Subject: Re: Realtime_monitor_2nd_eds_card_latent ? Posted by EK Sound on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 18:54:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
br />
-Chris

EK Sound <spamnot.info@eksoundNO.com> wrote:
>The D2B is a lot of money for a box full of resistors...;-)
>
>David.
>
>DJ wrote:
>> One of my colleagues here who has a fairly hefty PTHD system just send me an
>> e-mail with some pretty positive raving about how the D2B opens up the
>> soundstage. We will be *doing lunch*later this week and I'll be gioving this
>> a listen.
>>
>> I've been wondering if this D2B might be the magic bullet for putting a
>> native system on the same sonic footing as Paris. I may have just picked u
```

Subject: Re: Realtime_monitor_2nd_eds_card_latent?
Posted by Tom Bruhl on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 19:15:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

you guys reading my

- >> posts right
- >> >?
- >> > Regards,
- >> DimitriosFirst things first. Applications are run as one or more threads. An appliction can be coded to run as one massive thread or as more than one smaller thread. Some applications lend themselves to running as multiple threads very well (an audio app, for example, which can quite efficiently have threads for every plug-in, every EQ, the mix engine, graphics, etc.) and some do not (an SSH file transfer, for example, or a database query). Each thread has to be assigned to a processor, and can't run on more than one. So, if you have a quad processor machine (any platform, any OS) and your machine is running three threads then one of the processors by definition will not be used. So it *is* necessary for software to be coded to use multiple processors, save that maybe the app can swamp one while the rest of OS is multi-threaded. So we all can learn more and do some more reading when it comes to computers.

As I've said here recently, to me OS X and M\$oft OS's are simply two sides of a nearly identical coin. Neither grants their users the right to change the platform for the better. Neither allows users to share their modifications and improvements with others. Neither compels other to offer similar freedoms. Until one or the other does (ha ha) I'm happy as a clam over here with Debian and Gnome. Acutally I think Gnome beats the daylights out of both OS X and XP as a desktop.

Oh, I build a PC that ran a goodsized database and serves out half a terabyte of data per day. It's never crashed and has had uptimes of over a year running XP Server. So don't tell me a PC won't run right if it's set up right.

TCB

```
"A Mac User" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>"Mike Audet" <mike@mikeF-SPAMaudet.com> wrote:
>>I agree completely. OSX was a big left turn, too. That spinning pizza
>is
>>just completely unacceptable. They crash if they don't have enough ram.
>>They're extremely slow compared to a PC (which will change when they use
>>PC chips). And, they are very expensive for what the hardware and software
>>are really worth.
>>
>>They had a really nice, simple, clean OS with OS8-9. They saved a lot
>>money not having to develop an OS from the ground up by going with UNIX,
>>but they lost most of what made Apple wonderful and unique, too.
>>
>>Just my 2 cents. :)
>>
>>Mike
>>
>
>Mike, what you should do, is actually us Mac OSX for some time before commenting
>on it. It is obvious to me, that you are repeating the same ignorant stuff
>some other ignorant PC user told you, or you read in a PC biased article.
> What is unbelievable is the ignorance PC users have about the Mac, and
>fact that they perpetuate the same ignorant bull shit. A lot of PC users
>talk about the Mac like they know what they're talking about, when in fact,
>they have no idea what they are talking about!
>
>Go use a Mac G5 with OSX everyday for a year, then you'd have the right
>knowledge to tell people that the Mac sucks, but, that isn't what you'd
be
```

>saying. I don't think you would give up your XP box right away because there

>would be some proprietary software you wouldn't want to give up, although >you could run XP on your Mac. What would happen is you would end up using >your Mac for 90% of your computing, and you would start to think that XP >is more of a PITA to use.

>

>Why would you use a Mac over your PC??? Because it is hassle free computing, >it's fast and it just work

Subject: Re: Realtime_monitor_2nd_eds_card_latent ?
