

---

Subject: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 02:15:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I didn't even know something like this existed!!!

<http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1>

4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????

My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32 could  
use the PCIx slots.....right???

This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having to  
take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending another \$2-3K  
on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.

Tell me I'm not dreaming???

---

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 03:25:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.

;o(

"DJ" <[animix\\_@animas\\_.net](mailto:animix_@animas_.net)> wrote in message  
news:473fa17f@linux...

> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!

>

> <http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307& amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1>

>

> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????

>

> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32  
> could use the PCIx slots.....right???

>

> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having  
> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending another  
> \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.

>

> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>  
>  
>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 16:06:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

HI DJ,  
At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXL-e,  
4-1xPCI-e

Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till  
the end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will  
at least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.  
The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to  
promote.  
Chris

DJ wrote:

> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.

>

> ;o(

>

> "DJ" <[animix\\_at\\_animas\\_dot\\_net](mailto:animix_at_animas_dot_net)> wrote in message

> news:473fa17f@linux...

>

>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!

>>

>> <http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&model=1480&modelmenu=1>

>>

>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics

>> card???.....capable of supporting Intel Quads????

>>

>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32

>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???

>>

>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still

>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having

>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending another

>> \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.

>>

>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>  
>

--  
Chris Ludwig  
ADK  
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>  
www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>  
(859) 635-5762

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [AlexPlasko](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 16:22:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hi Chris...are any of the quad opteron boards dual quad MB's?  
"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message  
news:47406410@linux...  
> HI DJ,  
> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e,  
> 4-1xPCI-e  
>  
> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the  
> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at  
> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.  
> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to  
> promote.  
> Chris  
>  
>  
>  
> DJ wrote:  
>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.  
>>  
>> ;o(  
>>  
>> "DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote in message  
>> news:473fa17f@linux...  
>>

>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&model=1480&modelmenu=1>  
>>>  
>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
>>> card???.....capable of supporting Intel Quads????  
>>>  
>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MAD1 and AES32  
>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???  
>>>  
>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having  
>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending  
>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.  
>>>  
>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
> --  
> Chris Ludwig  
> ADK  
> [chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com) <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>  
> [www.adkproaudio.com](http://www.adkproaudio.com) <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>  
> (859) 635-5762

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 16:52:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Alex,  
Yes. Wouldn't waste my time with them otherwise. :)

Chris

alex plasko wrote:

> hi Chris...are any of the quad opteron boards dual quad MB's?  
> "Chris Ludwig" <[chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com)> wrote in message  
> <news:47406410@linux...>

>  
>> HI DJ,  
>> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e,  
>> 4-1xPCI-e  
>>  
>> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the  
>> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at  
>> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.  
>> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to  
>> promote.  
>> Chris  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> DJ wrote:  
>>  
>>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.  
>>>  
>>> ;o(  
>>>  
>>> "DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote in message  
>>> news:473fa17f@linux...  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!  
>>>>  
>>>> [http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&  
amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1](http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1)  
>>>>  
>>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
>>>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????  
>>>>  
>>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MADI and AES32  
>>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???  
>>>>  
>>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
>>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having  
>>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending  
>>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.  
>>>>  
>>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>> --  
>> Chris Ludwig  
>> ADK  
>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>  
>> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>  
>> (859) 635-5762  
>>  
>  
>  
>

--

Chris Ludwig  
ADK  
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>  
www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>  
(859) 635-5762

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"

Posted by [DJ](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 18:29:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well...hummm.....both my Magma's are compatible with the PCI-e host cards. I'm thinking that a single Intel 45nano Quad might be sufficient for my needs running XP64. Seems like single socket quads are getting good press with Cubase and UAD-1 cards at very low latencies. Is it possible to run XP 64 and have the advantages of some additional RAM while using 32 bit Cubase 4.1 and 32 bit PCI/PCIe Magma host cards in my DAW or would I need a 64 bit expansion chassis to make this work. ??

I'm hopelessly confused about this.

;o}

"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message  
news:47406410@linux...

