Subject: Dither or Sample Rate convert First Posted by Lance Reichert on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 15:15:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Folks,

I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

I bow to those who know more.

TIA,

Lance

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First Posted by AlexPlasko on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 15:24:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

dither should be the last step. always.

"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message news:47cc185e\$1@linux...

- > Hey Folks,
- >
- > I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.
- >
- > Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS
- > I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither
- > or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?
- >
- > I bow to those who know more.
- >
- > TIA,
- >
- > Lance
- _
- >

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First Posted by Lance Reichert on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 16:30:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First Posted by chuck duffy on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 19:16:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

And never ever record at 48KHz:-)

Chuck

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:

- >dither should be the last step. always.
- >"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message >news:47cc185e\$1@linux...
- >> Hey Folks,
- >>
- >> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.
- >>
- >> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS
- >> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither
- >> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

```
>> | Sow to those who know more. |
>> | Sow to those who know more. |
>> | TIA, |
>> |
>> Lance |
>> |
>> |
>> |
>> |
```

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First Posted by Aaron Allen on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 19:19:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Agree for music production. If it's going to video and will be a 96k upsample, then 48k is preferred.

To answer the original question: Dither is last step as Alex stated. Haven't seen your name in quite a while Lance, how's it going?

AA

```
"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47cc4096$1@linux...
> And never ever record at 48KHz :-)
> Chuck
> "alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:
>>dither should be the last step. always.
>>"Lance Reichert" < lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message
>>news:47cc185e$1@linux...
>>> Hey Folks,
>>>
>>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.
>>>
>>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS
>>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither
>>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?
>>>
>>> I bow to those who know more.
>>>
>>> TIA.
>>>
>>> Lance
>>>
>>>
```

>> >> >

Page 4 of 4 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums