Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Dedric Terry on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 17:22:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Neil - yes. I tried them a few months ago, then some of the newer ones recently. Imho, they are simple dsp with some EQ for effect, but basic at best. Not great sound at all, though at first listen the mids/lows seem to impart some character that might seem attractive. However, the tell tale of a basic EQ is the top end gets brittle (picks up harmonic distortion/phase non-linearities) when boosting or cutting. UAD-1's EX-1 EQ does this with HPF mode. The comps to me sound muddy with poor handling of high frequency content and stereo image.

Imho, the best EQ on the indie market is ElectriQ: http://www.aixcoustic.com/. Put it in linear phase, set the EQ nodes to S-Plane and boost it by 20 db at 10k on a classical recording - with most linear phase EQs, that will sound like crap (grainy, harsh and abrasive), but ElectriQ maintains the smooth clarity of the original recording.

I put it up against Algorithmix, Flux, Cambridge, Nuendo's stock EQ, and while there isn't as much difference as one might think, esp. between non-linear phase EQs, and between linear phase. ElectriQ is as good as any linear phase and better than most non-linear phase when in high quality mode.

In analog mode, it sounds nice as well, though better suited to tracks than a mix. It doesn't necessarily have the more colored UAD-1 Neve/Helios EQ sound, but it's a great EQ for \$100 or so. More modes and filter types than any EQ on the market (hold-right click on a node for a dropdown list - quite extensive, and the only one I know of with 4-pole filters as well as multiple phase modes), so you can manipulate different bands of your EQ.

It just looks like it isn't a great EQ, but under the freeware looking GUI is some great dsp design.

Dedric

On 12/9/07 11:00 AM, in article 475c1f43\$1@linux, "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:

- > Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
- > things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
- > I like them very much at all.

>

- > At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
- > one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
- > something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda

> weird... I can't put my finger on it.

> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?

> > Neil

Subject: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Neil on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 18:00:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think I like them very much at all.

At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda weird... I can't put my finger on it.

Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?

Neil

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Dedric Terry on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 18:31:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

To a degree, yes, opinions are based on usage, but not always. Imho, there are few vintage emulations that really impart a nice, usable character, but when they do, application is limited. I don't use UAD-1s on everything - only the newer plugins really seem to be coming into their own imho.

The irony to me is that the vintage craze has people believing that if it's old, it must be good, and if it's a vintage emulation, it must be better than a new design, but in reality, some analog gear was a limited attempt at achieving a clearer more accurate sound, where now we have the capability to create a clearer sound - record the sound we want (whether pristine and elegant, or big fat and nasty), and keep it that way. I'm still wondering where the crosstalk plugin is....;-))

A funny business music is.

The Roger N plugins are good. A bit sterile to my ears - missing the depth and width of other plugins.

Linear phase isn't always the goal - smooth response is - just because a plugin says linear phase doesn't mean it does a good job (Sonar 7's LP EQ isn't a great design imho). A bad plugin imparts distortion from poor design, not analog response emulation.

Regards, Dedric

On 12/9/07 12:18 PM, in article 475c318a\$1@linux, "LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

- > Hey Dedric, I 'll give those a listen., however I demoed the Roger Nichols
- > plugs and those eqs are so flexible. They can be used in a track or mix down.
- > They are can add character or just remain solid.

>

- > I think there is an ignorace with this Vintage modeling plugin phenom. These
- > plugins are not suppose to some clean when pushed. They are suppose to react
- > just like the unit it was modeled after. The industry has to do a better
- > job in explaining the differences in plugins .. With a little note or two
- > about what the manufacturer "sonic" goal was when they coded and modeled
- > "said" unit.

>

- > Having said the above, I think that why Waves Linear EQ package got a bum
- > rap. People like myself and others were trying using them on everything.
- > But, they were made for MAstering applications. We all could spend a week
- > on this topic(Maybe we sould) to iron out the differences and goal of a said
- > plugin.

>

- > Many of our opinions about a given plugin is based on the applicaion and
- > music genre we're working on. We never take into account that our opinions
- > maybe narrowed focused..

> >

- > Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
- >> Hi Neil yes. I tried them a few months ago, then some of the newer ones
- >> recently. Imho, they are simple dsp with some EQ for effect, but basic
- > at
- >> best. Not great sound at all, though at first listen the mids/lows seem
- > to
- >> impart some character that might seem attractive. However, the tell tale > of
- >> a basic EQ is the top end gets brittle (picks up harmonic distortion/phase
- >> non-linearities) when boosting or cutting. UAD-1's EX-1 EQ does this with
- >> HPF mode. The comps to me sound muddy with poor handling of high frequency
- >> content and stereo image.

