
Subject: Paris transfer

Posted by [Ricardo Gomez](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 03:05:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ression.

>

> Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think

> it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I

>

Subject: Re: Paris transfer

Posted by [Deej \[3\]](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 04:32:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ation should be looked at.

>

> We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall

> short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should

> ignore mistakes from others in the world.

>

> It doesn't make our policies better to pretend it's all about "hating"

> this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies

> that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.

>

>

>> Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those

>> sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the US, so

>> they can get aw

Subject: Re: Paris transfer

Posted by [Ricardo Gomez](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 14:02:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

vert your Paris

>>> audio files to .wav files. thing is, if your .paf's aren't linear from

>>> 00:00:00 to the end, you will have to reposition the audio files on the

>>> timeline manually.

>>>

>>> Deej

>>>

>>> "Ricardo Gomez" <rcgs@tutopia.com> wrote in message

>>> [news:42d09090\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:42d09090$1@linux...)

>>>>

>>>> Hi all.

>>>> I have and old Paris sesion, but actually don't have the hardware. It's

>>> possible
>>>> to transfer those session to somother software?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks
>>>>
>>>> Ricardo
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Hey Dedic, thanks for the thoughtful response.

Most importantly, hiking a 14er would be g

Subject: Re: Paris transfer
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 14:51:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ible question to answer from a personal perspective,
> and well grounded broad/global perspective is quite difficult to come by,
> and quite unpopular when it is there.
>
> Well, that's about enough philosophizing for me.
>
> Hey - sorry I haven't made it out your way yet - I'm in Co. Springs now. If
> you have a free afternoon after the first of August, drop me a line. Ever
> hike any 14'ers? I'm thinking of doing one before the summer ends.
>
> Regards,
> Dedic
>
>
> On 7/9/05 9:06 AM, in article 42cfd9a1@linux, "Jamie K"
> <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Dedic Terry wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Clinton started the air strikes, not GWB. He talked about it publicly after
>>>his term ended - his reason again was enforcing the no-fly zone.
>>>
>>>Did you go to Iraq to see what life was like there before UN sanctions to
>>>say that they destroyed the country? Interestin

Subject: Re: Paris transfer

Posted by [justcron](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 15:06:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

g how Bush-haters

>>>conveniently blame everyone but Saddam for Iraq's problems.

>>

>>Dedric, that's a straw man argument. First, who are "Bush haters"? I

>>think people here are interested in smart and effective policy

>>decisions. It's not about personalities, per se, that's a digression.

>>

>>Second, who are these people that blame everyone but Saddam? I think

>>it's widely recognized that Iraq had problems due to many sources. I

>>haven't heard anyone praising Saddam for enlightened leadership, except

>>that his repressions kept the competing fundamentalists and ethnic

>>groups in check. Another problem echoed around the world was the cold

>>war and how it played out in regional conflicts over the years. Then

>>there's oil, religious fundamentalism, competition between local

>>countries, corporate interests, the list goes on. A lot of things

>>happened to create the Iraq of Saddam.

>>

>>You should know that bringing up Clinton does not inoculate the

>>following administration. Mistakes can and are propogated across

>>multiple administrations. The allegation is that the current

>>administration took advantage of the no-fly policy to try to provoke a

>>war. If the previous administration was part of that, then so be it. If

>>not, so be it. Either way, the allegation should be looked at.

>>

>>We can hold ourselves to our professed high standard, and if we fall

>>short, admit our own mistakes. Of course, that does not mean we should

>>ignore mistakes from others in the world.

>>

>>It doesn't make our policies bett

Subject: Re: Paris transfer

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 15:09:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

er to pretend it's all about "hating"

>>this or that administration. It's really all about creating policies

>>that help us move toward goals we all agree are important.

>>

>>

>>

>>>Certainly France, Germany and Russia were perfectly right to defy those

>>>sanctions to turn a profit in Iraq. Oh, that's right they aren't the US, so

>>>they can get away with it - they are politically correct by birthright - the

>>>US isn't.
>>
>>If we criticize one country, that does not make every other country
>>perfect. The current situation, and situations previous, came about due
>>to actions from people all over the world and in Iraq. Finding faults in
>>others, easy as that is, does not make our mistakes go away. Recognizing
>>the entire range of contributing factors and acting on that information
>>is the only way to improve our success rate in the future.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>-Jamie K
>><http://www.JamieKruz.com>
>>
>>
>>
>>>On 7/8/05 1:38 PM, in article 42ced6d1\$1@linux, "justcron"
>>><justcron@hydrorecords.compound> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:42cebcb2@linux...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dear Uncle Ricky and Brother Bud,
>>>>>
>>>>>Please go back and read my posts carefully. They are not defending an
>>>>>attack
>>>>>due to WMD. I do think that it's possible that WMD did exist before we
>>>>>went
>>>>>in, but my posts are talking about violation of UN sanctions.
>>>>
>&

Subject: Re: Paris transfer
Posted by [Splico](#) on Sun, 10 Jul 2005 18:40:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>
>>>>> "Mr Simplicity" <animix_spamless_@animas.net> wrote:
>>>>> >and it's a shame that they are apparently the only ones on earth who
>>>>>saw
>>>>> any
>>>>> >merit in enforcing UN resolutions
>>>>>
>>>>> That annoys me too. I'm still anti-bush because I *hate* the way they
>>>>>went

>>>> a

Subject: Re: Paris transfer

Posted by [Paul](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2005 13:59:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> >>>

> >>>Both sides of the circumstances sadden me - tyrannical rule is more than

> >>>anyone should have to endure, and certainly would be more than most

> >>>Americans could bear in our desire to have no one guide, much less

> >

> > restrict

> >

> >>>our personal decisions; and if war is the only way to be free (as our
> >>>predecessors found in the 1700's), freedom comes at a high price. As a
> >>>country we've paid such a price many times - Rev. War, Civil War, WWI,

> >

> > WWII,

> >

> >>>Korea, Veitnam, etc. It never gets easy. It is never glorious. My

> >

> > hope

> >

> >>>now is that the outcome for the Iraqi people is freedom to make their

> >

> > own

> >

> >>>decisions about who governs them and how - free from tyranny, and free

> >

> > from

> >

> >>>anarchist insurgents. I'm just not sure many people think there is any

> >

> > cost

> >

> >>>worth paying anymore. After all, \$2.39 a gallon or which movie to go

> >

> > see is

> >

> >>>about the highest cost most people have to weigh out here in the US (by
