
Subject: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 06 Jan 2008 15:15:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sun, 06 Jan 2008 20:48:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 06 Jan 2008 21:55:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow, John! I guess I made your day.

John wrote:

> Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
> Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
> Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
> Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
> Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
> Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!! Thanks !!!!!
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Deej](#) on Sun, 06 Jan 2008 22:05:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message [news:4780f132\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4780f132$1@linux...)

> <http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>

> This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it

> on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
> bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

I think that if he were outfitted with a cardigan sweater he could sub in for Mr. Rogers, part 2. There's plenty about Ron Paul's message that I like, and plenty about it that is seemingly from outer space. He seems to be in total denial of some pretty major global realities and I would like to hear him explain exactly how he plans to convert our currency to the gold standard. As far as Moyers is concerned, I love the obvious bias in some of his questionsso ...since I can't really say much nice, I won't say anything.....I know that's not like me, but I've sorta' got a soft spot for him from my days when I was a hard core liberal kinda' guy.....before I stopped using mind altering substances.

File Attachments

1) [biggrin.gif](#), downloaded 68 times



2) [wink.gif](#), downloaded 71 times



Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 00:10:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No one says he has all the answers of course, but I don't see anyone that comes close to honesty and real issues. Barack seems more honest than usual but he doesn't really have any solid plans.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [Bill L](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 00:32:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deej,

I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does not understand?

I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That

kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.
That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument
and they have nothing.

Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about
changing our government institutions and political system. I think we
can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to
say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

Deej wrote:

> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:4780f132\$1@linux...

>> <http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>

>> This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it
>> on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>> bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

>

> I think that if he were outfitted with a cardigan sweater he could sub in
> for Mr. Rogers, part 2. There's plenty about Ron Paul's message that I
> like, and plenty about it that is seemingly from outer space. He seems to be
> in total denial of some pretty major global realities and I would like to
> hear him explain exactly how he plans to convert our currency to the gold
> standard. As far as Moyers is concerned, I love the obvious bias in some of
> his questionsso ...since I can't really say much nice, I won't say
> anything.....I know that's not like me, but I've sorta' got a soft spot
> for him from my days when I was a hard core liberal kinda' guy.....before I
> stopped using mind altering substances.

>

>

>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 01:10:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_00F3_01C8508F.6B1A72E0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message =
news:478173bf@linux...

> Deej,

>=20

> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does =
not=20
> understand?

Bill & Ron says:

BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.=20

RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. =

They believe in war. And they believe in the military industrial =
complex. And some of these companies that are mixed in with making =
profits off war.

I think that's a distorted crock, personally.

BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE =
PRESS-- you talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.=20

RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type =
fascism, but we're moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil =
liberties, corporations running the show, big government in bed with big =
business. So you have the military industrial complex, you have the =
medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry, you have =
the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of =
millions of dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft =
form of fascism, something that is very dangerous.=20

yadda yadda....and then he says:

RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 =
atmosphere where our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. =
All in the name of safety and security we're supposed to give up our =
rights for our privacy? We're allowed now to accept the idea of secret =
prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of habeas corpus. This is =
very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where it's =
hard to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult =
everyday to reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so =
often say, "You know I want to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. =
So, I want my government to make me safe." And they're willing to give =
up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you never have to give up =
liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give up your =
liberty

I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society, =
our own laws can be used against us in time of war.....except if you =
don't believe we are at war, in which case I say that his is dangerously =
in the twilight zone concerning some global realities. We have always =
had to take certain measures that restricted our freedoms during time of =
war.=20

<http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current Page=3Dall>

They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have =
also always been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. =
Many of us are just in total denial that we are at war.....a very =
real, down and dirty, no holds barred war.

I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new =
sole on an old shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to =
history to see how that kind of policy has allowed conflicts to fester =
and escalate until we are called upon to field a massive military =
juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont thinks that war is =
going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland. All =
that will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on =
a larger scale than we are doing now.

>=20

> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul =
as=20

> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That =

> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.=20

> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an =
argument=20

> and they have nothing.

>=20

> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about=20

> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we=20

> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to=20

> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>=20

-----=_NextPart_000_00F3_01C8508F.6B1A72E0

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16587" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>"Bill L" &lt;</FONT><A=20
href=3D"mailto:bill@billlorentzen.com"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>bill@billlorentzen.com</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>&gt; wrote in=20
message </FONT><A href=3D"news:478173bf@linux"><FONT face=3DArial=20
size=3D2>news:478173bf@linux</FONT></A><FONT face=3DArial =
size=3D2>...</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>&gt; Deej,<BR>&gt; <BR>&gt; I'm curious =
about=20
exactly which "global realities" you think he does not <BR>&gt;=20
understand?</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><EM>Bill & Ron =
says:</EM></FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><EM>BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, =
a lot of=20
the media out there. </EM></FONT></DIV>
<DIV>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><EM>RON PAUL: But I think it's still to =
this=20
philosophy they believe in. They believe in war. And they believe in the =
military industrial complex. And some of these companies that are mixed =
in with=20
making profits off war.</EM></FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I think that's a distorted crock,=20
personally.</FONT></P>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><EM>BILL MOYERS: You remind me of =
something you told=20
Tim Russet on MEET THE PRESS-- you talked about fascism. Look at this =
piece of=20
tape. </EM></FONT>
<P><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><EM>RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were =
not moving=20
toward Hitler-type fascism, but we're moving toward a softer fascism. =
Loss of=20
civil liberties, corporations running the show, big government in bed =
```

with big=20
business. So you have the military industrial complex, you have the =
medical=20
industrial complex, you have the financial industry, you have the =
communications=20
industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of millions of =
dollars.=20
That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism, =
something that=20
is very dangerous. </P>
<P>yadda yadda....and then he =
says:</P>
<P>RON PAUL: Yeah. Now =
we're living=20
in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere where our civil liberties =
are being=20
undermined constantly. All in the name of safety and security we're =
supposed to=20
give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed now to accept the idea =
of=20
secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of habeas corpus. =
This is=20
very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where it's =
hard to=20
reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday =
to=20
reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, =
"You know=20
I want to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my =
government to=20
make me safe." And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm =
convinced=20
that you never have to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less =
safe=20
when you give up your liberty</P>
<P>I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact =
that due to=20
our open society, our own laws can be used against us in time of =
war.....except=20
if you don't believe we are at war, in which case I say that his is =
dangerously=20
in the twilight zone concerning some global realities. =
We have=20
always had to take certain measures that restricted our freedoms during =
time of=20
war. </P>
<P><A=20
href=3D"

all"> <http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current Page=3Da=ll>

They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have also always been rescinded when the war ended.

This is no different. Many of us are just in total denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred war.

I also think that his foreign policy is, despite trying to put a new sole on an old shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how that kind of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called upon to field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyone thinks that war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland. All that will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger scale than we are doing now.

> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as > a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That > kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO. > That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument > and they have nothing.>

> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about > changing our government institutions and political system. I think we > can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to

> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of =
courage.
>=20

</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV></BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_00F3_01C8508F.6B1A72E0--

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 01:39:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I really like a lot of the ideas that RP is talking about. I think a lot of what he is saying is radical, but I feel that it's needed. Our government, policies - home and abroad -, and politics are truly becoming more and more discouraging to me. At this point, I am more scared of Bush than AQ - which is pretty sad. I have never been a Republican, but I'd choose RP over anyone else right now. I do think it's important to learn more about all of the candidates views and visions (< if they have any) too.

-Carl

"John" <no@no.com> wrote in message news:47815ffb\$1@linux...

>

> No one says he has all the answers of course, but I don't see anyone that
> comes close to honesty and real issues. Barack seems more honest than
> usual
> but he doesn't really have any solid plans.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 02:17:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

His views on Iraq are poorly informed rhetoric that not even Hillary would embrace. Yet, he hammers away at every opportunity at them with a religious zeal to the point that he appears unbalanced.

Personally, I like a lot of the Libertarian outlook, but, and this is a huge problem for RP IMO, his plan to end the war would hand AQ and the mullah meat puppet in Iran an instant victory and we would be dealing with the results for decades.

Oppose the war? Fine. f*cking fine. Just come up with a realistic plan for ending it. His is nuts.

What pissed me off was Romney and McCain talking about how many decades it would take to be energy independent. That is politician-talk for "I ain't gonna worry about it, the next jerk can deal with it". Idiots.

We need more refineries. NOW. and we need to drill drill drill until our own oil production can impact the market and take the power away from these effin' lunatics, and we need to crash-course alternatives and NOW.

RP is too much of a free-market libertarian to start a Manhattan Project level program to do this, and too many of the other candidates, on both sides, don't take it seriously enough.

DC

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>Deej,

>

>I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does not

>understand?

>

>I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as

>a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That

>kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.

>That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument

>and they have nothing.

>

>Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about

>changing our government institutions and political system. I think we

>can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to

>say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>

>Deej wrote:

>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:4780f132\$1@linux...

>>> <http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>>

>>> This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it

>>> on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big

>>> bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

>>

>> I think that if he were outfitted with a cardigan sweater he could sub

in
>> for Mr. Rogers, part 2. There's plenty about Ron Paul's message that
I
>> like, and plenty about it that is seemingly from outer space. He seems
to be
>> in total denial of some pretty major global realities and I would like
to
>> hear him explain exactly how he plans to convert our currency to the gold

>> standard. As far as Moyers is concerned, I love the obvious bias in some
of
>> his questionsso ...since I can't really say much nice, I won't
say
>> anything.....I know that's not like me, but I've sorta' got a soft
spot
>> for him from my days when I was a hard core liberal kinda' guy.....before
I
>> stopped using mind altering substances.
>>
>>
>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 05:20:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his entire political career.

Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist self.
If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.

Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>
>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it

>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big

>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 05:21:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You mean this one?

http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211_587

I'm reading it right now.

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...

>

> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his

> entire

> political career.

>

> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist

> self.

> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with

> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.

>

> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>

>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it

>

>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big

>

>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 05:23:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually...here.

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/>

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b7a2\$1@linux...

> You mean this one?

>

> http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211_587

>

> I'm reading it right now.

>

>

> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...

>>

>> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his
>> entire
>> political career.
>>
>> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
>> self.
>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with
>> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>
>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>> <http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>>>
>>> This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it
>>
>>> on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>>
>>> bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 05:43:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

WOW!!!..this is like watching a dark comedy show.

Thanks for mentioning this interview LaMont.

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b81a@linux...
> Actually...here.
>
> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/>
>
>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b7a2\$1@linux...
>> You mean this one?
>>
>> http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211_587
>>
>> I'm reading it right now.
>>
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his

>>> entire
>>> political career.
>>>
>>> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
>>> self.
>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview
>>> with
>>> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>>
>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html
>>>>
>>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it
>>>>
>>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>>>>
>>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 06:13:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I watched this when it aired. I must be missing something, because I didn't think he came off like a racist, idiot or dark comedy show at all. ? I don't want to discredit your opinions - I am only curious about them. Why do you guys think his ideas are so bad?

thanks doods, and peace please!,
-Carl

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781bcc2\$1@linux...
> WOW!!!..this is like watching a dark comedy show.
>
> Thanks for mentioning this interview LaMont.
>
>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b81a@linux...
>> Actually...here.
>>
>> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/
>>

>don't want to discredit your opinions - I am only curious about them. Why
>do you guys think his ideas are so bad?
>
> thanks doods, and peace please!,
> -Carl
>

From my perspective, he is very unsure about the actual effect his policies would create, he just doesn't seem to care and his belief that Iran isn't a danger and doesn't have an army. Hezbollah is their army and it's right on the northern border of Israel and they pretty much kicked Israel's butt last go around. He's living in a firiegn policy dreamland and he would try to finance the US government with funds that we save while the world collapses into to total chaos.

It just srota makes me shake my head that a US congressman could be so naieve.

I'm pretty much on the same page with him as far as immigration goes and his thinking on the welfare state issues, but neither of these issues are something that can be solved with the flick of a booger. If we're goint to change it, it needs to have some sort of humany involved in the solution. You send millions of people back to countries that are coming apart because we withdraw from involvement there and aren't willing to reinvolve ourselves when the infrastructure collapses and totalitarian Chavez wannabees step in to sieze the governments, you're going to have an unbelievable chaos.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Carl Amburn](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 07:28:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I appreciate your response - I think it's a good and important discussion, and I like to hear and know other perspectives.

I actually think RP is quite sure of his position and those future effects. IMO, the basis for most of his ideas come from seeing the U.S. grow constantly weaker, and to address this weakness on a fundamental level - not by plugging different numbers into the over-bloated system as-is.

His middle east policy is something I'd like to know more about. He has mentioned needing transition programs/policies for his ideas. I do like the idea of the U.S. not being the world's police force though. I think there are good examples of countries working out their own situations. My big question about that, is... how are we going to pay for it \$\$? The dollar is sinking sinking sinking.

I'm curious to know your response to the town hall stuff. It's an hour

long - and he lost me a couple of times, just his speaking tone - but overall, it has reeled me in a bit more, because he's able to explain in more detail.

-peace!,
-Carl

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781cb99\$1@linux...

>
> From my perspective, he is very unsure about the actual effect his
> policies would create, he just doesn't seem to care and his belief that
> Iran isn't a danger and doesn't have an army. Hezbollah is their army and
> it's right on the northern border of Israel and they pretty much kicked
> Israel's butt last go around. He's living in a fireign policy dreamland
> and he would try to finance the US government with funds that we save
> while the world collapses into to total chaos.
>
> It just srota makes me shake my head that a US congressman could be so
> naieve.
>
> I'm pretty much on the same page with him as far as immigration goes and
> his thinking on the welfare state issues, but neither of these issues are
> something that can be solved with the flick of a booger. If we're goint to
> change it, it needs to have some sort of humany involved in the solution.
> You send millions of people back to countries that are coming apart
> because we withdraw from involvement there and aren't willing to reinvolve
> ourselves when the infrastructure collapses and totalitarian Chavez
> wannabees step in to sieze the governments, you're going to have an
> unbelievable chaos.
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by Erling on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 10:09:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We've got the global realities with Bush's political and corrupt "fascismbehavings" here in Norway too, as in the whole EU and western world. Now we've got the laws here too that can control and save all internet/e-mail/telephone traffic from everywhere for a long periode. Great corruption is showing up in the light in Norway too, now between many of the tops in our militarysystem and the international weaponindustry. We shall also change out our old F-16 planes here in Norway and had 3 different planes as choices. It's going so far that the American ambassador here went out and said the friendship between

our nations could be in great danger, if we don't buy the correct one. So, it shows up really well here, that the American weaponindustry and their government are walking hand in hand, wherever their power of weapon and money are making footprints in the world.

Erling

On Sun, 6 Jan 2008 18:10:28 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:

>
>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478173bf@linux...
>> Deej,
>>
>> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does not
>> understand?
>
>Bill & Ron says:
>BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.
>RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. They believe in war. And they believe in the military industrial complex. And some of these companies that are mixed in with making profits off war.
>
>I think that's a distorted crock, personally.
>
>BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE PRESS-- you talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.
>
>RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type fascism, but we're moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil liberties, corporations running the show, big government in bed with big business. So you have the military industrial complex, you have the medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry, you have the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of millions of dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism, something that is very dangerous.
>
>yadda yadda....and then he says:
>
>RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere where our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. All in the name of safety and security we're supposed to give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed now to accept the idea of secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of habeas corpus. This is very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where it's hard to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday to reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, "You know I want to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my government to make me safe." And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you never have to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give up your liberty
>
>I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society, our own laws can be used against us in time of war.....except if you don't believe we are at war, in which case I say that his

is dangerously in the twilight zone concerning some global realities. We have always had to take certain measures that restricted our freedoms during time of war.

>

> <http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current Page=all>

>

>They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have also always been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. Many of us are just in total denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred war.

>

>I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new sole on an old shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how that kind of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called upon to field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont thinks that war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland. All that will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger scale than we are doing now.

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>>

>> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as
>> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That
>> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.
>> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument
>> and they have nothing.

>>

>> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about
>> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we
>> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to
>> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 13:26:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Interesting . . . well, I still agree with much of what he says, and it's just too easy for a corporate media attack dog like Russert to make anyone

who openly advocates serious change look "crazy". What he says doesn't bother me, it's what I feel he's not saying that worries me . . . the likelihood of hidden agendas.

