
Subject: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 17 Jan 2006 23:01:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
not counting the computer?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [Jeremy Luzier](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 01:54:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cubase SX

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
> not counting the computer?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [jjdpro](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 03:07:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar
& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native
DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
>Cubase SX
>
>
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
>> not counting the computer?
>
>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:06:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you forgot the I/O

Jeremy Luzier wrote:

> Cubase SX

>

>

> "John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...

>

>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000

>> not counting the computer?

>

>

>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:08:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

LaMont wrote:

> I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

> DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar

> & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native

> DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

>

>

> "Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:

>

>>Cubase SX

>>

>>

>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...

>>

>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000

>>> not counting the computer?

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Bill Lorentzen](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:21:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would recommend Samplitude and either an RME or Emu I/O. One of Sam's virtues is that it contains very good effects and EQs, so there is no *necessity* to buy plugs. You will need to buy VSTis if you want them.

Bill

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
> not counting the computer?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi John,
Hell Yeah.

Street prices-
Cubase SX 599.00
(Nobody does it better)
RME Multiface2 w/ HDSP PCI card - 899.00
(Best sounding AD/DA for the money)

This leave you 500.00 bucks to buy plugins or something.
If you want a control surface then the Mackie Control will be your best
bet. 999.00

Chris

John wrote:
> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
> not counting the computer?

--
Chris Ludwig
ADK
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>
www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>
(859) 635-5762

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:37:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So are you still using paris? If so why?

Chris Ludwig wrote:

> HI John,
> Hell Yeah.
>
> Street prices-
> Cubase SX 599.00
> (Nobody does it better)
> RME Multiface2 w/ HDSP PCI card - 899.00
> (Best sounding AD/DA for the money)
>
> This leave you 500.00 bucks to buy plugins or something.
> If you want a control surface then the Mackie Control will be your best
> bet. 999.00
>
> Chris
>
>
>
>
> John wrote:
>
>> Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for
>> \$2000 not counting the computer?
>
>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:27:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I thought you meant what native app would I recommend.

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

>

>LaMont wrote:

>> I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

>> DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is
Sonar

>> & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
native

>> DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

>>

>>

>> "Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:

>>

>>>Cubase SX
>>>
>>>
>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>>
>>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
>>>> not counting the computer?
>>>
>>>
>>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:44:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

no it does not

Gary Flanigan wrote:

> "LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

>

>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar

>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native

>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

>

>

> Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:53:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what defines a native app Lamont, whether any processing is done on external hardware or not? native meaning all processing is done by the pc right?

LaMont wrote:

> I thought you meant what native app would I recommend.

>

> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>>So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

>>

>>LaMont wrote:

>>

>>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
>>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is
>
> Sonar
>
>>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
>
> native
>
>>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.
>>>
>>>
>>>"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Cubase SX
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for \$2000
>>>>> not counting the computer?
>>>>
>>>>
>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 17:58:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cubase forums say "You wont be able to hear any effects whilst recording, but you can keep the buffers high in cubase"

Sound like daws are still in the dark ages. Do any of them let you hear the effects whilst recording not to mention having plugin latency compensation. 16 i/os would be nice too.

Gary Flanigan wrote:

> "LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

>

>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar
>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native
>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

>

>

> Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [Gary Flanigan](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 18:06:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar
>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's native
>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?

Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:11:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

or a Mac :)

Stragngley Paris & Pt uses a little bit of native processing,like in PARIS
Intelligent mixing or native submixes, DX & VST plugins.. In PT ,Rtas & HTDM
plugsings

John <no@no.com> wrote:

>what defines a native app Lamont, whether any processing is done on
>external hardware or not? native meaning all processing is done by the

>pc right?

>

>LaMont wrote:

>> I thought you meant what native app would I recommend.

>>

>> John <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>>So pretty much everything is rock solid except paris. hahaha

>>>

>>>LaMont wrote:

>>>

>>>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable

>>>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is

>>

>> Sonar

>>

>>>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
>>
>> native
>>
>>>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Jeremy Luzier" <j.luzier@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Cubase SX
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:43cd77b2@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Can you get a daw with plug in compensation that is rock solid for
\$2000
>>>>>> not counting the computer?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Wed, 18 Jan 2006 19:19:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think so.. but, I may be wrong in Version 7

"Gary Flanigan" <gary_flanigan@ce9.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most stable
>>DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is Sonar
>>& Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of today's
native
>>DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.
>
>Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?

Subject: Re: \$2000 daw ?
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 19 Jan 2006 03:52:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For me, a dual G5 with Logic is low latency enough to record with software monitoring enabled, which allows plugin FX to be heard during tracking (they don't get recorded of course, you can change them later).

Alternatively, some interfaces (MOTU 828MII, for example) offer hardware monitoring with a set of sends/returns so you can dedicate a hardware reverb for tracking - if you want the lowest latency, essentially no latency, signal path.

Cheers,

-Jamie

<http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

John wrote:

> Cubase forums say "You wont be able to hear any effects whilst
> recording, but you can keep the buffers high in cubase"

>

> Sound like daws are still in the dark ages. Do any of them let you hear
> the effects whilst recording not to mention having plugin latency
> compensation. 16 i/os would be nice too.

>

> Gary Flanigan wrote:

>

>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@amerietch.net> wrote:

>>

>>> I would agree that Steignber's Cubase SX & Nuendo are one thee most
>>> stable

>>> DAW's in the business. Logic Audio on a good fast Mac is solid. As is
>>> Sonar

>>> & Digital Performer. All i al, I would have to say that all of
>>> today's native

>>> DAWs, including ProTools LE & M-Powered are rock solid stable.

>>

>>

>>

>> Does Pro Tools LE & M-Powered have plugin latency compensation?