

---

Subject: Zeda OS???

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 01 Feb 2007 22:45:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

<http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

---

---

Subject: Re: That would be Zeta OS???

Posted by [excelav](#) on Thu, 01 Feb 2007 22:48:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>

>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>

><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

---

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 01 Feb 2007 23:05:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>

>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>

><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

Uh, the really really obvious one, why not use linux?

TCB

---

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Fri, 02 Feb 2007 01:22:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

They seem to be trying to resurrect BeOS.

I'd rather see it happen on Linux too

TCB wrote:

>"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote:

>

>

---

>>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>  
>><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>Uh, the really really obvious one, why not use linux?  
>  
>TCB  
>  
>

--

Chris Ludwig  
ADK  
chrisl@adkproaudio.com <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>  
[www.adkproaudio.com](http://www.adkproaudio.com) <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>  
(859) 635-5762

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Fri, 02 Feb 2007 13:52:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It looks intriguing but I'm not sure about paying 89.00EUR to find out. They really should have a demo going up against the big boys like Mac and Microsoft for ppl to try.

AA  
"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote in message  
[news:45c25f89\\$1@linux...](news:45c25f89$1@linux...)  
>  
> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>  
> <http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 02 Feb 2007 15:20:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Like this, I'd say.

<http://ubuntustudio.org/>

Not even a release yet, but headed there, and the Ubuntu folks have a record of making things happen.

TCB

Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>They seem to be trying to resurrect BeOS.

>I'd rather see it happen on Linux too

>

>TCB wrote:

>

>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>

>>>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>>>

>>>http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php

>>>

>>>

>>

>>Uh, the really really obvious one, why not use linux?

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>

>

>--

>Chris Ludwig

>ADK

>chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>

>www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>

>(859) 635-5762

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Fri, 02 Feb 2007 15:37:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey

Yes I already have ubuntu installed and waiting.

Just gotta figure out how to get the damn nvidia video card to install.

Damn linux >)

Everything else installed like a charm except the uptadted video card drivers and Ardour. I'm a noob when it comes to the linux compiling

thing. Not like Ardour site is any help.

Chris

TCB wrote:

>Like this, I'd say.  
>  
><http://ubuntustudio.org/>  
>  
>Not even a release yet, but headed there, and the Ubuntu folks have a record  
>of making things happen.  
>  
>TCB  
>  
>Chris Ludwig <[chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com)> wrote:  
>  
>  
>>They seem to be trying to resurrect BeOS.  
>>I'd rather see it happen on Linux too  
>>  
>>TCB wrote:  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>>"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>>>  
>>>><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>Uh, the really really obvious one, why not use linux?  
>>>  
>>>TCB  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>--  
>>Chris Ludwig  
>>ADK  
>>[chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com) <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>  
>>[www.adkproaudio.com](http://www.adkproaudio.com/) <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>  
>>(859) 635-5762  
>>  
>>  
>  
>

>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>

www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>

(859) 635-5762

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [TCB](#) on Fri, 02 Feb 2007 17:44:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here's the Edgy instructions.

[http://ubuntuguide.org/wiki/Ubuntu\\_Edgy#How\\_to\\_install\\_Graphics\\_Driver\\_.28NVIDIA.29](http://ubuntuguide.org/wiki/Ubuntu_Edgy#How_to_install_Graphics_Driver_.28NVIDIA.29)

I'm a debian guy so it's a bit different but close. Oddly I've had better luck with the beta drivers listed in the section following.

Reportedly Shuttleworth/Ubuntu have decided they're going to break the seal and start shipping binary drivers/firmware with Ubuntu in the relatively near future. As an info-anarchist I don't like this, I'd prefer that nVidia and/or ATI would publish enough specs to reverse engineer the driver, but that ain't gonna happen.

By FAR the largest barrier to normal people using linux is the lack of drivers for video cards and wireless network cards (and increasingly, onboard ethernet) at install time. It's not hard to get the stuff working, but it's harder than Windows or OS X. Which is why I think Ubuntu is throwing in the towel and shipping the non-free drivers.

TCB

Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>Hey

>Yes I already have ubuntu installed and waiting.

>Just gotta figure out how to get the damn nvidia video card to install.

>Damn linux >)

>Everything else installed like a charm except the uptadted video card

>drivers and Ardour. I'm a noob when it comes to the linux compiling

>thing. Not like Ardour site is any help.

>Chris

>

>

>TCB wrote:

>  
>>Like this, I'd say.  
>>  
>><http://ubuntustudio.org/>  
>>  
>>Not even a release yet, but headed there, and the Ubuntu folks have a record  
>>of making things happen.  
>>  
>>TCB  
>>  
>>Chris Ludwig <[chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com)> wrote:  
>>  
>>  
>>>They seem to be trying to resurrect BeOS.  
>>>I'd rather see it happen on Linux too  
>>>  
>>>TCB wrote:  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote:  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>>>>  
>>>>><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Uh, the really really obvious one, why not use linux?  
>>>>>  
>>>>>TCB  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>Chris Ludwig  
>>>>>ADK  
>>>>>[chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com) <<mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>>  
>>>>>[www.adkproaudio.com](http://www.adkproaudio.com) <<http://www.adkproaudio.com/>>  
>>>>>(859) 635-5762  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>

>>  
>>  
>  
>--  
>Chris Ludwig  
>ADK  
>chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>  
>www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>  
>(859) 635-5762

---

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Ed](#) on Sun, 04 Feb 2007 00:21:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so it is just another OS.

