Subject: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deej [1] on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 17:56:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

\* step in a final master. the full 32 bit range is only useful if you have passages that almost disappear (read classical music). With busier styles of music, or higher track counts, the least significant bits are buried anyway, so I wouldn't sweat it. I would definitely opt for the solution that does not add audible noise to the final mix. If there is one thing I hate, it's noisy tail!!! ;-)

David.

DJ wrote:

- > Due to cumulative dither noise which I am hearing in the tails of my bounced
- > tracks before I fade them, I have been considering removing the dither
- > plugins from my Cubase channels that are handling the quantization noise
- > from Cubase SX as the channels are individually truncated from 32bit to 20
- > bit when they leave the SX environment and travel throught the Paris 20bit
- > ADAT channels for summing in Paris.
- >
- > My ears will be the final judge of this and I don't h

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by gene lennon on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 18:12:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ave time to do a lot of

- > critical listening/experimenting with this right now, but I'm just
- > wondering, \*in theory\*, would the cumulative quantization noise that would
- > result from the truncation of, say 20 + tracks, be offset by one final
- > dither of the bounced mix?
- >
- > I'm leaving town tomorrow, but willbe glad to be tyhe guinea pig on this
- > when I return next week sometime. I'm just wondering if anyone has already
- > tried this or wants to hazard a guess based on the science behind it?
- >
- > Deej
- >
- >

>I don't know why you're dithering more than one time, on the very last step before mastering, in the first place.

Are you gaining anything by this - save for more noise? I mean,

## Neil

"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

>Due to cumulative dither noise which I am hearing in the tails of my bounced >tracks before I fade them, I have been considering removing the dither >plugins from my Cubase channels that are handling the quantization noise >from Cubase SX as the channels are individually truncated from 32bit to 20

>bit when they leave the SX environment and travel throught the Paris 20bit >ADAT channels for summing in Paris.

>

>My ears will be the final judge of this and I don't have time to do a lot of

>critical listening/experimenting with this right now, but I'm just
>wondering, \*in theory\*, would the cumulative quantization noise that would
>result from the truncation of, say 20 + tracks,

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deej [1] on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 18:29:52 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

be offset by one final >dither of the bounced mix?

>

>I'm leaving town tomorrow, but willbe glad to be type guinea pig on this >when I return next week sometime. I'm just wondering if anyone has already >tried this or wants to hazard a guess based on the science behind it?

>

>Deej

>

>

>This was the reason for my question. Either way I do, I'm going to have either cumulative quantization noise or cumulate dither noise because I'm truncating multiple tracks. If one dithering pass can cure the cumulative quantization noise, then, if the cumulative quantization isn't so bad that I feel like I have to start using subtractive EQ in the frequencies where it is creating harshness, then a single dither would be the ticket if it will address the \*cumulative\* quantization noise.

When I get back, I'm going to \*undither\* the mix template and see what kind of results I get.

I have found that the Waves IDR seems to yield a nicer result with my bounces that have cumulative dither noise than the Apogee UV22 that I would

normally use.

>

>

>

> >

Anyway, thanks for your feedback.

"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:432333fb\$1@linux... > I don't know why you're dithering more than one time, on the > very last step before mastering, in the first place. > Are you gaining anything by this - save for more noise? I mean, > in terms of some kind of sonic quality? > Neil > "DJ" <animix spam-this-ahole @animas.net> wrote: > >Due to cumulative dither noise which I am hearing in the tails of my bounced > >tracks before I fade them, I have been considering removing the dither > >plugins from my Cubase channels that are handling the guantization noise > > from Cubase SX as the channels are individually truncated from 32bit to > 20 > >bit when they leave the SX environment and travel throught the Paris 20bit >ADAT channels for summing in Paris. > > > >My ears will be the final judge of this and I don't have time to do a lot > of> >critical listening/experimenting with this right now, but I'm just > >wondering, \*in theory\*, would the cumulative quantization noise that would > >result from the truncation of, say 20 + tracks, be offset by one final > >dither of the bounced mix? > > > >l'm leaving town tomorrow, but will be glad to be type guinea pig on this > when I return next week sometime. I'm just wondering if anyone has already

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deei [1] on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 18:36:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> >tried this or wants to hazard a guess based on the science behind it?

- > >
- > >Deei

>>

>> >>

>If there is one thing I hate, it's > noisy tail!!! ;-)

Well.....at least you can't smell dither.

;oP

"Dave(EK Sound)" <audioguy\_nospam\_@shaw.ca> wrote in message news:43232cba@linux...

