
Subject: just out of curiosity
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:03:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >Here's where I placed. Hope the graph shows up.

economic left/right: -1.63

social libertarian/authoritarian: -4.82

Left authoritarian

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [HeavyD](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:35:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://politicalcompass.org>

>>

>> I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the
>> social scale and to the left on the economic scale

>>

>>

>

>

>"If economic globalisation is inevitable....."

This is asinine.....

Sorry I don't buy that it is so to pursue this would be to buy into the
spin.

"I'd always support my country, whether it was right or wrong"

According to what criteria?

*No one chooses his or her country of birth, so it's foolish to be proud of

it.*

Idiotic in it's assumption that country

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity

Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:37:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of origin is only that, without any
cultural values that might set it apart, and seem to be preferable to the
cultural values of others*

Our race has many superior qualities, compared with other races

I'd say this is an honest question and my answer would be no.

The enemy of my enemy is my friend.

Don't insult me with absolutistic choices in this, please. It's entirely
possible that this could be yes, or no. It's also possible that the friend
of my friend could be my enemy.....or not.

Military action that defies international law is sometimes justified.

I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it, there is no
international law. International law would imply a viable consensus of what
that means and an international willingness to enforce it first and ask
questions later rather than sit around and dicker about it while millions
of people die while awaiting the outcome of the debate.

There is now a worrying fusion of information and entertainment.

How about a cho

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity

Posted by [t.rossi](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 19:58:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

> *No one chooses his or her country of birth, so it's foolish to be proud

> of

> it.*

>

> Idiotic in it's assumption that country of origin is only that, without any

> cultural values that might set it apart, and seem to be preferable to the
> cultural values of others*
>
> *Our race has many superior qualities, compared with other races*
>
> I'd say this is an honest question and my answer would be no.
>
> *The enemy of my enemy is my friend.*
>
> Don't insult me with absolutistic choices in this, please. It's entirely
> possible that this could be yes, or no. It's also possible that the friend
> of my friend could be my enemy.....or not.
>
> *Military action that defies international law is sometimes justified.*
>
> I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it, there is
> no
> international law. International law would imply a viable consensus of
> what
> that means and an international willingness to enforce it f

File Attachments

1) [cross.gif](#), downloaded 60 times



2) [middle_cross.gif](#), downloaded 68 times



3) [centre_cross.gif](#), downloaded 61 times



4) [xy_centre_cross.gif](#), downloaded 59 times



5) [target_cross.gif](#), downloaded 68 times



Subject: Re: just out of curiosity

Posted by [t.rossi](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 20:05:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

irst and ask

> questions later rather than sit around and dicker about tit while millions
> of people die while awaiting the outcome of the debate.
>

```
> *There is now a worrying fusion of information and entertainment.*  
>  
> How about a choice that says, "yes, if you are so stupid that you cannot  
> differentiate between ther two" I'd check that one in a heartbeat.  
>  
> ;o)  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> "justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message  
> news:42d565a7$1@linux...  
>> Im not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few  
>> questions....  
>>  
>> http://politicalcompass.org  
>>  
>> I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the  
>> social scale and to the left on the economic scale  
>>  
>>  
>  
>"Tony Benson" <t o n y@s t a n d i n g h a m p t o n.c o m> wrote in message  
news:42d587a8@linux...  
> Most of the questions are definitely extremely vague or leave a lot up to  
> interpretation, but I went a long anyway. It seems I'm .5 to the left and  
> 2.5 to the Libertarian. I would have guessed myself a little more to the  
> right, but I suspect the problem to be the wording of the questions and my  
> attempt to answer putting aside any degree of clarification.  
>  
> Take the abortion question:  
>  
> Abortion, when the woman's life is not threatened, should always be  
> illegal.  
>  
> This wording doesn't have anything to do with how I feel "morally" about  
> abortion. I feel abortion is morally wrong, but I'm not prepared just yet  
> to say that those who feel differently should be thrown in jail. Nor does  
> it address how I feel about my fiscal responsibility to fund
```

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 20:08:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

abortion

> clinics.

>

> Now if the question had said:

>

> Do you think a portion of your tax dollars should go towards funding
> abortion clinics so people who either don't/won't use birth control or in
> the event their birth control fails can feel assured that they won't have
> any responsibility for their actions?

>

> I would have said I strongly disagree.

