather silly. im trying to have a civiliced
> > > discussion about the possible different paths to a solution,
> > > if there is one at all. i can acknowledge and talk about
> > > both approaches, you seem to struggle with that part
> > > and fall back into europe-is-so-whatever rants, which,
> > > btw, are no better than george-bush-is-pure-evil bullshit.
> > > its kindergarden. no thanks.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>And what could you possibly dislike about the present Israeli
> > >>leadership? Draggin settlers out by the scruff of the neck,
> > >>responding to the worst terrorism with measured and rational
> > >>responses, and showing great restraint.
> > >
> > >
> > > yeah, as i said, they went back to 0, so i completely
> > > agree with you.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >> See, this is the problem
> > >>with europe. In your arrogance, because of living in a land that
> > >>cannot envision war,
> > >
> > >
> > > blah...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >> you assume all violence is failure
> > >
> > >
> > > i repeatedly said the exact opposite but nevermind. rant on.
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >> >> and that
> >> >>there must be a way to negotiate and finess this stuff.
> >> >>Suppose there isn't?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > i did. its why im interested in this discussion.
> >> > a childish "i know 100% percent im right" attitude
> >> > isnt exactly helping, but at least in parts your
> >> > post gets over the standard stereotype attitude. it seems to
> >> > help that i repeatedly write about the things i dont
> >> > think, even though it doesnt fit into your arrogant euro pacifist
> > drawer:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
> >> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
> >> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
> >> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
> >> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
> >> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
> >> > - i acknowledge the use of force as concept to end a conflict.
> >> > it often is the most peaceful way to go.
> >> >
> >> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
> >> > negotiated
> >> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
> >> > negotiated
> >> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
> >> > negotiated
> >> > - i dont blindly believe that everything on this world can be
> >> > negotiated
> >> >
> >> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
> >> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
> >> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
> >> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
> >> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> >> > track record of deescalation in history)
> >> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
> >> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
> >> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
> >> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several
> >> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> >> > track record of deescalation in history)
> >> > - im not here because of some anti-US agenda. i have family
> >> > in the US, have been there many times and like it here.
> >> > im only interested in a civiliced discussion about the
> >> > several approaches (yes i dare to believe there are several

> >> > approaches, because of the fact that theres a not too bad
> >> > track record of deescalation in history)
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> You haven't convinced me that Rabin could
> >> >>have pulled it off.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > i didnt expect to. heck, i dont know it myself.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Here's how the palestinians could have peace in a week:
> >> >>
> >> >>Go Ghandi on them. Sit down, inb the streets, renounce violence
> > forever.
> >> >>Ask the world for justice. They would get their demands in a week
> >> >>or less, and if israel didn't like it, the american people would
force
> > it
> >> >>on them by withholding any more aid.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > yes. too bad it wouldnt work because their religious
> >> > fanatic idiots. theres a reason why i talked so much
> >> > about the good timing of rabin. you gotta force religious
> >> > idiots into their own good. too bad the timing wasnt quite
> >> > right and a handful of steps at a time was still to
> >> > extreme of a dialog for some extremist idiots. it
> >> > wasnt even an islamic extremist idiot but a jewish one
> >> > who ultimatively destroyed it all - but it could very
> >> > well have been one.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> Why do you euros never demand a DAMN THING from the
> >> >>arabs and the palestinians, you hypocritical, arrogant fools?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > oh we never demand a damn thing from the arabs?
> >> > thats funny. usually, especially where i live, its a tradition
> >> > to not ask anything from israel (obvious reason being
> >> > germany's history, were simply in no position to ask *anything*
> >> > from them). maybe you should study the people you want
> >> > to call hypocritical arrogant fools a little more before
> >> > you go ahead with it.
> >> >
> >> > but ive had enough of the stereotype discussion. suit yourself
> >> > and bathe in your stupid cliches if it makes you feel

> >> > any better.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Why do you not rise up in horror and demand that these acts stop?
> >> >>Answer this one Derek, if you can.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > oh, we do. we do. you just dont notice, cause you only
> >> > notice what fits into the dumb black and white picture you
> >> > have of us.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Instead you speak of "root causes" and criticize Bush. It's wrong,
> >> >>and
> >> >>foolish.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > i dont know what a "root cause" is. i know i definetly
> >> > didnt come here to criticize bush. i think i said so about
> >> > a dozen times.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>I cannot support the euro position on this, because I NEVER hear
> >> >>any demands for change, or criticism of moslems coming from
> >> >>europe. Just of the jews and america. Until I see otherwise,
> >> >>we must stay the course.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > see, one problem is that i can watch almost all US TV stations
> >> > and buy, read and understand almost all US press. so i can
> >> > regularly get an idea about whats going on in the US, and
> >> > germans usually are very interested in that, cause, despite
> >> > the current arguments, germany still looks up to the US.
> >> > its a bit like with an older brother you currently dont talk
> >> > much to, even though most germans probably would have a
> >> > problem in admitting that.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > on the other hand, i can tell from all the BS here that most
> >> > of you people have not the slightest idea about whats
> >> > going in germany. you talk about us not criticizing
> >> > muslims and not asking anything from those countries,
> >> > yet just as we speak theres an election campaign with
> >> > a debate about how to deal with turkey, and also
> >> > how to deal with potential islamists in our country,
> >> > with our current minister of internal security and
> >> > the guy who wants his post totally going berserk and
> >> > extremely to the right. and if theres a classic

> > > debate in germany if ive ever seen one, its the debate
> > > about whether its good that its a principal no-no to
> > > openly criticize anything thats even remotely related
> > > to anything jewish. there are extremists that have a problem
> > > with that (they call it a sick case of non-judgmentalism...sounds
> > > familiar), i personally think its only adequate and should
> > > stay so for at least another 50 years.
> > >
> > > so i dont really know what to reply to such accusations.
> > > its so completely unreal, i dont know where to start.
> > >
> > >
> > >>See, any response to terrorism besides negotiation is either
> > >>"muscle flexing" or "cowboys" or "macho".
> > >
> > >
> > > muscle flexing is what i called it, getting bored by the
> > > "politics of force" terms i used the entire force.
> > > "cowboys" and "macho" is yet another projection of yours.
> > >
> > >
> > >> And you cannot
> > >>see how america might see europe as so arrogant and sefl-absorbed
> > >>that your views are not important to us?
> > >
> > >
> > > thats a bit besides the point because im sure that
> > > our views are not important to you either way. i do
> > > get an idea about how these stereotypes come up and
> > > especially, how they nicely grow, completely undisturbed
> > > by anything that resembles reality. you know squat about
> > > my country, period. its ridiculous. yet you believe
> > > you can judge my country, where i, who probably knows a
> > > couple hundred times more about YOUR country, make it
> > > a point to repeatedly stress how i do NOT want or feel
> > > entitled to judge or criticize it. its quite absurd, really.
> > >
> > >
> > >>I am not evening saying that war is the only answer, just that if
> > >>you have an ideology that precludes it, and you see it always as a
> > >>failure
> > >
> > >
> > > you know what, do me a favour here: please come up with
> > > the quote where i said that i always see it as a failure.
> > > either that or maybe you might want to actually stop it
> > > and apologize. its really getting boring to repeat
> > > and

