Subject: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by Deej [1] on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 04:28:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

He also has a modded model > > with a Cinemag output tranny, and is working on some > > ribbons mods. I spoke with him on the phones and he > > seems really cool, too. > > > > Anyway, better packaging and a better price :)

I hear subtle improvements at 96 for small ensemble acoustic recordings.

mic pair on a string quartet or a small folk group. By the time you convert back to 44.1, any real improvement is modest, but the original 96 masters are definitely superior.

Where I here a dramatic improvement with 96 (or other high sample rates) is when I use plugins. HydraTone is way better at 96 and starts to sound like high-end analog equipment. Others like the Sony Oxford plugs, or the TC VSS3 reverb running on Powercore also benefit dramatically. This is frequently not subtle at all. Another place where the change is dramatic is with some soft synths like FM7, which sounds far better at 96 (this is not true of all synths).

I have been playing around with hybridized Paris setups that include some 96 with mixed results.

Gene

"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

I'll bet some of you have probably done this already, but I'm been curious
 for years about the \*real deal\* with native DAWs at 96kHz vs Paris at
 44.1kHz. I've heard 96kHz recordings done in both Nuendo and ProTools HD but

>I've just never been able to get them into some sort of A/B situation in my

>own studio. I

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by gene lennon on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 05:24:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

s of the >comparisons. >Rick, Dedric, Dave, Gene......you guys tried this yet? > >Deej >ahhh...more even people who suck more in a live performance. think they'll make a hardware version so they won't? then again, couple that with auto tune or melodyne and i could be the next billy idol...remember, xmas is just around the corner unky D. On Sun, 24 Jul 2005 01:32:34 -0600, "DJ" <animix spam-this-ahole @animas.net> wrote: >http://www.antarestech.com/products/avox.html >is that all it said?one more step towards the complete elimination of humans wonder what a guitar would sound like pushed through it "DJ" <animix spam-this-ahole @animas.net> wrote in message news:42e343ed@linux... > http://www.antarestech.com/products/avox.html > >does anybody know what happened to the news group?? On 24 Jul 2005 11:35:07 +1000, "Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote: > >"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote: >>I hope they get it back on soon or we might go bye bye for a bit... > >Ironically, the power came back on in time, but the UPS refused to recognise >this and hence a couple of minutes after the power came back on, the UPS >powered everything down anyhow. ;o) > >But we're all good now. :o) > >Cheers. >Kim."DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote: >Thanks Gene. that's interesting. > >Are you doing some kind of \*real time\* SRC?

96 files, then do batch conversion to 44.1 using Barbabatch and run the 44.1 files from DP through Paris or transfer the files and mix in Paris. This is a lot of work but definitely adds depth and sheen to the final mix despite the extra conversion.

The quality of your conversion will make-or-break this concept. Barbabatch

Gene> idol...remember, xmas is just around the corner unky D.

.....and so the the legendary CD. It was mailed Friday.

;0)

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by Deej [1] on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 06:49:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

1pilovh32l7t46j8lp1d2g5oflv3n@4ax.com..."

target="\_blank">g2t6e1pilovh32l7t46j8lp1d2g5oflv3n@4ax.com...

> ahhh...more even people who suck more in a live performance. think

> they'll make a hardware version so they won't? then again, couple

> that with auto tune or melodyne and i could be the next billy

> idol...remember, xmas is just around the corner unky D.

>

> On Sun, 24 Jul 2005 01:32:34 -0600, "DJ"

> <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

>

> >http://www.antarestech.com/products/avox.html

> >

>I'm still sticking to 44.1/48k as most of my work is composing, arranging, and production - quite difficult to run 96k on projects with large orchestral libraries, samplers and softsynths that already tax my PC to it's limit. I do plan, and need to start moving to 96k soon, at least for acoustic recordings. I'm looking into building an Athlon X2 before I do much with it though. Compatibility is still up in the air for motherboards and X2's right now (esp. with UAD-1s).

