

---

Subject: Dither or Sample Rate convert First  
Posted by [Lance Reichert](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 15:15:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Folks,

I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS  
I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither  
or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

I bow to those who know more.

TIA,

Lance

---

---

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First  
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 15:24:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

dither should be the last step. always.

"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message  
news:47cc185e\$1@linux...

> Hey Folks,

>

> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.

>

> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS

> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither

> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

>

> I bow to those who know more.

>

> TIA,

>

> Lance

>

>

---

---

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First  
Posted by [Lance Reichert](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 16:30:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Alex!!

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote in message  
news:47cc1b4f\$1@linux...  
> dither should be the last step. always.  
> "Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message  
> news:47cc185e\$1@linux...  
>> Hey Folks,  
>>  
>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.  
>>  
>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS  
>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither  
>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?  
>>  
>> I bow to those who know more.  
>>  
>> TIA,  
>>  
>> Lance  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 19:16:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

And never ever record at 48KHz :-)

Chuck

"alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:  
>dither should be the last step. always.  
>"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message  
>news:47cc185e\$1@linux...  
>> Hey Folks,  
>>  
>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.  
>>  
>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS  
>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither  
>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?

>>  
>> I bow to those who know more.  
>>  
>> TIA,  
>>  
>> Lance  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Dither or Sample Rate convert First  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 19:19:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Agree for music production. If it's going to video and will be a 96k  
upsample, then 48k is preferred.  
To answer the original question: Dither is last step as Alex stated.  
Haven't seen your name in quite a while Lance, how's it going?

AA

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote in message news:47cc4096\$1@linux...  
>  
> And never ever record at 48KHz :-)  
>  
> Chuck  
> "alex plasko" <alex.plasko@snet.net> wrote:  
>>dither should be the last step. always.  
>>"Lance Reichert" <lance.rocks@nospam.verizon.net> wrote in message  
>>news:47cc185e\$1@linux...  
>>> Hey Folks,  
>>>  
>>> I know very little about bit wizerdry. I'm a music hack.  
>>>  
>>> Now that I'm recording mostly 24bit x 48KHz in PARIS  
>>> I'm wondering if the order of conversions matters; dither  
>>> or sample rate? -- sample rate, then dither?  
>>>  
>>> I bow to those who know more.  
>>>  
>>> TIA,  
>>>  
>>> Lance  
>>>  
>>>

>>  
>>  
>