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:45c25f89\$1@linux...

>  
> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>  
> http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php

---

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Jamie K](#) on Sun, 04 Feb 2007 02:43:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nobody? You're kidding, right?

Looks like it already ships with an assortment of software.

And Linux, BTW, has thousands of titles available and runs on most off the shelf hardware.

Likely some handful of programs you currently use are not compiled for those operating systems, but that would hardly indicate "nobody" supporting them.

Cheers,  
-Jamie  
[www.JamieKrutz.com](http://www.JamieKrutz.com)

Ed wrote:

> Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so it is  
> just another OS.

>

>

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
> news:45c25f89\$1@linux...

>> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>>

>> <http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

>

>

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Sun, 04 Feb 2007 18:36:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

<soapbox>

Personally, I would love to see a group like the ZetaOS sue the crap out of hardware manufacturers who refuse to work with them to develop drivers.

In a related lawsuit, I would REALLY love to see a class action suit against Comcast and all the other big ISPs for refusing to support LINUX. They are f\*\*king billionaires sucking in big bucks from all of us, but can't seem to hire 100 Linux gurus to support this OS. No offense, Mac users, but for every one of you, I know 5 people who run Linux as their main OS, so I know the numbers are there to support Linux.

Lawyers! Where are the lawyers? We need more LAWYERS!!!

</soapbox>

- Paul Artola  
Ellicott City, Maryland

On 5 Feb 2007 05:21:59 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>The spectacular ignorance of this deserves no response, but nevertheless I  
>will. Whenever I read something like this I wish the person making the statement  
>would be forced to live without free software. That all their web servers  
>would run IIS and none of their networking protocols would work and all of  
>their media would be mercilessly DRM'd and every file type completely proprietary.  
>Sadly, that doesn't happen, and people say things like this.



>  
>Just FYI - as one who installs new machines all the time, even with an image  
>that lets me have most apps pre-installed an XP setup on a new machine takes  
>3X as long as a linux setup. A base Ubuntu install can be done in 30-45 minutes  
>and includes everything 95% of the public would need to use a computer, save  
>a media player because of licensing issues. This includes web browsing and  
>authoring tools, high quality image editing, an office suite, and an email/contact/scheduling  
>app. And it's patched and secure.  
>  
>TCB  
>  
>"Ed" <AskMe@email.com> wrote:  
>>Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so it  
>is  
>>just another OS.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>news:45c25f89\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>>  
>>

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [TCB](#) on Sun, 04 Feb 2007 19:21:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The spectacular ignorance of this deserves no response, but nevertheless I will. Whenever I read something like this I wish the person making the statement would be forced to live without free software. That all their web servers would run IIS and none of their networking protocols would work and all of their media would be mercilessly DRM'd and every file type completely proprietary. Sadly, that doesn't happen, and people say things like this.

Just FYI - as one who installs new machines all the time, even with an image that lets me have most apps pre-installed an XP setup on a new machine takes 3X as long as a linux setup. A base Ubuntu install can be done in 30-45 minutes and includes everything 95% of the public would need to use a computer, save a media player because of licensing issues. This includes web browsing and authoring tools, high quality image editing, an office suite, and an email/contact/scheduling app. And it's patched and secure.

TCB

"Ed" <AskMe@email.com> wrote:  
>Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so it  
is  
>just another OS.  
>  
>  
>  
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>news:45c25f89\$1@linux...  
>>  
>> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>  
>> <http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [TCB](#) on Sun, 04 Feb 2007 22:50:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, with the way IP law is run these days we're more likely to see the free software community getting sued for (legally) reverse engineering a driver than what you suggest. I find this especially galling because the linux kernel devs have pretty much caved 100% and will develop/maintain drivers if companies will do really simple things like have a contact person who will answer questions on occasion.

[http://www.kroah.com/log/2007/01/29/#free\\_drivers](http://www.kroah.com/log/2007/01/29/#free_drivers)

But beyond that what I find especially galling is the callous treatment of free software by people who even make money off of it. SSH is used by EVERYONE, from Cisco routers to home DSL modems to essentially every shopping card/credit card charging web site in the world. It's maintained by Theo de Raadt and the FreeBSD crew and last year he had to go begging for money just to keep the lights turned on. That companies like Apple and Cisco rake in billions using BSD licensed tech like that and Theo is trying to scrape up \$40k to keep his web servers running and pay for travel so third world developers can join in on bug squashing weekends, well, it's awful. Which is why when someone says 'nobody supports linux' it pisses me off, because linux people support linux a whole lot better than most for profit OS people support what they release.

