Subject: the (near) future
Posted by Deej [4] on Sat, 21 Apr 2007 23:42:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes of
age and our audio apps can support such things:

 http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices

Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run a few
plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad in the
wings right now.

In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)

;o)

Subject: Re: the (near) future
Posted by Dedric Terry on Sat, 21 Apr 2007 23:53:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Nah, we'll be right where we are now with plugin and track counts, except it
will be because we'll be running out of power with 192-384k audio
at 64 bit, and plugins that require an octo core cpu each, simply because
nothing else sounds good enough anymore, at least until it hits the iPods.
;-)

Still, a quad octo core would be shweet. And now Thad has me psyched
(albeit not overly hopeful) about a linux system on top of it all....

Dedric

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:462aa253$1@linux...
>I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes
>of age and our audio apps can support such things:
>
> http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices
>
> Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run a few
> plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad in
> the wings right now.
>
> In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)
>
> ;o)

>
>

GNU/Linux moves at its own pace. It's not as fast or flashy as a commercial
OS. But let's not forget who, in the end, won the race in tortoise v. hare.

TCB

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>Nah, we'll be right where we are now with plugin and track counts, except
it
>will be because we'll be running out of power with 192-384k audio
>at 64 bit, and plugins that require an octo core cpu each, simply because

>nothing else sounds good enough anymore, at least until it hits the iPods.

>;-)
>
>Still, a quad octo core would be shweet. And now Thad has me psyched
>(albeit not overly hopeful) about a linux system on top of it all....
>
>Dedric
>
>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:462aa253$1@linux...
>>I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes

>>of age and our audio apps can support such things:
>>
>> http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices
>>
>> Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run a
few
>> plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad
in
>> the wings right now.
>>
>> In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>>

>
>

Subject: Re: the (near) future
Posted by Kim on Sun, 22 Apr 2007 10:24:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I dunno. In some ways the Ubuntu I just loaded is ahead. For example, this
Add/Remove programs that just searches the net and goes "Yep, here's all
the free options" and lets you select one for automatic download, instead
of download.com then downloading then installing...   see that's thinkin'.

On the other hand, Firefox has crashed on me a couple of times, and the Add/Remove
programs doesn't always want to work for me...

....but they're catching up fast.

Cheers,
Kim.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>GNU/Linux moves at its own pace. It's not as fast or flashy as a commercial
>OS. But let's not forget who, in the end, won the race in tortoise v. hare.
>
>
>TCB
>
>"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>Nah, we'll be right where we are now with plugin and track counts, except
>it
>>will be because we'll be running out of power with 192-384k audio
>>at 64 bit, and plugins that require an octo core cpu each, simply because
>
>>nothing else sounds good enough anymore, at least until it hits the iPods.
>
>>;-)
>>
>>Still, a quad octo core would be shweet. And now Thad has me psyched
>>(albeit not overly hopeful) about a linux system on top of it all....
>>
>>Dedric
>>
>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:462aa253$1@linux...
>>>I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes
>
>>>of age and our audio apps can support such things:

>>>

>>> http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices

>>>

>>> Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run
a

>few

>>> plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad

>in

>>> the wings right now.

>>>

>>> In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)

>>>

>>> ;o)

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

Subject: Re: the (near) future
Posted by Deej [4] on Sun, 22 Apr 2007 18:20:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Mike R." <emarenot@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:462ba41e@linux...
> Once again, its so amazing how far ahead of its time Paris was -still is!!

I certainly agree with this.

> Its not sample accurate and I certainly have not tried to mic up a big
> session (biggest was a four piece band), but locking with smpte (to drive
> computers that run midi stuff) Paris continues to rock.

It's sample accurate if you slave another app to Paris ADAT sync.

In fact, the only
> reason I don't use it more is simply because I'm trying to make the thing
> last by keeping the hours down on the card, MEC and C16. Having said
> that,
> it sure will be nice to get all that functionality in one box :-)

It's gotta' be a very expensive box to come anywhere close to what Paris can
do.

>   By the way, thanks for posting about your change over to Cubase DJ. Its
> been very helpful as I (we :-)) look to the future.
> ...boldly go.

> MR

My antics are a direct result of my having read innumerable posts here by
folks like Dedric, LaMont, Brian T., Aaron, Thad, Dave, Neil, John and
numerous others and therefore my pain is all their fault.

;o)

>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:462aa253$1@linux...
>> I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes
> of
>> age and our audio apps can support such things:
>>
>>
> http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices
>>
>> Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run a
>> few
>> plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad in
> the
>> wings right now.
>>
>> In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)
>>
>> ;o)
>>
>>
>
>

The core of both Ubuntu and Debian is apt, the package management system.
When using either of those distros one doesn't even think about 'installing
software.' It's what finally made me settle on Debian. RPM and the various
GUIs that sit on top of it was always a hassle for me. With Debian every
day I boot up my machine I open a terminal window and type 'apt-get update'
and the apt-get dist-upgrade' and even though I'm using 'testing' Debian
I've never had a single solitary problem.

