

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [D-unit](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 21:34:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message

>

> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs  
> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

I've just try to be more carefull about leaving lights on in the house  
that arent being used at night.

> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.

This is a biggie. If everyone would do this, there's no telling how much  
energy we'll save. I hardly ever go out and not spot someone with underinflated  
tires.

>

> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.

This too is a biggie. No sense in air conditioning the outdoors. Eveyone should  
check around doors, windows for leaks and install weather stripping.

db

> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.

>

> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.

>

> Chuck

>

>

>

>

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 21:53:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Go read my "Proposed royalty rate changes" thread...there's a real cause and effect issue to this group...so far total responses three

Only thing we have to do at our house is replace the windows...that's sheduled this year.

Sorry if the chatter bothers you...but if you don't like it, don't read it...seemed to work with my Royalties thread

;-)

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8d495\$1@linux...

>

> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.

> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?

> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what

> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut

> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:

>

> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the

> bulbs

> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

>

> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.

>

> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet

> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5

> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of

> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.

>

> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings

> and cost per year of operation.

>

> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.

>

> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.

>

> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.

>

> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these

> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.

>

> Chuck

>

>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:00:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But John, multiply that by 300,000,000 (just in the US).... pretty big numbers then.  
Add that to more humanity, fewer wars, less greed, and we might just get somewhere.

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:47a8d977\$1@linux...

>  
> i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight will  
> use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real way  
> to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this at  
> home piddly shit is meaningless to me.  
>  
> John

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:07:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've replaced all my lightbulbs, and installed motion lights as well as light-sensored l.e.d. nightlights (0.3 watt!). My old '77 van is a gas-guzzler, but I work mostly at home and I make a point to ride my motorcycles as much as possible. Regardless of the global warming issues - I do save money, and I \*really\* appreciate that the lightbulbs don't generate very much heat, especially in the summer.

rock on,  
-Carl

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8df82\$1@linux...

>  
> Don,  
>  
> Nothing bothers me, I was actually interested in what other folks are  
> doing.  
>  
> Chuck

>  
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:  
>>Go read my "Proposed royalty rate changes" thread...there's a real cause  
> and  
>>effect issue to this group...so far total responses three  
>>  
>>Only thing we have to do at our house is replace the windows...that's  
>>sheduled this year.  
>>  
>>Sorry if the chatter bothers you...but if you don't like it, don't read  
>  
>>it...seemed to work with my Royalties thread  
>>  
>>;-)  
>>  
>>  
>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8d495\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this  
>>> forum.  
>>> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of  
>>> waste?  
>>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
>>> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
>>> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>>>  
>>> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the  
>  
>>> bulbs  
>>> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>>>  
>>> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>>>  
>>> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>>> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the  
> 5  
>>> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons  
> of  
>>> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>>>  
>>> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
>>> and cost per year of operation.  
>>>  
>>> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>>>  
>>> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane  
>>> windows.  
>>>

>>> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>>>  
>>> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of  
>>> these  
>>> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>>>  
>>> Chuck  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:19:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good topic, Chuck. For me:  
-Work at home.  
-Drive less, walk more.  
-Walk to stores, post office, parks.  
-Efficient car (40-50mpg).  
-CF bulbs.  
-Energy star appliances.  
-Recycle.  
-Wind power electric subscriber.  
-Relatively efficient house.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)

chuck duffy wrote:

> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.  
> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?  
> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>  
> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs  
> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>  
> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>

> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5  
> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of  
> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>  
> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
> and cost per year of operation.  
>  
> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>  
> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>  
> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>  
> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>  
> Chuck  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:22:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

nope, not that smart Chuck

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8e226\$1@linux...  
>  
> OK Don,  
>  
> I read the post when you first made it. I followed the link. I read every  
> word in the thread. I was intrigued by the ideas. Are you the author of  
> the  
> thread? If so kudos, cause it was some truly well thought out 'deep'  
> thinking.  
>  
>  
> Chuck  
>  
> "Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:  
>>Go read my "Proposed royalty rate changes" thread...there's a real cause  
> and  
>>effect issue to this group...so far total responses three

>>  
>>Only thing we have to do at our house is replace the windows...that's  
>>sheduled this year.  
>>  
>>Sorry if the chatter bothers you...but if you don't like it, don't read  
>  
>>it...seemed to work with my Royalties thread  
>>  
>>;-)  
>>  
>>  
>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8d495\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this  
>>> forum.  
>>> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of  
>>> waste?  
>>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
>>> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
>>> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>>>  
>>> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the  
>  
>>> bulbs  
>>> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>>>  
>>> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>>>  
>>> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>>> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the  
> 5  
>>> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons  
> of  
>>> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>>>  
>>> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
>>> and cost per year of operation.  
>>>  
>>> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>>>  
>>> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane  
>>> windows.  
>>>  
>>> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>>>  
>>> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of  
>>> these  
>>> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.

