
Subject: Katrina

Posted by [emarenot](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 14:44:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sing the first set as

the aux..and the copied set as the play back? AM I missing something though?

Could mean the n

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 15:46:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ompress the aux1 in prefader

>>status

>>> >and control with aux's panpot level the place you wanna put it in the

>>> >stereo field.

>>> >3.You push the fader all the way down -90 as to not have flanging on

>your

>>> >drumsounds.

>>> >4. You control individual drumlevels from Aux1 level knob and panning

>>from

>>> >panpot knob.

>>> >

>>> >5. For you compressor output level you have that on Aux's 1 return

knob

>>> >with panpot again possibilities.

>>> >6. For EQ you put after the stereo compressor in aux1 the FreakQ which

>is

>&

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 15:52:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;> >also stereo to have control over frequencies.

>>> >

>>> >7. That makes me happy as opposed to using UAD1

>>> >

>>> >Regards,

>>> >Dimitrios

>>> >

>>> >

>>>

>>

>>

>and you threw em some beads... :)

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 16:03:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> > They're gonna be wrangling gators and snakes in the French Quarter

>>> > tomorrow.

>>>

>>> gonna be some drunkass reptiles :)

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>Yep,

You only will be needing another 6-7 audio tracks best on same EDS card because we will have another 10-12 samples difference.

The idea is to copy the tracks you need to compress, nudge them 5ms ahead and the aux them with stereo EDS compressor , put the faders to null, and slide it with Voxengo sampledelay for 4 samples.

Then you control the compressor output from AUX1 return knob.

The panpot can be controled from aux1 knob dedicated for panning.

Regards,

Dimitrios

"cujo" <

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [justcron](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 16:16:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"mailto:musurgio@otenet.gr" target="_blank">musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

>>>Ok,

>>>Here is the other way of doing it .

>>>You have only to move the original auxed drumtracks.

>>>First you copy the drumtracks to adjastend tracks.

>>>Then you aux the non copied with Stereo compressor as I detaled before.

>>>Then you put faders at minimum -90 position for those drumtracks.

>>>They now serve only for aux feeding.

>>>Now you nudge them by 5ms to the left and put sampledelay on Native slot

>>and

>>>put a 4 sample latency there (remember the aux procedure needs 236 samples

>>,

> >>5ms=240-4=236)
> >>Now all are sample accurate !
> >>Regards,
> >>Dimitrios
> >>"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message
news:43131153\$1@linux...
> >>>
> >>> How could we solve the flanging?

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Mon, 29 Aug 2005 16:20:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >>>
> >>> COuld we say, copy all the drum tracks to an adjacent 7 group of
tracks,
> >>> slide em ahead, than sample slide back (Like UAD1 Situation) them
before
> >>> sending em to the AUX1? Then tuck em under the original drumtracks?
> >>>
> >>> would sample slide be before the Aux send?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:
> >>> >To group your drumtracks and send them thru stereo compression ,only
> >one
> >>> EDS
> >>> >stereo instance I am sure most of you are already using this but
maybe
> >>still
> >>> >some never tried...
> >>> >
> >>> >1.You put stereo compressor EDS on AUX1.
> >>> >2.You put on every drumtrack you wanna compress the aux1 in prefader
> >>status
> >>> >and control with aux's panpot level the place you wanna put it in
the
> >>> >stereo field.
> >>> >3.You push the fader all the way down -90 as to not have flanging on
> >your
> >>> >drumsounds.
> >>> >4. You control individul drumlevels from Aux1 level knob and
pannong
> >>from
> >>> >panpot knob.

> >>> >
> >>> >5. For you compressor output lev

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Tue, 30 Aug 2005 02:42:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>I know the no Limit with 1ms lookahead is different than a normal comp with
1ms look head (by 3 samples) but thats the way it worked out.
"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc>

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Tue, 30 Aug 2005 03:24:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.rr.com> wrote:
>
>Here are some notes I copied (I have these stored as sampleslide presets)
>on lookahead makeup values
>
>1. EDS effect. 1ms back and 78 samples forward

>
>
>2.EDS effect w/.001 lookahead 1ms back and 33 samples
>forward (You might have to click the lookahead to 0 and back to 1)
>
>3.EDS effct w/.002 lookahead, Back 2ms and forward 67 samples
>
>4. w/.003 lookahead, Back 2ms and forward 21 samples
>
>5. w/.004 lookahead, Back 3ms and forward 56 samples
>
>6. w/.005 lookahead, Back 3ms and forward 10 samples
>
>
>
>No Limit ...no lookahead...Back 1ms and forward 78 samples
>

>" " 1ms lookahead....Back 1ms and forward 30 samples
>
>" " 25ms lookahead....Back 27ms(25+1+1) and forward 78 samples

>
>
>I don't really go beyond 5 ms for lookahead, except for NoLimit,
>where

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 31 Aug 2005 00:13:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Acrobat Reader, WinRAR, Video Codecs and Firefox.
>> Just my basic beginners install to which I can add the optional
>> applications.
>> I don't know how big it is. Maybe 3-4 Gig I'd guess.
>>
>> So I ghosted an image of the whole thing, with high compression. About
5
>> and a half minutes.
>>
>> I start ghosting it on to other partitions on the other SATA HDD. I can

>> create
>> a whole extra boot of Windows, complete with all the updates and basic

>> essentials
>> loaded... probably 5 hours work, and it takes about 3 minutes. 3 minutes
>> and the whole thing is on another partition.
>>
>> If I'd realised just how quick and easy it is, I would have bought it

>> years
>> ago.
>>
>> This thing is better than sliced bread. I mean some people make a big
deal
>> about sliced bread, but honestly it doesn't take hours to slice off a

>> peice
>> of bread. Loading windows, drivers, updates, accessories... now that

>> takes
>> time.
>>
>> Anyone who doesn't have Ghost, honestly, go get it NOW! Especially if

you
>> have a DVD burner, because you can image your drives to DVD and load them
>> back up at will. If your system goes crazy during a tracking session you
>> can load up a working install in maybe 10 minutes and you're back.
>>
>> I feel stupid for not getting it sooner. At least I wont be wasting my

>> life
>> any more.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Kim.
>
>If you feel the need to work, nontheless... just pull up the
mix, listen without thinking for awhile, make some minor
adjustments based on what you heard, then go to bed.

Neil

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Man.....I've had a real left brainer today. Intense stuff involving lots
of
>detail work using all of my analytical/logical/rational synapses. I'm so
>mentally spent that I almost feel hung over.
>
>Now I'm home, I've taken a bit of a break and I've got a couple of songs
to
>mix tonight and I'm just totally flatlined as far as switching gears into
a
>more holistic frame of reference. This has to be the dominant head space
for
>me when I mix.....looking at the sum of the parts and feeling/listening
my
>way thr

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 01:54:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />
> alone. Bureaucracies are neit

File Attachments

1) [capt.gagbl0409010035.katrina_oil_gagbl04.jpg](#), downloaded 74
times



Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 02:07:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

her effective, efficient or creative. Private

> enterprise goes where the money is when the money is a sure bet.

>

> All bets are off now. Time to wake up. I'd rather invest the money I'm

> paying in taxes to solar and hydrogen technology research. Think I'll be

> able to write it off???? F*** no!

>

> ;O(

>

>

> &quo

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 02:25:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

> news:43165f67@linux...

> > yiiiikes

> >

> >

> >
> >
>

>Ditto here too. My post about studio rates was my humorous way of glossing over my anger with the oil industry. You guys are right, we are on borrowed time with oil as a fuel source (industry aside), but even so, I found it quite interesting that other similarly affected industries had a very different response: a seafood supplier (some of our seafood in the US only comes from the Gulf) said it might affect prices later down the road - key words "might", "later". Another supplier said he would absorb the cost increase if there was one, rather than pass it along to consumers.

The oil industry however raised prices *before* Katrina hit. That's price gouging and greed, pure and simple - not speculation. Maybe I've oversimplified the situation, and I know Katrina had a big impact on the industry, but worldwide, oil companies have the rest of the world over a barrel, figuratively and literally.

To add to justcron's link, some neighboring hotels were charging \$199/night for \$40 rooms. This is beyond sad. It's despicable and barbaric.

Jimmy - you aren't the only one hoping it won't come due just yet. To be honest, I really wonder how we'll survive if we hit a severe energy crisis. It would likely cause complete economic collapse. I pray our fears are unfounded...but maybe that's the wrong prayer. Today we took our 4 yr old son to his first soccer practice - first team sport for him. Beau

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 02:56:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ormat, I should be ok?

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:4316294c\$1@linux...

>

> Mike,

> the external drive (usb/fw) is good solution. As well as, recording

> everything

> in wav file format. Or Record 24 bit in Paris, then batch process in

> wavelab

> into 24 bit wave files

>

> "Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote:

>>I know this has been asked before but I never paid attention as I never

>

>>thought I would be in that situation but.....

>>
>>I now have about 60 different clients lined up to come in and track about
>
>>3-5 songs each. May end up mixing some but others will go elsewhere.
>>Anyway, I want to be able to give these people some type of media - Im
>>thinking USB external drives at this point - to take with them and allow
>
>>them to walk into any studio, anywhere in the country and be able to mix
>
>>their stuff. Im currently just dealing with WAV files and everything has
>
>>been stored on either my harddrives, backup tapes or DVDs.
>>
>>So far Ive only burned 2 track CDs and that was it. Now I have to be
>>compatible with Im assuming Pro Tools?
>>
>>What recommendations do you guys have for this situation? What format
>>should
>
>>it be in and will Paris do that?
>>Will I have to purchase Protools to be able to do this?
>>
>>Thanks for any suggestions!
>>Mike
>>
>>
>Make sure all tracks have the same start point. If there are punches or
comps, render them as single tracks. If there are chorus vocals in big
groups that only come in at points, you can possibly get by if they start
precisely on a bar line if the song is on a tight click. Otherwise place an
empty piece of track at the start of the son

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 02:59:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

g and render the track from
there.

RZ

"Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote in message news:43168a95@linux...

> So as long as I always have the tracks in .WAV format, I should be ok?

>

>

> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message [news:4316294c\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4316294c$1@linux...)

> >

> > Mike,
> > the external drive (usb/fw) is good solution. As well as, recording
> > everything
> > in wav file format. Or Record 24 bit in Paris, then batch process in
> > wavelab
> > into 24 bit wave files
> >
> > "Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote:
> >>I know this has been asked before but I never paid attention as I never
> >
> >>thought I would be in that situation but.....
> >>
> >>I now have about 60 different clients lined up to come in and track
about
> >
> >>3-5 songs each. May end up mixing some but others will go elsewhere.
> >>Anyway, I want to be able to give these people some type of media - Im
> >>thinking USB external drives at this point - to take with them and allow
> >
> >>them to walk into any studio, anywhere in the country and be able to mix
> >
> >>their stuff. Im currently just dealing with WAV files and everything has
> >
> >>been stored on either my harddrives, backup tapes or DVDs.
> >>
> >>So far Ive only burned 2 track CDs and that was it. Now I have to be
> >>compatible with Im assuming Pro Tools?
> >>
> >>What recommendations do you guys have for this situation? What format
> >>should
> >
> >>it be in and will Paris do that?
> >>Will I have to purchase Protools to be able to do this?
> >>
> >>Thanks for any suggestions!
> >>Mike
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>One more point: I like to burn DVDs. They are more universally readable
between Macs and PCs. Most external drives are not really designed to be
portable.

&

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [DT](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 04:15:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

quot;Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote in message news:43168a95@linux...

> So as long as I always have the tracks in .WAV format, I should be ok?

>

>

> "LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:4316294c\$1@linux...

>>

>> Mike,

>> the external drive (usb/fw) is good solution. As well as, recording

>> everything

>> in wav file format. Or Record 24 bit in Paris, then batch process in

>> wavelab

>> into 24 bit wave files

>>

>> "Mike" <spamthis@alltel.net> wrote:

>>>I know this has been asked before but I never paid attention as I never

>>>

>>>thought I would be in that situation but.....

>>>

>>>I now have about 60 different clients lined up to come in and track
about

>>

>>>3-5 songs each. May end up mixing some but others will go elsewhere.

>>>Anyway, I want to be able to give these people some type of media - Im

>>>thinking USB external drives at this point - to take with them and allow

>>

>>>them to walk into any studio, anywhere in the country and be able to mix

>>

>>>their stuff. Im currently just dealing with WAV files and everything has

>>

>>>been stored on either my harddrives, backup tapes or DVDs.

>>>

>>>So far Ive only burned 2 track CDs and that was it. Now I have to be

>>>compatible with Im assuming Pro Tools?

>>>

>>>What recommendations do you guys have for this situation? What format

>>>should

>>

>>>it be in and will Paris do that?

>>>Will I have to purchase Protools to be able to do this?

>>>

>>>Thanks for any suggestions!

>>>Mike

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>
>And it's small consolation to hear that when oil reaches \$80.00 per barrel, it will be at the same relative price it was in 1980 if inflation is taken into account.

Alternative fuel sources are more expensive and less efficient right now than oil and natural gas....it's going to be a break even situation PDQ. In the meantime, we're going to have to do something drastic.....either drastically change our lifestyles/energy consumption or drastically change our position toward exploration/use of domestic fossil fuels. The former needs to start happening.....so does the latter, at least for the short term while we adapt to the former, perfect alternative energy technologies, build nuclear plants and plan for the end of petrochemical lifestyle as the supplies dwindle. Thing is, about 90% of manufactured goods are petroleum based. I guess I'll have to wire my studio with hemp twine and coconut shells.

;o(

Deej

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message news:BF3BDC79.3FB3%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> Ditto here too. My post about studio rates was my humorous way of glossing

> over my anger with the oil industry. You guys are right, we are on borrowed

> time with oil as a fuel source (industry aside), but even so, I found it

> quite interesting that other similarly affected industries had a very

> different response: a seafood supplier (some of our seafood in the US only

> comes from the Gulf) said it might affect prices later down the road - key

> words "might", "later". Another supplier said he would absorb the cost

> increase if there was one, rather than pass it along to consumers.

>

> The oil industry however raised prices *before* Katrina hit. That's price

> gouging and greed, pure and simple - not speculation. Maybe I've

> oversimplified the situation, and I know Katrina had a big impact on the

> industry, but worldwide, oil companies have the rest of the world over a

> barrel, figuratively and literally.

>

> To add to justcron's link, some neighboring hotels were charging \$199/night

> for \$40 rooms. This is beyond sad. It's despicable and barbaric.

>

> Jimmy - you aren't the only one hoping it won't come due just yet. To be

> honest, I really wonder how we'll survive if we hit a severe energy crisis.

> It would likely cause complete economic collapse. I pray our fears are
> unfounded...but maybe that's the wrong prayer. Today we took our 4 yr old
> son to his first soccer practi

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 05:39:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ke is due for a tune up anyway...

