

---

Subject: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [kerryg](#) on Thu, 03 Jul 2008 19:58:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates weren't doing us much justice.

research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that basic research with you all.

before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got a fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising, so

to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current users.

Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who still have and use PARIS hardware.

To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways

so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally come

starting from here and going forward.

So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology, and some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

Hope this is of interest!

- Kerry

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 02:44:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Kerry-

I'm a little slower than usual tonight, can you define the difference between "real users" and "users worldwide"?

400 does in fact seem like an enticing number for developers. Can you give some examples of "some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology" and the progress made in those settings?

Thanks again,

Ted

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>

>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>weren't doing us much justice.

>

>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>basic research with you all.

>

>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got

a

>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
so

>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>users.

>

>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>

>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to  
>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>still have and use PARIS hardware.

>

>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>

a  
>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
come

>starting from here and going forward.

>  
>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
and  
>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>  
>Hope this is of interest!

>  
>- Kerry  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Mike Bloomer](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 03:27:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

better make that 401. I've been away for a while.....  
MB

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>  
>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>weren't doing us much justice.  
>

>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>basic research with you all.

>

>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got

a

>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It

>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,

so

>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who

>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current

>users.

>

>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>

>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info

>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to

>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other

>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who

>still have and use PARIS hardware.

>

>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary

>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways

>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>

a

>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

come

>starting from here and going forward.

>

>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>and  
>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>  
>Hope this is of interest!  
>  
>- Kerry  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 06:01:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In support of Kerry's findings, that is pretty much in line with sale numbers from the Paris video, maybe even a little light from those numbers.

AA

"Kerry Galloway" <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote in message  
news:C4927D67.B2A4%[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)...

> posts.  
>

> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
> weren't doing us much justice.

>

> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
> basic research with you all.

>

> times  
> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got a  
> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
> so

> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
> users.  
>  
> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>

> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
> active

> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to  
> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>  
> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
> censuses;

> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months  
> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>

> a  
> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
> come

> starting from here and going forward.  
>  
> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven  
> efforts

> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
> and  
> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>  
> Hope this is of interest!  
>  
> - Kerry  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 14:34:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So I'm thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have similar contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again, maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never posted, that's 200 more users right there.

Or not, I don't know. just thinking.

Rod

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>

>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>weren't doing us much justice.

>

>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>basic research with you all.

>

>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
a  
>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
so

>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>users.



>  
>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>  
>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active  
>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to  
>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>  
>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;  
>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent  
>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit  
>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>  
a  
>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
come  
>starting from here and going forward.  
>  
>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts  
>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
and  
>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small  
>  
>Hope this is of interest!  
>  
>- Kerry  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Kim W](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 17:36:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spot on, Rod.

I know two guys that have never posted.

Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.

One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
(a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.

I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.

They're out there...

Kim

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular  
>contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
I'm

>thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
similar

>contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again,  
>maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never  
>posted, that's 200 more users right there.

>Or not, I don't know. just thinking.

>Rod

>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>

>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
we

>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>weren't doing us much justice.

>>

>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>basic research with you all.

>>

times

>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got

>a

>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
>so

>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>users.

>>  
>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>

>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

and

>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
to

>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>  
>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>

as

>a

>>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>come

>>starting from here and going forward.

>>

>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>and  
>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>  
>>Hope this is of interest!  
>>  
>>- Kerry  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Sat, 05 Jul 2008 18:05:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Ted

- maybe I phrased that poorly, I'm afraid I have something of a fever and am not at my clearest. By 'real users' I meant 'current' users. By "a hard floor of 125 real users" I meant to say we now have a solid idea the \*minimum\* number of current PARIS users out there. 400 is what we're estimating the true number actually is.

As far as examples of other pieces of discontinued technology, I'll write a bit when I've got less mush brain.

- K

On 7/3/08 7:44 PM, in article 486d8e93\$1@linux, "Ted Gerber" <tedgerber@rogers.com> wrote:

>  
> Thanks Kerry-  
>  
> I'm a little slower than usual tonight, can you define the  
> difference between "real users" and "users worldwide"?  
>  
> 400 does in fact seem like an enticing number for developers.  
> Can you give some examples of "some aficionado groups for other pieces of  
> discontinued technology" and the progress made  
> in those settings?

One example would be the Roland Vbass. That community has \*perhaps\* 20 members and averaged a post or two a week. I came across that community last year when I bought a used Vbass and quickly discovered Roland never built an editor/librarian for it. Someone did build one for the Roland VG8 and it looked like it could be modified for the Vbass, but the developer was

uninterested in opening the source code. After months of chasing down and researching, making unresponded-to emails etc, I got a response from the developer and after a few exchanges on it he agreed to release the source code.

>  
> Thanks again,  
>  
> Ted  
>  
>  
> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>  
>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>

>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>> basic research with you all.  
>>

>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
> a  
>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
> so

>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>> users.  
>>  
>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>

>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to  
>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other

>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>  
>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;  
  
>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent  
  
>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit  
  
>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>  
  
> a  
>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
> come  
  
>> starting from here and going forward.  
>>  
>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts  
  
>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
> and  
>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small  
  
>>  
>> Hope this is of interest!  
>>  
>> - Kerry  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Tue, 08 Jul 2008 01:00:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio locally here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't think I've ever seen him post on the news group either.

Rich

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message  
news:486e3508\$1@linux...

>  
> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular  
> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
I'm  
> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
similar  
> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
again,  
> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never  
> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
> Rod  
> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

posts.

> >

> > support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
> > certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
> > hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
> > want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
> > who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
> > weren't doing us much justice.  
> >

> > research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
> > supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
> > basic research with you all.  
> >

times

> > before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
> > a  
> > fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
> > stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
> > so

come

> > to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
> > don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
> > users.  
> >

> > Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

> >

> > derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

and

> > is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info

> > broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to

> > open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

> > ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

other

> > developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who

> > still have and use PARIS hardware.

> >

> > To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

> > unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary

> > length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

> > posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

> > questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different

ways

> > so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

months

> > would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

> >

> a

> > "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

> come

interested

> > starting from here and going forward.

> >

> > So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

> > is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

> > than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

> and

> > some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work



> >done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

> >

> >Hope this is of interest!

> >

> >- Kerry

> >

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 08 Jul 2008 15:13:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Two of my friends never post but use Paris.

Tom

"Rich Lamanna" <[richard.lamanna@verizon.net](mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net)> wrote in message  
news:4872addb@linux...

> Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio

> locally

> here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't

> think

> I've ever seen him post on the news group either.

>

> Rich

>

> "Rod Lincoln" <[rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com](mailto:rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com)> wrote in message

> news:486e3508\$1@linux...

>>

>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in

>> regular

>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So

> I'm

>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have

> similar

>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then

> again,

>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world

>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have

>> never

>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.

>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.

>> Rod

>> Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

> posts.

>> >

>> >support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>> >certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>> >hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>> >we  
>> >want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
>> >know  
>> >who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>> >guesstimates  
>> >weren't doing us much justice.  
>> >

>> >research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>> >supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>> >basic research with you all.  
>> >

> times  
>> >before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
>> a  
>> >fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>> >stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and  
>> >rising,  
>> so

> come  
>> >to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
>> >who  
>> >don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>> >users.  
>> >  
>> >Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>> >

>> >derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
> active

> and  
>> >is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that  
>> >info  
>> >broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>> >to  
>> >open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>> >ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),  
> other  
>> >developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>> >still have and use PARIS hardware.

>> >  
>> >To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
> censuses;

>> >unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an  
>> >arbitrary  
>> >length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>> >posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>> >questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
> ways  
>> >so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months  
>> >would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>> >

>> >might

>> >as  
>> a  
>> >"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>> come

> interested

>> >starting from here and going forward.  
>> >  
>> >So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real  
> users  
>> >is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven  
> efforts

>> >than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>> and  
>> >some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful  
>> >work  
>> >done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>> >  
>> >Hope this is of interest!  
>> >  
>> >- Kerry  
>> >  
>>  
>

>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [kerryg](#) on Tue, 08 Jul 2008 16:58:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a highly interesting pattern that crops up again and again - one visible PARIS user here on the NG equals two more invisible ones outside.

The estimates previously made of overall PARIS users weren't made using that ratio; they were derived by completely different means of guesstimation. As Aaron has mentioned, the sales numbers for the PARIS video bear these numbers out (they were a bit higher, but attrition since then would account for that).

