
Subject: Compact files ... v3 workarounds?
Posted by [Dan](#) on Sat, 11 Mar 2006 02:29:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Last time I checked (it's been a while), compact files didn't work with Paris V3. Is there any solution to this?
The only think I can think of is to have a version of 2.2 installed on a separate boot partition (does 2.2 work on XP?) just for this purpose.
Ta
Dan

Subject: Re: Compact files ... v3 workarounds?
Posted by [Rob Arsenault](#) on Sat, 11 Mar 2006 14:25:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Not sure, I've never used the compact files function but the way I handle unused takes in 3.0 is keep everything till then end of a project and then I do a "select unused" in the audio window and delete em, then archive everything onto data DVD.
Of course, this is assuming you have plenty of data drive space.

Rob_A

"Dan B" <daniel_burne@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message
news:441227f4\$1@linux...

>
> Last time I checked (it's been a while), compact files didn't work with Paris
> V3. Is there any solution to this?
> The only think I can think of is to have a version of 2.2 installed on a
> separate boot partition (does 2.2 work on XP?) just for this purpose.
> Ta
> Dan

Subject: Re: Compact files ... v3 workarounds?
Posted by [Dan B](#) on Sat, 11 Mar 2006 17:56:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yup. Problem is I'm working on about a bazillion projects at once (never a good idea).
Compact files would still be v useful - e.g. I'm also doing a bunch of solo acoustic stuff. Since I have to run between rooms to start / stop recording, it'd be nice to be able to trim the excess as I go through. It'd also help

delete sections when I just leave the tape rolling.
Still, yet more HDs on the way...

"Rob Arsenault" <mani2@NOSPAMnbnet.nb.ca> wrote:

>Not sure, I've never used the compact files function but the way I handle
>unused takes in 3.0 is keep everything till then end of a project and then

I
>do a "select unused" in the audio window and delete em, then archive
>everything onto data DVD.

>Of course, this is assuming you have plenty of data drive space.

>

>Rob_A

>

>

>

>"Dan B" <daniel_burne@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message

>news:441227f4\$1@linux...

>>

>> Last time I checked (it's been a while), compact files didn't work with

>Paris

>> V3. Is there any solution to this?

>> The only think I can think of is to have a version of 2.2 installed on

a

>> separate boot partition (does 2.2 work on XP?) just for this purpose.

>> Ta

>> Dan

>

>

Subject: Re: Compact files ... v3 workarounds?

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Sun, 12 Mar 2006 18:45:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You could render track (or selection) to disk. Brand new files, just like
you want them, then delete the old ones.

Rod

"Dan B" <daniel_burneNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>Yup. Problem is I'm working on about a bazillion projects at once (never

a

>good idea).

>Compact files would still be v useful - e.g. I'm also doing a bunch of solo

>acoustic stuff. Since I have to run between rooms to start / stop recording,

>it'd be nice to be able to trim the excess as I go through. It'd also help

>delete sections when I just leave the tape rolling.

>Still, yet more HDs on the way...

>

>"Rob Arsenault" <mani2@NOSPAMnbnet.nb.ca> wrote:
>>Not sure, I've never used the compact files function but the way I handle
>>unused takes in 3.0 is keep everything till then end of a project and then
>|
>>do a "select unused" in the audio window and delete em, then archive
>>everything onto data DVD.
>>Of course, this is assuming you have plenty of data drive space.
>>
>>Rob_A
>>
>>
>>
>>"Dan B" <daniel_burne@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:441227f4\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Last time I checked (it's been a while), compact files didn't work with
>>>Paris
>>> V3. Is there any solution to this?
>>> The only think I can think of is to have a version of 2.2 installed on
>a
>>> separate boot partition (does 2.2 work on XP?) just for this purpose.
>>> Ta
>>> Dan
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Compact files ... v3 workarounds?
Posted by [gmmccurdy](#) on Mon, 13 Mar 2006 13:12:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That still wouldn't accomplish what compacting the files would however it will free up some space. For me the broken compact files funtion was a HUGE loss in V3. :(

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:44145e25\$1@linux...
>
> You could render track (or selection) to disk. Brand new files, just like
> you want them, then delete the old ones.
> Rod
> "Dan B" <daniel_burneNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>Yup. Problem is I'm working on about a bazillion projects at once (never
> a
>>good idea).

>>Compact files would still be v useful - e.g. I'm also doing a bunch of
>>solo
>>acoustic stuff. Since I have to run between rooms to start / stop
>>recording,
>>it'd be nice to be able to trim the excess as I go through. It'd also help
>>delete sections when I just leave the tape rolling.
>>Still, yet more HDs on the way...
>>
>>"Rob Arsenault" <mani2@NOSPAMnbnet.nb.ca> wrote:
>>>Not sure, I've never used the compact files function but the way I handle
>>>unused takes in 3.0 is keep everything till then end of a project and
>>>then
>>I
>>>do a "select unused" in the audio window and delete em, then archive
>>>everything onto data DVD.
>>>Of course, this is assuming you have plenty of data drive space.
>>>
>>>Rob_A
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"Dan B" <daniel_burne@NOSPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:441227f4\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Last time I checked (it's been a while), compact files didn't work with
>>>>Paris
>>>> V3. Is there any solution to this?
>>>> The only think I can think of is to have a version of 2.2 installed on
>>a
>>>> separate boot partition (does 2.2 work on XP?) just for this purpose.
>>>> Ta
>>>> Dan
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Compact files ... v3 workarounds?
Posted by [David L](#) on Mon, 13 Mar 2006 17:10:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You could just render the segments to disk. That way you'd have only the parts you want, and you could give them meaningful names.

David

On 13-Mar-2006, "Mark McCurdy" <gmmccurdy@hotmail.com> wrote:

> That still wouldn't accomplish what compacting the files would however it
> will free up some space. For me the broken compact files function was a
> HUGE
> loss in V3. :(
