
Subject: OT: Wow, way to go France!

Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 03:40:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

France Elects a Thatcherite President

Kenneth R. Timmerman

Monday, May 7, 2007

Newsmax.com

On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas Sarkozy as its new president.

The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival, promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.

Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an earlier age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.

Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to dismantle large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive on world markets again.

You can read the rest here:

http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1

Carry on,
Rich

Subject: Re: Wow, way to go France!

Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 04:35:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dang, I was rootin' for the girl. :)

S

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:463e92e0@linux...

> France Elects a Thatcherite President

> Kenneth R. Timmerman

> Monday, May 7, 2007

> Newsmax.com

>

> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas

> Sarkozy

> as its new president.

- >
- > The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
- > promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
- >
- > Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
- > earlier
- > age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
- >
- > Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
- > dismantle
- > large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
- > on
- > world markets again.
- >
- > You can read the rest here:
- > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
- >
- > Carry on,
- > Rich
- >
- >

Subject: Re: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [xpam_mark](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 10:21:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

His plans will meet stiff opposition with Airbus floundering and promises of 10,000 Airbus jobs, primarily in France, going bye-bye.

WMW

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:463e92e0@linux...

- > France Elects a Thatcherite President
- > Kenneth R. Timmerman
- > Monday, May 7, 2007
- > Newsmax.com
- >
- > On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
- > Sarkozy
- > as its new president.
- >
- > The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
- > promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
- >
- > Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
- > earlier

> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>
> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
> dismantle
> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
> on
> world markets again.
>
> You can read the rest here:
> <http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht> ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>
> Carry on,
> Rich
>
>

Subject: Re: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 13:58:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dang, why ARE French women so beautiful and french men so ugly?

How's that work?

<http://www.planetoxygene.com/anne.jpg>

Anne can kick my butt anytime...

heh

DC

seriously though, the damn mainstream media never tells us about the pro-america Euros. They just get real quiet and hope we don't notice. Guess who elected this guy?

Good for France. Now we see if he can fix anything.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Dang, I was rootin' for the girl. :)

>

>S

>

>

>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message

>news:463e92e0@linux...

>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>> Newsmax.com
>>
>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>> Sarkozy
>> as its new president.
>>
>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>
>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
>> earlier
>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>
>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>> dismantle
>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive

>> on
>> world markets again.
>>
>> You can read the rest here:
>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>
>> Carry on,
>> Rich
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 14:21:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sarkozy on global warming:

"a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is at stake is the destiny of mankind."

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

- > France Elects a Thatcherite President
- > Kenneth R. Timmerman
- > Monday, May 7, 2007
- > Newsmax.com
- >
- > On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas Sarkozy
- > as its new president.
- >
- > The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
- > promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
- >
- > Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an earlier
- > age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
- >
- > Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to dismantle
- > large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive on
- > world markets again.
- >
- > You can read the rest here:
- > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
- >
- > Carry on,
- > Rich
- >
- >

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 15:28:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Let another round of the Jamie Jihad begin.

Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the term "global warming" or something?

Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

- >
- >Sarkozy on global warming:
- >
- >"a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
- >fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
- >at stake is the destiny of mankind."

>
>Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>Rich Lamanna wrote:
>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>> Newsmax.com
>>
>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas Sarkozy
>> as its new president.
>>
>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>
>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an earlier
>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>
>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to dismantle
>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
>> on
>> world markets again.
>>
>> You can read the rest here:
>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>
>> Carry on,
>> Rich
>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 15:45:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Neil wrote:

> Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
> term "global warming" or something?

> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>> Sarkozy on global warming:

>>

>> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
>> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
>> at stake is the destiny of mankind."

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>

>>

>> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>> France Elects a Thatcherite President

>>> Kenneth R. Timmerman

>>> Monday, May 7, 2007

>>> Newsmax.com

>>>

>>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas Sarkozy
>>> as its new president.

>>>

>>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
>>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.

>>>

>>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an earlier
>>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.

>>>

>>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to dismantle
>>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive

> on

>>> world markets again.

>>>

>>> You can read the rest here:

>>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1

>>>

>>> Carry on,

>>> Rich

>>>

>>>

>

Subject: Re: Wow, way to go France!

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 16:12:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:463eae23\$1@linux...

> Dang, I was rootin' for the girl. :)

>

> S

>

Segolene Royal? Love the name. When I first saw it I was a bit confused as it sounded like an advertizement for Shell describing one of the mandatory fuel additives that are required in gasoline in California.

;o)

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 16:32:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmmm.....after Googling Sarkozy for a while, it looks like France has elected Rudy Guliani. Can they do that?

;o)

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f3746\$1@linux...

>

> Sarkozy on global warming:

>

> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is at
> stake is the destiny of mankind."

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>

>

> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>> France Elects a Thatcherite President

>> Kenneth R. Timmerman

>> Monday, May 7, 2007

>> Newsmax.com

>>

>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas

>> Sarkozy

>> as its new president.
>>
>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>> rival,
>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>
>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
>> earlier
>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>
>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>> dismantle
>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
>> on
>> world markets again.
>>
>> You can read the rest here:
>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>
>> Carry on,
>> Rich
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 18:28:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gaullism wasn't inherently anti-American, it was independently pro-French. Often Americans read any lack of rabid pro American sentiment as anti-Americanism. France lost final faith in its purported allies during the Suez conflict when the English decided they were finished fighting and went home without even telling the French.