> If the tracks in SX are fairly hot, I would let the transfer

> process truncate them rather than dither. Really, dither

> should be the \*last\* step in a final master. the full 32

> bit range is only useful if you have passages that almost

> disappear (read classical music). With busier styles of

> music, or higher track counts, the least significant bits

> are buried anyway, so I wouldn't sweat it. I would

> definitely opt for the solution that does not add audible

> noise to the final mix. If there is one thing I hate, it's

> noisy tail!!! ;-)

> > David.

>

> DJ wrote:

>

> Due to cumulative dither noise which I am hearing in the tails of my bounced

> > tracks before I fade them, I have been considering removing the dither

> > plugins from my Cubase channels that are handling the quantization noise

> from Cubase SX as the channels are individually truncated from 32bit to
 20

> bit when they leave the SX environment and travel throught the Paris 20bit

> > ADAT channels for summing in Paris.

> >

> My ears will be the final judge of this and I don't have time to do a lot of

> > critical listening/experimenting with this right now, but I'm just

> > wondering, \*in theory\*, would the cumulative quantization noise that would

> > result from the truncation of, say 20 + tracks, be offset by one final

> > dither of the bounced mix?

> >

> I'm leaving town tomorrow, but willbe glad to be type guinea pig on this
 > when I return next week sometime. I'm just wondering if anyone has already

> tried this or wants to hazard a guess based on the science behind it?

> > Deej

>>

> >

> >http://apnews.myway.com/article/20050909/D8CGNUIO0.html

Wow...

This looks like somebody trying to hammer this poor guy Terry by using the cops and the courts. Welcome to Hollywood mo-fo...

I'm glad the charges were dropped.

DC

And look at that defense attorney? Maybe someone 'round here is moonlighting eh?[] DC... (nutball)

- [] Dumbya (speaks for itself, smart yet retarded)
- [] Tyrone (dude is like a tree stump)
- [] Michael Bliss (dude is just straight delusional)
- [] rick (dude is actually funny tho)

put an X next to your choice by September 30th

yo moderator... delete this threadYou shouldn't say the "B" word around here, some folks get all ruffled

feathers and stuff but hey, cool idea and very cool price...!! Rob\_A

"Dimitrios" <musurgio@otenet.gr> wrote in message news:4322f9f4@linux...

> There will be out from day to day a new device from Behringer called

> micromixer.

- > It will mix 4 mono inputs into one for 24.99 \$ each !
- > So if you need to to pass 4 auxes from two (up to 4) mecs into 4 headphone
- > sends then 4 little devices for 100 \$.
- > I will definetely go that way.
- > Regards,
- > Dimitrios

>

>just kidding by the way... you dont have to answer...

PS I meant to include Brandon on that list.... what is that dude like 12?

"cron" <

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by audioguy\_nospam\_ on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 18:58:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cron@hydrorecords.compound" target="\_blank">cron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

news:43236564\$1@linux...

>[ ] DC... (nutball)

> [ ] Dumbya (speaks for itself, smart yet retarded)

- > [] Tyrone (dude is like a tree stump)
- > [] Michael Bliss (dude is just straight delusional)
- > [] rick (dude is actually funny tho)

>

> put an X next to your choice by September 30th

>

>

>

> yo moderator... delete this thread

> >

>Why are you getting truncation? is it becasue you're going from SX into Paris digitally? I've read a few of your posts about how you have your system set-up, but honestly, without doing the same thing myself, it's hard for me personally to visualize what's going going where. If you're getting truncation because you're going digitally into Paris, have you considered coming out of SX with a 4-buss analog submix (through your Multiface) and into the Paris analog 8-in's?

Neil

"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

>This was the reason for my question. Either way I do, I'm going to have >either cumulative quantization noise or cumulate dither noise because I'm >truncating multiple tracks. If one dithering pass can cure the cumulative >quantization noise, then, if the cumulative quantization isn't so bad that I

>feel like I have to start using subtractive EQ in the frequencies where it

>is creating harshness, then a single dither w

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Neil on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:28:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message ould be the ticket if it will

>address the \*cumulative\* quantization noise.

>

>When I get back, I'm going to \*undither\* the mix template and see what kind >of results I get.

>

>I have found that the Waves IDR seems to yield a nicer result with my >bounces that have cumulative dither noise than the Apogee UV22 that I would >normally use.

>

>Anyway, thanks for your feedback.

> >

>"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:432333fb\$1@linux...