But this model does seem to be a pretty compelling one. Using that ratio, 125 identified users would give an additional 250 non-identified users, which would add up to 375 overall - which would put us within a single-digit margin of error of our overall estimate of 400.

I don't know much about statistics but that does seem to be a pretty compelling symmetry.

To me, given the rapid advances and massive price drops of other DAWs, one fascinating aspect here is how \*little\* attrition there has been. It really does underscore how functional PARIS has always been, and precisely how far ahead of its time it really was.

- Kerry

On 7/8/08 8:13 AM, in article 4873866e@linux, "Tom Bruhl" <arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:

> Two of my friends never post but use Paris.

> Tom

>

> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message

> news:4872addb@linux...

>> Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio

>> locally

>> here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't

>> think

>> I've ever seen him post on the news group either.

>>

>> Rich

>>

>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message

>> news:486e3508\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in  
>>> regular  
>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>> I'm  
>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>> similar  
>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
>> again,  
>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have  
>>> never  
>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>> Rod  
>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>> posts.  
>>>>

>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>>>> we  
>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
>>>> know  
>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>>>> guesstimates  
>>>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>

>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>> basic research with you all.  
>>>>

>> times  
>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
>>> a  
>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and  
>>>> rising,  
>>> so

>> come  
>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users

>>>> who  
>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>> users.  
>>>>  
>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
>> active

>> and  
>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that  
>>>> info  
>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>>>> to  
>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),  
>> other  
>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an  
>>>> arbitrary  
>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
>> ways  
>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>> months  
>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

>>>> might

>>>> as  
>>> a  
>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>>> come

>> interested

>>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>>

>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real

>> users

>>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven

>> efforts

>>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>>> and

>>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful

>>>> work

>>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>

>>>> Hope this is of interest!

>>>>

>>>> - Kerry

>>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [mike mullin\[1\]](#) on Tue, 08 Jul 2008 20:14:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello all,

I have posted here a few times but I know someone else

in town running a fulltime studio with Paris and he has never posted here

cheers,

Mike

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>This is a highly interesting pattern that crops up again and again - one

>visible PARIS user here on the NG equals two more invisible ones outside.

>

>The estimates previously made of overall PARIS users weren't made using that

>ratio; they were derived by completely different means of guesstimation.

As

>Aaron has mentioned, the sales numbers for the PARIS video bear these

>numbers out (they were a bit higher, but attrition since then would account

>for that).

>

>But this model does seem to be a pretty compelling one. Using that ratio,

>125 identified users would give an additional 250 non-identified users,

>which would add up to 375 overall - which would put us within a single-digit  
>margin of error of our overall estimate of 400.  
>  
>I don't know much about statistics but that does seem to be a pretty  
>compelling symmetry.  
>  
>To me, given the rapid advances and massive price drops of other DAWs, one  
>fascinating aspect here is how \*little\* attrition there has been. It really  
>does underscore how functional PARIS has always been, and precisely how far  
>ahead of its time it really was.  
>  
>- Kerry  
>  
>On 7/8/08 8:13 AM, in article 4873866e@linux, "Tom Bruhl"  
><arpeggio@comcast.net> wrote:  
>  
>> Two of my friends never post but use Paris.  
>> Tom  
>>  
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote in message  
>> news:4872addb@linux...  
>>> Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio  
>>> locally  
>>> here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't  
>>> think  
>>> I've ever seen him post on the news group either.  
>>>  
>>> Rich  
>>>  
>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:486e3508\$1@linux...  
>>>>  
>>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in  
>>>> regular  
>>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight.  
So  
>>> I'm  
>>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>> similar  
>>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
>>> again,  
>>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users  
world  
>>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have  
>>>> never  
>>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.



>>>> Rod  
>>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>> posts.  
>>>>>

to  
>>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need  
for  
>>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc.  
If  
>>>>> we  
>>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
>>>>> know  
>>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>>>>> guesstimates  
>>>>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>>

at  
>>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of  
that  
>>>>> basic research with you all.  
>>>>>

>>> times  
>>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now  
got  
>>>>> a  
>>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
It  
>>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and  
>>>>> rising,  
>>>>> so

>>> come  
>>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
>>>>> who  
>>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>>> users.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
>>> active

>>> and

>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that  
>>>> info

>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>>>> to

>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

>>> other

>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those  
who

>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>>>

>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an

>>>> arbitrary

>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
>>> ways

>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

that

>>> months

>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>>>

>>>> might

but

>>>> as

>>>> a

>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

>>>> come

>>> interested

>>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>>

>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real

>>> users



Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Sanbar](#) on Fri, 11 Jul 2008 15:56:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi

I will be a user again as soon as my house is built. I know one other user here in Milwaukee.

Barry

"Paul Braun" <cygnus\_nospam@ctgonline.org> wrote in message  
news:d97d74p1lj57h8u46v21bhg268e11852rr@4ax.com...

> On Thu, 03 Jul 2008 12:58:15 -0700, Kerry Galloway

> <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>

>>posts.

>>

>>

>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven

>>efforts

>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>>and

>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work

>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>

>

> I'm still a user. Rewiring everything now and debating on staying

> with Mac and seeing what develops, or switching to xp, but still

> amongst the faithful.

>

> pab

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Harry Latimer](#) on Fri, 18 Jul 2008 01:02:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

better make that 402. I've been away for a while too.....

Harry Latimer

Good Day Mix

"Mike Bloomer" <tubeguru2006@gmail.com> wrote in message

news:486d9892\$1@linux...

>  
> better make that 401. I've been away for a while.....  
> MB  
>  
>  
> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>posts.  
>>

>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>weren't doing us much justice.  
>>

>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>basic research with you all.  
>>

>>times  
>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
> a  
>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
> so

>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>users.  
>>  
>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>

>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
>>active

>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to  
>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>  
>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>censuses;

>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>>months  
>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>

> a  
>>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
> come

>>starting from here and going forward.  
>>  
>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven  
>>efforts

>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
> and  
>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>  
>>Hope this is of interest!  
>>  
>>- Kerry  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [planetwi](#) on Fri, 18 Jul 2008 03:24:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've never posted here before,yet I'd like to come out of the shadows in  
a show of attendace ... "yes,I am a PARISAHOLIC ... and I don't plan to get  
off the wagon either" !!!

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [jim phillips](#) on Fri, 18 Jul 2008 04:54:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cont me in

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [john Macy\[2\]](#) on Fri, 18 Jul 2008 15:38:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Still running Paris in a pro facility here in Denver--two systems on top of that :)

"James phillips" <[jmp@ncounty.net](mailto:jmp@ncounty.net)> wrote:

>  
>cont me in  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Dale](#) on Sat, 19 Jul 2008 08:13:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Still using Paris in Eugene, OR...frequently lurking, would post more often if I'd followed momma's advice and taken typing in school

Dale "Hunt & Peck" Bradley

"John Macy" <[nospamjohn@johnmacy.com](mailto:nospamjohn@johnmacy.com)> wrote:

>  
>Still running Paris in a pro facility here in Denver--two systems on top of  
>that :)  
>  
>  
>"James phillips" <[jmp@ncounty.net](mailto:jmp@ncounty.net)> wrote:  
>>  
>>cont me in  
>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Ron Bloom](#) on Sat, 19 Jul 2008 20:07:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Guys,

My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since 1999. Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not being set up.

Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?

Thanks guys for the help in advance.

ron

"Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:

>

>Spot on, Rod.

>I know two guys that have never posted.

>Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.

>One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",

>(a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.

>I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.

>They're out there...

>Kim

>

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>>Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular

>>contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So

>>I'm

>>thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have

>>similar

>>contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again,

>>maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world

>>wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never

>>posted, that's 200 more users right there.

>>Or not, I don't know. just thinking.

>>Rod

>>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are

>>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for

>>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If



>we

>>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>>weren't doing us much justice.

>>>

>>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>basic research with you all.

>>>

>times

>>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got

>>a

>>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.

It

>>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,

>>so

come

>>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
who

>>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current

>>>users.

>>>

>>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>>>

>>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>and

>>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability

>to

>>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

>>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other

>>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who

>>>still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>>

>>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary

>>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

>>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
ways

>>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

months

>>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>>

>as

>>a

>>>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

>>come

>>>starting from here and going forward.