>>>  
>>> Chuck  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:24:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:47a8df14\$1@linux...  
>  
> "John" <no@no.com> wrote:  
>>  
>> i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet  
>> flight will use more fuel than i ever will in my whole  
>> lifetime.  
>  
> Please use a more in-context example, such as: "just one big\  
> Al Gore-chartered jet flight...."  
>  
> :D

Speaking of Al Gore's private jet

I keed I keed

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:24:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message news:47a8dfdf\$1@linux...  
> Add that to more humanity, fewer wars, less greed, and we might just get  
> somewhere.

Wait.....more humanity???..... fewer war/less greed?

Isn't that an oxycontin?

;o)

---

---



Subject: SNR

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:26:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum. Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?

Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:

\* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

\* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.

\* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5 gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.

\* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings and cost per year of operation.

\* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.

\* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.

\* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.

Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.

Chuck

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 22:47:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight will use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real way to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this at home piddly shit is meaningless to me.

John

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 23:11:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>  
>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet  
>flight will use more fuel than i ever will in my whole  
>lifetime.

Please use a more in-context example, such as: "just one big\  
Al Gore-chartered jet flight...."

:D

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 23:13:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Don,

Nothing bothers me, I was actually interested in what other folks are doing.

Chuck

"Don Nafe" <dnafe@magma.ca> wrote:

>Go read my "Proposed royalty rate changes" thread...there's a real cause  
and

>effect issue to this group...so far total responses three

>

>Only thing we have to do at our house is replace the windows...that's

>sheduled this year.

>

>Sorry if the chatter bothers you...but if you don't like it, don't read

>it...seemed to work with my Royalties thread

>

>;-)

>

>

>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8d495\$1@linux...

>>

>> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.

>> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of

>> waste?

>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what

>> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut

>> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>>  
>> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the  
  
>> bulbs  
>> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>>  
>> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>>  
>> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the  
5  
>> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons  
of  
>> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>>  
>> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
>> and cost per year of operation.  
>>  
>> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>>  
>> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>>  
>> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>>  
>> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
>> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 23:14:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

So is it because you are printing money in the basement, or because you are a huge asshole?

Chuck

"John" <[no@no.com](mailto:no@no.com)> wrote:  
>

>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight will  
>use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real way  
>to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this  
at  
>home piddly shit is meaningless to me.  
>  
>John

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 23:24:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OK Don,

I read the post when you first made it. I followed the link. I read every word in the thread. I was intrigued by the ideas. Are you the author of the thread? If so kudos, cause it was some truly well thought out 'deep' thinking.

Chuck

"Don Nafe" <[dnafe@magma.ca](mailto:dnafe@magma.ca)> wrote:

>Go read my "Proposed royalty rate changes" thread...there's a real cause  
and  
>effect issue to this group...so far total responses three  
>  
>Only thing we have to do at our house is replace the windows...that's  
>sheduled this year.  
>  
>Sorry if the chatter bothers you...but if you don't like it, don't read

>it...seemed to work with my Royalties thread

>  
>;-)

>  
>  
>"chuck duffy" <[c@c.com](mailto:c@c.com)> wrote in message [news:47a8d495\\$1@linux...](news:47a8d495$1@linux...)

>>  
>> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.  
>> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of  
>> waste?  
>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
>> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
>> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>>  
>> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the

>> bulbs  
>> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>>  
>> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>>  
>> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the  
5  
>> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons  
of  
>> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>>  
>> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
>> and cost per year of operation.  
>>  
>> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>>  
>> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>>  
>> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>>  
>> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
>> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 00:07:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Could be either.

Your ideas are good ones, I do a fair number of them myself and I walk to work. Of course I'm a carbon emitter myself, so by walking I slightly increase carbon output but my only car is a 1966 Ford Thunderbird that gets about 12 MPG so I'm to the good.

That said, the military is a spectacular waster of energy and burns staggering amounts of some of the dirtiest fuels in the world. Minimizing that would be a good idea.

And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made

Reduce your Carbon Footprint  
KILL YOURSELF!

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
>So is it because you are printing money in the basement, or because you  
are  
>a huge asshole?

>  
>Chuck

>  
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>  
>>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight will  
>>use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real  
way  
>>to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this  
>at  
>>home piddly shit is meaningless to me.