>>

>> I don't know why you're dithering more than one time, on the

>> very last step before mastering, in the first place.

>>

>> Are you gaining anything by this - save for more noise? I mean,

>> in terms of some kind of sonic quality?

>>

>> Neil

>>

>>

>> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote:

>> >Due to cumulative dither noise which I am hearing in the tails of my >bounced

>> >tracks before I fade them, I have been considering removing the dither

>> >plugins from my Cubase channels that are handling the quantization noise

>> > from Cubase SX as the channels are individually truncated from 32bit

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deej [1] on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 21:23:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

br /> to >> 20

>> >bit when they leave the SX environment and travel throught the Paris >20bit

>> >ADAT channels for summing in Paris.

>> >

>> >My ears will be the final judge of this and I don't have time to do a

lot

>> of
>> >critical listening/experimenting with this right now, but I'm just

>> >wondering, \*in theory\*, would the cumulative quantization noise that

>would

>> >result from the truncation of, say 20 + tracks, be offset by one final >> >dither of the bounced mix?

>> >

>> >I'm leaving town tomorrow, but willbe glad to be type guinea pig on this >> >when I return next week sometime. I'm just wondering if anyone has >alreadv

>> >tried this or wants to hazard a guess based on the science behind it? >> >

>> >Deej
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>"Neil" <oiuoiu@oiu.com> wrote in message news:43236aec\$1@linux</oiuoiu@oiu.com>
>
> Why are you getting truncation? is it becasue you're going from
> SX into Paris digitally?

That's right. I'm tracking in Paris at 24 bit, converting the .paf's to ...way, then importing them to a Cubase Mix, processing them at 32 bit, the squeezing them back through a bunch of Paris 20bit lightpipe inputs to be summed there.

I've read a few of your posts about

> how you have your system set-up, but honestly, without doing

> the same thing myself, it's hard for me personally to visualize

> what's going going where. If you're getting truncation because

> you're going digitally into Paris, have you considered coming

> out of SX with a 4-buss analog submix (through your Multiface)

> and into the Paris analog 8-in's?

>

> > > >

that would be too easy Neil.....remember.....this is Mr.Simplicity we're talking about here ;o)

> Neil > "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote: > >This was the reason for my question. Either way I do, I'm going to have > >either cumulative quantization noise or cumulate dither noise because I'm > >truncating multiple tracks. If one dithering pass can cure the cumulative > >quantization noise, then, if the cumulative quantization isn't so bad that

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deei [1] on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 21:24:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message to start using subtractive EQ in the frequencies where > it > >is creating harshness, then a single dither would be the ticket if it will > >address the \*cumulative\* quantization noise. > > >When I get back, I'm going to \*undither\* the mix template and see what kind > > of results I get. > > > >I have found that the Waves IDR seems to yield a nicer result with my > >bounces that have cumulative dither noise than the Apogee UV22 that I would > >normally use. > > > >Anyway, thanks for your feedback. > > > > > >"Neil" <OIUIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:432333fb\$1@linux... > >> >>> I don't know why you're dithering more than one time, on the > >> very last step before mastering, in the first place. > >> > >> Are you gaining anything by this - save for more noise? I mean, > >> in terms of some kind of sonic quality? > >> > >> Neil > >> > >> > >> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote: >>>>Due to cumulative dither noise which I am hearing in the tails of my > >bounced > >> >tracks before I fade them, I have been considering removing the dither > >> >plugins from my Cubase channels that are handling the quantization noise > >> >from Cubase SX as the channels are individually truncated from 32bit > to > >> 20 > >> >bit when they leave the SX environment and travel throught the Paris

> >20bit
>> >ADAT channels for summing in Paris.
>> >
>>> >My ears will be the final judge of this and I don't have time to do a
> lot
>> of
>>> >critical listening/experimenting with this right now, but I'm just
>> >wondering, \*in theory\*, would the cumulative

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Neil on Sat, 10 Sep 2005 23:23:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

;0)

"cron" <cron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message news:432367dc@linux... > just kidding by the way... you dont have to answer...

>

> PS I meant to include Brandon on that list.... what is that dude like 12?

> >

> "cron" <cron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote in message

> news:43236564\$1@linux...

>>[ ] DC... (nutball)

>>[] Dumbya (speaks for itself, smart yet retarded)

>>[] Tyrone (dude is like a tree stump)

>>[] Michael Bliss (dude is just straight delusional)

>>[] rick (dude is actually funny tho)

> >

> > put an X next to your choice by September 30th

> >

> >

> >

> > yo moderator... delete this thread

> >

> >

> >

>

>Well, I guess this click is to tight to include my lame questions, but seriously, I started reading some of the threads in this group and now I realize it's not ME that needs the help.