Regards,
Dedric

On 8/31/05 11:39 PM, in article 43169348\$1@linux, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> And it's small consolation to hear that when oil reaches \$80.00 per barrel,
> it will be at the same relative price it was in 1980 if inflation is taken
> into account.
>
> Alternative fuel sources are more expensive and less efficient right now
> than oil and natural gas....it's going to be a break even situation PDQ. In
> the meantime, we're going to have to do something drastic.....either
> drastically change our lifestyles/energy consumption or drastically change
> our position toward exploration/use of domestic fossil fuels. The former
> needs to start happening.....so does the latter, at least for the short
> term while we adapt to the former, perfect alternative energy technologies,
> build nuclear plants and plan for the end of petrochemical lifestyle as the
> supplies dwindle. Thing is, about 90% of manufactured goods are petroleum
> based. I guess I'll have to wire my studio with hemp twine and coconut
> shells.
>
> ;o(
>
> Deej
>
> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BF3BDC79.3FB3%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>> Ditto here too. My post about studio rates was my humorous way of
> glossing
>> over my anger with the oil industry. You guys are right, we are on
> borrowed
>> time with oil as a fuel source (industry aside), but even so, I found it
>> quite interesting that other similarly affected industries had a very
>> different response: a seafood supplier (some of our seafood in the US
> only

>> comes from the Gulf) said it might affect prices later down the road - key
>> words "might", "later". Another supplier said he would absorb the cost
>> increase if there was one, rather than pass it along to consumers.
>>
>> The oil industry however raised prices *before* Katrina hit. That's price
>> gouging and greed, pure and simple - not speculation. Maybe I've
>> oversimplified the situation, and I know Katrina had a big impact on the
>> industry, but worldwide, oil companies have the rest of the world over a
>> barrel, figuratively and literally.
>>
>> To add to justcron's link, some neighboring hotels were charging
> \$199/night
>> for \$40 rooms. This is beyond sad. It's despicable and barbaric.
>>
>> Jimmy - you aren't the only one hoping it won't come due just yet. To be
>> honest, I really wonder how we'll survive if we hit a severe energy
> crisis.
>> It would likely cause complete economic collapse. I pray our fears are
>> unfounded...but maybe that's the wrong prayer. Today we took our 4 yr old
>> son to his first soccer practice - first team sport for him. Beautiful
> day
>> in Colorado...but I have to wonder what kind of future he will have.
>>
>> Analyst say tonight gas could exceed \$4/gallon here. No way am I buying
>> another gas car. There was a guy in Manitou Springs giving holding a
>> training night on making alternative diesel fuel from common, abundant
>> materials (garbage?). It involved building a pretty simple sounding
>> converter from basic materials, and he claimed it worked very well and
>> burned clean. I'm cool with dropping banana peels into a hydrogenated
>> combobulator system.
>>
>> Dedic
>>
>> On 8/31/05 8:59 PM, in article 43166eb0@linux, "uptown jimmy"
>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Exactly.
>>>
>>> We have been borrowing (and betting) against our futures for a long
> time.
>>>
>>> At some point that note will come due.
>>>
>>> It may be today, it may be tomorrow. But it will come due.
>>>
>>> I guess it's stupid to hope that it ain't due to come due quite yet?
>>>
>>> Jimmy

>>>
>>>
>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:431665ea\$1@linux...
>>>> I have been ranting for a couple of years now that the biggest threat
> to
>>>> this country doesn't lie in terrorist attacks to large metropolitan
>>>> cente4rs, but to the energy infrastructure. What we're seeing right now
> is
>>>> nothing compared to what would happen if someone popped a nuke in the
>>>> Houston ship channel and in the refinery chain beltween Baton Rouge and
>>> New
>>>> Orleans.
>>>>
>>>> It's been over 20 years since we built any new
>>>> refineries....why?????.....environmental lobbies here have made it
> cheaper
>>>> to do it overseas where the oil we buy is being produced because we
> can't
>>>> drill for that here either.
>>>>
>>>> In the meantime, there has been no real energy policy that encouraged
>>>> investment by the private sector.
>>>>
>>>> We're getting ready to pay the going price in a global marketplace.
>>> Welcome
>>>> to European gas prices. Here they come. Fill your tank tonight. It's
> going
>>>> up tomorrow.
>>>>
>>>> This, of course, is all hindsight. What we're really paying the price
> for
>>> is
>>>> a failure of the private sector and the government to be able to
>>> coordinate
>>>> an energy policy that is truly effective. The government couldn't do it
>>>> alone. Bureaucracies are neither effective, efficient or creative.
> Private
>>>> enterprise goes where the money is when the money is a sure bet.
>>

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [DT](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 06:30:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>
>>>> All bets are off now. Time to wake up. I'd rather invest the money I'm

Dimitrios

"Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4316a20f\$1@linux...

>
> My problem (well, one of the lesser ones anyway):
>
> I used mild EDS compression (in the Aux) to tame the loud

> toilet paper tube (a rather large one). Is this possibly an issue
> due to mixing both compressed / uncompressed signals? If so, is
> it somehow normal procedure to insert the compression into the

> more sense rather than blending wet/dry signal in the aux.
>
> I recorded live tracks (piano, voice, cello, flute) together

>

> grade level directions would be much appreciated.

>
> TIA,
>
> Daleyou're phasing.

On 1 Sep 2005 16:39:11 +1000, "Dale" <dalebradleycello@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>My problem (well, one of the lesser ones anyway):
>
> I used mild EDS compression (in the Aux) to tame the loud

> toilet paper tube (a rather large one). Is this possibly an issue
> due to mixing both compressed / uncompressed signals? If so, is
> it somehow normal procedure to insert the compression into the

> more sense rather than blending wet/dry signal in the aux.
>
> I recorded live tracks (piano, voice, cello, flute) together

>

>grade level directions would be much appreciated.
>
>TIA,

>
>Dalel don't get it. You have your xp install on C:. Then what I do is make a ghost image to my D:. As I install more apps and tweak C: I make more images on D:. The images are SINGLE files, a snapshot of C:. So I'll have xpraw.img, xp1.img, xp2.img all representing different milestones of my XP installs, so I can get back quickly to an install of xpraw with just drivers, xp1 with paris and other stuff and xp2 with a boatload of stuff. I'm not sure why you are doing it your way but that's how I do it.

John

Kim wrote:

> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>
>>Why are you installing XP multiple times. Just put your ghost image >>file and ghost on the other drives and just xp on C:

>
>
> Yes I am. That's what I'm saying. Obviously I'm not clear.

>
> I've done a single install of XP and ghosted it onto 5 other partitions, > but the problem is that the other partitions aren't called C:\, but the Ghosted > image has a whole bunch of references to files on C:\. All the registry entries, > all the program shortcuts, and who knows what else, all points to C:\, so > if I boot the D:\ partition for example, it's using half the files off the > C: partition.

>
> I think Erling has the right answer though...

>
> Cheers,
> Kim.in other words 'we're fucked'

"DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
news:BF3BFC1D.3FBA%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

> That's what I'm not sure I understand (having not seen the relative
> figures). I've heard that said about inflation, but it doesn't seem to
> coincide with other prices and wages. Oil jumped from about \$14/barrel to
> \$37 or so due to the Iran/Iraq war from 1978 to 1980. That was a 160%
> increase for that time, and the equivalent of \$86/barrel today. However,
> that was an event spike, not a normal inflationary increase, and it
> spurred
> a push in the 80's for more energy conservation (i.e. consumption went
> down,
> relative to growth by something like 20% didn't it?). Inflation hasn't
> risen by that much since 1978. I look at salaries when I graduated
> college
> relative to now (same profession, starting salary) and those also haven't
> increase 3 fold - actually not even 2X. By 1986/87 oil prices had dropped

> back well below 1980 prices (close to \$14-\$20/barrel). In looking at the
> general 20 year price trend, there isn't a 4X increase in any market I
> know
> of. Wasn't a gallon of mild about \$1.00 around 1980? It's \$2.79 now -
> 279%. We are looking at an over 200% increase in oil prices in a year,
> and
> 4X since 1986 (7X since 1998, but that low wasn't a norm). With gas now
> predicted to top \$4/gallon, that's well over 200% in a year at the pump
> too.
>
> In 2004, Principal Global Investors' economic report cited the rise to
> \$44/barrel as a disruption (comparing the 1973 to 1974 rise of 269% as a
> "shock"). They did however propose that the resulting economic recessions
> of 1974 and 1980-81 were a result of spiking interest rates, not the oil
> price spikes. I could see the same happening now. However, it seems to
> me
> that interest rates are set as a reverse reaction rather than stimulus
> proaction during economic burdening events (oil price rises, etc). While
> investing takes a hit during low interest rates, housing booms. When
> interest rates soar, housing crashes, as well as some businesses. Loans
> are
> more of a burden on the economy than investing is a boon, at least in my
> unqualified opinion. That may be a bad indicator of what the economic
> basis
> is, but it seems more of our reality than not.
>
> We seem to be on an exponential increase with oil now spurred by events
> rather than inflation. Such a spike is similar to '74 and '80. I just
> hope
> the results aren't worse.
>
> Regarding alternative energy - you are quite right (and I also know you
> are
> more informed about the oil industry than I am) - we do need to bite the
> bullet and start moving to other sources. I know there are hydrogen fuel
> cell cars on the near horizon - some manufacturers have started previewing
> them in ads, but obviously fuel sources and distribution are a huge
> limitation. I'm not psyched about extending the life of nuclear power
> (esp.
> into cars). It isn't a long term solution. IMHO, the long term risks
> (waste disposal more than meltdown risk) are just as high as depending on
> oil.
>
> At least maybe this rise will get us moving towards something else -
> anything else. My mountain bike is due for a tune up anyway...
>
> Regards,
> Detric

>
>
> On 8/31/05 11:39 PM, in article 43169348\$1@linux, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>
>> And it's small consolation to hear that when oil reaches \$80.00 per
>> barrel,
>> it will be at the same relative price it was in 1980 if inflation is
>> taken
>> into account.
>>
>> Alternative fuel sources are more expensive and less efficient right now
>> than oil and natural gas....it's going to be a break even situation PDQ.
>> In
>> the meantime, we're going to have to do something drastic.....either
>> drastically change our lifestyles/energy consumption or drastically
>> change
>> our position toward exploration/use of domestic fossil fuels. The former
>> needs to start happening.....so does the latter, at least for the short
>> term while we adapt to the

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [justcron](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 11:42:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ny new

>>>> refineries....why?????.....environmental lobbies here have made it
>> cheaper
>>>> to do it overseas where the oil we buy is being produced because we
>> can't
>>>> drill for that here either.
>>>>
>>>> In the meantime, there has been no real energy policy that encouraged
>>>> investment by the private sector.
>>>>
>>>> We're getting ready to pay the going price in a global marketplace.
>>>> Welcome
>>>> to European gas prices. Here they come. Fill your tank tonight. It's
>> going
>>>> up tomorrow.
>>>>
>>>> This, of course, is all hindsight. What we're really paying the price
>> for
>>>> is
>>>> a failure of the private sector and the government to be able to
>>>> coordinate
>>>> an energy policy that is truly effective. The government couldn't do

and who knows what else on the image all say "C:\Windows" not "D:\Windows".

I think the important word here is my misuse of the word image. The problem is with actually cloning the partition, not with creating or restoring an image. If I make an image of C and restore it TO C it's fine. If I restore it to D, E or F and try to boot it, then there's trouble.

Is that clearer?

Cheers,

Kim. By the way, Erling was right, and I've got it sorted now, so it's all a non issue. I just needed a boot manager which then automatically causes whichever partition I boot to be called C drive, hence I'm never booting any other drive letter. Hence the fact that everything points at C is irrelevant, because whichever partition I boot, it is always called C.

Cheers,

Kim.

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>I don't get it. You have your xp install on C:. Then what I do is make

>

>>a ghost image to my D:.

>

>Obviously I'm not explaining myself very well. ;o)

>

>I'm not making an IMAGE on D (well, I've made images too, but...), I'm actually

>cloning the partition and then trying to BOOT to D, which now is an exact

>copy of C. I'm using ghost to copy from partition to partition, not partition

>to image. I want to have 6 different bootable partitions. C, D, E, F, G

and

>H, and be able to select which of the six I boot to. One will be just Paris.

>One will be Paris and other audio apps. One will have Office and other publishing

>apps. One will have games... etc etc.

>

>The problem being that if I boot to E drive after copying C drive on to it,

>all the shortcuts and registry entries on E drive still point to C drive.

>C drive works fine. I can create an image and restore it. It's perfect,

but

>if you load your ghost image on to D, E, F, G etc, and then modify the C

>drive BOOT.INI so that you can boot D, E, F or whatever, then you'll still

>be using many of the files off C drive because the shortcuts and registry

>and who knows what else on the image all say "C:\Windows" not "D:\Windows".

>

>I think the important word here is my misuse of the word image. The problem

>is with actually cloning the partition, not with creating or restoring an
>image. If I make an image of C and restore it TO C it's fine. If I restore
>it to D, E or F and try to boot it, then there's trouble.
>
>Is that clearer?
>
>Cheers,
>Kim. [http://www.hobbytron.com/Synthesized-FM-Stereo-Transmitter.h tml](http://www.hobbytron.com/Synthesized-FM-Stereo-Transmitter.html)

This is the one I am looking at.
No drift accepts line input.
A bit pricy but has good range and apparently good sound quality.

I can't find the one you are taking about DJ.
Typically, they either have rca inputs or 1/8" stereo input that you would
need an rca to 1/8" adapter. (supplied with the one I am looking at).
Ofcourse you can always mod your transmitter with a longer antenna and stick
run it out the window or something.

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>Brandon,
>
>I would love to be able to listen to my mixes, broadcast from my DAW to
the
>radio in my car. What a great idea! I was checking these out. the most
>affordable one has a range of 30' *line of sight*. Walls and metal can
>reduce this substantially according to the specs. However, the FM38-T looks
>like a pretty substantial beast. There is no info on what kind of input
it
>uses, but I'm guessing RCA. Do you happen to know?
>
>Think of all the CD burns you could save. Between the media savings and
the
>time it takes to burn CD's again and again, at \$200.00, the FM30-WT would
>pay for itself PDQ if it's got a decent range. I would need about 50' and
>for it to be able to transmit through a metal roof as my studio is upstairs,
>the roof slopes between the back wall and my driveway and/or garage and
the
>distance to the car parked in the driveway is going to be at least 30' and
>probably a bit further.
>
>Put a little dead air up front to give you time to get to the car and set

>the song to loop and you could get a real good idea of where you are with
a
>mix as far as vehicular playback goes (and probably ruin some assholes day
>who is driving down your street with thunderbuckets cranked full out if
you
>knew the band this station was using and you wanted to *substitute* his
>Gangsta' mix for a good dose of Karen Carpenter or the Osmonds).
>
>Of course, this is illegal so I would never dream of doing it.
>
>;oP
>
>Deej
>
>"Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>news:4316479d@linux...
>> <http://www.hobbytron.com/LowPowerFMTransmitters.html>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "RZ" <pearlmusic@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:4314bb79@linux...
>> > That's very cool. I wonder how easy it is to override the FCC imposed
>> > distance limitations, When we were kids we had a pirate FM station
>that
>> > broadcast for almost a mile with a Radio Shack kit.
>> >
>> > It really has a different purpose than replacing a burned CD. I always
>> > thought it would be cool if someone made a plug-in that emulated the
>> > brickwall limiter and pre-emphasis EQ of commercial radio stations,
with
>> > presets for different types of music. Then you could really hear how
>your
>> > mixes will play on the radio.
>> >
>> > RZ
>> >
>> > "Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> > news:43148120@linux...
>> >> I was thinking of trying an FM transmitter to monitor out in my car
and
>> >> other home stereo devices.
>> >> Anyone doing this?
>> >> I would think it would kick the shit out of burning test CD after CD.
>> >> Any suggestions?
>> >>
>> >>

>> >>
>> >>
>> >> <http://www.electronickits.com/kit/complete/radi/canux300.htm>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

>I thought this was a pretty cool idea that Derek at CDBaby came up with, so I joined up for it. You can donate your profits from CDBaby to help Katrina victims for as long or as short of a time frame as you want. If any of you or your friends/associates have CD's for sale on CDBaby but didn't receive this e-mail from him, here's the full text of the e-mail pasted in below:

begin paste

Hi Neil -

If you'd like to donate all profits of your CD sales to the Red Cross disaster relief fund, to help the hurricane victims, I set up an easy way for you to do this at CD Baby.

Log in to your account, here:

https://members.cdbaby.com/login?u=***youraccountname***

After you log in, click [YOUR ITEMS], up top, then [EDIT ALBUM INFO] next to your CD, then click next to "Giving profits to charity".

It will explain more about how it works, there. (Please read it, first, befo

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 15:22:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

o be at least 30'
>and
>> >probably a bit further.
>> >
>> >Put a little dead air up front to give you time to get to the car and set
>> >the song to loop and you could get a real good idea of where you are

with
>> a
>> >mix as far as vehicular playback goes (and probably ruin some assholes
>day
>> >who is driving down your street with thunderbuckets cranked full out
if
>> you
>> >knew the band this station was using and you wanted to *substitute* his
>> >Gangsta' mix for a good dose of Karen Carpenter or the Osmonds).
>> >
>> >Of course, this is illegal so I would never dream of doing it.
>> >
>> >;oP
>> >
>> >Deej
>> >
>> >"Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> >news:4316479d@linux...
>> >> <http://www.hobbytron.com/LowPowerFMTransmitters.html>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "RZ" <pearlmusic@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:4314bb79@linux...
>> >> > That's very cool. I wonder how easy it is to override the FCC
>imposed
>> >> > distance limitations, When we were kids we had a pirate FM station
>> >that
>> >> > broadcast for almost a mile with a Radio Shack kit.
>> >> >
>> >> > It really has a different purpose than replacing a burned CD. I
>always
>> >> > thought it would be cool if someone made a plug-in that emulated
the
>> >> > brickwall limiter and pre-emphasis EQ of commercial radio stations,
>> with
>> >> > presets for different types of music. Then you could really hear
how
>> >your
>> >> > mixes will play on the radio.
>> >> >
>> >> > RZ
>> >> >
>> >> > "Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> >> > news:43148120@linux...
>> >> >> I was thinking of trying an FM transmitter to monitor out in my
car

>> and
>> >> >> other home stereo devices.
>> >> >> Anyone doing this?

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 15:40:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>> >> >> I would think it would kick the shit out of burning test CD after
>CD.
>> >> >> Any suggestions?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> <http://www.electronickits.com/kit/complete/radi/canux300.htm>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>These put out 25mw of power correct..
That is 1/16 mile or 330 feet or more is what I am calculating.
Should be plenty for what we are using it for.

"Brandon" <[a@a.com](#)> wrote:

>
> Standard RCA connectors are used for left and right line level audio inputs.
>
>The FM35WT can only be shipped outside the USA, or within the US if accompanied
>by a signed statement that the unit will be exported.

