
Subject: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 03:50:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw at it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did it for a while. I really don't use them much any more.
5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression gets you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if you do need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair and compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how much I try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware was much better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never in a million years.
7. The deal killer for me with Paris was lack of delay compensation, but I have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably lose the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in. Analog hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my boat now that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a 2nd comp on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio and I'd probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)

There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to do.....I guess there really never has been, has there?

;o}

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Cujjo](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 05:35:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line pads into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.

I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really is worth the round trip.

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

- >1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
- >
- >2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
- >
- >3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw at it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
- >
- >4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did it for a while. I really don't use them much any more.
- >
- >5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression gets you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if you do need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair and compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how much I try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware was much better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
- >
- >6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never in a million years.
- >
- >7. The deal killer for me with Paris was lack of delay compensation, but I have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably lose the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in. Analog hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my boat now that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a 2nd comp on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio and I'd probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)
- >
- >There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to do.....I

>guess there really never has been, has there?
>
>;o}
>
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 07:10:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to do.....I

>guess there really never has been, has there?
>
>;o}

It's all about the chase, not the conquest...

DC

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Deej \[5\]](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 08:25:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Scott,

I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to using Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part of that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need the ability to work at higher sample rates. another assumption was that I would want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has really proven itseld to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a bit of post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.

DJ

"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:47a2a182\$1@linux...

>
>
> Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line pads
> into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>

> I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really is
> worth
> the round trip.
>
>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>
>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
>>
>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw
> at
>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>
>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did it
> for
>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>
>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with
>
>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression
>>gets
>
>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if you
> do
>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair and
>
>>compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how much
> I
>>try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware was
> much
>>better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
>>
>>6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never in a
>>million years.
>>
>>7. The deal killler for me with Paris was lack of delay compensation, but
> I
>>have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably
>>lose
>
>>the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in.
>>Analog
>
>>hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my boat
> now
>>that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a 2nd
>>comp

>
>>on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio and I'd
>
>>probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)
>>
>>There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to
>>do.....I
>
>>guess there really never has been, has there?
>>
>>;o}
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 15:24:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I wish somebody could develop the Paris ASIO,so that Paris input and output
could run Nuendo,or other software...
"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a2b7ec\$1@linux...

>
> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>
> >There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to
do.....I
>
> >guess there really never has been, has there?
> >
> >;o}
>
>
> It's all about the chase, not the conquest...
>
> DC
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 16:45:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So you just want the sun AND the moon?

This is Zan, right? Do you still sell your DADGAD book? I've been using that

tuning with a capo on some distorted electric guitar parts. REALLY cool, was thinking I should dig a little deeper.

TCB

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>I wish somebody could develop the Paris ASIO,so that Paris input and output
>could run Nuendo,or other software...

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a2b7ec\$1@linux...

>>

>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>>

>> >There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to

>do.....I

>>

>> >guess there really never has been, has there?

>> >

>> >;o}

>>

>>

>> It's all about the chase, not the conquest...

>>

>> DC

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 17:18:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_00E9_01C864CC.8A18B480

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Neil,

You can swap the order of the hardware in the Paris Patchbay.

Just pick the module you want from the list on the upper right and

drag n drop to the location in Mec Modules that you want it to be in.

I do have the 8 ins, 8 outs then ADAT in my Mecs. The show up in that order in the Patchbay too. I'm not sure why your ADAT shows up first. Every system is a little different. Wait for the=20 upgrade.

Tom

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:47a351ee\$1@linux...

I really like the higher samplerates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a difference (and so can you, I'm convinced anyone here could). It's not so much about "how much more high end is there?" it's about CLARITY up top, and an openness to the sound. And getting the digital lowpass filters up out of the range of human hearing.

Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, and was considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're supposed to sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVOOOVE that tough, yet musical Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are unbalanced, which I'm not too keen on.

BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question? I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is=20 there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is 8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case? IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.

Neil

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWS @ =
88.2 &
96k..