Can't say I didn't try.

Over and out.Hmmmmm......well.....OK.....I saw that you asked a lot of

questions about what you should do. Looks like you haven't made up your mind about which direction you should go. Looks like you've got a lot of ideas and options. I posted up what I'm doing in a thread titled \*For you guys who are looking to sync paris.....yadda yadda\*......

The ADC in Cubase is nice. There are latency issues using analog gear with Paris.....not big insurmountable issues, but little 1.5ms issues. There are some issues using SX and Paris too and there is some specific hardware that works for this really well. If you check the attachment to my post, the gear you see there will work. I know this for a fact. It's easy to dig a moneypit expsertimeting until you find the right combination of stuff. If you want to shoot the breeze about this, e-mail me at animix@animas.net. I'll be back in town toward the end of next week and might be able to help you point yourself in the right direction. If you want to search my posts, there is a lot of info there about using Paris in tandem with a native DAW. Gene Lennon is doing this too.

and..... I'm always in need of help so you pegged it pretty well.

;O)

"Joey B." <joeybeebad@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4323a379@linux... > Well, I guess this click is to tight to include my lame questions, but > seriously, I started reading some of the threads in this group and now I > realize it's not ME that needs the help. > > Can't say I didn't try. >

> Over and out.

>

>OK.....here goes.....

.. I've included a photo if anyone is interested. I hope it shows up.

>

nice looking setup.

> Right now, I have PARIS set up on it's own, using some outboard gear as
 > inserts and aux. FX.

1.5 ms round trip from A/D to D/A. Be sure to compensate.

>I've also found a way to use the Aux sends as busses so > that I may strap stereo dynamics ac

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither

ross a multiple track sub.

You're going to get eh same 1.5 ms doing this so you may hear a little phasing. Dimitrios was taking about tding this in an earlier post. You might want to search his recent posts.

I do very > little editing, and don't really use any PARIS effects. I esentially am > using PARIS as you would a tape deck and desk, I do use the editor for track

> alignment with multi mic sources, and I will on ocasion remove a flub, but I

> did that with mutes back in the day anyway.

Pretty much the same with me, except that I do find the Paris FX to be handy for some things.

>

> I have a second computer running SX which has an RME HDSP 96/52 in it. I

> really only use it to transfer SX tracks from another studio into PARIS,

but

> I think I'd eventually like to either begin recording into SX or even

> upgrade to Nuendo, and simply use PARIS as a mixer. THe main reason dor this

> is to use the SX latenc compensation. I also have a couple UAD-1s and some

> soft synths that I may or may not use (I have 8 analog channels of decent > compression and EQ, and prefer using these, printing them to disk as I need

> more channels). My ultimate goal is to eventually replace PARIS with either

> a mixer (probably a neotek) or a summing box (probably an API). The bigest
 > problem is that PARIS is SO easy to use for me compared to SX. I'm from the

> old school. Learned on a 4 track cassete, moved to a Tascam 38 1/2",

> eventually replaced it with a couple af DA-38s and then PARIS.

>

> My first question is...where would you all go from here?

I will eventually

> need some nice converters. Should I buy a Lynx Aurora or Appogee DA and

> AD-16x now (I have a big ben and it makes a noticable difference with the

> stock PARIS converters). Has anyone compared either to the stock converters

> at 44.1 with a good clock?

I use the WC from my Mytek converters (10 picoseconds). It makes a very

positive difference to my ears.

How would that integrate with the ADAT module at

> only 20bit? (I noticed one of you all mentioning having to dither every > track to 20 bit).

> track to 20 bit).

Read my recent ( and not so recent posts) posts. Lots of info there.

>

> Maybe I should use what I have now, then when I'm ready, move to something > like a Nyquist RADAR and a real board? SX or Nuendo just seems more

> like a Nyquist RADAR and a real board? SX or Nuendo just seems more tempting

> because I'm half way there, and only need the converters, but I sure like

> the idea of a closed system such as RADAR or even the new TASCAM 48 track.

> The problem is I am sometimes frustrated with PARIS as it seems to have some

> issues with routing sound when I don't tell it to (I was recently doing a

> drum sub using an auxilary mix to two new tracks and I was getting aux

> bleed, even when no auxilary send was active).