>>  
>>John  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 00:35:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made  
>  
>Reduce your Carbon Footprint  
>KILL YOURSELF!

Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die, thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the environment. And don't even get me started on if your family decides to have you cremated!

lol

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 01:07:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thad,

My post wasn't directly about reducing my carbon footprint, or global warming, but to how to reduce monthly expenditures.

Chuck

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Could be either.

>

>Your ideas are good ones, I do a fair number of them myself and I walk to  
>work. Of course I'm a carbon emitter myself, so by walking I slightly increase  
>carbon output but my only car is a 1966 Ford Thunderbird that gets about  
>12 MPG so I'm to the good.

>

>That said, the military is a spectacular waster of energy and burns staggering  
>amounts of some of the dirtiest fuels in the world. Minimizing that would  
>be a good idea.

>

>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made

>

>Reduce your Carbon Footprint

>KILL YOURSELF!

>

>TCB

>

>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>

>>So is it because you are printing money in the basement, or because you  
>are

>>a huge asshole?

>>

>>Chuck

>>

>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight  
will

>>>use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real  
>way

>>>to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this  
>>at

>>>home piddly shit is meaningless to me.

>>>

>>>John  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 04:31:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kim wrote:

> This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:  
>  
> [http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx\\_montague\\_swiss\\_bike.htm](http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm)

Looks very cool, Kim! I've been tempted by a bionx setup as well, although on a different frame. Let us know what you think if you get it...

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 05:37:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nice idea Chuck. If we're moving into politics mode we might as well try and make a positive difference rather than focus on our own differences of opinion.

\* Things I'm Doing \*

- (\* House now on full green power (wind I think - or solar)
- (\* Most of my most used light bulbs are now low energy fluros
- (\* Taking a bus or riding to work instead of driving
- (\* Generally put serious thought in before using the car
- (\* Car and home gas emissions compensated through tree planting
- (\* Started a compost bin to reduce landfill and retain fertilizer.
- (\* The main form of house heating is firewood, which is the lowest CO2 emitter so long as it is regrown.
- (\* Car converted to LPG which is 40% lower on CO2 emissions.

In theory with the house on green power and my other emissions compensated my actual CO2 emissions should be very low, though I do believe we need people who are able to be doing "better than zero" emissions and indeed I'm yet to compensate for my emissions up to age 34 or so, so I've got much work to do. ;o)



\* Things I plan on doing \*

(\*) Buying an electric assisted push bike. Close to no emissions and very convenient (the one I want folds up!)

\* Things I would like to do but am struggling with \*

(\*) The house insulation thing is troublesome as I live in a rented place.

(\*) As above it's hard to install local solar power in a rented house.

(\*) I'd like to further reduce my landfill which tends to be higher than ideal due in part to the single person's "buy take away" thing plus not paying enough attention to landfill when making purchases

(\*) Generally buy less stuff and/or sell stuff I'm not using so that the effort and resources invested in the items in my house are not just sitting idle.

It can be both a struggle and rewarding to take action on things like this. When I look at the above I know I'm doing better than many, but worse than I need to. It can be tough to manage your own habits as well, and I often find of a night that I'll have too many lights on simply because of bad habits. I'm increasingly trying to use a fairly low light setup based around the low wattage bulbs but still regularly find I've left lights on unnecessarily.

I have worked hard on actually turning around the way I look at driving though. I own a 29yo car, which doesn't sound very enviro of me, but what it does is that it ensures I consider driving to be a privilege rather than an expectation. I love my car, and love to drive, but I only drive when I have a reason. Sometimes I have things to transport, or a time limit, or whatever, and on occasion I'll just "splash out" and drive for fun, but the thing is that I do think of it that way. I rarely if ever get in the car without acknowledging what I'm doing in terms of both pollution and resource use. The car is big, loud, and heavy. It's hard to forget that exhaust is coming out when the exhaust is so loud, but I think that's good. It's on LPG (40% less CO2), has had electronic ignition added (probably another 5-10% reduction) and the engine is quite new. It probably only pollutes the same as a newly purchased large car, but being so big and old it reminds me that cars aren't real good for our planet.

And it's also worth noting that the process of building a new car creates about 1-2 years of normal driving pollution. By maintaining an old car rather than buying new, and then driving only rarely, it will likely be 5 to 10 years before my car emissions are equal to simply building a new car. So if you're careful about it and minimize driving an old car can be a wise environmental choice.

This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:

[http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx\\_montague\\_swiss\\_bike.htm](http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm)

Cheers,  
Kim.