I honestly and truly believe the biggest problem with adoption of linux is
(the lack of) porn (out of the box). When a friend asks me to set up a machine

I do Ubuntu and get all of the win32 codecs installed and I've never had a complaint. However, that's not exactly the kind of call to which many will answer, 'All the porn, none of the security issues!' Maybe someday someone will have the stones and do Ubuntu Porn just like Ubuntu Studio.

TCB

"Kim" <hiddensounds@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>I dunno. In some ways the Ubuntu I just loaded is ahead. For example, this
>Add/Remove programs that just searches the net and goes "Yep, here's all
>the free options" and lets you select one for automatic download, instead
>of download.com then downloading then installing...   see that's thinkin'.
>
>On the other hand, Firefox has crashed on me a couple of times, and the Add/Remove
>programs doesn't always want to work for me...
>
>...but they're catching up fast.
>
>Cheers,
>Kim.
>
>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>
>>GNU/Linux moves at its own pace. It's not as fast or flashy as a commercial
>>OS. But let's not forget who, in the end, won the race in tortoise v. hare.
>>
>>
>>TCB
>>
>>"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote:
>>>Nah, we'll be right where we are now with plugin and track counts, except
>>it
>>>will be because we'll be running out of power with 192-384k audio
>>>at 64 bit, and plugins that require an octo core cpu each, simply because
>>
>>>nothing else sounds good enough anymore, at least until it hits the iPods.
>>
>>>;-)
>>>
>>>Still, a quad octo core would be shweet. And now Thad has me psyched

>>>(albeit not overly hopeful) about a linux system on top of it all....
>>>
>>>Dedric
>>>

>>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:462aa253$1@linux...
>>>>I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes
>>
>>>>of age and our audio apps can support such things:
>>>>
>>>> http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices
>>>>
>>>> Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run
>a
>>few
>>>> plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad
>>in
>>>> the wings right now.
>>>>
>>>> In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)
>>>>
>>>> ;o)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: the (near) future
Posted by emarenot on Sun, 22 Apr 2007 21:05:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Once again, its so amazing how far ahead of its time Paris was -still is!!
Its not sample accurate and I certainly have not tried to mic up a big
session (biggest was a four piece band), but locking with smpte (to drive
computers that run midi stuff) Paris continues to rock. In fact, the only
reason I don't use it more is simply because I'm trying to make the thing
last by keeping the hours down on the card, MEC and C16. Having said that,
it sure will be nice to get all that functionality in one box :-)
    By the way, thanks for posting about your change over to Cubase DJ. Its
been very helpful as I (we :-)) look to the future.
....boldly go.
MR

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote in message news:462aa253$1@linux...
> I think this is the kind of thing that's in store for us once Vista comes
of
> age and our audio apps can support such things:
>
>

http://www.pricerunner.com/pl/35-733473/Motherboards/Tyan-Th
under-n4250QE-(S4985)-Compare-Prices
>
> Drop 4 x Octocore CPU's in one of these and you might be able to run a few
> plugins. I wouldn't be surprised if Apple already has a 4 socket quad in
the
> wings right now.
>
> In two years we're gonna be in heaven guys (broke, but in heaven)
>
> ;o)
>
>

Subject: the future is here, I guess
Posted by Deej [4] on Mon, 23 Apr 2007 05:26:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Dedric Terry" <dedric@echomg.com> wrote in message news:462aa514@linux...
> Nah, we'll be right where we are now with plugin and track counts, except
> it will be because we'll be running out of power with 192-384k audio
> at 64 bit, and plugins that require an octo core cpu each, simply because
> nothing else sounds good enough anymore, at least until it hits the iPods.
> ;-)
>
> Still, a quad octo core would be shweet. And now Thad has me psyched
> (albeit not overly hopeful) about a linux system on top of it all....
>
>

 http://www.engadget.com/2007/03/22/tyans-40-cpu-personal-sup ercomputer-now-shipping/

I wonder if this would run Paris

;o)

Subject: Re: the future is here, I guess
Posted by erlilo on Mon, 23 Apr 2007 07:19:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It seems to have too many processors and too much RAM to run a simple toy
like Paris;o)

"DJ" <www.aarrrrgggghhh!!!.com> skrev i melding news:462c4461@linux...

>
> http://www.engadget.com/2007/03/22/tyans-40-cpu-personal-sup ercomputer-now-shipping/
>
> I wonder if this would run Paris
>
> ;o)
>