>>

>> Imho, the best EQ on the indie market is ElectriQ:

```
>> http://www.aixcoustic.com/. Put it in linear phase, set the EQ nodes to
>> S-Plane and boost it by 20 db at 10k on a classical recording - with most
>> linear phase EQs, that will sound like crap (grainy, harsh and abrasive),
>> but ElectriQ maintains the smooth clarity of the original recording.
>>
>> I put it up against Algorithmix, Flux, Cambridge, Nuendo's stock EQ, and
>> while there isn't as much difference as one might think, esp. between
>> non-linear phase EQs, and between linear phase. ElectriQ is as good as any
>> linear phase and better than most non-linear phase when in high quality
>> mode.
>>
>> In analog mode, it sounds nice as well, though better suited to tracks than
>> a mix. It doesn't necessarily have the more colored UAD-1 Neve/Helios EQ
>> sound, but it's a great EQ for $100 or so. More modes and filter types
> than
>> any EQ on the market (hold-right click on a node for a dropdown list - quite
>> extensive, and the only one I know of with 4-pole filters as well as
>> multiple phase modes), so you can manipulate different bands of your EQ.
>>
>> It just looks like it isn't a great EQ, but under the freeware looking GUI
>> is some great dsp design.
>>
>> Dedric
>>
>> On 12/9/07 11:00 AM, in article 475c1f43$1@linux, "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
>>> things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
>>> I like them very much at all.
>>>
>>> At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
>>> one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
>>> something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
>>> weird... I can't put my finger on it.
>>>
>>> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>>>
>>> Neil
>>
```

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Bill L on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 18:46:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, I tried some of 'em and never really dug them.

Have you guys tried the PLParEQ? form Refined Audiometrics? Unbelievably smooth. It's the only digital EQ I really LOVE. It has 6 quality levels, and at 6 it is a major CPU hog, but so sweet.

They have a free demo version that does only 3 bands (plenty for me!). You really should check it out.

Neil wrote:

- > Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
- > things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
- > I like them very much at all.

>

- > At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
- > one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
- > something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
- > weird... I can't put my finger on it.

>

> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?

>

> Neil

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by LaMont on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 19:07:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I find that that more I us ethem the more I realize how they really do add third order harmonic distortion to a given track. Where as , some of my other Vintage plugins "remain" too clean and is really hard to get to the "dirt" of "said" unit.

But, Yes I do hear what you are hearing..I just like what they are giving me and that's some Paris MOJO..

"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these >things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think >I like them very much at all.

>

>At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec >one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing >something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda >weird... I can't put my finger on it.
>
>Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>
>Neil

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by LaMontt on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 19:18:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Dedric, I 'll give those a listen., however I demoed the Roger Nichols plugs and those eqs are so flexible. They can be used in a track or mix down. They are can add character or just remain solid.

I think there is an ignorace with this Vintage modeling plugin phenom. These plugins are not suppose to some clean when pushed. They are suppose to react just like the unit it was modeled after. The industry has to do a better job in explaining the differences in plugins ..With a little note or two about what the manufacturer "sonic" goal was when they coded and modeled "said" unit.

Having said the above, I think that why Waves Linear EQ package got a bum rap. People like myself and others were trying using them on everything. But, they were made for MAstering applications. We all could spend a week on this topic(Maybe we sould) to iron out the differences and goal of a said plugin.

Many of our opinions about a given plugin is based on the application and music genre we're working on. We never take into account that our opinions maybe narrowed focused..

Dedric Terry dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>Hi Neil - yes. I tried them a few months ago, then some of the newer ones >recently. Imho, they are simple dsp with some EQ for effect, but basic at

>best. Not great sound at all, though at first listen the mids/lows seem to

>impart some character that might seem attractive. However, the tell tale of

>a basic EQ is the top end gets brittle (picks up harmonic distortion/phase >non-linearities) when boosting or cutting. UAD-1's EX-1 EQ does this with >HPF mode. The comps to me sound muddy with poor handling of high frequency >content and stereo image.