Regards, Dedric

On 7/23/05 10:28 PM, in article 42e318fb@linux, "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

> I'll bet some of you have probably done this already, but I'm been curious

> for years about the \*real deal\* with native DAWs at 96kHz vs Paris at

> 44.1kHz. I've heard 96kHz recordings done in both Nuendo and ProTools HD but

> I've just never been able to get them into some sort of A/B situation in my

> own studio. I've got Mytek 96kHz A/D converter here and I've spent part of

> the day getting this happening in a situation where I can actually track to > SX and achieve a hi rez D/A conversion so I can use my headphone monitoring > system in a real life tracking scenario. I've also purchased an RME > Multiface and PCI card which will be integrated into the dual DAW situation > I've got going here and will also provide me the capability of doing limited > (10 tracks simultaneously) tracking sessions at 96kHz - gotta' be able to > advertize this. I'm one of two studios that can't do hi rez and I feel like > I need the capability, if for no other reason than to just say that I can. > I've also got DVD authoring capabilities in Wavelab 5.0. I'm real curious to > know if it's really \*that much better\* than Paris at 44.1, both in a > scenario where I am tracking 96kHz and then doing a SRC to 44.1 and comparing > this to Paris and also just comparing a small mix of a number of acoustic > instruments at 96kHz in SX vs the same bunch of instruments in Paris at > 44.1. > > The Multiface should be here mid week and I'm sure I'll have to do a bit of > IRQ chasing to get my DAW happy with this and the existing pair of HDSP > 9652's. > > I'll keep the group posted about this and if someone else has done/is doing > this already, I'd be really interested in hearing your impressions of the > comparisons. > > Rick, Dedric, Dave, Gene......you guys tried this yet? > > Deej > >This is one reason why I'm pretty sure my use of 96kHz will be limited. I'm not sure I could even track 10 sources simultaneously at 96k on my current Cubase rig (AMD XP3000 running 2Gigs of PC 2700 DDR with ATA 133 audio

Thanks,

drive.).

Deej

"DT" <dterry@no\_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message news:BF090D88.32F0%

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by rick on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 10:54:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

st of my work is composing, arranging,

> and production - quite difficult to run 96k on projects with large

> orchestral libraries, samplers and softsynths that already tax my PC to

it's > limit. I do plan, and need to start moving to 96k soon, at least for > acoustic recordings. I'm looking into building an Athlon X2 before I do > much with it though. Compatibility is still up in the air for motherboards > and X2's right now (esp. with UAD-1s). > > Regards, > Dedric > > On 7/23/05 10:28 PM, in article 42e318fb@linux, "DJ" > <animix spam-this-ahole @animas.net> wrote: > > > I'll bet some of you have probably done this already, but I'm been curious > for years about the \*real deal\* with native DAWs at 96kHz vs Paris at > 44.1kHz. I've heard 96kHz recordings done in both Nuendo and ProTools HD but >> I've just never been able to get them into some sort of A/B situation in my > > own studio. I've got Mytek 96kHz A/D converter here and I've spent part of > > the day getting this happening in a situation where I can actually track to > SX and achieve a hi rez D/A conversion so I can use my headphone monitoring > > system in a real life tracking scenario. I've also purchased an RME > > Multiface and PCI card which will be integrated into the dual DAW situation >> I've got going here and will also provide me the capability of doing limited >> (10 tracks simultaneously) tracking sessions at 96kHz - gotta' be able to > > advertize this. I'm one of two studios that can't do hi rez and I feel like > > I need the capability, if for no other reason than to just say that I can. > > I've also got DVD authoring capabilities in Wavelab 5.0. I'm real curious to > > know if it's really \*that much better\* than Paris at 44.1, both in a > > scenario where I am tracking 96kHz and then doing a SRC to 44.1and comparing > > this to Paris and also just comparing a small mix of a number of acoustic > instruments at 96kHz in SX vs the same bunch of instruments in Paris at > > 44.1. > > > > The Multiface should be here mid week and I'm sure I'll have to do a bit

of
> > IRQ chasing to get my DAW happy with this and the existing pair of HDSP
> > 9652's.
>>
> I'll keep the group posted about this and if someone else has done/is
doing
> > this already, I'd be really interested in hearing your impressions of
the
> > comparisons.
>>
> > Rick, Dedric,

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by gene lennon on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 14:53:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ling line level signals). How does this stack up to the Gold Mic?

