

---

Subject: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Deej](#) on Sat, 12 Jan 2008 20:47:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Perhaps we should be paying attention to what has happened to our educational system relative to the rest of the world over the last 40 years?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMcfLYDm2U>

---

---

Subject: Arrrrggghhh!!!! I posted the wrong link!!!  
Posted by [Deej](#) on Sat, 12 Jan 2008 20:56:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well crap!!.....I was going to post the link above in another titled thread.  
It does have some relevance to the original topic though.

Well..anyway...the link above is pretty fascinating.

"Deej" <nowayjose@nada.net> wrote:

>  
>Perhaps we should be paying attention to what has happened to our educational  
>system relative to the rest of the world over the last 40 years?  
>  
><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMcfLYDm2U>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 00:51:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late '60s and has never recovered

In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in the quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE the change occurred to find what caused it. What changed in the US school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?

Discuss please...

Deej wrote:

> Perhaps we should be paying attention to what has happened to our educational  
> system relative to the rest of the world over the last 40 years?  
>  
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMcfLYDm2U>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:26:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm guessing drugs had something to do with it.  
"Deej" <nowayjose@nada.net> wrote in message news:47891954\$1@linux...  
>  
> Perhaps we should be paying attention to what has happened to our  
> educational  
> system relative to the rest of the world over the last 40 years?  
>  
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMcfLYDm2U>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 02:03:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>What changed in the US  
>school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?  
>  
>Discuss please...

Ummm... I entered said school system & singlehandedly brought  
the entire bell curve down?

:D

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 04:09:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late

>'60s and has never recovered

>

>In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in the

>quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE

>the change occurred to find what caused it. What changed in the US

>school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?

>

>Discuss please...

Taking the emphasis off competition, pass/ fail grading and passing students

along without them being able to accomplish the coursework.

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 06:27:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Self esteem replaced achievement.

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 09:29:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's mental health.

S

"DC" <[dc@spammersinhell.com](mailto:dc@spammersinhell.com)> wrote in message [news:4789a15e\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4789a15e$1@linux...)

>

> Self esteem replaced achievement.

>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 10:29:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.

On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something decided  
>that discipline and competion were harmful to children's mental health.

>

>S

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...

>>

>> Self esteem replaced achievement.

>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [rick](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 10:30:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

but they're happy...afterall ignorance is bliss.

On 13 Jan 2008 14:09:17 +1000, "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>

>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late  
>>'60s and has never recovered

>>

>>In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in the

>

>>quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE

>>the change occurred to find what caused it. What changed in the US

>>school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?

>>

>>Discuss please...

>

>Taking the emphasis off competition, pass/ fail grading and passing students

>

>along without them being able to accomplish the coursework.

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 11:16:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Ignoransk is blisk" - Popeye

S

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
news:32qjo3tacag3k1niba4n0sdgo18aafjb5v@4ax.com...

> but they're happy...afterall ignorance is bliss.

>

> On 13 Jan 2008 14:09:17 +1000, "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>

>>

>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late

>>>'60s and has never recovered

>>>

>>>In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in the

>>

>>>quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE

>>>the change occurred to find what caused it. What changed in the US

>>>school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?

>>>

>>>Discuss please...

>>

>>Taking the emphasis off competition, pass/ fail grading and passing

>>students

>>

>>along without them being able to accomplish the coursework.

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing sincethe 70's.  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 18:04:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a big way.

In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction between right and wrong.

They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or

how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest of their psychobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.

When you look around our society and see the delineation between right and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.

We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children are our responsibility.

rick wrote:

> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.

>

>

> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
> wrote:

>

>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something decided  
>> that discipline and competition were harmful to children's mental health.

>>

>> S

>>

>>

>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...

>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.

>>>

>>>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 19:35:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright

>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to

> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in

>a big way.

There's more to it than this. Part of it is also the cult of IQ and the

separation of values reasoning and virtue from cognition.

It's all intelligence, it's all cognition.

But because we have been told we want a values-free education and are foolish enough to accept the illusion of detached neutrality, we separate out certain functions of the mind and call them intelligence while dismissing others as "not intelligence" but wisdom, virtue, honor, decency, etc. So we end up with Teddy Roosevelt's famous comment coming true in our lifetimes:

"To educate a man in mind and not in morals is to educate a menace to society."

Today, the very idea of society, as a system of shared values and assumed decencies is seen as laughable by a lot of people, while "intelligence" is

treated by the quasi-educated as silicone is treated by porn stars.

I don't like psychiatry much more than you do Bill (though I think there is a place for it in extreme cases where treatment has prevented suicides and murders) but it is not the source of the culture we live in. It is a symptom not a cause.

I am with you on drugs though. I HATE drugs. I have seen more lives, bands, marriages, and great minds ruined by drugs, (and some of them prescribed drugs), than almost any other single factor.

DC

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 20:11:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>

>In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric

>Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value

>Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>between right and wrong.  
>

bill, can you provide any hard evidence of this? A link perhaps?

thanks,

DJ

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 21:09:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Deej, when I get some time I will work on that. Which points exactly?

Deej wrote:

>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>> between right and wrong.

>>

>

> bill, can you provide any hard evidence of this? A link perhaps?

>

> thanks,

>

> DJ

>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 22:26:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Bill L <[bill@billlorentzen.com](mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com)> wrote:

>Deej, when I get some time I will work on that. Which points exactly?

>

Just some authoritative reference to the fact that this particular agenda was actually endorsed at a meeting of the APA. I couldn't find anything at all on this on Google or Snopes. Snopes it pretty good about investigating stuff like this, and they are very aggressive about debunking sensationalist allegations. This is pretty sensational, to my thinking. Since I find nothing on Snopes about it, either they aren't aware of it (not likely if it has been previously the subject of some major flamewar forum topics, which it



seems likely to be able to create)or they were aware of it and couldn't debunk it.

Thanks,

Deej

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [geo](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 22:41:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wiki (v) Jacob Moreno, the father of psychodrama. Moreno envisioned and advanced with others in the '50, "...a therapeutic society," where human interactions would be guided by psychological imperatives. Bill L's right on.

But the teachers I talked to during fieldwork in the 80's, all said schools went to hell with dresscode demise '64 or so and bussing outside of neighborhood & local accountabilities around '68. (early results)

Much earlier, my mother had been fired as a Milwaukee Public School elementary teacher in 1948, for secretly continuing teaching phonic based reading in class and publicly renouncing whole language as reading instruction. Powerful interests at stake...no dissention tolerated. It is/was deeper than most folks realize.

It was known back in the '50s that the results would not be realized during the proponent's lifetimes. A smart, long, view

g

--

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:478a81f8\$1@linux...

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

> >Deej, when I get some time I will work on that. Which points exactly?

> >

>

> Just some authoritative reference to the fact that this particular agenda  
> was actually endorsed at a meeting of the APA. I couldn't find anything at  
> all on this on Google or Snopes. Snopes it pretty good about investigating  
> stuff like this, and they are very aggressive about debunking  
sensationalist

> allegations. This is pretty sensational, to my thinking. Since I find  
nothing

> on Snopes about it, either they aren't aware of it (not likely if it has  
> been previously the subject of some major flamewar forum topics, which it  
> seems likely to be able to create)or they were aware of it and couldn't  
debunk

> it.

>  
> Thanks,  
>  
> Deej  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 09:02:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists are not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . . ..) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.

I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another story.

But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that I'm not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks at a glance doesn't make me bright.

I'm an excellent driver.

S

"Bill L" <[bill@billlorentzen.com](mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com)> wrote in message [news:478a535f\\$1@linux...](news:478a535f$1@linux...)

> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a  
> big way.

>  
> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value Neutral  
> Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction between  
> right and wrong.

>  
> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the mass  
> drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on Ritalin  
> type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or how well  
> children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest of their  
> psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>  
> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>  
> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be  
> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of  
> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>  
> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right and  
> wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is no  
> accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that as  
> their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>  
> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
> are our responsibility.  
>  
>  
> rick wrote:  
>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>  
>>  
>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>> wrote:  
>>  
>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's mental  
>>> health.  
>>>  
>>> S  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 09:27:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

and if i were smarter i would have thought of that...

On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 03:16:51 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>"Ignoransk is blisk" - Popeye

>

>S

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:32qjo3tacag3k1niba4n0sdgo18aafjb5v@4ax.com...

>> but they're happy...afterall ignorance is bliss.

>>

>> On 13 Jan 2008 14:09:17 +1000, "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late

>>>>'60s and has never recovered

>>>>

>>>>In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in the

>>>>

>>>>quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE

>>>>the change occurred to find what caused it. What changed in the US

>>>>school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?

>>>>

>>>>Discuss please...

>>>>

>>>>Taking the emphasis off competition, pass/ fail grading and passing

>>>>students

>>>>

>>>>along without them being able to accomplish the coursework.

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 09:33:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

all the answers lie within but unfortunately those giving the directions have forgotten that.

hate to disagree bill but growing up i don't remember the right from wrong thing being blurred. both my ass and the inability to leave the house did not suffer from non attention. and there sure as hell wasn't anything in my room to do went sent there as there is today.

On Mon, 14 Jan 2008 01:02:06 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>

wrote:

>Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists are not  
>evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . .  
>.) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.

>  
>I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad generalities.  
>I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if there's an evil  
>conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to clue them in. Now,  
>the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another story.

>  
>But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that I'm not  
>particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks at a  
>glance doesn't make me bright.

>  
>I'm an excellent driver.

>  
>S

>  
>  
>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478a535f\$1@linux...

>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a  
>> big way.

>>  
>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value Neutral  
>> Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction between  
>> right and wrong.

>>  
>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the mass  
>> drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on Ritalin  
>> type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or how well  
>> children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest of their  
>> psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>>  
>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>>  
>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be  
>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of  
>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how

>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>  
>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right and  
>> wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is no  
>> accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that as  
>> their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>  
>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>> are our responsibility.  
>>  
>>  
>> rick wrote:  
>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarhtonin.com>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>>>> decided that discipline and competion were harmful to children's mental  
>>>> health.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 12:38:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Smarter? Naaa. Loonier maybe. If you were loonier you would have thought  
of that.

S

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
news:2mamo3hjje0huv008c9bbq55efk88mc94r@4ax.com...  
> and if i were smarter i would have thought of that...  
>

> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 03:16:51 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
> wrote:  
>  
>>"Ignoransk is blisk" - Popeye  
>>  
>>S  
>>  
>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>news:32qjo3tacag3k1niba4n0sdgo18aafjb5v@4ax.com...  
>>> but they're happy...afterall ignorance is bliss.  
>>>  
>>> On 13 Jan 2008 14:09:17 +1000, "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>>>>>You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late  
>>>>>'60s and has never recovered  
>>>>>  
>>>>>In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in  
>>>>>the  
>>>>>  
>>>>>quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE  
>>>>>the change occurred to find what caused it. What changed in the US  
>>>>>school system that has caused the drastic reduction in results?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Discuss please...  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Taking the emphasis off competition, pass/ fail grading and passing  
>>>>>students  
>>>>>  
>>>>>along without them being able to accomplish the coursework.  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing sincethe 70's.  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 14:09:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done by accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8 million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.

Confront it.

Sarah wrote:

> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists are not  
> evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . .  
> .) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.

>  
> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad generalities.  
> I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if there's an evil  
> conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to clue them in. Now,  
> the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another story.

>  
> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that I'm not  
> particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks at a  
> glance doesn't make me bright.

>  
> I'm an excellent driver.

>  
> S

>  
>  
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478a535f\$1@linux...

>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a  
>> big way.

>>  
>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value Neutral  
>> Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction between  
>> right and wrong.

>>  
>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the mass  
>> drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on Ritalin  
>> type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or how well  
>> children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest of their  
>> psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>>  
>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>>  
>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be  
>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of  
>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.



>>  
>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right and  
>> wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is no  
>> accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that as  
>> their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>  
>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>> are our responsibility.  
>>  
>>  
>> rick wrote:  
>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>>>> decided that discipline and competion were harmful to children's mental  
>>>> health.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing sincethe 70's.  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 15:25:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kids have little choice when adults conspire to do EVIL. For example, I was subjected to the EVILS of orthodontics! Hauled into a small room against my will and tortured with small instruments wielded by sadists. Cleverly painful devices were attached to my teeth and wires were tightened periodically to keep my teeth in line. Four perfectly good teeth were yanked out during the multi-year program of abuse. Drugs were used, but never enough. Even after all that, my teeth never admitted anything, not even name, rank or serial number.

Granted, now I have straight teeth, but still!

I'm pretty sure the evils of the world can be rightly blamed on orthodontists. Millions of kids were subjected to this, and also some adults. It is NO ACCIDENT and VERY EVIL. :^)

Meanwhile I have observed a few psychologists and psychiatrists helping people straighten out their lives. Not always successfully, there is much yet to learn. Just as we will someday find better ways to straighten teeth. But I have seen good things happen with the help of caring people who seem to be trying to help as best they know how. Some prescribing drugs, (our knowledge of brain chemistry and psych drugs still seems to be at a fairly experimental stage, I'll give you that), and some not.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKrutz.com](http://www.JamieKrutz.com)

Bill L wrote:

> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
> by accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.

>  
> Confront it.

>  
> Sarah wrote:

>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us.  
>> "Battlefield Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the  
>> psych-iatrists/ologists are not evil aliens from another galaxy . . .  
>> or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . .  
>> . hmmm.

>>  
>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>> story.

>>  
>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>> I'm not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled  
>> toothpicks at a glance doesn't make me bright.

>>  
>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>

>> S  
>>  
>>  
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our  
>>> bright young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a  
>>> campaign to influence the schools and school systems and the teaching  
>>> colleges in a big way.  
>>>  
>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>  
>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
>>> on Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how  
>>> much or how well children learn, just that they felt good about it)  
>>> and the rest of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think  
>>> and behave.  
>>>  
>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>>  
>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>>> be regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive  
>>> attribute of being able to rightly judge people and situations has  
>>> been slyly skewed into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other  
>>> examples of how illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good  
>>> reasoning.  
>>>  
>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between  
>>> right and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being  
>>> blurred it is no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50  
>>> years had that as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>  
>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our  
>>> children are our responsibility.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> rick wrote:  
>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>

>>>>  
>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know  
>>>>> something decided that discipline and competition were harmful to  
>>>>> children's mental health.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> S  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 17:11:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So, Don, who decides what morals are taught? And 'me' isn't a fair answer, though I know that's what you'd prefer.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>  
>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>  
>>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>  
>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in  
>  
>>a big way.  
>  
>There's more to it than this. Part of it is also the cult of IQ and the  
>  
>separation of values reasoning and virtue from cognition.  
>  
>It's all intelligence, it's all cognition.  
>  
>But because we have been told we want a values-free education and are  
>foolish enough to accept the illusion of detached neutrality, we separate  
>out certain functions of the mind and call them intelligence while

>dismissing others as "not intelligence" but wisdom, virtue, honor,  
>decency, etc. So we end up with Teddy Roosevelt's famous comment  
>coming true in our lifetimes:  
>  
>"To educate a man in mind and not in morals is to educate a menace to society."  
>  
>Today, the very idea of society, as a system of shared values and assumed  
>decencies is seen as laughable by a lot of people, while "intelligence"  
is  
>  
>treated by the quasi-educated as silicone is treated by porn stars.  
>  
>I don't like psychiatry much more than you do Bill (though I think there  
>is a place for it in extreme cases where treatment has prevented suicides  
>and murders) but it is not the source of the culture we live in. It is  
a  
>symptom not a cause.  
>  
>I am with you on drugs though. I HATE drugs. I have seen more  
>lives, bands, marriages, and great minds ruined by drugs, (and some of  
>them prescribed drugs), than almost any other single factor.  
>  
>DC

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 17:23:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Evidently not the atheists....

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>So, Don, who decides what morals are taught? And 'me' isn't a fair answer,  
>though I know that's what you'd prefer.

>  
>TCB

>  
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>  
>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>>>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>>  
>>>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>>

>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in  
>>  
>>>a big way.  
>>  
>>There's more to it than this. Part of it is also the cult of IQ and the  
>>  
>>separation of values reasoning and virtue from cognition.  
>>  
>>It's all intelligence, it's all cognition.  
>>  
>>But because we have been told we want a values-free education and are  
>>foolish enough to accept the illusion of detached neutrality, we separate  
>>out certain functions of the mind and call them intelligence while  
>>dismissing others as "not intelligence" but wisdom, virtue, honor,  
>>decency, etc. So we end up with Teddy Roosevelt's famous comment  
>>coming true in our lifetimes:  
>>  
>>"To educate a man in mind and not in morals is to educate a menace to society."  
>>  
>>Today, the very idea of society, as a system of shared values and assumed  
>>decencies is seen as laughable by a lot of people, while "intelligence"  
>is  
>>  
>>treated by the quasi-educated as silicone is treated by porn stars.  
>>  
>>I don't like psychiatry much more than you do Bill (though I think there  
>>is a place for it in extreme cases where treatment has prevented suicides  
>>and murders) but it is not the source of the culture we live in. It is  
>a  
>>symptom not a cause.  
>>  
>>I am with you on drugs though. I HATE drugs. I have seen more  
>>lives, bands, marriages, and great minds ruined by drugs, (and some of  
>>them prescribed drugs), than almost any other single factor.  
>>  
>>DC  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 21:25:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good answer, Don, and a fine defense of your argument that 'morals' and 'value reasoning' should be part of (publicly funded, with my tax dollars) education.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>Evidently not the atheists....

>

>DC

>

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>So, Don, who decides what morals are taught? And 'me' isn't a fair answer,  
>>though I know that's what you'd prefer.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright

>>>

>>>>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
to

>>>

>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges  
in

>>>

>>>>a big way.

>>>

>>>There's more to it than this. Part of it is also the cult of IQ and the

>>>

>>>separation of values reasoning and virtue from cognition.

>>>

>>>It's all intelligence, it's all cognition.

>>>

>>>But because we have been told we want a values-free education and are

>>>foolish enough to accept the illusion of detached neutrality, we separate

>>>out certain functions of the mind and call them intelligence while

>>>dismissing others as "not intelligence" but wisdom, virtue, honor,

>>>decency, etc. So we end up with Teddy Roosevelt's famous comment

>>>coming true in our lifetimes:

>>>

>>>"To educate a man in mind and not in morals is to educate a menace to  
society."