> > > repeat and repeat and repeat it, with no effect.
> > > i have the feeling youre not talking to me but to
> > > some imaginary "The Europe Dude" that you made up for
> > > yourself to argue against.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >> do not expect us to listen since you care more for your
> > >>ideology than you apparently care for our lives.
> > >
> > >
> > > i do care only about the lives. at several points i
> > > pointed out how i believe we all want the same (among
> > > other things i mentioned this as reason why i dont belong
> > > to the george-bush-is-evil-group). i do find the
> > > ideal way to this common goal open for debate.
> > > you obviously disagree on this one. its a little weird
> > > why you would enter a debate about it anyway then. is it
> > > just to spank my ideologic european ass?
> > >
> > >
> > >>"Peace process" what a f**king joke that term is. It is the words
> > >>that ideologues use to comfort themselves in the face of not only
> > >>failure, but the continuing loss of innocent lives.
> > >
> > >
> > > its a term rabin, a man that i admire, used himself,
> > > so ill leave the name calling to you. one could argue
> > > that the times where the terror was at an all time low
> > > saved lots of lives, but whatever.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>Tell you what. You tell me that you would take a knife, and jam it
> > >>in the back of the next ghoul with a bomb, and you say thanks
> > >>to the soldiers who have saved so many lives, and we'll talk about
> > >>"peace processes".
> > >
> > >
> > > heres some more for you so that you can get a picture
> > > thats more accurate than what you currently believe to
> > > be the typical euro or whatever. i beg you, please READ
> > > what i say now:
> > >
> > > oh yes, as things are, i would stab a knife in that guys back.
> > > its what the current situation asks for.
> > >
> > >
> > > read it? ok, so i can get a little more into detail:

> >> >
> >> > but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back.
> >> > what about the next guy? and the one after him? whats the
> >> > best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply.
> >> > are there, god forbid, several possible approaches? is
> >> > it worth a discussion? cause, it would be unfair to
> >> > the soldiers to make them do this all the time while
> >> > we dont do anything for it to ever change.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> Until you allow for the full range of responses,
> >> >>you are acting as an advocate for them.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > theres someone not ready for the "full range of responses"
> >> > here, but it aint me.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>So, if you could get a "peace process" going while he was at the
> >> >>peak of his powers, it might have succeeded? What? Until when,
> >> >>the following thursday?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > in the right tempo to draw all religious fanatic idiots
> >> > on all sides (repeat: ALL sides. that includes the moslems!
> >> > do you hear me!) with them.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>His greed and corruption would have
> >> >>eventually toppled him and your peace process.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > youre confusing things here, its what actually got him
> >> > to participate. and boy did it help him. it turned him
> >> > from an internationally sought after outlaw into
> >> > a somewhat respected politician, probably making him
> >> > richer than he ever dreamt of in the process. you tell
> >> > me that guy wanted to go back into tunnel system terrorist
> >> > mode? never. man is too selfish and greedy for that.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Do you really believe that hamas and hizbullah would not have
> >> >>formed if Arafat had made a deal with Rabin??
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > they had already formed. the difference was, arafat had them

> > > under control and as long as he came home with results
> > > from the peace process, he had the people in his back.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>And why are they able to do this? Is it not the actions of the
> > >>palestinians and the arabs?
> > >
> > >
> > > why yes of course it is (see above).
> > >
> > >
> > >> An american
> > >>president who did not force them to accept peace in this scenario
> > >>would be voted out or even impeached.
> > >
> > >
> > > this is not where the conflict lies. the conflict, unfortunately,
> > > lies in the religious aspects. i for one am pretty sure
> > > that there would have been some sort of agreement
> > > down there long ago, if only all this shitty land and
> > > these shitty cities werent as "sacred" as they supposedly are.
> > > as i said i dont have the ultimate solution. i just dont
> > > believe you have it either, and comparing the track
> > > record or the different approaches down there, im inclined
> > > to believe that the brute force method, which worked other
> > > times, doesnt seem to work this time.
> > >
> > > and that is an important discussion because it also
> > > is a discussion about "how do you fight a fundamentalist
> > > that doesnt fear death", about "is there a way to
> > > get along with the islam", about "how do you go to
> > > war with something as abstract as terror". yes, i do believe
> > > this is the most important conflict to be solved and that
> > > it could serve as role model for the same conflict were
> > > having (or going to have) on a much bigger global scale.
> > >
> > > im worried about that. no wait, im sitting on my euro
> > > ass waiting for everything to explode first, and then
> > > ill think about it, cause thats how we arrogant euro
> > > assholes are? right?
> > >
> > > ...
> > >
> > >
> > >>You criticize Bush, but he blasts Israel every single time they
> > >>respond to terrorism as we have. What hypocrisy!
> > >