Also, is there any reason (e.g. impedance) you couldn't feed the Gold Mic's mic level signals with a line level (set low) and the mic gain to 0 or 1? I like the idea of being able to feed line signals (effected electric guitars, etc) to the Gold Mic (flair function, etc).

I figure I'm probably not going to see a significant improvement on my Spirit Ultramic pres on toms and snares if I went for the RME Octapre / QuadPre or Focusrite Octopre, and would rather have one high quality dual channel tube pre I can use on acoustic gtrs / vocals than lots of lesser quality pres (Octopre LE).

Advice greatly appreciated... Taking the plunge soon (probably tomorrow).

Cheers,

D

"Dave(EK

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by DT on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 15:19:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## >>>Telefunken ones.

>>>Change some caps inside (not radical chnages here) and you have a very

>>>good preamp.

>>>I tried changing all or some of the opa's too but found the stock ones

>>>sound the best. >>>You kn ow they use same chips as RME uses and most others. >>>It is the tubes that make all the difference. >>>The best mic form the cheap models (not chinese) that compliments >>>perfectly the 3xx line pf preamps is AT4033. >>>This combination was preffered from a client instead of AT 4066 using >>>Avalon M5 preamp. >>>It makes you sound sweet warm with no hint of ahrshness at all and >>>sibilance ! >>>Regards, >>>Dimitrios >>> >>>Dave Parkin wrote: >>> >>>>Howdy all. >>>> >>>> Thought I might put my 2 cents in... >>>> >>>> I feel you will be let down going for 8 more budget channels. I dont >> >> think >> >>>you will hear a significant guality change in relation to the spirit. >> >> A couple >> >>>of years ago, i bought a whole heap of focusrite platinum things, (Penta, >>>voice master, tracmaster, ect), and was guite upset when i didnt notice >> >> a >> >>>>decent sonic change from my eurodesk M5000. I was trying to convince >> >> myself >> >>>>that is was better.. It wasnt until i bought some more pricey solid state >>>>class-a transformer based pres, that i noticed a better quality coming >> >> through. >> I feel you should buy one or two pre's with that money, or save up >>>> >>

>> for >> >>>something better. Thats a more rewarding experience. >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>>"Dan B" <daniel burne@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote: >>>> >>>> >>>>Hi. >>>>> >>>>In short - comments on \$400-700 multichannel mic pre's - e.g. >>>>Focusrite Octopre >>>>Focusrite Octopre LE >>>>Presonus Digimax LT >>>>Presonus MP20 >>>>

```
Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan
Posted by Deej [1] on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 15:35:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
>Presonus Bluetube
>>>>Presonus Firepod
>>>>M-Audio Octane
>>>>dbx 386
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>In long... Mic pre wise at the moment I have only a Focusrite Platinum
>>
>> Voicemaster
>>
>>>>and a Soundcraft Spirit Folio Desk. I suspect the desk is the weak link
>>>>
>>>>in
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>my studio, and so am after some replacement pres. The budget's limited
>>
>> (ideally
>>
>>>>$600 ish, but may be able to stretch). Ideally it would have 8 channels,
>>>>but some have suggested I'd be better buying a decent 2 (or 4) channel
>>
>> unit.
```