>>>

>>>Today, the very idea of society, as a system of shared values and assumed

>>>decencies is seen as laughable by a lot of people, while "intelligence"

>>is

>>>

>>>treated by the quasi-educated as silicone is treated by porn stars.  
>>>  
>>>I don't like psychiatry much more than you do Bill (though I think there  
>>>is a place for it in extreme cases where treatment has prevented suicides  
>>>and murders) but it is not the source of the culture we live in. It is  
>>a  
>>>symptom not a cause.  
>>>  
>>>I am with you on drugs though. I HATE drugs. I have seen more  
>>>lives, bands, marriages, and great minds ruined by drugs, (and some of  
>>>them prescribed drugs), than almost any other single factor.  
>>>  
>>>DC  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 21:31:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So, you really do have nothing to offer?

Are there no civic virtues to be taught? Or is it true that all morals  
must derive from belief in absolutes?

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>Good answer, Don, and a fine defense of your argument that 'morals' and  
'value  
>reasoning' should be part of (publicly funded, with my tax dollars) education.

>

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>Evidently not the atheists....

>>

>>DC

>>

>>

>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:



>>>  
>>>So, Don, who decides what morals are taught? And 'me' isn't a fair answer,  
>>>though I know that's what you'd prefer.  
>>>  
>>>TCB  
>>>  
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>>>>>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>>>>>  
>>>>>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
>to  
>>>>>  
>>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges  
>in  
>>>>>  
>>>>>a big way.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>There's more to it than this. Part of it is also the cult of IQ and  
the  
>>>>>  
>>>>>separation of values reasoning and virtue from cognition.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>It's all intelligence, it's all cognition.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>But because we have been told we want a values-free education and are  
>>>>>foolish enough to accept the illusion of detached neutrality, we separate  
>>>>>out certain functions of the mind and call them intelligence while  
>>>>>dismissing others as "not intelligence" but wisdom, virtue, honor,  
>>>>>decency, etc. So we end up with Teddy Roosevelt's famous comment  
>>>>>coming true in our lifetimes:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"To educate a man in mind and not in morals is to educate a menace to  
>society."  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Today, the very idea of society, as a system of shared values and assumed  
>>>>>decencies is seen as laughable by a lot of people, while "intelligence"  
>>>>>is  
>>>>>  
>>>>>treated by the quasi-educated as silicone is treated by porn stars.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>I don't like psychiatry much more than you do Bill (though I think there  
>>>>>is a place for it in extreme cases where treatment has prevented suicides  
>>>>>and murders) but it is not the source of the culture we live in. It  
is  
>>>>a  
>>>>symptom not a cause.

>>>>

>>>>I am with you on drugs though. I HATE drugs. I have seen more  
>>>>lives, bands, marriages, and great minds ruined by drugs, (and some of  
>>>>them prescribed drugs), than almost any other single factor.

>>>>

>>>>DC

>>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 21:42:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Bill,

I realize you have strong personal opinions, or more accurately strong visceral reactions to the psychiatric profession. I happen to agree that brain meds are vastly over prescribed. However, my father is a (retired) counseling psychology Ph.D. and my mother is a (ditto) retired high school counselor. Trust me, there is no vast conspiracy in the head shrinking community to get everyone medicated. The \_is\_ an easily understood and contemptible conspiracy by drug companies to get their drugs prescribed so they make money, but the idea that there is some back room cabal of shrinks trying to get us all on Zoloff? It just ain't so.

In addition, there are a number of situations where it is stone cold necessary to have people highly skilled and trained to deal with personality disorders. For example, one of my good friends and fave golfing buddy (he's a freaking four handicap, which is incredibly annoying) makes a fair bit of his living doing assessments of sex offenders who are up for parole or who have served their sentence. Sex offenders are notoriously recidivist criminals but (so far) the law doesn't allow them to be held forever. My friend's job is to assess those up for parole to make his best judgment about which prisoners are unlikely to be a danger to others if released, and also to create programs of therapy in the hope that those who have served their full sentence (or are paroled) can be prevented from committing further crimes. It agonizing, difficult work, and in addition to doing absolutely the best job he can (sometimes involving drug therapies) he has a wonderful family and two adorable bloodhounds. To paint him, and the many thousands of other well meaning head shrinkers, with a single brush as essentially evil scientists is just unfair.

Again, this is obviously a personal thing for you, and that's fine, but keep the rhetoric respectful of the people who do the best job they can.

TCB

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright

>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to

> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in

>a big way.

>

>In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric

>Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value

>Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction

>between right and wrong.

>

>They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the

>children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the

>mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on

>Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or

>how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest

>of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>

>Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not

>coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>

>When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the

>influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be

>regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of

>being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed

>into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how

>illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.

>

>When you look around our society and see the delineation between right

>and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is

>no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that

>as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.

>

>We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the

>problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children

>are our responsibility.

>

>

>rick wrote:

>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>  
>>  
>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>> wrote:  
>>  
>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
decided  
>>> that discipline and competition were harmful to children's mental health.  
>>>  
>>> S  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 21:44:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have plenty of ideas about what should be taught, Don, but I didn't make  
the 'morals are part of cognition and have to be taught in schools' argument.  
You did. Defend it or say you mispoke. So, again, who picks the morals to  
be taught?

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>  
>So, you really do have nothing to offer?  
>  
>Are there no civic virtues to be taught? Or is it true that all morals  
>must derive from belief in absolutes?

>  
>  
>  
>DC

>  
>  
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>

>>Good answer, Don, and a fine defense of your argument that 'morals' and  
>'value

>>reasoning' should be part of (publicly funded, with my tax dollars) education.  
>>  
>>  
>>TCB  
>>  
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>Evidently not the atheists....  
>>>  
>>>DC  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>So, Don, who decides what morals are taught? And 'me' isn't a fair answer,  
>>>>though I know that's what you'd prefer.  
>>>>  
>>>>TCB  
>>>>  
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
>>to  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges  
>>in  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>a big way.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>There's more to it than this. Part of it is also the cult of IQ and  
>the  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>separation of values reasoning and virtue from cognition.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>It's all intelligence, it's all cognition.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>But because we have been told we want a values-free education and are  
>>>>>>foolish enough to accept the illusion of detached neutrality, we separate  
>>>>>>out certain functions of the mind and call them intelligence while  
>>>>>>dismissing others as "not intelligence" but wisdom, virtue, honor,  
>>>>>>decency, etc. So we end up with Teddy Roosevelt's famous comment  
>>>>>>coming true in our lifetimes:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>"To educate a man in mind and not in morals is to educate a menace to  
>>society."



>  
> Granted, now I have straight teeth, but still!  
>  
> I'm pretty sure the evils of the world can be rightly blamed on  
> orthodontists. Millions of kids were subjected to this, and also some  
> adults. It is NO ACCIDENT and VERY EVIL. :^)  
>  
> Meanwhile I have observed a few psychologists and psychiatrists helping  
> people straighten out their lives. Not always successfully, there is  
> much yet to learn. Just as we will someday find better ways to  
> straighten teeth. But I have seen good things happen with the help of  
> caring people who seem to be trying to help as best they know how. Some  
> prescribing drugs, (our knowledge of brain chemistry and psych drugs  
> still seems to be at a fairly experimental stage, I'll give you that),  
> and some not.  
>  
> Cheers,  
> -Jamie  
> www.JamieKrutz.com  
>  
>  
> Bill L wrote:  
>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and  
>> laugh and seem like they are your friend. But truly evil actions are  
>> not done by accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for  
>> drugging 8 million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and  
>> very powerful narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>  
>> Confront it.  
>>  
>> Sarah wrote:  
>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us.  
>>> "Battlefield Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the  
>>> psych-iatrists/ologists are not evil aliens from another galaxy . . .  
>>> or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos .  
>>> . . hmmm.  
>>>  
>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot  
>>> to clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's  
>>> another story.  
>>>  
>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>>> I'm not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled  
>>> toothpicks at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>

>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>  
>>> S  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our  
>>>> bright young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a  
>>>> campaign to influence the schools and school systems and the  
>>>> teaching colleges in a big way.  
>>>>  
>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American  
>>>> Psychiatric Association publicly declared their new goal of creating  
>>>> a "Value Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the  
>>>> distinction between right and wrong.  
>>>>  
>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
>>>> on Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how  
>>>> much or how well children learn, just that they felt good about it)  
>>>> and the rest of their psuchobabble notions of how people should  
>>>> think and behave.  
>>>>  
>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy  
>>>> Leary.  
>>>>  
>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>>>> be regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive  
>>>> attribute of being able to rightly judge people and situations has  
>>>> been slyly skewed into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other  
>>>> examples of how illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good  
>>>> reasoning.  
>>>>  
>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between  
>>>> right and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being  
>>>> blurred it is no accident. Look to the only group who has for over  
>>>> 50 years had that as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>  
>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our  
>>>> children are our responsibility.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> rick wrote:



>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know  
>>>>> something decided that discipline and competition were harmful to  
>>>>> children's mental health.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> S  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message  
>>>>> news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>  
>>>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 22:45:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I appreciate that everybody has their own viewpoint, but I have no respect for a person who, in the name of help, puts a child on drugs just to make them quiet.

And, yes, the drug companies are heavily to blame too. They are about as slimy an industry as you can find. BUT they could get no where without the "doctors" who prescribe these harmful drugs.

There was a time the medical profession swore by blood letting for just about any illness. One day our civilization will look back at the mass drugging of children (as well as adults) and wonder how the fuck people could ever have been so barbaric.

I'll drop it now... until the next time!

TCB wrote:

> Hey Bill,  
>

> I realize you have strong personal opinions, or more accurately strong visceral  
> reactions to the psychiatric profession. I happen to agree that brain meds  
> are vastly over prescribed. However, my father is a (retired) counseling

> psychology Ph.D. and my mother is a (ditto) retired high school counselor.  
> Trust me, there is no vast conspiracy in the head shrinking community to  
> get everyone medicated. The \_is\_ an easily understood and contemptible conspiracy  
> by drug companies to get their drugs prescribed so they make money, but the  
> idea that there is some back room cabal of shrinks trying to get us all on  
> Zoloft? It just ain't so.

>  
> In addition, there are a number of situations where it is stone cold necessary  
> to have people highly skilled and trained to deal with personality disorders.  
> For example, one of my good friends and fave golfing buddy (he's a freaking  
> four handicap, which is incredibly annoying) makes a fair bit of his living  
> doing assessments of sex offenders who are up for parole or who have served  
> their sentence. Sex offenders are notoriously recidivist criminals but (so  
> far) the law doesn't allow them to be held forever. My friend's job is to  
> assess those up for parole to make his best judgment about which prisoners  
> are unlikely to be a danger to others if released, and also to create programs  
> of therapy in the hope that those who have served their full sentence (or  
> are paroled) can be prevented from committing further crimes. It agonizing,  
> difficult work, and in addition to doing absolutely the best job he can (sometimes  
> involving drug therapies) he has a wonderful family and two adorable bloodhounds.  
> To paint him, and the many thousands of other well meaning head shrinkers,  
> with a single brush as essentially evil scientists is just unfair.

>  
> Again, this is obviously a personal thing for you, and that's fine, but keep  
> the rhetoric respectful of the people who do the best job they can.

>  
> TCB

>  
> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:  
>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>  
>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>  
>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in  
>  
>> a big way.

>>  
>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>> between right and wrong.  
>>  
>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on  
>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or  
>  
>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest

>  
>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>  
>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>  
>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be  
>  
>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of  
>  
>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>  
>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>  
>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is  
>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>  
>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>  
>> are our responsibility.  
>>  
>>  
>> rick wrote:  
>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
> decided  
>>>> that discipline and competion were harmful to children's mental health.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 23:35:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Bill,

Passionate feelings are nothing to scoff at. There is plenty of room for criticism of the medical industry as a whole and the drug economy in particular and I agree with some of that criticism.

However, it would be unfair to broadly dismiss psychiatrists and psychologists as "evil." That would be absurd. It would be more fair to recognize that, while not perfect practitioners of perfectly understood science, they have dedicated years of study to be able to help people as best we know right now. And right now that includes therapy, drugs or both, for people who are struggling with mental illness.

Our current knowledge of mental health issues is, it seems to me, pretty young. We have much to learn. But attacking or scapegoating the doctors is not the way to improve things.

I've never had crystal meth so I can't appreciate your analogy there. But I'm not a fan of drug therapy unless there is no other effective avenue of treatment. Even then, much drug therapy is still at a "black box" stage where the usage is experimental, the results unspecific, and the side effects risky. The brain itself is also not well understood.

And yet I have seen psych drugs have an overall positive effect in the lives of people I know, and offer an avenue of hope. Continued research is important.

So I will respectfully disagree with your overall conclusion and characterization, while agreeing in various extents with some of your points.

Thanks for the appreciation of the humor, it really was a nightmare going to the orthodontist! :^)

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKrutz.com](http://www.JamieKrutz.com)

Bill L wrote:

> Jamie,  
> As you can tell I feel strongly about this subject, but I do also  
> appreciate your humor about it.

>  
> Indulge me in this and ask yourself this question: Could or would I  
> prescribe a drug as powerful as crystal meth to a 12 year old boy to  
> make him more tractable in school?  
>  
> Jamie K wrote:  
>>  
>> Kids have little choice when adults conspire to do EVIL. For example,  
>> I was subjected to the EVILS of orthodontics! Hauled into a small room  
>> against my will and tortured with small instruments wielded by  
>> sadists. Cleverly painful devices were attached to my teeth and wires  
>> were tightened periodically to keep my teeth in line. Four perfectly  
>> good teeth were yanked out during the multi-year program of abuse.  
>> Drugs were used, but never enough. Even after all that, my teeth never  
>> admitted anything, not even name, rank or serial number.  
>>  
>> Granted, now I have straight teeth, but still!  
>>  
>> I'm pretty sure the evils of the world can be rightly blamed on  
>> orthodontists. Millions of kids were subjected to this, and also some  
>> adults. It is NO ACCIDENT and VERY EVIL. :^)  
>>  
>> Meanwhile I have observed a few psychologists and psychiatrists  
>> helping people straighten out their lives. Not always successfully,  
>> there is much yet to learn. Just as we will someday find better ways  
>> to straighten teeth. But I have seen good things happen with the help  
>> of caring people who seem to be trying to help as best they know how.  
>> Some prescribing drugs, (our knowledge of brain chemistry and psych  
>> drugs still seems to be at a fairly experimental stage, I'll give you  
>> that), and some not.  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> -Jamie  
>> [www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)  
>>  
>>  
>> Bill L wrote:  
>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and  
>>> laugh and seem like they are your friend. But truly evil actions are  
>>> not done by accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for  
>>> drugging 8 million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and  
>>> very powerful narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>  
>>> Confront it.  
>>>  
>>> Sarah wrote:  
>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us.  
>>>> "Battlefield Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the

>>>> psych-iatrists/ologists are not evil aliens from another galaxy . .  
>>>> . or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos  
>>>> . . . hmmm.  
>>>>  
>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot  
>>>> to clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's  
>>>> another story.  
>>>>  
>>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>>>> I'm not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled  
>>>> toothpicks at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>>  
>>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our  
>>>>> bright young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a  
>>>>> campaign to influence the schools and school systems and the  
>>>>> teaching colleges in a big way.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American  
>>>>> Psychiatric Association publicly declared their new goal of  
>>>>> creating a "Value Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and  
>>>>> eradicate the distinction between right and wrong.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing  
>>>>> the children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our  
>>>>> schools the mass drugging of children and even infants  
>>>>> (approximately 8 million on Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based  
>>>>> Education (doesn't matter how much or how well children learn, just  
>>>>> that they felt good about it) and the rest of their psuchobabble  
>>>>> notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy  
>>>>> Leary.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used  
>>>>> to be regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive  
>>>>> attribute of being able to rightly judge people and situations has

>>>> been slyly skewed into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other  
>>>> examples of how illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good  
>>>> reasoning.

>>>>

>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between  
>>>> right and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being  
>>>> blurred it is no accident. Look to the only group who has for over  
>>>> 50 years had that as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.

>>>>

>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of  
>>>> the problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our  
>>>> children are our responsibility.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> rick wrote:

>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
>>>>> non

>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah"

>>>>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>

>>>>> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know  
>>>>>> something decided that discipline and competition were harmful to  
>>>>>> children's mental health.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> S

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message

>>>>>> news:4789a15e\$1@linux...

>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 23:40:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?

>

>TCB

Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.

Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the conversation is not going away.

Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?  
Social contract theory? Natural selection?

Tell me how you would join the conversation.

After all, it's up to us innit?

Again, have anything to offer?

DC

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 01:52:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Don,

Thad asked a question, and you did your typical turn it on the asker, instead of just answering.

Chuck

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?

>>

>>TCB

>

>

>Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.

>

>Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the  
>conversation is not going away.

>

>Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?

>Social contract theory? Natural selection?

>

>Tell me how you would join the conversation.

>



>After all, it's up to us innit?  
>  
>Again, have anything to offer?  
>  
>DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 02:23:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Those who engage the conversation, will pick the things that will be taught.

There is no other answer.

There may still be common ground enough for a set of virtues to be agreed upon. I certainly hope so. But, nothing I think will establish who does the choosing, and no matter what I say, I will be wrong because it is a conversation, not an opinion poll.

Right now, millions are opting out of public education because of this very issue. Christians, Muslims, Scientologists, Jews, all have their own school systems for this very reason, and now the public school teachers must often try to teach in a war zone because young people are raised without morals and parents so often. Many people think it is time for moral education to re enter public schools.

Which set of morals?

Ours. We decide. Those who participate, that is.

But mark my words, the conversation will occur with or without us.

DC

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
>Don,  
>  
>Thad asked a question, and you did your typical turn it on the asker, instead  
>of just answering.  
>  
>Chuck  
>  
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>  
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?  
>>>  
>>>TCB  
>>  
>>  
>>Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.  
>>  
>>Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the  
>>conversation is not going away.  
>>  
>>Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?  
>>Social contract theory? Natural selection?  
>>  
>>Tell me how you would join the conversation.  
>>  
>>After all, it's up to us innit?  
>>  
>>Again, have anything to offer?  
>>  
>>DC  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 02:39:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Obfuscate all you want, you're digging a hole and hanging curtains. There's  
no conversation here, just you being mysterious and vague.

Chuck

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>  
>Those who engage the conversation, will pick the things that will  
>be taught.  
>  
>There is no other answer.  
>  
>There may still be common ground enough for a set of virtues to  
>be agreed upon. I certainly hope so. But, nothing I think will establish  
>who  
>does the choosing, and no matter what I say, I will be wrong because it  
>is a conversation, not an opinion poll.  
>

>Right now, millions are opting out of public education because of this  
>very issue. Christians, Muslims, Scientologists, Jews, all have their own  
>school systems for this very reason, and now the public school teachers  
>must often try to teach in a war zone because young people are raised  
>without morals and parents so often. Many people think it is time for  
>moral education to re enter public schools.