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
>I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.  
>Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?  
> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
>some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
>waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>  
>\* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs  
>with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>  
>\* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>  
>\* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5  
>gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of  
>water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>  
>\* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
>and cost per year of operation.  
>  
>\* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>  
>\* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>  
>\* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>  
>Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
>things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>  
>Chuck  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 07:29:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Me:

- 1.) Live close to work... still drive, but very short commute.
- 2.) I use bright white halogen lights in the living & kitchen areas of the house - sorry, can't stand those farkin' flourescents (not to mention, I don't want the mercury outgassing), but with the pure white light from the halogens, I need less overall wattage.
- 3.) I have refrigeraged air, not evaporative cooling (which is popular here due to the dry climate); while refrigerated a/c uses more electricity, it uses ZERO water, which is a big concern here, as we're in the desert (environmental impact varies from region to region, dunnit?).
- 4.) I have no grass in my yard... it's all Xeriscaped, using almost 100% native plants that require very little water.
- 5.) Double-pane, argon-filled windows in most of the house; solar glass on the windows that aren't in the above category.
- 6.) Cellular blinds on the big south-facing bay window (cellulars help keep the heat or cold in or out, as appropriate for the season); cellulars about to be ordered for the solar-glass 18-foot "window wall" in the studio.
- 7.) Energy-Star certified washer, dryer, fridge, and hot water heater.
- 8.) Passive Solar roof panels for heating the pool, as opposed to a gas heater.

And, most importantly...

10.) Try not take take three massive SUV's full of people everywhere I go, and especially when I go to the airport to get on board my private/chartered jet, which belches out more carbon-based exhaust each trip than any 50 American households combine to emit in a year, while on the way to a speech in a hall that requires 20 or 30 households' worth of annualized heating or air-conditioning - depending on the season - for each one of my appearances, along with about 45,000 watts of combined lighting & PA power for the duration of my speech; and then go back to my ginormous, energy-inneficient home that's so old & leaky it has an "R" factor of like "0.2"... oh wait, that's not me - that's Al Gore, evironmental hero.

:D

Neil

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>Nice idea Chuck. If we're moving into politics mode we might as well try

>and make a positive difference rather than focus on our own differences  
>of  
>opinion.  
>  
>\* Things I'm Doing \*  
>  
>(\*) House now on full green power (wind I think - or solar)  
>(\*) Most of my most used light bulbs are now low energy fluros  
>(\*) Taking a bus or riding to work instead of driving  
>(\*) Generally put serious thought in before using the car  
>(\*) Car and home gas emissions compensated through tree planting  
>(\*) Started a compost bin to reduce landfill and retain fertilizer.  
>(\*) The main form of house heating is firewood, which is the lowest CO2  
emitter  
>so long as it is regrown.  
>(\*) Car converted to LPG which is 40% lower on CO2 emissions.  
>  
>In theory with the house on green power and my other emissions compensated  
>my actual CO2 emissions should be very low, though I do believe we need  
people  
>who are able to be doing "better than zero" emissions and indeed I'm yet  
>to compensate for my emissions up to age 34 or so, so I've got much work  
>to do. ;o)  
>  
>\* Things I plan on doing \*  
>  
>(\*) Buying an electric assisted push bike. Close to no emissions and very  
>convenient (the one I want folds up!)  
>  
>\* Things I would like to do but am struggling with \*  
>  
>(\*) The house insulation thing is troublesome as I live in a rented place.  
>(\*) As above it's hard to install local solar power in a rented house.  
>(\*) I'd like to further reduce my landfill which tends to be higher than  
>ideal due in part to the single person's "buy take away" thing plus not  
paying  
>enough attention to landfill when making purchases  
>(\*) Generally buy less stuff and/or sell stuff I'm not using so that the  
>effort and resources invested in the items in my house are not just sitting  
>idle.  
>  
>It can be both a struggle and rewarding to take action on things like this.  
>When I look at the above I know I'm doing better than many, but worse than  
>I need to. It can be tough to manage your own habits as well, and I often  
>find of a night that I'll have too many lights on simply because of bad  
habits.  
>I'm increasingly trying to use a fairly low light setup based around the  
>low wattage bulbs but still regularly find I've left lights on unnecessarily.

