
Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 19:30:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The subject title was actually quite humorous when I thought you were referring to Paris Hilton.

;:-)))

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...

>

> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

>

>

Subject: Scooter's in prison...

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 19:31:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 19:33:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Where does it say that?

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>

> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

>

>

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...

Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 19:39:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Wow, I checked the link... Is your computer on?

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>

>Where does it say that?

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>>

>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [Ted Gerber](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 20:26:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ha! I thought the same thing. Guess she was on my mind after watching
(as much as I could stand) the MTV awards...

Ted

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>The subject title was actually quite humorous when I thought you were
>referring to Paris Hilton.
>;-)))
>
>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>
>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 20:38:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Maybe I'm going blind but where does it say he's in prison? I just see "
Walton put the sentence on hold until he could hear legal analysis from probation
officials about the way the sentence was structured technically. Walton said
he would make the sentence official next week."

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [TCB](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 20:39:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Right where he belongs.

TCB

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote:

>
> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>
>

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 20:45:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mebbe Marc Rich will spirit him away to Switzerland where
he can write a book...

I think he is going to prison.
Bush is already backing away from him.

<http://www.reuters.com/article/politicsNews/idUSWAT00767020070605>

DC

"John" <no@no.com> wrote:

>
> Maybe I'm going blind but where does it say he's in prison? I just see
">Walton put the sentence on hold until he could hear legal analysis from
probation
>officials about the way the sentence was structured technically. Walton
said
>he would make the sentence official next week."

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 21:06:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I see, so he's not in prison

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [DC](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 21:33:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

See John, "subject" writing is like headline writing. You make
a quick short blurb that gets the point across in the fewest words.

When someone is convicted and likely to go to prison, headlines

are often like that. Imagine "Paris goes to prison" when she got convicted. It's like that. Oh, and she actually *went*... Who'da thunk?

<In our case it's PARIS went to prison, and here we are! Where's my beer?>

Now if you prefer "Scooter is going to prison" you may substitute that blurb in all your future posts on this topic.

best,

DC

"john" <no@no.com> wrote:
>
>I see, so he's not in prison

Subject:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 22:59:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

How fuckin' ridiculous is that?

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>
> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>
>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 23:32:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Absolutely ridiculous...

i am not comfortable with Libby getting off, even though he did not out a covert agent, which was the issue at hand. If he obstructed an investigation and lied to investigators, he can go to jail, and not with Paris. (Not even with PARIS dammit!, although we can talk about GarageBand...)

But SANDY F. BURGLAR?

What a travesty of justice. And when you consider what happened to the Watergate burglars...

Sandy should be in prison.

DC

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...

>>

>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 00:07:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey, in this country you can lie and obstruct justice and still remain president, depending on which party you belong to.

And to think they let murders and terrorist go free on stupid technicalities.

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...

>>

>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 04:47:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week of

Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and the sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation officer, who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case, recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and probably guilty of other stuff he perjured about.

If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com> wrote:

>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?

>

>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...

>>

>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

>>

>>

>

>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 05:00:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sandy Burglar was just as guilty and just as arrogant.

I don't care about Libby, if he lied he can go to prison, but Sandy got away with murder.

I used to do archival work and NO ONE walks out of an archive with documents in their socks who does not have larceny in their hearts. His excuses were as laughable as Clintons... Maybe moreso.

DC

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week of

>Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under

>oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and the

>sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation officer,

>who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,

>recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and probably

>guilty of other stuff he perjured about.
>
>If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that
>mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?
>
>TCB
>
>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>
>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 05:13:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thad,

I'm not saying that Libby didn't do anything criminal. AFAIK, maybe he should have gotten 5 years. Lying to a grand jury is a big deal and those who do it should be nailed. I don't think our court system has gone completely off the deep end on that particular case, but what Berger did was so criminal that it defies all logic that the guy shouldn't have been given 20 years in Leavenworth and it really makes me wonder WTF is going on when he skates with a disbarment, a \$250k fine and probation. Both of those are pretty stiff penalties for someone of lesser means, but the punishment he received would not dissuade another rich shill like Berger for taking a bullet for his boss.....especially if/when the boss is Billary (now in the guise of "Hilbilly")

You still diggin that Liquidmix thang? We need to "talk some DAW" soon. I'm specing out a new workhorse that has enough bandwidth (I think) to push 4 x UAD-1's, 3 x RME HDSP's and a Duende or Liquidmix (or maybe both). I'm going to need a PCIe FW card for this. what are you using for your LM?