Are you using Paris 3.0? I think the aux bleed problem was solved in this verison.

It's stuff like that that

> just makes me drop what I'm doing and go eat a doughnut or something.

Yep. It's definitely got some frustrating quirks and limitations.

>

> Anyway, sorry if this post is a little confused, but I AM a little confused

> right now. Maybe I just need to vent a little bit, but hopefully a

> benificial discussion can come of this.

>

> Thanks,

>

> Joey Bee

>

Give me a call sometime at 970-769-0499 if you want and we can discuss this.

DJ

>

>i guess i'll vote for me. seems that gives me the triple crown in bipedal dis functionality as i'm

an idiot

a drunk

and now the stupidest redneck in the neighborhood...kewl. and to

think my mom thought i'd never amount to anything.

On Sat, 10 Sep 2005 19:00:01 -0400, "cron" <cron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:

- >[ ] DC... (nutball)
- >[ ] Dumbya (speaks for itself, smart yet retarded)
- >[] Tyrone (dude is like a tree stump)
- >[] Michael Bliss (dude is just straight delusional)
- >[] rick (dude is actually funny tho)

>put an X next to your choice by September 30th

> > > >yo moderator... delete this thread

>

>

>Greetings :)

Anyone needing AES Passes for this - Please I

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Dimitrios on Sun, 11 Sep 2005 17:58:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## N0dX

Z3eHI6e3x//aAAwDAQACEQMRAD8AK15mNoOvgrFMOMbJA7iP7leOLU14Owd5 89UU117RsbExM6Ge61DPwc3hajKJMiPJT+yudqGyfIBGFUHT2/kKtY4O4NbL nRo1ok/1h+8mmShHu55xXM5EfJO3FsdG1u6eBC1bacp9lLfRfoXySwiYrd9L +1/01NjLBEMIMkNPwO120/yXe16XueS7gvu4zgTERx5JvSGvtB8dAtgzBvsj YA33S4+Z/wDMkOzpmS0QGyYnnSPNIZB3Cval2csAgRA08gmLXTI+7sr7cSyI OrvACUm45EyBpgf70uMI4C5rxZH0QPHRD2nf7tPIBa4x2vEBog9xx96TsGsC XwPDyRE0cBaVfpPJGjT5wifZxpLZnyVluNjt10AHMyiTU36OrifgEuJXC0zj iOPIAUTQNzBAmHaafyFcOI98FpBnvOnKk7p75YZbw/Wdf8H/ANJAyHdlgez/ AP/Q7RmHbYNWtiTxpp+and0uyPbr5f71rModuLnOkeA0RHUgjzCn902xezF5 2zFLXBpE6cq7h+CyfrUywfV3MDHuqcXUAWMO1zQb6q7a+W7V2VmJubDREd51 K5/654O36t5ctc9hsxt1dbg15Hr1fRstD62f22J8coNAsc8NAkdNXyn9nYlv 87ZY+BJFlobP0tGtd6jnLp/gVk5OL9ZcLAxrnV4eVtbk4o91TiMM2ixrX/zd 7fQq/TV7LLP8J6qxmUlrwbamPa0jdW+9tcz9FvqMfv8A6/prU+qLSPrp0sHs SJGo0wbfzqpclcMvJZqkTMA2X0H619QzOi9Cy+odPrYcikVem+0SybLa6Hhz NzPo1v8A3I5zZ9cfrrkFu/gQr2uBArorAkH2uc7/AL572L0P6/M3fVLNY54Z LscF5BIH6xR+a33LvsVsbE30uefzfcIE/vn2/wCco8AjKJMhZverX5pSjIAH o9p9SfrL1bJzG9J6qft3q0uvqyzDbWlga62izY3ZdW/1P0H0LKf5v9L/AIPr rMf1NRRoCQCDJXBfUIT9ZsfUOjFu1bxxj6hemNtoENaRqYACZIPDP0jpa+I4 ogkubk41GNWHgwXctd/0ljZV53OEaAnX+K287Bf6e91hcfzpnjsufvbB5+SI