>Imho, the best EQ on the indie market is ElectriQ:

>http://www.aixcoustic.com/. Put it in linear phase, set the EQ nodes to

```
>S-Plane and boost it by 20 db at 10k on a classical recording - with most
>linear phase EQs, that will sound like crap (grainy, harsh and abrasive),
>but ElectriQ maintains the smooth clarity of the original recording.
>I put it up against Algorithmix, Flux, Cambridge, Nuendo's stock EQ, and
>while there isn't as much difference as one might think, esp. between
>non-linear phase EQs, and between linear phase. ElectriQ is as good as any
>linear phase and better than most non-linear phase when in high quality
>mode.
>In analog mode, it sounds nice as well, though better suited to tracks than
>a mix. It doesn't necessarily have the more colored UAD-1 Neve/Helios EQ
>sound, but it's a great EQ for $100 or so. More modes and filter types
than
>any EQ on the market (hold-right click on a node for a dropdown list - quite
>extensive, and the only one I know of with 4-pole filters as well as
>multiple phase modes), so you can manipulate different bands of your EQ.
>It just looks like it isn't a great EQ, but under the freeware looking GUI
>is some great dsp design.
>Dedric
>On 12/9/07 11:00 AM, in article 475c1f43$1@linux, "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>> Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
>> things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
>> I like them very much at all.
>> At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
>> one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
>> something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
>> weird... I can't put my finger on it.
>>
>> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>>
>> Neil
```

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by LaMontt on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 19:34:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Neil, Upon further review. I just checked ou the anress web site and I am correct in saying that mos of these plugins have been coded for 'Color"

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Dedric Terry on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 19:34:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

PLParEQ is a great EQ - looks ugly, but sounds great.

I have an early version that only went to quality level 5. I really want a later one that goes to 11;-)), but have run into a few instances of it causing crashes and other oddities with Nuendo so I had to bail on using it regularly.

On 12/9/07 11:46 AM, in article 475c390b\$1@linux, "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

- > Yeah, I tried some of 'em and never really dug them.
- > Have you guys tried the PLParEQ? form Refined Audiometrics? Unbelievably
- > smooth. It's the only digital EQ I really LOVE. It has 6 quality levels,
- > and at 6 it is a major CPU hog, but so sweet.
- > They have a free demo version that does only 3 bands (plenty for me!).
- > You really should check it out.
- > > Neil wrote:

>

- >> Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
- >> things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
- >> I like them very much at all.
- >> At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
- >> one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
- >> something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
- >> weird... I can't put my finger on it.
- >> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
- >> >> Neil

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Neil on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 21:05:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Lamont - I don't think it's a harmonic ting I'm hearing... at least that's not what's bothering me. Frankly I was kinda HOPING for some harmonics & analoguish "smear", but what's most

apparent to me over time with these things is a subtle distortion closer to something with the characteristics of a bit-crusher. Not as severe, of course, but you get the idea.

I also really do think there's a phase rotation thang going on with these plugs, as well... I need to verify this with something other than just my perception, though... like maybe do a null test or get a single track up to a hot enough level where I can get a strong enough signal on the goniometer to see what it does differently on insert vs bypass on a given plugin.

I'm experimenting a bit with trying to use the Neve-ish 1081 EQ just on drums right now, since those are short-lived sounds compared to guitar or bass line. I'm liking the coloration it's imparting, and the EQ curves on this thing seem to really be flattering to a kick drum & snare, but the jury's still out on the distortocrap.

I guess it would be easy to say "Fuck it, I just don't like 'em" and simply not use them anymore, but now I'm curious as to what they're doing & why.

Neil

```
"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>I find that that more I us ethem the more I realize how they really do add
>third order harmonic distortion to a given track. Where as , some of my
other
>Vintage plugins "remain" too clean and is really hard to get to the "dirt"
>of "said" unit.
>But, Yes I do hear what you are hearing..! just like what they are giving
>me and that's some Paris MOJO..
>"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>>Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
>>things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
>>I like them very much at all.
>>
>>At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
>>one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
>>something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
>>weird... I can't put my finger on it.
```

```
>>
>>Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>>
>>Neil
>
```

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by LaMontt on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 21:47:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Neil, I have to agree with you abouth he Antress Neve Eqs. I own the URS version and it's more acurate and does not distort.