>  
>I have worked hard on actually turning around the way I look at driving though.  
>I own a 29yo car, which doesn't sound very enviro of me, but what it does  
>is that it ensures I consider driving to be a privilege rather than an expectation.  
>I love my car, and love to drive, but I only drive when I have a reason.  
>Sometimes I have things to transport, or a time limit, or whatever, and on  
>occasion I'll just "splash out" and drive for fun, but the thing is that  
>I do think of it that way. I rarely if ever get in the car without acknowledging  
>what I'm doing in terms of both pollution and resource use. The car is big,  
>loud, and heavy. It's hard to forget that exhaust is coming out when the  
>exhaust is so loud, but I think that's good. It's on LPG (40% less CO2),  
>has had electronic ignition added (probably another 5-10% reduction) and  
>the engine is quite new. It probably only pollutes the same as a newly purchased  
>large car, but being so big and old it reminds me that cars aren't real  
good  
>for our planet.

>  
>And it's also worth noting that the process of building a new car creates  
>about 1-2 years of normal driving pollution. By maintaining an old car rather  
>than buying new, and then driving only rarely, it will likely be 5 to 10  
>years before my car emissions are equal to simply building a new car. So  
>if you're careful about it and minimize driving an old car can be a wise  
>environmental choice.

>  
>This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:  
>  
>[http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx\\_montague\\_swiss\\_bike.htm](http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm)

>  
>Cheers,  
>Kim.

>  
>  
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>  
>>I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.  
>>Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?  
>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
>>some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
>>waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:

>>  
>>\* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the  
bulbs  
>>with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

>>  
>>\* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>>

>> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>>with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the  
5  
>>gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons  
of  
>>water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>>  
>> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
>>and cost per year of operation.  
>>  
>> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>>  
>> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>>  
>> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>>  
>>Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
>>things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>>  
>>Chuck  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 08:02:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good for you, Neil. I think even your arch nemesis Al Gore would approve  
of most of that.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)

Neil wrote:

> Me:  
> 1.) Live close to work... still drive, but very short commute.  
> 2.) I use bright white halogen lights in the living & kitchen  
> areas of the house - sorry, can't stand those farkin'  
> flourescents (not to mention, I don't want the mercury  
> outgassing), but with the pure white light from the halogens, I  
> need less overall wattage.

> 3.) I have refrigerated air, not evaporative cooling (which is  
> popular here due to the dry climate); while refrigerated a/c  
> uses more electricity, it uses ZERO water, which is a big  
> concern here, as we're in the desert (environmental impact  
> varies from region to region, dunnit?).

> 4.) I have no grass in my yard... it's all Xeriscaped, using  
> almost 100% native plants that require very little water.

> 5.) Double-pane, argon-filled windows in most of the house;  
> solar glass on the windows that aren't in the above category.

> 6.) Cellular blinds on the big south-facing bay window  
> (cellulars help keep the heat or cold in or out, as appropriate  
> for the season); cellulars about to be ordered for the solar-  
> glass 18-foot "window wall" in the studio.

> 7.) Energy-Star certified washer, dryer, fridge, and hot water  
> heater.

> 8.) Passive Solar roof panels for heating the pool, as opposed  
> to a gas heater.

>

> And, most importantly...

>

> 10.) Try not take take three massive SUV's full of people  
> everywhere I go, and especially when I go to the airport to get  
> on board my private/chartered jet, which belches out more  
> carbon-based exhaust each trip than any 50 American households  
> combine to emit in a year, while on the way to a speech in a  
> hall that requires 20 or 30 households' worth of annualized  
> heating or air-conditioning - depending on the season - for  
> each one of my appearances, along with about 45,000 watts of  
> combined lighting & PA power for the duration of my speech; and  
> then go back to my ginormous, energy-inneficient home that's so  
> old & leaky it has an "R" factor of like "0.2"... oh wait,  
> that's not me - that's Al Gore, evironmental hero.

>

> :D

>

> Neil

>

>

> "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> Nice idea Chuck. If we're moving into politics mode we might as well try  
>> and make a positive difference rather than focus on our own differences  
> of  
>> opinion.

>>

>> \* Things I'm Doing \*

>>

>> (\*) House now on full green power (wind I think - or solar)

