
Subject: AKG C 451B Any opinions?

Posted by [Rob Arsenault](#) on Fri, 21 Oct 2005 03:49:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

recording rhythm guitar with occasional noodleing. Mainly

>>doing

>>Rock, Heavy Rock, a bit of metal, Coun

Subject: Re: AKG C 451B Any opinions?

Posted by [audioguy_nospam_](#) on Fri, 21 Oct 2005 05:52:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>if anybody has any opinions on either of these Guitar Processors for

>>>recording?

>>> I'm also considering Guitar rig and the latest version of Amplitube.

>>> I've

>>>been told the POD and Amplitube suck??? Opinions???

>>>

>>>I'm looking to get usable guitar productions (good tone). I would like to

>>>have some versatility as opposed to a one trick pony amp, for

Subject: Re: AKG C 451B Any opinions?

Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Fri, 21 Oct 2005 11:27:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;wondering

>>>>if anybody has any opinions on either of these Guitar Processors for

>>>>recording?

>>>> I'm also considering Guitar rig and the latest version of Amplitube.

>

>>>> I've

>>>>been told the POD and Amplitube suck??? Opinions???

>>>>

>>>>I'm looking to get usable guitar productions (good tone). I would like

> to

>>>>have some versatility as opposed to a one trick pony amp, for the same

>

>>>>kind

>>>>of money. So the question is, is any of this stuff up to the task?

>>>>I'll

>>>>be mainly recording rhythm guitar with occasional noodleing. Mainly

>

Subject: Re: AKG C 451B Any opinions?

Posted by [Bill Lorentzen](#) on Sun, 23 Oct 2005 04:04:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

<DIV>"jef knight" <<A=20

=

href=3D"mailto:thestudio@allknightmusic.com">thestudio@allknightmusic.com=

>=20

wrote in message <A=20

href=3D"news:435a45ac@linux">news:
