

---

Subject: Re: Way OT: What Canada thinks of Bush

Posted by [rick](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 11:23:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ain't no man gots mo brains den dat man do.  
ain't no man gots mo cumpashun den dat man do.  
ain't no man gots mo armee smarts den dat man do.  
ain't no man gits the economee the way dat man do.  
kanada shud be so lukee.

;o)

On 22 Mar 2008 22:11:14 +1000, "Mike Audet" <mike@..> wrote:

>  
>Hi All, I don't usually post this stuff, but what the heck.  
>  
>I think this captures most of Canada's feelings about Bush perfectly.  
>  
><http://www.thestar.com/Canada/Columnist/article/349621>  
>  
>What bothers me about it is that I think it is dead wrong. I think Bush  
>has succeeded beautifully.  
>  
>He hates the welfare state, so he intentionally bankrupted it with tax cuts  
>and a war, and he intentionally made it look like government can't come through  
>for people by abandoning New Orleans.  
>  
>He wants to make more money for his friends in the oil business, so he intentionally  
>stirred the middle eastern pot to drive up oil prices.  
>  
>He knows that government can keep control by keeping the populous scared,  
>so he's intentionally failing in Afghanistan. After all, who would the enemy  
>be if Bin Laden and his boys were really defeated?  
>  
>What could be a better cover for complete evil than fake stupidity and incompetence?  
>  
>What do you guys think?  
>  
>  
>Mike  
>

---

---

Subject: Way OT: What Canada thinks of Bush

Posted by [mike audet\[3\]](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 12:11:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi All, I don't usually post this stuff, but what the heck.

I think this captures most of Canada's feelings about Bush perfectly.

<http://www.thestar.com/Canada/Columnist/article/349621>

What bothers me about it is that I think it is dead wrong. I think Bush has succeeded beautifully.

He hates the welfare state, so he intentionally bankrupted it with tax cuts and a war, and he intentionally made it look like government can't come through for people by abandoning New Orleans.

He wants to make more money for his friends in the oil business, so he intentionally stirred the middle eastern pot to drive up oil prices.

He knows that government can keep control by keeping the populous scared, so he's intentionally failing in Afghanistan. After all, who would the enemy be if Bin Laden and his boys were really defeated?

What could be a better cover for complete evil than fake stupidity and incompetence?

What do you guys think?

Mike

---

---

Subject: Re: Way OT: What Canada thinks of Bush

Posted by [erlilo](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 12:37:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes Mike, you nailed it. We need all to go back in time. Too many rich people now, so it's time to destroy them echonomical. But it's a balancing act so we don't show up in the stoneage again, but some nearer the last century;-)

From old erlilo, that's nearly old enough to know the stoneage;-)

"Mike Audet" <mike@..> skrev i en meddelelse news:47e4e952\$1@linux...

>

> Hi All, I don't usually post this stuff, but what the heck.

>

> I think this captures most of Canada's feelings about Bush perfectly.

>

> <http://www.thestar.com/Canada/Columnist/article/349621>  
>  
> What bothers me about it is that I think it is dead wrong. I think Bush  
> has succeeded beautifully.  
>  
> He hates the welfare state, so he intentionally bankrupted it with tax  
> cuts  
> and a war, and he intentionally made it look like government can't come  
> through  
> for people by abandoning New Orleans.  
>  
> He wants to make more money for his friends in the oil business, so he  
> intentionally  
> stirred the middle eastern pot to drive up oil prices.  
>  
> He knows that government can keep control by keeping the populous scared,  
> so he's intentionally failing in Afghanistan. After all, who would the  
> enemy  
> be if Bin Laden and his boys were really defeated?  
>  
> What could be a better cover for complete evil than fake stupidity and  
> incompetence?  
>  
> What do you guys think?  
>  
>  
> Mike  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Way OT: What Canada thinks of Bush  
Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 17:02:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am no apologist for Bush and the current administration, but I think it ironic that reviews of his presidency simultaneously paint him as both stupid and the genius mastermind of his administration. Which is it?

To say he is intentionally failing in Afghanistan is an insult to those of us fighting the war. If there were an easy way to defeat UBL and his boys, I assure you that would be done. Unfortunately, they are well holed up in Pakistan, and with the delicate relationship we have with that country, it would probably be a true disaster to go marching in there. Somehow, I believe the Bush's inability to bag UBL will be the biggest failure he will admit to in his presidency, just as not bagging Saddam was his daddy's. Maybe future President Jenna Bush will

avenge her father!

In reality, anyone who thinks the demise of UBL will remove the threat of Al-Quaida, really doesn't understand how these groups function. Similarly, if the president suddenly not around, would our government cease to function?

The situation in New Orleans was certainly majorly FUBAR, but the local leaders have to share the blame with the Feds. That does not excuse the mess that has ensued, however.

Of course, things can't be too bad in Canada. Your dollar is worth more against the US dollar than it has been in 40 years. Hooray, since my parent's pension is from the University of Toronto. Funny how things balance out.

- Paul Artola  
Ellicott City, Maryland

On 22 Mar 2008 22:11:14 +1000, "Mike Audet" <mike@..> wrote:

>  
>Hi All, I don't usually post this stuff, but what the heck.  
>  
>I think this captures most of Canada's feelings about Bush perfectly.  
>  
><http://www.thestar.com/Canada/Columnist/article/349621>  
>  
>What bothers me about it is that I think it is dead wrong. I think Bush  
>has succeeded beautifully.  
>  
>He hates the welfare state, so he intentionally bankrupted it with tax cuts  
>and a war, and he intentionally made it look like government can't come through  
>for people by abandoning New Orleans.  
>  
>He wants to make more money for his friends in the oil business, so he intentionally  
>stirred the middle eastern pot to drive up oil prices.  
>  
>He knows that government can keep control by keeping the populous scared,  
>so he's intentionally failing in Afghanistan. After all, who would the enemy  
>be if Bin Laden and his boys were really defeated?  
>  
>What could be a better cover for complete evil than fake stupidity and incompetence?  
>  
>What do you guys think?  
>  
>

>Mike

>

---

---

Subject: Re: Way OT: What Canada thinks of Bush

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 18:07:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hmm. Does "Canada" think? Or did you mean, "What the reporter who wrote this article thinks of Bush"?

Don't get me wrong, I think Bush is a corrupt and stupid man, but I like to avoid generalities. Generalities are what the media uses. Let's be clear in this little NG.

Mike Audet wrote:

> Hi All, I don't usually post this stuff, but what the heck.

>

> I think this captures most of Canada's feelings about Bush perfectly.

>

> <http://www.thestar.com/Canada/Columnist/article/349621>

>

> What bothers me about it is that I think it is dead wrong. I think Bush has succeeded beautifully.

>

> He hates the welfare state, so he intentionally bankrupted it with tax cuts and a war, and he intentionally made it look like government can't come through for people by abandoning New Orleans.

>

> He wants to make more money for his friends in the oil business, so he intentionally stirred the middle eastern pot to drive up oil prices.

>

> He knows that government can keep control by keeping the populous scared, so he's intentionally failing in Afghanistan. After all, who would the enemy be if Bin Laden and his boys were really defeated?

>

> What could be a better cover for complete evil than fake stupidity and incompetence?

>

> What do you guys think?

>

>

> Mike

>

>

---