
Subject: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 27 Sep 2007 14:29:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Last night I loaded up those Antress Modern plugin's that (I think it was) Lamont came across & posted here.

Anyone else getting operational issues with these things?

In my case, if I'm inserting & de-inserting them on the fly, I've had several lockups where the CPU meter doesn't get close to 100%, but Cubase locks up & I have to shut it down & restart (just the app, not the whole computer).

Standing still there's no problem, but if I'm in playback & trying them out is when it happens - usually on the removal of the plugin from the channel (stereo/mono, track/group channel, 2-buss - doesn't matter).

Some of 'em sound pretty good, tho, I must say!

Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 27 Sep 2007 15:56:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

only once but it was with paris on a 5 year old puter. i think i was using...hell i don't remember but i've used them since with no probs.

On 28 Sep 2007 00:29:57 +1000, "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Last night I loaded up those Antress Modern plugin's that (I think it was) Lamont came across & posted here.

>

>Anyone else getting operational issues with these things?

>In my case, if I'm inserting & de-inserting them on the fly,

>I've had several lockups where the CPU meter doesn't get close to 100%, but Cubase locks up & I have to shut it down & restart

>(just the app, not the whole computer).

>

>Standing still there's no problem, but if I'm in playback &

>trying them out is when it happens - usually on the removal

>of the plugin from the channel (stereo/mono, track/group

>channel, 2-buss - doesn't matter).

>
>Some of 'em sound pretty good, tho, I must say!
>
>Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Thu, 27 Sep 2007 16:03:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There are a number of plugins that do that to me. You just have to learn which ones and figure out if they are worth using and if so stop the transport.

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Thu, 27 Sep 2007 16:50:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have not noticed. I'll try your test this afternoon to see if I can replicate your results.
Those plugins(Antress) sound very good. The verb and delay is very natural.
As are the compressors.
Which (Plugin(s)) do you like?

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>
>Last night I loaded up those Antress Modern plugin's that (I
>think it was) Lamont came across & posted here.
>
>Anyone else getting operational issues with these things?
>In my case, if I'm inserting & de-inserting them on the fly,
>I've had several lockups where the CPU meter doesn't get close
>to 100%, but Cubase locks up & I have to shut it down & restart
>(just the app, not the whole computer).
>
>Standing still there's no problem, but if I'm in playback &
>trying them out is is when it happens - usually on the removal
>of the plugin from the channel (stereo/mono, track/group
>channel, 2-buss - doesn't matter).
>
>Some of 'em sound pretty good, tho, I must say!
>
>Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 02:22:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>I have not noticed. I'll try your test this afternoon to see if I can replicate

>your results.

>Those plugins(Antress) sound very good. The verb and delay is very natural.

>As are the compressors.

>Which (Plugin(s)) do you like?

I haven't seen a 'verb & delay one - wonder if I downloaded the wrong packet? I downloaded two packets, but I can't get one of 'em to "un-Rar" (de-rar?) I thought they were the same, just that one was an installer & the other had only the .dll's. No???

What are the 'verbs & delays called? & are they located in a different packet?

Anyway, the ones I like so far are the Neve 1081 module on kick & snare, the "Monster" compressor on Toms, and the Pultec-y thing on Bass. The 1176 emulation seems to be fairly close in sound - actually perhaps a bit over-the-top of that sound... I tried it on guitars, and it certainly adds that edge to it, but methinks a bit too much for my taste, even on mild settings. I'm messing around a bit with the Analogue-izer or whatever it's called (tape & tube sim, etc), and that might have some possibilities, too - not sure yet.

Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 05:25:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The verb is called "spacer"... I can't remember what the delay is called. Everything I've played with so far sounds at least pretty good and most of it sounds really good. Question: on the Neve eqs, how do you adjust the gain/cut knob in the middle of the the frequency selector?

Thanks,
Chris

> I haven't seen a 'verb & delay one - wonder if I downloaded the
> wrong packet? I downloaded two packets, but I can't get one
> of 'em to "un-Rar" (de-rar?) I thought they were the same, just

> that one was an installer & the other had only the .dll's. No???

>

> What are the 'verbs & delays called? & are they located in a

> different packet?

>

> Anyway, the ones I like so far are the Neve 1081 module on

> kick & snare, the "Monster" compressor on Toms, and the

> Pultec-y thing on Bass. The 1176 emulation seems to be fairly

> close in sound - actually perhaps a bit over-the-top of that

> sound... I tried it on guitars, and it certainly adds that edge

> to it, but methinks a bit too much for my taste, even on mild

> settings. I'm messing around a bit with the Analogue-izer or

> whatever it's called (tape & tube sim, etc), and that might

> have some possibilities, too - not sure yet.

>

> Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [laMont \[1\]](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 07:01:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>>

>>I have not noticed. I'll try your test this afternoon to see if I can replicate

>>your results.

>>Those plugins(Antress) sound very good. The verb and delay is very natural.

>>As are the compressors.

>>Which (Plugin(s)) do you like?