> HI DJ,

> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXI-e,

> 4-1xPCI-e

>

> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till the

> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at

> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.

> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us to

> promote.

> Chris  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> DJ wrote:  
>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.  
>>  
>> ;o(  
>>  
>> "DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote in message  
>> news:473fa17f@linux...  
>>  
>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&model=1480&modelmenu=1>  
>>>  
>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
>>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads????  
>>>  
>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MAD1 and AES32  
>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???  
>>>  
>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having  
>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending  
>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.  
>>>  
>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
> --  
> Chris Ludwig  
> ADK  
> [chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com) <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>  
> [www.adkproaudio.com](http://www.adkproaudio.com) <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>  
> (859) 635-5762

---

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 20:19:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Happy Sunday to you all.,

DJ and others, haven't you all been following the Vista OS post here? Those of us on the PC (Windoze) side of personal computing are at a cross road.

-If you run a Steinberg DAW, then there are first issues with Multi core (4) processors taking advantage of all of that power.

-Win Xp (32/64) is not giving you anything as far as performance. Nothing to write home about.

-Ms Vista is a ways off from being what pro audio needs it to be.

All in all, it's time to stay pat until the above issues are worked out.

OR

-Explore the Apple route and give Logic Studio a try.

-Stay with windows, and give Digidesign a shot with version 7.4.

Myself, I'm good where I am. My DAW's can achieve more tracks than I can use with plugins galore..

Until, something comes along that's truly revolutionary for what I do, then I'll bite. But, until then, Paris, Nuendo, & Pro Tools, Acid Pro, Alesis HD24 & Reasons are the tools that are getting the job(s) done ..  
Good luck exploring.. :)

"DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote:

>Well...hummm.....both my Magma's are compatible with the PCI-e host cards.

>I'm thinking that a single Intel 45nano Quad might be sufficient for my

>needs running XP64. Seems like single socket quads are getting good press

>with Cubase and UAD-1 cards at very low latencies. Is it possible to run XP

>64 and have the advantages of some additional RAM while using 32 bit Cubase

>4.1 and 32 bit PCI/PCIe Magma host cards in my DAW or would I need a 64 bit

>expansion chassis to make this work. ??

>

>I'm hopelessly confused about this.

>;o}

>



>"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message  
>news:47406410@linux...  
>> HI DJ,  
>> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXi-e,  
  
>> 4-1xPCI-e  
>>  
>> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till  
the  
>> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at  
  
>> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.  
>> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us  
to  
>> promote.  
>> Chris  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> DJ wrote:  
>>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.  
>>>  
>>> ;o(  
>>>  
>>> "DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote in message  
>>> news:473fa17f@linux...  
>>>  
>>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!  
>>>>  
>>>> [http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&  
amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1](http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1)  
>>>>  
>>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
>>>> card???.....cpapble of supporting Intel Quads???  
>>>>  
>>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MAD1 and AES32  
  
>>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???  
>>>>  
>>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
  
>>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having  
  
>>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending  
>>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.  
>>>>  
>>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Chris Ludwig  
>> ADK  
>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>  
>> www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>  
>> (859) 635-5762  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Holy\*\*\*\*!!! Chris!!! whazzup with this???"  
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Sun, 18 Nov 2007 20:47:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cont :)

Having said that: I'm really leaning towards Digi's new C24 controller. Along with any of their software versions, amkes for a killer package. And, mos importantly, it will attrack potential customers with it's professional looks. I for one, prefer a controller that's "tighly" integrated with it's Software, like Paris & C16..

Another is the secret from Euphonix. Their supposed to have a more affordable controller. It works like the MC-5 setup,and in the 8,910k range, that could be what all of the Nuendo/Cubase/Logic uses have wanted for along time.

Yet another hot controlelr option is the Tascam DM-4800 . This baby is picking up all kinds of steam in our market. Since the demise of Mackies DXB, Tascam is one of the only (Yamaha) who's has a very attractive product that's DAW friendly: Firewire,Cascadable, decent pres (24) , Talk Back setup..DAW controller (Steinys,Logic, ProTool, Sonar, DP)..for a price thats not insulting (under 5k).