But it doesn't really matter . . . I firmly believe that Americans will never, ever vote for radical change. We are, on the whole, fat, complacent, and poorly informed by choice (we prefer denial). We will give lip service to change, but we won't give up so much as a single square of toilet paper to have real change.

"Make the world a better place, just don't cause me any discomfort in the process."

So don't worry about Ron Paul . . . he won't get elected . . . he wants to change things.

S

PS: Give me liberty, or give me HDTV!

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b81a@linux...
> Actually...here.
>
> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/>
>
>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b7a2\$1@linux...
>> You mean this one?
>>
>> <http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211587>
>>
>> I'm reading it right now.
>>
>>
>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his
>>> entire
>>> political career.
>>>
>>> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
>>> self.
>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview
>>> with
>>> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>>
>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>>>>
>>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it
>>>>
>>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>>>>
>>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 13:35:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> PS: Give me liberty, or give me HDTV!

.....all you need is an iPod, you can have both.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 14:35:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotNOSPAMmail.com> wrote in message
news:4781d53e\$1@linux...

>I appreciate your response - I think it's a good and important discussion,
>and I like to hear and know other perspectives.

>

> I actually think RP is quite sure of his position and those future
> effects. IMO, the basis for most of his ideas come from seeing the U.S.
> grow constantly weaker, and to address this weakness on a fundamental
> level - not by plugging different numbers into the over-bloated system
> as-is.

>

> His middle east policy is something I'd like to know more about. He has
> mentioned needing transition programs/policies for his ideas. I do like
> the idea of the U.S. not being the world's police force though. I think
> there are good examples of countries working out their own situations. My
> big question about that, is... how are we going to pay for it \$\$? The
> dollar is sinking sinking sinking.

>

> I'm curious to know your response to the town hall stuff. It's an hour
> long - and he lost me a couple of times, just his speaking tone - but

> overall, it has reeled me in a bit more, because he's able to explain in
> more detail.
>
> -peace!,
> -Carl

Haven't see this yet, but I'll check it out.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 15:35:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LO!!! I know ..I was actually a fan until I watch that interview.

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:

>WOW!!!..this is like watching a dark comedy show.

>

>Thanks for mentioning this interview LaMont.

>

>

>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b81a@linux...

>> Actually...here.

>>

>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/>

>>

>>

>> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b7a2\$1@linux...

>>> You mean this one?

>>>

>>> http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211_587

>>>

>>> I'm reading it right now.

>>>

>>>

>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his

>>>> entire

>>>> political career.

>>>>

>>>> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist

>>>> self.

>>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview

>>>> with
>>>> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>>>
>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html
>>>>>
>>>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass
it
>>>>
>>>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to
big
>>>>
>>>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 15:35:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LO!!! I know ..I was actually a fan until I watch that interview.

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:
>WOW!!!..this is like watching a dark comedy show.
>
>Thanks for mentioning this interview LaMont.
>
>
>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b81a@linux...
>> Actually...here.
>>
>> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/
>>
>>
>> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b7a2\$1@linux...
>>> You mean this one?
>>>
>>> http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211 587
>>>
>>> I'm reading it right now.
>>>
>>>

>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his

>>>> entire

>>>> political career.

>>>>

>>>> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist

>>>> self.

>>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview

>>>> with

>>>> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.

>>>>

>>>> Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>>>>

>>>>> This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it

>>>>>

>>>>>> on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big

>>>>>

>>>>>> bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 15:46:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's my point "What he says doesn't bother me, it's what I feel he's not saying that worries me likelyhood of hidden agendas.

While I agree about his stance on the war and the current administration, I disagree with every other point. And, hi svoting record in the past is very helter-skelter. I think Russert questions were tough and fair. He seemed to have caught RP off guard with soem of those questions of his past political career. To me, after you get past the anit-war, anti Bush stuff, his domestic views are anitiquated. All in all, he (RP)comes off as an old politician

that needed "new blood" pumped into his viens to revive his stalled political career. Then, the so-called War in Iraq came and he took thee most unpopular stance for a Republican. That got him national attention. He's not so radicl on the domestic front.

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote:

>Interesting . . . well, I still agree with much of what he says, and it's

>just too easy for a corporate media attack dog like Russert to make anyone

>who openly advocates serious change look "crazy". What he says doesn't

>bother me, it's what I feel he's not saying that worries me . . . the

>likelihood of hidden agendas.

>

>But it doesn't really matter . . . I firmly believe that Americans will

>never, ever vote for radical change. We are, on the whole, fat, complacent,

>and poorly informed by choice (we prefer denial). We will give lip service

>to change, but we won't give up so much as a single square of toilet paper

>to have real change.

>

>"Make the world a better place, just don't cause me any discomfort in the

>process."

>

>So don't worry about Ron Paul . . . he won't get elected . . . he wants

to

>change things.

>

>S

>

>PS: Give me liberty, or give me HDTV!

>

>

>"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b81a@linux...

>> Actually...here.

>>

>> <http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/22342301/>

>>

>>

>> "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:4781b7a2\$1@linux...

>>> You mean this one?

>>>

>>> <http://www.gather.com/viewArticle.jsp?articleId=281474977211> 587

>>>

>>> I'm reading it right now.

>>>

>>>

>>> "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4781a872\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his

>>>> entire

>>>> political career.

>>>>

>>>> Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist

>>>> self.

>>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview

>>>> with

>>>> Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.

>>>>

>>>> Bill L <bill@billorentzen.com> wrote:

>>>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>>>>

>>>>> This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass

>>>>> it

>>>>>

>>>>> on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to

>>>>> big

>>>>>

>>>>> bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>

>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [excelav](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 17:26:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LaMont, I don't think Ron Paul is a racist, just the opposite. I think you have him misunderstood. Go to his web site, look under issues and read what he has to say about it and watch the video. Ron Paul believes everybody should have the same rights. It shouldn't be any other way.

<http://www.ronpaul2008.com/issues/racism/>

<http://www.ronpaul2008.com/>

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his entire
>political career.
>
>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist self.
> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with
>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.

>
>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>
>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it
>
>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>
>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [rick](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 19:32:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

aren't you guys on our "democratization" list???

;o)

On Mon, 07 Jan 2008 11:09:28 +0100, erlilo <erling.lovik@lyse.net>
wrote:

>We've got the global realities with Bush's political and corrupt
>"fascismbehavings" here in Norway too, as in the whole EU and western
>world. Now we've got the laws here too that can control and save all
>internet/e-mail/telephone traffic from everywhere for a long periode.
>Great corruption is showing up in the light in Norway too, now
>between many of the tops in our militarysystem and the international
>weaponindustry. We shall also change out our old F-16 planes here in
>Norway and had 3 different planes as choices. It's going so far that
>the American ambassador here went out and said the friendship between
>our nations could be in great danger, if we don't buy the correct one.
>So, it shows up really well here, that the American weaponindustry and
>their gouvnerment are walking hand in hand, wherever their power of

>weapon and money are making footprints in the world.
>
>Erling
>
>On Sun, 6 Jan 2008 18:10:28 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478173bf@linux...
>>> Deej,
>>>
>>> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does not
>>> understand?
>>
>>Bill & Ron says:
>>BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.
>>RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. They believe in war. And they believe in the military industrial complex. And some of these companies that are mixed in with making profits off war.
>>
>>I think that's a distorted crock, personally.