That said, on a positive note, I had a good linux experience with HP. Or rather a friend did. He bought my old HP laptop from me, it was only a year old or so. He's a good friend so I cut him a great deal and went over to do the setup at his house. Bless his heart there was a bottle of Patron there

so I started sipping tequila and setting up Ubuntu and his wireless and so on. After an hour and a half of work (not on the laptop, but on his screwy Netgear wireless router) I realized that tequila had the better of me and I ought to call it a night. Everything was working save the wireless card. I called him a day or two later to find a time to finish up and he said he had called HP phone support and they walked him through setting up the wifi driver in Ubuntu! Very nice, I'll be buying more HP gear in the future.

TCB

Paul Artola <artola@comcast.net> wrote:

><soapbox>

>Personally, I would love to see a group like the ZetaOS sue the crap  
>out of hardware manufacturers who refuse to work with them to develop  
>drivers.

>

>In a related lawsuit, I would REALLY love to see a class action suit  
>against Comcast and all the other big ISPs for refusing to support  
>LINUX. They are f\*\*king billionaires sucking in big bucks from all of  
>us, but can't seem to hire 100 Linux gurus to support this OS. No  
>offense, Mac users, but for every one of you, I know 5 people who run  
>Linux as their main OS, so I know the numbers are there to support  
>Linux.

>

>Lawyers! Where are the lawyers? We need more LAWYERS!!!  
></soapbox>

>

>- Paul Artola  
> Ellicott City, Maryland

>

>On 5 Feb 2007 05:21:59 +1000, "TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>>

>>The spectacular ignorance of this deserves no response, but nevertheless  
I

>>will. Whenever I read something like this I wish the person making the  
statement

>>would be forced to live without free software. That all their web servers  
>>would run IIS and none of their networking protocols would work and all  
of

>>their media would be mercilessly DRM'd and ever file type completely proprietary.

>>Sadly, that doesn't happen, and people say things like this.

>>

>>Just FYI - as one who installs new machines all the time, even with an  
image

>>that lets me have most apps pre-installed an XP setup on a new machine  
takes

>>3X as long as a linux setup. A base Ubuntu install can be done in 30-45

minutes

>>and includes everything 95% of the public would need to use a computer,  
save

>>a media player because of licensing issues. This includes web browsing  
and

>>authoring tools, high quality image editing, an office suite, and an email/contact/scheduling  
>>app. And it's patched and secure.

>>

>>TCB

>>

>>"Ed" <AskMe@email.com> wrote:

>>>Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so  
it

>>is

>>>just another OS.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>news:45c25f89\$1@linux...

>>>>

>>>> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>>>>

>>>> <http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

>>>

>>>

>

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 12:57:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thad,

I don't think people who don't use linux understand the current state of  
the linux union. Besides the fact that most of the web runs on it, and that  
IBM has bet the farm on linux - the desktop is pretty hot now too.

Consider the latest bootable ISO distros (run from CD). I mounted the MEPIS  
ISO in a VM, booted and five minutes later linux was up and running. There  
was an icon on the desktop that said install me. I was happily browsing  
the web via a wireless network card in firefox WHILE the OS was installing!

The other thing to consider is that vendors rarely have answers to complex  
problems, while google groups and the linux sites almost always do.

Chuck

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>The spectacular ignorance of this deserves no response, but nevertheless  
I  
>will. Whenever I read something like this I wish the person making the statement  
>would be forced to live without free software. That all their web servers  
>would run IIS and none of their networking protocols would work and all  
of  
>their media would be mercilessly DRM'd and ever file type completely proprietary.  
>Sadly, that doesn't happen, and people say things like this.  
>  
>Just FYI - as one who installs new machines all the time, even with an image  
>that lets me have most apps pre-installed an XP setup on a new machine takes  
>3X as long as a linux setup. A base Ubuntu install can be done in 30-45  
minutes  
>and includes everything 95% of the public would need to use a computer,  
save  
>a media player because of licensing issues. This includes web browsing and  
>authoring tools, high quality image editing, an office suite, and an email/contact/scheduling  
>app. And it's patched and secure.

>  
>TCB

>  
>"Ed" <AskMe@email.com> wrote:  
>>Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so it  
>is  
>>just another OS.

>>  
>>  
>>  
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>news:45c25f89\$1@linux...

>>>  
>>> Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 14:58:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Chuck,

The first time I ran Ubuntu I didn't even realize it was loading of the

CD instead of being installed!! Until I removed the CD then Windows ME started to boot!!!

Yuck.

Actually decided to click the right button and install it on the HD.

About 10 min till it was up and running.

For everything outside of pro audio, video and gaming it had everything I would need for general computer use.

Chris

chuck duffy wrote:

>Thad,

>

>I don't think people who don't use linux understand the current state of  
>the linux union. Besides the fact that most of the web runs on it, and that  
>IBM has bet the farm on linux - the desktop is pretty hot now too.

>

>Consider the latest bootable ISO distros (run from CD). I mounted the MEPIS  
>ISO in a VM, booted and five minutes later linux was up and running. There  
>was an icon on the desktop that said install me. I was happily browsing  
>the web via a wireless network card in firefox WHILE the OS was installing!