>So I guess you are going to have to get the FM30 diy kit.
>Unless you are going to break the law DJ!!! Well are you???

>
>
>
>
>
>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>><http://www.hobbytron.com/ramsey-fm-transmitter-fm30-wt.html>
>>
>>This is the one I was thinking about.
>>
>>"Brandon" <somewhere@overtherainbow.com> wrote in message
>>news:4316f913\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> <http://www.hobbytron.com/Synthesized-FM-Stereo-Transmitter.html>
>>>
>>> This is the one I am looking at.
>>> No drift accepts line input.
>>> A bit pricy but has good range and apparently good sound quality.
>>>
>>> I can't find the one you are taking about DJ.
>>> Typically, they either have rca inputs or 1/8" stereo input that you
would
>>> need an rca to 1/8" adapter. (supplied with the one I am looking at).
>>> Ofcourse you can always mod your transmitter with a longer antenna and
>>stick
>>> run it out the window or something.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >Brandon,
>>> >
>>> >I would love to be able to listen to my mixes, broadcast from my DAW
>to
>>> the
>>> >radio in my car. What a great idea! I was checking these out. the most
>>> >affordable one has a range of 30' *line of sight*. Walls and metal can
>>> >reduce this substantially according to the specs. However, the FM38-T
>>looks
>>> >like a pretty substantial beast. There is no info on what kind of input
>>> it
>>> >uses, but I'm guessing RCA. Do you happen to know?

>>> >
>>> >

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 17:11:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> >
>> >> >;oP
>> >> >
>> >> >Deej
>> >> >
>> >> >"Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:4316479d@linux...
>> >> >> http://www.hobbytron.com/LowPowerFMTransmitters.html
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "RZ" <pearlmusic@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:4314bb79@linux...
>> >> >> > That's very cool. I wonder how easy it is to override the FCC
>> >imposed
>> >> >> > distance limitations, When we were kids we had a pirate FM
>> >station
>> >> >that
>> >> >> > broadcast for almost a mile with a Radio Shack kit.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > It really has a different purpose than replacing a burned CD.
>> >always
>> >> >> > thought it would be cool if someone made a plug-in that emulated
>> >the
>> >> >> > brickwall limiter and pre-emphasis EQ of commercial radio
>> >stations,
>> >> >with
>> >> >> > presets for different types of music. Then you could really hear
>> >how
>> >> >your
>> >> >> > mixes will play on the radio.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > RZ
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >> > news:43148120@linux...

>>inputs.
>>>
>>> The FM35WT can only be shipped outside the USA, or within the US if
>>accompanied
>>> by a signed statement that the unit will be exported.
>>> So I guess you are going to have to get the FM30 diy kit.
>>> Unless you are going to break the law DJ!!! Well are you???
>>>
>>>
>>>
>&

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [JimT](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 18:23:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

a plug-in that emulated the
>>>brickwall limiter and pre-emphasis EQ of commercial radio stations, with
>>>presets for different types of music. Then you could really hear how
>
> your
>
>>>mixes will play on the radio.
>>>
>>>RZ
>>>
>>>"Brandon" <brandon_goodwin@REMOVETHISsbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>>>news:43148120@linux...
>>>
>>>>I was thinking of trying an FM transmitter to monitor out in my car and
>>>>other home stereo devices.
>>>>Anyone doing this?
>>>>I would think it would kick the shit out of burning test CD after CD.
>>>>Any suggestions?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.electronickits.com/kit/complete/radi/canux300.htm>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>I gotcha. I hear there are also physical switches you can buy to
switch/pick your C: drive on bootup. I like the removeable trays myself.

Kim wrote:

> By the way, Erling was right, and I've got it sorted now, so it's all a non
> issue. I just needed a boot manager which then automatically causes whichever
> partition I boot to be called C drive, hence I'm never booting any other
> drive letter. Hence the fact that everything points at C is irrelevant, because
> whichever partition I boot, it is always called C.

>
> Cheers,
> Kim.

>
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>>John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>
>>>I don't get it. You have your xp install on C:. Then what I do is make
>>
>>>a ghost image to my D:.

>>
>>Obviously I'm not explaining myself very well. ;o)

>>
>>I'm not making an IMAGE on D (well, I've made images too, but...), I'm actually
>>cloning the partition and then trying to BOOT to D, which now is an exact
>>copy of C. I'm using ghost to copy from partition to partition, not partition
>>to image. I want to have 6 different bootable partitions. C, D, E, F, G

>
> and

>
>>H, and be able to select which of the six I boot to. One will be just Paris.
>>One will be Paris and other audio apps. One will have Office and other publishing
>>apps. One will have games... etc etc.

>>
>>The problem being that if I boot to E drive after copying C drive on to

>
> it,

>
>>all the shortcuts and registry entries on E drive still point to C drive.
>>C drive works fine. I can create an image and restore it. It's perfect,

>
> but

>
>>if you load your ghost image on to D, E, F, G etc, and then modify the C
>>drive BOOT.INI so that you can boot D, E, F or whatever, then you'll still
>>be using many of the files off C drive because the shortcuts and registry
>>and who knows what else on the image all say "C:\Windows" not "D:\Windows".

>>
>>I think the important word here is my misuse of the word image. The problem
>>is with actually cloning the partition, not with creating or restoring an

>>image. If I make an image of C and restore it TO C it's fine. If I restore
>>it to D, E or F and try to boot it, then there's trouble.
>>
>>Is that clearer?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Kim.
>
>Ok, everyone together now! TROLL!

Tony
(yes, my real name and everything) ;>)

"Time For a Revolution" <stickittobush@whitehouse.crap> wrote in message
news:43174564\$1@linux...

>
> Bush on Good Moring America today....
>
> "Who would have thought the levee would have breached?"
>
>
> "No One Can Say They Didn't See It Coming"
> By Sidney Blumenthal
> Salon.com
>
> Wednesday 31 August 2005
>
> In 2001, FEMA warned that a hurricane striking New Orleans was one of the
> three most likely disasters in the U.S. But the Bush administration cut
> New
> Orleans flood control funding by 44 percent to pay for the Iraq war.
>
>
> A New Orleans resident waded through floodwaters coated with a fine layer
> of oil in the flooded downtown area on Tuesday, August 30, 2005.
>
> Biblical in its uncontrolled rage and scope, Hurricane Katrina has left
> millions of Americans to scavenge for food and shelter and hundreds to
> thousands
> reportedly dead. With its main levee broken, the evacuated city of New
> Orleans
> has become part of the Gulf of Mexico. But the

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Gene Lennon\[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 18:59:42 GMT

damage wrought by the
> hurricane
> may not entirely be the result of an act of nature.
>
> A year ago the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers proposed to study how New
> Orleans could be protected from a catastrophic hurricane, but the Bush
> administration
> ordered that the research not be undertaken. After a flood killed six
> people
> in 1995, Congress created the Southeast Louisiana Urban Flood Control
> Project,
> in which the Corps of Engineers strengthened and renovated levees and
> pumping
> stations. In early 2001, the Federal Emergency Management Agency issued a
> report stating that a hurricane striking New Orleans was one of the three
> most likely disasters in the U.S., including a terrorist attack on New
> York
> City. But by 2003 the federal funding for the flood control project
> essentially
> dried up as it was drained into the Iraq war. In 2004, the Bush
> administration
> cut funding requested by the New Orleans district of the U.S. Army Corps
> of Engineers for holding back the waters of Lake Pontchartrain by more
> than
> 80 percent. Additional cuts at the beginning of this year (for a total
> reduction
> in funding of 44.2 percent since 2001) forced the New Orleans district of
> the Corps to impo

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 23:35:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
>Mac dual 800 G4, 160 GB system/apps disk, 250 GB internal data disk, three
>250 GB Firewire drives for sound libraries. 1160 GB or so on just that one
>computer. :-) (Pretty soon, I'm going to give in and put together a couple
>rack mount pcs for the orchestral libraries...)

You win. I'm taking my dual 1GHz Quicksilver and going home.

pabAwesome. I've always heard about Lindy Fralin.

With the Fralin pickups, how does a techy route out a hole for the bridge pickup? That pickup is set into the metal plate that the bridge is mounted

on.

It makes me wonder if I could install the Kinman pickups myself, being moderately handy. Is there welding involved?

Are the Fralin pickups that much better than the Kinmans?

Jimmy

"DC" <dc@turnthatdown.org> wrote in message news:43177467\$1@linux...

>

> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>

> >2.What are my options for replacing one or both pickups in my Telecaster

> >with humbuckers? I love the way my guitar sounds, and wouldn't want to

> >necessarily change the sound itself, but I sure would love to kill the

> >noise...

>

> These pickups *kill* and are dead silent. Not fake single coil sound,

> but the best, clear single coil sound I've ever heard, despite being

> dead silent.

>

> <http://www.fralinpickups.com/humbuckers.asp#p92>

>

> I use the "Twangmaster" and love it. It's 2 coils, but each coil is

> only covering 3 strings. That way the coils can be in series, so you

> get the silence of a HB, but because only 1 coil picks up each

> string, you get the sound of a single.

>

> Another option, if you want something to go in the standard cutout

> is Chris Kinman's stuff.

>

> <http://www.kinman.com>

>

> His Tele pickups are very quiet and sound terrific, and don't require

> cutting any larger openings in anything. He's an Aussie, but his

> pickups sound like Fullerton (or maybe Memphis) if you know

> what I mean.

>

> Inside Paris, sometimes I simply go around editing out the spaces

> between guitar parts to get the hum out if I just have to use

> a guitar with a buzzy pickup on it. Lot's of work, but effective

> unless the guitar is clean, and mixed way up front.

>

> DC

> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> >Awesome. I've always heard about Lindy Fralin.

Nice guy and what an ear.

>With the Fralin pickups, how does a techy route out a hole for the bridge
>pickup? That pickup is set into the metal plate that the bridge is mounted
>on.

You have to get it machined or buy one for a HB.

>It makes me wonder if I could install the Kinman pickups myself, being
>moderately handy. Is there welding invovled?

Soldering. As long as you have a fine tip iron and the right solder,
no sweat.

>Are the Fralin pickups that much better than the Kinmans?

Can't say that. Kinman's stuff is gorgeous sounding. Every
time I stop by his booth at NAMM his pickups knock me out.

I just love the Twangmaster, but if you want to try the no-mod
way, it would be an easier install to get a Kinman.

DC

>
>Jimmy
>
>"DC" <dc@turnthatdown.org> wrote in message news:43177467\$1@linux...
>>
>> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>> >2.What are my options for replacing one or both pickups in my Telecaster
>> >with humbuckers? I love the way my guitar sounds, and wouldn't want to
>> >necessarily change the sound itself, but I sure would love to kill the
>> >noise...
>>
>> These pickups *kill* and are dead silent. Not fake single coil sound,
>> but the best, clear single coil sound I've ever heard, despite being
>> dead silent.
>>
>> <http://www.fralinpickups.com/humbuckers.asp#p92>
>>
>> I use the "Twangmaster" and love it. It's 2 coils, but each coil is
>> only covering 3 strings. That way the coils can be in series, so you
>> get the silence of a HB, but because only 1 coil picks up each

>> string, you get the sound of a single.
>>
>> Another option, if you want something to go in the standard cutout
>> is Chris Kinman's stuff.
>>
>> <http://www.kinman.com>
>>
>> His Tele pickups are very quiet and sound terrific, and don't require
>> cutting any larger openings in anything. He's an Aussie, but his
>> pickups sound like Fullerton (or maybe Memphis) if you know
>> what I mean.
>>
>> Inside Paris, sometimes I simply go around editing out the spaces
>> between guitar parts to get the hum out if I just have to use
>> a guitar with a buzzy pickup on it. Lot's of work, but effective
>> unless the guitar is clean, and mixed way up front.
>>
>> DC
>>
>
>Rock on, DC.

Jimmy

"DC" <dc@spaninacan.net> wrote in message news:4317aae2\$1@linux...
>
> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >Awesome. I've always heard about Lindy Fralin.
>
> Nice guy and what an ear.
>
>
> >With the Fralin pickups, how does a techy route out a hole for the bridge
> >pickup? That pickup is set into the metal plate that the bridge is
mounted
> >on.
>
> You have to get it machined or buy one for a HB.

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Sep 2005 23:42:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
>
> >It makes me wonder if I could install the Kinman pickups myself, being

> >moderately handy. Is there welding invovled?
>
> Soldering. As long as you have a fine tip iron and the right solder,
> no sweat.
>
>
> >Are the Fralin pickups that much better than the Kinmans?
>
> Can't say that. Kinman's stuff is gorgeous sounding. Every
> time I stop by his booth at NAMM his pickups knock me out.
>
> I just love the Twangmaster, but if you want to try the no-mod
> way, it would be an easier install to get a Kinman.
>
> DC
>
> >
> >Jimmy
> >
> >"DC" <dc@turnthatdown.org> wrote in message news:43177467\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> "uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >2.What are my options for replacing one or both pickups in my
Telecaster
> >> >with humbuckers? I love the way my guitar sounds, and wouldn't want to
> >> >necessarily change the sound itself, but I sure would love to kill the
> >> >noise...
> >>
> >> These pickups *kill* and are dead silent. Not fake single coil sound,
> >> but the best, clear single coil sound I've ever heard, despite being
> >> dead silent.
> >>
> >> <http://www.fralinpickups.com/humbuckers.asp#p92>
> >>
> >> I use the "Twangmaster" and love it. It's 2 coils, but each coil is
> >> only covering 3 strings. That way the coils can be in series, so you
> >> get the silence of a HB, but because only 1 coil picks up each
> >> string, you get the sound of a single.
> >>
> >> Another option, if you want something to go in the standard cutout
> >> is Chris Kinman's stuff.
> >>
> >> <http://www.kinman.com>
> >>
> >> His Tele pickups are very quiet and sound terrific, and don't require
> >> cutting any larger opening

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 09:12:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly acknowledge and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious as WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't fight a war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:rn5gh1lougqai2rkrb59siio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda
> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
> norm.
>
> :o(
>
> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>
> >I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I am
> >stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every anti-industry
> >viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do question
> >both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might discover
> that
> >I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the least
> >dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the middle
> that
> >looks reasonable to me.
> >
> >"JimT" <JT@sansun.com> wrote in message news:43174724\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced the
> >>stereotype
> >> of someone who works in the industry.
> >>
> >>
> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
> >>> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...
> >>>>
> >>>> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new White
House
> >>>> sponsored
> >>>> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to reduce

> >> >America's
> >> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global warming,
fails
> >> to
> >> >> make any significant new investments in clean energy, and fails to
help
> >> >consumers
> >> >> at the gas pump.
> >> >> What it did do includes:
> >> >> Grants the oil and gas industries an exemption for their
construction
> >> >activities
> >> >> from compliance with Clean Water Act.
> >> >> Increases America's oil dependence by 130,000 barrels of oil per day
in
> >> >2014
> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil industry. (Who are
all
> >> >showing
> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest giveaway of
all
> >> >time.)
> >> >>
> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to list but my
> >> >personal
> >> >> favorites are:
> >> >>
> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
compensate
> >> >for
> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which studies have
> >> >concluded
> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will give you
\$800
> >> >million
> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has considerable
> >> >holdings
> >> >> in one of the companies.
> >> >
> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby *insisted* on
the
> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain emissions levels.
It
> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out it was
> >bad

> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they only did
> >> it
> >> >because it was federally mandated.
> >> >
> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but also
> >similar
> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney family has
> >> >future
> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
> >> >
> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern slope of
> >the
> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside of
> >Glacier
> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact that
> >Cheney
> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheney, but he's
> >from
> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations ago
just
> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas prices.
> >> >
> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with him
before.
> >> If
> >> >Cheney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing to get
to
> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the
> >Halliburton
> >> >thing, right?
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you
would
> >> have
> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
> >> >efficiency.
> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a business,
> >you
> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
> >(Public
> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
> >> >
> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers. (Just
a
> >> >little perspective)

> >> >
> >> >;o)
> >> >>
> >> >> Gene
> >> >>
> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our
absolute
> >> >reliance
> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are negatively
> >> >effected
> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us to the
> >large
>

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 12:14:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
> Gig drives. I imagine both the trays and switches would only really work
> if you had drives set up with just one bootable partition on them and only
> needed to switch between drives.
>
> I have always thought though that the trays are a really good solution, assuming
> your drive/partition setup suits it. There is something reassuring about
> knowing that your other boot isn't even in the machine. Of course on the
> down side moving the drives about can't physically be so good for drive reliabilty.
>
> Cheers,
> Kim."fertilize it with pesticides"

hehe what are you a lobbyist? :)Warmer ocean temps mean more severe and frequent huricanes.
Even a few degrees
makes a difference.