> >> >
> >> > yeah, it would be if i would criticize bush for not criticizing
> >> > israel. of course i nowhere said that. again, youre kindly
> >> > invited to come up with a quote.
> >> >
> >> > or wait, maybe you misunderstood this one:
> >> >
> >> >> the US dont reign over israel - theyll
> >> >>>do what THEY think is best for them.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > with "they" i of course mean israel. my very point was
> >> > that bush can criticize them all he wants (and he does),
> >> > but they dont respond much to that, and thats i sad irony
> >> > that so much of the arabic hatred towards israel comes
> >> > from the overestimated power the US supposedly has on
> >> > israel.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>So we eliminate leaders that sponsor terrorism, and provide hiding
> >> >>>places for them, we kill them, without mercy, wherever we can find,
> >> >>>them, and we spread modernity and democracy, and we stay at
> >> >>>it until we win.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > yes thats your approach. it sometimes worked in the
> >> > past, sometimes it didnt. just like deescalation.
> >> > theres no perfect solution that automatically is the
> >> > best solution at all times.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> I believe this is the only solution and that negotiation
> >> >>>is impossible with islamofascists.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > and i say youre focusing on the wrong thing, this is just not
> >> > enough. getting rid of islamofascists (or fascists of
> >> > any kind, for that matter) is one thing. brute force seems
> >> > the best way for that. trying to avoid that such fascists
> >> > gain power on a regular basis in the future is, imo, the maybe
> >> > even more important goal. diplomacy, holding oneself to
> >> > the high standards we used to at least pretend to have, that
> >> > kind of stuff seems like the more promising way to go for
> >> > that (unless you want to tell me that you believe that the
> >> > very concept of diplomacy is at fault).
> >> >
> >> > the problem is that solution nr.1 is the direct opposite
> >> > of solution number nr.2. theres room for improvement here.

> > > at least thats what i think, when i look at israel, or,
> > > to use another example for a change, afghanistan, where
> > > my selfish country does the dirty laundry for you without
> > > you noticing because its not in the news.
> > >
> > >
> > >>And what do you offer in place of this accursed religion?
> > >>Darwin? Pacifism? An enlightened social contract between
> > >>free moral people? Think these things will sell to the
> > >>islamofascists?
> > >>Me neither. These are weak ideas and subject to the opinions of
> > whoever
> > >>offers them.
> > >>Meaningless phrases full of intent, signifying nothing.
> > >
> > >
> > > just like institutionalized religion, really. only difference
> > > being that is has a much cleaner historical track record.
> > > but im not about to even start hoping that there will be
> > > a significant change here anytime soon, cause im not
> > > religious about that either. this is a change that comes
> > > over time, separation of religion and politics...
> > > it seemed to get better in the second half of the
> > > last century. currently it seems to get worse again.
> > > oh well.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>Perhaps they do not serve God at all. Perhaps at minimum they
> > >>have perverted Islam? Your hatred of religion will not offer an
> > >>alternative.
> > >
> > >
> > > no hatred. a very cynical distance though, yes, definetly.
> > > i did say a few words about personal belief on the one
> > > hand on huge monotheistic religious institutions on the other hand
and
> > > whether
> > > the latter is any good for this planet.
> > > you can go back and read it if youre interested, but i guess
> > > for now its enough. and now ill go to bed. all that
> > > non-non-judgementalism has make me tired. maybe i secretly am a
> > > politically correct euro hypocrite after all, but im
> > > doing my best ;-)
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>Got a low opinion of the ACLU, Deej? Civil liberties not your cup o' tea?

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DJ wrote:

>the ACLU will probably sue the horse.
>
> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d844cd\$1@linux...
>
>> http://seattletimes.nwsourc.com/html/localnews/2002382718_horse15m.html
>>
>>
>
>
>Liberties with horses is more like it...

heh heh

DC

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>
>Got a low opinion of the ACLU, Deej? Civil liberties not your cup o' tea?
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie K
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>

>
>
>DJ wrote:
>
>>the ACLU will probably sue the horse.
>>
>> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d844cd\$1@linux...
>>
>>> http://seattletimes.nwsourc.com/html/localnews/2002382718_horse15m.html
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>"ouch"...only if your a duck, chicken or a rabbit. after all, they

>didn't say the guy was hung like a...well...you know...mr. ed. or
>maybe the horse had a headache and the guy wouldn't take no for a
>answer.

or, what if it wasn't the guy doing the horse, but rather it
was the other way around, and THAT's what caused the guy's
injuries! LOL

:)Well.....letsee.....I guess ever since they went on retainer
to NAMBLA, I've had a few reservations.

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d8578d@linux...

>
> Got a low opinion of the ACLU, DeeJ? Civil liberties not your cup o' tea?
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie K
> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>
>
> DJ wrote:
>
>>the ACLU will probably sue the horse.
>>
>> "DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d844cd\$1@linux...
>>
>>> http://seattletimes.nwsourc.com/html/localnews/2002382718_horse15m.html
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Damn!!!!!! I didn't think of that one. *Ouch* would be the operative word.

;op

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:42d85afd\$1@linux...

>
> rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>"ouch"...only if your a duck, chicken or a rabbit. after all, they
>>didn't say the guy was hung like a...well...you know...mr. ed. or
>>maybe the horse had a headache and the guy wouldn't take no for a
>>answer.
>
> or, what if it wasn't the guy doing the horse, but rather it

> was the other way around, and THAT's what caused the guy's
> injuries! LOL
>
> :)Anyone else have fun with this yet?

I was looking at Fallujah... seems like quite a city. not too far fetched
that the civilians in the "OH DUDE" movie could have been going to a bday
part.

Anyway, I just heard on the news that the entire city only has 90 cops.
Ouch, but making progress.yep

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d70218@linux...

> I'm leaning towards Mother Nature in all her splendor as opposed to God,
> although some would argue they're one and the same. Which if you take
> religion out of the equation makes perfect sense.

>
> Don

>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:42d6f585@linux...
>> still is... for now.

>>
>> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote in message news:42d6f467@linux...
>>> You mean there's a Garden of Eden around here somewhere?

>>>
>>> Don

>>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>>> news:42d6eab0@linux...
>>>> We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the
>>>> Knowledge to create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells into
>>>> monkeys. It will be no surprise when we get kicked out of our Garden
>>>> of Eden by God or Nature.

>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

>pretty much. Actually I was looking at Iraq on google earth and it really
does look like the cradle of civilization, geographically.

"Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote in message news:42d7c657@linux...

>uh, didn't you guys bomb the be-jeeziz out of the Garden of Eden
> recently?
>

> 8)
>
>
> justcron wrote:
>
>>We've eaten from the Tree of Knowledge and now we're using the Knowledge
>>to create Nuclear Weapons and inject human stem cells into monkeys. It
>>will be no surprise when we get kicked out of our Garden of Eden by God or
>>Nature.
>>
>>Gotcha. And they also defended Rush Limbaugh. But they see those
examples and the other cases they take on in terms of the bill of
rights, not in terms of the reputation of the plaintiffs. Not a
prescription for popularity.