What will be interesting is if Sarkozy can really do the things he says he wants to do. The biggest challenge will be reforming agricultural subsidies. All those picture perfect wineries and dairy farms you see in the tour guides? A handful would be going concerns without subsidies of between 40 and 75 percent of income. Personally I don't think it can be done without actual revolt--the French consider mildly organized violence a legitimate form of political expression. But we shall see, and it will be interesting to watch.

TCB

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
>France Elects a Thatcherite President

>Kenneth R. Timmerman
>Monday, May 7, 2007
>Newsmax.com
>
>On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas Sarkozy
>as its new president.
>
>The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
>promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>
>Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an earlier
>age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>
>Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to dismantle
>large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
on
>world markets again.
>
>You can read the rest here:
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtm?ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>
>Carry on,
>Rich
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Mon, 07 May 2007 20:59:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:463f6fe8\$1@linux...

>
>-the French consider mildly organized violence a legitimate form of
> political expression. But we shall see, and it will be interesting to
> watch.
>
>
> TCB
>

I'm thinking that Acme Guillotine Company stock is bound to bounce, but investors will hedge due to the possibility that the government may find employment for all those disenfranchised/unemployed radical muslim kids with big knives.

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!

Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 01:28:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with the same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the IPCC. They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change. Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are on the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational, logical reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.

[http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)

Just keeping it real,
Rich

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...

>

> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>

>

> Neil wrote:

> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
> > term "global warming" or something?

>

> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

> >> Sarkozy on global warming:

> >>

> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."

> >>

> >> Cheers,

> >> -Jamie

> >> www.JamieKruz.com

> >>

> >>

> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:

> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President

> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman

> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007

> >>> Newsmax.com
> >>>
> >>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas Sarkozy
> >>> as its new president.
> >>>
> >>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist rival,
> >>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
> >>>
> >>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an earlier
> >>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
> >>>
> >>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to dismantle
> >>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
> > on
> >>> world markets again.
> >>>
> >>> You can read the rest here:
> >>>
> >>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
> >>>
> >>> Carry on,
> >>> Rich
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >

Subject: Re: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 01:29:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, chicks are cool :-)

Rich

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message [news:463eae23\\$1@linux...](news:463eae23$1@linux...)

> Dang, I was rootin' for the girl. :)

>

> S

>

>

> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message

> <news:463e92e0@linux...>

> > France Elects a Thatcherite President
> > Kenneth R. Timmerman
> > Monday, May 7, 2007
> > Newsmax.com
> >
> > On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
> > Sarkozy
> > as its new president.
> >
> > The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
rival,
> > promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
> >
> > Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
> > earlier
> > age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
> >
> > Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
> > dismantle
> > large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
competitive
> > on
> > world markets again.
> >
> > You can read the rest here:
> >
http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
> >
> > Carry on,
> > Rich
> >
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 01:37:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He's right and so is Czech President Vaclav Klaus who has warned that environmentalism and the orthodoxy of global warming are a threat to human freedom, "the biggest threat to freedom, democracy, the market economy and prosperity at the beginning of the 21st century is not communism or its various softer variants. Communism was replaced by the threat of ambitious environmentalism."

<http://www.ipsnews.net/news.asp?idnews=37133>

Rich

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f3746\$1@linux...

>

> Sarkozy on global warming:

>

> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
> at stake is the destiny of mankind."

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKruz.com

>

>

> Rich Lamanna wrote:

> > France Elects a Thatcherite President

> > Kenneth R. Timmerman

> > Monday, May 7, 2007

> > Newsmax.com

> >

> > On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
Sarkozy

> > as its new president.

> >

> > The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
rival,

> > promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.

> >

> > Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
earlier

> > age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.

> >

> > Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
dismantle

> > large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
competitive on

> > world markets again.

> >

> > You can read the rest here:

> >

> > http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1

> >

> > Carry on,

> > Rich

> >

> >

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 04:50:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If by ambitious environmentalism you mean violence, violence is not a great answer to global warming.

The new French president said in his acceptance speech that he will make global warming a top priority. That sounds like responsible leadership.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> He's right and so is Czech President Vaclav Klaus who has warned that
> environmentalism and the orthodoxy of global warming are a threat to human
> freedom, "the biggest threat to freedom, democracy, the market economy and
> prosperity at the beginning of the 21st century is not communism or its
> various softer variants. Communism was replaced by the threat of ambitious
> environmentalism."

>

> <http://www.ipsnews.net/news.asp?idnews=37133>

>

> Rich

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message [news:463f3746\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:463f3746$1@linux...)

>> Sarkozy on global warming:

>>

>> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
>> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
>> at stake is the destiny of mankind."

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>

>>

>> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>> France Elects a Thatcherite President

>>> Kenneth R. Timmerman

>>> Monday, May 7, 2007

>>> Newsmax.com

>>>
>>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
> Sarkozy
>>> as its new president.
>>>
>>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
> rival,
>>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>
>>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
> earlier
>>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>
>>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
> dismantle
>>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
> competitive on
>>> world markets again.
>>>
>>> You can read the rest here:
>>>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>> Carry on,
>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 04:54:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for bringing that up, Rich. Talk about a "Swindle."
Sensationalism sells but that doesn't make it true. Let's take a look at
what we have here:

This from one of the scientists quoted in that film, Carl Wunsch:

"In the part of the "Swindle" film where I am describing the fact that
the ocean tends to expel carbon dioxide where it is warm, and to absorb
it where it is cold, my intent was to explain that warming the ocean
could be dangerous---because it is such a gigantic reservoir of carbon.
By its placement in the film, it appears that I am saying that since
carbon dioxide exists in the ocean in such large quantities, human
influence must not be very important --- diametrically opposite to the

point I was making --- which is that global warming is both real and threatening in many different ways, some unexpected.