wkEb2w5gQdgROyCk24yDPCA4FINceFMwat+nJAIdOo/BFN59h3fvf98VCsOH bj/Xsikn2aae7/viaav6rxs//9H1RQdZBI2n4qsM4udDRIE69IJ2TzLqB56J 3CeoW8QTerHI1WD9eS236sZdboANmMDIBGuRR2cy7/z0tf12/muGnEeSwfr0 w5H1Xy6mRufZjACCeMip30Wz+6nRj6h5hbMnhPk+e12YrBuDms3aG1rCIEOb 6IW3G3/Sd+m2eibYrn1Rdu+vHTneLieT/wBwbv8ASF1n+e+xZZ6S8OLzaNBM mstkHgtn291o/VJor+u3TWg7gHlu7gOjCtbugbm7v6j1Znw8MqN6Fr4PnGr3 /wDjAb6/1TzamlsudjgF0huuRRztDl5lX0uwwfXx2yfok2A/+et27+SvRvru +w/VXM+zu2278XY4GNftFP5xXnAq6u+JscZ0htgGnmylvkmYrESBIR16pzm5 Dyeh+obPT+tNDf3cW8EkBp0+z/SaHWbf+3LF6JZjVixr6wPb2Mwf6y81+pD7 GfWar1SXO+y5BNk75MUPLt59zty9Fdk1OdtDpPZNy3xWOwZoEcEbpfKLCP01 ntlkj4fyfpOVS7EpZ727bHEcaHRRzb6S5pJO5uol8+ygP6g0aCAOYHklGJoU snKNm6a+S3ad5ZAJgcKuGOdJaI7n/crL81pPYIDflM1OmunCmBPZhIHdHtcA HakEmD8Ei4wzT97/AL4hvyqxp+CicxssOm2HfD8xO17KsP8A/9Lq/wBoQNok AHyUT1Nx13T81kF/I31UN0kDhXuANHjk7bepRqSdeFm/WbOGZ0O/G9zvUso0 a0PdDbA/21nfu4/dVYvA+Kp9SuIxLHVmX1W1BwbyD7LNv0Xf4G5j/wCokYCi eoGijkIVOGaS1rt4sIMbawNo3NMs2Cvd/W9X9EifV+0U/XLCfucQ2zlwgn9U ePeNPehG28NEPDOdkTtOvucxIrG/zb9z/wDz4z8xD6bY8/WiFL3OeS/V7tXE /Zjuc535z3O9yA1BB6ogSCT2Be2+sec6zoOQwaS/H51/w1evt3O9g5IW2QQ1 w3u0IEEn+U/j971P+M9Na/VrR+zbATA30k6gcWM1I3sasM5OQ+XNsaCJHu2g gH3em7a/b9L6P/gxCUaIAA+g0yvV0vgza5v1iBmSca8lw0ma6pXYjJPHHwXC 9CtLOt7p19C3Xnllf5yt9d+tWb0jlZTjYVWUx1LLrLbHPZs3vsoE+IZW30/0 X84jKgLPgGWJ2Hg9Xa4u+k4x4SgGuo8ifmuR6V9eMrO6lR07OwK6DluYyi2h zxtNomt9jMh9zbqXy3+bfT/136C6EXkxB18PilHUaFUxR1Db9GmOB5QoFIA7 LP6p1Kzp2Fbkuq9R9RYPRc70p3uFXuscDs27liU/XYH3ZWC6uoiQ7Hs9Rw7+ 6u302v8A7D606j4rRrsA9PFI4aEi6iWCBw7t/UVey3a5zHQSwlpjyQH31i+I nd7LXxOu1hoZuP8AJ32+miwovwf/07OB1np/VK7bMPcRS4NsbY0NILw59Tva 5+6uxrH+5GL2Hlcb9Ubizql9QMMtxSXgyZNZqsrc3d9H3PcuqfkU1NaXmA6y uocmXWuFVfHu/OV4NOQ1ru2am1vurY7VrntDhPIJAXFYfUuq5bOotse+97hT k7S1rf0zL8fEbYPT2O2txv0f5IT9n6RdcS0iwRIFdgcDxGx8rguksgc9vLT6 FkOPtcYNLdv0tv8ApEidFoG+38vV/wBy3COpWP8A6O71CRtaA3kA1s2Ne57n Oa32M2InTP8AxSYkj03S3cwAgtP2X6G137gmaMcuLWtc8Rplk/nEOO1zvp7f 5xD6dH/ObH0qbpDddB9mdw7+SkD+zpSo9flu91l2zpVpY0ucH0w0cn9lzTq/ 9SsAZGWTPovJHch/wnlvuW31exn2N2OHRe8V3V1zDyxtzKt7Gu+k31P3f9Ha sjZkatcQT3A7R/W+i3T/ANEpSIvospN0W3/LAJAaTRZLddJZX+9P/VIf1n6h bjdSpfXc6iz0KnstYWhw9N2Zw14/SN/S/Q9Wrf8Azf6RQ6TcR9YK2ug+q19Z 8pp9Xe3/ALZRutW0U9TptugBldDmlxhn/a52ui/pO+i+i/69SmZiPbOl6heB rE1emzgYvULMfq+HIA/bDgmuxw3NbIqLrXVsyX7vbtd+7/I/Sel6i37vrdfb S6irp99Fjy0C2rLa2wNY9j7WUvZQ17LL62Po3t/0n83Z9BYTbHHqFdtjqS/H DnPawtndg6a2j6f5v/Cf4P8ARenYrmLtdkVtLPVNhj2B43QdrHWGt7XbtngU /q/81d/pbFCMhEaH1ZZ1pcdhfX/0FB0/q/U6X04teRkZGN7duG6wlmjfUpaG Ftu1tTtv0K/0vpfQrU8625z7X25FWbZc3e+6hwO5z9tnq2tvprdvd9L09IVv qfpLP03qLNwt/wBoY60FoY1hDmANc0kfq7men9Pd+j3/AOFemsJbjV2turc9 8F7Wb94cRO61zv0e56mgRofCkyj6vsfRcm8G6yNQXO/Ksb7XZ/zqLCP0ZoGO Ha/RFH21zeNv9lc2z99T6V1KvM6dRk5uSx2Q8Wnl2uabSK3Pe5woZt3XfZ/z WM965g9Yyf2oOpbP0gvNnoS7btMVfZvo/wCgHpeopZTFAjwl/gsUcZsx8K+r /9Th+IdSHS+oHJINrQDTdX7QXVuDd3p2e/bY3Yyzf/3xafUvrFh5+OzFxBdV dD7Hts2MG4M/RbL2Pfv9NzrLPU9Olc5Za0RLQDHw7nsmpyAHhxa4hoPtYB+c Nvue7+wrvFVa01zCxdbO11HOvy8HBZdP2rFZay6y1wcLH2WDbbvc6xz/ANE3