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

DJ wrote:
> Well.....letsee.....I guess ever since they went on retainer
> to NAMBLA, I've had a few reservations.
>
> ;o)
>
>
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d8578d@linux...
>
>>Got a low opinion of the ACLU, Deej? Civil liberties not your cup o' tea?
>>
>>Cheers,
>> -Jamie K
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>>DJ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>.....the ACLU will probably sue the horse.
>>>
>>>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message news:42d844cd\$1@linux...
>>>
>>>
>>>> http://seattletimes.nwsourc.com/html/localnews/2002382718_h_orse15m.html
>>>>
>>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>

>And when I did a search, Steve Taylor had it for download on his official site.

The little rap at the end always cracked me up.

<http://www.onfritz.com/mp3/Other/Nero.mp3>Hey man.....gimme a break. I didn't say that there was anything wrong with suing the horse, now did I? As far as Rush Limbaugh goes, I'll bet you a dime to a dollar if the drug charges become a reality, he'll be praying his ass off for a liberal judge ;o).

Now back to my fantasy of a 4 way with me, Mara Liasson, Janine Garafolo and Ruth Bader Ginsburg.

;oD

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:42d875ed@linux...

>
> Gotcha. And they also defended Rush Limbaugh. But they see those
> examples and the

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 08:21:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

>>>>you wives and children will suddenly become "enemy combatants".

>>>
>>>

>>> Well, it seems that "foreigners" and "former baathists" otherwise
>>> known as "corrupt" "murdering" "shitheads" who want to keep
>>> the old regime in place, might qualify as "insurgents", especially
>>> since they prefer the regime of the old murdering, raping, corrupt
>>> leader to actual democracy.

>>>

>>> Jef, you have very little ethics do you?

>>>
>>>

>>>>Wake up you collective heads of knuckle. What the hell do you think's
>>>>going on over there? It's people deffending their land, their homes and
>>>>

>>>>their lives from - Foreign Invaders! Fuck me, how dumb do you have to
> be
>>>
>>>>not to get this not-to-fucking-subtle point? If the shoe were on the
>>>>other foot you would react no differently.
>>>
>>>
>>> Unfortunately it's all "not so fucking subtle" lies. The folks with the
>>> homes, with the families and shops and businesses all had purple
>>> fingers a few months ago, and have more character than "you"
>>> will ever "dream" of having.
>>>
>>>
>>>>One of you nazis, don't remember who, said in another thread that they
>>>>thought it was "them" who were doing the bombing, not "us". Just as a
>>>>reminder, the US has been bombing Iraq into a god-forsaken moonscape
>>>>since '89. Over 500,000 children have died since then as a direct
>>>>consequence of US military, oh-so-compassionate, action.
>>>
>>>
>>> More lies. Those deaths are a result of your friend Saddam using
>>> oil-for-food money to build palaces instead of food for his own
>>> people. His trial is about to start, why don't you watch it and weep.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>And please knock it off with the old "well they bombed NYC so we're
>>>>retaliating." chestnut. If you take the time to read the statements of
>>>>Bin Laden you will see that he's not a nut at all.
>>>
>>>
>>> Why am I not surprised that you like him as much as you like Saddam?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The Arabs and the Jews were living peacefully side-by-side well into the
>>>
>>>>20th century. This is fully backed up by historians, check it for
>>>>yourself. It wasn't until the US decided to create a client state in
>>>>'48 that trouble began. Hey, stupid, how would you feel if China
>>>>decided it was going to give Native Americans an official homeland and
>>>>they decided to hack off a piece of New York or California and kick the
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> This is as inaccurate as it is simple minded.
>>>
>>>

>>>>See where I'm going with this yet?
>>>
>>> Yeah, stright to lefty-lobotomy land. And you are calling others
>>> idiots
>>> and psychopaths... Amazing.
>>>
>>> I am not g

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 00:24:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

h (& die in) the above scenario in order to defend
>talk shit about us... Derek, I don't mean you specifically, I'm
>just talking in general terms now.
>
>Anyway, I guess I'm done for now... made my points, tired of
>typing, not going to change anyone's mind if they've already
>got it made up anyway. LOL
>
>Neil
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>"Neil" <OIUUI@OIU.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>nah, i didnt ignore it.
>>>>just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of
>course
>>>>that includes, on behalf of all american
>>>>forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for
>>>>the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation
>>>>politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and
>>>>the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank
>>>>me too now?
>>>
>>>Yes, in fact, I will... thank you & your countrymen for your
>>>hospitality while I was over there. Most of the Germans I met
>>>were pretty cool folks - some were total shitheads & hated
>>>Americans.. I choose not to stereotype the entire population

>>>based on those few. Thanks for putting up with our lame
>>>attempts to gain at least some semblance of fluency in your
>>>language. LOL At least I got good enough at it so that
>>>when I was in New York about a year ago & sitting in the hotel
>>>bar wating to meet someone, two ladies were speaking in German
>>>at the table next to me, I was able to recognize the particular
>>>accent/dialect & I said: "You're from Mainz, aren't you?" and
>>>they said: "Wiesbaden" (which for those of you who don't know,
>>>but may be reading this, is right across the river from Mainz).
>>>And thanks also for the great beer, and the eiswein, and the
>>>kick-ass trains that get you nearly anywhere you want to go
>>>quite easily, and thanks for the C-class coupe that I drive
>>>right now... we don't build cars that are that good here.
>>>
>>>All I wanted was "thanks for no tanks!", and you're still
>>>missing that point... I never said it was America alone that
>>>was responsible for ending the cold war. Our presence on your
>>>border was 100% responsible for the Soviets staying closer to
>>>Halberstadt than Herzberg, and closer to Bad Langensalza than
>>>Bad Kissingen before said cold war came to a close... that's
>>>all I was saying.
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>as to the rest, whats there to say when you completely
>>>>ignore all of my points...
>>>>
>>>>- about germany and the possibility that it might NOT have
>>>>been a case of complete sucky ungratefulness but a case
>>>>of strong disagreement about the second iraq war (even more
>>>>likely since germany wasnt exactly the only nation that
>>>>didnt agree)? nothing.
>>>>
>>>>- the stuff i say that im interested in instead of
>>>>the useless standard bullshit? israel, rabin anyone? anyone
>>>>care to loose a word about the only man that ever effectively
>>>>reduced terror in israel? nada.
>>>
>>>I said I'd talk about Israel when I had time... I have posted a new thread
>>>on that... so have at it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>instead, theres this:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
>>>>>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"

>>>>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
>>>>how you may help me?"
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>hahaha. i didnt get it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good. thanks.
>>>>
>>>>You didn't get it? It was a refence to your statement "i knew
>>>>that was coming" or whatever the exact verbage was.
>>>>
>>>>Neil

>>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
-----010705080409020705010400
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hey Guys,

That was nothin' personal, really. Were you to meet me you'd see a fun cross between Ghandi and Lewis Black.
As for ad hominems, if Limaugh, et al,can do it so can I. Damn the passive-aggressives who veil their words.