Many of us feel an obligation to talk to the media---it's part of our role as scientists, citizens, and educators. The subjects are complicated, and it is easy to be misquoted or quoted out context. My experience in the past is that these things do happen, but usually inadvertently --- most reporters really do want to get it right.

Channel 4 now says they were making a film in a series of "polemics". There is nothing in the communication we had (much of it on the telephone or with the film crew on the day they were in Boston) that suggested they were making a film that was one-sided, anti-educational, and misleading. I took them at face value---clearly a great error. I knew I had no control over the actual content, but it never occurred to me that I was dealing with people who already had a reputation for distortion and exaggeration."

Here is a debunking from the climate scientists at realclimate.org:

"On Thursday the 8th, the UK TV Channel 4 aired a programme titled "The Great Global Warming Swindle". We were hoping for important revelations and final proof that we have all been hornswoggled by the climate Illuminati, but it just repeated the usual specious claims we hear all the time. We feel swindled. Indeed we are not the only ones: Carl Wunsch (who was a surprise addition to the cast) was apparently misled into thinking this was going to be a balanced look at the issues (the producers have a history of doing this), but who found himself put into a very different context indeed [Update: a full letter from Wunsch appears as comment 109 on this post]

So what did they have to say for themselves?

CO2 doesn't match the temperature record over the 20th C. True but not relevant, because it isn't supposed to. The programme spent a long time agonising over what they presented as a sharp temperature fall for 4 decades from 1940 to 1980 (incidentally their graph looks rather odd and may have been carefully selected; on a more usual (and sourced!) plot the "4 decades of cooling" is rather less evident). They presented this as a major flaw in the theory, which is deeply deceptive, because as they and their interviewees must know, the 40-70 cooling type period is readily explained, in that the GCMs are quite happy to reproduce it, as largely caused by sulphate aerosols. See this for a wiki-pic, for example; or (all together now) the IPCC TAR SPM fig 4; or more up-to-date AR4 fig 4. So... they are lying to us by omission.

The troposphere should warm faster than the sfc, say the models and basic theory. As indeed it does - unless you're wedded to the multiply-corrected Spencer+Christy version of the MSU series. Christy (naturally enough) features in this section, though he seems to have forgotten the US CCSP report, and the executive summary which he authored says Previously reported discrepancies between the amount of warming near the surface and higher in the atmosphere have been used to challenge the reliability of climate models and the reality of human induced global warming. Specifically, surface data showed substantial global-average warming, while early versions of satellite and radiosonde data showed little or no warming above the surface. This significant discrepancy no longer exists because errors in the satellite and radiosonde data have been identified and corrected. New data sets have also been developed that do not show such discrepancies. See-also previous RC posts.

Temperature leads CO2 by 800 years in the ice cores. Not quite as true as they said, but basically correct; however they misinterpret it. The way they said this you would have thought that T and CO2 are anti-correlated; but if you overlay the full 400/800 kyr of ice core record, you can't even see the lag because its so small. The correct interpretation of this is well known: that there is a T-CO2 feedback: see RC again for more.

All the previous parts of the programme were leading up to "so if it isn't CO2, what is it?" to which their answer is "solar". The section was curiously weak, and largely lead by pictures of people on beaches. It was somewhat surprising that they didn't feature Svensmark at all; other stuff we've commented on before. Note that the graph they used as "proof" of the excellent solar-T connection turns out to have some problems: see figure 1c of Damon and Laut.

Along the way the programme ticked off most of the other obligatory skeptic talking points: even down to Medieval English vineyards and that old favourite, volcanoes emitting more CO2 than humans.

It ended with politics, with a segment blaming the lack of African development on the environmental movement. We don't want to get into the politics, but should point out what the programme didn't: that Kyoto exempts developing nations."

Keeping it real is a very good idea. So it's best to look at the science and go from there.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with the
> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the IPCC.
> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.
> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are on
> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational, logical
> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.

>

> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)

>

> Just keeping it real,

> Rich

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...

>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>

>>

>> Neil wrote:

>>> Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>> term "global warming" or something?

>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>> Sarkozy on global warming:

>>>>

>>>> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
>>>> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
>>>> at stake is the destiny of mankind."

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:

>>>>> France Elects a Thatcherite President

>>>>> Kenneth R. Timmerman

>>>>> Monday, May 7, 2007

>>>>> Newsmax.com

>>>>
>>>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
> Sarkozy
>>>> as its new president.
>>>>
>>>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
> rival,
>>>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>>
>>>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
> earlier
>>>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>>
>>>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
> dismantle
>>>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
> competitive
>>> on
>>>> world markets again.
>>>>
>>>> You can read the rest here:
>>>>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>>> Carry on,
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 08:44:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jeez, some conservative he turned out to be. I want my money back. Er . .
.. I mean . . .

S

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f3746\$1@linux...
>
> Sarkozy on global warming:
>
> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the

> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is at
> stake is the destiny of mankind."
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>> Newsmax.com
>>
>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>> Sarkozy
>> as its new president.
>>
>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>> rival,
>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>
>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
>> earlier
>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>
>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>> dismantle
>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France competitive
>> on
>> world markets again.
>>
>> You can read the rest here:
>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>
>> Carry on,
>> Rich
>>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 08:49:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being sued by some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their

views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .

S

"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message news:463fc561@linux...

> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with the
> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the
> IPCC.

> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.

> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are
> on

> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
> logical

> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.

>

> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)

>

> Just keeping it real,

> Rich

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...

