
Subject: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Thu, 22 May 2008 20:47:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.vmware.com/products/fusion/>

Seems like a good way to do everything with one machine.

Comments?

thanks

DC

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [JeffH](#) on Thu, 22 May 2008 22:39:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC wrote:

> <http://www.vmware.com/products/fusion/>
>
> Seems like a good way to do everything with one machine.
>
>
> Comments?
>
> thanks
>
> DC
Wonder how it does with latency.

JH

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 22 May 2008 23:01:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Never used that product exactly, but know VMWare pretty well. Nice app, no problems on XP, some gotchas on linux boxes, but there are decent workarounds. However, the things that I think set it apart are really server side stuff, automated failovers, fault tolerance, snapshotting, etc. For desktop stuff at home I use Virtualbox, which is available for OS X. Again, can't speak to it's stability/usability on other platforms but it's how I have a little slice of Debian even on my XP audio machines.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
><http://www.vmware.com/products/fusion/>
>
>Seems like a good way to do everything with one machine.
>
>
>Comments?
>
>thanks
>
>DC

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 23 May 2008 00:07:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What I want to do with it is run Autocad on a new Mac Pro (on XP of course) while still using a bunch of Mac apps .

The Apple tech guy actually recommended it highly for this sort of thing. No audio uses, just design work. I have heard that Autodesk may come out with an Autocad version for macs, but I am sure they won't until I buy XP, and Fusion, and debug it, so I might as well get started...

grrrr

Another thing I wonder about is whether XP running under fusion is still subject to all the malware and viruses that a PC is? I could not get an answer on this on the VM site. Waddya think?

thanks

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Never used that product exactly, but know VMWare pretty well. Nice app, no
>problems on XP, some gotchas on linux boxes, but there are decent workarounds.

>However, the things that I think set it apart are really server side stuff,
>automated failovers, fault tolerance, snapshotting, etc. For desktop stuff
>at home I use Virtualbox, which is available for OS X. Again, can't speak
>to it's stability/usability on other platforms but it's how I have a little
>slice of Debian even on my XP audio machines.

>

>TCB

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>><http://www.vmware.com/products/fusion/>

>>

>>Seems like a good way to do everything with one machine.

>>

>>

>>Comments?

>>

>>thanks

>>

>>DC

>

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 23 May 2008 00:08:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jeff Hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:

>Wonder how it does with latency.

I would not run PC audio apps inside Fusion. Any audio I do will be Mac based only so it shouldn't be an issue.

DC

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 23 May 2008 01:08:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Again, I haven't used this particular product at all, so I can't say for sure. I like Virtualbox, because it's free (as in both speech and beer, though Sun bought it and they're still getting their heads around free as in speech, but they're getting closer I think), it works, it has a very small footprint and it likes Debian. My guess is, and again, I can't say this for sure, at

the desktop level the advantage of VMWare's commercial product will be integrating with the host OS. The clipboard will work better, that kind of thing. That's not a huge deal to me, but might be for you.

As an example, I'm writing this email from my DJ/Live performance laptop. It sits in my TV room so I can play with stuff during the NBA finals. I've been doing a LOT of database coding at work lately, and my preferred dev tools are PostgreSQL and SQL Explorer in Eclipse. Well, I don't want a bunch of java shit and development tools running in the background and hogging memory when I'm making music. So, at the cost of a few GB of disk space I can have Debian, Postgres, and Eclipse all cheerfully running _when I want them to_ on my music laptop. For me Virtualbox does all of that swimmingly, but I don't need to copy and paste Autocad output into Photoshop on the host OS. You might.

As far as malware and viruses, you're VM will be exactly as likely to have problems as an actual hardware XP box. As I always say, if you don't click on email attachments, keep the XP firewall on, and don't go to porn sites, you're good on that front 99% of the time.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>What I want to do with it is run Autocad on a new Mac Pro
>(on XP of course) while still using a bunch of Mac apps .
>
>The Apple tech guy actually recommended it highly for this
>sort of thing. No audio uses, just design work. I have heard
>that Autodesk may come out with an Autocad version for
>macs, but I am sure they won't until I buy XP, and Fusion,
>and debug it, so I might as well get started...

