
Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!

Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2007 07:01:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Probably the same. But ask the director what he wants.

Cheers,

-Jamie

www.JamieKrutz.com

Chris Latham wrote:

> I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History channel
> show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client wanted a mastered
> version for CD replication and a mastered version for the TV broadcast.
> Does anybody know if there's a difference, and if so, what's different?
> level, compression...?!
>
>

Subject: mastering for television?!!!

Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2007 07:17:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History channel
show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client wanted a mastered
version for CD replication and a mastered version for the TV broadcast.
Does anybody know if there's a difference, and if so, what's different?
level, compression...?!

Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!

Posted by [mike audet\[1\]](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2007 10:59:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The Audio for television I've done couldn't peak above -10dB, and had to be
48k. But, I think you should ask the director, too.

All the best,

Mike

"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History channel

>show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client wanted a mastered
>version for CD replication and a mastered version for the TV broadcast.
>Does anybody know if there's a difference, and if so, what's different?
>level, compression...?!
>
>

Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2007 13:52:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mike's right, do what he said.
Rod
"Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote:
>
>The Audio for television I've done couldn't peak above -10dB, and had to
be
>48k. But, I think you should ask the director, too.
>
>All the best,
>
>Mike
>
>
>"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History channel
>
>>show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client wanted a mastered
>
>>version for CD replication and a mastered version for the TV broadcast.
>
>>Does anybody know if there's a difference, and if so, what's different?
>
>>level, compression...?!
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2007 16:25:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

48k, forgot to mention.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Jamie K wrote:

>
> Probably the same. But ask the director what he wants.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
> Chris Latham wrote:
>> I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History
>> channel show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client
>> wanted a mastered version for CD replication and a mastered version
>> for the TV broadcast. Does anybody know if there's a difference, and
>> if so, what's different? level, compression...?!
>>

Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!

Posted by [Martin Harrington](#) on Fri, 02 Nov 2007 01:35:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I guarantee that the director would not have a clue.
Best to check with the tech guys at the network.

--

Martin Harrington
Lend An Ear Sound
Sydney Australia

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4729cc0d\$1@linux...

>
> Mike's right, do what he said.
> Rod
> "Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote:
>>
>>The Audio for television I've done couldn't peak above -10dB, and had to
> be
>>48k. But, I think you should ask the director, too.
>>
>>All the best,

>>
>>Mike
>>
>>
>>"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History channel
>>
>>>show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client wanted a
>>>mastered
>>
>>>version for CD replication and a mastered version for the TV broadcast.
>>
>>>Does anybody know if there's a difference, and if so, what's different?
>>
>>>level, compression...?!
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Fri, 02 Nov 2007 03:19:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ha, that's true enough
rod
"Martin Harrington" <lendan@bigpond.net.au> wrote:
>I guarantee that the director would not have a clue.
>Best to check with the tech guys at the network.
>--
>Martin Harrington
>Lend An Ear Sound
>Sydney Australia
>
>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:4729cc0d\$1@linux...
>>
>> Mike's right, do what he said.
>> Rod
>> "Mike Audet" <mike@....> wrote:
>>>
>>>The Audio for television I've done couldn't peak above -10dB, and had
to
>> be
>>>48k. But, I think you should ask the director, too.
>>>
>>>All the best,

>>>
>>>Mike
>>>
>>>
>>>"Chris Latham" <latham_c@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>>>I agreed to master one song that will be in an upcoming History channel
>>>
>>>>show. The guy that lined me up to do it said the client wanted a
>>>>mastered
>>>
>>>>version for CD replication and a mastered version for the TV broadcast.
>>>
>>>>Does anybody know if there's a difference, and if so, what's different?
>>>
>>>>level, compression...?!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: mastering for television?!!!
Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Sat, 03 Nov 2007 16:46:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks! I knew you folks would know.

CL