>>>

>>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

>>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>>and

>>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work

>>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>

>>>Hope this is of interest!

>>>

>>>- Kerry

>>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Perrin Stamatis](#) on Sat, 19 Jul 2008 21:21:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey everybody,

My name is Perrin.

I still check in from time to time.

Been using PARIS since '98.

Love the sound...glad to see the gang is still cooking up new ideas.

Many Thanks!

in article 4882498a\$1@linux, Ron Bloom at concerts4u@prodigy.net wrote on 7/19/08 3:07 PM:

>  
> Hey Guys,  
>  
> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since 1999.  
> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for  
> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has  
> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not  
> being set up.  
>  
> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>  
> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
> ron  
> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>  
>> Spot on, Rod.  
>> I know two guys that have never posted.  
>> Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>> One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>> (a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>> I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>> They're out there...  
>> Kim  
>>  
>>  
>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular  
>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>> I'm  
>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>> similar  
>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again,  
>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never  
>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>> Rod  
>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:  
  
>>>>  
  
>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>> we  
>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know

>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>

>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>> basic research with you all.  
>>>>

>> times  
>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
>>> a  
>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
> It  
>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
>>> so

> come  
>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
> who  
>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>> users.  
>>>>  
>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>> and  
>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>> to  
>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
> ways  
>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months  
>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

>> as  
>>> a  
>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>>> come

>>>> starting from here and going forward.  
>>>>  
>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>>> and  
>>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>  
>>>> Hope this is of interest!  
>>>>  
>>>> - Kerry  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 19 Jul 2008 22:47:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

There are quite a few still. John Macy, Jamie K. DeeJ is close.  
AA

"Ron Bloom" <[concerts4u@prodigy.net](mailto:concerts4u@prodigy.net)> wrote in message  
news:4882498a\$1@linux...

>  
> Hey Guys,  
>  
> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since  
> 1999.  
> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for

> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has  
> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not  
> being set up.  
>  
> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>  
> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
> ron  
> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>Spot on, Rod.  
>>I know two guys that have never posted.  
>>Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>>One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>>(a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>>I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>>They're out there...  
>>Kim  
>>  
>>  
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>Yeah, it could be a litle bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in  
>>>regular  
>>>contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>>>I'm  
>>>thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>>similar  
>>>contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
>>>again,  
>>>maybe more than you might think. For example, if ther are 400 users world  
>>>wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have  
>>>never  
>>>posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>Rod  
>>>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:  
  
>>>>posts.  
>>>>  
  
>>>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>>>>we  
>>>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
>>>>know

>>>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>>>>guesstimates  
>>>>weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>

>>>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>>basic research with you all.  
>>>>

>>times  
>>>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
>>>a  
>>>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
> It  
>>>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and  
>>>>rising,  
>>>so

> come  
>>>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
> who  
>>>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>>users.  
>>>>  
>>>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
>>>>active

>>and  
>>>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that  
>>>>info  
>>>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>>to  
>>>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),  
>>>>other  
>>>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>>>still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>>>censuses;

>>>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an  
>>>>arbitrary

>>>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
> ways

>>>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months

>>>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>>>

>>>>might

>>as

>>>a

>>>>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

>>>come

>>>>interested

>>>>starting from here and going forward.

>>>>

>>>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real

>>>>users

>>>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven

>>>>efforts

>>>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>>>>and

>>>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful

>>>>work

>>>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>

>>>>Hope this is of interest!

>>>>

>>>>- Kerry

>>>>

>>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Eugene B](#) on Sun, 20 Jul 2008 03:24:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---



"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not\_here.dude> wrote:  
>There are quite a few still. John Macy, Jamie K. Dee is close.  
>AA  
>  
>  
>"Ron Bloom" <concerts4u@prodigy.net> wrote in message  
>news:4882498a\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Hey Guys,  
>>  
>> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since  
  
>> 1999.  
>> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site  
for  
>> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain  
has  
>> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of  
not  
>> being set up.  
>>  
>> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>>  
>> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
>> ron  
>> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>Spot on, Rod.  
>>>I know two guys that have never posted.  
>>>Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>>>One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>>>(a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>>>I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>>>They're out there...  
>>>Kim  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>Yeah, it could be a litle bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in  
>>>>regular  
>>>>contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight.  
So  
>>>>I'm  
>>>>thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>>>similar  
>>>>contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then

>>>>again,  
>>>>maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>>>wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have

>>>>never  
>>>>posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>>Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>>Rod  
>>>>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>>>>posts.  
>>>>>

to  
>>>>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need  
for  
>>>>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc.  
If  
>>>>we  
>>>>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better

>>>>>know  
>>>>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>>>>>guesstimates  
>>>>>weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>>

>>>>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of  
that  
>>>>>basic research with you all.  
>>>>>

>>>times  
>>>>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now  
got  
>>>>a  
>>>>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
>> It  
>>>>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and  
>>>>>rising,  
>>>>>so

>> come  
>>>>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users

>> who  
>>>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>>users.  
>>>>  
>>>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
>>>>active

>>>and  
>>>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that

>>>>info  
>>>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>>>to  
>>>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

>>>>other  
>>>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those  
who  
>>>>still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>>>censuses;

>>>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an  
>>>>arbitrary  
>>>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
>> ways  
>>>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

that

>> months  
>>>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

>>>>might



>  
>"Ron Bloom" <concerts4u@prodigy.net> wrote in message  
>news:4882498a\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Hey Guys,  
>>  
>> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since  
  
>> 1999.  
>> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site  
for  
>> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain  
has  
>> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of  
not  
>> being set up.  
>>  
>> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>>  
>> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
>> ron  
>> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>Spot on, Rod.  
>>>I know two guys that have never posted.  
>>>Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>>>One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>>>(a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>>>I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>>>They're out there...  
>>>Kim  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in  
>>>>regular  
>>>>contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight.  
So  
>>>I'm  
>>>>thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>>>similar  
>>>>contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
  
>>>>again,  
>>>>maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>>>wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have

>>>>never  
>>>>posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>>Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>>Rod  
>>>>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>>>>posts.  
>>>>>

to  
>>>>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need  
for  
>>>>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc.  
If  
>>>>we  
>>>>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better

>>>>>know  
>>>>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>>>>>guesstimates  
>>>>>weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>>

>>>>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of  
that  
>>>>>basic research with you all.  
>>>>>

>>>>times  
>>>>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now  
got  
>>>>a  
>>>>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
>> It  
>>>>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and  
>>>>>rising,  
>>>>>so

>> come  
>>>>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
>> who  
>>>>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>>>users.  
>>>>>

>>>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>>>>

>>>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently

>>>>active

>>>and

>>>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that

>>>>info

>>>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability

>>>to

>>>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

>>>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

>>>>other

>>>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those  
who

>>>>still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>>>

>>>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and

>>>>censuses;

>>>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an

>>>>arbitrary

>>>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

>>>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different

>> ways

>>>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

that

>> months

>>>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>>>

>>>>might

>>>as

>>>>a

>>>>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

>>>>come

>>>>interested

>>>>starting from here and going forward.

>>>>

>>>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real

>>>>users

>>>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven

>>>>efforts

>>>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>>>>and

>>>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful

>>>>work

>>>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>

>>>>Hope this is of interest!

>>>>

>>>>- Kerry

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [hank](#) on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 13:22:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

six of us still using it in Sydney Australia and every week there is PARIS recorded country hit in the Country Top 30.

>

>Still using Paris in Eugene, OR...frequently lurking, would post more often

>if I'd followed momma's advice and taken typing in school

>

>Dale "Hunt & Peck" Bradley

>

>

>"John Macy" <nospamjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:

>>

>>Still running Paris in a pro facility here in Denver--two systems on top

>of



>>that :)  
>>  
>>  
>>"James phillips" <jmp@ncounty.net> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>cont me in  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:03:32 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Ron - nice to have you aboard! I'll add you to The List.

I've started a couple of sites, containing both info gleaned from previous FAQ sites and new material, to collect, edit and update PARIS lore:

PARIS blog: <http://ensoniqparis.blogspot.com/>

PARIS Wiki: <http://www.kerrygalloway.com/WikiPARIS/wikka.php?wakka=HomePage>

They're sparse right now. That's partly because I've been consumed with projects lately, but in larger part because I don't have a PARIS rig right now to verify tips/techniques/terminology/bugs etc (I don't want to put too much flaky "relying on memory and notes" info up there). I don't expect either site will fully hit their stride until I set my PARIS studio up in January '09, but the rig is coming within days and then I hope to buckle down with an update or two. But feel free to throw in what you like at the Wiki, it's totally user-modifiable.