>  
>Which set of morals?

>  
>Ours. We decide. Those who participate, that is.

>  
>But mark my words, the conversation will occur with or without us.

>  
>DC

>  
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>  
>>Don,

>>  
>>Thad asked a question, and you did your typical turn it on the asker, instead  
>>of just answering.

>>  
>>Chuck

>>  
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>  
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?

>>>>  
>>>>TCB

>>>  
>>>  
>>>Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.

>>>  
>>>Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the  
>>>conversation is not going away.

>>>  
>>>Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?  
>>>Social contract theory? Natural selection?

>>>  
>>>Tell me how you would join the conversation.

>>>  
>>>After all, it's up to us innit?

>>>  
>>>Again, have anything to offer?

>>>  
>>>DC

>>>

>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 02:47:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In a discussion like this it's important to define the terms. In fact "morals" may not be the most suitable word.

"Moral" is derived from the Latin word "moralis" which means "usage, customs". Morals are rules of conduct accepted by a society or group and based on group experience. Morals change with the times and with different societies. For example to a person who works for his living it would be immoral to withhold the name of a person who stole. To a criminal it would be immoral to "rat him out".

The word "ethics" may be a more useful term. Ethics is based on logical thought, rather than customary accepted behavior. What action would be the greatest good.

For example sometimes a lie may be the most ethical way to handle a situation even though morally speaking it would be wrong to lie. George Washington must have had a hard life if he could really not tell a lie.

Anyway, my point is that people should learn to think logically with ethics, rather than just follow a moral code (although a moral code is better than nothing).

Here is a way to make an ethical decision (this comes from the writings of L Ron Hubbard):

Life could be said to be composed of 8 "dynamics" or "urges toward survival" as follows:

First Dynamic is the urge toward survival of self.

Second Dynamic is the urge toward survival through a) sex, and b) children and family

Third Dynamic is the urge toward survival through groups (one's country, school, company, this newsgroup, etc.)

Fourth is the the urge toward survival through mankind

Fifth is the urge toward survival through living things (animals, plants, etc.)

Sixth dynamic is the urge toward survival through the physical world: matter, energy, space, and time

Seventh is the urge toward survival through spirits

Eighth is the urge toward survival through god or infinity

To make an ethical choice just make sure it helps a majority of the 8 dynamics. An optimum survival choice would be one which benefits all dynamics. Optimum survival is toward infinite survival.

Ideally we should teach our children to think with ethics and to make their choices towards optimum survival. This will keep them from being hoodwinked by, for example, a gang's moral code of hating the police and other rival gangs.

Children need to be given tools for rational thought and logic, not fixed ideas and certainly not false data or unethical ideas. Individuality must be fostered, especially in any group activity.

DC wrote:

- > Those who engage the conversation, will pick the things that will
- > be taught.
- >
- > There is no other answer.
- >
- > There may still be common ground enough for a set of virtues to
- > be agreed upon. I certainly hope so. But, nothing I think will establish
- > who
- > does the choosing, and no matter what I say, I will be wrong because it
- > is a conversation, not an opinion poll.
- >
- > Right now, millions are opting out of public education because of this
- > very issue. Christians, Muslims, Scientologists, Jews, all have their own
- > school systems for this very reason, and now the public school teachers
- > must often try to teach in a war zone because young people are raised
- > without morals and parents so often. Many people think it is time for
- > moral education to re enter public schools.
- >
- > Which set of morals?
- >
- > Ours. We decide. Those who participate, that is.
- >
- > But mark my words, the conversation will occur with or without us.
- >

> DC  
>  
> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>> Don,  
>>  
>> Thad asked a question, and you did your typical turn it on the asker, instead  
>> of just answering.  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>>  
>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>> So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?  
>>>>  
>>>> TCB  
>>>>  
>>>> Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.  
>>>>  
>>>> Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the  
>>>> conversation is not going away.  
>>>>  
>>>> Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?  
>>>> Social contract theory? Natural selection?  
>>>>  
>>>> Tell me how you would join the conversation.  
>>>>  
>>>> After all, it's up to us innit?  
>>>>  
>>>> Again, have anything to offer?  
>>>>  
>>>> DC  
>>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 03:27:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think there is common ground. I think our traditions provide us with a set of behaviors we should expect of each other.

Richard Dawkins evidently agrees.

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/politics/7136682.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/politics/7136682.stm)

The truth is, I do not know which morals will be selected, or should be because I believe in a secular, (not secularist!) government and those

choices are the product of a people, not a person.

How would you teach morals in grade school? Should we be doing this?

Well, I think so, and I think there is enough common ground to do so

agree?

DC

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Obfuscate all you want, you're digging a hole and hanging curtains. There's  
>no conversation here, just you being mysterious and vague.

>

>Chuck

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>Those who engage the conversation, will pick the things that will  
>>be taught.

>>

>>There is no other answer.

>>

>>There may still be common ground enough for a set of virtues to  
>>be agreed upon. I certainly hope so. But, nothing I think will establish  
>>who

>>does the choosing, and no matter what I say, I will be wrong because it  
>>is a conversation, not an opinion poll.

>>

>>Right now, millions are opting out of public education because of this  
>>very issue. Christians, Muslims, Scientologists, Jews, all have their  
own

>>school systems for this very reason, and now the public school teachers  
>>must often try to teach in a war zone because young people are raised  
>>without morals and parents so often. Many people think it is time for  
>>moral education to re enter public schools.

>>

>>Which set of morals?

>>

>>Ours. We decide. Those who participate, that is.

>>

>>But mark my words, the conversation will occur with or without us.

>>

>>DC

>>

>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>>





you're doing, tsk tsk') or b) when he's caught dead to rights. There's also the convenient chopping up of what other people write to avoid discussing anything he doesn't want to discuss.

In any case, you missed a chance to make a good, or at least your best, argument here. You said morals, wisdom, and decency need to be taught alongside 'intelligence' and I asked who got to choose the morals to be taught. Of course, that's an almost impossibly difficult question, because at some point someone is going to object to some of those morals. What you could have argued, to at least get some breathing space, is that whatever morals should be taught it shouldn't be that Frankfurt School relativism spouted by elite and effete east coast Ivy Leaguers like me, which is the status quo. That misrepresents both me and the status quo, but that's never stopped you before and it's the best argumentative out you had.

Now then, some parts of a good school curriculum have no moral component. There's not much ethical spin possible when dealing with the laws of thermodynamics or the Pythagorean Theorem. The satanist in Brooklyn, the maddrassah teacher in Peshawar, the Mormon home schooler in Provo, and the radical settler on the West Bank would all cheerfully agree that the speed of light is about 186,000 miles per second. So what we're really talking about is minimal social sciences (barely taught outside of college), history, and languages and literature. So then, if morals and decency are to be taught in public schools, I ask this time thrice, who gets to decide what is taught?

As a delectable carrot to draw out an answer I offer this. If you answer that question with any degree of thoughtfulness and thoroughness I will give you my opinion. And that is the opinion of someone who attended public schools for twelve years, whose parents both spent their entire working lives in public education, and who attended a private college where I got to compare my education to students who graduated in some of the most elite private and public secondary schools in the world.

This isn't a 'conversation' it's a simple question. Of course you don't have to answer it, just don't pretend it's something other than what it is.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?

>>

>>TCB

>

>

>Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.

>

>Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the  
>conversation is not going away.  
>  
>Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?  
>Social contract theory? Natural selection?  
>  
>Tell me how you would join the conversation.  
>  
>After all, it's up to us innit?  
>  
>Again, have anything to offer?  
>  
>DC  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 03:42:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Now then, here we have the DC rhetorical and debating style at its simplest and purest. Or rather half of it. There's pit bull DC who will argue anything to the bitter end and provoke the other party at every turn. Then there is, grandfatherly DC (this one) who speaks in generalities, answers questions with questions, and makes swooping appeals to open discussion and earnest sharing of ideas. Grandfatherly DC shows up in two situations, a) when DC has provoked the other party into spittle jetting rage ('Now look at what you're doing, tsk tsk') or b) when he's caught dead to rights. There's also the convenient chopping up of what other people write to avoid discussing anything he doesn't want to discuss.

In any case, you missed a chance to make a good, or at least your best, argument here. You said morals, wisdom, and decency need to be taught alongside 'intelligence' and I asked who got to choose the morals to be taught. Of course, that's an almost impossibly difficult question, because at some point someone is going to object to some of those morals. What you could have argued, to at least get some breathing space, is that whatever morals should be taught it shouldn't be that Frankfurt School relativism spouted by elite and effete east coast Ivy Leaguers like me, which is the status quo. That misrepresents both me and the status quo, but that's never stopped you before and it's the best argumentative out you had.

Now then, some parts of a good school curriculum have no moral component. There's not much ethical spin possible when dealing with the laws of thermodynamics or the Pythagorean Theorem. The satanist in Brooklyn, the maddrassah teacher in Peshawar, the Mormon home schooler in Provo, and the radical settler on the West Bank would all cheerfully agree that the speed of light is about 186,000 miles per second. So what we're really talking about is minimal social

sciences (barely taught outside of college), history, and languages and literature. So then, if morals and decency are to be taught in public schools, I ask this time thrice, who gets to decide what is taught?

As a delectable carrot to draw out an answer I offer this. If you answer that question with any degree of thoughtfulness and thoroughness I will give you my opinion. And that is the opinion of someone who attended public schools for twelve years, whose parents both spent their entire working lives in public education, and who attended a private college where I got to compare my education to students who graduated in some of the most elite private and public secondary schools in the world.

This isn't a 'conversation' it's a simple question. Of course you don't have to answer it, just don't pretend it's something other than what it is.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?

>>

>>TCB

>

>

>Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.

>

>Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the

>conversation is not going away.

>

>Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?

>Social contract theory? Natural selection?

>

>Tell me how you would join the conversation.

>

>After all, it's up to us innit?

>

>Again, have anything to offer?

>

>DC

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 04:00:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>Now then, here we have the DC rhetorical and debating style at its simplest  
>and purest. Or rather half of it. There's pit bull DC who will argue anything  
>to the bitter end and provoke the other party at every turn. Then there  
is,  
>grandfatherly DC (this one) who speaks in generalities, answers questions  
>with questions, and makes swooping appeals to open discussion and earnest  
>sharing of ideas. Grandfatherly DC shows up in two situations, a) when DC  
>has provoked the other party into spittle jetting rage ('Now \_look\_ at what  
>you're doing, tsk tsk') or b) when he's caught dead to rights. There's also  
>the convenient chopping up of what other people write to avoid discussing  
>anything he doesn't want to discuss.

This is supposed to be a substitute for content??

How about you? Proud member of the assholes (I'm not a nice guy!)  
union? The list pedant, never missing a chance to display his knowledge?

You have to be kidding. Man I edit you to spare you some grief...

(grin)

>In any case, you missed a chance to make a good, or at least your best,  
argument  
>here. You said morals, wisdom, and decency need to be taught alongside 'intelligence'  
>and I asked who got to choose the morals to be taught. Of course, that's  
>an almost impossibly difficult question, because at some point someone is  
>going to object to some of those morals.

Which of course is not at all the point.

It's not impossible, and it's not even very difficult. It is, however,  
collaborative and you have contributed nothing. As usual.

Is there common ground? Can we agree on some basics?

>What you could have argued, to at  
>least get some breathing space, is that whatever morals should be taught  
>it shouldn't be that Frankfurt School relativism spouted by elite and effete  
>east coast Ivy Leaguers like me, which is the status quo. That misrepresents  
>both me and the status quo, but that's never stopped you before and it's  
>the best argumentative out you had.

Nope. Don't give a damn about your relativism and neither will history. This country is still a democracy, no matter how much that pisses you off, and most people have a clear set of morals. They will contribute where you will not. They will rule. You will not. You will hate them. That will make you feel special about yourself...

>Now then, some parts of a good school curriculum have no moral component.  
>There's not much ethical spin possible when dealing with the laws of thermodynamics  
>or the Pythagorean Theorem. The satanist in Brooklyn, the maddrassah teacher  
>in Peshawar, the Mormon home schooler in Provo, and the radical settler  
on  
>the West Bank would all cheerfully agree that the speed of light is about  
>186,000 miles per second. So what we're really talking about is minimal  
social  
>sciences (barely taught outside of college), history, and languages and  
literature.

You forgot Zoroastrianism and your friendly local Buddhist, not to mention your fellow Flying Spaghetti Monster worshipers...

So, It's proven! Nothing can be done!! There can be no morals taught in schools.

And you call this a position?

>So then, if morals and decency are to be taught in public schools, I ask  
>this time thrice, who gets to decide what is taught?

Thrice, he said thrice!! Must be educated...

We the People, that's who. I just reject your thesis, out of hand, that is it impossible to find common ground.

>As a delectable carrot to draw out an answer I offer this. If you answer  
>that question with any degree of thoughtfulness and thoroughness I will  
give  
>you \_my\_ opinion. And that is the opinion of someone who attended public  
>schools for twelve years, whose parents both spent their entire working  
lives  
>in public education, and who attended a private college where I got to compare  
>my education to students who graduated in some of the most elite private  
>and public secondary schools in the world.  
>  
>This isn't a 'conversation' it's a simple question. Of course you don't

have

>to answer it, just don't pretend it's something other than what it is.

>

>TCB

It's like asking who gets to elect the government. We do.

Do you see any common ground or not?

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 05:44:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>This country is still a democracy, no matter how much that pisses you  
>off,

errrrr.....just one small point. This country is not a democracy and never has been. It is a representative republic with a bicameral legislature which was specifically created to protect minority rights from the tyranny majority rule, which is the essence of the definition of democracy. In this country, we do employ a democratic "method" wherein the those who are legally entitled to vote (the electorate) choose legislators who are, in turn, subject to the rules as set out in the constitution and interpreted by the courts.

Just a small point.....but perhaps important to some degree.

;o)

OK..now I'm gonna put on my flame suit and cover up.

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 08:38:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In the case of selecting school standards it is essentially a democracy of the engaged. (that then has to play political football with the unengaged) In other words, the more you get involved the more say you have.

Over the last few years, I have been involved in a couple of local committees

both city and county, and two things have impressed me:

It's actually quite easy to get involved and have your voice heard. (That doesn't mean anyone is listening of course...)

Those people serving in these roles tend to be good folks and not ideologues.

My point? Just as with school Science standards (and I am against the mandated teaching of either creationism or ID) those with a burden on the issue hold the conversation. There WILL be a conversation however, especially on the teaching of virtue to young people. Then, some consensus is formed, that hopefully will not upset either the militant secularists, nor the conservative theists too much (though neither side will get what they really want) and this is presented to the public and made into policy. Then the activists try to get "the bums" who passed this garbage all recalled and the policy nullified. They may succeed. If they do not, the policy stands. The one group that gets well and truly steamrollered are those who think the whole project is impossible.

It's not. Consensus is achievable.

And anyone who doesn't think that we have a real problem in our public schools is in deep denial.

DC

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>  
>  
>>This country is still a democracy, no matter how much that pisses you  
>>off,  
>  
>errrrr.....just one small point. This country is not a democracy and  
>never has been. It is a representative republic with a bicameral legislature  
>which was specifically created to protect minority rights from the tyranny  
>majority rule, which is the essence of the definition of democracy. In this  
>country, we do employ a democratic "method" wherein the those who are legally  
>entitled to vote (the electorate) choose legislators who are, in turn, subject  
>to the rules as set out in the constitution and interpreted by the courts.  
>  
>  
>Just a small point.....but perhaps important to some degree.  
>  
>;o)

>  
>OK..now I'm gonna put on my flame suit and cover up.  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 10:02:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

great...dumb but sane...is that marketable?

On Mon, 14 Jan 2008 04:38:06 -0800, "Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)>  
wrote:

>Smarter? Naaa. Loonier maybe. If you were loonier you would have thought  
>of that.

>  
>S

>  
>"rick" <[parnell68@hotmail.com](mailto:parnell68@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
>news:2mamo3hjje0huv008c9bbq55efk88mc94r@4ax.com...  
>> and if i were smarter i would have thought of that...

>>  
>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 03:16:51 -0800, "Sarah" <[sarahjane@sarahtonin.com](mailto:sarahjane@sarahtonin.com)>  
>> wrote:

>>  
>>>"Ignoransk is blisk" - Popeye

>>>  
>>>S

>>>  
>>>"rick" <[parnell68@hotmail.com](mailto:parnell68@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
>>>news:32qjo3tacag3k1niba4n0sdgo18aafjb5v@4ax.com...  
>>>> but they're happy...afterall ignorance is bliss.

>>>>  
>>>> On 13 Jan 2008 14:09:17 +1000, "Deej" <[noway@jose.net](mailto:noway@jose.net)> wrote:

>>>>  
>>>>>

>>>>>Bill L <[bill@billlorentzen.com](mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com)> wrote:

>>>>>>You are spot on. Our educational system started to decline in the late  
>>>>>>'60s and has never recovered

>>>>>>

>>>>>>In analyzing a changing trend in a statistic, such as the decline in  
>>>>>>the

>>>>>>

>>>>>>>quality of the US school system, what you must do is look just BEFORE





faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at work.

Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers, rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became "fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs? Can you confront that possibility?

I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children (and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all persuasive or helpful.

Peace,

Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...

> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done by  
> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.

>  
> Confront it.

>  
> Sarah wrote:

>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists are  
>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>> duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.

>>  
>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>> story.

>>  
>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that I'm  
>> not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.

>>  
>> I'm an excellent driver.

>>

>> S  
>>  
>>  
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a  
>>> big way.  
>>>  
>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>  
>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on  
>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or  
>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest  
>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>  
>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>>  
>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be  
>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of  
>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>>  
>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is  
>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>  
>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>>> are our responsibility.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> rick wrote:  
>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>

>>>> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>> mental health.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> S  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 14:50:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

at least Jake has parents who care enough to ask if this is really the right or only choice...hats off to you both.

