
Subject: inline attenuators?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 05:32:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere between the source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly with the female input of the pre?

thanks,

Deej

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 07:39:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Short cable length on the output of an attenuator is always good.

DC

"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:

>Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere between the

>source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly with the

>female input of the pre?

>

>thanks,

>

>Deej

>

>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 16:19:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here's what Earthworks is recommending. there would be approximately 130' of cable from the output of the pad to my preamp input

File Attachments

1) [32TheKickPadisapadEQproce.gif](#), downloaded 96 times



Subject: Re: inline attenuators?

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 02:33:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would try it in both locations and see if you hear a difference.

For many attenuators and transformers there is an advantage to keeping the secondary short, but since I have no idea what is actually in that little barrel, I can't say anything about it.

Looks interesting. Tell us how you like it.

DC

"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:
>Here's what Earthworks is recommending. there would be approximately 130'
of
>cable from the output of the pad to my preamp input
>
>
>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 02:54:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
results.

David.

DJ wrote:

> Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere between the
> source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly with the
> female input of the pre?
>
> thanks,
>
> DeeJ
>
>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 03:06:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_0096_01C8320A.E04B4470
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go

unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?

Tom

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message =
news:474e2ac7@linux...

Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a=20
remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed=20
up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best=20
results.

David.

DJ wrote:

> Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere between =
the=20

> source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly with =
the=20

> female input of the pre?

>=20

> thanks,

>=20

> Deeje=20

>=20

>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

<http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

-----=_NextPart_000_0096_01C8320A.E04B4470

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">

<HTML><HEAD>

<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">

<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1400" name=3DGENERATOR>

<STYLE></STYLE>

</HEAD>

<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>

<DIV>I'm wondering why padding at the pre =
isn't always=20

the way to go</DIV>

<DIV>unless you want the pre's gutsier sound =
wide=20

open?</DIV>

<DIV>Tom</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
 <DIV>"Dave(EK Sound)" <<A=20
 =
href=3D"mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca">audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca&g=
t;=20
 wrote in message <A=20
 href=3D"news:474e2ac7@linux">news:474e2ac7@linux...</DIV>Keep in =
mind, this=20
 will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
remotely powered =
condenser. =20
 Phantom power would get screwed
up by the pad. That being =
said, pad=20
 at the pre for best
results.

David.

DJ =
wrote:

>=20
 Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere between =
the=20

> source and the preamp or is it best if they interface =
directly with=20
 the
> female input of the pre?
>
> thanks,
> =

> Deej
>
></BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0096_01C8320A.E04B4470--

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [audioguy_editout_](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 03:34:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The stronger signal will travel better over distance with
less chance of noise or interference... then knock it down
at the last possible connection before the pre.

David.

Tom Bruhl wrote:

> I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go
> unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?
> Tom
>

> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca
> <mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:474e2ac7@linux...
> Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
> remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
> up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
> results.
>
> David.
>
> DJ wrote:
>
> > Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere
> between the
> > source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly
> with the
> > female input of the pre?
> >
> > thanks,
> >
> > DeeJ
> >
> >
>
>
>
> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 04:58:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://www.shure.com/ProAudio/Products/Accessories/us_pro_A1_5AS_content

Dave,

This is what I use. they work fine with normal phantom power. I have tried them with bot an AKG C460B and an Oktava MK012 with no problems. I'm not sure what A-B condenser powering is, but these are not recommended for that.

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:474e3430@linux...
> The stronger signal will travel better over distance with less chance of
> noise or interference... then knock it down at the last possible

> connection before the pre.
>
> David.
>
> Tom Bruhl wrote:
>
>> I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go
>> unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?
>> Tom
>>
>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca
>> <mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca>> wrote in message
>> news:474e2ac7@linux...
>> Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
>> remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
>> up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
>> results.
>>
>> David.
>>
>> DJ wrote:
>>
>> > Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere
>> between the
>> > source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly
>> with the
>> > female input of the pre?
>> >
>> > thanks,
>> >
>> > DeeJ
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 05:42:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote:
>The stronger signal will travel better over distance with
>less chance of noise or interference... then knock it down
>at the last possible connection before the pre.

>
>David.

I read the Earthworks page on it, and they did not say it was an attenuator. If it is, then the above is true. I would like to know what it actually is. BTW, they did say you could use it with condensers, so it must pass phantom.

hmmm

DC

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 13:12:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

RE: the shure in line attenuator

I've used them and they work well work well placed right before the pre amp after a long (50') run

"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message
news:474e4833@linux...
> http://www.shure.com/ProAudio/Products/Accessories/us_pro_A1_5AS_content
>
> Dave,
>
> This is what I use. they work fine with normal phantom power. I have tried
> them with bot an AKG C460B and an Oktava MK012 with no problems. I'm not
> sure what A-B condenser powering is, but these are not recommended for
> that.
>
>
>
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:474e3430@linux...
>> The stronger signal will travel better over distance with less chance of
>> noise or interference... then knock it down at the last possible
>> connection before the pre.
>>
>> David.
>>
>> Tom Bruhl wrote:
>>
>>> I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go
>>> unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?

>>> Tom
>>>
>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca
>>> <mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>> news:474e2ac7@linux...
>>> Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
>>> remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
>>> up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
>>> results.
>>>
>>> David.
>>>
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>
>>> > Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere
>>> between the
>>> > source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly
>>> with the
>>> > female input of the pre?
>>> >
>>> > thanks,
>>> >
>>> > DeeJ
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>
>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 14:32:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Anyone using these?
<http://www.adesignsaudio.com/atty.htm>

I know it's not the same thing..but just wondering.