>

> You know the old saying "Don't do the crime, if you can't do the time"?
> That basically sums up my feelings about many things. Unwise action seems
> easy for a lot of people, but when things go wrong, they feel entitled to
> be bailed out by society or the government. Sorry, now I'm ranting.

totally agree. on the abortion thing, the woman's life threatened seemed
like a reasonable loophole so I think I said agree."Neil" <OIUOI@OI.com> wrote:

>

>"But Kimmers, how can you bitch
>about this, mate? After all, you just got a nice pay increase
>yesterday!")

Yes, that's exactly what I'm afraid of...

Mind you I'm actually paying for the car anyhow, so if they take it back
I get even more money, so it's not all bad.

Cheers,

Kim.I'll go in search of both of these. Thanks Jamie and Neil.

Cheers,

Kim.

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>Neil, you have good taste. Fat Tire is a fine Colorado beer from New
>Belgium Brewery in Fort Collins.

>http://www.newbelgium.com/beers_ft.php

>

>Their neighbor brewery, Odell, makes a really excellent beer called 90
>shilling. Highly recommended if you can find it in your locale.

>http://www.odellbrewing.com/brew_90.htm

>

>Kim, congrats!
>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie K
> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>
>
>
>Neil wrote:
>> "Kim" <h

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 20:23:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

iddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Anybody got a recommendation of a first class beer I should buy a slab
(case)
>>
>> of to celebrate? :o)
>>
>> I've recently been introduced to Fat Tire Ale, and it's pretty
>> good... somewhat reminiscent of Guinness stout in taste, but
>> not as heavy or dark. Give it a try if they carry it down there.
>> Congrats on the raise! (TODAY is when they'll give you the
>> news about the company car: "But Kimmers, how can you bitch
>> about this, mate? After all, you just got a nice pay increase
>> yesterday!")
>>
>> :) "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>Well, he doesn't have a DAC-1 yet and I don't see him around here so I'm
>gettin' concerned.

Hehe, well you'll be releived to hear that I already had a DAC-1 savings
plan

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 21:02:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

, and that a portion of this rise will be going in to further boost that
plan. Come this time next year I think it's a cert. :o)

Cheers,
Kim.
http://www.boston.com/ae/food/gallery/Readers_grilling?pg=6Mmm, just checked the sites.
They sound tasty! :o) Don't know what my chances
are of finding them, but it does happen that not far up the road from work
here is a liquor store which

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 21:21:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

claims to have the largest range of beer in
Melbourne, so we'll see. :o)

Cheers,
Kim.

"Kim" <hidensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>I'll go in search of both of these. Thanks Jamie and Neil.

>

>Cheers,

>Kim.

>

>Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [Tony Benson](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 21:28:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>Neil, you have good taste. Fat Tire is a fine Colorado beer from New

>>Belgium Brewery in Fort Collins.

>>http://www.newbelgium.com/beers_ft.php

>>

>>Their neighbor brewery, Odell, makes a really excellent beer called 90

>>shilling. Highly recommended if you can find it in your locale.

>>http://www.odellbrewing.com/brew_90.htm

>>

>>Kim, congrats!

>>

>>Cheers,

>> -Jamie K

>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>>
>>Neil wrote:
>>> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Anybody got a recommendation of a first class beer I should buy a slab
>(case)
>>>
>>> of to celebrate? :o)
>>>
>>> I've recently been introduced to Fat Tire Ale, and it's pretty
>>> good... somewhat reminiscent of Guinness stout in taste, but
>>> not as heavy or dark. Give it a try if they carry it down there.
>>> Congrats on the raise! (TODAY is when they'll give you the
>>> news about the company car: "But Kimmers, how can you bitch
>>> about this, mate? After all, you just got a nice pay increase
>>> yesterday!")
>>>
>>> :)
>"justcron" <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>http://www.boston.com/ae/food/gallery/Readers_grilling?pg=6
>
Hehe. I'm surprised they didn't photoshop it out.

So I guess now you're famous. ;o)

Cheers,

Kim.with all the vitriolic comments from both sides of an argument, is it any wonder we all will eventually resort to extreme measures. i'll be the first to publicly admit that i would if given the chance, shoot any christian / muslim, (or what ever religion they are) who will threaten me because they'll never be satisfied that i have not met their idea of the righteousness. really, is it just me, or are criminals, criminals...unless in their mind their interpretation of god is on their side is the right one to have? you know, god is a great moral idea, that is until you throw people into the equation...then it sucks because we suck...always have, always will.

as an ex carpenter i still have access to wood, nails and other stuff...go for it.

;o)I'm going to rewrite the Bible. We can call it the Mr. Simplicity version. I figure since some other human wrote the first one and no one can agree on what it means, and he's just a dude like me, my spin oughta' be cool too. When you read about the contraption that God builds in order to obliterate

Sodom and Gomorrah, a few things may sound familiar.....

