
Subject: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 07:23:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html

Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.

Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well? Could happen.

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 08:45:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

google is Microsod's main objective and target. Google has way too much money to be intimidated by the Microsofts and the Apples of the world.

They are the darling of wall street, being that they amed wall street all too much money.

Google is a train with no brakes.. It is a fact, that Google will control the Internet.(Gmail, Google earth, IM, Calendaring, SMS....Rumor, Mozilla will be aquired by Google as well, giving them a very very very cool browser to go toe to toe with Iternet Explorer.. And , thus do to Microsoft, what Microsoft did to Netscape.. Give all away or seemingly for free. :) Reaping and Sowing..

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html
>

>Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone
>company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.

>
>Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well? Could
>happen.

>

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 16:14:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think I trust any company worth 3 trillion or so to have my best interests at heart, esp. when their business model is to end around antitrust laws to "own" all media transmission outlets by forcing smaller

companies to choose between them and bankruptcy.

Rupert Murdoch should probably watch his back as well.

You are right, Google is a train with no breaks... with the internet using people of this world tied to the stop barrier at the end of the line. In the end, we are the ones that pay the most for any company's greed.

Dedric

On 1/21/07 9:23 AM, in article 45b3858f\$1@linux, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
> Read the Mozilla license, Google can't 'buy' it. In fact, the Firefox folks
> are getting a bit skittish about how closely they're working with google.
>
>
> In general, though, I agree. Google has simply done an end around on the
> OS/hardware vendors. Next up, cable/content providers. Personally I prefer
> google to all of the alternatives. As Scott McNealy famously said, 'You have
> no privacy. Get over it.' In that world I trust Google far, far more than
> I do MSoft or Apple or my ISP, all of whom have shown no interest in
> protecting
> my privacy.
>
> TCB
>
> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>> google is Microsod's main objective and target. Google has way too much
> money
>> to be intimidated by the Microsofts and the Apples of the world.
>>
>> They are the darling of wall street, being that they amed wall street all
>> too much money.
>>
>> Google is a train with no brakes.. It is a fact, that Google will control
>> the Internet.(Gmail, Google earth, IM, Calendaring, SMS....Rumor, Mozilla
>> will be aquired by Google as well, giving them a very very very cool browser
>> to go toe to toe with lternet Explorer.. And , thus do to Microsoft, what
>> Microsoft did to Netscape.. Give all away or seemingly for free. :) Reaping
>> and Sowing..
>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html
>>>
>>> Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone
>>> company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.

>>>
>>> Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well? Could
>>> happen.
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [TCB](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 16:23:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Read the Mozilla license, Google can't 'buy' it. In fact, the Firefox folks are getting a bit skittish about how closely they're working with google.

In general, though, I agree. Google has simply done an end around on the OS/hardware vendors. Next up, cable/content providers. Personally I prefer google to all of the alternatives. As Scott McNealy famously said, 'You have no privacy. Get over it.' In that world I trust Google far, far more than I do MSoft or Apple or my ISP, all of whom have shown no interest in protecting my privacy.

TCB

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>google is Microsod's main objective and target. Google has way too much money
>to be intimidated by the Microsofts and the Apples of the world.
>
>They are the darling of wall street, being that they amed wall street all
>too much money.
>
>Google is a train with no brakes.. It is a fact, that Google will control
>the Internet.(Gmail, Google earth, IM, Calendaring, SMS....Rumor, Mozilla
>will be aquired by Google as well, giving them a very very very cool browser
>to go toe to toe with lternet Explorer.. And , thus do to Microsoft, what
>Microsoft did to Netscape.. Give all away or seemingly for free. :) Reaping
>and Sowing..
>Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html
>>
>>Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone
>>company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.
>>
>>Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well? Could
>>happen.

>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 17:41:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's interesting how things just spring up on google, virtually un-announced.

Did you guys notice that they have imaged the entire patent database INCLUDING ARTWORK with a ridiculously simple interface? The USPTO couldn't get it done :-). I found and printed 10 of my grandfathers patents in approximately 5 minutes. Searchable content is what people want, and it takes enormous computing power and storage to get it done. I think that's what the datacenters are really about.