>> (\*) Most of my most used light bulbs are now low energy fluors  
>> (\*) Taking a bus or riding to work instead of driving  
>> (\*) Generally put serious thought in before using the car  
>> (\*) Car and home gas emissions compensated through tree planting  
>> (\*) Started a compost bin to reduce landfill and retain fertilizer.  
>> (\*) The main form of house heating is firewood, which is the lowest CO2  
> emitter  
>> so long as it is regrown.  
>> (\*) Car converted to LPG which is 40% lower on CO2 emissions.  
>>  
>> In theory with the house on green power and my other emissions compensated  
>> my actual CO2 emissions should be very low, though I do believe we need  
> people  
>> who are able to be doing "better than zero" emissions and indeed I'm yet  
>> to compensate for my emissions up to age 34 or so, so I've got much work  
>> to do. ;o)  
>>  
>> \* Things I plan on doing \*  
>>  
>> (\*) Buying an electric assisted push bike. Close to no emissions and very  
>> convenient (the one I want folds up!)  
>>  
>> \* Things I would like to do but am struggling with \*  
>>  
>> (\*) The house insulation thing is troublesome as I live in a rented place.  
>> (\*) As above it's hard to install local solar power in a rented house.  
>> (\*) I'd like to further reduce my landfill which tends to be higher than  
>> ideal due in part to the single person's "buy take away" thing plus not  
> paying  
>> enough attention to landfill when making purchases  
>> (\*) Generally buy less stuff and/or sell stuff I'm not using so that the  
>> effort and resources invested in the items in my house are not just sitting  
>> idle.  
>>  
>> It can be both a struggle and rewarding to take action on things like this.  
>> When I look at the above I know I'm doing better than many, but worse than  
>> I need to. It can be tough to manage your own habits as well, and I often  
>> find of a night that I'll have too many lights on simply because of bad  
> habits.  
>> I'm increasingly trying to use a fairly low light setup based around the  
>> low wattage bulbs but still regularly find I've left lights on unnecessarily.  
>>  
>> I have worked hard on actually turning around the way I look at driving  
> though.  
>> I own a 29yo car, which doesn't sound very enviro of me, but what it does  
>> is that it ensures I consider driving to be a privilege rather than an expectation.  
>> I love my car, and love to drive, but I only drive when I have a reason.  
>> Sometimes I have things to transport, or a time limit, or whatever, and



> on  
>> occasion I'll just "splash out" and drive for fun, but the thing is that  
>> I do think of it that way. I rarely if ever get in the car without acknowledging  
>> what I'm doing in terms of both pollution and resource use. The car is big,  
>> loud, and heavy. It's hard to forget that exhaust is coming out when the  
>> exhaust is so loud, but I think that's good. It's on LPG (40% less CO2),  
>> has had electronic ignition added (probably another 5-10% reduction) and  
>> the engine is quite new. It probably only pollutes the same as a newly purchased  
>> large car, but being so big and old it reminds me that cars aren't real  
> good  
>> for our planet.  
>>  
>> And it's also worth noting that the process of building a new car creates  
>> about 1-2 years of normal driving pollution. By maintaining an old car rather  
>> than buying new, and then driving only rarely, it will likely be 5 to 10  
>> years before my car emissions are equal to simply building a new car. So  
>> if you're careful about it and minimize driving an old car can be a wise  
>> environmental choice.  
>>  
>> This is the electric bike I want. Worth checking out I think:  
>>  
>> [http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx\\_montague\\_swiss\\_bike.htm](http://www.greenspeed.us/bionx_montague_swiss_bike.htm)  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> Kim.  
>>  
>>  
>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.  
>>> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?  
>>> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
>>> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
>>> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>>>  
>>> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the  
> bulbs  
>>> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>>>  
>>> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>>>  
>>> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
>>> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the  
> 5  
>>> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons  
> of  
>>> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>>>  
>>> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings

>>> and cost per year of operation.  
>>>  
>>> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>>>  
>>> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>>>  
>>> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>>>  
>>> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
>>> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>>>  
>>> Chuck  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 09:00:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good ideas, but what's this got to do with signal-to-noise ratio?

S

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47a8d495\$1@linux...  
>  
> I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.  
> Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of  
> waste?  
> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what  
> some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut  
> waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:  
>  
> \* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the  
> bulbs  
> with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.  
>  
> \* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.  
>  
> \* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet  
> with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5  
> gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of  
> water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.  
>

> \* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings  
> and cost per year of operation.  
>  
> \* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.  
>  
> \* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.  
>  
> \* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>  
> Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
> things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>  
> Chuck  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [steve the artguy](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 09:18:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
>Don,  
>  
>Nothing bothers me, I was actually interested in what other folks are doing.  
>  
>Chuck  
>

oh. Ok. Here's what I've been doing for years.

1) Only turn on the heat when I get sick. Went two years without it on a while back. Other years waited until January or February. Of course, I don't live in the snow like you guys do.

1a) Ignore the fact that I have an air conditioner. Not easy.

2) Buckets in the shower. Catch all the water you can when you take a shower. Use it to flush the toilets.

3) Only use cars that get more than 30 mpg.

4) Speaking of water, lawns are useless relics of English gardens or African veldt or some such. Not needed.

5) Car gets washed each time it rains. Other than that, who cares

6) Never buy anything new that I can get used

Things I haven't done yet, because I'm too lazy or cheap:

1) replace my computers with laptops

2) replace my monitors with flat screens

I'm sure there's piles of others things...