>> >>>>and using the desk for the rest. That way acoustic guitar could be recorded >>>>with 3 mics through decent pres. I'd only need to use the desk pres for >>>> >>>extra >>>> >>>> >>>>drum mics beyond the 3 channels (each instrument tracked individually). >>>> >>>lt'd >>>> >>>> >>>>only be worth investing the money in an 8 channel pre if they're going >> >> to >> >>>>be a fairly significantly better than those in the desk. >>>>> >>>>Many thanks for any advice / suggestions. >>>>> >>>>BTW, mic wise I'm using AT4033a's as O/Hs / Vocals / acoustic guitar, >> >> C418s >> >>>>on toms / snare top, SM57 (underneath snare), D112 kick. I \*may\* buy а >> >> Rode >> >>>>NTK (valve) for vocals / acoustic guitar. >>>> >>>> >>I have always used 44.1 but have been wondering lately if I am missing out. Are many Paris only guys using 48 all the time? is the slight difference worth it if there is going to be file conversion? "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote: >This is one reason why I'm pretty sure my use of 96kHz will be limited. l'm >not sure I could even track 10 sources simultaneously at 96k on my current >Cubase rig (AMD XP3000 running 2Gigs of PC 2700 DDR with ATA 133 audio >drive.). > >Thanks, > >Deei

> "DT" <dterry@no\_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message >news:BF090D88.32F0%dterry@no\_spamkeyofd.net... >> I'm still sticking to 44.1/48k as most of my work is composing, arranging, >> and production - quite difficult to run 96k on projects with large >> orchestral libraries, samplers and softsynths that already tax my PC to >it's >> limit. I do plan, and need to start moving to 96k soon, at least for >> acoustic recordings. I'm looking into building an Athlon X2 before I do >> much with it though. Compatibility is still up in the air for >motherboards >> and X2's right now (esp. with UAD-1s).

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by cujo on Sun, 24 Jul 2005 23:03:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

hearing your impressions of the

> comparisons.

>

>

> Rick, Dedric, Dave, Gene.....you guys tried this yet?

>

> Deej

>

>I think boards rarely go up in the immediate future. Usually the first 10 years is a hard time for a keyboard's price, but after 20 years or so often they start to hit back. For example I know the Roland Juno keyboards, in Australia in the early 90's they were going for \$350-\$400. These days however, I spent \$700 on a Juno-60 just recently, and most people think I got a pretty good deal with it.

I was talking to a keyboard player on Saturday night who played Wurlizters. He said he bought his first one in about 87 for \$200, then another in 95 for \$1600, and one just recently for \$2500 or something.

The big question is whether or not a given board will fall into that bracket where, ten years later, people will realise that it has something that no other keyboard does, and I have a feeling this one will...

....I sent you an email with specifics of why. :o)

Cheers, Kim.

DT <dterry@no spamkeyofd.net> wrote: >That's a tough one. Can't say I've ever looked at keyboards as a worthwhile >longterm investment, considering the devaluation hit most take. Rarely do I >find a keyboard on ebay selling for more than original retail - usually only >the rare prototype or "5 in existence" boards go up. > >Which piano is it (don't worry, it's way too far to drive to Sydney from >Colorado, USA :-)? > >I usually ask myself if it would be of as much value to me as whatever else >I would spend the money on.... but I can't say that really helped much. ;-) > >Regards. >Dedric > >On 7/24/05 9:02 PM, in article 42e4564e\$1@linux, "Kim" ><hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote: > >> >> >> Some dude in NSW about 150km south of Syndey is selling my favourite stage >> piano for what I consider to be, at most, half of market value, WITH a >> keyboard >> amp and a stand!! >> >> Problem is I already have one of these...; o) ... and I'm not that cashed >> up. >> >> Thing is for various reasons I'm pretty sure these will be collectable in >> a decade or so. The manufacturer has decided it's not viable to make them, >> and I'm pretty sure in the future these babies will be worth a lot more than >> the \$4000 I paid for mine new, or indeed the \$1000 this guy is selling it >> for complete with amp and stand. >> >> I get free fuel, so the question is, do I want to spend the money just to >> have a spare, and do I want to spend all next weekend driving up to Sydney >> and back...? >> >> Cheers. >> Kim. >You know you have to have this......or.....

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:42e4564e\$1@linux...

> >

> Some dude in NSW about 150km south of Syndey is selling my favourite stage > piano for what I consider to be, at most, half of market value, WITH a keyboard

> amp and a stand!!

>

> Problem is I already have one of these...;o) ...and I'm not that cashed > up.

