
Subject: ADC-Why not use Faderport with FXexpansion?
Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Sat, 26 Apr 2008 14:47:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Instead of having an application built for Paris, why not just use Faderport in a wrapper? I must be missing something, and it wouldn't be the first time.....:)

Subject: Re: ADC-Why not use Faderport with FXexpansion?
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 26 Apr 2008 21:01:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is that the presonus Faderport?

"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote in message news:48134065\$1@linux...

>
> Instead of having an application built for Paris, why not just use
> Faderport
> in a wrapper? I must be missing something, and it wouldn't be the first
> time.....:)

Subject: Re: ADC-Why not use Faderport with FXexpansion?
Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Sat, 26 Apr 2008 23:48:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Soory, I meant FaderWorks. Sometimes the fingers work faster than the brain...

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@rogers.com> wrote:

>is that the presonus Faderport?

>

>

>"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote in message >news:48134065\$1@linux...

>>

>> Instead of having an application built for Paris, why not just use

>> Faderport

>> in a wrapper? I must be missing something, and it wouldn't be the first

>> time.....:)

>

>