>

>The other thing to consider is that vendors rarely have answers to complex  
>problems, while google groups and the linux sites almost always do.

>

>Chuck

>

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>

>>The spectacular ignorance of this deserves no response, but nevertheless

>>

>>

>I

>

>

>>will. Whenever I read something like this I wish the person making the statement  
>>would be forced to live without free software. That all their web servers  
>>would run IIS and none of their networking protocols would work and all

>>

>>

>of

>

>

>>their media would be mercilessly DRM'd and ever file type completely proprietary.  
>>Sadly, that doesn't happen, and people say things like this.

>>

>>Just FYI - as one who installs new machines all the time, even with an image  
>>that lets me have most apps pre-installed an XP setup on a new machine takes  
>>3X as long as a linux setup. A base Ubuntu install can be done in 30-45  
>>  
>>  
>minutes  
>  
>  
>>and includes everything 95% of the public would need to use a computer,  
>>  
>>  
>save  
>  
>  
>>a media player because of licensing issues. This includes web browsing and  
>>authoring tools, high quality image editing, an office suite, and an email/contact/scheduling  
>>app. And it's patched and secure.  
>>  
>>TCB  
>>  
>>"Ed" <AskMe@email.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>  
>>>Just like Linux... nobody (software and hardware) will support it... so it  
>>>  
>>>  
>>is  
>>  
>>  
>>>just another OS.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>news:45c25f89\$1@linux...  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?  
>>>>  
>>>><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>>  
>  
>  
>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK

chrisl@adkproaudio.com <mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com>

www.adkproaudio.com <http://www.adkproaudio.com/>

(859) 635-5762

---

---

Subject: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [Doug Wellington](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 17:16:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:45c36a7b\$1@linux...

> By FAR the largest barrier to normal people using linux is the lack of

> drivers

> for video cards and wireless network cards (and increasingly, onboard

> ethernet)

> at install time.

Absolutely! Of course, I think the second barrier is that many of the apps that I (and many others) use do not work under Linux. I'm still a firm believer in the idea of picking the application before picking the OS. For example, I don't care how zealous you are about Ardour, it just doesn't compare to Cakewalk, Cubase, DP, Logic, ProTools, Samplitude, etc. (And what about that PARIS thAng?) Because I have picked applications before operating systems, I am now running three different operating systems on my personal computers, and five different operating systems at work. So what...! They're all so similar any more, it doesn't matter.

<troll>

I'm still a firm believer that "free" software has never been completely free - every major oss project that I know of was funded by DARPA, some university grant, IBM, or some other funding entity. Of COURSE IBM likes Apache and Linux - it's not benevolent, it's opportunistic! They get to throw a little bit of money at a bunch of naive zealots who love them for it, while they make HUGE profits off of the work! Wake up and smell the coffee open source developers! You're getting screwed!

</troll>

I'm reading an interesting book, "WIKINOMICS, How Mass Collaboration Changes Everything" - it is definitely the "feel good open source book of the summer". Check it out if you're a linux/open source fan - it's a great piece of apologetics, but I think it's just preaching to the choir. What I think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed a "religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions to jump ship and join you...

Doug



---

Subject: Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 17:25:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yeah, I'm a debian guy so my live CD of choice is Knoppix (also, I can read German which can be helpful), but I understand exactly what you're saying. As a computer geek I am often asked to fix peoples' broken computers. I have a stripped down Knoppix install that boots off a 4 GB pen drive I have on my keychain. Whenever people see it they ask what I'm doing and I show them what a live linux boot CD looks like. Everyone seems to think it's some geek extravaganza (which, of course, it can be) but it can also be just a nice computer.

Somewhere there was an article that I read once talking about Windows boxes vs. Apple boxes vs. linux boxes and compared them to cars. The writer said that at the Windows dealership all they sell are ugly station wagons. They worked OK most of the time and are cheap and pretty much everyone buys them. The Mac dealership across the street is selling sporty European cars that look really nice and cost a little more. Then down the block was this big parking lot with giant tanks that ran 100 mph, could drive through walls, used waste paper for fuel, that almost never broke down and whenever they did some really smart mechanic would show up and fix them for free. Oh, and the keys were in the ignition and a big sign on the side said 'TAKE ONE, KEEP IT IF YOU LIKE.' And everyone lines up to buy a station wagons or an expensive Mercedes anyway.

TCB

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Thad,

>

>I don't think people who don't use linux understand the current state of  
>the linux union. Besides the fact that most of the web runs on it, and  
that

>IBM has bet the farm on linux - the desktop is pretty hot now too.

>

>Consider the latest bootable ISO distros (run from CD). I mounted the MEPIS  
>ISO in a VM, booted and five minutes later linux was up and running. There  
>was an icon on the desktop that said install me. I was happily browsing  
>the web via a wireless network card in firefox WHILE the OS was installing!

>

>The other thing to consider is that vendors rarely have answers to complex  
>problems, while google groups and the linux sites almost always do.