Deej

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46663c4d\$1@linux...
>
> Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week of
> Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under
> oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and the
> sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation
> officer,
> who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,
> recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and
> probably
> guilty of other stuff he perjured about.
>
> If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that
> mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?
>
> TCB
>
> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>
>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 05:33:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You don't sentence people for being arrogant. If we did, you, me and every judge and attorney would be behind bars. The prosecutor knew where the leak came from before ever questioning Libby. They were on a political witch hunt. There was no crime. They trapped him in what is perceived to be a crime of perjury. It's questionable, and the punishment is extreme.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>
>Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week of
>Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under
>oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and the

>sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation officer,
>who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,
>recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and probably
>guilty of other stuff he perjured about.
>
>If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that
>mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?
>
>TCB
>
>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>
>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>
>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_a rticle=1
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [xpam_mark](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 07:07:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

James, you're wrong about that. A belief that judges cannot and do not willfully err is at least as innacurate as you are correct that arrogance exists behind the bench... the same arrogance you believe has no affect on a sentencing handed out by one arrogant judge.

And I am talking about first world democracies as are you. Any attorney will tell you that a judge will refute the sky being blue if he wants to. Judges can and do rescind jury convicted criminals and in like manner but thay can't sentence someone found not guilty. The latter being the case, if a judge wants to he may control the courtroom in such a way as to shape argument in such a way as to cause a conviction that would not otherwise occur were he truly neutral on guilt or innocense.

I'll give you an example of how both attorneys and judges can and do manipulate the course of a criminal preceding before it even begins. This is not meant to offend anyone here but I have had atty's tell me (and judges confirm their knowledge of the practice) that in a case where they know their client is guilty, the best thing a defense atty can hope for is a naturally red-headed female juror. The tested theory being that when a unanimous jury vote is required for murder (as an example) conviction, a

red-headed female will, 9 of 10 times, go against the rest of the jury and defeat the possibility of a unanimous decision. Judges know this and participate in the inclusion or exclusion of such jurors. One example of many, many.

W

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:4666471f\$1@linux...

>

> You don't sentence people for being arrogant. If we did, you, me and
> every
> judge and attorney would be behind bars. The prosecutor knew where the
> leak
> came from before ever questioning Libby. They were on a political witch
> hunt. There was no crime. They trapped him in what is perceived to be a
> crime of perjury. It's questionable, and the punishment is extreme.

>

> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week of
>>Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under
>>oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and the
>>sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation
> officer,
>>who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,
>>recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and
>>probably
>>guilty of other stuff he perjured about.

>>

>>If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that
>>mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?

>>>

>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...

>>>>

>>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>

>



Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 07:36:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I totally agree with you. Let me restate my comment. Your not supposed to sentence people for being arrogant. Is that Better?:)

Our justice system is a total joke. I know this first hand!

"W. Mark Wilson" <xpam_mark@avidrecording> wrote:

>James, you're wrong about that. A belief that judges cannot and do not

>willfully err is at least as innacurate as you are correct that arrogance

>exists behind the bench... the same arrogance you believe has no affect
on a

>sentencing handed out by one arrogant judge.

>

>And I am talking about first world democracies as are you. Any attorney

>will tell you that a judge will refute the sky being blue if he wants to.

>Judges can and do rescind jury convicted criminals and in like manner but

>they can't sentence someone found not guilty. The latter being the case,
if

>a judge wants to he may control the courtroom in such a way as to shape

>argument in such a way as to cause a conviction that would not otherwise

>occur were he truly neutral on guilt or innocence.

>

>I'll give you an example of how both attorneys and judges can and do

>manipulate the course of a criminal proceeding before it even begins. This

>is not meant to offend anyone here but I have had atty's tell me (and judges

>confirm their knowledge of the practice) that in a case where they know

>their client is guilty, the best thing a defense atty can hope for is a

>naturally red-headed female juror. The tested theory being that when a

>unanimous jury vote is required for murder (as an example) conviction, a

>red-headed female will, 9 of 10 times, go against the rest of the jury and

>defeat the possibility of a unanimous decision. Judges know this and

>participate in the inclusion or exclusion of such jurors. One example of

>many, many.
>
>W
>
>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4666471f\$1@linux...
>>
>> You don't sentence people for being arrogant. If we did, you, me and

>> every
>> judge and attorney would be behind bars. The prosecutor knew where the