>>

>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>

>>

>> Neil wrote:

>> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>> > term "global warming" or something?

>>

>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>> >> Sarkozy on global warming:

>> >>

>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what is
>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."

>> >>

>> >> Cheers,

>> >> -Jamie
>> >> www.JamieKruz.com
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>> >>> Newsmax.com
>> >>>
>> >>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
> Sarkozy
>> >>> as its new president.
>> >>>
>> >>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
> rival,
>> >>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>> >>>
>> >>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
> earlier
>> >>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>> >>>
>> >>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
> dismantle
>> >>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
> competitive
>> > on
>> >>> world markets again.
>> >>>
>> >>> You can read the rest here:
>> >>>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>> >>>
>> >>> Carry on,
>> >>> Rich
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 13:40:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the

movie.

Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?

<http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>

The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If that is the case, humans are not the cause.

DC

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

> Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a
> well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being sued
by
> some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their
> views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .

>
>S

>
>
>

> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:463fc561@linux...

>> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
the
>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the

>> IPCC.

>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.
>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are

>> on

>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
>> logical
>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.

>>
>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)

>>
>> Just keeping it real,
>> Rich

>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> Neil wrote:
>>> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>> > term "global warming" or something?
>>>
>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>> >> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>> >>
>>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose
>>> the
>>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what
>>> is
>>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>> >>
>>> >> Cheers,
>>> >> -Jamie
>>> >> www.JamieKruz.com
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>> >>> Newsmax.com
>>> >>>
>>> >>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>> Sarkozy
>>> >>> as its new president.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>> rival,
>>> >>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from
>> an
>> earlier
>>> >>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>> >>>

>>> >>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>> dismantle
>>> >>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
>> competitive
>>> > on
>>> >>> world markets again.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> You can read the rest here:
>>> >>>
>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Carry on,
>>> >>> Rich
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 14:38:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks DC. My day was promising to be bland. Now I will be chuckling to myself for hours.
Gene

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some
>dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the
>movie.
>
>Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that
>someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?
>
><http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>
>
>The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into
>climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If that
>is the case, humans are not the cause.
>
>DC

>
>
>
>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a
>>well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being sued
>by
>>some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their

>>views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .
>>
>>S
>>
>>
>>
>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>news:463fc561@linux...
>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
>the
>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the
>
>>> IPCC.
>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.
>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists
are
>
>>> on
>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
>>> logical
>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
>>>
>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
>>>
>>> Just keeping it real,
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>>> > term "global warming" or something?
>>>>
>>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>> >> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose
>the
>>>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what
>is
>>>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Cheers,
>>>> >> -Jamie
>>>> >> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>>> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>>> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>>> >>> Newsmax.com
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>>> Sarkozy
>>>> >>> as its new president.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>>> rival,
>>>> >>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from
>an
>>> earlier
>>>> >>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>>> dismantle
>>>> >>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
>>> competitive
>>>> > on
>>>> >>> world markets again.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> You can read the rest here:
>>>> >>>
>>> <http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht>

ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>> Carry on,

>>>> >>> Rich

>>>> >>>

>>>> >>>

>>>> >

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 14:56:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are welcome. Aren't you glad we have DAW's now? In the old days we would be spending our time aligning tape machines and cleaning patch bays, and would not have the time to entertain ourselves jabbering over stuff like this!

Excuse me, I need to go repair my disc permissions...

DC

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>

>Thanks DC. My day was promising to be bland. Now I will be chuckling to myself

>for hours.

>Gene

>

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>>Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some
>>dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the
>>movie.

>>

>>Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that
>>someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?

>>

>><http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>

>>

>>The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into
>>climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If that

>>is the case, humans are not the cause.
>>
>>DC
>>
>>
>>
>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a
>>>well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being sued
>>by
>>>some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their
>
>>>views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .
>>>
>>>S
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>news:463fc561@linux...
>>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
>>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
>>the
>>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the
>>
>>>> IPCC.
>>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.
>>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists
>are
>>
>>>> on
>>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
>>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,

>>>> logical
>>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
>>>>
>>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
>>>>
>>>> Just keeping it real,
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>>>

>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>>> > term "global warming" or something?
>>>>
>>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>> >> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose
>>the
>>>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what
>>is
>>>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Cheers,
>>>> >> -Jamie
>>>> >> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>>> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>>> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>>> >>> Newsmax.com
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>>> Sarkozy
>>>> >>> as its new president.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>>> rival,
>>>> >>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from
>>>an
>>> earlier
>>>> >>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging
>>>to
>>>> dismantle
>>>> >>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
>>>> competitive
>>>> > on

>>>>> >>> world markets again.
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >>> You can read the rest here:
>>>>> >>>
>>>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >>> Carry on,
>>>>> >>> Rich
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 15:10:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You are right of course, we are just jabbering, but to be technically correct, I am overdue to clean my patchbay.

I have retired my 2 tracks to storage :-)

Gene

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
>You are welcome. Aren't you glad we have DAW's now? In the old days
>we would be spending our time aligning tape machines and cleaning
>patch bays, and would not have the time to entertain ourselves jabbering
>over stuff like this!

>
>Excuse me, I need to go repair my disc permissions...

>
>DC

>
>"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>>
>>Thanks DC. My day was promising to be bland. Now I will be chuckling to
>myself

>>for hours.
>>Gene
>>
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some
>>>dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the
>>>movie.
>>>
>>>Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that
>>>someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?
>>>
>>><http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>
>>>
>>>The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into
>>>climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If
that
>>>is the case, humans are not the cause.
>>>
>>>DC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a
>>>>well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being
sued
>>>>by
>>>>some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their
>>
>>>>views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .
>>>>
>>>>S
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:463fc561@linux...
>>>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parisites, here's another view regarding
the
>>>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>>>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
>>>>the
>>>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by
the
>>>>
>>>>> IPCC.