Subject: Re: Zeta OS

Posted by [destroyallmonsters de](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 19:02:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>

>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>

><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>

It's an updated version of Be OS. Be OS is the operating system used in iZ's RADAR. If you're using RADAR you're essentially using it already.

---

---

Subject: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [TCB](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 20:17:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Doug, agreed on all points, and I'm not using Ardour either. My two non-linux machines are for audio apps, and I realize that's not going to change.

About the big names. I think IBM has been a pretty good citizen. Yes, they make a lot of money but I think they put some real effort to improve GPL'd code as well. The Eclipse IDE, for example, is something they wouldn't have had to give away and did. Other companies, obviously, haven't done as well.

And lastly, the free I care about most is access to source. Not that I'm going to rewrite Apache, but there's a different level of troubleshooting, investigation, and fixing possible when I can see logs and source the way I can with foss software.

But that's just me,

TCB

"Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:

>"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote in message news:45c36a7b\$1@linux...

>> By FAR the largest barrier to normal people using linux is the lack of

>> drivers

>> for video cards and wireless network cards (and increasingly, onboard

>> ethernet)

>> at install time.

>

>Absolutely! Of course, I think the second barrier is that many of the apps

>that I (and many others) use do not work under Linux. I'm still a firm  
>believer in the idea of picking the application before picking the OS.  
For  
>example, I don't care how zealous you are about Ardour, it just doesn't  
>compare to Cakewalk, Cubase, DP, Logic, ProTools, Samplitude, etc. (And  
>what about that PARIS thAng?) Because I have picked applications before  
>operating systems, I am now running three different operating systems on  
my  
>personal computers, and five different operating systems at work. So  
>what...! They're all so similar any more, it doesn't matter.  
>  
><troll>  
>I'm still a firm believer that "free" software has never been completely  
>free - every major oss project that I know of was funded by DARPA, some  
>university grant, IBM, or some other funding entity. Of COURSE IBM likes  
>Apache and Linux - it's not benevolent, it's opportunistic! They get to  
>throw a little bit of money at a bunch of naive zealots who love them for  
>it, while they make HUGE profits off of the work! Wake up and smell the  
>coffee open source developers! You're getting screwed!  
></troll>  
>  
>I'm reading an interesting book, "WIKINOMICS, How Mass Collaboration Changes  
>Everything" - it is definitely the "feel good open source book of the  
>summer". Check it out if you're a linux/open source fan - it's a great  
>piece of apologetics, but I think it's just preaching to the choir. What  
I  
>think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed a  
>"religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions to  
>jump ship and join you...  
>  
>Doug  
>  
><http://www.parisfaqs.com>  
>

>

---

Subject: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 21:34:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:  
>> What I  
>think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed a  
  
>"religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions to  
  
>jump ship and join you...

While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement, I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less... just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.

Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up a Linux box?

Neil

---

Subject: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 22:47:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Neil,

For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document editing/administration/playing audio video.

What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install a linux virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do whatever the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely untouched.

Chuck

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:

>

>"Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:

>>> What I

>>think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed a

>

>>"religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
to

>

>>jump ship and join you...

>

>While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,

>I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not

>your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/

>PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...

>just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat

>intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.

>

>Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a

>shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up

>a Linux box?

>

>Neil

---

Subject: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???

Posted by [Neil](#) on Mon, 05 Feb 2007 22:59:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Chuck... I have a whole separate machine for that other stuff... the only time my DAW rig sees the internet is when I have to download an update or plugin. I was just wondering if Linux is less bloated/more streamlined, and would run audio better.

Neil

"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>

>Hi Neil,

>

>For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document editing/administration/playing  
>audio video.

>

>What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install a linux

>virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do whatever

>the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely untouched.

>  
>Chuck  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:  
>>  
>>"Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:  
>>>> What I  
>>>think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed  
>a  
>>  
>>>"religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
>to  
>>  
>>>jump ship and join you...  
>>  
>>While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,  
>>I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not  
>>your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/  
>>PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...  
>>just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat  
>>intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.  
>>  
>>Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a  
>>shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up  
>>a Linux box?  
>>  
>>Neil  
>

---

Subject: Re: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 00:22:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,  
There was at one point a fully function version of Nuendo 1.0 on BeOS  
that Steinberg was close to making available but Be went bye bye.  
Nuendo and Cubase are both developed on Unix so that they can keep the  
cross platform part more manageable.  
I would think that once if ever the Linux world decided they want be a  
viable contender in the audio/video world instead of just the  
network/business world then we will see a bunch of current apps make the  
jump. The audio/video is much much smaller world money wise than  
IT/business but but wouldn't at least some of the Linux geeks rather be  
rock stars .:)

Chris

chuck duffy wrote:

> Hi Neil,

>

> It can be as streamlined as you want. It doesn't run any steiny apps, or  
> pro tools, or really any commercial daw. So for 'our' uses as recordists,  
> it's usability is about nil right now. A lot of people would take exception  
> to that comment, but it's a practical statement.