- Kerry

On 7/19/08 1:07 PM, in article 4882498a\$1@linux, "Ron Bloom"  
<concerts4u@prodigy.net> wrote:

>  
> Hey Guys,  
>  
> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since 1999.  
> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for  
> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has  
> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not  
> being set up.  
>  
> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?

>  
> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
> ron  
> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>  
>> Spot on, Rod.  
>> I know two guys that have never posted.  
>> Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>> One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>> (a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>> I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>> They're out there...  
>> Kim  
>>  
>>  
>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular  
>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>> I'm  
>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>> similar  
>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again,  
>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never  
>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>> Rod  
>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:  
  
>>>>  
  
>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>> we  
>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>  
  
>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>> basic research with you all.  
>>>>  
  
>> times

>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
>>> a  
>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
> It  
>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
>>> so

> come  
>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
> who  
>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>> users.  
>>>>  
>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>> and  
>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>> to  
>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
> ways  
>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months  
>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

>> as  
>>> a  
>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>>> come

>>>> starting from here and going forward.  
>>>>  
>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts  
  
>>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>>> and  
>>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small  
  
>>>>  
>>>> Hope this is of interest!  
>>>>  
>>>> - Kerry  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 19:19:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Also El Miguel, plus Dedic is down the road in C. Springs. I gave mine up due to lack of integrated MIDI/soft synth functionality but it worked well for recording/editing audio. John has the most extensive PARIS setup I know of in the Denver area.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKruz.com](http://www.JamieKruz.com)

Aaron Allen wrote:

> There are quite a few still. John Macy, Jamie K. DeeJ is close.  
> AA  
>  
>  
> "Ron Bloom" <[concerts4u@prodigy.net](mailto:concerts4u@prodigy.net)> wrote in message  
> news:4882498a\$1@linux...  
>> Hey Guys,  
>>  
>> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since  
>> 1999.  
>> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for

>> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has  
>> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not  
>> being set up.  
>>  
>> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>>  
>> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
>> ron  
>> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>> Spot on, Rod.  
>>> I know two guys that have never posted.  
>>> Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>>> One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>>> (a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>>> I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>>> They're out there...  
>>> Kim  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in  
>>>> regular  
>>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>>>> I'm  
>>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>>> similar  
>>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
>>>> again,  
>>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have  
>>>> never  
>>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>> Rod  
>>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:  
  
>>>>> posts.  
>>>>>  
  
>>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>>>> we  
>>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
>>>>> know  
>>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball"  
>>>>> guesstimates

>>>> weren't doing us much justice.

>>>>

>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>> basic research with you all.

>>>>

>>> times

>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got

>>>> a

>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.

>> It

>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and

>>>> rising,

>>>> so

>> come

>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users

>> who

>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current

>>>> users.

>>>>

>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently

>>>> active

>>> and

>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that

>>>> info

>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability

>>> to

>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

>>>> other

>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who

>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>>>

>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and

>>>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an

>>>> arbitrary

>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
>> ways  
>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>> months  
>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

>>>> might

>>> as  
>>>> a  
>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>>>> come

>>>> interested

>>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>>  
>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real  
>>>> users  
>>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven  
>>>> efforts

>>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>>>> and  
>>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful  
>>>> work  
>>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>  
>>>> Hope this is of interest!

>>>>  
>>>> - Kerry

>>>>

>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Tue, 22 Jul 2008 01:54:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

At least 6...  
I personally know of 3 others...  
In Sydney.

Martin H

On 21/07/08 11:22 PM, in article 48848da5\$1@linux, "hank" <hkovac@bigpond.net.au> wrote:

>  
> six of us still using it in Sydney Australia and every week there is PARIS  
> recorded country hit in the Country Top 30.  
>>  
>> Still using Paris in Eugene, OR...frequently lurking, would post more often  
>> if I'd followed momma's advice and taken typing in school  
>>  
>> Dale "Hunt & Peck" Bradley  
>>  
>>  
>> "John Macy" <nospamjohn@johnmacy.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> Still running Paris in a pro facility here in Denver--two systems on top  
>> of  
>>> that :)  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "James phillips" <jmp@ncounty.net> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>> cont me in  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Ed](#) on Tue, 22 Jul 2008 14:52:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have seen these posts numerous times, but I never got the indication that you wanted people to respond. My understanding is that you wanted people to respond that knew of people not on the newsgroup. I am confused.

Kerry, are you building a email count? Hopefully I am on it... My email is edward dot zurick at-sign gmail dot com

Thanks

"Ron Bloom" <concerts4u@prodigy.net> wrote:

>



>Hey Guys,  
>  
>My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since 1999.  
>Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for  
>Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has  
>forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not  
>being set up.  
>  
>Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>  
>Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
>ron  
>"Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>Spot on, Rod.  
>>I know two guys that have never posted.  
>>Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>>One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>>(a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>>I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>>They're out there...  
>>Kim  
>>  
>>  
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular  
>>>contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>>>I'm  
>>>thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>>similar  
>>>contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again,  
>>>maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>>wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never  
>>>posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>Rod  
>>>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

posts.

>>>>

to

>>>>support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need  
for

>>>>hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
>>we  
>>>>want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
know  
>>>>who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>>>weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>>

>>>>research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>>>supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>>>basic research with you all.  
>>>>

>>times  
>>>>before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now  
got  
>>>a  
>>>>fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
>It  
>>>>stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
>>>so

>come  
>>>>to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
>who  
>>>>don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>>users.  
>>>>  
>>>>Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>>derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
active

>>and  
>>>>is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that  
info  
>>>>broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>>to  
>>>>open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>>ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),  
other  
>>>>developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those  
who  
>>>>still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>>To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>>>>unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>>>length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>>posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>>questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
>ways  
>>>>so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>months  
>>>>would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

>>as  
>>>a  
>>>>"minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>>>come

>>>>starting from here and going forward.  
>>>>  
>>>>So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real  
users  
>>>>is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>>>than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>>>>and  
>>>>some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful  
work  
>>>>done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>  
>>>>Hope this is of interest!  
>>>>  
>>>>- Kerry  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 06:55:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Ed -

I wasn't originally looking for responses, I was just throwing out interesting discoveries - but yes, it's invaluable to have less-frequent posters "step forward and be counted". I just did it the way I did because a nose-count was necessary and I reckoned doing it that way would give results I could be fairly confident in. Knowing how many current users there are is of immense use in organizing larger efforts, and the more names we have on that list the more power we have as a community.

As far as it becoming an email list - this was originally just put together from the perspective of doing a community count, so for example I haven't even bothered removing things like "NOSPAM" from the email addresses. But yes - it could definitely be the makings of a nice tight-focused little email list. It would be a perfect method to occasionally contact those PARIS users who don't regularly frequent the NG so we can let them know about significant developments - plugin releases, driver development, etc. I hate spam, and any use of the list would have to be of obvious benefit to the community (and has to have a prominent "opt out" link). But I've filed the idea away against the right set of circumstances - and yep, now you're on it too :).

- Kerry

On 7/22/08 7:52 AM, in article 4885f42b\$1@linux, "Ed" <askme@email.com> wrote:

>  
> I have seen these posts numerous times, but I never got the indication that  
> you wanted people to respond. My understanding is that you wanted people  
> to respond that knew of people not on the newsgroup. I am confused.  
>  
> Kerry, are you building a email count? Hopefully I am on it... My email  
> is edward dot zurick at-sign gmail dot com  
>  
> Thanks  
>  
> "Ron Bloom" <concerts4u@prodigy.net> wrote:  
>>  
>> Hey Guys,  
>>  
>> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since  
> 1999.  
>> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site for  
>> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain has  
>> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of not  
>> being set up.  
>>  
>> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?  
>>

>> Thanks guys for the help in advance.  
>> ron  
>> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> Spot on, Rod.  
>>> I know two guys that have never posted.  
>>> Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.  
>>> One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",  
>>> (a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.  
>>> I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.  
>>> They're out there...  
>>> Kim  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular  
>>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight. So  
>>>> I'm  
>>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>>> similar  
>>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then again,  
>>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users world  
>>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have never  
>>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>> Rod  
>>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

> posts.