>>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.
>>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists >>are >>> on >>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch >>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational, > >>>> logical >>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate. >>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm) >>>> Just keeping it real, >>>> Rich >>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux... >>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my >>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing. >>>>> Cheers, >>>>> -Jamie >>>>> www.JamieKruz.com >>>>> Neil wrote: >>>>> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the >>>>> > term "global warming" or something? >>>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote: >>>>> >> Sarkozy on global warming: >>>>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose >>>the >>>>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what >>>is >>>>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind." >>>>> >> Cheers, >>>>> >> -Jamie >>>>> >> www.JamieKruz.com >>>>> >> >>>>> >>

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 15:51:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually Don, there is a smear campaign going the other way, financed by special interest money (largely Exxon). Meanwhile the facts and evidence are with the scientific consensus (which is why it is a scientific consensus). You should really read the science.

Unless you have a predisposition to either distrust science or believe things are fine, in which case you can ally yourself with a very few dissenting scientists (there are always a few in the minority on any subject), a cadre of special interest PR folks working diligently to extend Exxon profits, truth be damned, and a novelist.

But you'll be hard pressed to find solid peer-reviewed science to back them up. It's largely speculative, even desperate rationalizations amplified by special interest money that use repetition and talk radio to sound credible but are not. It's a house of cards built on unpublished and unsupported theories, roundly debunked by mainstream science based on facts on the ground and in the atmosphere.

A better path is to acknowledge the trumped up "controversy" for what it is, but then listen to what scientists in multiple fields have actually published in peer-reviewed journals. See how the evidence lines up. And draw your conclusions from there.

If you don't mind reading a matter-of-fact overview, I recommend the Charles Keller paper and its update linked here as a non-hyped way to start:

<http://www.thescientificworld.com/SCIENTIFICWORLDJOURNAL/main/Home.asp>

As for the latest Mars argument, do some research on that one and you'll find it's another red herring. An apples/oranges comparison that doesn't wash.

Finally, if the name Gore makes you see red, then simply ignore Al. This is not a partisan issue and it's not about any one guy. If you can't listen to a Democrat on the subject, listen to the many Republicans who have read the science and are getting to work on the problem. There's a long, long list of Republican scientists, business leaders and elected officials who recognize the problem and are getting to work on it.

Which leads us back to the topic of this thread: the new conservative French President Nicolas Sarkozy said in his acceptance speech that he will make global warming a top priority. For all we know he may hate Al as much as you do. But he recognizes the problem of global warming and is making it a priority.

Cheers,

-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

DC wrote:

> Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some
> dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the
> movie.

>
> Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that
> someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?

>
> <http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>

>
> The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into
> climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If that
> is the case, humans are not the cause.

>
> DC

>
>
>
>
> "Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>> Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a
>> well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being sued
> by
>> some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their
>> views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .

>>
>> S

>>
>>
>>
>>
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>> news:463fc561@linux...

>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
> the

>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the

>
>>> IPCC.

>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature change.
>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are

>
>>> on
>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,

>>> logical
>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
>>>
>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
>>>
>>> Just keeping it real,
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>> Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>>>> term "global warming" or something?
>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose
> the
>>>>>> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what
> is
>>>>>> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>>>>>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>>>>>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>>>>>> Newsmax.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>>> Sarkozy
>>>>>>> as its new president.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>>> rival,
>>>>>>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from
> an
>>> earlier
>>>>>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>>> dismantle
>>>>>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
>>> competitive
>>>>> on
>>>>>> world markets again.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You can read the rest here:
>>>>>>
>>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>>>>> Carry on,
>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 08 May 2007 16:06:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quantegy is gone aren't they? Is BASF still around?

Who would have thought..

DC

"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:

>
>You are right of course, we are just jabbering, but to be technically correct,
>I am overdue to clean my patchbay.
>

>
>I have retired my 2 tracks to storage :-(
>

>Gene

>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>
>>You are welcome. Aren't you glad we have DAW's now? In the old days
>>we would be spending our time aligning tape machines and cleaning
>>patch bays, and would not have the time to entertain ourselves jabbering
>>over stuff like this!
>>
>>Excuse me, I need to go repair my disc permissions...
>>
>>DC
>>
>>"Gene Lennon" <glennon@NOSPmyrealbox.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Thanks DC. My day was promising to be bland. Now I will be chuckling to
>>>myself
>>>for hours.
>>>Gene
>>>
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some
>>>>dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the
>>>>movie.
>>>>
>>>>Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that
>>>>someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?
>>>>
>>>><http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>
>>>>
>>>>The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into
>>>>climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If
>that
>>>>is the case, humans are not the cause.
>>>>
>>>>DC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:
>>>>>Haven't we already been through this one? Isn't this Durkin guy a
>>>>>well-known and questionably honest anti-environmentalist who's being
>sued
>>>>by
>>>>>some of scientists he used in the film for misleading editing of their
>>>>
>>>>>views? Rings a bell somewhere back there . . .
>>>>>
>>>>>S

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:463fc561@linux...
>>>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding
>the
>>>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>>>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
>>>>the
>>>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by
>the
>>>>
>>>>> IPCC.
>>>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature
>change.
>>>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists
>>>are
>>>>
>>>>> on
>>>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should
>watch
>>>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
>>
>>>>> logical
>>>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
>>>>>
>>>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
>>>>>
>>>>> Just keeping it real,
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught
>my
>>>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>>> > Whaddy have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>>>>> > term "global warming" or something?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:

>>>>>> >> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose
>>>>the
>>>>>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because
>what
>>>>is
>>>>>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> Cheers,
>>>>>> >> -Jamie
>>>>>> >> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>>>>> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>>>>> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>>>>> >>> Newsmax.com
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>>>>>> Sarkozy
>>>>>> >>> as its new president.
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>>>>>> rival,
>>>>>> >>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from
>>>>>> an
>>>>>> earlier
>>>>>> >>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging
>>to
>>>>>> dismantle
>>>>>> >>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
>>>>>> competitive
>>>>>> > on
>>>>>> >>> world markets again.
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> You can read the rest here:
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.shtml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>> >>> Carry on,
>>>>>> >>> Rich

> it where it is cold, my intent was to explain that warming the ocean
> could be dangerous---because it is such a gigantic reservoir of carbon.
> By its placement in the film, it appears that I am saying that since
> carbon dioxide exists in the ocean in such large quantities, human
> influence must not be very important --- diametrically opposite to the
> point I was making --- which is that global warming is both real and
> threatening in many different ways, some unexpected.
>
> Many of us feel an obligation to talk to the media---it's part of our
> role as scientists, citizens, and educators. The subjects are
> complicated, and it is easy to be misquoted or quoted out context. My
> experience in the past is that these things do happen, but usually
> inadvertently --- most reporters really do want to get it right.
>
> Channel 4 now says they were making a film in a series of "polemics".
> There is nothing in the communication we had (much of it on the
> telephone or with the film crew on the day they were in Boston) that
> suggested they were making a film that was one-sided, anti-educational,
> and misleading. I took them at face value---clearly a great error. I
> knew I had no control over the actual content, but it never occurred to
> me that I was dealing with people who already had a reputation for
> distortion and exaggeration."
>
>
> Here is a debunking from the climate scientists at realclimate.org:
>
>
> "On Thursday the 8th, the UK TV Channel 4 aired a programme titled "The
> Great Global Warming Swindle". We were hoping for important revelations
> and final proof that we have all been hornswoggled by the climate
> Illuminati, but it just repeated the usual specious claims we hear all
> the time. We feel swindled. Indeed we are not the only ones: Carl Wunsch
> (who was a surprise addition to the cast) was apparently misled into
> thinking this was going to be a balanced look at the issues (the
> producers have a history of doing this), but who found himself put into
> a very different context indeed [Update: a full letter from Wunsch
> appears as comment 109 on this post]
>
> So what did they have to say for themselves?
>
> CO2 doesn't match the temperature record over the 20th C. True but not
> relevant, because it isn't supposed to. The programme spent a long time
> agonising over what they presented as a sharp temperature fall for 4
> decades from 1940 to 1980 (incidentally their graph looks rather odd and
> may have been carefully selected; on a more usual (and sourced!) plot
> the "4 decades of cooling" is rather less evident). They presented this
> as a major flaw in the theory, which is deeply deceptive, because as
> they and their interviewees must know, the 40-70 cooling type period is

- > readily explained, in that the GCMs are quite happy to reproduce it, as
- > largely caused by sulphate aerosols. See this for a wiki-pic, for
- > example; or (all together now) the IPCC TAR SPM fig 4; or more
- > up-to-date AR4 fig 4. So... they are lying to us by omission.
- >
- > The troposphere should warm faster than the sfc, say the models and
- > basic theory. As indeed it does - unless you're wedded to the
- > multiply-corrected Spencer+Christy version of the MSU series. Christy
- > (naturally enough) features in this section, though he seems to have
- > forgotten the US CCSP report, and the executive summary which he
- > authored says Previously reported discrepancies between the amount of
- > warming near the surface and higher in the atmosphere have been used to
- > challenge the reliability of climate models and the reality of human
- > induced global warming. Specifically, surface data showed substantial
- > global-average warming, while early versions of satellite and radiosonde
- > data showed little or no warming above the surface. This significant
- > discrepancy no longer exists because errors in the satellite and
- > radiosonde data have been identified and corrected. New data sets have
- > also been developed that do not show such discrepancies. See-also
- > previous RC posts.
- >
- > Temperature leads CO2 by 800 years in the ice cores. Not quite as true
- > as they said, but basically correct; however they misinterpret it. The
- > way they said this you would have thought that T and CO2 are
- > anti-correlated; but if you overlay the full 400/800 kyr of ice core
- > record, you can't even see the lag because its so small. The correct
- > interpretation of this is well known: that there is a T-CO2 feedback:
- > see RC again for more.
- >
- > All the previous parts of the programme were leading up to "so if it
- > isn't CO2, what is it?" to which their answer is "solar". The section
- > was curiously weak, and largely lead by pictures of people on beaches.
- > It was somewhat surprising that they didn't feature Svensmark at all;
- > other stuff we've commented on before. Note that the graph they used as
- > "proof" of the excellent solar-T connection turns out to have some
- > problems: see figure 1c of Damon and Laut.
- >
- > Along the way the programme ticked off most of the other obligatory
- > skeptic talking points: even down to Medieval English vineyards and that
- > old favourite, volcanoes emitting more CO2 than humans.
- >
- > It ended with politics, with a segment blaming the lack of African
- > development on the environmental movement. We don't want to get into the
- > politics, but should point out what the programme didn't: that Kyoto
- > exempts developing nations."
- >
- >
- > Keeping it real is a very good idea. So it's best to look at the science