>
>grrrr

>
>Another thing I wonder about is whether XP running
>under fusion is still subject to all the malware and viruses
>that a PC is? I could not get an answer on this on the
>VM site. Waddya think?

>
>
>thanks

>
>DC

>
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Never used that product exactly, but know VMWare pretty well. Nice app,
>no
>>problems on XP, some gotchas on linux boxes, but there are decent workarounds.
>>However, the things that I think set it apart are really server side stuff,
>>automated failovers, fault tolerance, snapshotting, etc. For desktop stuff
>>at home I use Virtualbox, which is available for OS X. Again, can't speak
>>to it's stability/usability on other platforms but it's how I have a little
>>slice of Debian even on my XP audio machines.
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>><http://www.vmware.com/products/fusion/>
>>>
>>>Seems like a good way to do everything with one machine.
>>>
>>>
>>>Comments?
>>>
>>>thanks
>>>
>>>DC
>>
>

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 23 May 2008 05:14:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>For me Virtualbox does all of that swimmingly,
>but I don't need to copy and paste Autocad output into Photoshop on the
host
>OS. You might.

Current Autocad versions generate nice PDF's so if I get trouble with
overloading the clipboard (which I have heard is not a problem with at
least 4G ram) then I could always drop the pdf into PS.

>As far as malware and viruses, you're VM will be exactly as likely to have
>problems as an actual hardware XP box. As I always say, if you don't click
>on email attachments, keep the XP firewall on, and don't go to porn sites,
>you're good on that front 99% of the time.

Oh swell, so I can turn a Mac into all the worst sort of of PC buggery
AND it costs 3K.

Mac guys are Nutz.

DC

thanks for the info!

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Sat, 24 May 2008 17:15:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Don,

Slow down :-) The great thing about a VM is that you don't have to turn the
'virtual' network card on at all. It's definitely possible to run XP networkless
on a MAC.

Chuck

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>>For me Virtualbox does all of that swimmingly,

>>but I don't need to copy and paste Autocad output into Photoshop on the

>host

>>OS. You might.

>

>Current Autocad versions generate nice PDF's so if I get trouble with

>overloading the clipboard (which I have heard is not a problem with at

>least 4G ram) then I could always drop the pdf into PS.

>

>

>>As far as malware and viruses, you're VM will be exactly as likely to have

>>problems as an actual hardware XP box. As I always say, if you don't click

>>on email attachments, keep the XP firewall on, and don't go to porn sites,

>>you're good on that front 99% of the time.

>

>

>Oh swell, so I can turn a Mac into all the worst sort of of PC buggery

>AND it costs 3K.

>

>Mac guys are Nutz.

>

>DC

>
>thanks for the info!

Subject: Re: VM Ware Fusion - anyone use it?
Posted by [DC](#) on Sun, 25 May 2008 03:18:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So, I could have the Mac access the net, but not the PC VM?

That would be cool indeed.

I would need to access it now and then for app and OS updates, but if I could never use a browser or mail app in XP land I would be very happy.

Am I on the right track?

thanks

DC

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
>Hey Don,
>
>Slow down :-) The great thing about a VM is that you don't have to turn
the
>'virtual' network card on at all. It's definitely possible to run XP networkless
>on a MAC.
>
>Chuck
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>
>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>>For me Virtualbox does all of that swimmingly,
>>>but I don't need to copy and paste Autocad output into Photoshop on the
>>host
>>>OS. You might.
>>
>>Current Autocad versions generate nice PDF's so if I get trouble with
>>overloading the clipboard (which I have heard is not a problem with at
>>least 4G ram) then I could always drop the pdf into PS.
>>
>>
>>>As far as malware and viruses, you're VM will be exactly as likely to

have

>>>problems as an actual hardware XP box. As I always say, if you don't click
>>>on email attachments, keep the XP firewall on, and don't go to porn sites,
>>>you're good on that front 99% of the time.

>>

>>

>>Oh swell, so I can turn a Mac into all the worst sort of of PC buggery

>>AND it costs 3K.

>>

>>Mac guys are Nutz.

>>

>>DC

>>

>>thanks for the info!

>