>

> Thing is for various reasons I'm pretty sure these will be collectable in

> a decade or so. The manufacturer has decided it's not viable to make them,

> and I'm pretty sure in the future these babies will be worth a lot more than

> the \$4000 I paid for mine new, or indeed the \$1000 this guy is selling it > for complete with amp and stand.

>

> I get free fuel, so the question is, do I want to spend the money just to

> have a spare, and do I want to spend all next weekend dr

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by wmarkwilson on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 04:04:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

? How could a simple thing like needing a new place to

> live

> >ever compete with rampant gearlust?

>

> Well it's not that simple you see. I mean sure, I could just live on the

> street with all my gear under a tarp, no problem at all...

>

> ...but they don't do broadband internet with static IP's to cardboard boxes

> in your local park down here, and hence I wouldn't be able to host the newsgroup

> any more, and that, of course, would mean not only would I not know what

> to buy any more, but I wouldn't get nearly enough encouragement to buy things

> that I don't really need, plus I would have nobody to gloat to when I buy > things! ;o)

>

> Hence, while choosing gear above a place to live my seem a simple choice,

> in my case there are a few more complications. ;o)

>

I've just found out that work may have an opportunity for me to finish the
 day in Seymour on Friday, which is two hours towards Sydney, and I would
 probably be able to finish about 3pm, which means I could be there that
 night...

>

> ...and get back Saturday. :o)

>

- > Cheers,
- > Kim.
- >
- > Cheers,
- > Kim."DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

....remember though, you're paying the same amount for a great big
 keyboard, one of which that you already have, that you would be paying for a

>Benchmark DAC-1.

Well aint that the truth! Mind you a DAC-1 is more like \$1500 in these parts, or more even I beleive. I'm told I can get one for a little under \$1500 I think I was told.

And I'm planning to sell the amp and stand which come with the keyboard, so hopefully I'll only have to outlay \$6-700 for the keyboard all up, which is only half the price of the DAC-1...

....and honestly, you have no idea how much I hate having to set th keyboard back up after a gig/rehearsal, nor how much I love to play the things. ;o)

Cheers,

Kim.Morgan Cryar... man that is a name I haven't heard in years. :)

"W. Mark Wilson" <wmarkwilson@verizon.net> wrote in message news:42e46404\$1@linux...

> Used to be a guy down there that played w/such folks as Mor

Subject: Re: 96kHz-OK folks, here's the plan Posted by EK Sound on Mon, 25 Jul 2005 15:30:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

d have a look ...

Just wondering if anyone knows what causes this. I'm guessing it happens a lot.

Or, if you don't know how to fix it, and chance you know the right words to use to describe it to google? ;o)

Cheers, Kim.10/4 there big guy.

```
On Mon, 25 Jul 2005 18:07:05 -0600, "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
```

```
>Hey Rick,
>
>I'm 970-375-7081
>
>Give me a call.
>
>Deej
>
"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:du1ae1dsvqr70p2e8np5abmnp55lr99515@4ax.com...
>> so now tell me what you want. some of the files obviously were a bit
>> hot and others not even close to overs; others have already had some
>> limiting so...
>>
```

>> shoot me your phone # so we can talk.

>"girls don't make passes at guys who wear glasses"

On Tue, 26 Jul 2005 07:33:52 +1000, "Amuse" <petealec@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>Maybe he needs some glasses too? ;) Thanks...

>

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>news:cb2ae19nqdto1h5s0p0rhab2vumk939irk@4ax.com...

>> #3 will more likely get you laid than the others cuz you look just so >> dang cute in your car...

>>

>damn, you think it's too late to ask for some money to never make a record? hell, how can that be illegal.

On 26 Jul 2005 10:09:14 +1000, "DC" <dcicchetti@finkpatrol.com> wrote:

>

>Why the music biz sucks...

>

>

>http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,163537,00.html

>Hi Kim, I have an old Roland FP8 that had some serious road usage on it which I bought for next to nothing. Power supply had cold solder joints, 4