
Subject: OT: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 05 Jan 2006 10:28:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

>>

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 05 Jan 2006 17:10:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>He wants it to be good, but affordability is a concern. He was

>>>

>>>looking

>>>

>>>>a

>>>>

>>>>>a presonus digimax LT, but I saw that Aaron had some experience with

>>>>>

>>>>>those

>>>>>

>>>>>>and wasn't that hot on them.

>>>>>>Right now his chain is a Mackie 1402 vlz into the Digi 002.

>>>>>>Any suggestions?

>>>>>>TIA

>>>>>>Rod

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>

>>With Mackie, it's always marketing hype. Mackie has been known to over hype their products, not to say they don

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 05 Jan 2006 21:37:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f="mailto:1@linux..." target="_blank">1@linux...

>>> >

>>> > With Mackie, it's always marketing hype. Mackie has been known to

>>> > over

>>> > hype

>>> > their products, not to say they don't make reasonably good stuff.

>>Always

>>> > use your own ears. I remember reading somewhere on Gearslutz where

>>> > somebody
>>> > was taking their Onyx Mixer back because the mic pres were too shrill
>>and
>>> > harsh. Of course every bodies got different ears, so listen for
>>yourself!
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > John <no@no.com> wrote:
>>> >>so what will their next pres be? Even better, and then they'll have
> to
>>> >
>>> >>come up with some adjectives to describe what is wrong with the
>>> >>Onyx's.
>>> >
>>> >> I loves audio !
>>> >>
>>> >>Tony Benson wrote:
>>> >>> From what I've heard, the Onyx pre's are a pretty big step up from
> the
>>> >
>>> >>> VLZpro. I've had non-VLZ, VLZ, and VLZpro Mackie boards, and though
>>the
>>> >
>>> >>> VLZpro pre's where much better,

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2006 02:26:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

is.Hadn't thought of

> it that way,but I'm probably thinking more reality vibe.

> Yeah,I played an Oasys the other day.Nice board,but 8k I don't
> think so;)

>

> Pete

>

> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

> >If you're looking for reality instead of synthesis, go soft all the way.

> Get

> >you a good controller and build yourself a moose of a comp to stream
samples

> >or if you need portability get the thingie that plays them out of a box

> >(forget the name)....or you could drop \$8k and get yourself a Korg Oasys.

> >

> >;o)

> >

> >
> >
> >
> > "Pete Ruthenburg" <ruthenburg@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:43bdc14e\$1@linux...
> >>
> >> So I'm looking to get a new synth in here. Pretty slim synth
> >> collection-Kurz PC2X and 2500 rack,D50.I'm looking at the Yamaha
> >> motifs,but a buddy was telling me I should go soft synth.I'm not
> >> currently running DAW with audio/midi and was thinking of getting
> >> into the SX thing.
> >> I haven't gotten into the soft synth thing at all so I'm a
> >> little hesitant having to go the new dedicated comp,software,vsti
> >> route compared to a hardware synth I can turn on and make noise
> >> with.
> >> However,I can see the benefit of going that route with software
> >> that will continually be updated as opposed to a hard synth that
> >> will be worth whatever in a couple of years.
> >>
> >> Any advice appreciated,
> >> Pete
> >
> >
> Ain't that *special file* thing cool? I love being able to just skip the
whole dither step.

;o)

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2006 05:12:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doesn't Sonnet make one? Seems a safe bet since they have had a history with
Mac/Mac upgrades.
Check out newegg.com for the RAID controller.
AA

"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:s3tpr1lk8vmphfgn3jf4c7c8cdn9jt06a4@4ax.com...
> opinions???

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2006 06:21:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It's not so much the computer itself, it's the crap that Rick had to put up with from Apple. Unbelievable.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:43be0aff\$1@linux...

>
> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> > Gee uncle Rick. Every time you relate your experiences with Macs, somehow
> I
> > imagine that slitting my wrists would be preferable to owning one.
> >
> >
> >;o)
> >
> >
>
> One bad Apple out of the bunch and everybody thinks we got lemons.
>
>
> > "rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:g24rr1tepfc875igtgfb42n914c128i2r4@4ax.com...
> >> my g4 has no sata connectivity so it would be strictly a pci thing. i
> >> was checking out mac gurus and theirs against the others. i will say
> >> that after 2 1/2 years tiger 10.4.3 is pretty good after 3 installs.
> >> it seems that the firewire card in it went bad and for some reason
> >> would take out the external drives on the internal firewire and
> >> corrupt the boot drive that disk warrior wouldn't even touch it.
> >>
> >> thanks
> >>
> >> On Thu, 5 Jan 2006 10:10:32 -0700, "DJ"
> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> > I've heard nothing but good things about the Silicon Image SATA
> > > controllers.
> >> > I have a question about this though. If I'm reading my manual
> >> correctly,
> >> > the
> >> > onboard VIA controller on my mobo has its own separate bus for SATA
> >> RAID
> >> > which is independent of the PCI bus. I would think that, if this is
> >> the
> >> > case, using an onboard controller would be a better choice as long as
> >> > it's
> >> > got a separate bus. The Promise controller on my A7V8X-LAN mobo has
> >> > onboard
> >> > SATA but it's pinging the PCI bus a little and therefore isn't much