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:

>Kim,
>
>No disrespect to you but what a crock to conclude that a Cat5 'cane has

>anything to do with GW or global warming/cooling. Weather gets more severe

>because that's what heavy weather does. Records get broken, storms get

>smaller and storms get bigger. High jumpers jump higher, sales records
get

>smashed, TV's get bigger/better, audio players get smaller, moles come and
>moles go.
>
>The recent killer tsunami in Indonesia - KYOTO just didn't get inked fast

>enough and so - BOOM - nuther big ass . When folks taut this kind of
>simplistic, meteorologically illiterate conclusions, it hastens the notion

>that "the simple go their way and are punished" for their ignorance. When

>the weatherman, with all his pinpoint-double-doppler exclusive
>super-hootie-NOAA-assisted-block-by-block-accuweather-can more accurately

>predict inside a 12-hour apperature of time, the myopic scientific types

>might get a nod from me. I wish it were so simple a matter as each of us

>cherry picking one article from a magazine or newspaper of our choosing,

>proclaiming that to be "the last word."
>
>Half-asleep,
>Dubya
>
>"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4317d848\$1@linux...
>>
>>
>> I don't mean to sound like I'm saying I told you so, but scientists have
>> been telling us the weather will get more severe for years due to global
>> warming...
>>
>> This August, here in Melbourne, half way through the month we were told
we
>> were headed for the warmest August ever, then suddenly in week 3 Melbourne
>> experienced the coldest August day since the 70's, then suddenly it went
>> warm again.
>>
>> All this stuff is no coincedence.
>>
>> People who wont even sign KYOTO, which in itself goes nowhere near far

>> enough,
>> make me want to scream, for exactly the reason we're now seeing.
>> Frustrating
>> as hell.
>>
>> Cheers,

>> Kim.

>>

>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>>If you're talking about New Orleans, it's because the disaster event isn't
>>>over yet. The flood is still happening.

>>>

>>>IOf you're talking about everything else that's happening in the
>>>world.....it's because of France.

>>>

>>>"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>news:4317d003@linux...

>>>> What the fuck is going on? Why is this thing getting so bad?

>>>>

>>>> Is this the best we can do?

>>>>

>>>> I don't know what to say, but I feel compelled to scream.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>

>Not too long ago, people wouldn't have known exactly how bad the storm would
be with enough time to evacuate. Now we have near real time satellite
images... probably saved a lot of lives.Yikes! I actually said that didn't I? I meant *fertilize it and
apply
pesticides*, though with the way things are done these days, it's likely a
simultaneous process anyway.....which is even scarier.

;oP

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
news:431846c0@linux...

> "fertilize it with pesticides"

>

> hehe what are you a lobbyist? :)

>

>"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> http://news.minnesota.publicradio.org/features/2005/04/28_st_eilm_e86sales/

>

>It sure could make farming a lucrative occupation again...

Ethanol is heavily-subsidized, that price doesn't reflect the
true market conditions if everyone in the country (or even a
good chunk of it) were to switch to ethanol... we'd still be
paying more for it than the price shown, it's just that we'd be
paying for with taxes instead of at the pump itself.

Neil

it's the time of year

>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> it's going to get
>hosed with chemical pesticides, big time, to keep yields high and, like
the
>MTBE's, this will leach into the water table.
>
>Cool huh?

Fair point...

.... that PLUS it pollutes the air like oil...

....not sounding so good really.

Cheers,
Kim.John <no@no.com> wrote:
>i don't think moving drives makes any change in reliability

Yeh, I'm not so sure. From what I've heard moving drives doesn't help. In fact I had my main Paris hdd die back in 99-00 sometime when I was moving house. Moving the computer killed the drive. Was working perfectly when I left. Error city once I got to the new place. No co-incidence. Yes drive technology has improved a little, but I'd bet on a drive which stayed still above one which experienced constant moves any time.

Cheers,
Kim."DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>though with the way things are done these days, it's likely a
>simultaneous process anyway.....which is even scarier.
>

Indeed... ;oP

Cheers,
Kim.How do u do a prefetch bat??? I'm intrigued as my puter is slow at the moment, and anything to speed the boot would be good. excuse my ignorance for a needy example..

Russ

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:43178d31\$1@linux...

>
>
> She's runnin' BEAUT!! :o)
>
> Last night I got Paris and all my standard plugins loaded. Had a couple of
> issues briefly where I hadn't restarted after installing some DX plugins
> which the system didn't like much. Once I got that resolved it seemed to
> work perfectly. I didn't give Paris a really lengthy test though, but had
> a listen to a few projects... engaged and disengaged some plugins. Seemed
> solid, and very quick. Extremely usable.
>
> And my favourite test of "snappyness" of all, on boot, when the black
> Windows
> screen with the blue Knight Rider bar comes up, the blue bar travels from
> left to right a grand total of ONCE! :o) In bootup, the machine spends far
> longer getting it's BIOS organised than it does booting Windows.
>
> Also, I remembered the old Aaron Allen tweak of putting a "Prefetch.bat"
> in startup which has the line "del C:\Windows\Prefetch*.*/q" and hence
> clears the Windows prefetch. That actually sped up the boot process from
> about 4 knightrider bars to just the one.
>
> Made a ghost. Now I'm ready to move on to loading the general Audio Apps
> boot, which will involve starting from the Paris Only boot, and adding
> Cubase
> and Reason. I'll have to buy the BFD one day soon too...
>
> Cheers,
> Kim.I am not out to change anyone's mind, but I have done my own research

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Pete Ruthenburg](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 15:15:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;> >independence,
>> >> >> similar to the 1960s push to go to the moon, will help us now.
>> >> >> That plus having a White House and Congress that actually cares
>> more
>> >about
>> >> >> saving our economy then their personal wealth.
>> >> >> Gene
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >

>>

>

>jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post script is yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB doesn't see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous extrapolated hyperbole.

Yes weather goes in cycles. Katrina is the worst hurricane since Camille, but Camille was worse - I think 210mph winds there - it was a full cat 5 when it hit Galveston in 1900. We only have accurate weather records for a little over 100 years here. Let's be real here. New Orleans is below sea level, on the coast. How arrogant could we humans possibly be than to build a city in the center of hurricane alley, below sea level? I'm not diminishing the catastrophe for the people there, but we have high rises and homes right on the beach that get wiped out time after time, yet we build right back. Isn't there a measure of personal risk, or just unfortunate circumstances involved with where we choose to live? Sure, some people really don't have a choice, and for them it's tragic, pure and simple. No blame either way, just reality. People who live next to rivers take the same risk - great place to live, but it comes with the chance you might lost it someday. The more people do this and the larger populations grow, the bigger the catastrophe when a storm or flood does hit. It's been happening for centuries - the ice age was a huge natural disaster - but no one was around to film such past natural events, so we really don't bother thinking about how comparatively normal the hurricane, and even the tsunami are in the scope of global weather history.

Kim I'm sorry to hear you had weird weather in Australia, but based on scientific reports I've heard, such events are far less likely to be the result of global warming than a 20 year trend in averages would be. Certainly some odd events could be a result, but it is nearly impossible to say for sure, and certainly even harder to predict. We live with highly variable weather here in the US. Both the hottest temp and the highest wind gust ever have been recorded in the US, since records have been kept at least. Highest wind gust - Mt. Washington, NH I believe - I've hiked it, but it was only 40F that day, with 20-35mph winds, so a normal day basically :-). Hottest temp - probably Death Valley. Good name too.

We do need to address our environmental habits - there is without a doubt an impact we are paying for, whether we really know it or not. But launching blame against governments for not doing something sooner in the face of a natural disaster is ludicrous and ill-informed. Let's fix the real problem - name one person in a one developed country that could live without a car if we really did what it takes to solve this quickly - cut fossil fuel usage by 50-100% in a year, not 10, or 20 like Kyoto, but 1 or 2. We've created societies (Australia, Europe, Canada, Asia, Russia, etc included) that would have a hard time surviving economically if we really addressed environmental issues head on, with real mandates or laws, and real results. It would hurt

bad - very bad. That's the crux of the seemingly wishy washy policy approach to it. It won't happen until the people of this world decide to do something. It's simply lame to sit around waiting for governments to not only force us, but convince us that whatever legislation is passed is a beautiful thing and we'll love the results. That's what we are doing to be truthful - waiting for someone else to take the situation in hand and convince everyone else it's the greatest idea since the wheel, which is really the one that started this mess.

Regards,
Dedric

On 9/2/05 7:53 AM, in article 4318598a\$1 @linux, "jp" <no@mail.please> wrote:

- > I am not out to change anyone's mind, but I have done my own research on
- > climate. With my understanding of cyclical world climate changes,
- > understanding of the gulf stream mechanism, as well as the scope of
- > naturally occurring co2, I am confident that the earth has a tendency to
- > keep itself in balance, even if that means shedding off certain species
- > (including us). The earth doesn't need our help. It's been doing fine for a
- > few billion years.
- >
- > I think it's a bit short sighted to use a 2-300 year period of record
- > keeping to define "normal" (1/.000000075th or so of the earths life). The
- > earth isn't going to stop evolving just because George Bush found Jesus.
- >
- > You think "global warming" is bad, then you'll be in shock when the sun goes
- > through another 100 year solar flare cycle (think about it, computers
- > weren't around during the last cycle). Just imagine a world with no wireless
- > transmission for several weeks or months. We'll be replacing ICs in the
- > majority of computer appliances (including just about every vehicle within
- > the sun's line of sight) and a lifetime of UV damage will be nothing
- > compared to what 5 minutes exposure to a large flare can do.
- >
- > The earth's a dangerous place. You need to be educated and prepared in order
- > to survive.
- >
- > Just one man's opinion.
- >
- > and a post script:
- >
- > "As democracy is perfected, the office of president represents, more and
- > more closely, the inner soul of the people. On some great and glorious day
- > the plain folks of the land will reach their heart's desire at last and the
- > White House will be adorned by a downright moron."
- >
- > H.L. Mencken 1880 - 1956
- >

> I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up by
> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron.
>
> Do a little research and you'll find a similar "Armageddon" campaign around
> 1000 AD. Hundreds of thousands died around that time, not because of natural
> disasters, but because the majority of the people stopped planting crops,
> and starved to death.
>
>
>
> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4318090c@linux...
>>
>> "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote:
>>
>>> No disrespect to you but what a crock to conclude that a Cat5 'cane has
>>>
>>> anything to do with GW or global warming/cooling.
>>>
>> Well, no disrespect to you, but when I hear ten years of talk about how
>> weather
>> will get more severe and more extreme, and when I look at weather in my
>> own
>> city, and not only notice the changes, but also hear constant news reports
>> confirming that we're hitting more extremes more often, well it leads me
>> to the natural conclusion that maybe, just maybe, all those scientists who
>> claimed for years that we should expect worse hurricanes, well maybe they
>> were right.
>>
>> You're right in the simple example that one cat5 does not a global warming
>> link make. And records, one would expect, will be broken from time to time
>> anyhow.
>>
>> Personally I start to think something is amiss though when I hear "Warmest
>> [month x] on record" every couple of months. I don't expect to change your
>> mind Mark, as I've learned that Don C is pretty much dead on when he says
>> nobody ever changes their minds in these discussions. None the less, every
>> time global warming comes up on this NG I always have a record to resite
>> for my home city for the current day, week, or month, and it's more often
>> than not something like it was this time...
>>
>> OK. Let me tell you some stats:
>>
>> This year, for Melbourne, where I live...
>>
>> 2004 was the 4th warmest year on record. We finished off 2004 with the
>> wettest

Pete

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I tend to agree on this point. The first thing that started really scaring
>me was the fact that the governer of LA was near hysterics in a televised
>news conference. That was Tuesday, and things have gotten much worse since
>then. And the mayor of NO doesn't seem entirely competent to handle the
>situation, either...to put it nicely...

>

>LA leadership is not up the task, never could have been. It's just so
>obvious the whole place got caught with a uppercut it never bothered to
take

>seriously.

>

>It is unconscionable, however, how slow and lazy the Federal response has
>been. The various leaders of various agencies, including our not-so-esteemed
>boy king, have spent more time making excuses, it seems, than in making
>things better.

>

>I am simply horrified with what is unfolding. The political repercussions
>will be massive. I predict the head of FEMA will be jobless very soon.

>

>Jimmy

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:43186e80\$1@linux...

>

>> I'm with you.

>>

>> The president is not an inspiring leader at times of diaster, but this
>> f*ckup has to be laid at the feet of the tradition of corruption and
>> poor government in NO. They knew exactly what would happen
>> to the levies, they knew exactly how deep the water would be and
>> where it would go. Why was there not an effective emergency
>> plan? Where was the local leadership? When NO needed a
>> Giuliani they got a worthless whiner and no plan. Bush was slow,
>> as he usually is. No excuses. But compared to the city and state
>> bozos he is a paragon of virtue.

>>

>> DC

>

>Jason,

It wasn't meant to be warm and fuzzy. It was meant to be ludicrous just like the linked article was. Yes, I'm a conservative leaning libertarian. Yes, I'm disgusted with the fiscal waste by Washington. I'm also sick and tired of the hard left blaming everything bad that happens in the world on the Bush administration. For God's sake, people are still dying in New Orleans

and a large part of the country would rather turn this disaster into a partisan politics pissing match. It's sickening. This isn't the America I knew growing up.

I heard today that the Corps Of Engineers have been warning of the possibility of this kind of disaster since the early 1960's. What did Kennedy do? What did Johnson do? How about Nixon, Ford, Carter, Reagan, Bush #1, Clinton? That's eight administrations that had the information and for whatever reason nothing (or very little and certainly not enough) was done.

I have no great admiration for George Bush. I disagree with more and more of his policies every day. It's the fervent and utterly absolute hatred by the left that really disturbs me.

*****WARM AND FUZZY PART*****

I'm really diggin' Miles to Miles. Listening to Butter Pecan as I type. Excellent! As I've said before, regardless of everyone's differing political views on this news group, I have faith if any of us were to meet on the street, that we'd become quick friends. I think most all of us can at least agree that PARIS rocks!

Here's wishing everyone on the group peace, safety and happiness.

Tony

"jason Miles" <Jmiles45@aol.com> wrote in message news:43177f03\$1@linux...

>

> "Tony Benson" <t o n y@s t a n d i n g h a m p t o n.c o m> wrote:

>>That only applies if the messenger is delivering the truth.

>>

>>I have proof that all the money diverted from any federal flood control

>

>>projects was used to pay for welfare programs, grants for people who roll

>

>>around in shit and call it art, federally funded abortion clinics, and

>>interest on defaulted student loans.

>>

> what a warm and fuzzy response

> JMI am not moving my drives WHILE they are running.

John

Kim wrote:

> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>>i don't think moving drives makes any change in reliability

>>
>
> Indeed, come to think of it, tell the thousands who have had trouble with
> their IPODs that. I've heard reports that IPODs are having huge reliability
> problems, all because their ads show people jogging listening to tunes, when
> in fact, despite the IPOD drives being equipped best they can for movement,
> no physical hdd really suits being shaken about. Hence people go running,
> and the machine lasts only 3 months.
>
> That's what I've heard anyhow...
>
> Cheers,
> Kim. Here's what I don't understand... a day or two before the
hurricane made landfall, when they knew it was going to be a bad
one, but didn't necessarily know where it was going to hit exactly,
why didn't the feds start sending emergency vehicles & supplies
to a staging area in like Kansas, or Oklahoma, or something?

I mean, you can't send things right down into the storm itself,
at that point of course, all that stuff would've been destroyed
along with everything else, but start shipping truckloads of non-
perishable food/MRE's, deploy gasoline tankers full of fuel,
flatbeds with emergency generators mounted on the backs, medical
personnel & supplies, water tankers, tents, etc.

If they had done this, then all this stuff wouldn't have to
start trickling in from all over the country several days
later... they could've had supplies & personnel there the day
after the storm subsided, and if they'd stage at some point
that's far enough away to be safe, but close enough to where it
cuts a day or two off the time that relief starts to arrive,
they could've been in any of those cities down there much faster.

I don't get why we don't do that, especially when they know
there's going to be SOME level of damage and that SOME people
are going to need help. It's not like this thing just came out
of nowhere & surprised everyone. Why isn't something like that
part of FEMA's planning?

Neil

"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
> Agree with you Jimmy; I think some heads are gonna roll. When you
> see Bush saying the response has been "unacceptable"; whether or
> not that means he is taking any blame there are bound to be
> changes as there should be.