On 16 Jan 2008 00:58:19 +1000, "Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:

>  
>This has been an interesting thread for me. We just took Jacob, our 10 year  
>old son, out of an expensive private school that we couldn't afford because  
>he has a multitude of "learning disorders" that they weren't equipped to  
>deal with. Our final decision (for now, at least) is to home school him  
>(and possibly his little sister depending on how the financial aide negotiations  
>go) but we did investigate our local public elementary school. We met with  
>the principle who, upon hearing about Jake's LD issues, asked "well, is he  
>on medication?". We explained about both his biological parents being alcoholic/drug  
>addicts and our trepidation about putting a kid with such a high genetic  
>predisposition for addiction on highly addictive drugs such as Ritalin (which  
>we just thought was bad speed back in the day) or Adderall (which is just  
>another name for Dexidrine, which was GOOD speed back in the day). Her response  
>was to look at my wife and say "Well, you don't leave home without your glasses,  
>do you?". Sorry. Wrong answer for us. I should also mention that this  
>school is a double magnet school with high enough average test scores to  
>qualify for two "resource" (special ed) teachers. For over 400 kids. Plus,  
>the expensive testing that we had done to prove his special needs weren't  
>isn't good enough for Montgomery County. If his teacher decides that he  
>has problems they'll have the county people test him. Which means that he  
>has to fail twice to get help - once in his old school and again in his new  
>school.

>  
>Anyway. I suppose I got off the topic! I must have needed to vent.  
>  
>Gantt  
>  
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>>Bill,  
>>  
>> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to  
>  
>>confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't either,  
>  
>>since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr Hubbard has  
>>assigned to the antisocial personality.  
>>  
>> I think it works like this:  
>>  
>> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases to  
>  
>>market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . . ) Sometimes they  
>  
>>even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.  
>> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
>  
>>they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.  
>> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
>>problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less  
>work  
>>that way.  
>>  
>> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy, just  
>  
>>people being their usual crazy selves.  
>>  
>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include  
>  
>>the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their  
>  
>>kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted  
>us  
>>to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in  
>>different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just  
>  
>>because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>>  
>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
>big  
>>faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at

>  
>>work.  
>>  
>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>>criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>>Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>  
>>rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became  
>  
>>"fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this  
>>decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?  
>  
>>Can you confront that possibility?  
>>  
>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children  
>  
>>(and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all  
>  
>>persuasive or helpful.  
>>  
>>Peace,  
>>  
>>Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>>  
>>  
>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
>  
>>> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
>by  
>>> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
>>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
>  
>>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>  
>>> Confront it.  
>>>  
>>> Sarah wrote:  
>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>  
>>>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists  
>are  
>>>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>>>> duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.  
>>>>  
>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if

>>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>  
>>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>  
>>>> story.  
>>>>  
>>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>I'm  
>>>> not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
>  
>>>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>>  
>>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>  
>>>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
>to  
>>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in  
>a  
>>>>> big way.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>  
>>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>  
>>>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>  
>>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>  
>>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
>on  
>>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much  
>or  
>>>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the  
>rest  
>>>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>>>>  
>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>be  
>>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute  
>of  
>>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>  
>>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>>>  
>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>  
>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it  
>is  
>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>  
>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>  
>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>  
>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>  
>>>> are our responsibility.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> rick wrote:  
>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
>non  
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>  
>>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>>> mental health.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> S  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>



>>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 14:58:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This has been an interesting thread for me. We just took Jacob, our 10 year old son, out of an expensive private school that we couldn't afford because he has a multitude of "learning disorders" that they weren't equipped to deal with. Our final decision (for now, at least) is to home school him (and possibly his little sister depending on how the financial aide negotiations go) but we did investigate our local public elementary school. We met with the principle who, upon hearing about Jake's LD issues, asked "well, is he on medication?". We explained about both his biological parents being alcoholic/drug addicts and our trepidation about putting a kid with such a high genetic predisposition for addiction on highly addictive drugs such as Ritalin (which we just thought was bad speed back in the day) or Adderall (which is just another name for Dexidrine, which was GOOD speed back in the day). Her response was to look at my wife and say "Well, you don't leave home without your glasses, do you?". Sorry. Wrong answer for us. I should also mention that this school is a double magnet school with high enough average test scores to qualify for two "resource" (special ed) teachers. For over 400 kids. Plus, the expensive testing that we had done to prove his special needs weren't isn't good enough for Montgomery County. If his teacher decides that he has problems they'll have the county people test him. Which means that he has to fail twice to get help - once in his old school and again in his new school.

Anyway. I suppose I got off the topic! I must have needed to vent.

Gantt

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Bill,

>

> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to

>confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't either,

>since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr Hubbard has

>assigned to the antisocial personality.

>

> I think it works like this:

>

> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases to

>market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . . ) Sometimes they

>even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.  
> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
>they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.  
> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
>problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less  
work  
>that way.  
>  
> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy, just  
>people being their usual crazy selves.  
>  
> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include  
>the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their  
>kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted  
us  
>to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in  
>different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just  
>because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>  
> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
big  
>faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at  
>work.  
>  
> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became  
>"fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this  
>decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?  
>Can you confront that possibility?  
>  
> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children  
>(and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all  
>persuasive or helpful.  
>

>Peace,  
>  
>Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>  
>  
>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
  
>> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
by  
>> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
  
>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>  
>> Confront it.  
>>  
>> Sarah wrote:  
>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
  
>>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists  
are  
>>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>>> duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.  
>>>  
>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
  
>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
  
>>> story.  
>>>  
>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
I'm  
>>> not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
  
>>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>  
>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>  
>>> S  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright

>>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a  
>>>> big way.  
>>>>  
>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
  
>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
  
>>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>>  
>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
  
>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
  
>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
on  
>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much  
or  
>>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the  
rest  
>>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>>  
>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>>>  
>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
be  
>>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute  
of  
>>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
  
>>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>>>  
>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
  
>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it  
is  
>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
  
>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>  
>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the

>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>>>> are our responsibility.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> rick wrote:  
>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
non  
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
  
>>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>>> mental health.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> S  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 15:49:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, you still didn't answer my question, so I guess that's that.

As for the charge of pedantry, showy writing, and so forth, I decided a while back that I'll only act stupider than I am when I'm chatting up unlettered pretty girls. If she's a hottie from the 'burbs who had to be smuggled out of Amity High and I want to see her naked, I'll pretend I watch reality TV and don't know John Milton from Milton Friedman. For the rest of the world I write and read the way I like. Some people find they can take advantage of this, that I've hacked a few paths into the jungle that make their own trek a bit easier. Other people decide I'm a pedant. As the Germans say, Es ist mir wurst! It's all sausage to me!

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Now then, here we have the DC rhetorical and debating style at its simplest

>>and purest. Or rather half of it. There's pit bull DC who will argue anything

>>to the bitter end and provoke the other party at every turn. Then there

>is,

>>grandfatherly DC (this one) who speaks in generalities, answers questions

>>with questions, and makes swooping appeals to open discussion and earnest

>>sharing of ideas. Grandfatherly DC shows up in two situations, a) when

DC

>>has provoked the other party into spittle jetting rage ('Now look at

what

>>you're doing, tsk tsk') or b) when he's caught dead to rights. There's

also

>>the convenient chopping up of what other people write to avoid discussing

>>anything he doesn't want to discuss.

>

>

>This is supposed to be a substitute for content??

>

>How about you? Proud member of the assholes (I'm not a nice guy!)

>union? The list pedant, never missing a chance to display his knowledge?

>

>You have to be kidding. Man I edit you to spare you some grief...

>

>(grin)

>

>

>>In any case, you missed a chance to make a good, or at least your best,

>argument

>>here. You said morals, wisdom, and decency need to be taught alongside

'intelligence'

>>and I asked who got to choose the morals to be taught. Of course, that's

>>an almost impossibly difficult question, because at some point someone

is

>>going to object to some of those morals.

>

>

>Which of course is not at all the point.

>

>It's not impossible, and it's not even very difficult. It is, however,

>collaborative and you have contributed nothing. As usual.

>

>Is there common ground? Can we agree on some basics?

>

>  
>>What you could have argued, to at  
>>least get some breathing space, is that whatever morals should be taught  
>>it shouldn't be that Frankfurt School relativism spouted by elite and effete  
>>east coast Ivy Leaguers like me, which is the status quo. That misrepresents  
>>both me and the status quo, but that's never stopped you before and it's  
>>the best argumentative out you had.  
>  
>  
>Nope. Don't give a damn about your relativism and neither will history.  
>This country is still a democracy, no matter how much that pisses you  
>off, and most people have a clear set of morals. They will contribute  
>where you will not. They will rule. You will not. You will hate them.  
>That will make you feel special about yourself...  
>  
>  
>>Now then, some parts of a good school curriculum have no moral component.  
>>There's not much ethical spin possible when dealing with the laws of thermodynamics  
>>or the Pythagorean Theorem. The satanist in Brooklyn, the maddrassah teacher  
>>in Peshawar, the Mormon home schooler in Provo, and the radical settler  
>on  
>>the West Bank would all cheerfully agree that the speed of light is about  
>>186,000 miles per second. So what we're really talking about is minimal  
>social  
>>sciences (barely taught outside of college), history, and languages and  
>literature.  
>  
>You forgot Zoroastrianism and your friendly local Buddhist, not to  
>mention your fellow Flying Spaghetti Monster worshipers...  
>  
>So, It's proven! Nothing can be done!! There can be no morals taught  
  
>in schools.  
>  
>And you call this a position?  
>  
>  
>>So then, if morals and decency are to be taught in public schools, I ask  
>>this time thrice, who gets to decide what is taught?  
>  
>Thrice, he said thrice!! Must be educated...  
>  
>We the People, that's who. I just reject your thesis, out of hand,  
>that is it impossible to find common ground.  
>  
>  
>  
>>As a delectable carrot to draw out an answer I offer this. If you answer

>>that question with any degree of thoughtfulness and thoroughness I will  
>give  
>>you \_my\_ opinion. And that is the opinion of someone who attended public  
>>schools for twelve years, whose parents both spent their entire working  
>lives  
>>in public education, and who attended a private college where I got to  
compare  
>>my education to students who graduated in some of the most elite private  
>>and public secondary schools in the world.  
>>  
>>This isn't a 'conversation' it's a simple question. Of course you don't  
>have  
>>to answer it, just don't pretend it's something other than what it is.  
>>  
>>TCB  
>  
>  
>It's like asking who gets to elect the government. We do.  
>  
>Do you see any common ground or not?  
>  
>  
>DC

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 16:05:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Now this post I have to save. DC name checks Richard Dawkins! That's like me quoting ibn-Qutab or Pat Robertson with a straight face!

This is classic mis-reading of Dawkins, which is unsurprising. Between the two of us 'The God Delusion' has been read twice, both times by me. Dawkins goes to great length in the first chapter of that book to defend against precisely what you're doing. That is, many scientists are 'social Christians,' that is, they go to church to meet their friends and neighbors before settling down to watch the Sunday football game. It's a very English thing, walk down to see the vicar, talk about the rain, see the neighbor's kid growing up. Many scientists (Dawkins singles out Einstein in particular) also make vague statements about the mysteries they have yet to figure out that sound more or less 'spiritual' but are at most crypto-deism.

Dawkins argues that the twice born use both of these things to heave onto scientists attributes like the belief in a personal god and belief in a Christian nation. He finds this especially perplexing for Americans since while the first white Americans were religious nut jobs they were fleeing religious oppression (in England) and went out of their way to take religion out of



control of the state.

So, Don, you're doing just what Dawkins says shouldn't be done. He says 'I like singing Christmas carols' and you take that to mean 'I believe in a common set of Christian values' where in fact he argues extensively, and repeatedly, that Christian values as represented in scripture and the actions of fundamentalist Christians are anathema to him.

So, Richard Dawkins vehemently disagrees.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>I think there is common ground. I think our traditions provide us with  
>a set of behaviors we should expect of each other.

>

>Richard Dawkins evidently agrees.

>

>[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/politics/7136682.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/politics/7136682.stm)

>

>The truth is, I do not know which morals will be selected, or should be  
>because I believe in a secular, (not secularist!) government and those  
>choices are the product of a people, not a person.

>

>How would you teach morals in grade school? Should we be doing this?

>

>Well, I think so, and I think there is enough common ground to do so

>

>agree?

>

>DC

>

>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>

>>Obfuscate all you want, you're digging a hole and hanging curtains. There's  
>>no conversation here, just you being mysterious and vague.

>>

>>Chuck

>>

>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Those who engage the conversation, will pick the things that will  
>>>be taught.

>>>

>>>There is no other answer.

>>>

>>>There may still be common ground enough for a set of virtues to

>>>be agreed upon. I certainly hope so. But, nothing I think will establish  
>>>who  
>>>does the choosing, and no matter what I say, I will be wrong because it  
>>>is a conversation, not an opinion poll.  
>>>  
>>>Right now, millions are opting out of public education because of this  
>>>very issue. Christians, Muslims, Scientologists, Jews, all have their  
>own  
>>>school systems for this very reason, and now the public school teachers  
>>>must often try to teach in a war zone because young people are raised  
  
>>>without morals and parents so often. Many people think it is time for  
>>>moral education to re enter public schools.  
>>>  
>>>Which set of morals?  
>>>  
>>>Ours. We decide. Those who participate, that is.  
>>>  
>>>But mark my words, the conversation will occur with or without us.  
>>>  
>>>DC  
>>>  
>>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>Don,  
>>>>  
>>>>Thad asked a question, and you did your typical turn it on the asker,  
>instead  
>>>>of just answering.  
>>>>  
>>>>Chuck  
>>>>  
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>So, again, who picks the morals to be taught?  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>TCB  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Simple enough. Those involved in the conversation.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Do you have something to offer? Because I can assure you, the  
>>>>>>conversation is not going away.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>Would you derive morals from Hobbes' natural law reasoning?  
>>>>>>Social contract theory? Natural selection?  
>>>>>>



I rejected your premise, which you finally divulged, that it is impossible to find common ground. I showed you where common ground is found by people every day, and I described the process by which Americans choose school goals and curricula. The answer is we get to choose, and will do so.

You didn't like the answer. Oh well...

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 17:40:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Gantt,

I too want to praise you and your wife's wonderful dedication to your son and his future. He's a lucky guy.

DC

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Gantt Kushner](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 20:02:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've been reading a bit about The Sudbury Valley School. It's interesting stuff:

<http://books.google.com/books?id=es2nOuZE0rAC&dq=daniel+greenberg+sudberry+valley&pg=PP1&ots=TkGUQdxgDF&sig=KLBWjwcLwbKThsuAWg8rjLi5z4c&hl=en&prev=http://www.google.com/search?client=safari&rls=en-us&q=daniel+greenberg+sudberry+valley&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&sa=X&oi=print&ct=title&cad=one-book-with-thumbnail# PPA2,M1>

I'm sure some of you will have opinions!

Gantt

"Gantt Kushner" <[ganttmann@comcast.net](mailto:ganttmann@comcast.net)> wrote:

>

>This has been an interesting thread for me. We just took Jacob, our 10 year

>old son, out of an expensive private school that we couldn't afford because  
>he has a multitude of "learning disorders" that they weren't equipped to  
>deal with. Our final decision (for now, at least) is to home school him  
>(and possibly his little sister depending on how the financial aide negotiations  
>go) but we did investigate our local public elementary school. We met with  
>the principle who, upon hearing about Jake's LD issues, asked "well, is  
he  
>on medication?". We explained about both his biological parents being alcoholic/drug  
>addicts and our trepidation about putting a kid with such a high genetic  
>predisposition for addiction on highly addictive drugs such as Ritalin (which  
>we just thought was bad speed back in the day) or Adderall (which is just  
>another name for Dexidrine, which was GOOD speed back in the day). Her  
response  
>was to look at my wife and say "Well, you don't leave home without your  
glasses,  
>do you?". Sorry. Wrong answer for us. I should also mention that this  
>school is a double magnet school with high enough average test scores to  
>qualify for two "resource" (special ed) teachers. For over 400 kids. Plus,  
>the expensive testing that we had done to prove his special needs weren't  
>isn't good enough for Montgomery County. If his teacher decides that he  
>has problems they'll have the county people test him. Which means that  
he  
>has to fail twice to get help - once in his old school and again in his  
new  
>school.  
>  
>Anyway. I suppose I got off the topic! I must have needed to vent.  
>  
>Gantt  
>  
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>>Bill,  
>>  
>> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to  
>  
>>confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't either,  
>  
>>since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr Hubbard has  
  
>>assigned to the antisocial personality.  
>>  
>> I think it works like this:  
>>  
>> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases  
to  
>  
>>market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . . ) Sometimes they  
>

>>even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.  
>> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
>  
>>they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.  
>> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
>>problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less  
>work  
>>that way.  
>>  
>> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy,  
just  
>  
>>people being their usual crazy selves.  
>>  
>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include  
>  
>>the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their  
>  
>>kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted  
>us  
>>to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in  
  
>>different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just  
>  
>>because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>>  
>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
>big  
>>faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at  
>  
>>work.  
>>  
>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>>criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>>Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>  
>>rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became  
>  
>>"fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this  
>>decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?  
>  
>>Can you confront that possibility?  
>>  
>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children  
>  
>>(and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all  
>  
>>persuasive or helpful.

>>  
>>Peace,  
>>  
>>Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>>  
>>  
>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
>  
>>> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
>by  
>>> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
  
>>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
>  
>>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>  
>>> Confront it.  
>>>  
>>> Sarah wrote:  
>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>  
>>>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists  
>are  
>>>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>>>> duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.  
>>>>  
>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
  
>>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot  
>to  
>  
>>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>  
>>>> story.  
>>>>  
>>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>I'm  
>>>> not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
>  
>>>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>>  
>>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>

>>>>  
>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>  
>>>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
>to  
>>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges  
>in  
>a  
>>>>> big way.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>  
>>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>  
>>>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>  
>>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>  
>>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
>on  
>>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much  
>or  
>>>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the  
>rest  
>>>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>be  
>>>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute  
>of  
>>>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>  
>>>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>  
>>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it  
>is



>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>  
>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>  
>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>  
>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>  
>>>> are our responsibility.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> rick wrote:  
>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
>non  
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>  
>>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
  
>>>>>> mental health.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> S  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 20:31:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK!! OK!!!...I was just sayin' ;o), and actually I do agree with this (your)  
post.