>

>Here'my take: Native soud cool to me when using a Analoge mixer to =
sum.

As

>you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing =
thru

>the Ghost.. More dept..

>
>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. it still =
gives
that
>"AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all =
smile. The
>interface is still(IMO) the bets looking..
>
>Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for =
mixing
>really nice. Editing is an entirely diffenent story.=20
>But one thing is for sure: The PARis sound keeps bringing me return =
business
>form my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just =
want
>to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..
>
>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>Scott,
>>
>>I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to =
using
>
>>Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. =
Part
>of=20
>>that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need =
the
>
>>ability to work at higher sample rates. another assumption was that =
I would
>
>>want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has =
really
>
>>proven itseld to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a =
bit
>of=20
>>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.
>>
>>DJ
>>
>>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message =
news:47a2a182\$1@linux...
>>>
>>>
>>> Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' =
line

>pads
>>> into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>>>
>>> I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it =
really
is
>
>>> worth
>>> the round trip.
>>>
>>>
>>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>>>
>>>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly =
gainstaged.
>>>>
>>>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you =
throw
>>> at
>>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>>>
>>>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I =
did
>it
>>> for
>>>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>>>
>>>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better =
with
>>>
>>>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus =
compression
>
>>>>gets
>>>>
>>>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and =
if
you
>>> do
>>>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo =
pair and
>>>
>>>>compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter =
how much
>>> I
>>>>try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. =
Creamware was

>>> much
>>>>better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
>>>>
>>>>6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never =
in
a
>>>>million years.
>>>>
>>>>7. The deal killer for me with Paris was lack of delay =
compensation,
>but
>>> I
>>>>have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could =
probably
>
>>>>lose
>>>
>>>>the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune =
in.

>>>>Analog
>>>
>>>>hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float =
my boat
>>> now
>>>>that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a =
2nd
>
>>>>comp
>>>
>>>>on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio =
and
>'d
>>>
>>>>probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)
>>>>
>>>>There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to=20
>>>>do.....I
>>>
>>>>guess there really never has been, has there?
>>>>
>>>>;o}
>>>>
>>>>
>>>=20
>>
>>
>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, and you?