>
>Pete
>
>"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>I tend to agree on this point. The first thing that started really scaring
>>me was the fact that the governer of LA was near hysterics in a televised
>>news conference. That was Tuesday, and things have gotten much worse since
>>then. And the mayor of NO doesn't seem entirely competent to handle the
>>situation, either...to put it nicely...
>>
>>LA leadership is not up the task, never could have been. It's just so
>>obvious the whole place got caught with a uppercut it never bothered to
>take
>>seriously.
>>
>>It is unconscionable, however, how slow and lazy the Federal response has
>>been. The various leaders of various agencies, including our not-so-esteemed
>>boy king, have spent more time making excuses, it seems, than in making
>>things better.
>>
>>I am simply horrified with what is unfolding. The political repercussions
>>will be massive. I predict the head of FEMA will be jobless very soon.
>>
>>Jimmy
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:43186e80\$1@linux...
>>
>>> I'm with you.
>>>
>>> The president is not an inspiring leader at times of diaster, but this
>>> f*ckup has to be laid at the feet of the tradition of corruption and
>>> poor government in NO. They knew exactly what would happen
>>> to the levies, they knew exactly how deep the water would be and
>>> where it would go. Why was there not an effective emergency
>>> plan? Where was the local leadership? When NO needed a
>>> Giuliani they got a worthless whiner and no plan. Bush was slow,
>>> as he usually is. No excuses. But compared to the city and state
>>> bozos he is a paragon of virtue.
>>>
>>> DC
>>
>>
>Darn good point Neil. Th

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 18:41:22 GMT

.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our
> >absolute
> >> >> >reliance
> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are
negatively
> >> >> >effected
> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us to
the
> >> >large
> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick
diplomacy.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in the
road.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the idea that
we
> >> >must
> >> >> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy
suppliers
> >>
> >> >> help
> >> >> >> us achieve independence and keep our economy working. This will
help
> >> >make
> >> >> >> many of them even richer and will shorten the time before we
> >completely
> >> >> >run
> >> >> >> out of US oil reserves, but will also cause tremendous health
issues
> >> >and
> >> >> >> will result in serious environmental impacts. Some of this
already
> >> >> >started.
> >> >> >> Only a national level push for new energy sources and energy
> >> >independence,
> >> >> >> similar to the 1960s push to go to the moon, will help us now.
> >> >> >> That plus having a White House and Congress that actually cares
more
> >> >about
> >> >> >> saving our economy then their personal wealth.
> >> >> >> Gene
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >

> >>

> >

>first, we don't have the manpower to pull off what would be need to be done.

second, we'd have to lose the "nice guy" approach to a war of this kind.

third, i believe all sides have the not only the right but the duty to speak up. imho, it's when the middle says and does nothing is when you get a sadam or hitler...cuz it's only the extremes who do all the talking and taking.

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 12:41:22 -0600, "DJ"

<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>It's certainly looking that way isn't it?.....and this is because of the
>*middle* I was talking about. We don't have the balls to stand up and fight
>anymore and the enemies of this country know that all they need to do is
>wait for the leftists to get their various *activist movements* in gear and
>undermine the military effort while spouting off their quasitreasonous crap
>while wrapping it in pseudopatriotic bullshit.

>

>It's as predictable as the sun rising and setting.

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:bssgh1pu1n27anqr51elceg798jqjcdggq@4ax.com...

>> but we are fighting a half assed war in a half assed fashion just as
>> we did in vietnam.

>>

>>

>> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 06:14:46 -0600, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>> >I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle
>would

>> >work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly acknowledge

>> >and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious as

>> >WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't fight

>> >a

>> >war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first

>> >acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.

>> >

>> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>> >news:rn5gh1lougqia2rkrb59siio8hf240th5@4ax.com...

>> >> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and

>> >> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me." kinda

>> >> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the

>> >> norm.

>> >>

>> >in
>> >> >> >2014
>> >> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
>> >> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil industry. (Who
>are
>> >all
>> >> >> >showing
>> >> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
>> >> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest giveaway
>of
>> >all
>> >> >> >time.)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to list but
>my
>> >> >> >personal
>> >> >> >> favorites are:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
>> >compensate
>> >> >> >for
>> >> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which studies have
>> >> >> >> concluded
>> >> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
>> >> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will give you
>> >\$800
>> >> >> >million
>> >> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has
>considerable
>> >> >> >holdings
>> >> >> >> in one of the companies.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby *insisted* on
>> >the
>> >> >> >> refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain emissions
>levels.
>> >It
>> >> >> >> was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out it
>was
>> >> >bad
>> >> >> >> shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they only
>> >did
>> >> >> >it
>> >> >> >> because it was federally mandated.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but also
>> >> >similar
>> >> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney family

>> >has
>> >> >> >future
>> >> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern slope
>of
>> >> >the
>> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside of
>> >> >Glacier
>> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact
>that
>> >> >Cheney
>> >> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheney, but
>he's
>> >> >from
>> >> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations
>ago
>> >just
>> >> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas prices.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with him
>> >before.
>> >> >> >If
>> >> >> >Cheney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing to
>get
>> >to
>> >> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the
>> >>

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 19:46:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

; >Halliburton
>> >> >> >thing, right?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you
>> >would
>> >> >> >have
>> >> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
>> >> >> >efficiency.
>> >> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a
>business,
>> >> >you
>> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
>> >> >(Public

>> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers.
>(Just
>> >a
>> >> >> >little perspective)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >;o)
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Gene
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our
>> >absolute
>> >> >> >reliance
>> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are
>negatively
>> >> >> >effected
>> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us to
>the
>> >> >large
>> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick
>diplomacy.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in the
>road.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the idea that
>we
>> >> >must
>> >> >> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy
>suppliers
>> >to
>> >> >> help
>> >> >> >> us achieve independence and keep our economy working. This will
>help
>> >> >make
>> >> >> >> many of them even richer and will shorten the time before we
>> >completely
>> >> >> >run
>> >> >> >> out of US oil reserves, but will also cause tremendous health
>issues
>> >> >and
>> >> >> >> will result in serious environmental impacts. Some of this
>already
>> >> >> >started.
>> >> >> >> Only a national level push for new energy sources and energy
>> >> >independence,
>> >> >> >> similar to the 1960s push to go to the moon, will help us now.

>> >> >> >> That plus having a White House and Congress that actually cares
>more
>> >> >about
>> >> >> >> saving our economy then their personal wealth.
>> >> >> >> Gene
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >>
>> >
>>
>> >
>>
>>

>While I respect your opinion, you are guessing what another person thinks without knowing them personally, much less their thoughts. Without knowing someone's thoughts and intent first hand, your opinion isn't necessarily true. If you portray that person in a negative light without that knowledge, it is slander, or at best gossip. Even stating the truth about someone else without permission is gossip.

> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy > aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."

Your last three statements here were not just "I think", but stated as fact. But enough statement analysis... Suffice it to say, if there is a chance our opinions of others could be wrong, they are better left unsaid. We all do it though. It is a hard temptation to resist, especially when we feel personal frustration. I do it too, sadly.

You also seem to have a rather negative impression of Christians. A faith not practiced in daily life isn't faith, but a set of beliefs adopted as a hobby. You state religion is a crutch, but that is a sweeping generalization. For some that may be true, but let's be clear about the distinction between religions as organizations and faith as a belief in a God. Religion is a perspective on a belief systems adopted by people. Faith is rooted in the very heart and soul, not the head or intellect. Faith is a two way street - without God to guide me, my faith would be useless. At the same time, if I didn't believe God would guide me, it would also be meaningless.

Faith requires action, or it is just lip service ("Faith without works is dead"). To act on religious laws or mandates is no different than acting under corporate guidelines - it doesn't take faith in God to follow a few rules, traditions or practices. Acting on faith as a Christian is between the believer and God, based on God's own word (the Bible and prayer). Other religions may claim the same communicative guidance, but my faith as a Christian would be meaningless if I believed all religions were another form of the truth, or if mine were just my personal interpretation, open for

variation, moderation and alteration at the whim of peers, society, a religious organization, or those that disagree with me. If there is no absolute truth, there is no absolute wrong. Simple logic tells us there has to be some absolutes, or we will slide into complete chaos. So where do those absolutes come from? God of course - through the Bible.

The Bible is clear about homosexuality being wrong, as well as all sin (lying, cheating, stealing, etc, etc, etc). I don't condemn homosexuals as fellow citizens, friends and human beings but that doesn't somehow make it "right" in a relative sense (i.e. only wrong "for me", but "right" for them). From a Christian perspective, passing legislation to support and (in effect) promote what is clearly stated as wrong in God's word is dangerous for us as a country, not just people choosing that lifestyle - that's why it enters politics, whether you believe it should be there or not (faith without works...). It isn't about "pushing our beliefs on everyone else". It is about seeing Sodom and Gomorrah (Babylon, Rome, etc) re-enacted over and over throughout history as societies accept more and more decadent behavior. We just don't want to see our country go down the same path by making such Biblically stated sin issues a nationally supported belief anymore than you want Christianity to become the national religion. You wouldn't want a law passed requiring that rapists be given right to appeal custody of a resulting child would you (I hope there isn't such a law already, but who knows...)? Of course not. But here is the point - without a moral basis, we have no line to draw where one action is okay, and another isn't - it all become relative to personal interpretation and preference - that includes murder, rape, incest, stealing, torture, genocide, etc, etc. So, President Bush stands up for what he believes in his workplace - politics. Others do the same. To say he shouldn't because his beliefs are Christian beliefs would be denying Christians the freedom we are supposed to allow non-Christians.

As far as Iraq - we may never know exactly what the true reason "in the grand scheme of things" was for this war - i.e. WMD seemed to be a decent reason, but there were none found (probably in Syria). However, every soldier returning from Iraq that I have talked to has said the Iraqis welcome the change, even at the price they are paying. I could post quotes and stories that would really make you think that there was a reason for this well beyond and more significant than WMD, but time is short for me today. My belief, and this isn't justification, is that Iraq will play a strategic role in the future in some event we don't know about - left to Saddam it could have been catastrophic, but maybe not by his hand, just as a part of the puzzle of the world's stage.

Whether you believe in God or not, He does lead people today, and He does put events into motion for His purposes. Perhaps Iraq had nothing to do with future defense or terrorism concerns, but simply the ability of a people to have a chance at true freedom.

> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but I
> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I know
> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."

This is a basic faith concept for Christians. Running for President isn't common, but many of us, if not most or all, follow this very same leading every day - often into very risky and unknown territory, knowing the risk to our families and even our lives could be at stake - but doing it for God's glory and reasons, not ours. That is faith - being willing to do things that aren't popular without regard for social, political or financial standing, or even one's own life. I hadn't heard this exact quote, but in a way, it's putting pieces of a puzzle together for me - likely to an opposite conclusion from the one you seem to be drawing.

Without first hand, personal knowledge of someone's intent, heart and mind, we only know them by the way we perceive them through news blurbs, sound bites and late-night talk shows. I have yet to see anyone on this forum support believing everything you think you see or hear in the news, much less believing your perception is accurate.

I know pastors and Christians that personally spend time with President Bush, and have with other presidents (Clinton, Bush Sr, Reagan, Nixon, etc), and I have met some of these men, heard them speak, read their books, etc. There is a different picture painted of these Presidents than the one you are demonstrating - it is one of real people trying to do their best to lead our country. These aren't perfect people or perfect leaders, but no one on this forum is any more perfect either.

The key to Christian faith is grace. God's grace gave us a way to reach Him through the sacrifice of His son, and offering us forgiveness through His own sacrifice, if we only ask for it. By the same measure of grace we as Christians are given the challenge to reach out to the rest of the world with God's love to share that very same message with our speech, our actions, and our lives - not through hatred, judgement or condemnation. Satan loves to twist that message around, and indeed the intent of well-meaning Christians - what better way to destroy God's design than to discredit His followers, if not dishearten or overtake them?

Thanks for your time jp, or anyone else that read this lonnnnnggg post.
Back to work, and best regards.

Dedric

in article 4318939f@linux, jp at no@mail.please wrote on 9/2/05 1:01 PM:

>
> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

> news:BF3DC8FD.401F%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...
>> jp - Your climatology insight is fairly well reasoned. Your post script
>> is
>> yet more politically opinionated slander. Sorry, it's true. GWB doesn't
>> see himself as the "Armageddon" president. That's just ridiculous
>> extrapolated hyperbole.
>
> Let me make one thing clear. Slander is creating false statements for the
> purpose of swaying ones opinion of a person or entity. I don't see how my
> quote...
>
> "I think this theory is now fact. I think Bush truly believes he is the
> "Armageddon" president, and that he and his believers will be picked up buy
> Jesus on a chariot. This guy embraces disaster. He drools over it. Policy
> aside (I actually agree with about 80% of it), this guy IS a moron."
>
> ...is false in any way shape or form, unless of course YOU actually know
> what I think better than I. This is my personal opinion, is stated as my
> personal opinion, and is backed by my own research. Quotes such as this:
>
> "I feel like God wants me to run for President. I can't explain it, but I
> sense my country is going to need me. Something is going to happen... I know
> it won't be easy on me or my family, but God wants me to do it."
>
> "God told me to strike at al Qaeda and I struck them, and then he instructed
> me to strike at Sadd

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 22:33:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern
slope
> >of
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside
of
> >> >> >Glacier
> >> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact
> >that
> >> >> >Cheney
> >> >> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheyney,
but
> >he's
> >> >> >from
> >> >> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations
> >ago

> >> >just
> >> >> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas prices.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with him
> >> >before.
> >> >> >> If
> >> >> >> >Cheyney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing to
> >get
> >> >to
> >> >> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the
> >> >> >Halliburton
> >> >> >> >thing, right?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business you
> >> >would
> >> >> >> have
> >> >> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its energy
> >> >> >> >efficiency.
> >> >> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a
> >business,
> >> >you
> >> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the IRS.
> >> >> >(Public
> >> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers.
> >(Just
> >> >a
> >> >> >> >little perspective)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >;o)
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >Gene
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our
> >> >absolute
> >> >> >> >reliance
> >> >> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are
> >negatively
> >> >> >> >effected
> >> >> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us

to
> >the
> >> >> >large
> >> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick
> >diplomacy.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in the
> >road.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the idea
that
> >we
> >> >> >must
> >> >> >> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy
> >suppliers
> >> >to
> >> >> >> help
> >> >> >> >> us achieve independence and keep our economy working. This
will
> >help
> >> >> >make
> >> >> >> >> many of them even richer and will shorten the time before we
> >> >completely
> >> >> >> >run
> >> >> >> >> out of US oil reserves, but will also cause tremendous health
> >issues
> >> >> >and
> >> >> >> >> will result in serious environmental impacts. Some of this
> >already
> >> >> >> >started.
> >> >> >> >> Only a national level push for new energy sources and energy
> >> >> >independence,
> >> >> >> >> similar to the 1960s push to go to the moon, will help us now.
> >> >> >> >> That plus having a White House and Congress that actually
cares
> >more
> >> >> >about
> >> >> >> >> saving our economy then their personal wealth.
> >> >> >> >> Gene
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >

>Hi Dimitrios,

I've been watching this one too. so - doesn't work with XP? Doesn't work internally within the same machine? My hope is to run Nuendo and then bus groups or channels to Paris for my favorite Paris 'punch'.

Cheers,
David.

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote in message news:43188742@linux...

> Dear Kim,

> There is only a specific version of Wormhole that works under Paris in
> synced mode.

> In order to have the inntanses synced you have to wrap wormhole with
console

> wrapper.

> I tried all wrappers, none else sends syncing information or at least
> syncing information that wormhole can grab.

> I manage to have around 8 instances of wormhole playing in sync.

> It is not a perfect world with Paris though.

> Under Me console does not save its environement but you can save from
within

> console and load so you can load it after Paris has been opened.

> I ahev once tried and played with vst instruments from within console with

> some success but was waiting for a console update to have MTC support not
> only midiclock.

> There are great possibilities but you need time for experiment.

> It is not the magic solution but someone can use paris one card system for

> realtime mixing device running his cubase program from another pc over

> lan...

> Regards,

> Dimitrios

>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:43180ecc\$1@linux...

>>

>>

>> Hmm, it's only \$50US... I'm very tempted. ;o)

>>

>> What's the cost of this Console wrapper. Did a quick google. I take it
> this

>> is it at \$54...

>>

>> <http://www.kvraudio.com/get/267.html> ?

>>

>> So it's \$104US for the whole shebang. Not too shabby at all...

>>

>> I have been, in my mental peripheral vision, partly aware that you've

been

> > working on this. It does sound interesting. I don't mean to be a pain,
but

> > could you take us through exactly what you've achieved here? Why
Console?

> > Are you running VSTi's in Cubase on another box over the LAN interfacing
> > back to Paris? I read talk somewhere that Console can clock to MIDI...?

> >

> > What exactly are you able to do with this setup?

> >

> > Cheers,

> > Kim.

> >

> > "Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote:

> > >Hi,

> > >After some good tries I came up with a paris wormhole version.

> > >There is one specific version (which Adrian from wormhole has tailored
to

> > >our needs) that will work best with Paris.

> > >It works under Me and I can have at least 8 tracks of fully synced
audio

> > >tracks coming from second computer via lan.

> > >You have to use though console wrapper as it is the only yet that sends
> > >syncing information.

> > >I wanna know when I finally test this baby that he will get some
support

> > >from us.