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:  
>

>In the case of selecting school standards it is essentially a democracy  
>of the engaged. (that then has to play political football with the  
>unengaged) In other words, the more you get involved the more say  
>you have.  
>  
>Over the last few years, I have been involved in a couple of local committees  
>both city and county, and two things have impressed me:  
>  
>It's actually quite easy to get involved and have your voice heard. (That  
>  
>doesn't mean anyone is listening of course...)  
>  
>Those people serving in these roles tend to be good folks and not  
>ideologues.  
>  
>My point? Just as with school Science standards (and I am against the  
  
>mandated teaching of either creationism or ID) those with a burden on  
>the issue hold the conversation. There WILL be a conversation however,  
>especially on the teaching of virtue to young people. Then, some  
>consensus is formed, that hopefully will not upset either the militant  
>secularists, nor the conservative theists too much (though neither  
>side will get what they really want) and this is presented to the public  
>and made into policy. Then the activists try to get "the bums" who  
>passed this garbage all recalled and the policy nullified. They may succeed.  
>If they do not, the policy stands. The one group that gets well and truly  
>steamrollered are those who think the whole project is impossible.  
>  
>It's not. Consensus is achievable.  
>  
>And anyone who doesn't think that we have a real problem in  
>our public schools is in deep denial.  
>  
>DC  
>  
>  
>  
>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:  
>>  
>>  
>>>This country is still a democracy, no matter how much that pisses you  
>>>off,  
>>  
>>errrrr.....just one small point. This country is not a democracy and  
>>never has been. It is a representative republic with a bicameral legislature  
>>which was specifically created to protect minority rights from the tyranny  
>>majority rule, which is the essence of the definition of democracy. In  
this

>>country, we do employ a democratic "method" wherein the those who are legally  
>>entitled to vote (the electorate) choose legislators who are, in turn,  
subject  
>>to the rules as set out in the constitution and interpreted by the courts.  
>>  
>>  
>>Just a small point.....but perhaps important to some degree.  
>>  
>>;o)  
>>  
>>OK..now I'm gonna put on my flame suit and cover up.  
>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing sincethe 70's.  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 21:47:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sarah, In the '50s Hubbard approached psychiatrists and the APA to offer them the techniques he had developed in Dianetics. He presented a decade of clinical work and thousands of documented cases of dramatic improvement and cures of people's mental aberrations. He was roundly rejected. It wasn't just professional jealousy, they simply did not want to know about cures or the betterment of man. Psychiatry has been trying to suppress Scientology and Dianetics ever since. It did not take Hubbard long to see where they were really coming from.

He wrote the book Dianetics and it has been a runaway best seller with the public ever since. People want help, but psychiatry does not really want to help them. They have used prefrontal lobotomy, insulin and electric shock and mind-numbing drugs to subdue people, but no one gets much better - they just get quieter and more apathetic. No one comes out of a psychiatric session feeling like a million bucks, that's for sure.

On the other hand I come out of Scientology counseling sessions feeling truly fantastic all the time. I sure wish everybody could feel that way.

By the way, it was you who named psychiatry as the cause of the decline in the school systems.

Sarah wrote:

> Bill,

>

> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to  
> confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't either,  
> since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr Hubbard has

> assigned to the antisocial personality.  
>  
> I think it works like this:  
>  
> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases to  
> market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . . ) Sometimes they  
> even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.  
> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
> they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.  
> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
> problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less work  
> that way.  
>  
> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy, just  
> people being their usual crazy selves.  
>  
> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include  
> the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their  
> kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted us  
> to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in  
> different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just  
> because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>  
> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the big  
> faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at  
> work.  
>  
> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
> criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
> Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
> rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became  
> "fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this  
> decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?  
> Can you confront that possibility?  
>  
> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children  
> (and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all  
> persuasive or helpful.  
>  
> Peace,  
>  
> Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>  
>  
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
>> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done by  
>> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8

>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.

>>

>> Confront it.

>>

>> Sarah wrote:

>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists are  
>>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>>> duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.

>>>

>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>>> story.

>>>

>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that I'm  
>>> not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
>>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.

>>>

>>> I'm an excellent driver.

>>>

>>> S

>>>

>>>

>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message

>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...

>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign to  
>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in a  
>>>> big way.

>>>>

>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>>>> between right and wrong.

>>>>

>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on  
>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much or  
>>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the rest  
>>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.

>>>>

>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.

>>>>

>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to be  
>>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute of  
>>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.

>>>>

>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is  
>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.

>>>>

>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>>>> are our responsibility.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> rick wrote:

>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of non  
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>>> mental health.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> S

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...

>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing sincethe 70's.  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 21:51:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow, trying to make drugging a child seem as normal and helpful as wearing glasses. That's a new low.

Gantt Kushner wrote:

> This has been an interesting thread for me. We just took Jacob, our 10 year  
> old son, out of an expensive private school that we couldn't afford because  
> he has a multitude of "learning disorders" that they weren't equipped to  
> deal with. Our final decision (for now, at least) is to home school him  
> (and possibly his little sister depending on how the financial aide negotiations  
> go) but we did investigate our local public elementary school. We met with  
> the principle who, upon hearing about Jake's LD issues, asked "well, is he  
> on medication?". We explained about both his biological parents being alcoholic/drug  
> addicts and our trepidation about putting a kid with such a high genetic  
> predisposition for addiction on highly addictive drugs such as Ritalin (which  
> we just thought was bad speed back in the day) or Adderall (which is just  
> another name for Dexidrine, which was GOOD speed back in the day). Her response  
> was to look at my wife and say "Well, you don't leave home without your glasses,  
> do you?". Sorry. Wrong answer for us. I should also mention that this  
> school is a double magnet school with high enough average test scores to  
> qualify for two "resource" (special ed) teachers. For over 400 kids. Plus,  
> the expensive testing that we had done to prove his special needs weren't  
> isn't good enough for Montgomery County. If his teacher decides that he  
> has problems they'll have the county people test him. Which means that he  
> has to fail twice to get help - once in his old school and again in his new  
> school.

>  
> Anyway. I suppose I got off the topic! I must have needed to vent.

>  
> Gantt

>  
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>> Bill,

>>

>> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to

>

>> confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't either,

>

>> since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr Hubbard has  
>> assigned to the antisocial personality.

>>

>> I think it works like this:

>>

>> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases to

>

>> market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . .) Sometimes they

>

>> even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.

>> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if

>

>> they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.

>> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and

>> problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less

> work  
>> that way.  
>>  
>> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy, just  
>  
>> people being their usual crazy selves.  
>>  
>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include  
>  
>> the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their  
>  
>> kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted  
> us  
>> to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in  
>> different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just  
>  
>> because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>>  
>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
> big  
>> faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at  
>  
>> work.  
>>  
>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>> criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>> Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>  
>> rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became  
>  
>> "fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this  
>> decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?  
>  
>> Can you confront that possibility?  
>>  
>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children  
>  
>> (and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all  
>  
>> persuasive or helpful.  
>>  
>> Peace,  
>>  
>> Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>>  
>>  
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh



>  
>>> and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
> by  
>>> accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
>>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
>  
>>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>  
>>> Confront it.  
>>>  
>>> Sarah wrote:  
>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>  
>>>> Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists  
> are  
>>>> not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>>>> duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.  
>>>>  
>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>  
>>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>  
>>>> story.  
>>>>  
>>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
> I'm  
>>>> not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
>  
>>>> at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>>  
>>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>  
>>>>> young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
> to  
>>>>> influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in  
> a  
>>>>> big way.  
>>>>>

>>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>  
>>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>  
>>>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>  
>>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>  
>>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
> on  
>>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much  
> or  
>>>>> how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the  
> rest  
>>>>> of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
> be  
>>>>> regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute  
> of  
>>>>> being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>  
>>>>> into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>>>> illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>  
>>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it  
> is  
>>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>  
>>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>  
>>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>  
>>>>> are our responsibility.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> rick wrote:

>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
> non  
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>  
>>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>>> mental health.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> S  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 01:09:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually, I did not name "psychiatry as the cause of the decline in the school system," though you understandably heard it that way. I said, in response to Don's comment about self-esteem vs achievement, that "psychologists pretending to know something decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's mental health." Not an indictment of the entire subject of psychology, just a group of individual psychologists with some half-baked theories about children's education which unfortunately had some influence on our schools.

I'd like to recommend everyone read my self-help books. I've written three so far:

I'm OK, You're F\*\*\*ed Up  
Spanking the Child Within  
Habits of the 7 Highly Effective People

Could change your life. :)

S

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478d2d91\$1@linux...

> Sarah, In the '50s Hubbard approached psychiatrists and the APA to offer  
> them the techniques he had developed in Dianetics. He presented a decade  
> of clinical work and thousands of documented cases of dramatic improvement  
> and cures of people's mental aberrations. He was roundly rejected. It  
> wasn't just professional jealousy, they simply did not want to know about  
> cures or the betterment of man. Psychiatry has been trying to suppress  
> Scientology and Dianetics ever since. It did not take Hubbard long to see  
> where they were really coming from.

>

> He wrote the book Dianetics and it has been a runaway best seller with the  
> public ever since. People want help, but psychiatry does not really want  
> to help them. They have used prefrontal lobotomy, insulin and electric  
> shock and mind-numbing drugs to subdue people, but no one gets much  
> better - they just get quieter and more apathetic. No one comes out of a  
> psychiatric session feeling like a million bucks, that's for sure.

>

> On the other hand I come out of Scientology counseling sessions feeling  
> truly fantastic all the time. I sure wish everybody could feel that way.

>

> By the way, it was you who named psychiatry as the cause of the decline in  
> the school systems.

>

> Sarah wrote:

>> Bill,

>>

>> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to  
>> confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't  
>> either, since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr  
>> Hubbard has assigned to the antisocial personality.

>>

>> I think it works like this:

>>

>> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases to  
>> market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . .) Sometimes  
>> they even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.

>> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
>> they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.

>> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
>> problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less  
>> work that way.

>>

>> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy,  
>> just people being their usual crazy selves.

>>

>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better  
>> include the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to  
>> drug their kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The

>> school wanted us to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual  
>> people who learn in different ways and at different rates, and I'm not  
>> going to drug him just because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>>  
>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
>> big faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle  
>> at work.  
>>  
>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>> criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>> Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>> rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry  
>> became "fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS,  
>> this decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about  
>> psychs? Can you confront that possibility?  
>>  
>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on  
>> children (and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just  
>> not at all persuasive or helpful.  
>>  
>> Peace,  
>>  
>> Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>>  
>>  
>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
>>> and seem like they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
>>> by accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
>>> million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
>>> narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>  
>>> Confront it.  
>>>  
>>> Sarah wrote:  
>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us.  
>>>> "Battlefield Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the  
>>>> psych-iatrists/ologists are not evil aliens from another galaxy . . .  
>>>> or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . .  
>>>> . hmmm.  
>>>>  
>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>>>> story.  
>>>>

>>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>>>> I'm not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled  
>>>> toothpicks at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>>  
>>>> I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>>  
>>>> S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our  
>>>>> bright young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a  
>>>>> campaign to influence the schools and school systems and the teaching  
>>>>> colleges in a big way.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>>>>> between right and wrong.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million on  
>>>>> Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much  
>>>>> or how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the  
>>>>> rest of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think and  
>>>>> behave.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy  
>>>>> Leary.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>>>>> be regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive  
>>>>> attribute of being able to rightly judge people and situations has  
>>>>> been slyly skewed into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other  
>>>>> examples of how illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good  
>>>>> reasoning.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>>>>> and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it is  
>>>>> no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>>>>> as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our

>>>> children are our responsibility.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> rick wrote:  
>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
>>>>> non  
>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah"  
>>>>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>>>>>> decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>>> mental health.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> S  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message  
>>>>>> news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 01:39:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Stephen Covey is fantastic.. I'll definitely recommend the 7 habits.  
Life altering and eye opening doesn't adequately cover it, but it's the  
closest I can get in text.

AA

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:478d5ccf@linux...  
> Actually, I did not name "psychiatry as the cause of the decline in the  
> school system," though you understandably heard it that way. I said, in  
> response to Don's comment about self-esteem vs achievement, that  
> "psychologists pretending to know something decided that discipline and  
> competition were harmful to children's mental health." Not an indictment of  
> the entire subject of psychology, just a group of individual psychologists  
> with some half-baked theories about children's education which  
> unfortunately had some influence on our schools.

>  
> I'd like to recommend everyone read my self-help books. I've written  
> three so far:  
> I'm OK, You're F\*\*\*ed Up  
> Spanking the Child Within  
> Habits of the 7 Highly Effective People  
>  
> Could change your life. :)  
>  
> S  
>  
>  
>  
> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
> news:478d2d91\$1@linux...  
>> Sarah, In the '50s Hubbard approached psychiatrists and the APA to offer  
>> them the techniques he had developed in Dianetics. He presented a decade  
>> of clinical work and thousands of documented cases of dramatic  
>> improvement and cures of people's mental aberrations. He was roundly  
>> rejected. It wasn't just professional jealousy, they simply did not want  
>> to know about cures or the betterment of man. Psychiatry has been trying  
>> to suppress Scientology and Dianetics ever since. It did not take Hubbard  
>> long to see where they were really coming from.  
>>  
>> He wrote the book Dianetics and it has been a runaway best seller with  
>> the public ever since. People want help, but psychiatry does not really  
>> want to help them. They have used prefrontal lobotomy, insulin and  
>> electric shock and mind-numbing drugs to subdue people, but no one gets  
>> much better - they just get quieter and more apathetic. No one comes out  
>> of a psychiatric session feeling like a million bucks, that's for sure.  
>>  
>> On the other hand I come out of Scientology counseling sessions feeling  
>> truly fantastic all the time. I sure wish everybody could feel that way.  
>>  
>> By the way, it was you who named psychiatry as the cause of the decline  
>> in the school systems.  
>>  
>> Sarah wrote:  
>>> Bill,  
>>>  
>>> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to  
>>> confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't  
>>> either, since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr  
>>> Hubbard has assigned to the antisocial personality.  
>>>  
>>> I think it works like this:  
>>>  
>>> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases



>>> to market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . . ) Sometimes  
>>> they even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.  
>>> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
>>> they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.  
>>> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
>>> problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less  
>>> work that way.  
>>>  
>>> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy,  
>>> just people being their usual crazy selves.  
>>>  
>>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better  
>>> include the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to  
>>> drug their kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The  
>>> school wanted us to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual  
>>> people who learn in different ways and at different rates, and I'm not  
>>> going to drug him just because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>>>  
>>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
>>> big faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient  
>>> triangle at work.  
>>>  
>>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>>> criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>>> Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>>> rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry  
>>> became "fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS,  
>>> this decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings  
>>> about psychs? Can you confront that possibility?  
>>>  
>>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on  
>>> children (and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just  
>>> not at all persuasive or helpful.  
>>>  
>>> Peace,  
>>>  
>>> Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:478b7089@linux...  
>>>> Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and  
>>>> laugh and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are  
>>>> not done by accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for  
>>>> drugging 8 million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and  
>>>> very powerful narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>>  
>>>> Confront it.

>>>>

>>>> Sarah wrote:

>>>>> Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us.

>>>>> "Battlefield Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the

>>>>> psych-iatrists/ologists are not evil aliens from another galaxy . . .

>>>>> or ARE they? (dunt dunt duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . .

>>>>> . hmmm.

>>>>>

>>>>> I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal

>>>>> observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad

>>>>> generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if

>>>>> there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to

>>>>> clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another

>>>>> story.

>>>>>

>>>>> But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that

>>>>> I'm not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled

>>>>> toothpicks at a glance doesn't make me bright.

>>>>>

>>>>> I'm an excellent driver.

>>>>>

>>>>> S

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message

>>>>> news:478a535f\$1@linux...

>>>>>> Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our

>>>>>> bright young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a

>>>>>> campaign to influence the schools and school systems and the teaching

>>>>>> colleges in a big way.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric

>>>>>> Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value

>>>>>> Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction

>>>>>> between right and wrong.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the

>>>>>> children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the

>>>>>> mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million

>>>>>> on Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how

>>>>>> much or how well children learn, just that they felt good about it)

>>>>>> and the rest of their psuchobabble notions of how people should think

>>>>>> and behave.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not

>>>>>> coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy

>>>>>> Leary.

>>>>>>

>>>>> When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>>> influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>>>>> be regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive  
>>>>> attribute of being able to rightly judge people and situations has  
>>>>> been slyly skewed into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other  
>>>>> examples of how illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good  
>>>>> reasoning.

>>>>>

>>>>> When you look around our society and see the delineation between  
>>>>> right and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being  
>>>>> blurred it is no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50  
>>>>> years had that as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.

>>>>>

>>>>> We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>>>>> problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our  
>>>>> children are our responsibility.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> rick wrote:

>>>>>> yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
>>>>>> non

>>>>>> educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah"

>>>>>> <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>

>>>>>> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know  
>>>>>>> something decided that discipline and competion were harmful to  
>>>>>>> children's mental health.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> S

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message

>>>>>>> news:4789a15e\$1@linux...

>>>>>>> Self esteem replaced achievement.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Tom](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 02:36:56 GMT

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Psychiatry has been trying  
>to suppress Scientology and Dianetics ever since. It did not take  
>Hubbard long to see where they were really coming from.

I think they're coming from the standpoint of "space aliens? Really?" I mean, Bill - how can one say this without offending you, but come on, the guy built his own religion around an unsold movie script. He was a science fiction writer & he wrote a sci-fi story & turned it into a business that made him hella more money than any series of movie deals could've gotten him.

>He wrote the book Dianetics and it has been a runaway best seller with  
>the public ever since.

"Journey to Ixtlan" was a runaway bestseller too, would you yourself advocate the particular religious experiences outlined in that book?

>On the other hand I come out of Scientology counseling sessions feeling  
>truly fantastic all the time. I sure wish everybody could feel that way.

Lots of people of varying faiths can make similar claims, but it doesn't mean they're right, either. If it works for you, good for you, just don't try & pass it off as though it's the only thing that works. If a catholic did that here, they'd get lambasted.