>>>>>

> to

>>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need

> for

>>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If

>>>> we

>>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better

> know

>>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates

>>>>> weren't doing us much justice.

>>>>>

>>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully

>>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that

>>>>> basic research with you all.

>>>>

>>> times

>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now  
> got

>>>> a

>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.

>> It

>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,

>>>> so

>> come

>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users

>> who

>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current

>>>> users.

>>>>

>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently

> active

>>> and

>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that

> info

>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability

>>> to

>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

> other

>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those

> who

>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>>>

>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and

>>>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary

>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different

>> ways

>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>> months

>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>>>

>>> as

>>>> a

>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

>>>> come

>>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>>

>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real

> users

>>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven

>>>> efforts

>>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

>>>> and

>>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful

> work

>>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>

>>>> Hope this is of interest!

>>>>

>>>> - Kerry

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Goran Stojiljkovic](#) on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 13:46:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

5 everyday Paris users here in Slavonia-part of Croatia...(in Croatia i think about maybe 20 ) ....

"Kerry Galloway" <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote in message news:C4927D67.B2A4%kg@kerrygalloway.com...

> posts.

>

> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
> weren't doing us much justice.  
>

> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
> basic research with you all.  
>

> times  
> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got a  
> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
> so

> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
> users.  
>  
> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>

> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
> active

> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to  
> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>

> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
> censuses;

> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways  
> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months



> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>

> a

> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally

> come

> starting from here and going forward.

>

> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven

> efforts

> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,

> and

> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work

> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>

> Hope this is of interest!

>

> - Kerry

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Ron Bloom](#) on Wed, 23 Jul 2008 21:09:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kerry - Sweet man thanks for the contact. I look forward to learning more and causing myself problems as I reach higher than the system will allow :-). Tonight my second Paris system shows up and I try to put the two EDS cards in and change the skin. Double the pleasure double the fun right? Some days I just feel over my head :-)

Thanks again

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

> Hey Ron - nice to have you aboard! I'll add you to The List.

>

> I've started a couple of sites, containing both info gleaned from previous

> FAQ sites and new material, to collect, edit and update PARIS lore:

>

> PARIS blog: <http://ensoniqparis.blogspot.com/>

> PARIS Wiki: <http://www.kerrygalloway.com/WikiPARIS/wikka.php?wakka=HomePage>

>

> They're sparse right now. That's partly because I've been consumed with

>projects lately, but in larger part because I don't have a PARIS rig right  
>now to verify tips/techniques/terminology/bugs etc (I don't want to put  
too  
>much flaky "relying on memory and notes" info up there). I don't expect  
>either site will fully hit their stride until I set my PARIS studio up in  
>January '09, but the rig is coming within days and then I hope to buckle  
>down with an update or two. But feel free to throw in what you like at the  
>Wiki, it's totally user-modifiable.

>

>- Kerry

>

>On 7/19/08 1:07 PM, in article 4882498a\$1@linux, "Ron Bloom"

><concerts4u@prodigy.net> wrote:

>

>>

>> Hey Guys,

>>

>> My name is Ron and this is my first post, but have had the system since  
1999.

>> Please add me to your number and I am thrilled to find an active site  
for

>> Paris. I have spent about 4 hours today trying to learn more. My brain  
has

>> forgotten much since I just dusted my system off after a few years of  
not

>> being set up.

>>

>> Hey anyone know of any body in the Denver Colorado area that is a user?

>>

>> Thanks guys for the help in advance.

>> ron

>> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:

>>>

>>> Spot on, Rod.

>>> I know two guys that have never posted.

>>> Hell, I built and configured their host comps for them.

>>> One is a friend who is happily using my "spare parts",

>>> (a mec with 8-in, a c-16 and two EDS's) as a stand alone system.

>>> I also know \*of\* several other users, but not personally.

>>> They're out there...

>>> Kim

>>>

>>>

>>> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> Yeah, it could be a little bigger. I know of two Paris users I'm in regular

>>>> contact with, that to my knowledge, have never posted on this sight.

So

>>> I'm  
>>>> thinking there might be a few more of us that post here that might have  
>>> similar  
>>>> contacts that just don't post. Probably not a large number, but then  
again,  
>>>> maybe more than you might think. For example, if there are 400 users  
world  
>>>> wide, and 100 of them have 2 people they know of (like me) that have  
never  
>>>> posted, that's 200 more users right there.  
>>>> Or not, I don't know. just thinking.  
>>>> Rod  
>>>> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

posts.

>>>>>

to

>>>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need  
for

>>>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc.

If

>>> we

>>>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better  
know

>>>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates

>>>>> weren't doing us much justice.

>>>>>

at

>>>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully

>>>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of  
that

>>>>> basic research with you all.

>>>>>

>>> times

>>>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now  
got

>>>>> a

>>>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.

>> It

>>>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,

>>>>> so

>> come

>>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
>> who  
>>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>>> users.  
>>>>  
>>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>>

>>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently  
active

>>> and  
>>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that  
info  
>>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
>>> to  
>>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),  
other  
>>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those  
who  
>>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>>  
>>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and  
>>>> censuses;

>>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
>> ways  
>>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

that

>> months  
>>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>>

might

but  
>>> as  
>>>> a  
>>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>>>> come

>>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>>

>>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

>>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>>>> and

>>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work

>>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>>

>>>> Hope this is of interest!

>>>>

>>>> - Kerry

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [DM](#) on Sun, 27 Jul 2008 00:14:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

Rarely come to the NG but still use PARIS for tracking and occasionally mixing.

Don Morrell

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Gordon Belsher](#) on Sun, 03 Aug 2008 13:28:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Still using PARIS here in Prince Edward Island & loving it! [GuernseyCove.ca](http://GuernseyCove.ca)

"Steve D." <[planetwi@gmail.com](mailto:planetwi@gmail.com)> wrote:

>

>I've never posted here before,yet I'd like to come out of the shadows in  
>a show of attendace ... "yes,I am a PARISAHOLIC ... and I don't plan to  
get  
>off the wagon either" !!!  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Tue, 05 Aug 2008 05:41:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

With current updates - list stands at 136. It would have been 135, but I  
just realized I'd omitted my own name. Duh.

- Kerry

On 7/3/08 12:58 PM, in article C4927D67.B2A4%kg@kerrygalloway.com, "Kerry  
Galloway" <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>

> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If we  
> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
> weren't doing us much justice.  
>

> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
> basic research with you all.  
>

> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got a  
> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community. It  
> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising, so

> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users who  
> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
> users.

>  
> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>

> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info

> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability to

> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other

> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who

> still have and use PARIS hardware.

>

> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary

> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different ways

> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>

> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>

> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally come

>

> starting from here and going forward.

>

> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users

> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>

> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology, and

> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work

> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>

> Hope this is of interest!

>

> - Kerry

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Clay](#) on Wed, 06 Aug 2008 03:43:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Kerry,  
You can add 2 more users to your count; myself and a friend (both in the

Columbia,SC area) still use Paris. Hope this helps.

Clay

Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>With current updates - list stands at 136. It would have been 135, but I  
>just realized I'd omitted my own name. Duh.

>

>- Kerry

>

>

>On 7/3/08 12:58 PM, in article C4927D67.B2A4%kg@kerrygalloway.com, "Kerry  
>Galloway" <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
we

>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>

>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>> basic research with you all.  
>>

times

>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
a  
>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.

It

>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
so

come

>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
who  
>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>> users.

>>

>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>>



>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

and

>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
to

>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>> still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>

>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
ways

>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

months

>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>

as a

>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
come

>> starting from here and going forward.

>>

>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
and

>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>

>> Hope this is of interest!

>>

>> - Kerry

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Wed, 06 Aug 2008 04:01:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Clay; I've got you in the survey. I'll have to hold off on your friend for the moment because I have no way of including a person without any sort of identifying info, but I've filed that info away along with other reports of far-flung users.

Come on over to the blog too, there's an interview with Gantt up there with good PARIS tips:

<http://ensoniqparis.blogspot.com/>

And the Wiki is at 160 pages now:

<http://www.kerrygalloway.com/WikiPARIS/wikka.php?wakka=HomePage>

Nice to see all these new faces, and welcome!

- Kerry

On 8/5/08 8:43 PM, in article 48991dd9\$1@linux, "Clay" <clayinsc@sc.rr.com> wrote:

>

> Kerry,

> You can add 2 more users to your count; myself and a friend (both in the  
> Columbia,SC area) still use Paris. Hope this helps.