> and go from there.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKruz.com
>
>
>
> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> > Jamie and the rest of you Parisites, here's another view regarding the
> > global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These
scientists
> > were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
the
> > same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the
IPCC.
> > They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature
change.
> > Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are
on
> > the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
> > this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
logical
> > reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
> >
> > [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
> >
> > Just keeping it real,
> > Rich
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
> >> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
> >> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> www.JamieKruz.com
> >>
> >>
> >> Neil wrote:
> >>> Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
> >>> term "global warming" or something?
> >>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
> >>>> Sarkozy on global warming:
> >>>>
> >>>> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
> >>>> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what

is
> >>>> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
> >>>>
> >>>> Cheers,
> >>>> -Jamie
> >>>> www.JamieKruz.com
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
> >>>>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
> >>>>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
> >>>>> Monday, May 7, 2007
> >>>>> Newsmax.com
> >>>>>
> >>>>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
> > Sarkozy
> >>>>> as its new president.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
> > rival,
> >>>>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
> > earlier
> >>>>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
> > dismantle
> >>>>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
> > competitive
> >>> on
> >>>>> world markets again.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> You can read the rest here:
> >>>>>
> >
http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
> >>>>> Carry on,
> >>>>> Rich
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: OT: Wow, way to go France!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Wed, 09 May 2007 05:21:50 GMT

Hey, Rich, what I'm saying is crystal clear. The "Swindle" video is an admitted polemic. An exercise in sensationalism. It is not an objective look at the topic and shouldn't be taken as such.

Show me the data that supports the theory that the current warming spike is caused by solar gain. To the contrary, there are measurements that show there is NO such sudden increase from the sun to match our recent rising earth average temperature.

OTOH, to claim there is little evidence that humans are contributing is to ignore significant evidence.

I have to go with the evidence on this one. To keep it real is quite literally to go with the evidence.

To expect that all scientist will agree completely is to miss the point of science. Scientists constantly disagree on major and minor points. Some of them are always going to be wrong or partly wrong, but they all contribute by questioning the conclusions and, if they are serious, putting them to the test with further studies.

Now, after decades of studies, there is sufficient data to support the conclusions that A) there is measured global warming occurring now, B) it's beyond what we would expect from known natural cycles and sources and C) human contributions can account for a clear contribution.

Take a topic in science and you will likely be able to assemble a list of scientists with varying credibility (like the one below) who have large or small disagreements with the consensus. But look further and you'll find a much, much longer list of scientists completely or mostly agreeing with the consensus on human contributions to the current global warming. If you want to hitch your position to an appeal to authority, why limit yourself to the short list?

If you think it takes "balls" just to see the actual facts, then talk to the Governor in CA who has already moved on global warming. If you believe basing conclusions about our physical Earth on published science is "biased" then I'll accept a bias toward reality.

At any rate, what matters is who is seeing most clearly. Who is working from the data. Who has done the peer-reviewed studies. And where the preponderance of evidence leads.

It leads to the mainstream consensus, that's how scientific consensus forms. But this consensus is something the "Swindle" video seems to have gone out of its way to misrepresent and ironically demonize. Even to the extent of deliberately misrepresenting the views of at least one of the

scientists they interviewed. If you're going to call something crap, why not start with that?

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Rich Lamanna wrote:

> Jamie, cut the crap, man. If you're trying to say that everything in that
> video is bunk you're just completely biased. These are real scientists
> putting their names on the line. Of utmost relevance is that solar activity
> has a direct correlation with climatic temperature increases. Global warming
> is occurring obviously, but there is little evidence to support human CO2
> production as the source. If you're trying to say that these disagreeing
> scientists and experts such as Professor Phillip Scott: Dept. of
> Biogeography, U. of London, Prof. Patrick Michaels: Dept. of Env. Sci. U. of
> VA, Nigel Caulder: former editor for the New Scientist, Patrick Moore:
> co-founder of Greenpeace, Prof. Richard Lindzen: IPCC & MIT, Prof. Paul
> Reiter: IPCC & Pasteur Inst. Paris, Prof. Ian Clark: Dept. of Earth Science,
> U. of Ottawa, Prof. John Christy: Lead author of IPCC report, to name a few
> are full of it, then you've got balls. They all disagree with the panel's
> findings. They weren't coerced or misled, that's nonsense. Scientists may
> disagree but to disregard the opposing argument as non science is just plain
> non scientific and fascistic.

>
> Still just keeping it real,
> Rich

>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:464003f3@linux...
>> Thanks for bringing that up, Rich. Talk about a "Swindle."
>> Sensationalism sells but that doesn't make it true. Let's take a look at
>> what we have here:

>>
>> This from one of the scientists quoted in that film, Carl Wunsch:

>>
>>
>> "In the part of the "Swindle" film where I am describing the fact that
>> the ocean tends to expel carbon dioxide where it is warm, and to absorb
>> it where it is cold, my intent was to explain that warming the ocean
>> could be dangerous---because it is such a gigantic reservoir of carbon.
>> By its placement in the film, it appears that I am saying that since
>> carbon dioxide exists in the ocean in such large quantities, human
>> influence must not be very important --- diametrically opposite to the
>> point I was making --- which is that global warming is both real and
>> threatening in many different ways, some unexpected.