;oP

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:I97bd1l0kvm5lb0rt53r23v0t4m3l59p1k@4ax.com...
> with all the vitriolic comments from both sides of an argument, is it
> any wonder we all will eventually resort to extreme measures. i'll be
> the first to publicly admit that i would if given the chance, shoot
> any christian / muslim, (or what ever religion they are) who will
> threaten me because they'll never be satisfied that i have not met
> their idea of the righteousness. really, is it just me, or are
> criminals, criminals...unless in their mind their interpretation of
> god is on their side is the right one to have? you know, god is a
> great moral idea, that is until you throw people into the
> equation...then it sucks because we suck...always have, always will.
>
> as an ex carpenter i still have access to wood, nails and other
> stuff...go for it.
>
> ;o)what a surprise...middle of the road...err...reason prevails...yeay

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 21:39:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

r />
me...i'll shoot (if i had bullets for my last un stolen gun.
actually, it was stolen then returned 10 years later by the cops who
then demanded i show proof of ownership after they called me...go
figure) anyone trying to kill me.

On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:03:58 -0400, "justcron"
<justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

>Im not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few
>questions....
>
><http://politicalcompass.org>
>
>I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the
>social scale and to the left on the economic scale
>you're a jackass if you get a gun stolen....

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bb9bd11banqs7psa2acul1pg6q76kfo4vm@4ax.com...
> what a surprise...middle of the road...err...reason prevails...yeay

> me...i'll shoot (if i had bullets for my last un stolen gun.
> actually, it was stolen then returned 10 years later by the cops who
> then demanded i show proof of ownership after they called me...go
> figure) anyone trying to kill me.
>
> On Wed, 13 Jul 2005 15:03:58 -0400, "justcron"
> <justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>
>>Im not sure how valid this test is, but if you're willing to answer a few
>>questions....
>>
>><http://politicalcompass.org>
>>
>>I placed right near Ghandi and Nelson Mandela, almost dead center on the
>>social scale and t

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 23:39:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of my friend could be my enemy.....or not.
>
> *Military action that defies international law is sometimes justified.*
>
> I've got news for you. No matter how bad you want to believe it, there is
> no
> international law. International law would imply a viable concensus of
> what
> that means and an international willingness to enforce it first and ask
> questions later rather than sit around and dicker about tit while millions
> of people die while awaiting the outcome of the debate.
>
> *There is now a worrying fusion of information and entertainment.*
>
> How about a choice that says, "yes, if you are so stupid that you cannot
> differentiate between ther two" I'd check that one in a heartbeat.
>
> ;o)
>
>

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [justcron](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2005 23:43:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Spappy" <Spappy122@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I am a long time Paris user but I have never actually set up the MEC and

>software on a computer myself. Now I installed all the plugs and software.

I

>know I have the updates drivers for XP. And the software runs fine. But when

>I open a project I get the "I/O will not work in this configuration of

>Paris" message. Now I get that message when the mec is powered off and the

>software boots. But in this case the mes is on and I see the lights flash as

>usual but I still get the message.

>

>I have,

>

>I tried different PCI slots. I have currently have the EDS in slot 2 and irq

>9

>

>So nothing yet. Can one of you seasoned gets please help me out.

>

>Running on a Pentium III / 550 with 256MB Ram. (I know... give me some time

>to save some more money)

>

>I also need an 8 in card....

>

>Anyhow help would be appreciated!!!!

>

>Spappy

>

>

>

>Yes! Personal studio in NE! Hi there!

"Mark McDermott" <mark@stateofwail.com> wrote in message
news:426804d3\$1@linux...

>

> Was wondering if any of you fine folks are located in my neck of the woods?

>

> Have a great day!

>

> MarkThat's just sad.

"Michael Yanoska" <yanoska@cox.net> wrote in message
news:42595563\$1@linux...

>
> Apparently this is what has Edmund's interest lately:
>
> <http://www.codecomments.com/archive241-2004-6-222597.html> see you guys haven't lost your sense of humor.

Sorry, don't mean to be rude, Mike.

"Mike Audet" <mike@FSPAMmikeaudet.com> wrote in message
news:429229f6\$1@linux...


>
> Hi All,
>
> I just called ID to see if the letter we sent arrived, and it did. They
> thanked us for it, and they seemed to really appreciate receiving it. I
> was told, with a regretful tone, that there was nothing they could do for
> us now, but I think it was a positive step in rebuilding relations with
them,
> and I am more hopeful than before.
>
> Thanks to everyone who lent their name to this. We've taken a good,
positive
> s

File Attachments

1) [Graph.GIF](#), downloaded 61 times

Economic Left/Right: 0.63

Social Libertarian/Authoritarian: -2.31



Subject: Re: just out of curiosity

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 01:10:55 GMT

t we now plan to get together once every
> six
> >> weeks somewhere in the Portland/Vancouver area. All are invited.
> >>
> >> I found the time we spent to be very valuable and I'm looking forward to
> >> seeing Wes's completed studio in mid-June.
> >>
> >> Highly recommend that PARIS folks in other cities give this a try as it
> > was
> >> very cool.
> >>
> >> Have a great day!
> >>
> >> Mark
> >
> Nice to see old names still around, plus a few names I don't recognize.

I used to hang out here, like a bit over 2 years ago. Still using PARIS
(3.0) and still enjoying it!

Just wanted to drop in and say hi, did a bunch of catch-up. This is still a
great community, I can see, and you're all to be commended! :) Sweet dude.. but I caught myself
singing *c'mon get happ-eee* for some
reason.
AA

"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in messag

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 09:15:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ed me just yesterday:
>
> A guy walks into the local welfare office, marches straight up
> to the counter and says: "Hi... you know, I just HATE drawing
> welfare. I would really rather have a job."
> The social worker behind the counter says: "Your timing is
> excellent. We just got a job opening from a very wealthy old
> man who wants a chauffeur/bodyguard for his nymphomaniac
> daughter. You'll have to drive around in his Mercedes, but
> he'll supply all of your clothes. Because of the long hours,
> meals will be provided. You'll be expected to escort her on
> her overseas holiday trips. You will have to satisfy her
> sexual urges. You'll have a two-bedroom apartment above the

> garage. The starting salary is \$200,000 a year".
> The guy says: "You're bull shitting me!"
> Social worker says: "Yeah, well, you started it."
>
> :D
>
> Jeff hoover <jkhoover@excite.com> wrote:
>>But let's not forget the "evil" the past century where agricultural
>>experts were paid to "not grow" their crops. I still remember the
>>pictures in 20th Century History of milk being dumped all over the place
>
>>because of an over supply....WAIT!!!! THIS COULD WORK!!!
>>
>>Since so many people on this newsgroup are finding themselves "squeezed"
>
>>in the recording industry as supply outstrips demand, maybe we can
>>become the new "wheat farmers" of the 21st century. We can claim that
>>the overabundance of media producing methods is destroying our
>>livelihood...we can continue to produce and use our equipment...even buy
>
>>new equipment we'd "die without ;-)...but we can dump the product in the
>
>>ash can...frankly, haven't you wanted to do that a couple times
>>anyway...and have Uncle Sam cut us a check for our lack of
>>productivity...maybe even let the studio lie "fallow" for a year (so the
>
>>aura of fertility can return) and take a long trip to the
>>Caribbean...might b

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [tubeguru](#) on Thu, 14 Jul 2005 23:19:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of my points...

- about germany and the possibility that it might NOT have been a case of complete sucky ungratefulness but a case of strong disagreement about the second iraq war (even more likely since germany wasnt exactly the only nation that didnt agree)? nothing.

- the stuff i say that im interested in instead of the useless standard bullshit? israel, rabin anyone? anyone care to loose a word about the only man that ever effectively reduced terror in israel? nada.

instead, theres this:

"Neil" <>Derek: "Hello, you've reached Derek the Psychic - I know what
>you're thinking before you even think it, how may I help you?"
>Caller: "If you know what I'm thinking, why do you need to ask
>how you may help me?"

hahaha. i didnt get it, but im sure it was funny. funny is good. thanks."derek"
<derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:

>your statement completely ignores my very point, the
>early nineties, mr. rabin, the one point in history
>where they were as close to solving the conflict as they were
>never before and after. arafat actually had power because he could
>show his people results, so he could actually effectively
>prevent terror, and hear hear, terror was on a all time low.

right Derek. Everyone misses the point except you...

The only problem with your theory is the fact that Arafat was one of
the chief terrorists, utterly corrupt, and a major part of the problem.

What was wrong, exactly with the plan proposed by Clinton?

>oh, and about the option to just nuke the palestinans to hell,
>well im sure the jewish seddlers would have been very amused,
>and of course isreal wouldnt mind poisoning their own country
>by dropping a couple of nukes in the direct neighbourhood
>of their sacred city and land.

Clever words, but it is possible to expel them before nuking them
isn't it? Seems do-able. You send them all to Syria, and nuke the
whole lot of them.