>>
>>BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE PRESS-- you talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.
>>
>>RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type fascism, but we're moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil liberties, corporations running the show, big government in bed with big business. So you have the military industrial complex, you have the medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry, you have the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of millions of dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism, something that is very dangerous.
>>
>>yadda yadda....and then he says:
>>
>>RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere where our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. All in the name of safety and security we're supposed to give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed now to accept the idea of secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of habeas corpus. This is very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where it's hard to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday to reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, "You know I want to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my government to make me safe." And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you never have to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give up your liberty
>>
>>I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society, our own laws can be used against us in time of war.....except if you don't believe we are at war, in which case I say that his is dangerously in the twilight zone concerning some global realities. We have always had to take certain measures that restricted our freedoms during time of war.
>>

>> <http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current Page=all>

>>

>>They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have also always been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. Many of us are just in total denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred war.

>>

>>I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new sole on an old shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how that kind of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called upon to field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont thinks that war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland. All that will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger scale than we are doing now.

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>>

>>> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as

>>> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That

>>> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.

>>> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument

>>> and they have nothing.

>>>

>>> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about

>>> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we

>>> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to

>>> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [Deej](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 20:45:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'd say that this video is the best case I've seen for ditching the Department of Education and the NEA which basically controls it:

<http://uk.youtube.com/watch?v=fJuNgBkloFE>

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4782899f\$1@linux...

>
> Agreed.. But, this dude was talking some old fashioned Texas style law
> making
> becoming wide spread US laws..Ending the department of Education and other
> things..
>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>LaMont, I don't think Ron Paul is a racist, just the opposite. I think
> you
>>have him misunderstood. Go to his web site, look under issues and read
>>what he has to say about it and watch the video. Ron Paul believes
>>everybody
>>should have the same rights. It shouldn't be any other way.
>>
>><http://www.ronpaul2008.com/issues/racism/>
>>
>><http://www.ronpaul2008.com/>
>>
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his
>>>entire
>>>political career.
>>>
>>>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
> self.
>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview
>>> with
>>>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>>
>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>>>>
>>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass
> it
>>>
>>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>>>
>>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 21:20:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Agreed.. But, this dude was talking some old fashioned Texas style law making becoming wide spread US laws..Ending the department of Education and other things..

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>LaMont, I don't think Ron Paul is a racist, just the opposite. I think you

>have him misunderstood. Go to his web site, look under issues and read

>what he has to say about it and watch the video. Ron Paul believes everybody

>should have the same rights. It shouldn't be any other way.

>

><http://www.ronpaul2008.com/issues/racism/>

>

><http://www.ronpaul2008.com/>

>

>

>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his entire
>>political career.

>>

>>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist self.

>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with
>>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.

>>

>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>

>>>

>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass
it

>>

>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big

>>

>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.

>>

>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 22:14:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think what RP is questioning is if Iran/Hezbollah is a threat to the US.

Hezbollah didn't 'kick ass' v. Israel last time, they were invaded and managed not to be beaten. This is impressive tactically considering that the IDF is the second or third best trained and equipped army in the world. But the idea that any state or non-state military force could seriously threaten the integrity of Israel proper is comical. Israel is a nuclear power that everyone knows will defend itself and will receive (and has received) from the US any help it needs.

I think the Smedley Butler line where we defend the littoral waters and that's it is outdated at this point. In fact, I'd say it's a hell of a lot more important to protect international shipping lanes than it is nearly any other country in the world, from a US strategic sense (quick, you can have the Panama canal or Boliva, what's the pick?) But I think RP makes the point that we can't define the entire world as either a our bestest buddy (we will defend no matter what) or our worstest enemy (we will do anything to menace.) In addition to it being strategically insane, we can't really afford it anymore.

TCB

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:

>

>"Carl Amburn" <carlamburn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4781c3b9@linux...

>>I watched this when it aired. I must be missing something, because I didn't

>>think he came off like a racist, idiot or dark comedy show at all. ? I

>>don't want to discredit your opinions - I am only curious about them. Why

>>do you guys think his ideas are so bad?

>>

>> thanks doods, and peace please!,

>> -Carl

>>

>

>From my perspective, he is very unsure about the actual effect his policies

>would create, he just doesn't seem to care and his belief that Iran isn't
a

>danger and doesn't have an army. Hezbollah is their army and it's right on

>the northern border of Israel and they pretty much kicked Israel's butt
last

>go around. He's living in a foreign policy dreamland and he would try to

>finance the US government with funds that we save while the world collapses

>into to total chaos.
>
>It just srota makes me shake my head that a US congressman could be so
>naieve.
>
>I'm pretty much on the same page with him as far as immigration goes and
his
>thinking on the welfare state issues, but neither of these issues are
>something that can be solved with the flick of a booger. If we're goint
to
>change it, it needs to have some sort of humany involved in the solution.

>You send millions of people back to countries that are coming apart because

>we withdraw from involvement there and aren't willing to reinvolve ourselves

>when the infrastructure collapses and totalitarian Chavez wannabees step
in
>to sieze the governments, you're going to have an unbelievable chaos.
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 22:16:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Tim Russert is a shill for the empire, a half wit with testicles that vary
in size precisely with the prevailing political winds.

I don't happen to agree with what RP has to say about immigration, and a
lot of other things, but how is he a racist?

TCB

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his entire
>political career.
>
>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist self.
> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with
>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>
>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>>
>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass it

>
>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>
>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 23:17:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL!! ROL! :) I Saw that and I was shocked and embarrased. But, the average American does not "Want" to know nothing. They only want to be told what to do and thnk.

It's not the educational system's fault. The American people have tuned out Politics as a whole. To a man, the American people after Bush 2 elections have tuned out the Government nor do they have faith in the Gov. That's why 8 out of 10 don;t know who Dick Cheny is or other US current facts.

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:

>I'd say that this video is the best case I've seen for ditching the
>Department of Education and the NEA which basically controls it:
><http://uk.youtube.com/watch?v=fJuNgBkloFE>

>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote in message news:4782899f\$1@linux...

>>
>> Agreed.. But, this dude was talking some old fashioned Texas style law

>> making
>> becoming wide spread US laws..Ending the department of Education and other
>> things..

>>
>> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>>
>>>LaMont, I don't think Ron Paul is a racist, just the opposite. I think

>> you
>>>have him misunderstood. Go to his web site, look under issues and read
>>>what he has to say about it and watch the video. Ron Paul believes
>>>everybody
>>>should have the same rights. It shouldn't be any other way.

>>>