> > >We have to buy some of us (I have already)) to justify all his extra

> > >efforts he made for us.

> > >It is cheap anyway...

> > >Please respond with some positive interest in buying.

> > >Afterall you will get fuller versions with updates for other systems
that

> > >work great along with Paris version.

> > >Come on guys we don't expect people to work for us and have nothing for
> > >return...

> > >This way we may keep Paris alive for years (I hope) to come...

> > >Regards,

> > >Dimitrios

> > >

> > >

> >

>

>"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbclglobal.net> wrote:

>

>Agree with you Jimmy;I think some heads are gonna roll.When you

>see Bush saying the response has been "unacceptable";whether or

>not that means he is taking any blame there are bound to be

>changes as there should be.

>

Haven't you figured out yet that when people in The Bush administration screw up they get promoted?BTW-I wonder where old Dick Cheney is.He's been real visible during this crisis.

It was also a real good thing that Bush repealed the wetlands act that Clinton had implimented. N.O. is surrounded by wetlands that are being devloped and not preserved. I have heard that from a number of friends there-sooo-This was bound to happen

BTW I can't blame Bush personally because there are lots of people at fault here including the Govenor of La and many other people both democrats and republicans but Bush was pretty

insensitive addressing this crisis just yesterday after doing another iraq dog and Pony show the other day

As far as the religious rants here I stay out of that stuff.

JMtrue, cuz they felt the whatever right would never lie to them. hey, everybody lies about everything if they see a big enough benefit for themselves for whatever reason...and i gots 40 centuries of history on my side for this statement.

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 16:33:20 -0600, "DJ"

<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>I agree.....and because the middle did nothing, the war that started in 1991
>never ended.

>

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:26ahh19c5chmg8si9bhcluakm6ibg3eo6@4ax.com...

>> first, we don't have the manpower to pull off what would be need to be
>> done.

>> second, we'd have to lose the "nice guy" approach to a war of this
>> kind.

>> third, i believe all sides have the not only the right but the duty to
>> speak up. imho, it's when the middle says and does nothing is when
>> you get a sadam or hitler...cuz it's only the extremes who do all the
>> talking and taking.

>>

>> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 12:41:22 -0600, "DJ"

>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>>

>> >It's certainly looking that way isn't it?.....and this is because of
>the

>> >*middle* I was talking about. We don't have the balls to stand up and
>fight

>> >anymore and the enemies of this country know that all they need to do is

>> >wait for the leftists to get their various *activist movements* in gear

>and

>> >undermine the military effort while spouting off their quasitreasonous
>crap
>> >while wrapping it in pseudopatriotic bullshit.
>> >
>> >It's as predictable as the sun rising and setting.
>> >
>> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:bssgh1pu1n27anqr51elceg798jqcdggq@4ax.com...
>> >> but we are fighting a half assed war in a half assed fashion just as
>> >> we did in vietnam.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 06:14:46 -0600, "DJ"
>> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The middle
>> >> >would
>> >> >work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly
>> >> >acknowledge
>> >> >and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as serious
>> >> >as
>> >> >WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't
>> >> >fight
>> >> >a
>> >> >war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
>> >> >acknowledge that you are in the middle of one.
>> >> >
>> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:rn5gh1lougqia2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
>> >> >> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see and
>> >> >> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me."
>> >> >> >kinda
>> >> >> >sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes the
>> >> >> >> norm.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> :o(
>> >> >>
>> >> >> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
>> >> >> <

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 23:26:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ause it reduced certain emissions
>> >levels.
>> >> >It

>> >> >> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out
>it
>> >was
>> >> >> >bad
>> >> >> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they
>only
>> >> >did
>> >> >> >> it
>> >> >> >> >because it was federally mandated.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but
>also
>> >> >> >similar
>> >> >> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney
>family
>> >> >has
>> >> >> >> >future
>> >> >> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern
>slope
>> >of
>> >> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside
>of
>> >> >> >Glacier
>> >> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact
>> >that
>> >> >> >Cheney
>> >> >> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheney,
>but
>> >he's
>> >> >> >from
>> >> >> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations
>> >ago
>> >> >just
>> >> >> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas
>prices.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with
>him
>> >> >before.
>> >> >> >> >If
>> >> >> >> >> >Cheyney is anything like his brother, it might be a good thing
>to
>> >get
>> >> >to
>> >> >> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its the

>> >> >> >Halliburton
>> >> >> >> >thing, right?
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business
>you
>> >> >would
>> >> >> >> have
>> >> >> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its
>energy
>> >> >> >> >efficiency.
>> >> >> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a
>> >business,
>> >> >> >you
>> >> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from the
>IRS.
>> >> >> >(Public
>> >> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax loophole.)
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree huggers.
>> >(Just
>> >> >a
>> >> >> >> >little perspective)
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> ;o)
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Gene
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is our
>> >> >absolute
>> >> >> >> >reliance
>> >> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are
>> >negatively
>> >> >> >> >effected
>> >> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves us
>to
>> >the
>> >> >> >large
>> >> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick
>> >diplomacy.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in the
>> >road.
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the idea
>that
>> >we
>> >> >> >must

> <http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/09/02/katrina.response/index.html>
>
> I am not a partisan person. I wish to god we could have a discussion about
> what is going on in the world without all the sanctimony and
> self-righteousness.
>
> This link is to a CNN compare/contrast piece which succinctly summarizes the
> radical disconnect between the Feds and reality over the last few days.
>
> I repeat: heads will roll. I have a feeling they will include the head of
> FEMA, Micheal Brown.
>
> I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this horrible
> mess, but I will watch with full attention as certain public officials are
> excoriated over this. Some of these folks will be pariahs for a long time to
> come.
>
> Jimmy
>
>
> Hmmmm.....I agree with the 40 centuries thing. As for the lying, maybe we
should look at who was doing the lying.....like the UN, the French, Sadaam,
quite a few scumbags of various ilk here and in other countries, including
our own Oscar Wyatt of Coastal Energy and ol' whatsisname who never had
sexual relations with that woman..... depending on what the meaning of
"is" is, of course, his criminal buddy Mark Rich whom he pardoned and whose
wife is Hilary's good buddy.....and so on. If Mr. Bill would have been
minding the store, there wouldn't have been a Sadaam or any of this Iraq
crap now because he could have attended to it through the UN (theoretically)
before the was was so conveniently forgotten and Sadaam had a chance to
bribe his way out of the sanctions.

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2onhh1dlam54a0u1i9t7g64rbcfjspl94m@4ax.com...

> true, cuz they felt the whatever right would never lie to them. hey,
> everybody lies about everything if they see a big enough benefit for
> themselves for whatever reason...and i gots 40 centuries of history on
> my side for this statement.

>
> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 16:33:20 -0600, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>
> > I agree.....and because the middle did nothing, the war that started in
1991
> > never ended.

> >
> >

> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:26ahh19c5chmg8si9bhcluakm6ibg3eo6@4ax.com...
> >> first, we don't have the manpower to pull off what would be need to be
> >> done.
> >> second, we'd have to lose the "nice guy" approach to a war of this
> >> kind.
> >> third, i believe all sides have the not only the right but the duty to
> >> speak up. imho, it's when the middle says and does nothing is when
> >> you get a sadam or hitler...cuz it's only the extremes who do all the
> >> talking and taking.
> >>
> >> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 12:41:22 -0600, "DJ"
> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >It's certainly looking that way isn't it?.....and this is because
of
> >the
> >> >*middle* I was talking about. We don't have the balls to stand up and
> >fight
> >> >anymore and the enemies of this country know that all they need to do
is
> >> >wait for the leftists to get their various *activist movements* in
gear
> >and
> >> >undermine the military effort while spouting off their quasitreasonous
> >crap
> >> >while wrapping it in pseudopatriotic bullshit.
> >> >
> >> >It's as predictable as the sun rising and setting.
> >> >
> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:bssgh1pu1n27anqr51elceg798jqcdggq@4ax.com...
> >> >> but we are fighting a half assed war in a half assed fashion just as
> >> >> we did in vietnam.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 06:14:46 -0600, "DJ"
> >> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >I agree with you. It's more than sad, it's downright scary. The
middle
> >> >would
> >> >> >work for me except for one thing.....it's refusal to truly
> >acknowledge
> >> >> >and recognize the fact that we are in a war that is equally as
serious
> >as
> >> >> >WWII. The right recognizes this and also recognizes that you can't

> >fight
> >> >a
> >> >> >war in a half assed effort and you can't win a war unless you first
> >> >> >acknowlodge that you are in the middle of one.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >news:rn5gh1lougqaia2rkrb59sio8hf240th5@4ax.com...
> >> >> >> "perhaps siding with the least dangerous of the two evils I see
> >> >> >and
> >> >> >> seeing very little in the middle that looks reasonable to me."
> >kinda
> >> >> >> sad when the middle becomes suspect and either extreme becomes
> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> norm.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> :o(
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> On Thu, 1 Sep 2005 17:35:20 -0600, "DJ"
> >> >> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >I do indeed work in the industry. No denials, no apologies. If I
> >> >> >> am
> >> >> >> >stereotyped for not automatically assuming that every
> >> >> >> anti-industry
> >> >> >> >viewpoint is valid, then so be it.....again no apologies. I do
> >> >> >> >question
> >> >> >> >both sides. If you have read some of my posts here you might
> >> >> >> >discover
> >> >> >> >that
> >> >> >> >I'm a somewhat reluctant conservative, perhaps siding with the
> >> >> >> >least
> >> >> >> >dangerous of the two evils I see and seeing very little in the
> >> >> >> >middle
> >> >> >> >that
> >> >> >> >looks reasonable to me.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> "JimT" <JT@sansun.com> wrote in message news:43174724\$1@linux...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just reinforced
> >> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> >> >stereotype
> >> >> >> >> >> of someone who works in the industry.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> "gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...

> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the new
> >White
> >> >> >House
> >> >> >> >> >sponsored
> >> >> >> >> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails to
> >reduce
> >> >> >> >> >America's
> >> >>>

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 02 Sep 2005 23:38:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

profits without the government bonuses.)
> >> >> >> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest
> >giveaway
> >> >of
> >> >> >all
> >> >> >> >> >time.)
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to
list
> >but
> >> >my
> >> >> >> >> >personal
> >> >> >> >> >> favorites are:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
> >> >> >compensate
> >> >> >> >> for
> >> >> >> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which
studies
> >have
> >> >> >> >> >concluded
> >> >> >> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
> >> >> >> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will
give
> >you
> >> >> >\$800
> >> >> >> >> >million
> >> >> >> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has
> >> >considerable
> >> >> >> >> >holdings
> >> >> >> >> >> in one of the companies.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby

insisted

> >on

> >> >> >the

> >> >> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain emissions

> >> >levels.

> >> >> >It

> >> >> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns out

> >it

> >> >was

> >> >> >> >bad

> >> >> >> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when they

> >only

> >> >> >did

> >> >> >> >> >it

> >> >> >> >> >> >because it was federally mandated.

> >> >> >> >> >

> >> >> >> >> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, but

> >also

> >> >> >> >similar

> >> >> >> >> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney

> >family

> >> >> >has

> >> >> >> >> >future

> >> >> >> >> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.

> >> >> >> >> >

> >> >> >> >> >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern

> >slope

> >> >of

> >> >> >> >the

> >> >> >> >> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right outside

> >of

> >> >> >> >Glacier

> >> >> >> >> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The fact

> >> >that

> >> >> >> >Cheney

> >> >> >> >> >> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheney,

> >but

> >> >he's

> >> >> >> >from

> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four generations

> >> >ago

> >> >> >just
> >> >> >> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas
> >prices.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with
> >him
> >> >> >before.
> >> >> >> >> If
> >> >> >> >> >Cheyney is anything like his brother, it might be a good
thing
> >to
> >> >get
> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its
the
> >> >> >> >Halliburton
> >> >> >> >> >thing, right?
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business
> >you
> >> >> >would
> >> >> >> >> have
> >> >> >> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its
> >energy
> >> >> >> >> >efficiency.
> >> >> >> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a
> >> >business,
> >> >> >> >you
> >> >> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from
the
> >IRS.
> >> >> >> >(Public
> >> >> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax
loophole.)
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree
huggers.
> >> >(Just
> >> >> >a
> >> >> >> >> >little perspective)
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >;o)
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> Gene
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is
our

> >> >> >absolute
> >> >> >> >> >reliance
> >> >> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are
> >> >negatively
> >> >> >> >> >effected
> >> >> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves
us
> >to
> >> >the
> >> >> >> >large
> >> >> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick
> >> >diplomacy.
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in
the
> >> >road.
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the
idea
> >that
> >> >we
> >> >> >> >must
> >> >> >> >> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy
> >> >suppliers
> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> help
> >> >> >> >> >> us achieve independence and keep our economy working. This
> >will
> >> >help
> >> >> >> >make
> >> >> >> >> >> many of them even richer and will shorten the time before
we
> >> >> >completely
> >> >> >> >> >run
> >> >> >> >> >> out of US oil reserves, but will also cause tremendous
health
> >> >issues
> >> >> >> >and
> >> >> >> >> >> will result in serious environmental impacts. Some of this
> >> >already
> >> >> >> >> >started.
> >> >> >> >> >> Only a national level push for new energy sources and
energy
> >> >> >> >independence,
> >> >> >> >> >> similar to the 1960s push to go to the moon, will help us
now.
> >> >> >> >> >> That plus having a White House and Congress that actually
> >cares

news:kvnhh19njal98ijoj49su29ldlai0e7snq@4ax.com...

> "I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this
> horrible" by a promise to remove government from our lives. good bad
> or indifferent, it is what it is...luckily we have a 2 minute memory
> and in a couple of years this will all be a remember when...thing.
> except for those who are still being affected by it.

>

>

> >mess,

>

> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 17:32:36 -0400, "uptown jimmy"

> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>

> > <http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/09/02/katrina.response/index.html>

> >

> >I am not a partisan person. I wish to god we could have a discussion
about

> >what is going on in the world without all the sanctimony and

> >self-righteousness.

> >

> >This link is to a CNN compare/contrast piece which succinctly summarizes
the

> >radical disconnect between the Feds and reality over the last few days.

> >

> >I repeat: heads will roll. I have a feeling they will include the head of

> >FEMA, Micheal Brown.

> >

> >I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this
horrible

> >mess, but I will watch with full attention as certain public officials
are

> >excoriated over this. Some of these folks will be pariahs for a long time
to

> >come.

> >

> >Jimmy

> >

> >

> >

>He ought to get a medal. And perhaps a job running the evac.

<http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-the-Astrodome>

DC"Russ" <riverbed@iprimus.com.au> wrote:

>How do u do a prefetch bat???

The batch file is just a text file with that line in it. Simply open notepad,
and type in the first line:

```
del C:\Windows\Prefetch\*.*/q
```

....obviously modify your path if Windows is installed on a different drive or directory.

Then Save As "Prefetch.bat" and put it in your windows Startup folder, which from memory can be done by saving it at the location (by default) C:\Documents and Settings\All Users\Start Menu\Programs\Startup... or something like that.

Then you're done.

Oh, and make sure that Windows hasn't in it's wisdom saved it as Prefetch.bat.txt, which will render it inoperative. The name doesn't matter, but the suffix has to be .bat or it wont work. You'll soon know, because if it's got txt at the end, when you reboot it will open the next file and you'll see it in notepad or something.

Cheers,

Kim.I am not convinced that it's entirely FEMA's fault, or anybody else's.

I do think, however, that heads are going to roll at the Federal level. That's how this sort of thing works. Somebody always takes the fall. And it usually ain't the true higher-ups; usually, it's the agency heads.

Jimmy

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
news:4318e69e\$1@linux...

> I agree that this was pretty awful. Was it FEMA's fault? I don't know. How
> effective would it have been to rush a bunch of stuff in there only to
have

> it be destroyed in a flood or commandeered by looters? I don't think
anyone

> knew what was going to happen, but if anyone should have had a reading on
> this and their finger on the pulse of the whole thing, it should have been
> local and state officials first off. I saw the evacuation announcement by
> the mayor of NO. It wasn't persuasive at all and it was wayyyyy too late.
He

> should have had emergency personnel out in the neighborhoods convincing
> community leaders to get onboard with a real evacuation. It's pretty
obvious

> that no one wanted to leave because they were afraid that if they did,
> they'd lose their possessions to thieves.....which is what happened
anyway.

> If you've ever lived in New Orleans, you know that the crime rate is bad

and

> if you don't cover your own ass right then and there, it's going to be too
> little, too late when the cops show up. In the absence of a huge push from
> local authorities, I can understand why these folks didn't want to leave.
> The planning was bad, but the beginning of the disaster lies with the
local
> political machine.

>
> What I'm wondering about is who made the decision that a category 3 levee
> was going to be sufficient to protect the city.