Chuck

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I don't think I trust any company worth 3 trillion or so to have my best
>interests at heart, esp. when their business model is to end around
>antitrust laws to "own" all media transmission outlets by forcing smaller
>companies to choose between them and bankruptcy.

>
>Rupert Murdoch should probably watch his back as well.

>
>You are right, Google is a train with no breaks... with the internet using
>people of this world tied to the stop barrier at the end of the line. In
>the end, we are the ones that pay the most for any company's greed.

>
>Dedric

>
>On 1/21/07 9:23 AM, in article 45b3858f\$1@linux, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com>
>wrote:

>
>>
>> Read the Mozilla license, Google can't 'buy' it. In fact, the Firefox
folks
>> are getting a bit skittish about how closely they're working with google.

>>
>> In general, though, I agree. Google has simply done an end around on the
>> OS/hardware vendors. Next up, cable/content providers. Personally I prefer
>> google to all of the alternatives. As Scott McNealy famously said, 'You
have
>> no privacy. Get over it.' In that world I trust Google far, far more than
>> I do MSof or Apple or my ISP, all of whom have shown no interest in
>> protecting

>> my privacy.
>>
>> TCB
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> google is Microsod's main objective and target. Google has way too much
>> money
>>> to be intimidated by the Microsofts and the Apples of the world.
>>>
>>> They are the darling of wall street, being that they amed wall street
all
>>> too much money.
>>>
>>> Google is a train with no brakes.. It is a fact, that Google will control
>>> the Internet.(Gmail, Google earth, IM, Calendaring, SMS...Rumor, Mozilla
>>> will be aquirred by Google as well, giving them a very very very cool
browser
>>> to go toe to toe with lternet Explorer.. And , thus do to Microsoft,
what
>>> Microsoft did to Netscape.. Give all away or seemingly for free. :) Reaping
>>> and Sowing..
>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html
>>>>
>>>> Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone
>>>> company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.
>>>>
>>>> Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well?
Could
>>>> happen.
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [emarenot](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 18:04:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My DVD player is looking rather quaint.

MR

"Detric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
news:C1D8631D.6D7F%dterry@keyofd.net...

> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html

>

> Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone

> company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.
>
> Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well? Could
> happen.
>

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google

Posted by [David L](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2007 22:32:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow. I just went to Google and tried the patent search feature. Thanks, Chuck.

I've been following a little bit on the iPhone and the trend toward music distribution by downloads, mobile phones being the newest vehicle. Is it simplistic of me to think that content made available for download over mobile networks can be controlled better than content available over the internet? And looking into the future a bit, that mobile network providers are the new distribution channels for entertainment corporations? Mergers, acquisitions, and redefinitions ahead, IMO.

David

On 21-Jan-2007, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

> It's interesting how things just spring up on google, virtually
> un-announced.
> Did you guys notice that they have imaged the entire patent database
> INCLUDING ARTWORK with a ridiculously simple interface?

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google

Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Mon, 22 Jan 2007 05:35:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"David L" <david@revealaudio.com> wrote:

>Wow. I just went to Google and tried the patent search feature. Thanks,
>Chuck.

>
>I've been following a little bit on the iPhone and the trend toward music
>distribution by downloads, mobile phones being the newest vehicle. Is it
>simplistic of me to think that content made available for download over
>mobile networks can be controlled better than content available over the
>internet? And looking into the future a bit, that mobile network providers
>are the new distribution channels for entertainment corporations?

Mobile or web, this is the problem right now: Content is so ubiquitous & so easily obtainable, that it's becoming worthless - whether it's a movie or a TV show or a song or an album... I don't see how a business model where the producers of the content (Google is essentially robbing every source of content, when you think about it) don't get paid for it can be sustainable.

Neil

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 22 Jan 2007 05:45:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think that model is sustainable. But even so, the only real protection artists and content producers have is self sacrifice - e.g. no one produces if no one will be paying for it.

Of course that's a catch 22 for us, and no big loss for the Googles of the world.