>>><http://www.ronpaul2008.com/issues/racism/>

>>>
>>><http://www.ronpaul2008.com/>

>>>
>>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his

>>>>entire
>>>>political career.
>>>>
>>>>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
>> self.
>>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview

>>>> with
>>>>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>>>
>>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>>>http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html
>>>>>
>>>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass
>> it
>>>>
>>>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to
big
>>>>
>>>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Mon, 07 Jan 2008 23:27:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I Think Tim R is the best in his game. He's tough, and he does not let up on the guest when they try to sway an answer.

You should see his (Russert's) interview of Guilliani.>Whew!! That's was rough on Rudy. It definitely showed some contradictions in his political career. Rudy stumbled and fumbled and got twisted up .. You could tell that he was not ready for of those questions. Even better, you could see the "Surprise" on face when Tim R and staff dug up some old dirt..Priceless.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>Tim Russert is a shill for the empire, a half wit with testicles that vary
>in size precisely with the prevailing political winds.
>

>I don't happen to agree with what RP has to say about immigration, and a
>lot of other things, but how is he a racist?
>
>TCB
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his entire
>>political career.
>>
>>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
self.
>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview with
>>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>
>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>>>
>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass
it
>>
>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to big
>>
>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 02:10:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

His coddling of Rice and Rumsfeld leading up to the war soured me on him forever.
Beating up on more or less untested presidential candidates is one thing,
asking tough questions of a made and reckless regime, well that can lose
you a job. So he got out the knee pads and lip gloss when Condi and Rummy
dropped 'round.

You still didn't explain how RP is a racist.

TCB

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>I Think Tim R is the best in his game. He's tough, and he does not let up
>on the guest when they try to sway an answer.
>
>You should see his (Russert's) interview of Guilliani.>Whew!! That's was

>rough on Rudy. It definitely showed some contradictions in his political career.
>Rudy stumbled and fumbled and got twisted up .. You could tell that he was
>not ready for of those questions. Even better, you could see the "Surprise"
>on face when Tim R and staff dug up some old dirt..Priceless.
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>Tim Russert is a skill for the empire, a half wit with testicles that vary
>>in size precisely with the prevailing political winds.
>>
>>I don't happen to agree with what RP has to say about immigration, and
>a
>>lot of other things, but how is he a racist?
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>He is a complete and utter idiot who has flipped flopped thru-out his
>entire
>>>political career.
>>>
>>>Tim Russert chewed him up and spat him out and exposed his true racist
>self.
>>> If want to know the real Ron Paul, go back and look at the interview
>with
>>>Russert on Sunday's Meet the Press.
>>>
>>>Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>>><http://www.pbs.org/moyers/journal/01042008/watch2.html>
>>>>
>>>>This is a very thoughtful interview. If you like Paul's message, pass
>it
>>>
>>>>on to others who might be excited to know there is an alternative to
>big
>>>
>>>>bus- I'm sorry. I meant big government running our lives.
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 08:49:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh yeah . . . true. Really like my little Nano. All my favorite songs and a better picture than my 36" Trinitron. :)

S

"Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote in message news:47822b5a\$1@linux...

>
>
>> PS: Give me liberty, or give me HDTV!
>
>all you need is an iPod, you can have both.
>
>
>
>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by Erling on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 09:51:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

.....who knows if not Bush knows...;-)

On Mon, 07 Jan 2008 14:32:57 -0500, rick <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>aren't you guys on our "democratization" list???

>

>;o)

>

>On Mon, 07 Jan 2008 11:09:28 +0100, erlilo <erling.lovik@lyse.net>

>wrote:

>

>>We've got the global realities with Bush's political and corrupt
>>"fascismbehavings" here in Norway too, as in the whole EU and western
>>world. Now we've got the laws here too that can control and save all
>>internet/e-mail/telephone traffic from everywhere for a long periode.
>>Great corruption is showing up in the light in Norway too, now
>>between many of the tops in our militarysystem and the international
>>weaponindustry. We shall also change out our old F-16 planes here in
>>Norway and had 3 different planes as choices. It's going so far that
>>the American ambassador here went out and said the friendship between
>>our nations could be in great danger, if we don't buy the correct one.
>>So, it shows up really well here, that the American weaponindustry and
>>their gouvernment are walking hand in hand, wherever their power of
>>weapon and money are making footprints in the world.
>>

>>Erling
>>
>>On Sun, 6 Jan 2008 18:10:28 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478173bf@linux...
>>>> Deej,
>>>>
>>>> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does not
>>>> understand?
>>>
>>>Bill & Ron says:
>>>BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.
>>>RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. They believe in war. And they believe in the military industrial complex. And some of these companies that are mixed in with making profits off war.
>>>
>>>I think that's a distorted crock, personally.
>>>
>>>BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE PRESS-- you talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.
>>>
>>>RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type fascism, but we're moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil liberties, corporations running the show, big government in bed with big business. So you have the military industrial complex, you have the medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry, you have the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of millions of dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism, something that is very dangerous.
>>>
>>>yadda yadda....and then he says:
>>>
>>>RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere where our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. All in the name of safety and security we're supposed to give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed now to accept the idea of secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of habeas corpus. This is very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where it's hard to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday to reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, "You know I want to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my government to make me safe." And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you never have to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give up your liberty
>>>
>>>I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society, our own laws can be used against us in time of war.....except if you don't believe we are at war, in which case I say that his is dangerously in the twilight zone concerning some global realities. We have always had to take certain measures that restricted our freedoms during time of war.
>>>
>>> http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current_Page=all
>>>

>>>They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have also always been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. Many of us are just in total denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred war.

>>>

>>>I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new sole on an old shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how that kind of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called upon to field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont thinks that war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland. All that will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger scale than we are doing now.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>

>>>> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as
>>>> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That
>>>> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.

>>>> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument
>>>> and they have nothing.

>>>>

>>>> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about
>>>> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we
>>>> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to
>>>> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>>>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS

Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 15:53:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I might also be asking, if I were Norwegian, exactly what's wrong with those F-16s? Granted, Norway is menaced on one side by those notoriously warmongering Swedes and on the other side by, um, a very large number of fish. Many of those fish are cod, and you Norwegians have been infuriating them for a thousand years by turning them into lutefisk. That said, cod notably lack opposable thumbs, money, and the ability to survive outside of water for more than a few minutes, so I don't see them as likely to acquire any significant anti-aircraft

capacity.

This brings us back to the Swedes. I've never been to Norway but I visited Stockholm once and they might be winning in the 'Hot Nordic Babe Race' but I'm not sure even anti-ballistic missile systems would help with that.

So, considering Norway's enviable geopolitical gifts (remote location, rough terrain, long and difficult to breach coastline, a high quality navy, extensive oil reserves and superb energy exploration skill, no ABBA), I might tell that US ambassador where to stick it. A good pilot in an F-16 can defend against nearly any damn plane in the world, and they're dirt cheap to repair and maintain. The planes it can't defend against are owned by the US, Russia, Israel, and India and if Norway winds up in a shooting war with one of those countries, well, it's time to hunker down with the lutefisk and lefse and sue for peace.