http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html

-----=_NextPart_000_00E9_01C864CC.8A18B480

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2900.2180" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Neil,</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>You can swap the order of the hardware =
in the Paris=20
Patchbay.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Just pick the module you want from the =
list on the=20
upper right and</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>drag n drop to the location in Mec =
Modules that you=20
want it to be in.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>I do have the&nbsp; 8 ins, 8 outs then =
ADAT in my=20
Mecs.&nbsp; The show up</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>in that order in the Patchbay =
too.&nbsp; I'm not=20
sure why your ADAT</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>shows up first.&nbsp; Every system is a =
little=20
different.&nbsp; Wait for the </FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>upgrade.</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>Tom</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2></FONT>&nbsp;</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE=20
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
  <DIV>"Neil" &lt;<A =
href=3D"mailto:OIUOIU@OIU.com">OIUOIU@OIU.com</A>&gt; wrote=20
  in message <A=20
```

[news:47a351ee\\$1@linux](mailto:news:47a351ee$1@linux)...</DIV>
I = really=20 like the higher samplerates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a
difference = (and so=20 can you, I'm convinced anyone here could).
It's not so much about = "how much=20 more high end is there?" it's
about CLARITY up top, and an openness = to the=20 sound. And getting
the digital lowpass filters up out of the range = of=20 human
hearing.

Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, = and=20 was
considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're = supposed
to=20 sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVOOOVE that tough,
yet = musical=20 Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are
unbalanced, which = I'm not=20 too keen on.

BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW = config=20 question?
I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a=20 local
band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris,=20 then
porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have=20 a
single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is =
there any=20 "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got
the 2nd 8-in = card the=20 other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but
I've noticed that with the = current=20 setup in the MEC (which is
8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the = 2nd) the=20 patch bay sees
the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always = the=20 case?
IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card = sees
the=20 two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT=20 card.

Neil

"LaMont" <<A=20 href="mailto:jjdpro@gmail.com">jjdpro@gmail.com>=20 wrote:
>
>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as = good as=20 other DAWS @ 88.2 &
96k..
>
>Here'my take: Native = soud cool=20 to me when using a Analoge mixer to sum.
As
>you know, I use = a=20 SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru
>the = Ghost..=20 More dept..
>
>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready = at all=20

times. it still gives that "AWE" effect on clients and =
engineers.=20
When I play it, they all smile. The interface is still(IMO) the =
looking..
Most projects I work on these days are on =
Slow-Tools
which I find for mixing really nice. Editing is an entirely =
diffenent
story.
But one thing is for sure: The PARIS sound keeps =
bringing me
return business from my Engineer and Producers who use Pro =
Tools &=20
Cubase.. They just want to run their mix thru (Paris) for the =
sound..
"Deej" <A=20
href=3D"mailto:noway@jose.net">noway@jose.net=20
wrote:>>Scott,>>
>>I'm just too invested =
in what=20
I've got going on here to go back to using Paris. I =
made a=20
decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part of=20

>>that decision was based on the presumption that I =
would=20
really need the ability to work at higher sample =
rates.=20
another assumption was that I would want to use =
midi tracks=20
to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has =
really>>>proven=20
itseld to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a =
bit>>>of=20

>>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with =
video.>>>DJ >>>"Cujo" <A=20
=20
href=3D"mailto:chris@applemanstudio.com">chris@applemanstudio.com=20
wrote=20
in message <A=20
=
href=3D"news:47a2a182\$1 @linux">news:47a2a182\$1 @linux...>>>g=
t;>>>>>>=20
Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis'=20
line>>>>> into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig =
the=20
depth I am getting.>>>>>> I would say the =
1968 is a=20
tad mushy and warm.. But I think it =
really>>>>>=20
worth>>>>> the round=20

trip.
>>
>>
>> "Deej" <<A=20
href=3D"mailto:noway@jose.net">noway@jose.net>=20
wrote:
>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing =
short of=20
amazing
>>>
>>>2. The sound quality =
can be=20
very very good when properly=20
gainstaged.
>>>
>>>3. Low latency =
monitoring=20
is possible, thought the more money you throw
>>=20
at
>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a =
practical=20
way.
>>>
>>>4. The ability to use =
higher=20
sample rates is no big deal to me. I did
>it
>>=20
for
>>>a while. I really don't use them much any=20
more.
>>>
>>>5. I'm discovering that =
Paris was=20
easier to use and sounded better =
with
>>
>>>fewer=20
options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus=20
=
compression
>
>>>gets
>>
>>&g=
t;>you=20
in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and=20
if
you
>> do
>>>need bus compression =
in Paris,=20
you can bounce down to a stereo pair=20
and
>>
>>>compress the tracks (a type of =
parallel=20
compression). No matter how much
>> =
I
>>>try to=20