Besides, it makes for good entertainment for those only lurking. I'm actually suprised you didn't get the entertainment value part of it.
Trailer Park Boys isn't hillarious for no reason. Swearing and verbal abuse rock the entertainment world.
Uh, oh, I forgot...this is a *serious* newsgroup.

Also, I'm just soooooo cynical/satirical. Well, probably not cynical enough actually, but still, pretty jaded to the fray.

And you're right: it's just political BS, all of it. I'm kinda suprised that in a political conversation anyone would get their nose-out-of-joint by being called an idiot. "Y'ain't one u' them pussy liberals err ya?"lol

The most interesting thing about USians is that, unlike Canadian, they are generally, uh, a tad too sensitive.
Hey, no offence! Really! Just seem pretty prevelant.
You should come up some time and wittness the unbridled fucking torrent of motherfucking swearing that goes on here. Shit, I'm fucking swearing right now! Canadian's say shit like this to each other all the time. So do New Yorkers. No one takes it seriously. So, please, don't you.

I actually quite enjoy the level of disparity of opinion here.
Personally, I thrive on the battle, the fray, the Doc's to the head..
But many do not. I think DC is one of those who does not.

Cheers

jef

DC wrote:

>Actually, I think you are way off base here, but I also think it is
>silly to rate topic-quality, so I do not really care to engage this
>issue. I will say this; I have seen much worse, both here and
>on other forums, and until someone started calling people idiots
>and nazis, it was doing pretty well, even if you did not get the
>results you preferred.

>

>DC

>

>

>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>

>>Unfortunately name calling was there pretty much from the start, and,
>>with unintended irony, a bit of projection. Some of it has been
>>entertaining yet at times appalling, like getting sucked into a cheesy
>>reality TV show, pandering talk radio or overblown pro wrestling. If
>>this keeps up Kim's little corner of the web will start getting traffic

>>

>>

>

>

>

>>and he'll get rich on the Google ads.

>>

>>We've heard several points of view but nothing like the whole picture. A

>>

>>

>

>

>

>>certain amount of people talking past each other, answering arguments
>>not made, ignoring arguments made, knocking down straw men invented.
>>Battles of mythology, parroting of talking points, misuse or lack of
>>data, and blind superior certainty. In short, a typical internet
>>political discussion.

>>

>>And yet a certain amount of listening, that part is always encouraging.
>>
>>Cheers,
>> -Jamie K
>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>>DC wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You guys are right. I think both sides have been adequately
>>>represented, I think both arguments have been well-made.
>>>I think everyone has made their minds up at this point. Hostility
>>>and name-calling is creeping in to what was a pretty darn
>>>civilized discussion. It's quickly becoming pointless.
>>>
>>>
>>>I am tracking a hard rock tune of mine and need to get back to work and
>>>
>>>
>this
>
>
>>>really isn't the place for this discussion. If you want to
>>>email me privately, I will discuss it further, but really, we've covered
>>>
>>>the subject, don't you think?
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>PS, holy cow this Marshall JCM2000 sounds cool cranked up!
>>>Unfortunately, you can hear it down at the stop light when I do
>>>that... grrrr... Why can't the modelers sound like that?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>

-----010705080409020705010400
Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
<html>
<head>

<meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
<title></title>
</head>
<body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
Hey Guys,

That was nothin' personal, really. Were you to meet me you'd see a fun
cross between Ghandi and Lewis Black.

As for ad hominems, if Limaugh, et al, can do it so can I. Damn the
passive-aggressives who veil their words.

Besides, it makes for good entertainment for those only lurking. I'm
actually suprised you didn't get the entertainment value part of it.
Trailer Park Boys isn't hillarious for no reason. Swearing and verbal
abuse rock the entertainment world.

Uh, oh, I forgot...this is a *serious* newsgroup.

Also, I'm just soooooo cynical/satirical. Well, probably not cynical
enough actually, but still, pretty jaded to the fray.

And you're right: it's just political BS, all of it. I'm kinda suprised
that in a political conversation anyone would get their
nose-out-of-joint by being called an idiot. "Y'ain't one u' them pussy
liberals err ya?".....lol

The most interesting thing about USians is that, unlike Canadian, they
are generally, uh, a tad too sensitive.

Hey, no offence! Really! Just seem pretty prevelant.

You should come up some time and wittness the unbridled fucking torrent
of motherfucking swearing that goes on here. Shit, I'm fucking swearing
right now! Canadian's say shit like this to each other all the time.
So do New Yorkers. No one takes it seriously. So, please, don't you.

I actually quite enjoy the level of disparity of opinion here.
Personally, I thrive on the battle, the fray, the Doc's to the head..
But many do not. I think DC is one of those who does not.

Cheers

jef

DC wrote:

<blockquote cite="mid42d9ce2c\$1@linux" type="cite">
<pre wrap="">Actually, I think you are way off base here, but I also think it is

silly to rate topic-quality, so I do not really care to engage this issue. I will say this; I have seen much worse, both here and on other forums, and until someone started calling people idiots and nazis, it was doing pretty well, even if you did not get the results you preferred.

DC

Jamie K Meta@Dimensional.com wrote:

```
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <pre wrap="">Unfortunately name calling was there pretty much from the start, and,
with unintended irony, a bit of projection. Some of it has been
entertaining yet at times appalling, like getting sucked into a cheesy
reality TV show, pandering talk radio or overblown pro wrestling. If
this keeps up Kim's little corner of the web will start getting traffic
  </pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <pre wrap="">and he'll get rich on the Google ads.
```

We've heard several points of view but nothing like the whole picture. A

```
</pre>
</blockquote>
<pre wrap=""><!-->
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite">
  <pre wrap="">certain amount of people talking past each other, answering arguments
not made, ignoring arguments made, knocking down straw men invented.
Battles of mythology, parroting of talking points, misuse or lack of
data, and blind superior certainty. In short, a typical internet
political discussion.
```

And yet a certain amount of listening, that part is always encouraging.