But it still costs money to produce quality content and that won't change much as long as the buying public wants quality.

Basically the advantage, if there is one, that artists have, is independent organization. The downside is that if Google controls the bandwidth, as some analyst predicted of AT&T and other large companies, they could shut out independent content all together with exorbitant fees, even forcing artists to pay to have their content sold by a content provider (with no return but name recognition) - in essence blackmailing the human desire to be "famous" or successful. Only large studios and record labels (after this balances out once again) would have the resources to promote artists and movies (i.e. pay Google), so the indie world will once again become a desert of guitar playing street corner musicians, and home movie producers hawking their art anywhere but the net. And this internet, once an information superhighway and free exchange of ideas, would turn into a corporate broadcast monopoly sold only to the highest bidder. At that point, we might not even have 3 major networks to choose from anymore.

Maybe that's wildly pessimistic, but any other model would require an altruistic mentality in the leadership at Google, at many levels, and for many many years to come. I have yet to see a corporate CEO with that mentality in any industry outside of Habitat for Humanity. We have become a country of bottom lines and profit margins, regardless of the longterm, or even midterm cost - make a quick buck and get out rich enough that your customers' or even your country's future is irrelevant to you.

It would take a miracle, or something on the other/bad end of the spectrum to change that now. Gee, I'm mister optimistic tonight.

Dedric

On 1/21/07 10:35 PM, in article 45b43f08\$1@linux, "Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
> "David L" <david@revealaudio.com> wrote:
>> Wow. I just went to Google and tried the patent search feature. Thanks,
>> Chuck.
>>
>> I've been following a little bit on the iPhone and the trend toward music
>> distribution by downloads, mobile phones being the newest vehicle. Is it
>> simplistic of me to think that content made available for download over
>> mobile networks can be controlled better than content available over the
>> internet? And looking into the future a bit, that mobile network providers
>> are the new distribution channels for entertainment corporations?
>
> Mobile or web, this is the problem right now: Content is
> so ubiquitous & so easily obtainable, that it's becoming
> worthless - whether it's a movie or a TV show or a song or an
> album... I don't see how a business model where the producers
> of the content (Google is essentially robbing every source of
> content, when you think about it) don't get paid for it can be
> sustainable.
>
> Neil

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Mon, 22 Jan 2007 16:04:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I know what you are saying Thad, and in concept I agree, but my point is that the US business model for "good business" is based on greed - e.g. no limit or ethical boundary for making a profit "by providing better services", faster or at a higher profit margin.

All the same I guess it's still just speculation. AT&T is still bigger than Google, and they actually own a large portion of network fiber and cable, and have threatened to start charging users per email, and for bandwidth (via charging their leasees).

Google's market value (Forbes) is still only a paltry \$107B compared to Exxon at \$362B, GE at \$348B and Microsoft at \$279B. Profits vary

differently, but as a general idea, they aren't much of an influence yet, other than finding keyword searches.

Worth watching I guess - I don't want any single company to claim ownership over the network we use to access the internet - eventually that may happen, and it could only result in us paying just to have this conversation (more than a monthly access fee).

Regards,
Dedric

On 1/22/07 9:34 AM, in article 45b4d99f\$1@linux, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
> I don't think Google is especially greedy. They are a publicly traded company,
> their job is to make money for their shareholders, which they've done quite
> well. If smaller companies can't compete and Google cleans their clocks by
> providing better services, and does that legally, that's not greed that's
> good business.
>
> TCB
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> I don't think I trust any company worth 3 trillion or so to have my best
>> interests at heart, esp. when their business model is to end around
>> antitrust laws to "own" all media transmission outlets by forcing smaller
>> companies to choose between them and bankruptcy.
>>
>> Rupert Murdoch should probably watch his back as well.
>>
>> You are right, Google is a train with no breaks... with the internet using
>> people of this world tied to the stop barrier at the end of the line. In
>> the end, we are the ones that pay the most for any company's greed.
>>
>> Dedric
>>
>> On 1/21/07 9:23 AM, in article 45b3858f\$1@linux, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Read the Mozilla license, Google can't 'buy' it. In fact, the Firefox
> folks
>>> are getting a bit skittish about how closely they're working with google.
>>>
>>>
>>> In general, though, I agree. Google has simply done an end around on the
>>> OS/hardware vendors. Next up, cable/content providers. Personally I prefer