-steve

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [rick](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 10:02:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

when i built my studio (1600sq') i made the exterior wall 14" thick and the attic has 30" of insulation. though the price of fuel has trippled i still spend about \$15.00 per month to heat and cool it.

On 6 Feb 2008 08:26:45 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>I doubt that we are going to solve the global warming issue in this forum.

>Does that mean we as humans should all blindly continue a pattern of waste?

> Let's talk about what hits you in the pocketbook. Let's talk about what

>some of you creative, intelligent people are doing to save money and cut

>waste. Seemingly stupid shit. For example in our house:

>

>\* In infrequently used/'non reading' areas of our home we replaced the bulbs

>with CF bulbs. We also installed auto off motion based switches.

>

>\* Stopped buying coffee on the way to work. Take a travel mug.

>

>\* Stopped buying bottled water on the way to work. Added a second faucet

>with an in-line filtration system. Added a water cooler. Refilling the 5

>gallon cooler bottle from the filtered tap once a week. 10,000 gallons of

>water costs approximately \$70 in these parts. You do the math.

>

>\* Purchased appliances, actually looked at the energy efficiency ratings

>and cost per year of operation.

>

>\* Keep car tune up schedule, watch tire pressure, regular oil changes.

>

>\* Replaced 100 year old windows with energy efficient triple pane windows.

>  
>\* Check and repair toilet mechs on a regular basis.  
>  
>Stupid shit, but I'm pretty detail oriented and every single one of these  
>things is saving me money, including the initial outlay.  
>  
>Chuck  
>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 10:33:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:  
>Good ideas, but what's this got to do with signal-to-noise ratio?

I think it's a reference to the music vs non-music posts...

Cheers,  
Kim.

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 13:27:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hahah, and John Edwards has a 30,000 sq ft house and Al Gore has a \$2000 electric bill every month. What a bunch of hypocrites.

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 13:27:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating ketchup from foil packets.

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 14:01:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi John,

Sorry for the comment, it was unnecessary and rude. Moody is the right word, maybe even asshole at times :-)

Chuck

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating

>ketchup from foil packets.

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 15:16:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I realize that, there are ways to reduce your carbon footprint and even save money. Just trying to add a little levity to the discussion. I'll bow out now.

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Thad,

>

>My post wasn't directly about reducing my carbon footprint, or global warming,

>but to how to reduce monthly expenditures.

>

>Chuck

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Could be either.

>>

>>Your ideas are good ones, I do a fair number of them myself and I walk

to

>>work. Of course I'm a carbon emitter myself, so by walking I slightly increase

>>carbon output but my only car is a 1966 Ford Thunderbird that gets about

>>12 MPG so I'm to the good.

>>

>>That said, the military is a spectacular waster of energy and burns staggering

>>amounts of some of the dirtiest fuels in the world. Minimizing that would

>>be a good idea.

>>

>>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made

>>

>>Reduce your Carbon Footprint

>>KILL YOURSELF!

>>  
>>TCB  
>>  
>>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>So is it because you are printing money in the basement, or because you  
>>are  
>>>a huge asshole?  
>>>  
>>>Chuck  
>>>  
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>i figure i don't need to do shit cuz just one big military jet flight  
>>will  
>>>>use more fuel than i ever will in my whole lifetime. i think the real  
>>way  
>>>>to make a difference is by reducing government and stopping wars. this  
>>>at  
>>>>home piddly shit is meaningless to me.  
>>>>  
>>>>John  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 15:18:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

But over a longer timespan . . .

Also, if one killed oneself by throwing oneself into the ocean with concrete tied to oneself, then either one would be eaten (net zero carbon) or one would sink (net negative). But that's a bit too much to fit on a t-shirt.

TCB

"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>  
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made  
>>  
>>Reduce your Carbon Footprint  
>>KILL YOURSELF!  
>

>  
>Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as  
>carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die,  
>thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the  
>environment. And don't even get me started on if your family  
>decides to have you cremated!  
>  
>lol

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 17:24:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You forgot to factor in the energy cost of the concrete...

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)

TCB wrote:

> But over a longer timespan . . .  
>  
> Also, if one killed oneself by throwing oneself into the ocean with concrete  
> tied to oneself, then either one would be eaten (net zero carbon) or one  
> would sink (net negative). But that's a bit too much to fit on a t-shirt.  
>  
>  
> TCB  
>  
> "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:  
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:  
>>> And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made  
>>>  
>>> Reduce your Carbon Footprint  
>>> KILL YOURSELF!  
>>  
>> Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as  
>> carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die,  
>> thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the  
>> environment. And don't even get me started on if your family  
>> decides to have you cremated!  
>>  
>> lol  
>

---

---



Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Wed, 06 Feb 2008 19:21:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, and since concrete gives off heat as it cures/hardens....  
well, just more global warming.