>
>
> "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:kvnhh19njal98ijoj49su29ldlai0e7snq@4ax.com...
>> "I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this
>> horrible" by a promise to remove government from our lives. good bad
>> or indifferent, it is what it is...luckily we have a 2 minute memory
>> and in a couple of years this will all be a remember when...thing.
>> except for those who are still being affected by it.

>>

>>

>> >mess,

>>

>> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 17:32:36 -0400, "uptown jimmy"

>> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>>

>> > <http://www.cnn.com/2005/US/09/02/katrina.response/index.html>

>> >

>> > I am not a partisan person. I wish to god we could have a discussion
> about

>> > what is going on in the world without all the sanctimony and

>> > self-righteousness.

>> >

>> > This link is to a CNN compare/contrast piece which succinctly
summarizes

> the

>> > radical disconnect between the Feds and reality over the last few days.

>> >

>> > I repeat: heads will roll. I have a feeling they will include the head
of

>> > FEMA, Micheal Brown.

>> >

>> > I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this
> horrible

>> > mess, but I will watch with full attention as certain public officials

> are

>> > excoriated over this. Some of these folks will be pariahs for a long
time

> to
> > >come.
> > >
> > >Jimmy
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

>I agree. I also think there is way too much generalization in using the word *looter* in this disaster. Hell, I'd be breaking down doors too if I was starving/distressed in whatever way. Even items that aren't consumables might be bartered for life sustaining things in a situation like this. It's becoming obvious that the Governor of LA totally dropped the ball. She had 6000 national guard troops available but on eyes on the ground in NO to tell hher where they would be needed. She also had access to federal troops from Ft. Hood Texas but didn't request help until it was too late, comm

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 01:30:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; >> >> What it did do includes:
>> >> >> >> >> Grants the oil and gas industries an exemption for their
>> >> >> >construction
>> >> >> >> >> >activities
>> >> >> >> >> >> from compliance with Clean Water Act.
>> >> >> >> >> >> Increases America's oil dependence by 130,000 barrels of
>oil
>> >per
>> >> >day
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >2014
>> >> >> >> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
>> >> >> >> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil industry.
>(Who
>> >> >are
>> >> >> >all
>> >> >> >> >> >> >showing
>> >> >> >> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
>> >> >> >> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest
>> >giveaway
>> >> >of
>> >> >> >all
>> >> >> >> >> >> >time.)
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to

>list
>> >but
>> >> >my
>> >> >> >> >> >personal
>> >> >> >> >> >> favorites are:
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana to
>> >> >> >compensate
>> >> >> >> >> for
>> >> >> >> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which
>studies
>> >have
>> >> >> >> >> >concluded
>> >> >> >> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
>> >> >> >> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will
>give
>> >you
>> >> >> >\$800
>> >> >> >> >million
>> >> >> >> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has
>> >> >considerable
>> >> >> >> >> >holdings
>> >> >> >> >> >> in one of the companies.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby
>*insisted*
>> >on
>> >> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain
>emissions
>> >> >levels.
>> >> >> >It
>> >> >> >> >> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated. Turns
>out
>> >it
>> >> >was
>> >> >> >> >bad
>> >> >> >> >> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when
>they
>> >only
>> >> >> >did
>> >> >> >> >> >> it
>> >> >> >> >> >> >because it was federally mandated.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge,
>but
>> >also
>> >> >> >> >similar

>> >> >> >> >> >> areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the Chaney
>> >family
>> >> >> >has
>> >> >> >> >> >future
>> >> >> >> >> >> Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the eastern
>> >slope
>> >> >of
>> >> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right
>outside
>> >of
>> >> >> >> >Glacier
>> >> >> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it. The
>fact
>> >> >that
>> >> >> >> >Cheney
>> >> >> >> >> >owns mineral rights there is certainly fortunate fro Cheney,
>> >but
>> >> >he's
>> >> >> >> >from
>> >> >> >> >> >Wyoming. I doubt he moved his family there 3 or four
>generations
>> >> >ago
>> >> >> >just
>> >> >> >> >> >because he knew that someday we would have high natural gas
>> >prices.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >His brother is a surveyor in this area and I have worked with
>> >him
>> >> >> >before.
>> >> >> >> >> >If
>> >> >> >> >> >Cheney is anything like his brother, it might be a good
>thing
>> >to
>> >> >get
>> >> >> >to
>> >> >> >> >> >know him before casting stones. Yeh.....I know.....its
>the
>> >> >> >> >Halliburton
>> >> >> >> >> >thing, right?
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >In 2003, if you bought a Toyota Hybrid and owned a business
>> >you
>> >> >> >would
>> >> >> >> >> >have

>> >> >> >> >> >> received \$450 in tax reduction from the IRS because of its
>> >energy
>> >> >> >> >> >efficiency.
>> >> >> >> >> >> If you bought a Hummer H1 (7 miles per gallon) and owned a
>> >> >business,
>> >> >> >> >you
>> >> >> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from
>the
>> >IRS.
>> >> >> >> >(Public
>> >> >> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax
>loophole.)
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree
>huggers.
>> >> >(Just
>> >> >> >a
>> >> >> >> >> >little perspective)
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> ;o)
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Gene
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability is
>our
>> >> >> >absolute
>> >> >> >> >> >reliance
>> >> >> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources are
>> >> >negatively
>> >> >> >> >> >effected
>> >> >> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially enslaves
>us
>> >to
>> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >large
>> >> >> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for Big-Stick
>> >> >diplomacy.
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in
>the
>> >> >road.
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the
>idea
>> >that
>> >> >we
>> >> >> >> >must
>> >> >> >> >> >> reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy

On 3 Sep 2005 10:15:50 +1000, "DC" <dc@spamyeruncle.org> wrote:

>
>He ought to get a medal. And perhaps a job running the evac.
>
> <http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-the-Astrodome>
>
>DC> cat 3 levee..enough???...politics, that's who. race aside, it's those
> with the money that make the decisions. sometimes they're right and

I agree with the politics. Who said anything about race?

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:mpthh117jih0c52lef765p58q9l8g9vjcr@4ax.com...

> here my friend, i really have to disagree with you. this is not like
> this is the first time something like this has happened. i'm not
> going to blame it on race, i'm not going to blame it on politics, but
> i will blame it on economic opportunities which by the by is no
> stranger to the great american tragedy...err...bed partnership. as
> soon as price gouging was raised by our state govt., the cost of gas
> dropped by 30 cents per gal. ...gosh...what a surprise since we get
> our fuel from.....not nawlins. yesterday
> there were reports of shortages and today...well...there ain't.
>
> cat 3 levee..enough???...politics, that's who. race aside, it's those
> with the money that make the decisions. sometimes they're right and a
> lot of times they're wrong; unless you've got the bucks to prove
> otherwise...you're fucked. the town i live in is controlled by a
> people from a wealthier community 10 miles away: don't think that all
> that's done here isn't for their benefit....while they live here. we
> get a "way below average for the state stay" here cuz people move in
> and vote for everything then leave. why, cuz it's cheaper to do it
> here for the couple of years than to do it where they came from. our
> millage rate is amongst the highest in the nation; being 114 Yrs. old
> (between us) with no kids we get taxed the same as all the
> rabbits...FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF that.

>
> i so swear by all that is holy that i so do OT PUSH SHEEP through
> fences...unless of course it's absolutely necessary.

>
> please take the humor along with the seriousness of my words as not an
> personal attack, as i mean every bodies voice is welcome in my world.

>
>
>
> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 17:58:32 -0600, "DJ"
> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>
> >I agree that this was pretty awful. Was it FEMA's fault? I don't know.
How
> >effective would it have been to rush a bunch of stuff in there only to
have
> >it be destroyed in a flood or commandeered by looters? I don't think
anyone
> >knew what was going to happen, but if anyone should have had a reading on
> >this and their finger on the pulse of the whole thing, it should have
been
> >local and state officials first off. I saw the evacuation announcement by
> >the mayor of NO. It wasn't persuasive at all and it was wayyyyy too late.
He
> >should have had emergency personnel out in the neighborhoods convincing
> >community leaders to get onboard with a real evacuation. It's pretty
obvious
> >that no one wanted to leave because they were afraid that if they did,
> >they'd lose their possessions to thieves.....which is what happened
anyway.
> >If you've ever lived in New Orleans, you know that the crime rate is bad
and
> >if you don't cover your own ass right then and there, it's going to be
too
> >little, too late when the cops show up. In the absence of a huge push
from
> >local authorities, I can understand why these folks didn't want to leave.
> >The planning was bad, but the beginning of the disaster lies with the
local
> >political machine.
> >
> >What I'm wondering about is who made the decision that a category 3 levee
> >was going to be sufficient to protect the city.
> >
> >
> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:kvnhh19njal98ijoj49su29ldlai0e7snq@4ax.com...
> >> "I don't know how to account for the 5-day Federal bungling of this
> >> horrible" by a promise to remove government from our lives. good bad
> >> or indifferent, it is what it is...luckily we have a 2 minute memory
> >> and in a couple of years this will all be a remember when...thing.
> >> except for those who are still being affected by it.
> >>
> >>
> >> >mess,
> >>
> >> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 17:32:36 -0400, "uptown jimmy"
> >> <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>

and pain, but because of the collective recoil in horror that we will see in the coming days, the renewed interest in how to further promote and encourage equality.

Ultimately, it's a class thing. We all know that. As soon as enough poor white and poor black people in America figure out that they have more in common than not, things are gonna change. This just might be the time for that, I don't know, but I know there's a fast-growing perception on both sides of the political isle, as we speak, that poor people are suffering out of proportion, that we have, as a nation, been neglecting our duties as citizens, that government at every level, city/state/federal, has failed these people.

Jimmy

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:43190186@linux...

> I agree with the politics. Who said anything about race?"jason miles" <jmiles45@aol.com> wrote:

>
>"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbclgobal.net> wrote:

>>
>>Agree with you Jimmy;I think some heads are gonna roll.When you
>>see Bush saying the response has been "unacceptable";whether or
>>not

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 03:55:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

inux..." target="_blank">1@linux...
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the
new
> >> >White
> >> >> >> >House
> >> >> >> >> >> sponsored
> >> >> >> >> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails
to
> >> >reduce
> >> >> >> >> >> >America's
> >> >> >> >> >> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global
> >> >warming,
> >> >> >> >fails
> >> >> >> >> >> to

> > > > > > > > Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will
> >give
> >> >you
> > > > > > > > \$800
> > > > > > > > million
> > > > > > > > for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has
> > > > > > > > considerable
> > > > > > > > holdings
> > > > > > > > in one of the companies.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby
> > *insisted*
> > >on
> > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain
> > > > > > > > > emissions
> > > > > > > > > levels.
> > > > > > > > > It
> > > > > > > > > was never tested properly before this was legislated.
Turns
> > >out
> > > >it
> > > > >was
> > > > > > > > > bad
> > > > > > > > > > shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when
> > >they
> > > >only
> > > > > > > > > did
> > > > > > > > > > it
> > > > > > > > > > because it was federally mandated.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge,
> > >but
> > > >also
> > > > > > > > > similar
> > > > > > > > > > areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the
Chaney
> > > >family
> > > > > > > > > has
> > > > > > > > > > future
> > > > > > > > > > > Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the
eastern
> > > > > > > > > > slope
> > > > > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > > rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right

a
> >> >> >business,
> >> >> >> >> >you
> >> >> >> >> >> >> would have received aprox. \$30,000 in tax reduction from
> >the
> >> >IRS.
> >> >> >> >> >(Public
> >> >> >> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax
> >loophole.)
> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree
> >huggers.
> >> >> >(Just
> >> >> >> >a
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >little perspective)
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >;o)
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Gene
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability
is
> >our
> >> >> >> >absolute
> >> >> >> >> >> >reliance
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources
are
> >> >> >negatively
> >> >> >> >> >> >effected
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> we go into an instant recession. This essentially
enslaves
> >us
> >> >to
> >> >> >the
> >> >> >> >> >large
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for
Big-Stick
> >> >> >diplomacy.
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in
> >the
> >> >> >road.
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> The people who will profit the most will be pushing the
> >idea
> >> >that
> >> >> >we
> >> >> >> >> >must

>.....errrrrr.....well.....anyone used one? I know it's been around for a while and I've been reading the literature on this. It looks like a really nice way to enhance LF elements within a mix while keeping them isolated in the sound stage. Could also be a big asset to mixing tracks that that were recorded in the same space with lots of mic bleed without having to EQ the life out of certain tracks.....maybe? We are in a war. It is part of the job of the national guard to fight in wars. There were plenty of troops available here, but the feds can't just send the army into a state without the governor requesting it, just as she would call up the national guard. It's just a different request line and one she should have known about if she was doing her job.

I don't think *anyone* bears all the blame though. It's like everyone was in denial that this could happen.....so who is responsible for the Cat 3 levees?

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:43191d0b@linux...

> Its still just pointing fingers though... I dont know enough of the actual > specifics to do much more than repeat someone elses finger pointing.

>

> I dont care who's fault it is. However, it's obvious that our national > guard is in Iraq not in the US where they should be.

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message > news:431919e5\$1@linux...

> > And that's precisely why it's the governors fault. Thgere were troops > > available to help. She didn't have it together enough to know how to > > utilize

> > the resources available to her.....next door in Texas.

> >

> > "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message > > news:43191065@linux...

> >> everyones got a finger to point, eh?

> >>

> >> yeah its the governors fault 80% of the countries national guard and > >> helicopters are in Iraq.

> >>

> >>

> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message > >> news:4318f10d@linux...

> >> >I agree. I also think there is way too much generalization in using the

> >> >word

> >> > *looter* in this disaster. Hell, I'd be breaking down doors too if I

> >> > was

> >> > starving/distressed in whatever way. Even items that aren't consumables

> >> > might be bartered for life sustaining things in a situation like
this.
> >> > It's
> >> > becoming obvious that the Governor of LA totally dropped the ball.
She
> > had
> >> > 6000 national guard troops available but on eyes on the ground in NO
to
> >> > tell
> >> > hher where they would be needed. She also had access to federal
troops
> >> > from
> >> > Ft. Hood Texas but didn't request help until it was too late,
> >> > communications
> >> > were down and no one knew what was going on. Even after news reports
> >> > started
> >> > hitting the airwaves, it appears that she hesitated. These Texas
units
> >> > are
> >> > the troops that are just arriving. They could have been there the day
> > the
> >> > levees broke if she had been on top of things. Of course, with all
the
> >> > confusion right now, there could be other mitigating factors to her
> >> > seemingly flawed decision making process. I don't know what political
> >> > party
> >> > she bleongs to, but I'm sure it will eventually get partisan and ugly
> > and
> >> > then we will never know because all objectivity will fly away while
the
> >> > interest groups try to cover their asses.
> >> >
> >> > "DC" <dc@spamyeruncle.org> wrote in message news:4318eb36\$1@linux...
> >> >>
> >> >> He ought to get a medal. And perhaps a job running the evac.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> <http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-the-Astrodome>
> >> >>
> >> >> DC
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

>The irony is inescapable is it not? New Orleans police and prison officials release inmates days ago - they simply walk out to never be seen again while this young guy grabs a bus load of complete strangers and gets cuffed in Houston for taking them all to safety.

"Welcome to Houston folks, this is officer Cuffer, he'll determine whether or not you saved your ass from a K5 without breaking any Houston laws... after that, we'll let the 8-day old infants's mother eat so she can produce milk for that starving 8-day old baby."

Dubya

"DC" <dc@spamyeruncle.org> wrote in message news:4318eb36\$1@linux...

>
> He ought to get a medal. And perhaps a job running the evac.
>
> <http://www.newschannel5.tv/2005/9/1/4255/Taking-refuge-in-the-Astrodome>

>
> DC

>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:4319204e\$1@linux...

> We are in a war. It is part of the job of the national guard to fight in wars. There were plenty of troops available here, but the feds can't just send the army into a state without the governor requesting it, just as she would call up the national guard. It's just a different request line and one she should have known about if she was doing her job.

Yeah a fake "war". The feds wouldn't have to send their troops if there were more national guard around...

My only point I guess is that the fingerpointing back and forth at the governor vs. the feds is completely ridiculous.

> I don't think *anyone* bears all the blame though. It's like everyone was in denial that this could happen.....so who is responsible for the Cat 3 levees?

I dunno... Bush?

> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:43191d0b@linux...

>> Its still just pointing fingers though... I dont know enough of the actual specifics to do much more than repeat someone elses finger pointing.
>>
>> I dont care who's fault it is. However, it's obvious that our national

>
>I wasn't accusing you, or anyone here of that of that. I realize that you were just picking up on third party info/vibe/nuance/spin/slant or whatever. I've done the same thing mayelf.

"uptown jimmy" <johnson314@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:4319288d\$1@linux...

> Don't mis-interpret me. That ain't appropriate.

>
> I ain't preachin' racist hatred. That ain't what I said.

>
>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:43191c53\$1@linux...