Tom

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [John Travolta](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 02:47:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

---=\_linux478d6237

Content-Type: text/plain

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit



LxWlZ064JwB0UdBTkg/d+bKxVP4R3b6f40WA2vt0wBlvyR/dd0OfwNQm+tGJ  
FzAjt67F5/LFZ6z7ANipGv03MacJ7dmy8Ukg7lnA/kKVh3LTto8o/wBTNCx/  
uPwPwNQnT4ZSfs12p9FI+Un+IRM9szfJDx64/wDr1EQRhEhcj2o1HoNkjkibY  
6FSO1MqfMyrhlLL6N0qMorfd4Poaq4rEdFOxnhuPc0hBU4NMQIFFFABRRRQA  
UUUUALRRRSEJRRRTGFOiQySKo7mm1PBIYP3gA3ds0MCSeTa3lx54OkeqLABn  
mQ/pTLYFT5h5l6E9zTHJz1yTUFDzJJlwhiOAx5xxmpZiiAQJnao5xx+FRp+4  
BJ5YjA9qaDs5b07qB/jQCJEi+QtgZ/u5wB9aVI42bDgO3oOgqAsWPJ69Aoqb  
eIEKDaGx60WESzu0JWNXBbsqjGKpNlzn5ifWjEn3ycZ5680cEcDJ9aLANZSx  
yPxFloPFTJGWbg4Oak8nLgE44/Wi4WIFTP1p5jwo7E9qsRwfNtZSvHWpzAz  
yBCAxAGDjnrU8w1ElSNO3x73OEAY3FQ3Uplcln3VGB6fSteCKdNP+ygDnL5l  
+YAD1qOz0zN3HvUbTGCR61Ny+Uw2hK5RV5bv3xSC1d+i5AIA9+a6SWyR9dkT  
Z8gxnA7EdfzrVstGiktjZQsiZJJ9j1o57B7NnGzWQhjDMD90Ej1qllHchm7  
9PpXRxsMt3esUXbHk7Qef8//AF6W30IyiW5l+SJTsx8AP60+cnkZzXINwSCA  
aUnHVcgdF7D61raggW42qM4/DA7YrPmdpDgKqr6AYq1K5LTRVJYnmpUhk6ks  
B609l4wuWk207zYVwVUkjuec07hYBbPt5WRk/vEEVFJCVXIfOP4T1FWTeyhg  
6BhjuzZpjX0snEhzk9Tj/CjUNCruzW3Wmn86ty28h5KA8Z4qsVxwQf8ACmgG  
UUpGKSmIKKKKACiigBaKWIKSkpTSUxgBk1IPmbApi9zUsIDOq56nFJgW12  
lQp4AUlvp/n+dVwxH7w43H7o9Ksyj/WgfmQd6dT/KqrODlh0zgD0FIYDOFU  
+9NPJxnjqaeJ2lvSnoByQOTmkMb8w6cH+VKigHJ5Hr604KTgHknoorZ0zRn  
ncGXpJfJysOMWzNjtHmIJ4B6A1bXT8JnY27tniunTR4tgwOnrUn2QFQAvA7  
1i5nTGkcnHZgOAc5zzgZq+uktKQRGxA6kiuptdJG7cy5+takdlGcApnHT2qH  
UsaKjc4uHTJEfyghbPoM4qd9JeCdZGQIWUAK8la7BbOMFhghSeg71ZSwRx+8  
UHJzt7Cp9oX7FHOW9j5kkbZU/KV4GDyKj0oRSh0X5XUrZ9P/rV0KWaWxJVV  
2n26VYSBXblegwKnnYeyRzMmlbmOfYGcYU5GMjFXnsSsRdNx4IPFbkVsrRK  
GAOOISNGu3HXHaoci+RHIJpMYhVwAeTtl/Cq91bSqvIRJIUyRx3NdiLUKpwO  
D2xUR0yGRsvGOOWGKrnJdNHms2hP5e/5ix5JPrVJvD85Ukq38q9XbSoiB146  
VVn0a3f72eKpVbEeyTPJH0p42lwWl6gDNRuiRDH2cbh3Y16lLpVuo2+UoX2r  
KvfDcEikrgH6VoqyZILDtHnTyPx8iY+IRFix3ZVW9MYrqbvQJUztAbHp2rBu  
tPelNk81vGaZzyg0MtLra3kz8oeAe6VPeWmWY5AcdR2PuP5//qrOPy8HPFWY  
rktGEc5425Pb0/KqZCKjAjq0w8dasSDcpbuvBqE+h/A1SYhtFFFMAooooAdR  
RRSElaSlpDTGPxiMe5qxp4HnFycBR1+tVcnAFTwNiE+7ZpMCEVhsY5z8zEfX  
p/WqjHJA7VK5BjYkdelQqPnpDJsDIH40qoXYAdz2pemfyq7psHmz9OBUNIJX  
Zf0vSwMvIBXQ2ihXwBjl61VhkG1Y0545q/AWAxjHrCTuddONi4qAgDHGOI  
SpECCTjimxjLCp1Bxn8qybOllsxfdBqwnTOKgiGBzwx0qxHk5HpUs1SJEQE  
jFWFB7GmxAdMVZRFxyOtTYGNShdwRxUija2D+FTRjjkA470siKf4c07GbYxV  
ynHrUiRkHnk09PUJn6VKCSOGM0rCuV3QZBFM2kNwOO9WSoJHBB901MKg5XBq  
SuhXYHBxyM/IUDA5wRVwhV46elQTEE8detDHEoTIWU46iqbJgHNaT85wKpTj  
GcfWgoz57dXXHAPrXOajYIWcFRk9D711brlOOtZt7AGyCdRWsJWZz1YXR5zq  
dmYJTxkHuKzwNreXrdThR9yN2rmZoSCcD612xd0edNWY0fM/J+8uD9ar9ue  
1SqSCD3BphHzketUhmJlwaKU0IWSFFFFADqKKKQhKSlopjA+ISLwmBTAM5NW  
obdnKjtnJNJgRdVYeINQZYD8Kk4DyD0lp9sq/aUB/vZ/Ac0gCQYdh2BNXrBp  
ihSLjccFj0H+JrO3bju9TmtbTBxkj5QO9Zs0jub1nAERQXyfTFasCnPPc1kW  
7HH+93Y8mtq2Q7RnjFYM7oFtl8jl+gxVklTudM0kWDgdcaml+bOayZ0xQsfz  
fT0qeMEcVGqjAwOtWBjAlqSiaPpzViP5mxjJFVEyPr7VYiDbhii5LRoLHx1z  
9KCUMk8mmIzBfvEcevWkZsEru61RIZIIQuzgDjrzSqNp/wAmmx58sEtj8KCs  
gO7cMeuBSYlc20np14pqna3bmo2Zs/Men4UhPHU1BVh86jg49RVN8AVYLhh8  
3VeIVJliB26VLKiiN8Hp1xVWVM8HvVlx8vTmq7HCnPWkUQbAwqlcKGLJBF  
aQ55xVS5TOXHBHBqkSzjdYhdJCy4O3kZrl7g/vCwGCeuOld1rMe5HK4yByDX

EXaIWIB4PrXZSldHn1o2Znj7xFMY/Nn0NSHlc5qLqv410o5ug1vvGkpX+8fr  
SVQgooooAWiilpCEpO9LQOtMZli5Ue56VsFPIthkcmsq2wTg9iOa1bqXNmPY  
H+VSwMpRv3N6Lkmljb5ifRWP6UwHbEPQnmkDYDD2xQAqnAx6Vq2UjOORgAcV  
kqc/QVp2EgO0EE46KB1+tRI1hub1mrO4ZVlx3PeugsyBF26Vi2CI8MwPXHFb  
ERAYDPArnkdn1NGnbEZVepq7loCjHUVQszum3Y4HA+tXnOWOOB3rJnQhM5IA4  
9qnDcYx1qBRjnNSxKSM81JZMrEe5qzETySc+1QxryDjmrKBeDnb7YpIkkocg  
dcfSkXnB55lxQxATAY/QCkjlLYzz9MVRPQuHhccEexpcnoV49KjPKAUm1vTj  
17UmSkEy+jL+dQ7h0JX86e6sVxn61AYiM5NS0WhzMME96hLYOeKmXAUgsM+l  
MkjULnsPSPGiAuMfSomAPSp2hAGDUDqQcYzSAQIAOPwqrMpBbNWugxnmq8jA  
g+opgc9qbYJGRggg5riL4EPsOCufIPpXd6pGHJAHbNcNqLLFflyuT8rV1Uj  
jrrQy5yQuOhFQ5AA+tSSHcxNRNXWjhYw0UtJVkhRRRQA6ijtRSElaO9FFMZN  
bf6zHrWlcEfYJBg9OM+ueayY22yg+lae9ZbQgkncvH1FSwMxjwB7UmSc55OK  
GHI+JTAkQZPNadmGZgFG0evrVC3Xc4GOa6XTLUHDNk/TgVINm9NXNPTkKqM  
qOBxir24xrnnJNRx/uwPTOKV2DTKo7e9YM7oqyNmx4jBPWrg5+n86zo5REih  
nA44U96niuxlxEYAI9+KyaNkXwv4Cp4wPT8KpoxByWyBVpHCgEnr61I20WUO  
ePzNSJkNgDNQI44PXPpViGVRg7sE8c07Et2JNnl4GP5U+CHcTjr65xUYIWMm  
c/WrWnNGXwSMnOc/yqkrshy0Hlm1tjA57dKewwCuMkUPsLZ3YBPHGKk85VXJ  
AyepI9KEtRNkEiSt8wjZTULwNt3MCT1NW4T5mSwHHHJqKaXD4ycDOcUSSsNN  
7FVx0oHIAI5odwT1H4037rc4+tY2LEKZGBzmq8seMkcGppJipG3AFMaRXHPe  
nYVvyq/y9arzc5PHNWpGyTxx2NZ81wmcOwU+lKxVzMujukx3ztJ9q4TXYys7D  
BwGJxn3ru5sec6kHnkVfyiVBuLAYOOa3p6M5qyvE5bPOfWmsMAe1K3U0Hla7  
Tzil0ZpTSVYgooooAdRRRSEJRS0IACHrVm1I2jaT0ORValBwaGMfKuyRI9OI  
NVSzYp7P5nJ6gc0Rj86ALtIEDIoxmuqsEwuPxrB02Mb1JP8AwHvW9AxRuOIY  
SOuiupcMm9IQdSRzUMI2kVwwRTI4OAOpa+ppplKAuASxbAAHWqccV9HKQMqW  
O4lg5+pqbg8pWNIXMxzJPtXPRRwo/wAaS31PzDsDH3KjmoW0y5uQd3mFj13h  
uadF4fuypWNIQPM2Ebcj2xSsiOeRpf21JGmUAZQMh5jmqr/ds2+FyMD13Aj  
8KhOk3u7IQhH3cnH6VBLooREyeWrL1ODgmjIqNORbk1y8YgiUIRwwPOPf2q  
eDUnz5ySSYwCQxyD9faufe3v43GYmPH8VOhe7hYFomXn0Py0OKBTdzudP1US  
RyLggBTtB9T2z6ZrW0u+VXk3Z4JA+ma4O0vh5gZSEJAB44yPai0+Yzop4BY  
8474rJ6G0Xc69pPIDHIHt1qPzDjGAafSooWE3LNjjgHr9atw264HPGeBmovd  
I7LUUMsUZQnBb+VZ15fC2QnaSf4V7mpr2RoSxyMDjgVzGpXUjFn3chTx9aTd  
2O2lwudcYSjYQxckcdM/570HXwmAZQzYy5A4WucubqGKVJpMsRgBPYdT+JrO  
kvrmsUmfHwxyQq5zWigjJ1GjrLzxMkTBYjkY5OKjTxRuTEhH0Arl0stSmldl  
GB7ZPzflVoaNqmNwtiuR8zLwc1fJEzdSXY3f7fRmBfcEY9VOMGqOoakzB2jW  
RIJ4OcYqJNC1aSLBRR6F+9V7jR5rdcsxPsDnH49qVooq8mWLDWFvGEbAq6jv  
3qh4kACEleCcURqkbbo4ZVOOdy5x75FLr2JrBJFwSefrTSVxSb5Xc46QYcj0  
700mlc5k+tIRXWcAw0IL1FJVCCiiigB1FFLSEJSGnU2gBO9KBSqhbJA6UCgY  
q+ITRrtDkZJqNR8obuTTueD27UmNG3pSkjcRzWwp2urYxjg1I6Smlh6k1qg  
Ejge1Yvc7YL3SykBk8Ad43DIAeh9atxRFSJJYmWReCFXj8aTTADJscnb/Cw  
7VsyJLEwkVd4xyV7/hWcpdDTI0GfZI3VX+YAjsTiqOo+JbXTMQxxmWfPyxx9  
Sf6Up3sy27RW6bZDwM5GKxLDw1KZftM7sZGbO7PSPvnuJp7IW/8U6404iVI  
rYHBwF3HFUEI1C9V5LrVZUXeY1ijYb2bGeQMYX3/ACzXUx+GFuJRJOxdgNuc  
8kVfj8HxogJADYySWrR2S0Ri4TvmqzntB8PjUhcrl0izIA0cm8n8DnrUxs3hl  
+zljDMOMPyrmuhj0eXTy/wBju5lwx+cE5z+Pas+9S8KujymQHg5Xk/jUyqRZ  
pToyXUybmAwk+dBsZerAVZsbl4R+7ORnNXbe2v7uBrZyrBuAzckCs+5sZLG8  
ROgAw3PWs7pmtne6zT7zzgGJHNbMEXwRn865TSMO1RnHNdBE6KD78dcVnax0  
W0E1GQmNnBGa4S8d5J33FgM9jXZXrZQ4ORWLBZsrvcRxhipOM0o6ailHSxkJ  
YiOMO0SxBuQz/MzfhUOoWWowPEpleDzBuAUANjt9K3I5pobkySRLI5IJMoyF

9MYq1eQ3WsyxPKArLxmNOMfjW8Zx6nLUpT6HHW+o61aRmZLgNCrmNXul9wd+  
u1SB1AOTWtZeOL1JBBdaYsgxfBwcfQ1qL4RDsZG3hxlst07VDFoE1hctKE  
JY/KWzngntxV2j1Rko1L6Mv6d4I0vVRst5B5n8Ucg2sPwqxcQxyp8yjaOa5H  
UfDc0Vybyq3ZhMG3ccZre0UJZ7Yx3IAkXjJGc1jJJbHTG/ULm2LxqibVU/w7  
eo965zWoB9hKEhdp611TYAOWGD1OOW9vYVi61GsokEiYV0PHenB6imtGeaTL  
smKnqDimk4HFWLyPbJu98VAULcAc12pnm2I+9NPWnsCBg0yqRIUUUUwH0UtF  
SSJSd6dTTTGdvY+Go/sVxK5BDRLInH51yNzbGK7aPGM9K9Dt7jOg2pQ/6yIJ  
UGo+GPtMS3MSgSx4Iz0PtXOp2Z2ypJxujgAGH7sjG05pzBmlUfpWrrtkLS9V  
TgPINxA7VQiUSOOecdK0vdXOfIs7G9p6bYQPWtNEyoHeqVkv7tB36VppAEHv  
WLep3xjoXLSJAwLr25PStllomj+SPHuScVIWuFwSM+grUgJzI8Ej7o9KxkbR  
iMaxMhyE3D1xjFSw25jG1VY/Q5FFxtDSHI7LT1LdmCA+1QVyiW8cijlic49  
KthnK4Mb49zUC5zXu5PXNTqgx8z/AK0JkygMcO3A4HtVV7FXbJwfr0rQXylw  
oOTTijucgYAHpT0J1RlnZZxlvvY/MVg3KtNO7MMN2rc1T5dsXUIhnFZzKGmP  
vTRoo9R1gAvXlxWsHPOByO1ZcJER56VoWrlxk45pSNYhK25CMdulU4HaMtz8  
p6+3vV24+VecfhWehwx9OISiZq5f+y7x8x3Dr0q1bwmlgLO9O9NsnVV55Hoe  
a0UWNgGxg1SszGV1oQ+aQCGJFMZgw7mrwbknnjHtURtkJ5X8jg0m2SkjOmXI  
IWPBPGTVZ9MRiCMq3t0rYMKgdz9ajfCrXU3KMLrZ0Oz7qjuKyNWjKzYY7vIw  
K6SYDbnORWBqy/vM9sU4vUGtDzi9gwJFY8qxAzUelWZvNQihOVVzjd6Vc1ZS  
LmZRj72R+Nb3g+3jWzee5ACxqzo1dblaJxRp3mcPep5N5LFnOxyM1BUt1IjB  
qWT+85P61FXStjle4UUUUxEIFLRUKiGmmiNlaaA7bwzcm6023gY5Mcv6V3Ms  
qrbLCvXHNea+DZwt2YycfNn9K7d7sLKA3PNctRWZ6NLWCOW8aWZiuLe5OcMS  
Ca563jyV9ckV3fiyA32I5A5Tla421hPkibHAbFXHaxIKL5rmzaH5R2wK0oyG  
9wO1ZUBAYrRhPp1qJHVFmrag7uTz/KtSLgDpx1rMtwRgAVpQtv4xWL3N4lyL  
5juPXtVhMDnmoYug9u1Wo+WGRwB0p2LAgT0IFNCIjgnnpUwX5jkY4zUWCvOM  
En8qyaLRYjwi7TjHr3pl3eARblmINQOzHpndQlkzgm46n1ouxqc3ZmTImJ9f  
WoRhEy3Jq7qBjtMDglvTtWTLJudfc1cVcUn2J41Msgz07VuW6KiDA5xWfYxh  
VDsDz0rYgjL4CruPYChj2RSvFJQ+mKy0PzEdzW7dwtjbKNpxnisWdfLlyO1R  
YJbF+xbaRntWtHkjIPPXrWNZ7ZuFOHrTgeSM7WyM/rUpkNFoTbcB1P4CpBJG  
Rjofeo2w6jqc0zaQOcnuM1ZHKmPm6dB7Yqm5wDu61aYIQckZHSqMhx0NJIJE  
EjADGcqf0rE1M5Ukdq2JjtDE81i6kSYfaktxNaHKXVh58kk56YwuKv6iG0z  
wcvQbWKgEgdm7Ve0+ENp4LrnzHOKh8bK1roXluOHIAH0rZO8kjCUeWLkeaUU  
UV6B5YUUUUAS0UUISSlaaacaGjS0GUx6iqg4LdPrXfvMlxbKnu2QcMPEv  
MIZTDMkinBU5r0S1kW8SCZDzgHPrVVS0tzqoS0aLVw1yltYb4zjIPpWTLpi  
RWN3JE/yjDFP611agNCAVyCKyb+NYLS4Cr8sqEfQ1ipdDpcdDnFb5Ae2a1bU  
jIPWsiPmlitWyOV57VchQZr25O3POfWtCDgjtWfC2IxV2BiSOotYM6kakGcn  
tVulDdyfxqnEw4qwjKOvAx3pJllvGPIVaj8tnbG0daVXVtzbs89KhuLraMlw  
z7GnKwK5M5gh++Nx7gHrVW51BzGcYCj071WiEi4/X5Qfmb1qPUYES3YqTu29  
M1luxOyZRZzcybycrngVDKuLIR2pbCdDGAeoFNvZkBDKQCOOa2SBtG7Y4ZQo  
wM1vJatHEjBifbFcXp+pFJF3JA+ldTb6riEICDxxk9KWi3FJt25Sa7hJt9xG  
Ceckda5y/ARc56Hita91EICZG+XPT0rn57r7VltX7o6k1D1ehUXaOpPE7R4I  
Q4I64rdtbxZowrjB65rAOFTitWKAteu04btUNg1oaoCsPkOe340p3A4Pr1NZ  
1vcNFLtkU7vQVoecrIOMfpVqzJtYicgkjqcVUnJHpxVYyDymI3Dg4JqIKcg4  
HXvUyKRQuDyw9uDWPqJP2U7GtWc5Yg9azNQGyAg7sB+ZpIUtjQ0PSZP7KjM  
q4O3IzXM/EB2k0IGZukgUD6V6MSLezBPAVcAV5N4+u2Jhtz13M1bUdZl46z9  
xnF0UUV6B5wUUUUASmkopKkkQ000ppKooK6rwnqO4/ZHPK8qfauVqazuXtLq  
OeM/Mhz9amSuioS5ZXPYYD+65qpqq+ZaOmOgzVLStYS7tlkUggjn2rQciWCQ  
9QVNclrM9NWaucTB6Vq2eduKyoCN/wCda9rwV/GtJGUTTjJGRmrts2cGs9M7  
G+vWr1qpKVgzoTNBGzznFSiTGAEaoJN/D71Osg7moNky95mATnC1Ud3uphEC