Cheers,

-Jamie K

<http://www.JamieKruz.com>

DC wrote:

```
</pre>
<blockquote type="cite"&
```

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2005 01:42:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

blaming the US and Israel, and excuses and
>understanding for the ghouls. You did it yourself a few paragraphs
>up.

well i gotta tell you its no wonder since youre computing
it all through "and the other side is a degraded culture"-glasses.

youll probably even take that as yet more "somehow pro
palaestinian apologism". once more: know that the
very thought that i would be on the side of some
extremist religious bunch of fanatic idiots is
absolutely ridiculous.

its just that both sides tend to have a little too much
of those. so i cant be on YOUR side, sorry.

>You know what, you're right. We judge europeans by their bitter,
>left-wing media, and assume you are all like that.

thats funny. do you speak german? or how do you know
what our "bitter left wing media" write and show?
because of reports about it on your media?

you know NOTHING about our media. and i assure you
that we have a nicely wide range from the very left
to the very right.

>We have rejected much of european government positons on Iraq
>because of their corruption and collusion with Saddam, and that
>fruitcake Chirac has caused more anger than anyone since Adolf.

more than anyone since adolf? whew. thats a tough statement.
got angry there for a second again or are you serious about
that?

>We are aware that following much of europe's positions could put
>our lives in even graver danger.

that is your opinion. its your take on the question,
how to best approach the problems the world is facing.

>>but it doesnt end there. i just stabbed the guy in the back.
>>what about the next guy?
>
>BOOM, got 'em
>
>and the one after him?
>
>I have lots of ammo.

soldiers in vietnam had, too.

>>whats the
>>best way to avoid a neverending "guys with bombs" supply.
>
>Remove their support governments, kill as many as we can, plant
>democracies.

no. remove their *motives* is the best way. can it be
done? i dont know. you dont know either. the one guy
who tried so far by coincidence has the best track
record down there (did i mention that yet? oh i did, sorry ;-).
so my money is on that approach.

>Kill them all. Nuke 'em until the survivors say "we give up"

you guys are really thinking to "let the cat out of the bag"?

boy that would so come back to you and the entire world.
i hope it never comes to that. im not even willing
to discuss this.

>Can you do it? Or do we have to save Europe again?
>In truth, it iwll not come to that, but only if we are strong.

well, if it goes the nuke way, there wont be much to save,
so whatever.

>We discussed for years and years. Same ole go 'round. One
>worldview (pacifism) versus another (war) versus another (nukes).
>I think the best alternative won. Time for discussion is over.
>In England now, the soccer hooligans are starting to join together
>to attack Muslims. Will you understand their perspective like
>you do with the palestinians? Will you use the same reasoning?
>You know damn well they will attack all the wrong muslims, all
>the good decent ones, you just know it. Is the fault intolerance?
>Hate? Violence? Or perhaps the stupid English policies that let so
>many islamofascists into the country and now cannot kick them
>out? Do you blame the hooligans or kick out the mullahs who are
>dancing with glee over the bodies in the tunnels?

the fault in your scenario is that one side must be right.
of course i would neither have any understanding for
(of all things...) soccer hooligans nor for religious
fanatics.

heres a hint: BOTH sides can be wrong.

>The same way we fought the kamikazis and moro tribesmen, and
>others who did not fear death. You take away their base of
>support and you kill them until they stop.

this is another dimension.

>My point is that when confronted with religion that causes evil,
>the solution may not be atheism or secularism, but religion that
>causes good.

oh and which one would THAT be? shall i guess? i guess...i guess...i guess
its yours!

am i right?

i case your religion is the one i would guess it is, no thank
you. historical track record stinks too much for my taste.

>Ah, but if there is a God, then, regardless of the failures of human

>denominations, some things are better than others, and God just
>may have a preference concerning how we live that lies outside
>our ideas, and what you think is good for the planet is likely to be
>wrong, as is so for me as well.
>Just a thought.

yeah, that *could* be true. as sad as it is, it could even be true that some other religion is right.

but im pretty sure we will never find out for sure, so it remains a question of personal belief, with which i have no problem whatsoever.

damn, pretty long post again. sorry. not sure if i can reply to a potential reply from you, so if you dont feel like replying anymore (looks like the discussion continued without me, you guys must be pretty tired of this by now), no problem. Is anyone from the Aussie Parasites going to the SMPTE show in Sydney? If so it would be great to catch up.

Cheers,
Sakis'll be there, but I'm not sure which day(s).
Maybe Tuesday, unless a job comes in.

--

Martin Harrington
www.lendaneer-sound.com

"Sakis" <sakis@digisoundmastering.com> wrote in message
news:42db108e\$1@linux...

> Is anyone from the Aussie Parasites going to the SMPTE show in Sydney? If
> so it would be great to catch up.

>

> Cheers,
> Sakis

>> This message is in MIME format. Since your mail reader does not understand this format, some or all of this message may not be legible.

--B_3204479990_149720

Content-type: text/plain; charset="ISO-8859-1"

Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit

complain about the US. You probably feel lonely, insecure, and a bit

your great contributions such as Bob and Doug McKenzie, curling, and well.....oh, I just bought some rock wool from you guys! Great work, eh?

;-)

Hey, if you can dish it out, be prepared to take it.

dt

On 7/17/05 6:27 PM, in article 42daf6fd@linux, "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:

>

> The most interesting thing about USians is that, unlike Canadian, they are
> generally, uh, a tad too sensitive.

> Hey, no offence! Really! Just seem pretty prevelant.

>

> Cheers

>

> jef

>

>

>

>

>

> DC wrote:

>>

>> Actually, I think you are way off base here, but I also think it is
>> silly to rate topic-quality, so I do not really care to engage this
>> issue. I will say this; I have seen much worse, both here and
>> on other forums, and until someone started calling people idiots
>> and nazis, it was doing pretty well, even if you did not get the
>> results you preferred.