>

> It's cool to have a separate machine for the browsing stuff. Some people  
> want it all on one box :-)

>

> I can't tell you guys enough how cool virtual machines are though.

>

> Chuck

>

> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>> Hey Chuck... I have a whole separate machine for that other  
>> stuff... the only time my DAW rig sees the internet is when I  
>> have to download an update or plugin. I was just wondering if  
>> Linux is less bloated/more streamlined, and would run audio  
>> better.

>>

>> Neil

>>

>>

>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>>> Hi Neil,

>>>

>>> For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document editing/administration/playing  
>>> audio video.

>>>

>>> What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install a linux  
>>> virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do whatever  
>>> the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely untouched.

>>>

>>> Chuck

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:

>>>> "Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:

>>>>> What I

>>>>> think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed

>> a



>>>> "religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
>>> to  
>>>> jump ship and join you...  
>>> While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,  
>>> I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not  
>>> your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/  
>>> PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...  
>>> just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat  
>>> intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.  
>>>>  
>>>> Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a  
>>>> shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up  
>>>> a Linux box?  
>>>>  
>>>> Neil  
>

--

Chris Ludwig

ADK Pro Audio  
(859) 635-5762  
www.adkproaudio.com  
chrisl@adkproaudio.com

---

Subject: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 01:03:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Neil,

It can be as streamlied as you want. It doesn't run any steiny apps, or pro tools, or really any commercial daw. So for 'our' uses as recordists, it's usability is about nil right now. A lot of people would take exception to that comment, but it's a practical statement.

It's cool to have a separate machine for the browsing stuff. Some people want it all on one box :-)

I can't tell you guys enough how cool virtual machines are though.

Chuck

"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>

>Hey Chuck... I have a whole separate machine for that other  
>stuff... the only time my DAW rig sees the internet is when I

>have to download an update or plugin. I was just wondering if  
>Linux is less bloated/more streamlined, and would run audio  
>better.  
>  
>Neil  
>  
>  
>"chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>Hi Neil,  
>>  
>>For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document editing/administration/playing  
>>audio video.  
>>  
>>What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install a linux  
>>virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do whatever  
>>the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely untouched.  
>>  
>>Chuck  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:  
>>>  
>>>"Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:  
>>>> What I  
>>>>think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed  
>a  
>>>  
>>>>"religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
>>to  
>>>  
>>>>jump ship and join you...  
>>>  
>>>While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,  
>>>I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not  
>>>your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/  
>>>PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...  
>>>just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat  
>>>intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.  
>>>  
>>>Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a  
>>>shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up  
>>>a Linux box?  
>>>  
>>>Neil  
>>

>

---

---

Subject: Re: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 02:18:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Chris,

I think you are right. AFAIK Steiny code is 100% cross platform c and c++.  
The SDK, publicly available, includes examples that will compile on anything.  
The linux community wont get behind vst/asio because it's 'closed source',  
the SDK uses the wrong license model...

Chuck

Chuck

Chris Ludwig <[chrisl@adkproaudio.com](mailto:chrisl@adkproaudio.com)> wrote:

>Hi,  
>There was at one point a fully function version of Nuendo 1.0 on BeOS  
>that Steinberg was close to making available but Be went bye bye.  
>Nuendo and Cubase are both developed on Unix so that they can keep the  
>cross platform part more manageable.  
>I would think that once if ever the Linux world decided they want be a  
>viable contender in the audio/video world instead of just the  
>network/business world then we will see a bunch of current apps make the

>jump. The audio/video is much much smaller world money wise than  
>IT/business but but wouldn't at least some of the Linux geeks rather be

>rock stars .:)

>Chris

>

>

>chuck duffy wrote:

>> Hi Neil,

>>

>> It can be as streamlied as you want. It doesn't run any steiny apps,  
or

>> pro tools, or really any commercial daw. So for 'our' uses as recordists,  
>> it's usability is about nil right now. A lot of people would take exception  
>> to that comment, but it's a practical statement.

>>

>> It's cool to have a separate machine for the browsing stuff. Some people  
>> want it all on one box :-)

>>

>> I can't tell you guys enough how cool virtual machines are though.

>>  
>> Chuck  
>>  
>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:  
>>> Hey Chuck... I have a whole separate machine for that other  
>>> stuff... the only time my DAW rig sees the internet is when I  
>>> have to download an update or plugin. I was just wondering if  
>>> Linux is less bloated/more streamlined, and would run audio  
>>> better.  
>>>  
>>> Neil  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>> Hi Neil,  
>>>>  
>>>> For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document  
editing/administration/playing  
>>>> audio video.  
>>>>  
>>>> What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install a  
linux  
>>>> virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do  
whatever  
>>>> the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely untouched.  
>>>>  
>>>> Chuck  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:  
>>>>> "Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:  
>>>>>> What I  
>>>>>> think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed  
>>>>>> a  
>>>>>> "religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
>>>>>> to  
>>>>>> jump ship and join you...  
>>>>>> While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,  
>>>>>> I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not  
>>>>>> your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/  
>>>>>> PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...  
>>>>>> just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat  
>>>>>> intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a  
>>>>>> shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up