>

> Clay

>

> Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>> With current updates - list stands at 136. It would have been 135, but I  
>> just realized I'd omitted my own name. Duh.

>>

>> - Kerry

>>

>>

>> On 7/3/08 12:58 PM, in article C4927D67.B2A4%kg@kerrygalloway.com, "Kerry  
>> Galloway" <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are  
>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need for  
>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If  
> we  
>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better know  
>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates  
>>> weren't doing us much justice.  
>>>

>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully  
>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that  
>>> basic research with you all.  
>>>

> times  
>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got  
> a  
>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.  
> It  
>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,  
> so

> come  
>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users  
> who  
>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current  
>>> users.  
>>>  
>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!  
>>>

>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

> and  
>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info  
>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability  
> to  
>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to  
>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format), other  
>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those who  
>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.  
>>>  
>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary  
>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code  
>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different  
> ways  
>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

> months  
>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.  
>>>

> as a  
>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
> come

>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>  
>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real users  
>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
> and  
>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful work  
>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>  
>>> Hope this is of interest!

>>>  
>>> - Kerry

>>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [geo](#) on Thu, 07 Aug 2008 22:05:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I continue to make records with Paris. No suitable replacement except perhaps PTs. I record 16 tracks live and require no latency que mixes. Last week had a Steinberg guy in here...yawning at my rig until I told him the que mix was POST Paris . That dropped his jaw Ha Ha. Good fun with 10 year old gear...tribute to the creators.

--  
Geo

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [kerry](#) on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 00:07:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oh geez, that reminds me of a very uncomfortable session moment years back. I'd been doing quite a few co-productions with Shawn Pierce, a lovely cat and a great producer; I generally handled the musical side and he handled the technical side and we split the difference in the middle.

We had a project where "everything at once, in the same room, with the engineer and producer and all" was a vibe we wanted to experiment with. With some trepidation (I don't have outside producers working in my workspace very often) - and although we had access to lots of good rooms - I'd convinced him my own space and PARIS were worth a shot. So the day came and we set up and started getting sounds, and Shawn popped the headphones on and off a few times, with mounting concern, and said - "I know what this system's \*supposed\* to do - but put the cans on one ear. I \*hear\* latency, and that's not going to be acceptable".

Although I'd never heard a scrap of latency in PARIS before, I was certainly hearing some "soundcard"-type latency now - not awful but not helpful for tracking, and certainly not what I'd confidently represented PARIS as having. For a sickening minute I was quite convinced that Shawn had simply spotted something that had always been there but that I'd simply never noticed before - something threatening to be a session-stopper - and I was feeling sick to my stomach.

And then the drummer said "Strange, I've got no latency over here", and with curiosity we stepped over towards the drums trailing our headphone cables, and as we got closer the perceived latency dropped in our headphones until standing next to the drums it was gone.

Turned out that neither of us had done a ton of "in the room with the band" tracking. Shawn's ears were completely correct, but there was no latency in PARIS; what we hadn't taken into account was the 20 feet of physical separation between the mix position and the drums, which delayed their acoustic sound over by the mixing board. Far from \*PARIS\* having latency, the \*real\* sound of the drums in the room was arriving later than PARIS' 50-foot-round-trip monitoring; the PARIS signal was arriving on time and we were hearing the latency of the "real world".

The session continued - with new respect for PARIS.

- Kerry

On 8/7/08 3:05 PM, in article 489b7406@linux, "geo" <nlyn@execpc.com> wrote:

>

> I continue to make records with Paris. No suitable replacement except

> perhaps PTs. I record 16 tracks live and require no latency que mixes. Last  
> week had a Steinberg guy in here...yawning at my rig until I told him the  
> que mix was POST Paris . That dropped his jaw Ha Ha. Good fun with 10 year  
> old gear...tribute to the creators.

>

>

> --

> Geo

>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Rich\[7\]](#) on Fri, 08 Aug 2008 15:21:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Great example - thanks for sharing... I have 2 Paris Systems a Protools system and a Cubase system (how is that for being all over the place) - the Paris gets the most use. I just always feel the need to say, yep I have protools or no one seems to listen - then I say check out this "vintage system" that sounds like tape.... I just make sure I have the PC booted with Paris already as I run it on 98 and as soon as they see that I have more explaining :-)

Kerry Galloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>Oh geez, that reminds me of a very uncomfortable session moment years back.  
>I'd been doing quite a few co-productions with Shawn Pierce, a lovely cat  
>and a great producer; I generally handled the musical side and he handled  
>the technical side and we split the difference in the middle.

>

>We had a project where "everything at once, in the same room, with the  
>engineer and producer and all" was a vibe we wanted to experiment with.

With

>some trepidation (I don't have outside producers working in my workspace  
>very often) - and although we had access to lots of good rooms - I'd  
>convinced him my own space and PARIS were worth a shot. So the day came  
and

>we set up and started getting sounds, and Shawn popped the headphones on  
and

>off a few times, with mounting concern, and said - "I know what this  
>system's \*supposed\* to do - but put the cans on one ear. I \*hear\* latency,  
>and that's not going to be acceptable".

>

>Although I'd never heard a scrap of latency in PARIS before, I was certainly  
>hearing some "soundcard"-type latency now - not awful but not helpful for  
>tracking, and certainly not what I'd confidently represented PARIS as  
>having. For a sickening minute I was quite convinced that Shawn had simply  
>spotted something that had always been there but that I'd simply never  
>noticed before - something threatening to be a session-stopper - and I was

>feeling sick to my stomach.  
>  
>And then the drummer said "Strange, I've got no latency over here", and  
with  
>curiosity we stepped over towards the drums trailing our headphone cables,  
>and as we got closer the perceived latency dropped in our headphones until  
>standing next to the drums it was gone.  
>  
>Turned out that neither of us had done a ton of "in the room with the band"  
>tracking. Shawn's ears were completely correct, but there was no latency  
in  
>PARIS; what we hadn't taken into account was the 20 feet of physical  
>separation between the mix position and the drums, which delayed their  
>acoustic sound over by the mixing board. Far from \*PARIS\* having latency,  
>the \*real\* sound of the drums in the room was arriving later than PARIS'  
>50-foot-round-trip monitoring; the PARIS signal was arriving on time and  
we  
>were hearing the latency of the "real world".  
>  
>The session continued - with new respect for PARIS.  
>  
>- Kerry  
>  
>On 8/7/08 3:05 PM, in article 489b7406@linux, "geo" <nlyn@execpc.com> wrote:  
>  
>>  
>> I continue to make records with Paris. No suitable replacement except  
>> perhaps PTs. I record 16 tracks live and require no latency que mixes.  
Last  
>> week had a Steinberg guy in here...yawning at my rig until I told him  
the  
>> que mix was POST Paris . That dropped his jaw Ha Ha. Good fun with 10  
year  
>> old gear...tribute to the creators.  
>>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Geo  
>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Yasser Shaaban](#) on Sat, 13 Sep 2008 08:47:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

And I am to be added to the Paris community

Thanks alot

"Clay" <clayinsc@sc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Kerry,

>You can add 2 more users to your count; myself and a friend (both in the  
>Columbia,SC area) still use Paris. Hope this helps.

>

>Clay

>

>Kerry Galloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>With current updates - list stands at 136. It would have been 135, but

I

>>just realized I'd omitted my own name. Duh.

>>

>>- Kerry

>>

>>

>>On 7/3/08 12:58 PM, in article C4927D67.B2A4%kg@kerrygalloway.com, "Kerry  
>>Galloway" <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:

>>

posts.

>>>

to

>>> support any forward motion. Blog/wiki/networking/development rig are

>>> certainly part of that infrastructure but we also had a serious need

for

>>> hard info - things like current community size and composition etc. If

>we

>>> want to tell outside developers who we are as a community, we better

know

>>> who we are first, and I had the suspicion that my "spitball" guesstimates

>>> weren't doing us much justice.

>>>

>>> research and detective work, and what I lack in skills I cheerfully

>>> supplement with grunt work. I wanted to share one of the results of that

>>> basic research with you all.

>>>



>times

>>> before. As far as I know we never got a definitive answer. We've now got

>a

>>> fairly firm "floor" for the size of the current PARIS user community.