> >faster
> >> >than ATA33.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:s3tpr1lk8vmphfgn3jf4c7c8cdn9jt06a4@4ax.com...
> >> >> opinions???
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4
Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2006 07:15:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>Gee uncle Rick.Every time your relate your experiences with Macs, somehow
I
>imagine that slitting my wrists would be preferable to owning one.
>
>
>;o)
>
>

One bad Apple out of the bunch and everybody thinks we got lemons.

>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:g24rr1tepfc875igtphb42n914c128i2r4@4ax.com...
>> my g4 has no sata connectivity so it would be strictly a pci thing. i
>> was checking out mac gurus and theirs against the others. i will say
>> that after 2 1/2 years tiger 10.4.3 is pretty good after 3 installs.
>> it seems that the firewire card in it went bad and for some reason
>> would take out the external drives on the internal firewire and
>> corrupt the boot drive that disk warrior wouldn't even touch it.
>>
>> thanks
>>
>> On Thu, 5 Jan 2006 10:10:32 -0700, "DJ"
>> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I've heard nothing but good things about the Silicon Image SATA
>> >conrrollers.
>> >I have a question about this though. If I'm reading my manual correctly,
>> >the

>> >onboard VIA controller on my mobo has it's own separate bus for SATA RAID
>> >which is independent of the PCI bus. I would think that, if this is the
>> >case, using an onboard controller would be a better choice as long as
>it's
>> >got a separate bus. The Promise controller on my A7V8X-LAN mobo has
>onboard
>> >SATA but it's pinging the PCI bus a little and therefore isn't much
>faster
>> >than ATA33.
>> >
>> >
>> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:s3tpr1lk8vmphfgn3jf4c7c8cdn9jt06a4@4ax.com...
>> >> opinions???
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4

Posted by [excelav](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:20:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:

>It's not so much the computer itself, it's the crap that Rick had to put
>up
>with from Apple. Unbelievable.

I agree, that totally sucks. I have an iBook that had some problems, one may have been self-inflicted. Anyways they replaced every thing that was wrong at no expense to me. I even had a stuck pixel, they where going to replace the screen, I told them not to worry about it. By the way the pixel is working now. I should also tell you that I got the extended warranty, worth every penny.

Apple even gives you the option to buy an extended warranty up to a year from the purchase date.

It bums me out to know that Rick had such a bad experience with his G4, and Apple. Apple should have gotten a class action suit for putting out a bastard MOBO like that! I can tell you that Apple has been known to give an unhappy customer a brand new system. They will usually give a newer, better model to the customer.

All companies put out some defective MOBOs, I'm sure you know somebody that

has gotten one. When I was a Radius dealer, there were a lot of defective MOBOs, IBM soldered some chips on backwards. I've still got some of them here. In Apples case, I think they through that MOBO together and put it out only to find all kinds of problems. I'm still not sure about FireWire 800, does it work? They had all kinds of problems with that alone.

I still think a letter from an attorney would have gotten the purchase price back. As Rick pointed out, the time and lost business was the greater loss, and that really sucks!

I won't buy any new, untested products. I won't consider buying one of the new intel macs for 6 months to a year. There is going to be some problems with them, you can count on it.

```
>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:43be0aff$1@linux...
>>
>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >Gee uncle Rick.Every time you relate your experiences with Macs, somehow
>> I
>> >imagine that slitting my wrists would be preferable to owning one.
>> >
>> >
>> >;o)
>> >
>> >
>>
>> One bad Apple out of the bunch and everybody thinks we got lemons.
>>
>>
>> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:g24rr1tepfc875igtqfb42n914c128i2r4@4ax.com...
>> >> my g4 has no sata connectivity so it would be strictly a pci thing.
i
>> >> was checking out mac gurus and theirs against the others. i will say
>> >> that after 2 1/2 years tiger 10.4.3 is pretty good after 3 installs.
>> >> it seems that the firewire card in it went bad and for some reason
>> >> would take out the external drives on the internal firewire and
>> >> corrupt the boot drive that disk warrior wouldn't even touch it.
>> >>
>> >> thanks
>> >>
>> >> On Thu, 5 Jan 2006 10:10:32 -0700, "DJ"
>> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>> >>
```