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [wmarkwilson](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 04:59:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

all in the June/July time frame there, so
we'd have been stuck trying to maneuver in well-soaked earth,
while the Autobahns & bridges on West German side would have
been crammed with whatever civilians weren't partying in Spain
trying to get out towards the west, and the Autobahns coming
from the east would have been stuffed full of Russian tanks &
BMP's pinning us against the rivers.

Assuming a completely conventional war, we still wouldn't have lost the whole war, had one occurred... we had superior air power, for example, but that takes time to scramble & mobilize & assist in killing thousands of tanks, and then rearm & refuel to do more of the same, but we would have lost most of our ground forces in Europe, and half of what was West Germany would have been overrun before we could have beaten them back, that's for sure.

It's a damn good thing that never happened. I dunno how many of you reading this actually realize to this extent what the deal really was, but that's what would've occurred. A LOT of Americans knew this same shit that I just typed, but were ready to be there for our allies, and I guess that's what pisses me off sometimes when I hear someone that we were ready & willing to deal with (& die in) the above scenario in order to defend talk shit about us... Derek, I don't mean you specifically, I'm just talking in general terms now.

Anyway, I guess I'm done for now... made my points, tired of typing, not going to change anyone's mind if they've already got it made up anyway. LOL

Neil

>
>
>
>"Neil" <OIUUI@OIU.com> wrote:
>>
>>"derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>nah, i didnt ignore it.
>>>just as you i said that i believe that its a little of everything. of course
>>>that includes, on behalf of all american
>>>forces, you. thank you neil. your partially responsible for
>>>the end of the cold war. since i, on behalf of the deescalation
>>>politics of willy brandt, am also responsible and
>>>the US also wanted the cold war to end, will you thank
>>>me too now?
>>
>>Yes, in fact, I will... thank you & your countrymen for your

>>hospitality while I was over there. Most of the Germans I met
>>were pretty cool folks - some were total shithheads & hated
>>Americans.. I choose not to stereotype the entire population
>>based on those few. Thanks for putting up with our lame
>>attempts to gain at least some semblance of fluency in your

Subject: Re: just out of curiosity
Posted by [justcron](#) on Fri, 15 Jul 2005 11:18:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e talking about life and death. If anyone is
>>> still
>>> heated... I know I've been disrespectful probably a hundred times over
>>> the
>>> years... I'm sorry.
>>>
>>> Anyway, I thought it was a good discussion.
>>>
>>>
>"DC" <dcicchetti@urs2.net> wrote in message [news:42d7734f\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:42d7734f$1@linux...)
>
> "derek" <derekvonkrogh@gmx.net> wrote:
>
>>yes, absolutely. talk about it all as you will, rabin remains
>>the ONLY person to ever effectively reduce the terror plague down
>>there. you obviously missed the part where i stressed
>>that i do acknowledge that both approaches, both the
>>deescalation
>>one and the brute force one can lead to success.
>>thats what i wrote. nothing about cowboys or some such,
>>thats only the stuff you projected into my words, because
>>im a (insert yet another dumbass euro stereotype here).
>
> I am not sold on Rabin as the peacemaker in the middle east.
> Maybe for a while at best.

Yeah up until an Israeli shot him!

> What the hell do I care about the palestinian's point of view?

I'll just remind you of what you said in another thread:

> Making anyone the devil is stupid because the more dangerous
> they are, the more you must truly understand them, as opposed
> to making them a cardboard cutout of evil."Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message
[news:42d7521a\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:42d7521a$1@linux...)
>

> Germaine (NOT "Jackson" lol) to your post:
> For the record... I'm all for a peaceful solution; however (and
> let's now bring this over to a personal level where more people
> may be able to understand it rather than conceptualize it), if
> it's apparent that the guy on the opposite side of the ring
> from you does not want to take off his gloves, but would rather
> beat the shit out of you until you are dead, you have EXACTLY
> two choices:
>
> 1.) get beaten until you are dead.
> 2.) beat the shit out of him until he is.
>
> What would you do?
>
> This is pretty fucking simple to me.
>
> Neil
>

I'm in total agreement here Neil the only problem with your scenario is, after you've done the first guy....there are 1000 more right behind him and half of the paying audience who came to watch this smack down is booing you cause they think you were a little hard on his sorry ass.

This is a religious war being waged by ignorant extremists who for whatever reason have decided we're the enemy and as we all know once you bring religion into the mix common sense and rational behavior go right out the window.

My few is nail the fuckers when you can find them, and make life absolutely miserable for those who are helping them.

More shit has been done in the name of God and quite frankly I think she's a tad pissed right about now.

Don

>
> "W. Mark Wilson" <