>

>I haven't seen a 'verb & delay one - wonder if I downloaded the

>wrong packet? I downloaded two packets, but I can't get one

>of 'em to "un-Rar" (de-rar?) I thought they were the same, just

>that one was an installer & the other had only the .dll's. No???

>

>What are the 'verbs & delays called? & are they located in a

>different packet?

>

>Anyway, the ones I like so far are the Neve 1081 module on

>kick & snare, the "Monster" compressor on Toms, and the

>Pultec-y thing on Bass. The 1176 emulation seems to be fairly

>close in sound - actually perhaps a bit over-the-top of that

>sound... I tried it on guitars, and it certainly adds that edge

>to it, but methinks a bit too much for my taste, even on mild

>settings. I'm messing around a bit with the Analogue-izer or

>whatever it's called (tape & tube sim, etc), and that might

>have some possibilities, too - not sure yet.

>

>Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 07:52:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>The verb is called "spacer"... I can't remember what the delay is called.

>Everything I've played with so far sounds at least pretty good and most of

>it sounds really good. Question: on the Neve eqs, how do you adjust the

>gain/cut knob in the middle of the the frequency selector?

OK, Spacer - I noticed that one, but hadn't gotten to it yet for some reason. Thanks.

You adjust the boost/cut knob on the Neve EQ's by clicking just OVER the top of it, like at the 12 o'clock position - but OUTSIDE the knob & ring. Weird, huh? That took me a few to figure out.

Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 11:36:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

All you need for PC is

<http://antress.myweb.hinet.net/ModernPack/ModernPlugins.rar>

and use 7 zip to unrar it if you need an un rarrer

<http://www.7-zip.org/>

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning

Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 13:34:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

OK, that's the one I was able ot un-rar, so I'm kewl. Thanks!

I had just downloaded both packets in case one had something the other didn't.

Neil

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>
>All you need for PC is
>
><http://antress.myweb.hinet.net/ModernPack/ModernPlugins.rar>
>
>and use 7 zip to unrar it if you need an un rarrer
>
><http://www.7-zip.org/>

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 15:00:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

good. Also please post more about how you use them and what you like. This is great info.

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning..Review
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 16:19:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well here's my take on them:

-Space verb & delay)Nice. I comapred to the Wave(IR1) and Wizoo verbs and it can hang with them.

-Modern Analoger Turbo: Very nice and Phatt. Youcan add coor to stale sounding tracks.

-Modern Black Dragon (Aka Pultec Eq) Nice. Full bodied and smooth..
Modern VS-ME(classic Urie 1176) Very good. Very agresive

-Modern VF-ME(aka Fairchild compressor) Okay. I compared this plugin to the SSL channel compressor plugin from Waves. Well, my ears say that the Antress VF-ME has that fairchild "glueing " magic just as good as the SSL.

-Modern VD-ME (DBX 160). I tested this on a Bass track.. Instant warmth and smooth compression. Nice

-Modern VR-ME (Manley Vari-mu Compressor). Again, strpped across the Master buss, and magic. Nice!!! Jsut a few turns of the params, you know you got something special..

-Modern V73-ME(neve 1073).I compared to the URS 1073. I the URS was a just a tad more smooth(er).. But, the Antress Neve can and will get used.

-Modern VP-ME(Thermonic Compressor). Color color color.Smooth smooth. Nice..

-Modern Amplifier.. Nice smooth limitier. Simple but get's the job done on vocal and other things.

All in all, I'm impressed with these plugins. what's mind bogging is that they are Free!!! I will be sending-donating some cash to this company.

Lastly, I would pay for these plugins.

-

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>good. Also please post more about how you use them and what you like. This
>is great info.

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning..Review
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 16:57:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And great looking interfaces too !

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 20:47:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Neil, I really don't do that much inserting on the fly . My method is to just keep oopening up different instances in different slots. Then, toggle the bypass sitch to test.

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Last night I loaded up those Antress Modern plugin's that (I
>think it was) Lamont came across & posted here.

>

>Anyone else getting operational issues with these things?

>In my case, if I'm inserting & de-inserting them on the fly,
>I've had several lockups where the CPU meter doesn't get close
>to 100%, but Cubase locks up & I have to shut it down & restart
>(just the app, not the whole computer).
>
>Standing still there's no problem, but if I'm in playback &
>trying them out is when it happens - usually on the removal
>of the plugin from the channel (stereo/mono, track/group
>channel, 2-buss - doesn't matter).
>
>Some of 'em sound pretty good, tho, I must say!
>
>Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 29 Sep 2007 00:45:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>Neil, I really don't do that much inserting on the fly . My method is to
just
>keep oopening up different instances in different slots. Then, toggle the
>bypass sitch to test.

I generally do... if I'm listening to something & think: "Hey that guitar could use some compression" I'm going to run through a bunch of compressors on the fly & see which sounds better for what I'm going for. Yeah I have a couple of "go-to's", as I think we all do, but I like to check different options out, too.

So, workflow-wise, being able to insert & remove plugins on the fly makes a big difference to me.

Neil

Subject: Re: Antress Plugin's - Question and/or warning
Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Sat, 29 Sep 2007 06:50:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Neil, I'll try that.

CL