>> >> >I've heard nothing but good things about the Silicon Image SATA
>> >conrrollers.
>> >> >I have a question about this though. If I'm reading my manual
>correctly,
>> >the
>> >> >onboard VIA controller on my mobo has it's own separate bus for SATA
>> RAID
>> >> >which is independent of the PCI bus. I would think that, if this is
>the
>> >> >case, using an onboard controller would be a better choice as long
as
>> >it's
>> >> >got a separate bus. The Promise controller on my A7V8X-LAN mobo has
>> >onboard
>> >> >SATA but it's pinging the PCI bus a little and therefore isn't much
>> >faster
>> >> >than ATA33.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:s3tpr1lk8vmphfgn3jf4c7c8cdn9jt06a4@4ax.com...
>> >> >> opinions???
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>
>

Subject: Re: External Sata drives and pci cards for Mac G4

Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 06 Jan 2006 10:27:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 6 Jan 2006 19:20:52 +1000, "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>"DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>It's not so much the computer itself, it's the crap that Rick had to put
>up
>>with from Apple. Unbelievable.
>
>
>I agree, that totally sucks. I have an iBook that had some problems, one
>may have been self-inflicted. Anyways they replaced every thing that was
>wrong at no expense to me. I even had a stuck pixel, they where going to
>replace the screen, I told them not to worry about it. By the way the pixel

>is working now. I should also tell you that I got the extended warranty,
>worth every penny.

they did replace the mobo x2, the cpus, and boot drive.

>

>Apple even gives you the option to buy an extended warranty up to a year
>from the purchase date.

i had the 3 year plan

>

>It bums me out to know that Rick had such a bad experience with his G4, and
>Apple. Apple should have gotten a class action suit for putting out a bastard
>MOBO like that! I can tell you that Apple has been known to give an unhappy
>customer a brand new system. They will usually give a newer, better model
>to the customer.

i asked several times, they refused but did want to duplicate my
studio instead.

>

>All companies put out some defective MOBOs, I'm sure you know somebody that
>has gotten one. When I was a Radius dealer, there were a lot of defective
>MOBOs, IBM soldered some chips on backwards. I've still got some of them
>here. In Apples case, I think they through that MOBO together and put it
>out only to find all kinds of problems. I'm still not sure about FireWire
>800, does it work? They had all kinds of problems with that alone.

it's fine for the RME interfaces but not so good for the drives.
those are kept on the 400 connection.

>

> I still think a letter from an attorney would have gotten the purchase price
>back. As Rick pointed out, the time and lost business was the greater loss,
>and that really sucks!

i did talk to my attorney but at the time a solo shot was considered a
waste of time. kinda like when the apc unit failed when the power
company workers tur;ned the power on and off 8 times in a couple of
seconds and blew out several thousands of dollars of gear and
computers. they reasoned that the surge came in through a "back door"
by gear not on the protection circuit. fine but, the only gear
affected was the stuff on the apc.

>

>I won't buy any new, untested products. I won't consider buying one of the
>new intel macs for 6 months to a year. There is going to be some problems
>with them, you can count on it.

nobody said it was a hybrid, it was just a g4 sold before the rumored
g5 was to come out in a few months...that turned out to be less than a
week.

>
>
>>
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:43be0aff\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> "DJ" <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >Gee uncle Rick.Every time your relate your experiences with Macs, somehow
>>> |
>>> >imagine that slitting my wrists would be preferable to owning one.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >;o)
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> One bad Apple out of the bunch and everybody thinks we got lemons.
>>>
>>>
>>> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:g24rr1tepfc875igtqfb42n914c128i2r4@4ax.com...
>>> >> my g4 has no sata connectivity so it would be strictly a pci thing.
>>> > i
>>> >> was checking out mac gurus and theirs against the others. i will say
>>> >> that after 2 1/2 years tiger 10.4.3 is pretty good after 3 installs.
>>> >> it seems that the firewire card in it went bad and for some reason
>>> >> would take out the external drives on the internal firewire and
>>> >> corrupt the boot drive that disk warrior wouldn't even touch it.
>>> >>
>>> >> thanks
>>> >>
>>> >> On Thu, 5 Jan 2006 10:10:32 -0700, "DJ"
>>> >> <animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >I've heard nothing but good things about the Silicon Image SATA
>>> >> >conrrollers.
>>> >> >I have a question about this though. If I'm reading my manual
>>> >> >>correctly,
>>> >> >the
>>> >> >>onboard VIA controller on my mobo has it's own separate bus for SATA
>>> >> RAID
>>> >> >which is independent of the PCI bus. I would think that, if this is
>>> >> >>the
>>> >> >>case, using an onboard controller would be a better choice as long
>>> >> >>as
>>> >> >>it's
>>> >> >>got a separate bus. The Promise controller on my A7V8X-LAN mobo has

>>> >onboard
>>> >> >SATA but it's pinging the PCI bus a little and therefore isn't much
>>> >faster
>>> >> >than ATA33.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >news:s3tpr1lk8vmphfgn3jf4c7c8cdn9jt06a4@4ax.com...
>>> >> >> opinions???
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>>
>>