>>>> a Linux box?  
>>>>  
>>>> Neil  
>>  
>  
>--  
>Chris Ludwig  
>  
>ADK Pro Audio  
>(859) 635-5762  
>www.adkproaudio.com  
>chrisl@adkproaudio.com

---

---

Subject: Re: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 02:26:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I understand the reasoning behind an open source model, but at least from the audio community perspective, the Linux community could be biting the hand that feeds it... well... could feed it very tiny morsels of musical goodness. Not exactly corporate IT... :-)

Licenses aren't getting more open in the audio world - if anything they are tightening. I guess that means we will probably never see audio apps on Linux - looks like Zeta really might have a better chance.

I doubt Ubuntu Studio is going to supplant Nuendo, Protools and Final Cut Pro anytime soon.

Dedric

On 2/5/07 7:18 PM, in article 45c7d76a\$1@linux, "chuk duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:

>  
> Hi Chris,  
>  
> I think you are right. AFAIK Steiny code is 100% cross platform c and c++.  
> The SDK, publicly available, includes examples that will compile on anything.  
> The linux community wont get behind vst/asio because it's 'closed source',  
> the SDK uses the wrong license model...  
>  
> Chuck  
>  
> Chuck  
>  
> Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:

>> Hi,  
>> There was at one point a fully function version of Nuendo 1.0 on BeOS  
>> that Steinberg was close to making available but Be went bye bye.  
>> Nuendo and Cubase are both developed on Unix so that they can keep the  
>> cross platform part more manageable.  
>> I would think that once if ever the Linux world decided they want be a  
>> viable contender in the audio/video world instead of just the  
>> network/business world then we will see a bunch of current apps make the  
>  
>> jump. The audio/video is much much smaller world money wise than  
>> IT/business but but wouldn't at least some of the Linux geeks rather be  
>  
>> rock stars .:)  
>> Chris  
>>  
>>  
>> chuck duffy wrote:  
>>> Hi Neil,  
>>>  
>>> It can be as streamlied as you want. It doesn't run any steiny apps,  
> or  
>>> pro tools, or really any commercial daw. So for 'our' uses as recordists,  
>>> it's usability is about nil right now. A lot of people would take exception  
>>> to that comment, but it's a practical statement.  
>>>  
>>> It's cool to have a separate machine for the browsing stuff. Some people  
>>> want it all on one box :-)  
>>>  
>>> I can't tell you guys enough how cool virtual machines are though.  
>>>  
>>> Chuck  
>>>  
>>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:  
>>>> Hey Chuck... I have a whole separate machine for that other  
>>>> stuff... the only time my DAW rig sees the internet is when I  
>>>> have to download an update or plugin. I was just wondering if  
>>>> Linux is less bloated/more streamlined, and would run audio  
>>>> better.  
>>>>  
>>>> Neil  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>>> Hi Neil,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document  
>>>>> editing/administration/playing  
>>>>> audio video.

>>>>  
>>>> What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install a  
> linux  
>>>> virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do  
> whatever  
>>>> the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely  
>>>> untouched.  
>>>>  
>>>> Chuck  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:  
>>>>> "Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:  
>>>>>> What I  
>>>>>> think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed  
>>>> a  
>>>>>> "religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
>>>> to  
>>>>>> jump ship and join you...  
>>>>>> While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,  
>>>>>> I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not  
>>>>>> your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/  
>>>>>> PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...  
>>>>>> just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat  
>>>>>> intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a  
>>>>>> shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up  
>>>>>> a Linux box?  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Neil  
>>>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Chris Ludwig  
>>  
>> ADK Pro Audio  
>> (859) 635-5762  
>> www.adkproaudio.com  
>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com  
>

---

Subject: Re: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???

---

Posted by [Doug Wellington](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 02:51:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> The linux community wont get behind vst/asio because it's 'closed source',  
> the SDK uses the wrong license model...

I've seen some pretty heated arguments about licensing models...

[Dredging up an old war story - delete at will if you've heard it before.]  
It was interesting interacting with Paul Davis about Ardour. Several years ago, I volunteered to port Ardour to OS X, but he didn't want to have any part of it at the time, claiming that OS X was proprietary and closed source. (Only partly true.) Later on, someone apparently offered Paul money if he would port it to OS X, so I guess he changed his mind...

Doug (Amazing how many people change their minds for money...)

<http://www.parisfaqs.com>

---

---

Subject: Re: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [Doug Wellington](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 02:52:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> I can't tell you guys enough how cool virtual machines are though.

Parallels and VMware are both amazing tools!

Doug

<http://www.parisfaqs.com>

---

---

Subject: Re: Zeta OS  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 06:14:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

FWIW, I liked BeOS and I was kinda pissed about the whole 'appliance' application it became.

AA

<destroy\_all\_monsters destroy\_all\_monsters[at]comcast.net> wrote in message  
news:45c7713f\$1@linux...