like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware=20
was
>> much
>>>better as far as I/O =
interface is=20
concerned on a native =
platform.
>>>
>>>6. VSTi=20
drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never=20
in
a
>>>million=20
years.
>>>
>>>7. The deal killler for =
me with=20
Paris was lack of delay compensation,
>but
>>=20
I
>>>have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now =
that I=20
could=20
=
probably
>
>>>lose
>>>
>>>=&
gt;the=20

UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune=20
=
in.

>>>>Analog
>>>
>>>>hard=
ware,=20
Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my =
boat
>>>=20
now
>>>>that I have had a healthy dose of "the other =
side". I=20
would keep a=20
=
2nd
>
>>>>comp
>>>
>>>>on=
the=20
side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio=20
and
>>>>I'd
>>>>
>>>>probably be just as=20
happy....of course, then I lose recall=20
(sigh)
>>>>
>>>>There really is no =
perfect world=20
these days for what I want to=20
=

>>>>do.....I
>>>
>>>>gues=
s=20
there really never has been, has=20
=
there?
>>>>
>>>>> }
>>>>
=
>>>>>
>>>>=20

>>
>>
>
</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=
..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_00E9_01C864CC.8A18B480--

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native (Thad)

Posted by [tonehouse](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 17:40:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Thad..I tried to reply to you about the DADGAD book,but it bounced back..can you send me an address I can reply to?
"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:47a33a2a\$1@linux...
> I wish somebody could develop the Paris ASIO,so that Paris input and output
> could run Nuendo,or other software...
> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a2b7ec\$1@linux...
> >
> > "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

> >
> > > There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to
> do.....I
> >
> > > guess there really never has been, has there?
> > >
> > > ;o}
> >
> >
> > It's all about the chase, not the conquest...
> >
> > DC
> >
>
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 17:44:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWS @ 88.2 & 96k..

Here's my take: Native sound cool to me when using an analog mixer to sum. As you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru the Ghost.. More dept..

I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. It still gives that "AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all smile. The interface is still (IMO) the best looking..

Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for mixing really nice. Editing is an entirely different story. But one thing is for sure: The Paris sound keeps bringing me return business from my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just want to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..

"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

> Scott,

>

> I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to using

> Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part of

> that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need the

> ability to work at higher sample rates. Another assumption was that I would

>want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has really
>proven itself to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a bit
of
>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.
>
>DJ
>
>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:47a2a182\$1@linux...
>>
>>
>> DeeJ, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line
pads
>> into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>>
>> I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really is

>> worth
>> the round trip.
>>
>>
>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>>
>>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
>>>
>>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, though the more money you throw
>> at
>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>>
>>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did
it
>> for
>>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>>
>>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with
>>
>>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression

>>>gets
>>
>>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if you
>> do
>>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair and
>>
>>>compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how much
>> I
>>>try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware was

>> much
>>>better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
>>>
>>>6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never in a
>>>million years.
>>>
>>>7. The deal killer for me with Paris was lack of delay compensation,
but
>> I
>>>have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably

>>>lose
>>
>>>the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in.
>>>Analog
>>
>>>hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my boat
>> now
>>>that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a 2nd

>>>comp
>>
>>>on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio and
I'd
>>
>>>probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)
>>>
>>>There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to
>>>do.....I
>>
>>>guess there really never has been, has there?
>>>
>>>;0}
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Neil](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 18:07:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I really like the higher samplerates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a difference (and so can you, I'm convinced anyone here could). It's not so much about "how much more high end is there?" it's about CLARITY up top, and an openness to the sound. And getting

the digital lowpass filters up out of the range of human hearing.

Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, and was considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're supposed to sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVOOOVE that tough, yet musical Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are unbalanced, which I'm not too keen on.

BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question? I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is 8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case? IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.

Neil

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWS @ 88.2 & 96k..

>

>Here'my take: Native soud cool to me when using a Analoge mixer to sum.

As

>you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru

>the Ghost.. More dept..

>

>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. it still gives that

>"AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all smile. The

>interface is still(IMO) the bets looking..

>

>Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for mixing

>really nice. Editing is an entirely diffenent story.

>But one thing is for sure: The PARIS sound keeps bringing me return business

>form my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just want

>to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..

>

>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>Scott,
>>
>>I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to using
>
>>Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part
>of
>>that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need the
>
>>ability to work at higher sample rates. another assumption was that I would
>
>>want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has really
>
>>proven itseld to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a bit
>of
>>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.
>>
>>DJ
>>
>>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:47a2a182\$1@linux...
>>>
>>>
>>>Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line
>pads
>>>into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>>>
>>>I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really
is
>
>>>worth
>>>the round trip.
>>>
>>>
>>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>>>
>>>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
>>>>
>>>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw
>>>at
>>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>>>
>>>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did
>it
>>>for
>>>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>>>
>>>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with

>>>
>>>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression
>
>>>>gets
>>>
>>>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if
you
>>> do
>>>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair and
>>>
>>>>compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how much
>>> I
>>>>try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware was
>>> much
>>>>better as far as I/O interface is concerned on a native platform.
>>>>
>>>>6. VSTi drums will never make me happy....no matter what....never in
a
>>>>million years.
>>>>
>>>>7. The deal killler for me with Paris was lack of delay compensation,
>but
>>> I
>>>>have added enough outboard crap to my rig by now that I could probably
>
>>>>lose
>>>
>>>>the UAD-1 stuff that I like so much and have invested a fortune in.

>>>>Analog
>>>
>>>>hardware, Paris DSP and low latency VST plugs would likely float my boat
>>> now
>>>>that I have had a healthy dose of "the other side". I would keep a 2nd
>
>>>>comp
>>>
>>>>on the side to stream VSTi's in real time, recording them as audio and
>I'd
>>>
>>>>probably be just as happy....of course, then I lose recall (sigh)
>>>>
>>>>There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to
>>>>do.....I
>>>
>>>>guess there really never has been, has there?
>>>>
>>>>;o}

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native (Thad)

Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 20:55:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Zan,

Thanks for replying, my personal mail server hosting company has been having some problems. Just do thad dot brown at yale dot edu and it will go through fine.

Thanks much,

TCB

"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:

>Hi Thad..I tried to reply to you about the DADGAD book,but it bounced

>back..can you send me an address I can reply to?

>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote in message news:47a33a2a\$1@linux...

>> I wish somebody could develop the Paris ASIO,so that Paris input and

>output

>> could run Nuendo,or other software...

>> "DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:47a2b7ec\$1@linux...

>> >

>> > "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:

>> >

>> > >There really is no perfect world these days for what I want to

>> do.....I

>> >

>> > >guess there really never has been, has there?

>> > >

>> > >};o}

>> >

>> >

>> > It's all about the chase, not the conquest...

>> >

>> > DC

>> >

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Fri, 01 Feb 2008 21:18:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Neil.. Yes, on Pro Tools, recording @ 96k I and others hear more "Thickness" fuller track. On Nuendo, I hear (@96) more full-range if you will (Open-ness)

...

The Trident would be a KILLER!! addition to your rig. I hear they will have a ADATdigital i/o option in the near future.

Lastly, I discovered the opposite: Track in Nuendo/Cubase/Slow-Tools/Sonar/DP/Logic... Mix in Paris. Reason:

Even tracks dumped from an Roland VS-880/1680 sound better when imported into Paris.

Tracks in Paris take on a New Life. Now, When I take tracks recorded in Paris and mix in Slo-Tools, NUendo etc..The magic is gone. And, thus the tracks start sounding they were recorded in those DAWs..

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>I really like the higher sample rates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a
>difference (and so can you, I'm convinced anyone here could).
>It's not so much about "how much more high end is there?" it's
>about CLARITY up top, and an openness to the sound. And getting
>the digital lowpass filters up out of the range of human
>hearing.

>

>Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, and was
>considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're supposed
>to sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVE LOVE LOVE that tough,
>yet musical Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are
>unbalanced, which I'm not too keen on.

>

>BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question?
>I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local
>band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then
>porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a
>single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is
>there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got
>the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but
>I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is
>8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees
>the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case?

>IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees
>the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.
>
>Neil
>
>
>
>
>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWS @ 88.2
&
>96k..
>>
>>Here'my take: Native soud cool to me when using a Analoge mixer to sum.
>As
>>you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru
>>the Ghost.. More dept..
>>
>>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. it still gives
>that
>>"AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all smile.
The
>>interface is still(IMO) the bets looking..
>>
>>Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for mixing
>>really nice. Editing is an entirely diffenent story.
>>But one thing is for sure: The PARis sound keeps bringing me return business
>>form my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just want
>>to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..
>>
>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>Scott,
>>>
>>>I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to using
>>
>>>Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part
>>of
>>>that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need the
>>
>>>ability to work at higher sample rates. another assumption was that I
would
>>
>>>want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has really
>>
>>>proven itseld to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a bit
>>of
>>>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.

>>>
>>>DJ
>>>
>>>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:47a2a182\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> DeeJ, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line
>>pads
>>>> into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>>>>
>>>> I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really
>is
>>
>>>> worth
>>>> the round trip.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>>>>
>>>>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
>>>>>
>>>>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw
>>>> at
>>>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>>>>
>>>>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did
>>it
>>>> for
>>>>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>>>>
>>>>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better with
>>>>
>>>>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression
>>
>>>>>gets
>>>>
>>>>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if
>you
>>>> do
>>>>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair
>>>> and
>>>>
>>>>>compress the tracks (a type of parallel compression). No matter how
>>>> much
>>>> I
>>>>>try to like RME Totalmix, it's just cumbersome and sucks. Creamware
>>>> was

Lamont - problem in this case, with tracking in SX, mixing in Paris, is the "type" of mixing I'm going to have to do... it's going to require lot's of varied types of VST plugin's plugin parameter automation, etc, etc.

Neil

"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>Hey Neil.. Yes, on Pro Tools, recording @ 96k I and others hear more "Thickness"
>fuller track. On Nuendo, I hear (@96) more full-range if you will (Open-ness)
>..
>
>The Trident would be a KILLER!! addition to your rig. I hear they will have
>a ADATdigital i/o option in the near future.
>
>Lastly, I discovered the oposite: Track in Nuendo/Cubase/Slow-Tools/Sonar/DP/Logic...
>Mix in Paris. Reason:

>
>Even tracks dumped from an Roland VS-880/1680 sound better when imported
>into Paris.
>Tracks in Paris take on a New Life. Now, When I take tracks recorded in
>Paris and mix in Slo-Tools, NUendo etc..The magicis gone. And, thus the
>tracks start sounding they were recorded in thosw DAWS..

>
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>>
>>I really like the higher samplerates - I can ABSOLUTELY hear a
>>difference (and so can you, I'm convinced anyone here could).
>>It's not so much about "how much more high end is there?" it's
>>about CLARITY up top, and an openness to the sound. And getting
>>the digital lowpass filters up out of the range of human
>>hearing.

>>
>>Anyway, I've thought about trying a console, and was
>>considering one of those new Toft ATB's (since they're supposed
>>to sound like Trident 80-series, and I LOVOOOVE that tough,
>>yet musical Trident sound - but I understand the outputs are
>>unbalanced, which I'm not too keen on.

>>
>>BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question?
>>I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local
>>band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then
>>porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a
>>single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is
>>there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got
>>the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but

>>I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is
>>8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees
>>the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case?
>>IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees
>>the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.
>>
>>Neil
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Yep.. Paris @ 16 bit 44.1/48k sounds just as good as other DAWS @ 88.2
>&
>>96k..
>>>