0Mjf/wAX/NKvhC53UmWVw1ha/Y4ua0tcG7Wbn7vY5u1mxUX9Ra6RscATJII5 Dt+7/NRcLJrGS2tu5wDbABYGiAQSPH+slxROgOg0wkASR0l+LuEmXDR22Wkn ZEzx6p3Md7/z2/4NUbMw4nWG5h1FNIdro5LQysWNbtLfpM3t+nsRXZFRDdz9 WiY0EEfQrgs9zf5SoZ7LLMmz027i8NFcd91df0UtgSsh8zovyK8/NszWVIrr 37qWO/SOaxx9BrdzP3msfvbXV6aM6hzRAre2T7SKXggfvQ5u2l+9v81/1z9E snDzQ69wqBPpsG0u0MhzNP0btuzc9/0VeGc+DJsaHCYbaXGRxva4tQ1NGOoR IUaKTppDPrHQ2wiuXbQHw33Ox3trZLtvvc97Wf11Lr5Zdmu1spbQKaLHQHNL t2Ufe3ds9N+3Ztv9Oz/g1k5db8vMLNzf0r2D1biWtnYPdad1n0nfnb/5xDx7 R1C63Jz3MtudY0vss3hxDhabHzS5jXu3bPZs9X/rFV6jzToGPXQsgjYB/dA8 130uf7zSbG+iza60xAsd/OV/zDr3bnehuf8A+Q9OLaA44zrGejU5zGOexwmJ cH2u9Z+2p7Whz2f4NEoraXvc0MaAGO2kva4iG2zX6jciyvfHgeg/9H/oVC5I VLKbwa3kOY5pDy5zmD80/o9jNmzY/wDwv/BoRrh+i+zda+H2f3mNN9tVhe3c Hvrra7RxIBDdh3WNse1+1jNj69n/AAH6NPblOycWvDFljhW8OaHPitohzX7a /wBG1rn+z6f/AG6gMtyg3N9Ox1LXtYd4cQCGiN38vZ7vapYraQ5hucAHSPbu 3Nj861rRZ9L8z2o6UB2tNVcuvRkBveKwdpbE1t9pGw7G7iWCr6Hu3uW1+0up wKjY37IMc1g+jT6foFwsdR6m/wDebv8AT37/AFf+3ljdP2Pzq67NK3N2Eww7 Q90bmeqPS9m/d/6TV6OleqPbcHazf6lZeXSIs9H82xrv8J/hP+DfX+IRkCRY uttNkHSQD//V4BnTn3ua0Ctz2wHNbezUfnu3mx+3d/xSPX0PMg+jLRoSW20g kiNw/n2u2/uLcp6/hveQym5sck1taAP6xejP69hViXNub/Ya4f8AgdgucETv q1TOWzzn7CzDL7S5jmtArLH1vmAW+9xuZ6fs9jNjbECvp9rLwaHS9rTG8j7o rc9dJZ9ZMJrN2y94In2jw/eO7a1VP+cdF7y1mPeJ1Be89v8AOYIwwHmnjmb0 0aRuzmj063gVahu6S8AE+nvP0He36exgg2MtychzHua3JsidHNbIA+jZ79v0 P3VfvtrsdLWOb5FwcqbcuurJbtaXkHse/H5+xqVBAJvZmelX1F0H0h6bQRNT nOfDPV37La3Mr9Rv6N3/AKkRJvDHt9dpJIA/Re3aN3uJ9z2vWmeqNDdja5ED Sv1NvH75rexUbL22S7a8Hz2/+RSMQKCDKR3aTqTIZB