I'd also like to know how that earthworks thang sounds.

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>RE: the shure in line attenuator

>
>I've used them and they work well work well placed right before the pre
amp
>after a long (50') run
>
>
>"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message
>news:474e4833@linux...
>> http://www.shure.com/ProAudio/Products/Accessories/us_pro_A1_5AS_content
>>
>> Dave,
>>
>> This is what I use. they work fine with normal phantom power. I have tried
>>
>> them with bot an AKG C460B and an Oktava MK012 with no problems. I'm not
>>
>> sure what A-B condenser powering is, but these are not recommended for
>>
>> that.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>> news:474e3430@linux...
>>> The stronger signal will travel better over distance with less chance
of
>>> noise or interference... then knock it down at the last possible
>>> connection before the pre.
>>>
>>> David.
>>>
>>> Tom Bruhl wrote:
>>>
>>>> I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go
>>>> unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?
>>>> Tom
>>>>
>>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca
>>>> <mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca>> wrote in message
>>>> news:474e2ac7@linux...
>>>> Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
>>>> remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
>>>> up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
>>>> results.
>>>>
>>>> David.
>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:

>>>>
>>>> > Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere
>>>> between the
>>>> > source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly
>>>> with the
>>>> > female input of the pre?
>>>> >
>>>> > thanks,
>>>> >
>>>> > Deej
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>>> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 18:18:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've heard som great reviews of these by actual users. Lynn fuston over on 3dB uses them and he's pretty picky about fidelity. I talked to the designer, Peter Montessi, on the phone last night. I'll probably be ordering one of these myself. My NHT PVC's are getting kind of old and I'm starting to hear some image shift to the left when I monitor at low volumes.

I ordered the A-Designs REDDI from Peter last night. I've started playing a bit of bass here and from all reports this thing is the ultimate bass DI. Peter indicated that he voiced the circuit on a "golden" B-15N. I have a nice (maybe not golden) B-15N here so I'm going to A/B them.

;o)

"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:474ebf68@linux...
>
>
> Anyone using these?
> <http://www.adesignsaudio.com/atty.htm>
>

> I know it's not the same thing..but just wondering.
>
> I'd also like to know how that earthhworks thang sounds.
>
>
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:
>>RE: the shure in line attenuator
>>
>>I've used them and they work well work well placed right before the pre
> amp
>>after a long (50') run
>>
>>
>>"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote in message
>>news:474e4833@linux...
>>> http://www.shure.com/ProAudio/Products/Accessories/us_pro_A1_5AS_content
>>>
>>> Dave,
>>>
>>> This is what I use. they work fine with normal phantom power. I have
>>> tried
>
>>> them with bot an AKG C460B and an Oktava MK012 with no problems. I'm not
>
>>> sure what A-B condenser powering is, but these are not recommended for
>
>>> that.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>> news:474e3430@linux...
>>>> The stronger signal will travel better over distance with less chance
> of
>>>> noise or interference... then knock it down at the last possible
>>>> connection before the pre.
>>>>
>>>> David.
>>>>
>>>> Tom Bruhl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go
>>>>> unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?
>>>>> Tom
>>>>>
>>>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca
>>>>> <mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca>> wrote in message
>>>>> news:474e2ac7@linux...

>>>> Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
>>>> remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
>>>> up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
>>>> results.

>>>>
>>>> David.

>>>>
>>>> DJ wrote:

>>>>
>>>> > Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere
>>>> between the
>>>> > source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly
>>>> with the
>>>> > female input of the pre?
>>>> >
>>>> > thanks,
>>>> >
>>>> > DeeJ
>>>> >
>>>> >

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>>> <http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: inline attenuators?
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 18:45:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They WILL affect phantom, maybe not much, but there will be a voltage drop across the pad that will reduce the dynamic range of the mic.

David.

DJ wrote:
> http://www.shure.com/ProAudio/Products/Accessories/us_pro_A1_5AS_content
>
> Dave,
>
> This is what I use. they work fine with normal phantom power. I have tried
> them with bot an AKG C460B and an Oktava MK012 with no problems. I'm not

> sure what A-B condenser powering is, but these are not recommended for that.
>
>
>
> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:474e3430@linux...
>
>>The stronger signal will travel better over distance with less chance of
>>noise or interference... then knock it down at the last possible
>>connection before the pre.
>>
>>David.
>>
>>Tom Bruhl wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I'm wondering why padding at the pre isn't always the way to go
>>>unless you want the pre's gutsier sound wide open?
>>>Tom
>>>
>>> "Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca
>>> <mailto:audioguy_editout_@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>> news:474e2ac7@linux...
>>> Keep in mind, this will only work for a dynamic mic... or a
>>> remotely powered condenser. Phantom power would get screwed
>>> up by the pad. That being said, pad at the pre for best
>>> results.
>>>
>>> David.
>>>
>>> DJ wrote:
>>>
>>> > Does it matter if these are plugged in to the line somewhere
>>> between the
>>> > source and the preamp or is it best if they interface directly
>>> with the
>>> > female input of the pre?
>>> >
>>> > thanks,
>>> >
>>> > DeeJ
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?
>>><http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html>

>
>
>