I have learned that a big mixer, or big time looking Daw controllers gets you more business then a Star-Ship Enterprise looking setup. .

Another 2008 option, is the Tascam DM-4800 mixer.

"LaMOnT" <jjdpro@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>Happy Sunday to you all.,

>

>DJ and others, haven't you all been following the Vista OS post here? Those  
>of us on the PC (Windoze) side of personal computing are at a cross road.

>

>-If you run a Steinberg DAW, then there are first issues with Multi core  
>(4) processors taking advantage of all of that power.

>

>-Win Xp (32/64) is not giving you anything as far as performance. Nothing  
>to write home about.

>

>-Ms Vista is a ways off from being what pro audio needs it to be.

>

>All in all, it's time to stay pat until the above issues are worked out.

>

>OR

>-Explore the Apple route and give Logic Studio a try.

>-Stay with windows, and give Digidesign a shot with version 7.4.

>

>Myself, I'm good where I am. My DAW's can achieve more tracks than I can  
>use with plugins galore..

>

>Until, something comes along that's truly revolutionary for what I do, then

>I'll bite. But, until then, Paris, Nuendo, & Pro Tools, Acid Pro, Alesis

>HD24 & Reasons are the tools that are getting the job(s) done ..

>Good luck exploring.. :)

>

>"DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote:

>>Well...hummm.....both my Magma's are compatible with the PCI-e host cards.

>

>>I'm thinking that a single Intel 45nano Quad might be sufficient for my

>

>>needs running XP64. Seems like single socket quads are getting good press

>

>>with Cubase and UAD-1 cards at very low latencies. Is it possible to run

>XP

>>64 and have the advantages of some additional RAM while using 32 bit Cubase

>

>>4.1 and 32 bit PCI/PCIe Magma host cards in my DAW or would I need a 64

>bit

>>expansion chassis to make this work. ??

>>

>>I'm hopelessly confused about this.

>>;o}

>>

>>"Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message  
>>news:47406410@linux...  
>>> HI DJ,  
>>> At this point I'd rather see a motherboard with 2-16x PCI-e, 1-4xPXi-e,  
>  
>>> 4-1xPCI-e  
>>>  
>>> Oh and all the Opteron Quad core motherboards won't be available till  
>the  
>>> end of the year. We already lined up 2 to test. Hopefully they will at  
>  
>>> least equal the power of the output of the quad Xeons.  
>>> The current Xeon chipset board have got way to many bus issues for us  
>to  
>>> promote.  
>>> Chris  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> DJ wrote:  
>>>> Nevermind....those are PCIe slots, not PCIx.  
>>>>  
>>>> ;o(  
>>>>  
>>>> "DJ" <animix \_ at \_ animas \_ dot \_ net> wrote in message  
>>>> news:473fa17f@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>>> I didn't even know something like this existed!!!  
>>>>>  
>>>>> [http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&  
amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1](http://www.asus.com/products.aspx?l1=3&l2=11&l3=307&amp;model=1480&modelmenu=1)  
>>>>>  
>>>>> 4 x PCI slots, 2 x PCIx slots and a PCIe for the graphics  
>>>>> card???.....cpabble of supporting Intel Quads???  
>>>>>  
>>>>> My UAD-1 PCI cards could go in the PCI slots and my RME MAD1 and AES32  
>  
>>>>> could use the PCIx slots.....right???  
>>>>>  
>>>>> This may be a solution to my dilemma of moving to a 64 bit OS and still  
>  
>>>>> being able to keep my PCI/PCIx compatible hardware without either having  
>  
>>>>> to take a bath selling it and moving to PCIe hardware or spending  
>>>>> another \$2-3K on a 64bit capable expansion chassis.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Tell me I'm not dreaming???

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>> --

>>> Chris Ludwig

>>> ADK

>>> [chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com) <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>

>>> [www.adkproaudio.com](http://www.adkproaudio.com/) <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>

>>> (859) 635-5762

>>

>>

>

---