>>> google to all of the alternatives. As Scott McNealy famously said, 'You
> have
>>> no privacy. Get over it.' In that world I trust Google far, far more than
>>> I do MSoft or Apple or my ISP, all of whom have shown no interest in
>>> protecting
>>> my privacy.
>>>
>>> TCB
>>>
>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> google is Microsod's main objective and target. Google has way too much
>>> money
>>>> to be intimidated by the Microsofts and the Apples of the world.
>>>>
>>>> They are the darling of wall street, being that they amed wall street
> all
>>>> too much money.
>>>>
>>>> Google is a train with no brakes.. It is a fact, that Google will control
>>>> the Internet.(Gmail, Google earth, IM, Calendaring, SMS....Rumor, Mozilla
>>>> will be aquired by Google as well, giving them a very very very cool
> browser
>>>> to go toe to toe with lternet Explorer.. And , thus do to Microsoft,
> what
>>>> Microsoft did to Netscape.. Give all away or seemingly for free. :) Reaping
>>>> and Sowing..
>>>> Detric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>>>> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone
>>>>> company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.
>>>>>
>>>>> Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well?
> Could
>>>>> happen.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: The future of the net when you type google
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 22 Jan 2007 16:34:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think Google is especially greedy. They are a publicly traded company,

their job is to make money for their shareholders, which they've done quite well. If smaller companies can't compete and Google cleans their clocks by providing better services, and does that legally, that's not greed that's good business.

TCB

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I don't think I trust any company worth 3 trillion or so to have my best
>interests at heart, esp. when their business model is to end around
>antitrust laws to "own" all media transmission outlets by forcing smaller
>companies to choose between them and bankruptcy.

>

>Rupert Murdoch should probably watch his back as well.

>

>You are right, Google is a train with no breaks... with the internet using
>people of this world tied to the stop barrier at the end of the line. In
>the end, we are the ones that pay the most for any company's greed.

>

>Dedric

>

>On 1/21/07 9:23 AM, in article 45b3858f\$1@linux, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com>
>wrote:

>

>>

>> Read the Mozilla license, Google can't 'buy' it. In fact, the Firefox
folks

>> are getting a bit skittish about how closely they're working with google.

>>

>>

>> In general, though, I agree. Google has simply done an end around on the
>> OS/hardware vendors. Next up, cable/content providers. Personally I prefer
>> google to all of the alternatives. As Scott McNealy famously said, 'You
have

>> no privacy. Get over it.' In that world I trust Google far, far more than

>> I do MSoft or Apple or my ISP, all of whom have shown no interest in

>> protecting

>> my privacy.

>>

>> TCB

>>

>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>>

>>> google is Microsod's main objective and target. Google has way too much
>> money

>>> to be intimidated by the Microsofts and the Apples of the world.

>>>

>>> They are the darling of wall street, being that they amed wall street

all

>>> too much money.

>>>

>>> Google is a train with no brakes.. It is a fact, that Google will control
>>> the Internet.(Gmail, Google earth, IM, Calendaring, SMS...Rumor, Mozilla
>>> will be aquired by Google as well, giving them a very very very cool
browser

>>> to go toe to toe with Iternet Explorer.. And , thus do to Microsoft,
what

>>> Microsoft did to Netscape.. Give all away or seemingly for free. :) Reaping
>>> and Sowing..

>>> Dedic Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>>>> http://www.pbs.org/cringely/pulpit/2007/pulpit_20070119_0015_10.html

>>>>

>>>> Interesting read, and a bit unnerving too. Kind of makes the Bell phone
>>>> company monopoly look like a mom and pop shop.

>>>>

>>>> Can you imagine Microsoft and Apple being bought by Google as well?

Could

>>>> happen.

>>>>

>>>

>>

>