
Subject: Little bit more data about new Yammy/Cubase hardware

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 12:49:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=6286>

Apparently what they have done is duplicated some of the systems in Cubase within the hardware.

Subject: Re: Little bit more data about new Yammy/Cubase hardware

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 21:19:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was right :)

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

><http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=6286>

>

>Apparently what they have done is duplicated some of the systems in

>Cubase within the hardware.

Subject: Re: Little bit more data about new Yammy/Cubase hardware

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 21:26:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, this is esentially dedicated "hardware" for a native DAW. That's not so-native if you use these units..Hummmm??

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

><http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=6286>

>

>Apparently what they have done is duplicated some of the systems in

>Cubase within the hardware.

Subject: Re: Little bit more data about new Yammy/Cubase hardware

Posted by [scott h](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 21:35:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

so.. they are going down the road that all of us were gnashing our teeth for...
ooh... 10 years ago... best of both worlds... native portability... with
hardware power back at the home base....

if only, if only....

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>Well, this is esentially dedicated "hardware" for a native DAW. That's not
>so-native if you use these units..Hummmm??

>

>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>><http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=6286>

>>

>>Apparently what they have done is duplicated some of the systems in

>>Cubase within the hardware.

>

Subject: Re: Little bit more data about new Yammy/Cubase hardware
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 23:13:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think they (Steinberg/Yamaha) new that the only wayto achieve real Zero Latency was to use "Dedicated" Hardware.

I see no problem with that. Now, those super duper CPUs can take on the task of providing mega watt power to all those cool native plugins..

It's funny, but Paris (Ensoniq/ID) had this vision way back in 1997!! Yikes.. Best of all worlds.

"scott h" <fresnelmusic@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>so.. they are going down the road that all of us were gnashing our teeth for...

>oooh... 10 years ago... best of both worlds... native portability... with

>hardware power back at the home base....

>

>if only, if only....

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>Well, this is esentially dedicated "hardware" for a native DAW. That's not

>>so-native if you use these units..Hummmm??

>>

>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>><http://www.sonicstate.com/news/shownews.cfm?newsid=6286>

>>>

>>>Apparently what they have done is duplicated some of the systems in

>>>Cubase within the hardware.

>>

>