Posted by EK Sound on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 19:20:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

s! It is a more pleasurable experience. You don't

>have to be a security administrator, worms and other viruses are not so much

>an issue. Pop ups, spy-ware, are not much of an issue either. You don't >have to tweak and fuss with your computer to get it to work. PC guys are >constantly trouble shooting and tweaking their computers. It is amazing >to me that there is supposed to be standardized hardware for a PC, but a >lot of it doesn't work with out trouble shooting, that is such a hassle.

> The amount of buggy software sold retail for the PC amazes me. All the >B.S. PC users must put up without much complaint, simply amazes me. A lot >of time is lost on trying to debug and get PCs to work. For the most part, >the hassle factor doesn't exist with a Mac.

> >

> A Mac, works differently than a PC, once you understand how a Mac works, >then you will understand why Mac users are somewhat fanatical. I'll put >it this way, Mac users are fanatical about their Macs, because they've used >PCs, and know a Mac is better. I personally use both platforms and have >for years. I use XP and 2000 for what I have to, and I use a Mac for the >other 80% of my computing. The Mac is simply less headaches. It is less >time consuming, and time is money. PC users always talk about how much cheaper

>a PC is than a Mac because of the hardware cost. It's not cheaper when you

>figure in time, t

Subject: Realtime_monitor_2nd_eds_card_latent?
Posted by Dimitrios on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 19:44:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

fo@eksoundNO.com

- > <mailto:spamnot.info@eksoundNO.com>> wrote in message
- > news:4395dfa3\$1@linux...
- > 14 samples is 3 tenths of a millisecond at 44.1KHz. If you can hear
- > this amount of latency, you have better ears than me! ;-)

>

> David.

>

> Dimitrios wrote:

> > HI,

>

Subject: Re: Realtime_monitor_2nd_eds_card_latent? Posted by Dimitrios on Tue, 06 Dec 2005 21:17:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

gt;advantage of multi processors on a Mac. Although there are plenty of programs >on the Mac platform that are optimized for multi processors. You really >should read up on these things!

>

>As for the Pizza box (Beach Ball) spinning, if you where a Mac user you would

>under stand what this means and what to do. First, on Windows it is the >hour glass, same thing on a Mac only it is a spinning beach ball. On a windows

>machine you would get an error message saying " The program is not responding >" and you would go through the dialog boxes and quit the program. On a Mac

>when you get a spinning beach ball you would do a force quit, to quit a program

>that was not responding. It's the same as on a PC, but you are going to >say the Mac sucks, when in fact you don't understand how it works. It doesn't >suck, your just ignorant about it.

>

>The Mac bashing gets old, especially when some one is speaking from a point of ignorance, but acts as if they are an expert on the subject. Some here have comparing Mac OS9 on an old machine to Windows XP on the latest machine is not a fair comparison, either.

>

>If your a PC user, and you don't ever want to know about a Mac fine. You >can stick your head in the sand or up Bill Gates ass or whatever, stay ignorant >if you want, but don't bash the Mac until you have honestly used a new Mac >with OSX for a year or more.

>Hello Everyone,

Just wondering if there was anyone here that would be interested in building a computer for Paris. I have a 2.8 gig athlon with kt600 chipset Asus board, don't recall what the # is on the motherboard. I switched to xp about a year

ago and have not really been able to get this computer working as it should. I am now getting busy in the studio and really need something that is reliable. Thanks, DavidThanks Jamie for knocking some sense into me and throwing yourself on this "grenade". I almost made a huge mistake! I'm sending you the G5 now and have just ordered the parts for my DIY PC. I'm having them shipped to Deej though so he can assemble, tweak and test for me. I wouldn't know the Bios from the Biosphere, so. . . ;>)

Seriously, I used to get miffed at Mac bashing, but now the whole PC vs. Mac thing just seems silly. I'm typing this message on a PC, one of six or so I try to keep running and playing nice together on the network here at work. Over the years I've had at least ten different Macs. Right now my home network has two PC's (one my son and I built) two Mac desktops, and two laptops (one PC and one Mac). The fact is, all the computers I take care of are fantastic, and all of them suck. They're fantastic when they're working right and suck when they don't.

Peace on earth and good will toward men, yada, yada, yada.