>It

>>> stands at an eye-opening (at least to me) 125 current users - and rising,

>so

>come

>>> to an estimate of the user community worldwide (including PARIS users

>who

>>> don't come to the NG) as being in the vicinity of a healthy 400 current

>>> users.

>>>

>>> Surprised? Not bad for eight years after discontinuation!

>>>

>>> derived from a fairly accurate hand count of the number of currently active

>and

>>> is distinct from the size of the NG community itself. We needed that info

>>> broken out separately because while some developments (say the ability

>to

>>> open PARIS .ppjs without a PARIS rig) might indeed be of interest to

>>> ex-PARIS users too (who might still retain archives in .ppj format),

other

>>> developments (say drivers) would really only be of interest to those

who

>>> still have and use PARIS hardware.

>>>

>>> To gather those numbers I ruled out the past approach of polls and censuses;

>>> unreliable. I simply scanned through the NG posts going back an arbitrary

>>> length of time (10 months) and noted every poster I could in that recent

>>> posting PARIS-specific tech support questions, specific error code

>>> questions, etc). I put the info in a database to sort it in different

>ways

>>> so I could pretty confidently eliminate duplicates. It was a bit

>months

>>> would be counterbalanced by the certainty of undercount.

>>>

>as a  
>>> "minority case" - a returning user after years of absence - I naturally  
>come

>>> starting from here and going forward.

>>>

>>> So while it's true we were larger long ago, a hard floor of 125 real  
users

>>> is a good strong number, an excellent number, for aficionado-driven efforts

>>> than some aficionado groups for other pieces of discontinued technology,  
>and

>>> some of those smaller groups have got quite a lot of very meaningful  
work

>>> done. And 400 users worldwide may not be Nuendo numbers but for small

>>>

>>> Hope this is of interest!

>>>

>>> - Kerry

>>>

>>

>

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [kerryg](#) on Sat, 13 Sep 2008 19:29:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Welcome Yasser - I've added you in; glad you could join us, excellent  
to see new names coming up!

- Kerry

On 2008-09-13 01:47:46 -0700, "Yasser Shaaban"  
<yasser\_shaaban61@hotmail.com> said:

>

> And I am to be added to the Paris community

>

> Thanks alot

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Larry Hogan](#) on Tue, 23 Sep 2008 19:54:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Rich Lamanna" <[richard.lamanna@verizon.net](mailto:richard.lamanna@verizon.net)> wrote:

>Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio locally  
>here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't think  
>I've ever seen him post on the news group either.

Hi, Rich,

Don't know if I'm the "small studio" owner you're referring to (formerly of Saratoga Springs, now moved up to Gansevoort), but I'm still here, too. Not much opportunity using PARIS during the past couple of years (no audio work at all, actually, between lots of life stressors), but I'm hopefully gonna be working on recording again this year. (Mac running 2.1 with 2 cards/C-16s, etc.).

It's a little late to be responding to your post, but count me in, guys...

Larry Hogan

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community

Posted by [Bradley Reed](#) on Wed, 24 Sep 2008 00:41:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I too did not reply to this post, and I know of one other (ongoing) Paris user here in Las Vegas that does not frequent this group (I tech for him so he relies on me).....now that's a scary thing!

Also 2 other past clients of mine that used to use Paris as recent as 2 years ago that I know of. I will give them a call and see if they are still on board. (Chuck-V, and Juniel.....both Hip Hop guys).

You guys are the best.....even with the occasional argument (or spat as it may seem)

I will use Paris until the day I die.....or until someone comes up with something that sounds as good.

I recently partnered with another guy to start an online type studio service (that is the reason why to completely integrate Logic and PT's with the light-pipe options), and I introduced him to Paris, and he is absolutely

blown away, and when I told him it is 10 year old technology (with the exception of the ongoing development), he is truly left speechless, and can't understand how something like this could have been abandoned.....oops, I didn't mean to start a rant.....sorry.....don't reply to that comment.

At any rate, we plan to use Paris along with some outboard analog gear to enhance and remix and master already recorded stuff, whether originally recorded in PT, Logic, Cubase or Sonar (amongst some other services)

I haven't found any one who can argue that Paris just sounds deeper and fuller than anything on the market (even today). I have taken complete ly polished and good mixes in Logic, and sent them out the ADAT stems into Paris, and without even giving them a touch of compression (which even makes it sound better IMO), it sound bigger fatter and louder than any plug-in combination you can put on the mix buss.....and Logic is one of the best sounding mix engines out their.....again IMO.

Thanks for all the help.....off to try some more stuff (a good nights rest always gives you a better outlook on the issues at hand.....don't you think??..... :-)

-Bradley

On 9/23/08 12:54 PM, in article 48d94979\$1 @linux, "Larry Hogan" <ooglybong@nycap.rr.com> wrote:

>  
> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:  
>> Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio locally  
>> here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't think  
>> I've ever seen him post on the news group either.  
>  
>  
> Hi, Rich,  
>  
> Don't know if I'm the "small studio" owner you're referring to (formerly  
> of Saratoga Springs, now moved up to Gansevoort), but I'm still here, too.  
> Not much opportunity using PARIS during the past couple of years (no audio  
> work at all, actually, between lots of life stressors), but I'm hopefully  
> gonna be working on recording again this year. (Mac running 2.1 with 2

> cards/C-16s,  
> etc.).  
>  
> It's a little late to be responding to your post, but count me in, guys...  
>  
> Larry Hogan

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Wed, 24 Sep 2008 01:57:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks both of you - you're in the Big (and growing) Database now and I'll be very interested to hear whatever other names you turn up. PARIS was fairly prominent in the rap/hiphop scene here through Dubvibe's work with artists like Sweatshop Union, there's something about the platform that makes it a good mesh.

- K

:)On 2008-09-23 17:41:08 -0700, Bradley Reed <[bradleywreed@mac.com](mailto:bradleywreed@mac.com)> said:

>  
>  
> I too did not reply to this post, and I know of one other (ongoing) Paris  
> user here in Las Vegas that does not frequent this group (I tech for him so  
> he relies on me).....now that's a scary thing!  
>  
>  
> Also 2 other past clients of mine that used to use Paris as recent as 2  
> years ago that I know of. I will give them a call and see if they are still  
> on board. (Chuck-V, and Juniel.....both Hip Hop guys).  
>  
>  
> You guys are the best.....even with the occasional argument (or spat as it  
> may seem)  
>  
>  
> I will use Paris until the day I die.....or until someone comes up with  
> something that sounds as good.  
>  
> I recently partnered with another guy to start an online type studio service  
> (that is the reason why to completely integrate Logic and PT's with the  
> light-pipe options), and I introduced him to Paris, and he is absolutely  
> blown away, and when I told him it is 10 year old technology (with the  
> exception of the ongoing development), he is truly left speechless, and  
> can't understand how something like this could have been

> abandoned.....oops, I didn't mean to start a rant.....sorry.....don't  
> reply to that comment.  
>  
> At any rate, we plan to use Paris along with some outboard analog gear to  
> enhance and remix and master already recorded stuff, whether originally  
> recorded in PT, Logic, Cubase or Sonar (amongst some other services)  
>  
>  
> I haven't found any one who can argue that Paris just sounds deeper and  
> fuller than anything on the market (even today). I have taken complete ly  
> polished and good mixes in Logic, and sent them out the ADAT stems into  
> Paris, and without even giving them a touch of compression (which even makes  
> it sound better IMO), it sound bigger fatter and louder than any plug-in  
> combination you can put on the mix buss.....and Logic is one of the best  
> sounding mix engines out their.....again IMO.  
>  
>  
> Thanks for all the help.....off to try some more stuff (a good nights rest  
> always gives you a better outlook on the issues at hand.....don't you  
> think??..... :-)  
>  
>  
> -Bradley  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> On 9/23/08 12:54 PM, in article 48d94979\$1@linux, "Larry Hogan"  
> <oglybong@nycap.rr.com> wrote:  
>  
>>  
>> "Rich Lamanna" <richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:  
>>> Rod and Kerry, I would concur on this too. I know of a small studio locally  
>>> here in upstate NY, who used Paris the last time I checked and I don't think  
>>> I've ever seen him post on the news group either.  
>>  
>>  
>> Hi, Rich,  
>>  
>> Don't know if I'm the "small studio" owner you're referring to (formerly  
>> of Saratoga Springs, now moved up to Gansevoort), but I'm still here, too.  
>> Not much opportunity using PARIS during the past couple of years (no audio  
>> work at all, actually, between lots of life stressors), but I'm hopefully  
>> gonna be working on recording again this year. (Mac running 2.1 with 2  
>> cards/C-16s,  
>> etc.).  
>>

>> It's a little late to be responding to your post, but count me in, guys...  
>>  
>> Larry Hogan

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Rich Kelley](#) on Wed, 24 Sep 2008 06:32:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Kerry,

I'm still using PARIS. I mostly record my own stuff and a few friends. I don't do anything commercial as far as recording. I'm hoping to sell the music some day. I just finished another song for the album that is going to take a decade to complete. I probably should name it that.