>>

>> DC

>>

>>

>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> <mailto:Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>>

>>> Unfortunately name calling was there pretty much from the start, and,
>>> with unintended irony, a bit of projection. Some of it has been
>>> entertaining yet at times appalling, like getting sucked into a cheesy
>>> reality TV show, pandering talk radio or overblown pro wrestling. If
>>> this keeps up Kim's little corner of the web will start getting traffic

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>
>>>
>>> and he'll get rich on the Google ads.
>>>
>>> We've heard several points of view but nothing like the whole picture. A
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>
>>> certain amount of people talking past each other, answering arguments
>>> not made, ignoring arguments made, knocking down straw men invented.
>>> Battles of mythology, parroting of talking points, misuse or lack of
>>> data, and blind superior certainty. In short, a typical internet
>>> political discussion.
>>>
>>> And yet a certain amount of listening, that part is always encouraging.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie K
>>> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>
>>>
>>> DC wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> You guys are right. I think both sides have been adequately
>>>> represented, I think both arguments have been well-made.
>>>> I think everyone has made their minds up at this point. Hostility
>>>> and name-calling is creeping in to what was a pretty darn
>>>> civilized discussion. It's quickly becoming pointless.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I am tracking a hard rock tune of mine and need to get back to work and
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> this
>>
>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> really isn't the place for this discussion. If you want to
>>>> email me privately, I will discuss it further, but really, we've covered

>>>>
>>>> the subject, don't you think?
>>>>
>>>> DC
>>>>
>>>> PS, holy cow this Marshall JCM2000 sounds cool cranked up!
>>>> Unfortunately, you can hear it down at the stop light when I do
>>>> that... grrrr... Why can't the modelers sound like that?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

--B_3204479990_149720
Content-type: text/html; charset="ISO-8859-1"
Content-transfer-encoding: quoted-printable

<HTML>
<HEAD>
<TITLE>Re: Political BS</TITLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY>
No Jef, it's just=
that some Canadians seem to have nothing better to do than complain about t=
he US. You probably feel lonely, insecure, and a bit neglected since t=
he world often forgets you're there. How easily we forget your g=
reat contributions such as Bob and Doug McKenzie, curling, and well.....oh, =
I just bought some rock wool from you guys! Great work, eh? ;-) =

Hey, if you can dish it out, be prepared to take it.

Cheers and all that 'tad too sensitive' stuff.

On 7/17/05 6:27 PM, in article 42daf6fd@linux, "Jef Knight" <=&
"Jef Knight"> wrote:

<BLOCKQUOTE><SPAN
STYLE=3D'font-size:12.0px'
>>

The most interesting thing about USians is that, unlike Canadian, they are =

generally, uh, a tad too sensitive.

Hey, no offence! Really! Just seem pretty prevelant.

Cheers

jef

DC wrote:

<BLOCKQUOTE><SPAN

STYLE=3D'font-size:12.0p=

x'>

Actually, I think you are way off base here, but I also think it is

silly to rate topic-quality, so I do not really care to engage this

issue. I will say this; I have seen much worse, both here and

on other forums, and until someone started calling people idiots

and nazis, it was doing pretty well, even if you did not get the

results you preferred.

DC

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> =

<mailto:Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

<BLOCKQUOTE><SPAN

STYLE=3D'font-size:12.0p=

x'>

Unfortunately name calling was there pretty much from the start, and,

with unintended irony, a bit of projection. Some of it has been

entertaining yet at times appalling, like getting sucked into a cheesy

reality TV show, pandering talk radio or overblown pro wrestling. If

this keeps up Kim's little corner of the web will start getting traffic

</BLOCKQUOTE><SPAN

STYLE=3D'font-size:12.0=

px'>

<BLOCKQUOTE><SPAN

STYLE=3D'font-size:12.0p=

x'>

>>>"Neil" <OIUUI@OIU.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>nah, i didnt ignore it.
>>>>>just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of
>>course
>>>>>that includes, on behalf of all american
>>>>>forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for
>>>>>the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation
>>>>>politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and
>>>>>the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank
>>>>>me too now?
>>>>>
>>>>>Yes, in fact, I will... thank you & your countrymen for your
>>>>>hospitality while I was over there. Most of the Germans I met
>>>>>were pretty cool folks - some were total shitheads & hated
>>>>>Americans.. I choose not to stereotype the entire population
>>>>>based on those few. Thanks for putting up with our lame
>>>>>attempts to gain at least some semblance of fluency in your
>>>>>language. LOL At least I got good enough at it so that
>>>>>when I was in New York about a year ago & sitting in the hotel
>>>>>bar wating to meet someone, two ladies were speaking in German
>>>>>at the table next to me, I was able to recognize the particular
>>>>>accent/dialect & I said: "You're from Mainz, aren't you?" and
>>>>>they said: "Wiesbaden" (which for those of you who don't know,
>>>>>but may be reading this, is right across the river from Mainz).
>>>>>And thanks also for the great beer, and the eiswein, and the
>>>>>kick-ass trains that get you nearly anywhere you want to go
>>>>>quite easily, and thanks for the C-class coupe that I drive
>>>>>right now... we don't build cars that are that good here.
>>>>>
>>>>>All I wanted was "thanks for no tanks!", and you're still
>>>>>missing that point... I never said it was America alone that
>>>>>was responsible for ending the cold war. Our presence on your
>>>>>border was 100% responsible for the Soviets staying closer to
>>>>>Halberstadt than Herzberg, and closer to Bad Langensalza than
>>>>>Bad Kissingen before said cold war came to a close... that's
>>>>>all I was saying.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>as to the rest, whats there to say when you completely
>>>>>>ignore all of my points...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>- about germany and the possibility that it might NOT have
>>>>>>been a case of complete sucky ungratefulness but a case

>>>>>of strong disagreement about the second iraq war (even more
>>>>>likely since germany wasnt exactly the only nation that
>>>>>didnt agree)? nothing.
>>>>>
>>>>>- the stuff i say that im interested in instead of
>>>>>the useless standard bullshit? israel, rabin anyone? anyone
>>>>>care to loose a word about the only man that ever effectively
>>>>>reduced terror in israel? nada.
>>>>>
>>>>>I said I'd talk about Israel when I had time... I have posted a new thread
>>>>>on that... so have at it.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>instead, theres this:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
>>>>>>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
>>>>>>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
>>>>>>how you may help me?"
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>hahaha. i didnt get it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good. thanks.
>>>>>
>>>>>You didn't get it? It was a refence to your statement "i knew
>>>>>that was coming" or whatever the exact verbage was.
>>>>>
>>>>>Neil
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>Answered in "General" under "Art of Discussion"

How about if we take this and the rest of the OT discussions over there?