TCB

erlilo <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote:

>We've got the global realities with Bush's political and corrupt
>"fascismbehavings" here in Norway too, as in the whole EU and western
>world. Now we've got the laws here too that can control and save all
>internet/e-mail/telephone traffic from everywhere for a long periode.
>Great corruption is showing up in the light in Norway too, now
>between many of the tops in our militarysystem and the international
>weaponindustry. We shall also change out our old F-16 planes here in
>Norway and had 3 different planes as choices. It's going so far that
>the American ambassador here went out and said the friendship between
>our nations could be in great danger, if we don't buy the correct one.
>So, it shows up really well here, that the American weaponindustry and
>their gouvernment are walking hand in hand, wherever their power of
>weapon and money are making footprints in the world.

>

>Erling

>

>On Sun, 6 Jan 2008 18:10:28 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:

>

>>

>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478173bf@linux...

>>> Deej,

>>>

>>> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does
not

>>> understand?

>>

>>Bill & Ron says:

>>BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.

>>RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. They

believe in
>war. And they believe in the military industrial complex. And some of these companies
>that are mixed in with making profits off war.
>>
>>I think that's a distorted crock, personally.
>>
>>BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE PRESS-- you
>talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.
>>
>>RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type fascism, but we're
>moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil liberties, corporations running the show,
>big government in bed with big business. So you have the military industrial complex,
>you have the medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry, you have
>the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of millions of
>dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism,
>something that is very dangerous.
>>
>>yadda yadda....and then he says:
>>
>>RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere where
>our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. All in the name of safety and
>security we're supposed to give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed now to
>accept the idea of secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of habeas corpus.
>This is very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where it's hard
>to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday to
>reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, "You know I want
>to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my government to make me safe."
>And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you never have
>to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give up your liberty
>>
>>I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society,

our own laws

>can be used against us in time of war.....except if you don't believe we are at war,

>in which case I say that his is dangerously in the twilight zone concerning some global

>realities. We have always had to take certain measures that restricted our freedoms

>during time of war.

>>

>> <http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current Page=all>

>>

>>They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have also always

>been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. Many of us are just in total

>denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred war.

>>

>>I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new sole on an old

>shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how that kind

>of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called upon to

>field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont thinks that

>war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland. All that

>will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger scale

>than we are doing now.

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>>

>>> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul as

>>> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That

>>> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.
>>> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument

>>> and they have nothing.

>>>

>>> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about
>>> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we

>>> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to

>>> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by Erling on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 18:42:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There's not anything wrong with those F-16, other than the American saying they have been toooooo oooooold for what's happening now. The American want to sell this plane:

<http://www.aerospaceweb.org/aircraft/fighter/f35/> , but we don't know how much lutefisk and lefse the US are willing to buy back or if they want these kind of food at all;-)

EU wanted to sell this: <http://www.eurofighter.com/> and love to buy and eat our klipp/lutefisk and lefse but understand how the American are willing to try to eat all we can export of these kind of food, if we are buying 48 American planes. So EU jumped of that flight-wagon when understanding that the American wanted to give up McDonalds and broilerchickens for Norwegian specialfood.

So now it's our bigbrother neighbour Sweden, that have a great kind of echnomical weddingplans to show up with for us, if we want to buy this plane: <http://www.gripen.com/en/index.htm>

So now Norway is behaving like a lady that wants a solid echnomical safety, with two suitors that's doing nearly everything to get merried with us, just to get some lutefish and lefse baked in North Sea Oil back. Yes, we have a new product here to sell, not the old King Oscar Sardines in old fat oil. That's being too old for me to remember, the taste.

By the way, they were showing up Gripen here in the summertime, with F-16 too - and the American is right when saying F-16 is toooo oooooold. Gripen had no problems at all to show this up on everything.

A flying story from Norway, with lutefisk, lefse and some love searching military planepartners;-)

On 9 Jan 2008 01:53:31 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>I might also be asking, if I were Norwegian, exactly what's wrong with those
>F-16s? Granted, Norway is menaced on one side by those notoriously warmongering
>Swedes and on the other side by, um, a very large number of fish. Many of
>those fish are cod, and you Norwegians have been infuriating them for a thousand
>years by turning them into lutefisk. That said, cod notably lack opposable
>thumbs, money, and the ability to survive outside of water for more than
>a few minutes, so I don't see them as likely to acquire any significant anti-aircraft
>capacity.

>
>This brings us back to the Swedes. I've never been to Norway but I visited
>Stockholm once and they might be winning in the 'Hot Nordic Babe Race' but
>I'm not sure even anti-ballistic missile systems would help with that.

>
>So, considering Norway's enviable geopolitical gifts (remote location, rough
>terrain, long and difficult to breach coastline, a high quality navy, extensive
>oil reserves and superb energy exploration skill, no ABBA), I might tell
>that US ambassador where to stick it. A good pilot in an F-16 can defend
>against nearly any damn plane in the world, and they're dirt cheap to repair
>and maintain. The planes it can't defend against are owned by the US, Russia,
>Israel, and India and if Norway winds up in a shooting war with one of those
>countries, well, it's time to hunker down with the lutefisk and lefse and
>sue for peace.

>
>TCB

>
>erlilo <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote:
>>We've got the global realities with Bush's political and corrupt
>>"fascismbehavings" here in Norway too, as in the whole EU and western
>>world. Now we've got the laws here too that can control and save all
>>internet/e-mail/telephone traffic from everywhere for a long periode.
>>Great corruption is showing up in the light in Norway too, now
>>between many of the tops in our militarysystem and the international
>>weaponindustry. We shall also change out our old F-16 planes here in
>>Norway and had 3 different planes as choices. It's going so far that
>>the American ambassador here went out and said the friendship between
>>our nations could be in great danger, if we don't buy the correct one.
>>So, it shows up really well here, that the American weaponindustry and
>>their gouvernement are walking hand in hand, wherever their power of
>>weapon and money are making footprints in the world.

>>
>>Erling
>>

>>On Sun, 6 Jan 2008 18:10:28 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478173bf@linux...
>>>> Deej,
>>>>
>>>> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does
>not
>>>> understand?
>>>
>>>Bill & Ron says:
>>>BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.
>>>RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. They
>believe in
>>war. And they believe in the military industrial complex. And some of these
>companies
>>that are mixed in with making profits off war.
>>>
>>>I think that's a distorted crock, personally.
>>>
>>>BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE
>PRESS-- you
>>talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.
>>>
>>>RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type fascism,
>but we're
>>moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil liberties, corporations running
>the show,
>>big government in bed with big business. So you have the military industrial
>complex,
>>you have the medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry,
>you have
>>the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of
>millions of
>>dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism,
>>something that is very dangerous.
>>>
>>>yadda yadda...and then he says:
>>>
>>>RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere
>where
>>our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. All in the name of
>safety and
>>security we're supposed to give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed
>now to
>>accept the idea of secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of
>habeas corpus.
>>This is very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where

>it's hard
>>to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday
>to
>>reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, "You
>know I want
>>to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my government to make
>me safe."