Anyway, PARIS still works for me. I have no desire to learn a new tool. I have a four card system, three C16s, and an MEC with an 8-in and an 8-out. I have spare cards and an MEC. I run 2.2 on XP.

Put me on your list if my useage counts.

Rich Kelley

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Wed, 24 Sep 2008 07:04:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Welcome aboard - sure does count, Rich. You just made it a nice even 140. Next target - 150.

Sounds like a nice-sized rig. Never got tempted into going to 3.0, huh?

- K

On 2008-09-23 23:32:37 -0700, "Rich Kelley"  
<[richard\\_NOSPAMk\\_98686@yahoo.com](mailto:richard_NOSPAMk_98686@yahoo.com)> said:

>  
> Hi Kerry,  
>  
> I'm still using PARIS. I mostly record my own stuff and a few friends.  
> I don't do anything commercial as far as recording. I'm hoping to sell the  
> music some day. I just finished another song for the album that is going  
> to take a decade to complete. I probably should name it that.  
>

> Anyway, PARIS still works for me. I have no desire to learn a new tool.  
> I have a four card system, three C16s, and an MEC with an 8-in and an 8-out.  
> I have spare cards and an MEC. I run 2.2 on XP.  
>  
> Put me on your list if my useage counts.  
>  
> Rich Kelley

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Rich Kelley](#) on Wed, 24 Sep 2008 17:07:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'm quite tempted to go the 3.0 actually. I would have gladly paid the \$150 for it when it came out. As I've mentioned here before however I won't do PACE. I got a copy of UNPACE and when I have time I'll try it.

I'm not as into working on my PARIS rig as I should be. Given a free moment I'll always grab a guitar before I'll put time into PARIS. I had the Tankersley video for years before I finally watched it. I'm lazy.

I had Chuck's effects pluggins for years too and just installed them because I needed a flanger on a song that I was recording. I think I'm going to buy some of the plugs that Mike is writing. Sounds like people are liking the reverbs.

Rich

KerryGalloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>Welcome aboard - sure does count, Rich. You just made it a nice even  
>140. Next target - 150.  
>  
>Sounds like a nice-sized rig. Never got tempted into going to 3.0, huh?  
>  
>- K  
>  
>On 2008-09-23 23:32:37 -0700, "Rich Kelley"  
><[richard\\_NOSPAMk\\_98686@yahoo.com](mailto:richard_NOSPAMk_98686@yahoo.com)> said:  
>  
>>  
>> Hi Kerry,  
>>  
>> I'm still using PARIS. I mostly record my own stuff and a few friends.  
>> I don't do anything commercial as far as recording. I'm hoping to sell  
the  
>> music some day. I just finished another song for the album that is going  
>> to take a decade to complete. I probably should name it that.  
>>



>> Anyway, PARIS still works for me. I have no desire to learn a new tool.  
>> I have a four card system, three C16s, and an MEC with an 8-in and an 8-out.  
>> I have spare cards and an MEC. I run 2.2 on XP.  
>>  
>> Put me on your list if my useage counts.  
>>  
>> Rich Kelley  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Bill Terry](#) on Wed, 24 Sep 2008 21:18:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I don't think I've been counted, but I've had a Paris System for several years now. I have two EDS-1000s, MEC, C-16, two 8-Ins, one IF-2, and Paris 3.0.  
BT

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Thu, 25 Sep 2008 01:22:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Got you in, Bill, welcome!

- K

On 2008-09-24 14:18:25 -0700, "Bill Terry" <[bterryNO\\_SPAM@gmail.com](mailto:bterryNO_SPAM@gmail.com)> said:

>  
> I don't think I've been counted, but I've had a Paris System for several years  
> now. I have two EDS-1000s, MEC, C-16, two 8-Ins, one IF-2, and Paris 3.0.  
> BT

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Andy Pow](#) on Thu, 25 Sep 2008 01:38:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi all.

A quick post to stay in the count.

There are also three other local studios besides mine in town still running PARIS as well.

I still run a PARIS 8 card/13slot magma rig with 6 MECs and 8 c16's coupled with the old faithful Cubase rig linked thru ADAT sync and four Adat i/o cards and lots of analog i/o cards but have also migrated to a PT HD3 system

on a smoking Mac Pro  
dual quad core system.  
I've hung on for about as long as I could.  
I'm afraid the PARIS rig's lights are going to go cold and dim.  
"Long Live P.A.R.I.S."  
Thanks for keeping hope alive!

Andy Pow  
Digital Wave Recording  
Fayetteville, NC

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [Kateeba](#) on Thu, 25 Sep 2008 13:17:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think you got me in, but in case you don't, I use Paris exclusively with my G4 450 and it is still "kickin." Here is my little basement studio.  
The second picture down is how it actually looks now. That old CRT monitor died a while back. Lou

<http://www.enchantedvibrations.net/equipment.html>

KerryGalloway <[kg@kerrygalloway.com](mailto:kg@kerrygalloway.com)> wrote:

>Welcome aboard - sure does count, Rich. You just made it a nice even  
>140. Next target - 150.  
>  
>Sounds like a nice-sized rig. Never got tempted into going to 3.0, huh?  
>  
>- K  
>  
>On 2008-09-23 23:32:37 -0700, "Rich Kelley"  
><[richard\\_NOSPAMk\\_98686@yahoo.com](mailto:richard_NOSPAMk_98686@yahoo.com)> said:  
>  
>>  
>> Hi Kerry,  
>>  
>> I'm still using PARIS. I mostly record my own stuff and a few friends.  
>> I don't do anything commercial as far as recording. I'm hoping to sell  
the  
>> music some day. I just finished another song for the album that is going  
>> to take a decade to complete. I probably should name it that.  
>>  
>> Anyway, PARIS still works for me. I have no desire to learn a new tool.  
>> I have a four card system, three C16s, and an MEC with an 8-in and an  
8-out.  
>> I have spare cards and an MEC. I run 2.2 on XP.  
>>

>> Put me on your list if my useage counts.  
>>  
>> Rich Kelley  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: A Little PARIS Research - Size of user community  
Posted by [kerryg](#) on Thu, 25 Sep 2008 14:37:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You bet I got you, Lou, but thanks for checking. You've got me thinking about a "PARIS RIg Pix" page at the blog.

- Kerry

On 2008-09-25 06:17:55 -0700, "Louis Guarino Jr." <kateeba@snet.net> said:

>  
> I think you got me in, but in case you don't, I use Paris exclusively with  
> my G4 450 and it is still "kickin." Here is my little basement studio.  
> The second picture down is how it actually looks now. That old CRT monitor  
> died a while back. Lou  
>  
> <http://www.enchantedvibrations.net/equipment.html>  
>  
> KerryGalloway <kg@kerrygalloway.com> wrote:  
>> Welcome aboard - sure does count, Rich. You just made it a nice even  
>> 140. Next target - 150.  
>>  
>> Sounds like a nice-sized rig. Never got tempted into going to 3.0, huh?  
>>  
>> - K  
>>  
>> On 2008-09-23 23:32:37 -0700, "Rich Kelley"  
>> <richard\_NOSPAMk\_98686@yahoo.com> said:  
>>  
>>>  
>>> Hi Kerry,  
>>>  
>>> I'm still using PARIS. I mostly record my own stuff and a few friends.  
>>> I don't do anything commercial as far as recording. I'm hoping to sell  
> the  
>>> music some day. I just finished another song for the album that is going  
>>> to take a decade to complete. I probably should name it that.  
>>>  
>>> Anyway, PARIS still works for me. I have no desire to learn a new tool.  
>>> I have a four card system, three C16s, and an MEC with an 8-in and an  
> 8-out.

>>> I have spare cards and an MEC. I run 2.2 on XP.

>>>

>>> Put me on your list if my useage counts.

>>>

>>> Rich Kelley

---