Cheers,
-Jamie K
<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

bunuel wrote:

> Brilliant post. Finally, after the ENDless ENDless windbaggage...a hundred
> posts or more of barking at the moon...finally some repartee.
>
> Better put your helmet on, though. When Don gets wind of this, he might want
> to stage a comeback, in spite of his very recently expressed desire to put
> all this 'pollitical bullshit' behind him (now that he's er climaxed, as
> it were) and get back to that 'hard rock tune' of his that he's been tracking.

>
>
> So yeh. So give er, if it happens. Spare no expense LOL. I'm lappin it up.
>
>
>
> humbly yours,
>
> bunuelvis e. carlos
> Troll-at-Large
>
>
>
>
>
> "Jef Knight" <"Jef Knight"> wrote:
>
>>Hey Guys,
>>
>>That was nothin' personal, really. Were you to meet me you'd see a fun
>>cross between Ghandi and Lewis Black.
>>As for ad hominems, if Limaugh, et al, can do it so can I. Damn the
>>passive-aggressives who veil their words.
>>
>>Besides, it makes for good entertainment for those only lurking. I'm
>>actually suprised you didn't get the entertainment value part of it.
>>Trailer Park Boys isn't hillarious for no reason. Swearing and verbal
>>abuse rock the entertainment world.
>>Uh, oh, I forgot...this is a *serious* newsgroup.
>>
>>Also, I'm just soooooo cynical/satirical. Well, probably not cynical
>>enough actually, but still, pretty jaded to the fray.
>>
>>And you're right: it's just political BS, all of it. I'm kinda suprised
>
>
>>that in a political conversation anyone would get their
>>nose-out-of-joint by being called an idiot. "Y'ain't one u' them pussy
>>liberals err ya?"....lol
>>
>>The most interesting thing about USians is that, unlike Canadian, they
>>are generally, uh, a tad too sensitive.
>>Hey, no offence! Really! Just seem pretty prevelant.
>>You should come up some time and wittness the unbridled fucking torrent
>
>
>>of motherfucking swearing that goes on here. Shit, I'm fucking swearing
>

>
>>right now! Canadian's say shit like this to each other all the time. So
>
>
>>do New Yorkers. No one takes it seriously. So, please, don't you.
>>
>>I actually quite enjoy the level of disparity of opinion here.
>>Personally, I thrive on the battle, the fray, the Doc's to the head..
>>But many do not. I think DC is one of those who does not.
>>
>>Cheers
>>
>>jef
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>DC wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Actually, I think you are way off base here, but I also think it is
>>>silly to rate topic-quality, so I do not really care to engage this
>>>issue. I will say this; I have seen much worse, both here and
>>>on other forums, and until someone started calling people idiots
>>>and nazis, it was doing pretty well, even if you did not get the
>>>results you preferred.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Unfortunately name calling was there pretty much from the start, and,
>
>
>>>>with unintended irony, a bit of projection. Some of it has been
>>>>entertaining yet at times appalling, like getting sucked into a cheesy
>
>
>>>>reality TV show, pandering talk radio or overblown pro wrestling. If
>>>>this keeps up Kim's little corner of the web will start getting traffic
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>>and he'll get rich on the Google ads.
>>>>
>>>>We've heard several points of view but nothing like the whole picture.
>
> A
>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>certain amount of people talking past each other, answering arguments
>
>
>>>>not made, ignoring arguments made, knocking down straw men invented.
>>>>Battles of mythology, parroting of talking points, misuse or lack of
>>>>data, and blind superior certainty. In short, a typical internet
>>>>political discussion.
>>>>
>>>>And yet a certain amount of listening, that part is always encouraging.
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>-Jamie K
>>>><http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>DC wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>You guys are right. I think both sides have been adequately
>>>>>represented, I think both arguments have been well-made.
>>>>>I think everyone has made their minds up at this point. Hostility
>>>>>and name-calling is creeping in to what was a pretty darn
>>>>>civilized discussion. It's quickly becoming pointless.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I am tracking a hard rock tune of mine and need to get back to work and
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>
>>>this
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>>really isn't the place for this discussion. If you want to
>>>>email me privately, I will discuss it further, but really, we've covered
>>>>
>>>>the subject, don't you think?
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>PS, holy cow this Marshall JCM2000 sounds cool cranked up!
>>>>Unfortunately, you can hear it down at the stop light when I do
>>>>that... grrrr... Why can't the modelers sound like that?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>><!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.01 Transitional//EN">
>><html>
>><head>
>> <meta content="text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1" http-equiv="Content-Type">
>> <title></title>
>></head>
>><body bgcolor="#ffffff" text="#000000">
>>Hey Guys,

>>

>>That was nothin' personal, really. Were you to meet me you'd see a fun
>>cross between Ghandi and Lewis Black.

>>As for ad hominems, if Limaugh, et al, can do it so can I. Damn the
>>passive-aggressives who veil their words.

>>

>>Besides, it makes for good entertainment for those only lurking. I'm
>>actually suprised you didn't get the entertainment value part of it.
>>Trailer Park Boys isn't hillarious for no reason. Swearing and verbal
>>abuse rock the entertainment world.

>>Uh, oh, I forgot...this is a *serious* newsgroup.

>>

>>Also, I'm just soooooo cynical/satirical. Well, probably not cynical
>>enough actually, but still, pretty jaded to the fray.

>>

>>And you're right: it's just political BS, all of it. I'm kinda suprised
>>that in a political conversation anyone would get their
>>nose-out-of-joint by being called an idiot. "Y'ain't one u' them pussy
>>liberals err ya?"....lol

>>

>>The most interesting thing about USians is that, unlike Canadian, they

>>are generally, uh, a tad too sensitive.

>>Hey, no offence! Really! Just seem pretty prevelant.

>>You should come up some time and wittness the unbridled fucking torrent
>>of motherfucking swearing that goes on here. Shit, I'm fucking swearing
>>right now! Canadian's say shit like this to each other all the time.
>>So do New Yorkers. No one takes it seriously. So, please, don't you.

>>

>>I actually quite enjoy the level of disparity of opinion here.
>>Personally, I thrive on the battle, the fray, the Doc's to the head..
>>But many do not. I think DC is one of those who does not.

>>

>>Cheers

>>

>>jef

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>DC wrote:

>><blockquote cite="mid42d9ce2c\$1@linux" type=&

Subject: Re: Bomb attacks in London England
Posted by [derek](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 11:19:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hen I read stuff like this, it puts things back in perspective as far as
>the *latest, greatest* toys are concerned.
>
>> last time i looked on the internet i could not find me