>>
>> Many of us feel an obligation to talk to the media---it's part of our
>> role as scientists, citizens, and educators. The subjects are
>> complicated, and it is easy to be misquoted or quoted out context. My
>> experience in the past is that these things do happen, but usually
>> inadvertently --- most reporters really do want to get it right.
>>
>> Channel 4 now says they were making a film in a series of "polemics".
>> There is nothing in the communication we had (much of it on the
>> telephone or with the film crew on the day they were in Boston) that
>> suggested they were making a film that was one-sided, anti-educational,
>> and misleading. I took them at face value---clearly a great error. I
>> knew I had no control over the actual content, but it never occurred to
>> me that I was dealing with people who already had a reputation for
>> distortion and exaggeration."
>>
>>
>> Here is a debunking from the climate scientists at realclimate.org:
>>
>>
>> "On Thursday the 8th, the UK TV Channel 4 aired a programme titled "The
>> Great Global Warming Swindle". We were hoping for important revelations
>> and final proof that we have all been hornswoggled by the climate
>> Illuminati, but it just repeated the usual specious claims we hear all
>> the time. We feel swindled. Indeed we are not the only ones: Carl Wunsch
>> (who was a surprise addition to the cast) was apparently misled into
>> thinking this was going to be a balanced look at the issues (the
>> producers have a history of doing this), but who found himself put into
>> a very different context indeed [Update: a full letter from Wunsch
>> appears as comment 109 on this post]
>>
>> So what did they have to say for themselves?
>>
>> CO2 doesn't match the temperature record over the 20th C. True but not
>> relevant, because it isn't supposed to. The programme spent a long time
>> agonising over what they presented as a sharp temperature fall for 4
>> decades from 1940 to 1980 (incidentally their graph looks rather odd and
>> may have been carefully selected; on a more usual (and sourced!) plot
>> the "4 decades of cooling" is rather less evident). They presented this
>> as a major flaw in the theory, which is deeply deceptive, because as
>> they and their interviewees must know, the 40-70 cooling type period is
>> readily explained, in that the GCMs are quite happy to reproduce it, as
>> largely caused by sulphate aerosols. See this for a wiki-pic, for
>> example; or (all together now) the IPCC TAR SPM fig 4; or more
>> up-to-date AR4 fig 4. So... they are lying to us by omission.
>>
>> The troposphere should warm faster than the sfc, say the models and
>> basic theory. As indeed it does - unless you're wedded to the

>> multiply-corrected Spencer+Christy version of the MSU series. Christy
>> (naturally enough) features in this section, though he seems to have
>> forgotten the US CCSP report, and the executive summary which he
>> authored says Previously reported discrepancies between the amount of
>> warming near the surface and higher in the atmosphere have been used to
>> challenge the reliability of climate models and the reality of human
>> induced global warming. Specifically, surface data showed substantial
>> global-average warming, while early versions of satellite and radiosonde
>> data showed little or no warming above the surface. This significant
>> discrepancy no longer exists because errors in the satellite and
>> radiosonde data have been identified and corrected. New data sets have
>> also been developed that do not show such discrepancies. See-also
>> previous RC posts.

>>
>> Temperature leads CO2 by 800 years in the ice cores. Not quite as true
>> as they said, but basically correct; however they misinterpret it. The
>> way they said this you would have thought that T and CO2 are
>> anti-correlated; but if you overlay the full 400/800 kyr of ice core
>> record, you can't even see the lag because its so small. The correct
>> interpretation of this is well known: that there is a T-CO2 feedback:
>> see RC again for more.

>>
>> All the previous parts of the programme were leading up to "so if it
>> isn't CO2, what is it?" to which their answer is "solar". The section
>> was curiously weak, and largely lead by pictures of people on beaches.
>> It was somewhat surprising that they didn't feature Svensmark at all;
>> other stuff we've commented on before. Note that the graph they used as
>> "proof" of the excellent solar-T connection turns out to have some
>> problems: see figure 1c of Damon and Laut.

>>
>> Along the way the programme ticked off most of the other obligatory
>> skeptic talking points: even down to Medieval English vineyards and that
>> old favourite, volcanoes emitting more CO2 than humans.

>>
>> It ended with politics, with a segment blaming the lack of African
>> development on the environmental movement. We don't want to get into the
>> politics, but should point out what the programme didn't: that Kyoto
>> exempts developing nations."

>>
>>
>> Keeping it real is a very good idea. So it's best to look at the science
>> and go from there.

>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> www.JamieKruz.com

>>
>>

>>
>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parasites, here's another view regarding the
>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These
> scientists
>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
> the
>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by the
> IPCC.
>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature
> change.
>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists are
> on
>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should watch
>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
> logical
>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
>>>
>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
>>>
>>> Just keeping it real,
>>> Rich
>>>
>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught my
>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> -Jamie
>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>
>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>> Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>>>> term "global warming" or something?
>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose the
>>>>>> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because what
> is
>>>>>> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> www.JamieKruz.com
>>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>>>>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>>>>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>>>>> Newsmax.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On Sunday France elected a pro-American, conservative named Nicolas
>>> Sarkozy
>>>>>> as its new president.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The 52-year-old who beat back by 10 percentage points his Socialist
>>> rival,
>>>>>> promises an unabashed Thatcherite agenda for France.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarkozy also replaces the embattled Jacques Chirac, a relic from an
>>> earlier
>>>>>> age of French politics, who made anti-Americanism his hallmark.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Sarkozy campaigned on a platform of sweeping reforms, pledging to
>>> dismantle
>>>>>> large portions of the social welfare state and to make France
>>> competitive
>>>>> on
>>>>>> world markets again.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You can read the rest here:
>>>>>>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2007/5/6/150434.sht ml?s=al&promo_code=3392-1
>>>>>> Carry on,
>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>
>
>