Maybe the andress are still in it's beta state. But I do hear what you are hearing and I don;t use that plug for that reason..

```
"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>Hey Lamont - I don't think it's a harmonic ting I'm hearing...
>at least that's not what's bothering me. Frankly I was kinda
>HOPING for some harmonics & analoguish "smear", but what's most
>apparent to me over time with these things is a subtle
>distortion closer to something with the characteristics of a
>bit-crusher. Not as severe, of course, but you get the idea.
>
>I also really do think there's a phase rotation thang going on
>with these plugs, as well... I need to verify this with
>something other than just my perception, though... like maybe
>do a null test or get a single track up to a hot enough level
>where I can get a strong enough signal on the goniometer to see
>what it does differently on insert vs bypass on a given
>plugin.
>I'm experimenting a bit with trying to use the Neve-ish 1081 EQ
>just on drums right now, since those are short-lived sounds
>compared to guitar or bass line. I'm liking the coloration
>it's imparting, and the EQ curves on this thing seem to really
>be flattering to a kick drum & snare, but the jury's still out
>on the distortocrap.
>
>I guess it would be easy to say "Fuck it, I just don't
>like 'em" and simply not use them anymore, but now I'm curious
>as to what they're doing & why.
>
>Neil
```

```
>"LaMont" < jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>>I find that that more I us ethem the more I realize how they really do
add
>>third order harmonic distortion to a given track. Where as , some of my
>other
>>Vintage plugins "remain" too clean and is really hard to get to the "dirt"
>>of "said" unit.
>>
>>But, Yes I do hear what you are hearing..I just like what they are giving
>>me and that's some Paris MOJO...
>>
>>
>>"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>>>Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
>>>things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
>>>I like them very much at all.
>>>
>>>At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
>>>one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
>>>something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
>>>weird... I can't put my finger on it.
>>>
>>>Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>>>
>>>Neil
>>
```

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Neil on Sun, 09 Dec 2007 22:34:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OK, just did a couple of null tests with these & here's what I learned:

- 1.) Don't ever duplicate/clone a frozen track in Cubase LOL! It'll cause Cubase to lock up, display an error message & requires a reboot of the app. DOH!
- 2.) EVERY Neve simulation and at least a couple of the API ones (didn't need to try anymore than that, considering the results) will not null with a track that doesn't have the same plugin inserted, even when it's set to "default" or essentially "zero" no boosts & no cuts anywhere. I did this

on a Bass track & also on a Kick track, and each time I got a weaker, yet still quite audible signal of perhaps 1k & above, but mostly 3k & above passing through, yet if I inserted a spectrum analyzer across the mains, it showed that there was indeed still content there below these frequency ranges - it was simply nulled enough to where I couldn't hear it, even when cranking the monitors quite a bit. So, this leads me to believe perhaps (just guessing here, of course) that 1.) He has an exciter of some kind built into each of these plugins, and that's where he's getting his "harmonic distortion" from, or 2.) that there IS indeed some phase rotation going on in certain frequency ranges. These are just guesses, as I have no way of proving it one way or the other, but IMO it nonetheless renders these things useless.

FWIW, the Pultec "Black Dragon" plugin DID null on default & upon phase reversal, so the same thing isn't going on there. I didn't try it on any others besides all the Neves, a couple API's and the Pultec, so I can't say beyond that.

Also, i really did try hard to get them to null completely - I tried two different phase-flipper plugins, just to make sure it wasn't a particular one that was causing it, and I also adjusted the output of the plugin back & forth as well as using the "fine" adjustment on the Sonalksis' channel tool inserted after the plugin just to make sure it wasn't a slight level matching difference that was causing it to not be able to achieve a 100% null & nothing I did would get it any closer than the plugin inserted, just set to "default".

Go ahead, give it try yourselves & see!

Neil

```
"LaMont" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>Neil, I have to agree with you abouth he Antress Neve Eqs. I own the URS version
>and it's more acurate and does not distort.
>
>Maybe the andress are still in it's beta state. But I do hear what you are >hearing and I don;t use that plug for that reason..
>
> "Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>>
```

```
>>Hey Lamont - I don't think it's a harmonic ting I'm hearing...
>>at least that's not what's bothering me. Frankly I was kinda
>>HOPING for some harmonics & analoguish "smear", but what's most
>>apparent to me over time with these things is a subtle
>>distortion closer to something with the characteristics of a
>>bit-crusher. Not as severe, of course, but you get the idea.
>>
>>I also really do think there's a phase rotation thang going on
>> with these plugs, as well... I need to verify this with
>>something other than just my perception, though... like maybe
>>do a null test or get a single track up to a hot enough level
>>where I can get a strong enough signal on the goniometer to see
>>what it does differently on insert vs bypass on a given
>>plugin.
>>
>>I'm experimenting a bit with trying to use the Neve-ish 1081 EQ
>>just on drums right now, since those are short-lived sounds
>>compared to guitar or bass line. I'm liking the coloration
>>it's imparting, and the EQ curves on this thing seem to really
>>be flattering to a kick drum & snare, but the jury's still out
>>on the distortocrap.
>>
>>I guess it would be easy to say "Fuck it, I just don't
>>like 'em" and simply not use them anymore, but now I'm curious
>>as to what they're doing & why.
>>
>>Neil
>>
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>>I find that that more I us ethem the more I realize how they really do
>add
>>>third order harmonic distortion to a given track. Where as , some of my
>>other
>>>Vintage plugins "remain" too clean and is really hard to get to the "dirt"
>>>of "said" unit.
>>>
>>>But, Yes I do hear what you are hearing..I just like what they are giving
>>>me and that's some Paris MOJO...
>>>
>>>"Neil" <OIU@OIU.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
>>>>things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
>>> l like them very much at all.
>>>>
```