QO59BSSS4iJjWkZA4jQtn5m6mk7sd7GorJBCEUAADiqN1KJMq3AqKa8K4IOV  
xjFvT6yKTng+varUTPQpT6Y2TJbyBN3UHoaja2tpo/LubUBx3YzZ+NaDoTkB  
sD2qvlJSFwc4Hp1rQl26lC2j+wXXIRj9y+fIJ+6fUVtW13jjdyKpXdvl0as0  
R46OvWoEttQdtqhcHoxBBpyVzOM7Mn1HUXkzBB87DqT90H3qC1tmjG57maeU  
9IOAD+HFx7fSvJQeaokYfkD9KsoQF2kAY4wKzb5djXSRDY2lwzh7mT5Bylwc  
n8TW/CwUday1kKy4PT+dWonVOS2CT0rGSuzRPQvXCbsOOvehGJTk4/DNRrcA  
9+DUpG0Z65oWhKdtBs2Xi27jtJqNlwo9KeWPPxUTHgk0MbZn3Bll/Os+9lBj  
z2kU/qK0JQWcsR7Vmak2EU+hB/WkhSOzumUxAt0ArxHxrcCbxFMituWPj8a9  
A8V+MoNLszDEVe6dfIUdvc15HPNJcTPNKxZ3OWJ7muzDxd+Znn4iStyojoo  
rrOMKKKKAJDTTSmm0hIKSiimMKKKKAL1pf3Ng++B+G5Knoa67QvEgu45lPkC  
NjA54NcX1t1OK1PDq+b9oT+LAIrOUUbuPyvYuwEeZn3NbfnyQB74rFt/rz/9  
etm1xuA9sispHTFmnejEPA61o2Kfuh71QU5jVSPpWIZD93gHNYS2NkV0HzOfR  
qslrM2R0+tRwAedKpOMoa0YYVVS1ZnmqM6cl5QnZepkmswdselSyLshZ2BO  
4knFZpuludx6d6uAbssbzIAOx9antocoA2Dnk1kSarFEflIJ9KZ/bcr5EYJJ  
PYVpZjjZbnRrAilhYqeOGM7VIU81zfnal5SyPDKI3+6cdakS8vlz80cn5UW  
ZquRnWraw4+YZA9asoFAxtBB4zjuTg1q5ifhmB9GFXn1+4aEDIXj+EdaHcn  
2UXsbk6x7cYwR+IZssSt8w2jnoKzJdYkkABdm9hUX9pOSAA5P+6eazabLUix  
6motttOSR+FMdgrAFDx3rNXV2Vir5GOuRjBqePU45DywnTZolpdDQgckkeg4  
zWjazialY3BHX2rB88jDo2eema0bJpDJvwAG7UGL3LeDkjPOajk6VI+RcKCB  
835U2Xv9OKzKuUpVxGD+NYWqsfJkGf4TiuhnXbApzXN6y22GTjgKauO5Mnoe  
YSyPJWkcu2erHNMpepzRXqjBRRinAUgGgUuKeFpdvtSuOxGaQ080w00ShK  
KKKYwooooAIR/wB2VrX8Mtsvyp/iGKxAcGtXQTtvt3oRUy2Lp/EjRQbZpE/u  
yH+dakLeW+c9DyKzXITUp19Wq/Dzgz5BHNYs6kbtq0K5rVtCNuOIYdtJ+7U  
dcVr2TFsY4zXPNG8XcZu8nUJRnhmtWyYyR4zWZqkXltHOP91selS6dNyBnN  
ZtaXNE+hdlgXaUOR9K5XWNEniLSwtl8Z/hB5FdgWJbJ6HpUMyZzxxShJxehe  
j0Zh6LpOmyta5Cu43Fiep46EVqS+HI45rmOEjKtID7Yzis6805QTNESsnXK8  
E1Fb6hqNIOGS4Lk8kTfMDXTzKRI9WmtYyudnY6aL3REicDf5eenQimWOjRSW  
482PDE8nuKzdN8TS20G2WLOc5KnHB9q1NP8AEdljDSGJs5w3H60kkQ6daCaS  
G2nhmC7kkN1GGCtgD1p2o+D7aClz7eM7l/gY5zW3ZXsLszRsrAHsc1bubIZQ  
xbHToKrl0MfazUrmNpfh20itt80Sl2H3ccCo4tFhju5nAXao+RSOmetbluYh  
GPmUADFY17rtpazMDLuyvO0buf6VLVhqU5t2GQ6TaiK4kaNCzOASevT0rkdc  
8P26lXt38nam484zzWhN4mkeCdloSGcnEmegPQ/WsZvtGpXCPcSGQqoXgYGK  
FKKRtDD1W7t2Gada3AmQLL5kll3MRiuqtlidolJx3qK0s0iiWNBgitW3h2DL  
HGBWEpXZvNpFSSPF3GPr+FRXH+sCjvVic5nGDjAPNUywe555A5qEiLjLsARq  
CenSuR8RTBbadvSNjXUXsvJPoOK4XxRcbbGc5+9hBWINXkiJu0WcRSgUCnAV  
6R5QAU4CgCnAVLZSQoWnbfalUU/FZtmiiUzTTTTzTDWyMEJRRRTGFFFFABWpo  
j5umB67c/IWXV/Rm26inowlpS2Kh8SNq/wDk1lsP41Bq7bt8g9B1ppqCkrDJ  
3C4P4VJayjnnFY9DqbszVgbbg5+ta1INtkHOKyIqrLuzweDVqMtGc+nespK5  
cXY6Z4UurZk/vDrWTButpzG3VTzV2wuiyAFqh1FSGEoXOOCfWsbA2Nr9TSjc  
EKc/KffpUjNtwMBh2NZtpKGUAdr71bWXkqQSeoqbFKQy4jBBPHtWZJBk4Zdw  
7H0rWfk5GOFSqzqyvjGV9fSqizZSsUYom2kbuB2qeOANISuOepq1GYzhscn2  
q2ixBQ+MEHk4rW5qq1tBlvYzQRh0ZgpPBU8GpxLd8q1wx47ntQs21cKWAJ7G  
mtOSMALwCORnrSuiOZSepFJbyy/fkYAcck1m3CFSQp7Z+ta7ySOPnbryMLxm  
oBahyeg4waltdDSM0tzFWCVi3AA6VpWUGwfU81OyRRZVecdT6063G4g44rKT  
ZMp32NO3l2g456dKsmZUTBP51REqpgZI5pbuVUjzkZx+NQjnlurTT/M7A+1V  
oyFiZz1aoizSOFB4PWmXk4SMgDp6VSROxUv58KwzyfSuA8U3ILRW6nOPmaus  
1C5CqWYgDrz6V51f3RvL2Sfsx+Uegrqx1uc2lnpYgFOFNFPFdTOJCinAU0U  
8VDLQ4U/NNFOqWalqGmGnmmtkcyG0UUUxhRRRQAVZ059I9Gfeq1WLC3mur2

KKAZctkUbjW51soEkBwM7MP9QetVIP3MxQ8r2q3bMU+WQfcO1x7VDcWxjZB  
1TID6rWHkdkl1L9q37zk4BrQUEEqPUcCsW1k3KDn5ga2LaUtgsB6EE1EkEWW7  
Oby5Cp6HpWuY0lgl45646VhsnOetaVjcB0wSA44INZSXU0T6FWJjb3PIPKA1  
dSU9RzjjJqvqcYdQ5GCDnNMt7hZFxyeOKLXGnZmxESy4B5oaLccc8VWhkYMM  
fhWhg7CzEZrJ6HRHUrRb88cY7VJ5DrwDwfSnrwwB+tPEn8KnINNSG4kXk4HD  
Nt60hUgAgkY7VMoXAlzhvegR4PUj1/KtLitYg2suMklSeO+KVo3Tufm4zUwJ  
U5JyPX604tnaSazkxpFYW+2lgHJ9xU0ce0DtxU23ngDFJIGVeMcGsW7IETc8  
gcjrVLULgkgDrnHWrTyBWZieB1rKuJg9zHxgHnGKtlyb1LEZCLgtye9UL24H  
IHQcD3qee4VlzyMntXKa/rQtliqMGkcYUf1rWEW2ZTkorUzfE2qgk2kRyzff  
l7D0rmqV3aRy7sWZjkk0grujHIVjzpScnccKcKaKcKYIcKcKaKcKhloeKdim  
in1DNUUzTTTzTDWyONDTRQaKooKkkKACun8E2m+8kuGGQBtFcxXofhKz8jT4  
SRhn+Y/jTRcFqW9a0h4GF/EuY2GJQO3vVEwm5txsP72L7h/vD0rv7ZEeHZlo  
ZSMEHvXM6rpJ0q5823BNs5yD/cPp9KyqR6o6YST0Zy7IVfzEUgflV22nDH2  
z1NT3MClzcxdd99QP1qubZoyZYgGXGSP61le4OLizSSTeg5JIHrT45ykgfGO  
x+lUbeXcFKg5B5qySAeQScVNgUi/NKZISM546j0qlazNE4BGaVXI+XPUcZqC  
XgkjjPP0oS6DubcMqFd+efStGO5GBuGBnnJrnbeU4CMeo61ow3BLLvzghkis  
3E6ITNEhSCqnFGxt5XqD3B6VCs22TGRzxVm0HmNz8zc1Cib8+hYitWYKR1B6  
c5qVrfJ5UHb+FXgYo1LOxI4lx9OaaJkLspB8vua05THnuZcv7s8rnPBqvlSv  
XsfStWWBHKui/KT05781Skt8Nli2MYyTWckawmhsEzdSePei4k+QkHg/qagy  
RnlGOcVG8h2lDgj3rNRFKQkjgA4zyKxWnAuHbPCjrVq+vBHCxz9KwGmbB56n  
JNaqJzuQ3WNXW2gZyeR09z6Vw9zcyXc7TSsSzfpVzW7pp70x5+SLge59aza7  
KcEic4qs3J2FpRSUtaGQ4U4UwU4UhoeKcKYKeKhmiHrS5pmaXNTYu5DTDUhF  
MNa05RIJTjTao0KKkixjcfwoAksrU3V5FD/AH2Ar1XSYAgVQOAMCuA8MRq2  
tLu6hTivT9PgO0GqWxrDY1YFGMgVfjt450KSIGVhggjltChAFaFtSY2c7qP  
g14t1xpw3J1MXcft1rm3tmtnJ2kJnDKRgga9agOBg1T1bw/aaohfal5j/Go6  
/X1rCUOxpGr0keXyWB5mgxnqV9aZEBIMEkEDkdxW7e6Rd6PntljPIZ4ccrVS  
exWZvMglWXuOxrH1LaW6M3accdRTHBYjHWrSoSSr/KV6g9qa8WDg84700yGV  
hnBGcn2qxb3Do2AckdM1Htw+OILswev40xqVjRjmD4bPzHseK0LO4CsTGSMn  
qBWGjyZ5GcdDVuCZo23KeP0qWjWNRHTx6ihUErj156c1L5qSAbRgHNc/HdHH  
J2tUiXznAYjp2qS9HsbTSLGMAtkfXn86rSSEoWOQTwc196HPqDVaTUWQYOOT  
3NKSuNNIuTSrkHO0suaoXI0sEeA2G7n0rPudVRW2R5dvrWZLNLOSZHwc0KBM  
qiHXVw1w+M8DrVZhdq9T39qmCELkjAqrqEn2TS55/4mG1aryRC7s5C6fzLu  
Vh0LHFRUUV2rQ4HqLSikoFIBwpwpopwpMaHCnCkAp2KhmiCjNLijFIYw0wip  
DTTVIwljSGnkUw1aAAMnFWAMCmRrjk96fTGWLC7axvorlRnY3l9R3r2bSZl7  
qzhnhYMKihgRXiNd98ONeCTnR7hvlfLQEnv3X+tCZUXZnpEacdKuQpg8VCq9  
KtQLTLZbi4Aq0jVBGtTgYqSR8lvDdRGKaNXVhghhkGuV1Pwk9tum08l06mE9  
V+h7j2rq1zmpxh15FS4p7jU3HY8suLOO6BVgY5V45HQ1TaPyz5dwACeFbHBr  
03UtCtr4FyuyUdHXr+PrXL6hpUlspiuot6HgSAZH/wBaueUHE2jNSOWltQq8  
cj9arhWA7Eeh4rYltJbQjy8yRHqDyRTTDvj3rtK+tTcrIMpWQEZO0jsakCbg  
MDr3FW2tA33lyDSHTk7Ar7qcU+YXKVCsQdhjTTNKBwR+VWzYyEgCSQD1zSLp  
kjenk5PGKXMO1jNkaducuB77aqyJuJ8yR3PpnAroYtBaQ5ZZCB/eerKaAik  
DC5z0xS5gtc5RLdm+WOPjvgVbh0sgeZnI6Cun/s6OlcpoBva4VVAHXHWpc  
y1A5u7Tado4zwbUI7oS6n4e1Jxn/AEOAOmP7w5/lUkymS9AxkA5rrtEsgfDe  
oB1/1yMD+VOL1Q56QZ4BRTPe2SMh6qxFNrvPPFoFJS0AKKkWoxt1qWNEgpwp  
gp4NQzVDsUu2kFOqS0QmmpCKaapHKRmkVcnNOxmlAxVoYtFFFMAqW3nktP4  
54XKSRsGvH2lqKIBoA958Ma1Fr2jXaYEmNsiD+Fh1FdDColeEeDPEreHdWV  
5CTaTYWZR2HZh7ivd7SRJ4UliYOjgFWByCPWmUmXY6nAqKOPlpDHKKIWMaVI  
ooJJBzTZbeOZSrKCD1BFPWngZoEcZqHhKHL2h29/LPT8PSuYutOntpmUoyN1

KngNXp+3NVrqwgu4yksYcH17VIKknsaxquO55zCu5QUGWH8JHNTJF8x3lvT0  
rdv/AAxLExlszux/A3X86zNrqsSVGRx1Vhg1zyi47nQnGWxF9miY5xj+VIIU  
R8gD2qwq/LkAH3pTEG5IGai5Vhoj3OCSeOcCnvEUyRgDp9adG/8AhRM42gt0  
7UAKZ1wSgYqww05rKuGODk5NaF06KDsHGaynyyt0qTZLQqWsPmXJOK75lhba  
Ay4xuTFcjlpluuEjAyXYfl3rtdQXbZpFjqMmtKet2Y1nZJHzdrlubXXLyEjG  
2VsfnmqFdT8QRp7L4laQDAmQN+PSuWrujScL3ClpKKYhwpwNMpQaQyUGnA1F  
upwapaKTJgack1EDTs1DRomKajY5OB1p7MBUYqoo5xQMCg+1FFWMKKKKACii  
igBwODXp3wu8XBJF0C+k+Vjm1dj0PdP8Pyry+plpHikV0YqykFWB5B9aAPqd  
OISiuR8AeLV8TaOBOwF/bALOV970cex/nXXCgpO5ItTLUK1KtICVaeKjWpBQ  
lfRSClpiCq9zZW92m2aJW9D3H41YooaT3BOxzt1oMsGXtj5q/wB08N/9esiQ  
GN9rKwYdVI5ruaq3mn296mJU57MOCK550esTohWt8Rxb8cjjq1xcHbycn6Vr  
appV1ZAUeaelfxJ1H1FYUrbxkA4rmacdGdcbS1RQuHMrY7CkWMbATjLcRAiU  
nnpnFSRWU2oXaWluPmP3j2UetRvojXRast+FLA3F3Jduv7tDtX39f6V0V+u8m  
rtjYRafZJDEuFUyH+P41BcqCDXZGPKrHnznzSueN/FaxwLS7A+6xQn2PNebV  
7l8QtNN94cuVVcvGPMX8K8OIraG1jGW4IFFFWSFLSUUALSg802loAIDU7NQg  
07NQ0VceTRSGI7CqIClpKKBi0UUUAFFFFABSikpaANjwxr0/hzXINRgJKocS  
oD/rEPUf5719H6fe2+oWcN5ayCSCdA6MO4NfLQNem/CTxWbW9/4R+7k/c3BL  
WxJ+5J3X6H+f1oA9IWpFqJKIAPDJFp4qMU8UAPFOPopaYhaKKKYBRRRQA1gC  
MGsbU9Dsro5MJWVj96M7T+PrW3XA/ETxgvh69s7RbP7XJJG0hXzdirzgZwMn  
vUSinuVGTjqqUXw1YQgmSR1/3pQKfYXPh/SJ/sg1S0S5kP3JJgGNeW3nx1i  
aPy7W1sbAN1MUW9/++mz/KuTluWedppWMSrHLPickmpVKK1KdWclZs+mZWwv  
zDI7EVnzDBz1FeLeHviTqugusLyfbLT0yJW6D/ZPavU/D/inSPFdu0mlzhbh  
BmWzk4df8R7iholMj1e3EttlhGVZSD+NfO+pWZtruaLGDfIVI+hr6SvANh90  
hB7V4T4yvtsvii7XGFchx+lojuOWxypoqzLCM5FV2Ur1rUgSiiigAooooAWI  
ptFAE3agdKWgfd/GkIKKWigAooooGFFLikoAKKUUALUtvNJBmK0TIJI2DKw  
PII5BqIUooA+lfBviNPFHh6DUMBZgTHOgP3ZB1/A8H8a6Ja8h+BksjX2q2xc  
mHyUk2dt2SM/IXsojQdqQliFOFSbF9KNq+IOwxBThRgUUWELRRRTAKKKKACv  
nnx1rK6x4vvrIH3RRt5MXptXj9Tmve9VkeHSLyWNirpbuykdiFOK+XSx8nfn  
5iMk+9LqATTpFyx5z0FUJr0scRrgep61cMSfZIk25dhkk81n3CgOcChgQmZy  
c7uas6fqd3pt7Fd2k7wzxNIJEODVM9aUUCPoTwl4ri8baPI7IkWqWoAuIIP  
Ei/3wP8AODXnfxOtvL1S1uAMCSMqT7g//XrL+G91PbePNHMMrJ5s/IPg/eQj  
kGuz+M1vFELcogUic4/FeahqzRad00eVfeGDURUdCKIHWkiHSrIkzxkci06t  
dqhIAHOKLjI6KKKYBRRRQB//2Q==

---=\_linux478d6237--

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Tom](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 04:45:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

No, i'm not Tom Cruise - i'm just posting anonymously because  
I'm afraid of the Scientology death squads coming to get me  
since i'm disagreeing with Bill.