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Neil on Mon, 12 Sep 2005 13:11:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

all EDS submixes, right? No native ones in use? >"deadmeat" <scott@postmodernblues.com> wrote: >> >>Hey all. This is kinda strange, and wonder if anyone else has experienced >>it. >> >>I run a 4-card system with a few MECs. Due to having different

>>I run a 4-card system with a few MECs. Due to having different >>kinds of inputs and outputs on different MECS,

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deej on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 15:23:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

seduced by the plug-ins and automation available in Logic. > And yes, I can hear a difference on the mix buss... It still wrenches

> my gut sometimes, but I can't help myself. ;-)

>

> Last time I was here people were talking about using PARIS as a mix buss

> for Native DAWs. Are there people still doing this? Is it worth it?

>

> Thanks!

> BillYeah API's on the list for next year! I just need one pre right now to augment the AM-16's. I am looking for something more "forward" with some sheen btu can also get some funk. Sound like the GR may do that.

"PN" <

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Neil on Tue, 13 Sep 2005 15:42:54 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#64;nospam.com" target="\_blank">pnl@nospam.com> wrote: >I have the 2NV and I've been really pleased with it. >You can get a nearly transparent sound out of it or dial in one with some

>attitude.

>Having an output control is really helpful and the 'iron' button is quite

>useful.

>For an overall pre, you can not go wrong with the GR NV

>

>My main preamps are GR and API.

>I find for some E. Gits, Kick, Snare, Toms, Bass and about 75% of the vocals

>I do (mostly rock, pop) I mostly use the APIs.

>

>And OMG, for Bass, an API into a DBX 160vu is the dream team come true.

>

>"cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:4326d8ce\$1@linux...

>> Anyone using the great River NV edition?

>>

>> I am looking ot add a single pre for Electric guitars and lead vocals..and

>> what ever else I feel like running through it.

>>

>> I must say my tastes run more late 60's mid 70's so I was thinking about

>> the Funkenwo

Subject: Re: A question about cumulative quantization noise/dither Posted by Deej [1] on Wed, 14 Sep 2005 16:53:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

x..." target="\_blank">43285511@linux...

```
> Try hitting F8 while it's going through it's start up...hopefully a window
> will come up and allow you to start the comp in last working config mode
> or safe mode. If not try an alternate vidoe card after that I'm at a loss
>
> my $0.02
>
> Don
>
>
> "Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:432841d6$1@linux...
>>
>> SO I turn on my PC and everything comes on, fans, lights, but
>> nothing comes on the monitor. It doesn't sound like the computer
>> is going through its normal boot sequence either. I tried the
>> reset button, nothing happens.
>>
>> I'm not too PC savvy as this is my first PC.
>>
>> Any help PLEASE?!
>>
>> Pete
>
>on mine it just hangs until i re hit the power switch to turn it off
the hit it again and it boots.
On Wed, 14 Sep 2005 10:37:33 -0600, "DJ"
<animix spam-this-ahole @animas.net> wrote:
>Normally what happens with mine is that I boot the computer and I don't hear
>a beep. I shut it down, then I reboot and after about 3 seconds I hit the
>reset button, I hear a beep, the video card is recognized and then
>everything is fine.
>
>I don't know if it is the PSU or not, but if you're running 4 x EDS cards
>and a full load of HD's, you're probably skating pretty close to the edge.
>
>Deej
>
>"Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>news:43284d67$1@linux
```