> > I suppose so. Funny though, when I think of NO, I don't think of race.
> > It's

> > the one place I've lived where it is less an issue with the populace than

> > I
> > guess anywhere else I know of in the US. It was one of my favorite places

> > for just this reason.lots of acceptance of cultural differences
> > without a lot of ugliness. I guess that networks who don't know the town

> > could see a lot of misbehaviour, and automatically start the slanting
> > (purposely or not) coverage just because so many African Americans live
> > there.....but they are the majority of the population and I assure you

> > there are many thousands who are not in the criminal element and are being

> > victimized by those who are, no matter what their race. If this was
> > happening in Branson MO and there were lots of white folks starving and
> > freezing their asses off in a blizzard, I guarantee you they would be
> > breaking into Wal Mart and covering their asses too.

> >

>

>

>it costs \$100 to fill the tank.

how long?"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>so who is responsible for the Cat 3

>> levees?

>

>I dunno... Bush?

Typical response from the lefties... you fuckers need to grow up.
Bush, believe it or not, is not repsonsible for every bad thing

that happens.

Neillf the fingerprinting is so ridiculous, then why are you doing it? Or are you saying it's only ridiculous when other people do it but quite different thing when you do it?

Dubya

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrot

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 10:08:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;
>> >> >> >> >> >> No disrespect intended DJ, but your response just
>reinforced
>> >the
>> >> >> >> >> >stereotype
>> >> >> >> >> >> of someone who works in the industry.
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >"gene lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >news:43171cbd\$1@linux...
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Has anyone noticed that after working five years on the
>new
>> >> >White
>> >> >> >> >House
>> >> >> >> >> >> >sponsored
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> energy bill, the U.S. Congress passed a bill that fails
>to
>> >> >reduce
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >America's
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> dependence on oil, fails to address the threat of global
>> >> >warming,
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >fails
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> to
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> make any significant new investments in clean energy,
>and
>> >> >fails
>> >> >> >to
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >help
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >consumers
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> at the gas pump.

>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> What it did do includes:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Grants the oil and gas industries an exemption for their
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> construction
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> activities
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> from compliance with Clean Water Act.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Increases America's oil dependence by 130,000 barrels of
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> oil
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> per
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> day
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> 2014
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> through extending the 'dual-fuel' loophole.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Authorized billions in new subsidies to the oil
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> industry.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> (Who
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> are
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> all
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> showing
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> record profits without the government bonuses.)
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Give away billions in unrelated pork fat. (The biggest
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> giveaway
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> of
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> all
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> time.)
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> The list of pork fat is too long and too funny for me to
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> list
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> but
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> my
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> personal
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> favorites are:
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Giving \$800 million for companies in Texas and Louisiana
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> to
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> compensate
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> for
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> their phase out of the gasoline additive MTBE, which
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> studies
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> have
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> concluded
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> contaminates ground water and causes cancer.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> Since we will now longer allow you to poison us we will
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> give
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> you
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> \$800
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> million
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> for your trouble. "Coincidentally", the Bush family has
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> considerable

>> >> >> >> >> >> >holdings
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> in one of the companies.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >FYI, MTBE is an additive that the environmental lobby
>> >*insisted*
>> >> >on
>> >> >> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >> >> >refineries adding to fuel because it reduced certain
>> >emissions
>> >> >> >levels.
>> >> >> >> >It
>> >> >> >> >> >> >was never tested properly before this was legislated.
>Turns
>> >out
>> >> >it
>> >> >> >was
>> >> >> >> >> >bad
>> >> >> >> >> >> >shit and how the oil companies are being sued for it when
>> >they
>> >> >only
>> >> >> >> >did
>> >> >> >> >> >> >it
>> >> >> >> >> >> >because it was federally mandated.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Not only opening up parts of the Alaska Wildlife Refuge,
>> >but
>> >> >also
>> >> >> >> >> >> >similar
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >areas in Wyoming. Why Wyoming?. "Coincidentally", the
>Chaney
>> >> >family
>> >> >> >> >has
>> >> >> >> >> >> >future
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Oil/mineral rights to some of this property.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >There are huge natural gas reserves in Wyoming on the
>eastern
>> >> >slope
>> >> >> >of
>> >> >> >> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >rocky mountains. One of the biggest known ones is right
>> >outside
>> >> >of
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Glacier
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >National park. You gotta go where the gas is to get it.
>The
>> >fact
>> >> >> >that

>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> outcry has recently forced the closure of this tax
>> >loophole.)
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >Most of the folks around here who own Hummers are tree
>> >huggers.
>> >> >> >(Just
>> >> >> >> >a
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >little perspective)
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >;o)
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Gene
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > P.S. DJ - I agree. Our countries largest vulnerability
>is
>> >our
>> >> >> >> >absolute
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >reliance
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > on our current energy model. Any time our oil sources
>are
>> >> >> >negatively
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >effected
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > we go into an instant recession. This essentially
>enslaves
>> >us
>> >> >to
>> >> >> >the
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >large
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > foreign oil producers and causes the "need" for
>Big-Stick
>> >> >> >diplomacy.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > Unfortunately we are about to come to a critical fork in
>> >the
>> >> >> >road.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > The people who will profit the most will be pushing the
>> >idea
>> >> >that
>> >> >> >we
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >must
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > reduce or remove all environmental constraints on energy
>> >> >> >suppliers
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >to
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > help
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> > us achieve independence and keep our economy working.
>This
>> >> >will

>it costs \$100 to fill the tank.
>
>how long?
>ignorance is bliss and i'm one happy mfer.

On 3 Sep 2005 11:11:43 +1000, "Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>whatever heads will roll will be of the lower echelons variety.
>>always has and always will. crap i hope i spelt the e word correctly.
>
>The irony, of course, is that you indeed spelled "echelons"
>correctly, but missed "the" by a mile.
>
>:)the race issue was not directed towards you or anyone for that matter.
t'was just a base cover as to things that have been brought up
elsewhere.

On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 19:54:23 -0600, "DJ"
<animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>> cat 3 levee..enough???...politics, that's who. race aside, it's those
>> with the money that make the decisions. sometimes they're right and
>
>I agree with the politics. Who said anything about race?
>
>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:mpthh117jih0c52lef765p58q9l8g9vjcr@4ax.com...
>> here my friend, i really have to disagree with you. this is not like
>> this is the first time something like this has happened. i'm not
>> going to blame it on race, i'm not going to blame it on politics, but
>> i will blame it on economic opportunities which by the by is no
>> stranger to the great american tragedy...err...bed partnership. as
>> soon as price gouging was raised by our state govt., the cost of gas
>> dropped by 30 cents per gal. ...gosh...what a surprise since we get
>> our fuel from.....not nawlins. yesterday
>> there were reports of shortages and today...well...there ain't.
>>
>> cat 3 levee..enough???...politics, that's who. race aside, it's those
>> with the money that make the decisions. sometimes they're right and a
>> lot of times they're wrong; unless you've got the bucks to prove
>> otherwise...you're fucked. the town i live in is controlled by a
>> people from a wealthier community 10 miles away: don't think that all
>> that's done here isn't for their benefit....while they live here. we
>> get a "way below average for the state stay" here cuz people move in
>> and vote for everything then leave. why, cuz it's cheaper to do it
>> here for the couple of years than to do it where they came from. our

>> millage rate is amongst the highest in the nation; being 114 Yrs. old
>> (between us) with no kids we get taxed the same as all the
>> rabbits...FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF that.
>>
>> i so swear by all that is holy that i so do OT PUSH SHEEP through
>> fences...unless of course it's absolutely necessary.
>>
>> please take the humor along with the seriousness of my words as not an
>> personal attack, as i mean every bodies voice is welcome in my world.
>>
>>
>>
>> On Fri, 2 Sep 2005 17:58:32 -0600, "DJ"
>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I agree that this was pretty awful. Was it FEMA's fault? I don't know.
>How
>> >effective would it have been to rush a bunch of stuff in there only to
>have
>> >it be destroyed in a flood or commandeered by looters? I don't think
>anyone
>> >knew what was going to happen, but if anyone should have had a reading on
>> >this and their finger on the pulse of the whole thing, it should have
>been
>> >local and state officials first off. I saw the evacuation announcement by
>> >the mayor of NO. It wasn't persuasive at all and it was wayyyyy too late.
>He
>> >should have had emergency personnel out in the neighborhoods convincing
>> >community leaders to get onboard with a real evacuation. It's pretty
>obvious
>> >that no one wanted to leave because they were afraid that if they did,
>> >they'd lose their possessions to thieves.....which is what happened
>anyway.
>> >If you've ever lived in New Orleans, you know that the crime rate is bad
>and
>> >if you don't cover your own ass right then and there, it's going to be
>too
>> >little, too late when the cops show up. In the absence of a huge push
>from
>> >local authorities, I can understand why these folks didn't want to leave.
>> >The planning was bad, but the beginning of the disaster lies with the
>local
>> >political machine.
>> >
>> >What I'm wondering about is who made the decision that a category 3 levee
>> >was going to be sufficient to protect the city.
>> >
>> >

politically, socially, religiously, etc., yet they love working together. The conservative one drives a Ford Expedition, which they have collectively nicknamed, "The Republican." I'm pretty sure it's getting pretty close to that GPM designation.

CL

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message news:43193455\$1@linux...

> Already done it. \$113. I drive a great big ozone killing gas loving
> big-ass truck. Goes through gas like there's no tomorrow... in fact, me
and
> my ol' truck and GW are working on makin' sure there ain't no tomorrow.
> Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better I aalways
> say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if I can
> hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap autographed this
> week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a few more on the
left
> front fender and right on the tank...
>
> Anybody got a light?
> Dubya
>
>
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
> news:43193141@linux...
> > it costs \$100 to fill the tank.
> >
> > how long?

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 14:47:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the campaign, the part after marching in. We would have more
>> carefully judged priorities regarding Iraq and Afghanistan. And we would
>> have captured Bin Laden by now.
>
> I don't know about capturing Bin Ladin by now. That would require denying
> him sanctuary. We can't control that factor. We pretty much agree on the
> other factors.
>>
>> I don't see that the officials you are putting your faith in are
>> completely living up to your expectations, at least in some of these
>> areas. Granted they have had some successes.
>
> Putting my faith in them as compared to what? The alternative of doing

> nothing at all? I can only imagine what would have happened if Gore would
 > have been at the helm when 911 happened and we were out there kissing the
 > UN's ass begging for help while AQ Kahn continued to peddle his nukes to
 > every taker and Sadaam continued to grow stronger with the help of France
 > ,
 > etc. while our economy continued the nosedive it had taken at the end of
 > Clinton's term.
 >>
 >> Maybe I'm missing something, but speculating about what the alternative
 >> leadership might have done is less convincing than seeing what the
 >> current leadership has not done.
 >
 > Speculation based on observation of previous modes of behaviour is often
 > scientifically valid.
 >
 >>
 >> Another area where we need better leadership is on energy policy. What
 >> we got from our current leadership seems short sighted
 >
 >but again, what specific alternatives were presented? None.
 > Just
 > general mumbling about *intent* which never once took into account how the
 > existing energy infrastructure would be handled and supported. This
 > infrastructure works, and it works because the people in it are well paid
 > and non-union. This includes the expertise of the engineers employed by
 > companies like Halliburton and Schlumberger who are probably the only
 > engineering technicians who are willing and have the technical expertise
 > to
 > go into a war zone and rebuild the economic infrastructure. You run
 > companies like this out of business if there is nothing for them to do.
 > Then
 > they aren't there when you need them. By not specifically addressing the
 > domestic energy issues, the Democrats basically inferred, by default, that
 > keeping the existing domestic energy industry healthy would be less than a
 > priority. Lots of folks, and not just the ones in the energy industry,
 > knew
 > that this would be a disaster and they voted *against* the Democrats.

Why are you blaming the Democrats for abuses by Republicans with complete control over the Executive, Legislative, and often the Judicial branches of government?

The Democrats know their efforts are futile at best.... it creates a political challenge where the opposition doesn't know whether to dissent or support for purely political reasons what the Republicans are doing.

I still don't think blaming a lacking opposition for the criminal behavior by the incumbents is entirely fair. Which is exactly what Clinton did.....ignore the UN because it

didn't

suit his needs, which should have been protecting the interest of this country, but wasn't.....and you need to learn how to spell *shit*.

"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:4319b521@linux...

> Umm yeah.... leverage UN sanctions when it suits need, and ignore the UN
> when not.

>

> What a crock of shite. Transparent as vodka.

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
> news:4319afdf\$1@linux...

>> The sanctions were just getting started when Clinton was elected. Making
>> sure they were enforced was the job of the UN. Making sure the UN
enforced

>> them should have been Clinton's job. He didn't do his job. Bush did not
>> leave him with a problem. Sadaam did. Blows your theory that the war in
>> Iraq

>> was Bush's fault right out of the water.

>>

>>

>> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message
>> news:431946eb@linux...

>>>

>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>> news:43192fdf\$1@linux...

>>> > That's what is so disturbing about the left.

>>>

>>> OK, you just wasted your first sentence.

>>>

>>> > This war started in 1991 with

>>> > Sadaam's invasion of Kuwait. There was nothing fake about it. Just
>> because

>>> > Clinton and the UN didnt choose to call Sadaam on his violations of
UN

>>> > sanctions didn't mean that somehow they weren't valid. They war never
>>> > stopped. Some folks just found it convenient to forget about it.

>>>

>>> what a crock of shite DeeJ. Bush 1 left a huge mess for Clinton to
>>> pick

>>> up, who never solved it just sat on it for years. Bush 2 comes in and

>>> all

>>> of a sudden Saddam is a threat to the US ag

Subject: Re: Katrina

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sat, 03 Sep 2005 15:08:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

et="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote in message news:4319bd73@linux...

> Which is exactly what Clinton did.....ignore the UN because it didn't
> suit his needs, which should have been protecting the interest of this
> country, but wasn't.....and you need to learn how to spell *shit*.

LOL "W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message news:43193455\$1@linux...

> Already done it. \$113. I drive a great big ozone killing gas loving
> big-ass truck. Goes through gas like there's no tomorrow... in fact, me
> and my ol' truck and GW are working on makin' sure there ain't no
> tomorrow. Presently, I don't get MPG - I get GPM... the lower the better I
> aalways say. Sometimes I just fire it up and let it run just to see if I
> can hogcall up one of them there K5's or F7. Had my gas cap autographed
> this week by GW, several big TX oil tycoons - hope to get a few more on
> the left front fender and right on the tank...

That just confirms how much of a dickhead you are, Dumbya. "Expecting Armageddon" and Christian organizations don't define Christianity. Armageddon is predicted in the Bible, but no one knows, or will know when it will happen until it does. I'm not really hoping to witness it myself, but also don't have reason to fear it if I do.

Some people do believe we are in the last days, and perhaps we are, but that may simply depend on your perspective. Those days could be numbered in the single digits (tomorrow) or millions (e.g. thousands of years, or more). I believe science is mostly right in that the earth has been around for millions of years (quantifying those years may be inaccurate), and it could be millions more. The Bible says that to God, a day is as a thousand years - that could be a reference for people that didn't understand a million, or it could just be a simple "for example" and have absolutely no time value whatsoever - time isn't relevant to God anyway. (I think God has a good laugh when we worry about such things).

That doesn't change the way I live my life or my faith - it is the same either way, or at least it should be (emphasis on "should" more than "is"). Yes it is quite possible the US is playing a role in setting up the end of days - every country may be, or perhaps every country is irrelevant, as God's plan is going to happen anyway. We do have free will to make our own choices, for better or worse, but it's still temporary.

God's will? That is simply for us to have a loving relationship with Him - at least that's the only part we can be absolutely sure of. Everything else either serves that one single purpose, in one way or another, or just is what it is. Perhaps that's all He wanted with Iraq - to give more of His people (i.e. all people) a chance to come back to Him.

Regards,
Dedric

On 9/3/05 12:37 AM, in article 431944c2@linux, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

> thats what I said about the fruits...

>

> Expecting Armageddon has been a part of the "Christian Coalition" at least
> since I had the enlightening experience of working on the Pat Robertson 88
> campaign, so I dont think the original point was too far off.

>

> Does Bush have a role to play? Yes. Like Pharoah did back in Moses time.
> Part of God's plan, but not necessarily serving his will.

>

> I dont know, these are just my thoughts.

>

> "DTerry" <dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message
> news:BF3E9D34.407E%dterry@no_spamkeyofd.net...

>> True, but there are easy ways to distinguish those - Jesus addressed both
>> of

>> those camps directly several times.

>>

>> On 9/2/05 11:59 PM, in article 43193bd5@linux, "justcron"
>> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>>

>>> Plenty of people *think* they're doing Gods will... from the Pharasees to
>>> suicide bombers.

>>>

Subject: Re: Katrina
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 05 Sep 2005 18:06:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>> Things are getting a little too heated and most of the current
>>>>>> threads
>>>>> of
>>>>>> discussion are curre