Tom (or not Tom)

"John Travolta" <John@nowayjose.com> wrote:

>  
>  
>  
>Hey! Tom Cruise is a friend of mine! I worked with Tom Cruise!  
>  
>YOU sir are NO Tom Cruise!  
>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 06:37:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey, let's go on People's Court! You could sue me for mental anguish and the price of a new keyboard.

'Course it's ten minutes to Wapner,

S

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote in message news:478da4a6\$1@linux...

>  
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahonin.com> wrote:  
>>I'd like to recommend everyone read my self-help books. I've written  
>>three  
>  
>>so far:  
>> I'm OK, You're F\*\*\*ed Up  
>> Spanking the Child Within  
>> Habits of the 7 Highly Effective People  
>>  
>>Could change your life. :)  
>>  
>>S  
>  
> (sigh).....I'm gonna have to buy a new keyoard....grape juice this  
> time.....it  
> was worth it. thanks.  
>  
> ;o)

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since the 70's.  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 07:31:02 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>I'd like to recommend everyone read my self-help books. I've written three

>so far:

- > I'm OK, You're F\*\*\*ed Up
- > Spanking the Child Within
- > Habits of the 7 Highly Effective People

>

>Could change your life. :)

>

>S

(sigh).....I'm gonna have to buy a new keyoard....grape juice this time.....it was worth it. thanks.

;o)

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 07:48:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"John Travolta" <John@nowayjose.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>Hey! Tom Cruise is a friend of mine! I worked with Tom Cruise!

>

>YOU sir are NO Tom Cruise!

>

I really think this is incredibly disrespectful of someone who I happen to like a whole lot so I've gotta' speak up here. whoever you are, if you've got some problem with someone's belief system and are compelled to slag them, then at least have the integrity to show your own face as well.

Not cool.

---

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Tom](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 08:20:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>

>"John Travolta" <John@nowayjose.com> wrote:

>>

>>  
>>  
>>Hey! Tom Cruise is a friend of mine! I worked with Tom Cruise!  
>>  
>>YOU sir are NO Tom Cruise!  
>>  
> I really think this is incredibly disrespectful of someone who I happen  
>to like a whole lot so I've gotta' speak up here. whoever you are, if you've  
>got some problem with someone's belief system and are compelled to slag  
them,  
>then at least have the integrity to show your own face as well.

I happen to like & respect Bill a lot, as a person, and a musician, and I am not trying to slag him - only disagree with him. Problem is, you can't disagree with a Scientologist in public without their death squads hunting you down, so this is the only reason i am posting anonymously, which is probably at least part of the reason NO ONE has ever disagreed with him on this forum, ever.

Hopefully they can't get my ISP info from these posts, otherwise i'm screwed.

Tom (Cruise/not Cruise)

>Not cool.

It's cool, really. Someone's got to do it.

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 14:49:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here's an interesting video about psychiatry:  
[http://www.associatedcontent.com/video/976/psychiatry\\_no\\_sci\\_ence\\_no\\_cures.html](http://www.associatedcontent.com/video/976/psychiatry_no_sci_ence_no_cures.html)

Tom wrote:

> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:  
>> "John Travolta" <John@nowayjose.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> Hey! Tom Cruise is a friend of mine! I worked with Tom Cruise!  
>>>  
>>> YOU sir are NO Tom Cruise!  
>>>  
>> I really think this is incredibly disrespectful of someone who I happen  
>> to like a whole lot so I've gotta' speak up here. whoever you are, if you've

>> got some problem with someone's belief system and are compelled to slag  
> them,  
>> then at least have the integrity to show your own face as well.  
>  
> I happen to like & respect Bill a lot, as a person, and a  
> musician, and I am not trying to slag him - only disagree with  
> him. Problem is, you can't disagree with a Scientologist in  
> public without their death squads hunting you down, so this is  
> the only reason i am posting anonymously, which is probably at  
> least part of the reason NO ONE has ever disagreed with him on  
> this forum, ever.  
>  
> Hopefully they can't get my ISP info from these posts,  
> otherwise i'm screwed.  
>  
> Tom (Cruise/not Cruise)  
>  
>> Not cool.  
>  
> It's cool, really. Someone's got to do it.  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 17:42:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Tom" <not@chance.com> wrote:  
>Problem is, you can't disagree with a Scientologist in  
>public without their death squads hunting you down, so this is  
>the only reason i am posting anonymously, which is probably at  
>least part of the reason NO ONE has ever disagreed with him on  
>this forum, ever.

Bollocks.

I've disagreed with Bill about Scientology, and more than once.

He handles it well.

DC

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 17:46:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've disagreed with Bill before. Including in this very thread. He was gracious about it.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
www.JamieKruz.com

DC wrote:

> "Tom" <not@chance.com> wrote:  
>> Problem is, you can't disagree with a Scientologist in  
>> public without their death squads hunting you down, so this is  
>> the only reason i am posting anonymously, which is probably at  
>> least part of the reason NO ONE has ever disagreed with him on  
>> this forum, ever.  
>  
> Bollocks.  
>  
> I've disagreed with Bill about Scientology, and more than once.  
>  
> He handles it well.  
>  
> DC  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 09:22:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, what Jamie and Don said . . . I've played in bands with a couple of Scientologists, and had a brief relationship with one, and had sometimes heated arguments about it with all of them (it is a religion, after all, and you know how I am). The worst thing that ever happened persistent attempts to get me to come down for some event or another. But they were all very nice, very talented people, with excellent senses of humor.

So there. Don't be paranoid.

S

Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:478e468d@linux...  
>  
> I've disagreed with Bill before. Including in this very thread. He was  
> gracious about it.  
>



> Cheers,  
> -Jamie  
> www.JamieKruz.com  
>  
>  
> DC wrote:  
>> "Tom" <not@chance.com> wrote:  
>>> Problem is, you can't disagree with a Scientologist in public without  
>>> their death squads hunting you down, so this is the only reason i am  
>>> posting anonymously, which is probably at least part of the reason NO  
>>> ONE has ever disagreed with him on this forum, ever.  
>>  
>> Bollocks.  
>>  
>> I've disagreed with Bill about Scientology, and more than once.  
>>  
>> He handles it well.  
>>  
>> DC  
>>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing since  
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 21:28:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey, thanks for the backup y'all. I actually have not even read the posts you were replying to. I've been swamped with work, and frankly, when I saw that they were written by Tom Cruise and John Travolta, I already knew what they wrote 'cause I saw them last night at the death squad meeting.

Anywho, did anyone watch this vid? It's a hoot.  
[http://www.associatedcontent.com/video/976/psychiatry\\_no\\_sci\\_ence\\_no\\_cures.html](http://www.associatedcontent.com/video/976/psychiatry_no_sci_ence_no_cures.html)

Sarah wrote:  
> Yeah, what Jamie and Don said . . . I've played in bands with a couple of  
> Scientologists, and had a brief relationship with one, and had sometimes  
> heated arguments about it with all of them (it is a religion, after all, and  
> you know how I am). The worst thing that ever happened persistent attempts  
> to get me to come down for some event or another. But they were all very  
> nice, very talented people, with excellent senses of humor.  
>  
> So there. Don't be paranoid.  
>  
> S  
>

>  
>  
> Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:478e468d@linux...  
>> I've disagreed with Bill before. Including in this very thread. He was  
>> gracious about it.  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> -Jamie  
>> www.JamieKruz.com  
>>  
>>  
>> DC wrote:  
>>> "Tom" <not@chance.com> wrote:  
>>>> Problem is, you can't disagree with a Scientologist in public without  
>>>> their death squads hunting you down, so this is the only reason i am  
>>>> posting anonymously, which is probably at least part of the reason NO  
>>>> ONE has ever disagreed with him on this forum, ever.  
>>> Bollocks.  
>>>  
>>> I've disagreed with Bill about Scientology, and more than once.  
>>>  
>>> He handles it well.  
>>>  
>>> DC  
>>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Let's face it, US public schools system has been failing sincethe 70's.  
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2008 21:40:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Gantt,

We've been on the same path with our son for three years now. His LD issues stem from brain damage due to chemotherapy at the age of 2. The school system and the medical community basically said "get him on drugs" and "sign him up for a group home. Oversimplified, but accurate.

He has now been home schooled for three years. He has defied the responses we received when we tried to get him help in the public system. A few areas:

The school said he'd never be able to accomplish math longhand ...use a calculator. He is now adding and subtracting to the thousands. He has most of his multiplication fact down through ten.

The school he would never be able to write legibly. He is doing so in both block lettering and cursive.

The school was unsure if he'd be able to read at all. He is now reading books close to grade level. His reading vocabulary is definitely beyond his comprehension vocab, but that gives us something to work on!

It takes time and sacrifice, but the results have been beyond expectation in our case. Our greatest challenge is still working on interpersonal growth with peers, as it is an area of disability as well combined with the lack of a classroom environment.

Be encouraged Gantt.

Jeff

> This has been an interesting thread for me. We just took Jacob, our 10 year  
> old son, out of an expensive private school that we couldn't afford because  
> he has a multitude of "learning disorders" that they weren't equipped to  
> deal with. Our final decision (for now, at least) is to home school him  
> (and possibly his little sister depending on how the financial aide negotiations  
> go) but we did investigate our local public elementary school. We met with  
> the principle who, upon hearing about Jake's LD issues, asked "well, is he  
> on medication?". We explained about both his biological parents being alcoholic/drug  
> addicts and our trepidation about putting a kid with such a high genetic  
> predisposition for addiction on highly addictive drugs such as Ritalin (which  
> we just thought was bad speed back in the day) or Adderall (which is just  
> another name for Dexidrine, which was GOOD speed back in the day). Her response  
> was to look at my wife and say "Well, you don't leave home without your glasses,  
> do you?". Sorry. Wrong answer for us. I should also mention that this  
> school is a double magnet school with high enough average test scores to  
> qualify for two "resource" (special ed) teachers. For over 400 kids. Plus,  
> the expensive testing that we had done to prove his special needs weren't  
> isn't good enough for Montgomery County. If his teacher decides that he  
> has problems they'll have the county people test him. Which means that he  
> has to fail twice to get help - once in his old school and again in his new  
> school.

>

> Anyway. I suppose I got off the topic! I must have needed to vent.

>

> Gantt

>

> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>

>>Bill,

>>  
>> I have no trouble "confronting" evil or anything else you want me to  
>  
>  
>>confront. I just don't like broad generalities, and you shouldn't either,  
>  
>  
>>since dealing in broad generalities is a characteristic Mr Hubbard has  
>>assigned to the antisocial personality.  
>>  
>> I think it works like this:  
>>  
>> 1. Drug companies make drugs. Sometimes they even make up diseases to  
>  
>  
>>market the drugs. (Restless leg syndrome? Please . . . ) Sometimes they  
>  
>  
>>even neglect to inform us about the risks and side effects.  
>> 2. People have aches, pains, and problems. They want relief, and if  
>  
>  
>>they can get it from a pill, all the better. Much less work that way.  
>> 3. Doctors are under pressure to fix people's aches, pains, and  
>>problems, and if they can do it with a pill, all the better. Much less  
>  
> work  
>  
>>that way.  
>>  
>> See? It's a perfect kinky three-way relationship. No conspiracy, just  
>  
>  
>>people being their usual crazy selves.  
>>  
>> But if you're going to have this be a big conspiracy, you better include  
>  
>  
>>the parents and teachers in on it. No one is forcing parents to drug their  
>  
>  
>>kids. I had a problem child, and I didn't drug him. The school wanted  
>  
> us  
>  
>>to, and we said, "NO!" Children are all individual people who learn in  
>>different ways and at different rates, and I'm not going to drug him just  
>

>  
>>because they can't figure how to teach him.  
>>  
>> Some shrinks drug their patients, others don't. I'm not buying the  
>  
> big  
>  
>>faceless EVIL. It's just the pharmaceutical-medical-patient triangle at  
>  
>  
>>work.  
>>  
>> Many years ago, the American Psychiatric Association rejected and  
>>criticized Dianetics/Scientology, and ever since then the Church of  
>>Scientology has been following L. Ron's policy to attack the attackers,  
>  
>  
>>rather than defend the Church. The entire profession of psychiatry became  
>  
>  
>>"fair game." Is it possible that, as a loyal member of the COS, this  
>>decades old conflict is an element of your passionate feelings about psychs?  
>  
>  
>>Can you confront that possibility?  
>>  
>> I agree philosophically about the use of psychiatric drugs on children  
>  
>  
>>(and adults for that matter), but broad generalities are just not at all  
>  
>  
>>persuasive or helpful.  
>>  
>>Peace,  
>>  
>>Sarah(tonin re-uptake inhibitor)  
>>  
>>  
>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478b7089@linux...  
>>  
>>>Sarah, It's hard to confront evil. Sometimes evil people smile and laugh  
>  
>  
>>>and seem like,they are your friend. But truly evil actions are not done  
>  
> by  
>

>>>accident. Can you think of any word other than "evil" for drugging 8  
>>>million helpless and innocent children with a harmful and very powerful  
>  
>  
>>>narcotic? It is NO ACCIDENT and it is VERY EVIL.  
>>>  
>>>Confront it.  
>>>  
>>>Sarah wrote:  
>>>  
>>>>Now, settle down, Bill . . . don't go all Tom Cruise on us. "Battlefield  
>  
>  
>>>>Earth" was just a book and a movie . . . the psych-iatrists/ologists  
>  
> are  
>  
>>>>not evil aliens from another galaxy . . . or ARE they? (dunt dunt  
>>>>duuunnnnn . . . ) Psychs . . . Psychlos . . . hmmm.  
>>>>  
>>>>I admire that Scientology usually demands specifics and personal  
>>>>observation, but when it comes to psychiatry, it's all broad  
>>>>generalities. I know a fair number of psychs through my job, and if  
>>>>there's an evil conspiracy to ruin humanity, I think someone forgot to  
>  
>  
>>>>clue them in. Now, the pharmaceutical companies . . . that's another  
>  
>  
>>>>story.  
>>>>  
>>>>But thanks for the nice compliment. I should tell you, though, that  
>  
> I'm  
>  
>>>>not particularly young, and the fact that I can count spilled toothpicks  
>  
>  
>>>>at a glance doesn't make me bright.  
>>>>  
>>>>I'm an excellent driver.  
>>>>  
>>>>S  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message  
>>>>news:478a535f\$1@linux...  
>>>>

>>>>Well done, class! Your answers are all correct, but as usual our bright  
>  
>  
>>>>young lady is most astute. In the '60s psychiatry started a campaign  
>  
> to  
>  
>>>>influence the schools and school systems and the teaching colleges in  
>  
> a  
>  
>>>>big way.  
>>>>  
>>>>In the '50s at an annual convention, the head of American Psychiatric  
>  
>  
>>>>Association publicly declared their new goal of creating a "Value  
>>>>Neutral Society". Their aim was to blur and eradicate the distinction  
>  
>  
>>>>between right and wrong.  
>>>>  
>>>>They knew that the sure way to change society was by influencing the  
>  
>  
>>>>children. Psychiatrists have gradually introduced to our schools the  
>  
>  
>>>>mass drugging of children and even infants (approximately 8 million  
>  
> on  
>  
>>>>Ritalin type drugs), Outcome Based Education (doesn't matter how much  
>  
> or  
>  
>>>>how well children learn, just that they felt good about it) and the  
>  
> rest  
>  
>>>>of their psychobabble notions of how people should think and behave.  
>>>>  
>>>>Who was the most influential proponent of the "drug culture"? Not  
>>>>coincidentally, a psychologist and college professor, Dr. Timothy Leary.  
>>>>  
>>>>When you hear someone derided for being "judgmental", that is the  
>>>>influence of psychiatric double speak. Smart, ethical people used to  
>

> be  
>  
>>>>>regarded as showing "good judgment", but now that positive attribute  
>  
> of  
>  
>>>>>being able to rightly judge people and situations has been slyly skewed  
>  
>  
>>>>>into a bad thing. I'm sure you have noticed other examples of how  
>>>>>illogical psychiatric concepts have replaced good reasoning.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>When you look around our society and see the delineation between right  
>  
>  
>>>>>and wrong, logical and illogical, smart and stupid being blurred it  
>  
> is  
>  
>>>>>no accident. Look to the only group who has for over 50 years had that  
>  
>  
>>>>>as their stated aim: psychiatry and psychology.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>We can fix our schools but we must first recognize the source of the  
>  
>  
>>>>>problem and erase its influence or it will only get worse. Our children  
>  
>  
>>>>>are our responsibility.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>rick wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>>yup, a bunch of egotistical numbnuts. it astounds me the level of  
>  
> non  
>  
>>>>>>educated college graduates we're churning out...with pride.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>On Sun, 13 Jan 2008 01:29:11 -0800, "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com>  
>>>>>>>wrote:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>I think you got it, Don. Psychologists pretending to know something  
>



>  
>>>>>>decided that discipline and competition were harmful to children's  
>>>>>>mental health.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>S  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4789a15e\$1@linux...  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>Self esteem replaced achievement.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>  
>

---