>>>Here'my take: Native soud cool to me when using a Analoge mixer to sum.
>>As
>>>you know, I use a SoundCraft Ghost. Even Paris sounds better summing thru
>>>the Ghost.. More dept..
>>>
>>>I keep my 4 card Paris rig armed and ready at all times. it still gives
>>that
>>>"AWE" effect on clients and engineers. When I play it, they all smile.
>The
>>>interface is still(IMO) the bets looking..
>>>
>>>Most projects I work on these days are on Slow-Tools which I find for
mixing
>>>really nice. Editing is an entirely diffenent story.
>>>But one thing is for sure: The PARis sound keeps bringing me return business
>>>form my Engineer and Producers who use Pro Tools & Cubase.. They just
want
>>>to run their mix thru (Paris) for the sound..
>>>
>>>"Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>Scott,
>>>>
>>>>I'm just too invested in what I've got going on here to go back to using
>>>
>>>>Paris. I made a decision to move on and I'm going to stick with it. Part
>>>of
>>>>that decision was based on the presumption that I would really need
the
>>>
>>>>ability to work at higher sample rates. another assumption was that I
>would

>>>
>>>>want to use midi tracks to trigger VSTi's. Neither assumption has really
>>>
>>>>proven itseld to have been really necessary. I am starting to get a bit
>>>>of
>>>>post work here and Cubase is pretty handy for working with video.
>>>>
>>>>DJ
>>>>
>>>>"Cujo" <chris@applemanstudio.com> wrote in message news:47a2a182\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Deej, I'm sending my Paris mixes through my BA 312's via Avedis' line
>>>>pads
>>>>> into my Drawmer 1968 and I really dig the depth I am getting.
>>>>>
>>>>> I would say the 1968 is a tad mushy and warm.. But I think it really
>>is
>>>
>>>>> worth
>>>>> the round trip.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Deej" <noway@jose.net> wrote:
>>>>>>1. The options that it offers are nothing short of amazing
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2. The sound quality can be very very good when properly gainstaged.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>3. Low latency monitoring is possible, thought the more money you throw
>>>>> at
>>>>>>it, the more "possible" it really is in a practical way.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>4. The ability to use higher sample rates is no big deal to me. I did
>>>it
>>>>> for
>>>>>>a while. I really don't use them much any more.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>5. I'm discovering that Paris was easier to use and sounded better
with
>>>>>
>>>>>>fewer options. Yeah, bus compression is nice, but what bus compression
>>>
>>>>>>gets
>>>>>>
>>>>>>you in native, Paris gets you without needing bus compression and if
>>you
>>>>> do
>>>>>>need bus compression in Paris, you can bounce down to a stereo pair

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 12:36:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:47a351ee\$1@linux...

>
> BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question?
> I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local
> band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then
> porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a
> single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is
> there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got
> the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but
> I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is
> 8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees
> the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case?
> IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees
> the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.
>
> Neil

Memory serving, that is correct that no matter how you load the cards, it's going to come up adat first always. Also, memory serving, you can set up the patch bay anyway you want, including a reordering of the cards and save that as your template thereby bringing it up the way you want each time you boot if you save that template as 'default project' and stuff it in the same folder where you installed Paris.exe

AA

Subject: Re: Something I'm discovering about native
Posted by [Neil](#) on Sat, 02 Feb 2008 16:46:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Aaron (& Tom, earlier). Yeah, I do seem to recall the patchbay saved-settings thing, and using it for something or other back when Paris was my only DAW. I'll just use that method - I was just wondering if there was a specific order

in which those cards should be loaded, to get it to default to seeing the 8-in's first... IME, getting any hardware to default a certain way is always preferable, since it then never wants to try & change back to some other configuration if a glitch occurs.

Neil

"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>

>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote in message news:47a351ee\$1@linux...

>>

>> BTW, can anyone help me with a quick Paris HW config question?

>> I'm getting ready to start tracking a new project for a local

>> band, and I was thinking about doing the basics in Paris, then

>> porting over to Cubase for overdubs & mixing - if I have a

>> single EDS card, one MEC, two 8-in's, and an ADAT card, is

>> there any "best" order to load the cards in the MEC? I just got

>> the 2nd 8-in card the other day (thanks, John Shapiro), but

>> I've noticed that with the current setup in the MEC (which is

>> 8-in in the first, slot, ADAT in the 2nd) the patch bay sees

>> the ADAT card first, then the 8-in. Is that always the case?

>> IOW, I'd like to have it so that the patch bay/EDS card sees

>> the two 8-in modules first, THEN the ADAT card.

>>

>> Neil

>

>

>Memory serving, that is correct that no matter how you load the cards, it's

>going to come up adat first always. Also, memory serving, you can set up the

>patch bay anyway you want, including a reordering of the cards and save that

>as your template thereby bringing it up the way you want each time you boot

>if you save that template as 'default project' and stuff it in the same

>folder where you installed Paris.exe

>

>AA

>

>