
Subject: Apple redux

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 04:29:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Has anyone here seen an iPhone in use by a non geek? It's amazing. It's hands down the best user interface I have ever seen. The 'gesturing' thing rocks. I watched a valley girl navigate through 40 GB of voicemail, email, photo, web, blog, video and audio content to find the item she was after within 5 seconds.

Chuck

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 04:45:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would love an iPhone, but not as long as they are locked to AT&T. Verizon has been good so far for us.

I'm also not too enthusiastic about another device with a battery that would require a service center to replace it (my wife's Palm has such a battery, and to replace it would cost \$60 plus the battery - the Palm only costs \$99 new.... guess the Palm will eventually die when the battery does).

Gotta say, the whole "battery service plan" idea has only one reason: more profit, just like car manufacturers and the parts business.

Dedric

On 11/7/07 10:07 PM, in article 47328b96\$1@linux, "Al Corey" <alcorey@cox.net> wrote:

>
> Yeah, Fewest dropped calls!! Right!!! We were with verizon in So Cal for 8
> years and also spent a lot of time in NY city and maybe lost a dozen calls
> the whole time. Switched to AT&T 2 months ago and got 2 Iphones and I probably
> had a dozen dropped calls the 1ST DAY!!! Many more have followed.
> So Cal and NY city should have no problems with dropped calls.
> Where do they get their statistics???

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [Neil](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 04:49:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you saw the Leopard review I posted that was written by

Shelly Palmer, in another review he RAVES about the iPhone itself, but bitches like hell about the fact that it's tied to AT&T, which drops calls like crazy - at least where he's at (he lives & works in NYC). In fact - if I can get this quote right, he said something like: "I have YET to have a single call that ends in: 'Goodbye'; so far every call has ended in: 'Hello? Hello?' "

Neil

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Has anyone here seen an iPhone in use by a non geek? It's amazing. It's
>hands down the best user interface I have ever seen. The 'gesturing' thing
>rocks. I watched a valley girl navigate through 40 GB of voicemail, email,
>photo, web, blog, video and audio content to find the item she was after
>within 5 seconds.

>

>Chuck

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [Al Corey](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 05:07:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, Fewest dropped calls!! Right!!! We were with verizon in So Cal for 8 years and also spent a lot of time in NY city and maybe lost a dozen calls the whole time. Switched to AT&T 2 months ago and got 2 Iphones and I probably had a dozen dropped calls the 1ST DAY!!! Many more have followed. So Cal and NY city should have no problems with dropped calls. Where do they get their statistics???

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [Al Corey](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 05:09:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BTW the phones themselves are really cool

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 14:34:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Of course leave it to you guys to want something that actually works.

To sum up, an iPhone owner should be able to subscribe to the carrier of their choice, expect a reliable network and be allowed to purchase and install a new battery when the old one dies. That all sounds reasonable to me, practical usability :-)

Chuck

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I would love an iPhone, but not as long as they are locked to AT&T. Verizon
>has been good so far for us.

>

>I'm also not too enthusiastic about another device with a battery that would
>require a service center to replace it (my wife's Palm has such a battery,
>and to replace it would cost \$60 plus the battery - the Palm only costs
\$99

>new.... guess the Palm will eventually die when the battery does).

>

>Gotta say, the whole "battery service plan" idea has only one reason: more
>profit, just like car manufacturers and the parts business.

>

>Dedric

>

>On 11/7/07 10:07 PM, in article 47328b96\$1@linux, "Al Corey"

><alcorey@cox.net> wrote:

>

>>

>> Yeah, Fewest dropped calls!! Right!!! We were with verizon in So Cal for
8

>> years and also spent a lot of time in NY city and maybe lost a dozen calls
>> the whole time. Switched to AT&T 2 months ago and got 2 iPhones and I
probably

>> had a dozen dropped calls the 1ST DAY!!! Many more have followed.

>> So Cal and NY city should have no problems with dropped calls.

>> Where do they get their statistics???

>

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 14:44:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

:-) No doubt.

I've always wondered what compels companies (not just Apple in this case) to limit choices (carrier), expandability/longevity at low cost (user-replaceable batteries, etc). Are they really making that much more profit on repairs and an exclusive contract with a 3rd party (AT&T)?

I know AT&T is making money off of this, and Apple is getting a cut of AT&T's fees, but hasn't history proven that flexibility opens the market to more customers, or am I dreaming?

Dedric

On 11/8/07 7:34 AM, in article 47331058\$1@linux, "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>
> Of course leave it to you guys to want something that actually works.
>
> To sum up, an iPhone owner should be able to subscribe to the carrier of
> their choice, expect a reliable network and be allowed to purchase and install
> a new battery when the old one dies. That all sounds reasonable to me,
> practical
> usability :-)
>
> Chuck
>
>
> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>> I would love an iPhone, but not as long as they are locked to AT&T. Verizon
>> has been good so far for us.
>>
>> I'm also not too enthusiastic about another device with a battery that would
>> require a service center to replace it (my wife's Palm has such a battery,
>> and to replace it would cost \$60 plus the battery - the Palm only costs
> \$99
>> new.... guess the Palm will eventually die when the battery does).
>>
>> Gotta say, the whole "battery service plan" idea has only one reason: more
>> profit, just like car manufacturers and the parts business.
>>
>> Dedric
>>
>> On 11/7/07 10:07 PM, in article 47328b96\$1@linux, "Al Corey"
>> <alcorey@cox.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Yeah, Fewest dropped calls!! Right!!! We were with verizon in So Cal for
> 8
>>> years and also spent a lot of time in NY city and maybe lost a dozen calls
>>> the whole time. Switched to AT&T 2 months ago and got 2 Iphones and I
> probably
>>> had a dozen dropped calls the 1ST DAY!!! Many more have followed.
>>> So Cal and NY city should have no problems with dropped calls.

>>> Where do they get their statistics???

>>

>

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 08 Nov 2007 15:51:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

They're really, cool tech. Too bad Apple actively antagonizes corporate and exchange users. I'd love to have one if a) it worked as 80% as well as my BBerry does with Exchange and b) I could put music on it in OGG or FLAC format from my linux box. Of course, none of those things will EVER happen so I'll keep plugging along with the crap I use now.

But it's really cool tech, I agree. The touch screen interface is licensed, not internal Apple stuff, right? So at some point someone else might do something similar?

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Has anyone here seen an iPhone in use by a non geek? It's amazing. It's
>hands down the best user interface I have ever seen. The 'gesturing' thing
>rocks. I watched a valley girl navigate through 40 GB of voicemail, email,
>photo, web, blog, video and audio content to find the item she was after
>within 5 seconds.

>

>Chuck

Subject: Re: Apple redux

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 13 Nov 2007 04:54:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not much different on ATT in Tulsa either.

AA

"Al Corey" <alcorey@cox.net> wrote in message news:47328b96\$1@linux...

>

> Yeah, Fewest dropped calls!! Right!!! We were with verizon in So Cal for 8
> years and also spent a lot of time in NY city and maybe lost a dozen calls
> the whole time. Switched to AT&T 2 months ago and got 2 Iphones and I
> probably
> had a dozen dropped calls the 1ST DAY!!! Many more have followed.
> So Cal and NY city should have no problems with dropped calls.

> Where do they get their statistics???