>>And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you
>never have
>>to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give
>up your liberty
>>>
>>>I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society,
>our own laws
>>can be used against us in time of war.....except if you don't believe we
>are at war,
>>in which case I say that his is dangerously in the twilight zone concerning
>some global
>>realities. We have always had to take certain measures that restricted our
>freedoms
>>during time of war.
>>>
>>> <http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current Page=all>
>>>
>>>They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have
>also always
>>been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. Many of us are
>just in total
>>denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred
>war.
>>>
>>>I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new sole
>on an old
>>shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how
>that kind
>>of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called
>upon to
>>field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont
>thinks that
>>war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland.
>All that
>>will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger
>scale
>>than we are doing now.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>

>>>> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul
>as
>>>> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That
>
>>>> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.
>>>> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument
>
>>>> and they have nothing.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about
>>>> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we
>
>>>> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to
>
>>>> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 18:57:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

LOL

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKruz.com

TCB wrote:

> I might also be asking, if I were Norwegian, exactly what's wrong with those
> F-16s? Granted, Norway is menaced on one side by those notoriously warmongering
> Swedes and on the other side by, um, a very large number of fish. Many of
> those fish are cod, and you Norwegians have been infuriating them for a thousand
> years by turning them into lutefisk. That said, cod notably lack opposable
> thumbs, money, and the ability to survive outside of water for more than
> a few minutes, so I don't see them as likely to acquire any significant anti-aircraft
> capacity.
>

> This brings us back to the Swedes. I've never been to Norway but I visited
> Stockholm once and they might be winning in the 'Hot Nordic Babe Race' but
> I'm not sure even anti-ballistic missile systems would help with that.
>
> So, considering Norway's enviable geopolitical gifts (remote location, rough
> terrain, long and difficult to breach coastline, a high quality navy, extensive
> oil reserves and superb energy exploration skill, no ABBA), I might tell
> that US ambassador where to stick it. A good pilot in an F-16 can defend
> against nearly any damn plane in the world, and they're dirt cheap to repair
> and maintain. The planes it can't defend against are owned by the US, Russia,
> Israel, and India and if Norway winds up in a shooting war with one of those
> countries, well, it's time to hunker down with the lutefisk and lefse and
> sue for peace.
>
> TCB
>
> erlilo <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote:
>> We've got the global realities with Bush's political and corrupt
>> "fascismbehavings" here in Norway too, as in the whole EU and western
>> world. Now we've got the laws here too that can control and save all
>> internet/e-mail/telephone traffic from everywhere for a long periode.
>> Great corruption is showing up in the light in Norway too, now
>> between many of the tops in our militarysystem and the international
>> weaponindustry. We shall also change out our old F-16 planes here in
>> Norway and had 3 different planes as choices. It's going so far that
>> the American ambassador here went out and said the friendship between
>> our nations could be in great danger, if we don't buy the correct one.
>> So, it shows up really well here, that the American weaponindustry and
>> their gouvernement are walking hand in hand, wherever their power of
>> weapon and money are making footprints in the world.
>>
>> Erling
>>
>> On Sun, 6 Jan 2008 18:10:28 -0700, "Deej" <noway@jose.org> wrote:
>>
>>> "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote in message news:478173bf@linux...
>>>> Deej,
>>>>
>>>> I'm curious about exactly which "global realities" you think he does
> not
>>>> understand?
>>> Bill & Ron says:
>>> BILL MOYERS: --who own, as you say, a lot of the media out there.
>>> RON PAUL: But I think it's still to this philosophy they believe in. They
> believe in
>> war. And they believe in the military industrial complex. And some of these
> companies
>> that are mixed in with making profits off war.

>>> I think that's a distorted crock, personally.
>>>
>>> BILL MOYERS: You remind me of something you told Tim Russet on MEET THE
> PRESS-- you
>> talked about fascism. Look at this piece of tape.
>>> RON PAUL (on MEET THE PRESS): Were not moving toward Hitler-type fascism,
> but we're
>> moving toward a softer fascism. Loss of civil liberties, corporations running
> the show,
>> big government in bed with big business. So you have the military industrial
> complex,
>> you have the medical industrial complex, you have the financial industry,
> you have
>> the communications industry. They go to Washington and spend hundreds of
> millions of
>> dollars. That's where the control is. I call that a soft form of fascism,
>> something that is very dangerous.
>>> yadda yadda....and then he says:
>>>
>>> RON PAUL: Yeah. Now we're living in an age today in this post-9/11 atmosphere
> where
>> our civil liberties are being undermined constantly. All in the name of
> safety and
>> security we're supposed to give up our rights for our privacy? We're allowed
> now to
>> accept the idea of secret prisons and secret renditions, and the lost of
> habeas corpus.
>> This is very, very dangerous. And I don't want to get to the point where
> it's hard
>> to reverse. Matter of fact, right now it's getting more difficult everyday
> to
>> reverse this trend, because the American people seem to so often say, "You
> know I want
>> to be-- I can't be free, if I'm not safe. So, I want my government to make
> me safe."
>> And they're willing to give up their liberties. And I'm convinced that you
> never have
>> to give up liberties to be safe. I think you're less safe when you give
> up your liberty
>>> I think Paul totally doesn't get the fact that due to our open society,
> our own laws
>> can be used against us in time of war.....except if you don't believe we
> are at war,
>> in which case I say that his is dangerously in the twilight zone concerning
> some global
>> realities. We have always had to take certain measures that restricted our
> freedoms
>> during time of war.

>>> http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2001/09/47051?current_Page=all
>>>
>>> They were measures that were deemed necessary at the time and they have
> also always
>> been rescinded when the war ended. This is no different. Many of us are
> just in total
>> denial that we are at war.....a very real, down and dirty, no holds barred
> war.
>>> I also think that his foriegn policy is, despite trying to put a new sole
> on an old
>> shoe, isolationist, and all you have to do is look to history to see how
> that kind
>> of policy has allowed conflicts to fester and escalate until we are called
> upon to
>> field a massive military juggernaut in order to end a world war. If anyont
> thinks that
>> war is going to go away just because we do, they are living in Disneyland.
> All that
>> will happen is that we will just have to deal with a bigger mess on a larger
> scale
>> than we are doing now.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> I have noticed a tendency in the mainstream media to portray Ron Paul
> as
>>>> a kook or a crazy, without stating any specific reasons whatever. That
>
>>>> kind of covert slander of a person's sanity is really slimey IMHO.
>>>> That's what people do when they are really desperate to win an argument
>
>>>> and they have nothing.
>>>>
>>>> Anyway I am fed up with the apathy we face in this country about
>>>> changing our government institutions and political system. I think we
>
>>>> can do something about it, and I like that Ron Paul is standing up to
>
>>>> say "I am the man who will do it." That takes a LOT of courage.

>>>>

>

Subject: Re: OT Ron Paul Interviewed by Bill Moyers of PBS
Posted by [Deej](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 19:00:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"erlilo" <erling.lovik@lyse.net> wrote in message
news:3ca7o3p8nebmc72k86l1pj83pqm6a9ksv1@4ax.com...
> There's not anything wrong with those F-16, other than the American
> saying they have been toooooo oooooold for what's happening now. The
> American want to sell this plane:
> <http://www.aerospaceweb.org/aircraft/fighter/f35/> , but we don't know
> how much lutefisk and lefse the US are willing to buy back or if they
> want these kind of food at all;-)
> EU wanted to sell this: <http://www.eurofighter.com/> and love to buy
> and eat our klipp/lutefisk and lefse but understand how the American
> are willing to try to eat all we can export of these kind of food, if
> we are buying 48 American planes. So EU jumped of that flight-wagon
> when understanding that the Amercan wanted to give up McDonalds and
> broilerchickens for Norwegian specialfood.
> So now it's our bigbrother neighbour Sweden, that have a great kind of
> echnomical weddingplans to show up with for us, if we want to buy
> this plane: <http://www.gripen.com/en/index.htm>
> So now Norway is behaving like a lady that wants a solid echnomical
> safety, with two suitors that's doing nearly everything to get merried
> with us, just to get some lutefish and lefse baked in North Sea Oil
> back. Yes, we have a new product here to sell, not the old King Oscar
> Sardines in old fat oil. That's being too old for me to remember, the
> taste.
>
> By the way, they were showing up Gripen here in the summertime, with
> F-16 too - and the American is right when saying F-16 is toooo oooooold.
> Gripen had no problems at all to show this up on everything.
>
> A flying story from Norway, with lutefisk, lefse and some love
> searching military planepartners;-)
>

I really think that Norway should buy the Euroofferings. . You're going to
have a hard time getting tech support from the US if RP is elected, (not
that you would want it anyway, since it is American wnd Americans are evil)
and you may need them since we will be withdrawing from participation in
world affairs so when the Russians come calling, Europe should be ready
because we will not be.
