
Subject: Partition big audio drives??????

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Tue, 10 Oct 2006 19:35:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought the seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody? I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.

Rod

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives??????

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 11:17:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use that to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.

John

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition
>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought
the

>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?

>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.

>Rod

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives??????

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 12:38:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ha, that's one I missed then.....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever). So it works???

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use
that

>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition

>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.

>

>John

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>
>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition
>>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought
>the
>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?
>>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.
>>Rod
>

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives??????
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 14:10:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't know if it works. It should. I'm too busy crashing in Paris whenever
I try to do logical things like loop in freeform while recording. I need
a new Daw badly. Paris just pisses me off continually. DJ, Send new DAW
quick !!!

john

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Ha, that's one I missed then.....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever).
>So it works???
>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>
>>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use
>that
>>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition
>>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.
>>
>>John
>>
>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition
>>>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought
>>the
>>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?
>>>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.
>>>Rod
>>
>

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives??????

Posted by [Deadmeat](#) on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 18:32:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I use very large SATA RAID arrays (over 1TB) and can stream with very high chnl counts. I think that IDE drives work a little better when cut into smaller partitions, but I have seen virtually no diff in the SATA configs.

"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>

>Ha, that's one I missed then.....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever).

>So it works???

>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>>

>>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use

>that

>>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition

>>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.

>>

>>John

>>

>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>>

>>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that partition

>>>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought

>>the

>>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?

>>>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.

>>>Rod

>>

>

Subject: Re: Partition big audio drives??????

Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Wed, 11 Oct 2006 22:56:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thanks...good to know

rod

"deadmeat" <scott@postmodernblues.com> wrote:

>

>I use very large SATA RAID arrays (over 1TB) and can stream with

>very high chnl counts. I think that IDE drives work a little better when

>cut into smaller partitions, but I have seen

>virtually no diff in the SATA configs.

>

>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:

>>

>>Ha, that's one I missed then.....I thought I had an epiphany (or whatever).
>>So it works???
>>"John" <no@no.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>I think that's a well known trick. Make a tiny partition (20gb) and use
>>that
>>>to stream audio onto, then copy the files over to your "storage" partition
>>>when done. Or just have a tiny hard drive for streaming onto.
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>"Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>How many of you guys have large audio drives (160 gig or bigger)that
partition
>>>>them into, oh, say,2 80 gig partitions. The reason I ask, is, I thought
>>>the
>>>>seek times might be faster if the whole drive wasn't being scanned. Anybody?
>>>>I've got my 160 gig audio drive as 1 big partition.
>>>>Rod
>>>
>>
>