>

> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>Has anybody tried this? Any thoughts?

>>

>><http://www.zeta-os.com/cms/custom/zeta/indexe.php>



>  
> It's an updated version of Be OS. Be OS is the operating system used in  
> iZ's  
> RADAR. If you're using RADAR you're essentially using it already.

---

---

Subject: Re: Linux issues. Was Re: Zeda OS???  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 13:04:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dedric,

I really meant that VST uses the wrong model to the linux guys.

I can download the VST SDK, including source for several example plugs, build them on two platforms, and distribute new plugins royalty free - that's free enough for me :-)

Chuck

Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:

>I understand the reasoning behind an open source model, but at least  
>from the audio community perspective, the Linux community could be biting  
>the hand that feeds it... well... could feed it very tiny morsels of musical  
>goodness. Not exactly corporate IT... :-)

>

>Licenses aren't getting more open in the audio world - if anything they  
are

>tightening. I guess that means we will probably never see audio apps on  
>Linux - looks like Zeta really might have a better chance.

>

>I doubt Ubuntu Studio is going to supplant Nuendo, Protools and Final Cut  
>Pro anytime soon.

>

>Dedric

>

>On 2/5/07 7:18 PM, in article 45c7d76a\$1@linux, "chuk duffy" <c@c.com>  
>wrote:

>

>>

>> Hi Chris,

>>

>> I think you are right. AFAIK Steiny code is 100% cross platform c and  
c++.

>> The SDK, publicly available, includes examples that will compile on anything.

>> The linux community wont get behind vst/asio because it's 'closed source',

>> the SDK uses the wrong license model...

>>

>> Chuck  
>>  
>> Chuck  
>>  
>> Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:  
>>> Hi,  
>>> There was at one point a fully function version of Nuendo 1.0 on BeOS  
>>> that Steinberg was close to making available but Be went bye bye.  
>>> Nuendo and Cubase are both developed on Unix so that they can keep the  
>>> cross platform part more manageable.  
>>> I would think that once if ever the Linux world decided they want be  
a  
>>> viable contender in the audio/video world instead of just the  
>>> network/business world then we will see a bunch of current apps make  
the  
>>  
>>> jump. The audio/video is much much smaller world money wise than  
>>> IT/business but but wouldn't at least some of the Linux geeks rather  
be  
>>  
>>> rock stars .:)  
>>> Chris  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> chuck duffy wrote:  
>>>> Hi Neil,  
>>>>  
>>>> It can be as streamlied as you want. It doesn't run any steiny apps,  
>> or  
>>>> pro tools, or really any commercial daw. So for 'our' uses as recordists,  
>>>> it's usability is about nil right now. A lot of people would take exception  
>>>> to that comment, but it's a practical statement.  
>>>>  
>>>> It's cool to have a separate machine for the browsing stuff. Some people  
>>>> want it all on one box :-)  
>>>>  
>>>> I can't tell you guys enough how cool virtual machines are though.  
>>>>  
>>>> Chuck  
>>>>  
>>>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:  
>>>>> Hey Chuck... I have a whole separate machine for that other  
>>>>> stuff... the only time my DAW rig sees the internet is when I  
>>>>> have to download an update or plugin. I was just wondering if  
>>>>> Linux is less bloated/more streamlined, and would run audio  
>>>>> better.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Neil

>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "chuck duffy" <c@c.com> wrote:  
>>>>> Hi Neil,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> For the desktop ... Linux is great for surfing/browsing/document  
>>>>> editing/administration/playing  
>>>>> audio video.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> What works great is to run the OS you want for audio, and install  
a  
>> linux  
>>>>> virtual machine on it. This will allow you to safely browse and do  
>> whatever  
>>>>> the heck else you want, while leaving your base machine completely  
>>>>> untouched.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Chuck  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.comj> wrote:  
>>>>>> "Doug Wellington" <doug@parisfaqs.com> wrote:  
>>>>>>> What I  
>>>>>>> think the authors (and many linux people) forget is that it is indeed  
>>>>> a  
>>>>>>> "religion" and it's fairly hard to convince members of other religions  
>>>>>>> to  
>>>>>>> jump ship and join you...  
>>>>>>> While I understand from whence you're coming with that statement,  
>>>>>>> I personally fail to see the logic behind that sentiment (not  
>>>>>>> your's, the zealots), I mean WTF difference does it make? Mac/  
>>>>>>> PC, Windoze/Linux Cubase/Paris/Pro-Tools - I could care less...  
>>>>>>> just gimme something that works & is at least somewhat  
>>>>>>> intuitive, and is reasonably-priced.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Can Linux work with CubaseSX? If so, I'd be willing to give it a  
>>>>>>> shot. or do I need to be a total tweakhead to be able to set up  
>>>>>>> a Linux box?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Neil  
>>>>  
>>>  
>>> --  
>>> Chris Ludwig  
>>>  
>>> ADK Pro Audio

>>> (859) 635-5762  
>>> www.adkproaudio.com  
>>> chrisl@adkproaudio.com  
>>  
>

---