>> leak
>> came from before ever questioning Libby. They were on a political witch
>> hunt. There was no crime. They trapped him in what is perceived to be
a
>> crime of perjury. It's questionable, and the punishment is extreme.
>>
>> "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week
of
>>>Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under
>>>oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and
the
>>>sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation
>> officer,
>>>who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,
>>>recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and
>>>probably
>>>guilty of other stuff he perjured about.
>>>
>>>If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that
>>>mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?
>>>
>>>TCB
>>>
>>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>>
>>>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re: Scooter's in prison...
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 11:15:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

What I'm saying is the headline was wrong. End of story. I love that end of story stuff ;-)

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 14:41:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It depends on what you think of Scooter. I think that a combination of Rove/Cheney/Rice intentionally outed two active CIA case workers. I think Scooter helped them, and was the best fall guy they had. In doing so they also outed at least one CIA front company used for overseas cover, probably also used by other case workers. I think up to that point we're swimming in waters of great likelihood. If that is all more or less true, any foreign intelligence agency with a double digit average IQ and a working cell phone could find out a number of people in their country working for the CIA. What do you think happened to those people? What happened to the credibility of the next generation of case officers to tell a potential spy for the US, 'We don't play politics, we'll get you out if my cover is blown, you have the promise of the US government that there is no higher priority for our intelligence gathering.'

Not using the LM much yet. We're still mostly in the tracking stages. I'm going to start editing drums this weekend and maybe I'll play a little with it then. However, the bits and pieces I've used it on so far I gotta say I'm impressed.

TCB

"DJ" <www.aarrrrgggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>Thad,
>

>I'm not saying that Libby didn't do anything criminal. AFAIK, maybe he
>should have gotten 5 years. Lying to a grand jury is a big deal and those

>who do it should be nailed. I don't think our court system has gone
>completely off the deep end on that particular case, but what Berger did

was

>so criminal that it defies all logic that the guy shouldn't have been given

>20 years in Leavenworth and it really makes me wonder WTF is going on when

>he skates with a disbarment, a \$250k fine and probation. Both of those are

>pretty stiff penalties for someone of lesser means, but the punishment he

>received would not dissuade another rich shill like Berger for taking a

>bullet for his boss.....especially if/when the boss is Billary (now in
the

>guise of "Hilbilly")

>

>You still diggin that Liquidmix thang? We need to "talk some DAW" soon.

I'm

>specing out a new workhorse that has enough bandwidth (I think) to push

4 x

>UAD-1's, 3 x RME HDSP's and a Duende or Liquidmix (or maybe both). I'm going

>to need a PCIe FW card for this. what are you using for your LM?

>

>Deej

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46663c4d\$1@linux...

>>

>> Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week
of

>> Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie under

>> oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and

the

>> sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation

>> officer,

>> who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,

>> recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and

>> probably

>> guilty of other stuff he perjured about.

>>

>> If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does that

>> mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?

>>
>> TCB
>>
>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>>
>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>>
>>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [excelav](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 15:25:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

First he wasn't the one that leaked it. You think a lot of things, and your jumping to a lot of conclusions. If the press is knowingly doing harm, where is the responsibility of the press in all of this? By the way, she was a desk clerk at the time, not a field agent.

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>
>It depends on what you think of Scooter. I think that a combination of Rove/Cheney/Rice
>intentionally outed two active CIA case workers. I think Scooter helped
them,
>and was the best fall guy they had. In doing so they also outed at least
>one CIA front company used for overseas cover, probably also used by other
>case workers. I think up to that point we're swimming in waters of great
>likelihood. If that is all more or less true, any foreign intelligence agency
>with a double digit average IQ and a working cell phone could find out a
>number of people in their country working for the CIA. What do you think
>happened to those people? What happened to the credibility of the next generation
>of case officers to tell a potential spy for the US, 'We don't play politics,
>we'll get you out if my cover is blown, you have the promise of the US government
>that there is no higher priority for our intelligence gathering.'
>
>Not using the LM much yet. We're still mostly in the tracking stages. I'm
>going to start editing drums this weekend and maybe I'll play a little with
>it then. However, the bits and pieces I've used it on so far I gotta say
>I'm impressed.
>

$\succ$

>>Thad,

>>I'm not saying that Libby didn't do anything criminal. AFAIK, maybe he

 $\succ$

>was

 $\triangleright$ $\succ$ ∇ $\triangleright$ $\triangleright$

>>

>I'm

$$>4 \times$$
 $\triangleright$

>>

≥ ≥

»

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>>

Page 16 of 20 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

>of
>>> Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie
under
>>> oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and
>the
>>> sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation
>
>>> officer,
>>> who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,
>>> recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and
>>> probably
>>> guilty of other stuff he perjured about.
>>>
>>> If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does
that
>>> mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?
>>>
>>> TCB
>>>
>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>>>
>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>

Subject: Re:and Sandy Berger's not
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 06 Jun 2007 15:53:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Case officer, James, not agent. Agents are the foreigners they recruit, they
CIA people doing the recruiting are case officers. And yes, she was at a
desk at the time it happened, everyone gets pulled back to work at Langley
sometimes and they almost always despise it. But it wouldn't take much ingenuity
knowing she had been a case officer working for a particular front company
to figure out other people involved.

And if you want to hang Robert Novak by the nuts be my guest. I'm sure you'd
be howling for blood if Al Gore's office had outed a CIA officer actively

working in counter terrorism to Anderson Cooper.

TCB

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>First he wasn't the one that leaked it. You think a lot of things, and your

>jumping to a lot of conclusions. If the press is knowingly doing harm, where

>is the responsibility of the press in all of this? By the way, she was a

>desk clerk at the time, not a field agent.

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>>

>>It depends on what you think of Scooter. I think that a combination of Rove/Cheney/Rice

>>intentionally outed two active CIA case workers. I think Scooter helped them,

>>and was the best fall guy they had. In doing so they also outed at least

>>one CIA front company used for overseas cover, probably also used by other

>>case workers. I think up to that point we're swimming in waters of great

>>likelihood. If that is all more or less true, any foreign intelligence agency

>>with a double digit average IQ and a working cell phone could find out a

>>number of people in their country working for the CIA. What do you think

>>happened to those people? What happened to the credibility of the next generation

>>of case officers to tell a potential spy for the US, 'We don't play politics,

>>we'll get you out if my cover is blown, you have the promise of the US government

>>that there is no higher priority for our intelligence gathering.'

>>

>>Not using the LM much yet. We're still mostly in the tracking stages. I'm

>>going to start editing drums this weekend and maybe I'll play a little with

>>it then. However, the bits and pieces I've used it on so far I gotta say

>>I'm impressed.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:

>>>Thad,

>>>

>>>I'm not saying that Libby didn't do anything criminal. AFAIK, maybe he

>

>>>should have gotten 5 years. Lying to a grand jury is a big deal and those
>>
>>>who do it should be nailed. I don't think our court system has gone
>>>completely off the deep end on that particular case, but what Berger did
>>was
>>>so criminal that it defies all logic that the guy shouldn't have been
given
>>
>>>20 years in Leavenworth and it really makes me wonder WTF is going on
when
>>
>>>he skates with a disbarment, a \$250k fine and probation. Both of those
>are
>>
>>>pretty stiff penalties for someone of lesser means, but the punishment
>he
>>
>>>received would not dissuade another rich shill like Berger for taking
a
>>
>>>bullet for his boss.....especially if/when the boss is Billary (now in
>>the
>>>guise of "Hilbilly")
>>>
>>>You still diggin that Liquidmix thang? We need to "talk some DAW" soon.
>>I'm
>>>specing out a new workhorse that has enough bandwidth (I think) to push
>>4 x
>>>UAD-1's, 3 x RME HDSP's and a Duende or Liquidmix (or maybe both). I'm
>going
>>
>>>to need a PCIe FW card for this. what are you using for your LM?
>>>
>>>Deej
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:46663c4d\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> Deeh, you're a good guy but I'm sorry this doesn't pass the first week
>>>of
>>>> Logic 101. Maybe Berger should be in jail, but Libby didn't just lie
>under
>>>> oath, he went out of his way to be arrogant both during the trial and

>>the
>>>> sentencing hearings. The judge sentenced him to more than the probation
>>
>>>> officer,
>>>> who draws up sentencing recommendations for the judge in a federal case,
>>>> recommended. That means the judge thought he was guilty as sin, and

>>>> probably
>>>> guilty of other stuff he perjured about.
>>>>
>>>> If someone put Crash into a dog pit fight and got away with it, does
>that
>>>> mean other people who run dog pits shouldn't be convicted of cruelty?
>>>>
>>>> TCB
>>>>
>>>> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:
>>>>>How fuckin' ridiculous is that?
>>>>>
>>>>>"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:4665ba2e@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://www.breitbart.com/article.php?id=D8PIPOLG2&show_article=1
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