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Bill L on Mon, 10 Dec 2007 01:37:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Personally I don't care how it looks. It SOUNDS! Get the newer one.

It's a free EQ that blows away everything else i have heard. That's a no-brainer.

```
Dedric Terry wrote:
> PLParEQ is a great EQ - looks ugly, but sounds great.
> I have an early version that only went to quality level 5. I really want a
> later one that goes to 11;-)), but have run into a few instances of it
> causing crashes and other oddities with Nuendo so I had to bail on using it
> regularly.
>
> On 12/9/07 11:46 AM, in article 475c390b$1@linux, "Bill L"
> <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>> Yeah, I tried some of 'em and never really dug them.
>>
>> Have you guys tried the PLParEQ? form Refined Audiometrics? Unbelievably
>> smooth. It's the only digital EQ I really LOVE. It has 6 quality levels,
>> and at 6 it is a major CPU hog, but so sweet.
>>
>> They have a free demo version that does only 3 bands (plenty for me!).
>> You really should check it out.
>>
>>
>>
>> Neil wrote:
>>> Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
```

>>> things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think

```
>>> I like them very much at all.
>>>
>>> At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
>>> one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
>>> something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
>>> weird... I can't put my finger on it.
>>>
>>> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>>> Neil
>
```

Subject: Re: Antress-Modern Plugins question Posted by Dedric Terry on Mon, 10 Dec 2007 02:50:07 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Agreed. A nice GUI is more for clients who don't know any better. ;-)) .

Does Refined Audiometrics still have the free 3-band version? The site says it's a 30 day demo. I would upgrade/buy the newer one with hopes of fixing the problems with Nuendo, but given the nature (had one project corrupted) it's a risk.

What are you running it in there Bill? Are you on Cubase/Nuendo? I wouldn't mind getting that one back - PLPar is one EQ I would trust any mix with, but that said - give ElectriQ a shot in Linear Phase with SPlane filters.

I had an interesting discussion with the ElectriQ developer about the differences (or lack thereof) between it and Algorithmix, PLPar, etc.

Dedric

>>

On 12/9/07 6:37 PM, in article 475c9942\$1@linux, "Bill L" <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:

- > Personally I don't care how it looks. It SOUNDS! Get the newer one.
- > It's a free EQ that blows away everything else i have heard. That's a > no-brainer.
- > Dedric Terry wrote:
- >> PLParEQ is a great EQ looks ugly, but sounds great.
- >> I have an early version that only went to quality level 5. I really want a >> later one that goes to 11;-)), but have run into a few instances of it

```
>> causing crashes and other oddities with Nuendo so I had to bail on using it
>> regularly.
>>
>>
>> On 12/9/07 11:46 AM, in article 475c390b$1@linux, "Bill L"
>> <bill@billlorentzen.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Yeah, I tried some of 'em and never really dug them.
>>>
>>> Have you guys tried the PLParEQ? form Refined Audiometrics? Unbelievably
>>> smooth. It's the only digital EQ I really LOVE. It has 6 quality levels,
>>> and at 6 it is a major CPU hog, but so sweet.
>>>
>>> They have a free demo version that does only 3 bands (plenty for me!).
>>> You really should check it out.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Neil wrote:
>>>> Anyone else noticing something just kinda "funky" about these
>>>> things? It's like the more I try them, the more I don't think
>>>> I like them very much at all.
>>>>
>>>> At first I had liked the Neve 1081 EQ knockoff and the Pultec
>>> one, but as I listen to them more, I think i'm hearing
>>> something phasey/distort-y... not sure, just something kinda
>>> weird... I can't put my finger on it.
>>>>
>>>> Anyone else experiencing this or is it just me?
>>>>
>>>> Neil
>>
```