Neil

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>

>You forgot to factor in the energy cost of the concrete...

>

>Cheers,

> -Jamie

> [www.JamieKrutz.com](http://www.JamieKrutz.com)

>

>

>

>TCB wrote:

>> But over a longer timespan . . .

>>

>> Also, if one killed oneself by throwing oneself into the ocean with concrete

>> tied to oneself, then either one would be eaten (net zero carbon) or one

>> would sink (net negative). But that's a bit too much to fit on a t-shirt.

>>

>>

>> TCB

>>

>> "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>>> And then there's the T-Shirt I've always wanted to have made

>>>>

>>>> Reduce your Carbon Footprint

>>>> KILL YOURSELF!

>>>

>>> Actually that would increase your carbon footprint, since, as

>>> carbon-based lifeforms, we start decaying as soon as we die,

>>> thereby gradually sloughing off all our carbon back into the

>>> environment. And don't even get me started on if your family

>>> decides to have you cremated!

>>>

>>> lol

>>

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [Erling](#) on Thu, 07 Feb 2008 09:21:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hmmm.....hey you two, we need some assholes too, someone to blame for something we should have done and couldn't, because of some other assholes...hmmmmm;-)

erlilo

On 7 Feb 2008 00:01:44 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
>Hi John,  
>  
>Sorry for the comment, it was unnecessary and rude. Moody is the right word,  
>maybe even asshole at times :-)  
>  
>Chuck  
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating  
>>ketchup from foil packets.

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Thu, 07 Feb 2008 21:29:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"erlilo" <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote in message  
news:d4j1q3d1jjd6gqm04243tgnp6pqpim6inv@4ax.com...

> Hmmm.....hey you two, we need some assholes too, someone to blame for  
> something we should have done and couldn't, because of some other  
> assholes...hmmmmm;-)

>  
> erlilo  
>

Raises hand

;o)

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR

Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Thu, 07 Feb 2008 21:32:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How have you been BTW?

"erlilo" <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote in message  
news:d4jlq3d1jld6gqm04243tgnp6pqpim6inv@4ax.com...  
> Hmmm.....hey you two, we need some assholes too, someone to blame for  
> something we should have done and couldn't, because of some other  
> assholes...hmmmmm;-)  
>  
> erlilo  
>  
> On 7 Feb 2008 00:01:44 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>  
>>  
>>Hi John,  
>>  
>>Sorry for the comment, it was unnecessary and rude. Moody is the right  
>>word,  
>>maybe even asshole at times :-)  
>>  
>>Chuck  
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating  
>>>ketchup from foil packets.

---

---

Subject: Re: SNR  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 08 Feb 2008 00:22:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Late for work While she was "flying" down the road yesterday (20 miles over the limit), a woman passed over a bridge only to find a cop with a radar gun on the other side lying in wait. The cop pulled her over, walked up to the car, and with that classic patronizing smirk we all know and love(?), asked, "What's your hurry?" To which she replied, "I'm late for work." "Oh yeah," said the cop, what is it you do? "I'm a rectum stretcher," she responded. The cop stammered, "A what? A rectum stretcher? And just what does a rectum stretcher do?" "Well," she said, "I start by inserting one finger, then I work my way up to two fingers, then three, then four, then with my whole hand in. I work from side to side until I can get both hands in, and then I slowly but surely stretch, until it's about 6 feet wide." "And just what is it that you do with a 6 foot asshole?" he asked. "You give him a radar gun and park him behind a bridge..."

Traffic Ticket: \$105.00  
Court Costs: \$45.00  
Look on Cop's Face: PRICELESS

"erlilo" <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote in message

news:d4jlq3d1jdd6gqm04243tgnp6ppim6inv@4ax.com...

> Hmm.....hey you two, we need some assholes too, someone to blame for  
> something we should have done and couldn't, because of some other  
> assholes...hmmmmm;-)

>

> erlilo

>

> On 7 Feb 2008 00:01:44 +1000, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>>

>>Hi John,

>>

>>Sorry for the comment, it was unnecessary and rude. Moody is the right

>>word,

>>maybe even asshole at times :-)

>>

>>Chuck

>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>Is there a third choice? hmmm, Chucky getting moody. Maybe from eating

>>>ketchup from foil packets.